



C

5

1

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A







Modeling and Simulation of a Fin ACTUATION System

R. H. Nunn R. J. Wright

December 1984

Progress report for period October, 1983 - September, 1984

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

Prepared for: Naval Weapons Center, Code 3275 China Lake, California 93555

85 01 11 003

AD-A149 443

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California

Commodore R. H Shumaker Superintendent D. A. Shrady Provost

The work reported herein was supported by the Naval Weapons Center, Code 3275, China Lake, California 93555.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized. This report was prepared by:

Robert H. Nunn Professor of Mechanical Engineering

Reviewed by:

Paul J. Marto

Chairman, Department of Mechanical Engineering

Released by:

in Dia

John N. Dyer Dean of Science and Engineering

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	SION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
PS69-84-011 AD- A/4	a443
TITLE (and Subtitle)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Modeling and Simulation of a	Progress Report
in Actuation System	Oct 83 - Sep 84
In Actuación System	4. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	NPS69-84-011
AUTHOR(=)	4. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
R. H. Nunn	
R. J. Wright	
	10 BROGRAM ELEMENT BROUECT VAL
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Postgraduate School	
1onterey, CA 93943	
CONTROL LING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	December 1984
Naval weapons Center, Code 32/5	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
nina Lake, CA 93555	30
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillorent from Controlling	Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (al this report)
	Unclassified
	ISO. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
oproved for public release; distribution ur	nlimited
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 df	nlimited
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 dl SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	nlimited
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 di SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	nlimited
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dl SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation	hlimited Niferent tree Report)
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Block 20, 11 dl SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by bloc electromechanical, modeling, simulation	nlimited
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 df SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation	h number)
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Block 20, 11 df SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block i ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse	<pre>hlimited ///// from Report) from Report /// from Report // from Report</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Block 20, 11 df SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABSTRACT (Continue on provide of the model and simulated driven by a brushless dc motor. This report which the motor, drive-train and load were m descriptions. Simulation of system response accomplished using the Continuous System Mod Initial qualitative results show that the offects expected from wariations in load are	<pre>hlimited ////////////////////////////////////</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 df SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation Astudy Was begun to model and simulate driven by a brushless dc motor. This report which the motor, drive-train and load were m descriptions. Simulation of system response accomplished using the Continuous System Model Initial qualitative results show that the effects expected from variations in load and the model and possible refinements will requ	<pre>hlimited hlimited hlimite</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution ur DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 df SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABJTRACT (Continue on presence elde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABJTRACT (Continue on presence elde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABJTRACT (Continue on presence elde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABJTRACT (Continue on presence elde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABJTRACT (Continue on presence elde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation ABJTRACT (Continue on presence elde 11 necessary and identify by block electromechanical, modeling, simulation intive by a brushless dc motor. This report which the motor, drive-train and load were model accomplished using the Continuous System Model Initial qualitative results show that the effects expected from variations in load and the model and possible refinements will requ	<pre>hlimited ///// ///////////////////////////////</pre>

7

.

MODELING AND SIMULATION OF A FIN ACTUATION SYSTEM

SUMMARY

A study was begun to model and simulate a missile fin actuation system driven by a brushless dc motor. This report describes preliminary results in which the motor, drive-train, and load were modeled using the usual linear descriptions. Simulation of system response to step and frequency inputs was accomplished using the Continuous System Modeling Program.

5

...

Initial qualitative results show that the model successfully predicts the effects expected from variations in load and damping. Further evaluation of the model and possible refinements will require the availability of test data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ᡔᠧᡵᡎᡊᡵᡄᠧᢛᠧᢛᠧᢛ᠋᠅ᠴ᠁ᡎᡵᠴᠴᡵᢧᡊᢧᢛᠧᡄᢧᡵᡄᡄ᠁ᡔ

erzenen en en e

List of Symbols	vi
Introduction	1
Theory and Analysis	3
Motor Analysis	6
Preliminary Results and Conclusions	6
List of References	15
Appendices	
Initial Distribution List	



· • · • •

••••

·.•

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Ū

(

6

Bm	Coefficient of viscous friction of the motor (rad/sec)
^E a	Control input voltage
Еb	Generated voltage (back emf)
Fs	Force acting on the ball screw
Is	Stator current
J_{c}	Moment of inertia of the crank
J _m	Moment of inertia of motor shaft
J _{s2}	Moment of inertia of output shaft
к _b	Back emf constant
к _i	Torque constant
L	Lead of ball screw
L _s	Inductance of the stator windings
Mh	Fin hinge moment
Ms	Mass of the ball screw
N	Gear ratio
Ρ	Pitch
Rs	Resistance of 2 stator windings
т _f	Sum of the friction forces acting on the actuator
Tm	Torque generated by the motor
т _L	Torque acting on the motor output shaft
x	Linear displacement of the ball screw
0 _m	Angular displacement of the motor shaft
0 ₀	Angular displacement of the fin

vi

È.

INTRODUCTION

Recent improvements in rare-earth magnetic materials for use in brushless dc motors have allowed reconsideration of electro-mechanical actuator systems for applications requiring very high ratios of torque-to-inertia. The investigation discussed herein has been concerned with characterizing mathematically the dynamical features of a missile fin actuation system, from the input to the brushless dc motor to the output shaft of the mechanical actuator. The physical model is based upon an existing prototype actuator currently under evaluation at the Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California.

In general, brushless dc motors produce torque through the interaction of a magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet rotor and a dc generated magnetic field in the stator. The rotating permanent magnet eliminates the rotating armature and the mechanical wear normally associated with brushes. These motors fall in the class of <u>Permanent Magnet Motors</u> and enjoy certain advantages over wound-field types such as:

"...linear torque-speed characteristics, high stall (accelerating) torque, no need for electric power to generate the magnetic flux and a smaller frame and lighter motor for a given output power" [1].

Additionally, the brushless dc motor is characterized by:

"...controllability over a wide range of speeds, capable of rapid acceleration and deceleration, convenient control of shaft speed and position, no mechanical wear problem due to commutation and better heat dissipation arrangement" [1].

The fundamental requirement of an electro-mechanical actuator control system is to provide torque to an output shaft, sense the position of the shaft and adjust the torque to balance the load when the desired position is reached. This must be accomplished with a minimum of frictional resistance and delays associated with the inertia of the mechanical components. Effects of viscous, static and coulomb friction, together with the torque required to

-1-

accelerate the mechanical components of the system, lead to a reduction in torque available at the output shaft and an associated reduction in system performance.

One approach to the analysis of the electro-mechanical actuator system has been to divide the system into two sequential problem areas. The first deals with the dynamic analysis of the brushless dc motor and development of the transfer function necessary to duplicate actual steady-state and transient performance. The second area deals with modeling the mechanical system elements, taking as input the dc motor shaft angular acceleration predicted by the motor analysis. The mechanical system must be modeled considering the effects of friction and inertia and translating the rotational motion of the brushless dc motor shaft to the output shaft of the actuator for application to missile maneuvering control. This report documents the results obtained from a study that has placed primary emphasis upon the latter problem area the modeling of the mechanical drive-train leading to the fin shaft [2]. The influences of various design aspects of brushless dc motors are not given detailed attention here, but such matters have been studied in parallel investigations [3, 4].

The remainder of this report presents the results of attempts to formulate a mathematical model of a brushless dc motor and a mechanical actuator as might be installed on a Navy tactical missile. The model has been implemented in a computer program so that parametric studies may be conducted to determine output characteristics (torque, speed, acceleration) as functions of input forms and design options. Additionally, the modular format used in CSMP¹ will facilitate incorporation of future technology and allow inputs for use in both design and analysis.

-2-

¹ The Continuous System Modeling Program (CSMP) is a program especially developed by IBM to allow users to simulate physical systems with a minimum of programming difficulty. Details are provided, for instance, in Ref. 5.

GENERAL

The system is viewed as a position control device to maintain an output angle under an applied hinge moment due to aerodynamic forces on a fin or aileron. The motor is a permanent magnet dc motor with feedback in the form of back emf proportional to the angular velocity of the motor. The block diagram of the dc motor is shown in Fig. 1 [6]. The mechanical actuator and drive train, is currently envisioned, introduce various inertial and damping loads together with an aerodynamic force and its associated fin hinge moment that must be overcome to produce cuput motion. An operational block diagram of the load torque is shown in Fig. 2 where the hinge moment and motor shaft angular acceleration are viewed as inputs to the drive train. Figure 3 is a schematic of the drive train which, as presently constituted, includes the motor shaft (leadscrew), ball screw assembly, and the crank which is keyed to the output (fin) shaft. Inertial loads are considered individually within three major subdivisions of the actuator; the ouput shaft to crank, crank to ball screw, and ball screw to leadscrew.



Figure 1. Standardized Block Diagram of a DC Motor

-3-



(

Ţ.

1

•

Figure 2. Operational Block Diagram of the Load Torque



MOTOR ANALYSIS

The voltage drop across the stator windings of a permanent magnet brushless dc motor may be written

$$E_{a} - E_{b} = L_{s} \frac{dIs}{dt} + R_{s}I_{s}$$
(1)

and the current leads to a developed torque given by

$$T_{m} = K_{i} I_{s}$$
⁽²⁾

This torque must overcome frictional loads and inertias reflected to the motor output shaft while at the same time supplying a demanded load torque $T_{\rm L}$ so that

$$T_{\rm m} = J_{\rm m} \ddot{C}_{\rm m} + B_{\rm m} \dot{O}_{\rm m} + T_{\rm L} \tag{3}$$

(In the simple model developed herein we have assumed that spring loads due to elasticity in the drive train are negligible.) The back emf is approximated as proportional to the motor speed (the electrical counterpart of viscous friction) with the result that

$$E_{\rm b} = K_{\rm b} \dot{C}_{\rm m} \tag{4}$$

Equations (1) - (4) may be viewed as governing the time variation of the variables E_b , I_s , T_m , and C_m in response to input voltage E_a and constrained by the load torque T_L . The internal motor parameters are L_s , R_s , K_i , and K_b , while the mechanical features of the motor are characterized by J_m and B_m . The various functional relationships are illustrated in Fig. 1.

LOAD ANALYSIS

The power transmission system is illustrated in Fig. 3 and contains a ball screw that converts the axial component, F_S , of the force required by the load

on the ball screw into a load torque T_L acting on the motor shaft (leadscrew). The conversion is given by

$$T_{L} = (F_{S}L) / 2\pi$$
(5)

where L is the lead (distance the ball screw moves parallel to the axis of the leadscrew for one revolution of the leadscrew). This relationship, the development of which is discussed further in Ref. 2, requires the assumption of negligible frictional effects in the ball screw. The force transmitted as torque through the action of the ball screw is parallel to the axis of the leadscrew only when the crank is orthogonal to the leadscrew. As a result, a nonlinear relationship exists between the force acting on the ball screw and the torque reflected back through the system from the output shaft (see Appendix A).

Pitch P is the ratio of the linear displacement X of the ball screw to the angular displacement O_m of the motor shaft. The gear ratio N is defined here as the ratio of the angular displacement of the motor shaft to the angular displacement O_0 of the output shaft. The relationship between pitch and gear ratio, for a given drive train geometry, is also developed in Appendix A.

The sum F_s of the load forces acting on the ball screw depends upon the fin hinge moment M_h due to the aerodynamic forces acting on the aileron, the moment of inertia of the output shaft J_{s2} , the moment of inertia of the crank J_c , the angular acceleration $\ddot{\Theta}_o$ of the output shaft (assumed to be the same as the crank, i.e., the output shaft is of infinite stiffness), and the mass M_s and linear acceleration \ddot{X} of the ball screw.

$$F_{s} = M_{s}X + [(J_{c}\ddot{G}_{0} + J_{s2}\ddot{G}_{0} + M_{h}) / (R\cos\theta_{0})]$$
(6)

Combining with Eq. (5), along with the addition of the (unknown) frictional forces T_f acting on the actuator, allows the load torque T_L to be expressed as a function of the axial acceleration of the ball screw \ddot{X} and the angular acceleration of the motor \ddot{c}_m .

$$T_{L} = \frac{L}{2\pi} \left[M_{S} \ddot{X} + \frac{\ddot{\Theta}_{m} (J_{c} + J_{S2})}{N} + M_{h} - \frac{N}{R\cos(\Theta_{m}/N)} \right] + T_{f}$$
(7)

A number of additional relationships may be developed by means of various combinations of the preceeding equations. For example, Eqs. (1) through (4) may be combined to yield the transfer function for the torque motor:

í

Ĩ

$$\frac{\ddot{C}_{m}}{E_{a}} = \frac{K_{i} - \frac{T_{L}}{I_{s}}}{(L_{s}s + R_{s})(J_{m}s + B_{m}) + K_{b}(K_{i} - \frac{T_{L}}{I_{s}})}$$
(8)

This expression is useful for simply-described (e.g., zero) load torques T_L . Equation (8) may be combined with Eq. (7) and the gear ratio N to obtain the actuator output response $(\overset{\bullet}{O}_{O} = \overset{\bullet}{O}_{M}/N)$ to input voltage E_a .

The CSMP code used to solve these governing equations is given in Appendix B, together with a summary of the logic flow.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Validation in mathematical modeling requires a comparison between response predictions obtained through simulation and data produced as a result of experiments. At this writing, test data have not become available for the electro-mechanical actuator modeled in this study and, therefore, the precision of the model's representation of reality remains untested. For the present, therefore, the "credibility" of the model must be based on the reasonableness of the model's dependency upon certain key variables such as the viscous friction of the motor and the level of the applied load.

Î

Figure 4 shows the predicted response to a 30-volt step input under zero applied load using the manufacturer's specifications¹ for the viscous friction of the motor. For comparison, a 90-percent reduction in the viscous friction of the motor under the same input and load conditions produces the slightly underdamped response shown in Fig. 4. A decrease in the viscous friction of the motor produces the expected change in the output response, but the system remains highly stable due to the back-emf effect in the motor.

For further comparison, Figs. 5 through 7 were obtained using a 30-volt step input and manufacturer's specification for the viscous friction of the motor. Figure 5 depicts the fin angle versus time for this nominally-damped system under varying loads. As expected, the fin angle produced for a given time decreases with an increase in the output load. This is in agreement with Fig. 6 where the steady-state fin rate is seen to decrease with an increased load. The steady state rate response for the zero load condition, obtained from Eq. (8), is given by

-9-

¹ Numerical values used to define the nominal design are listed in Appendix B, Figure Bl.





•

.

Fin Rate Response to Step Input; Nominal and Underdamped. Figure 4.



· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

. .

And a state of the second

Land and a second

C

1.1

-13-

 $\dot{c}_{O} = K_{i} E_{a} / [N(R_{s}R_{m} + K_{i}K_{b})]$ (steady state) (9) and for the nominal case studied here a value of 203.3 degrees/second is obtained, as indicated in the no-load curve of Fig. 6. The frequency response results shown in Fig. 7 were obtained using zero applied load and nominal design conditions. The nominal second-order system response in Fig. 4 illustrates reasonable time-domain specifications for delay time ($T_{d} = 0.0020$ seconds), rise time ($T_{r} + 0.0055$ seconds) and settling time ($T_{s} = 0.0090$ seconds). Corresponding frequency response characteristics, illustrated in Fig. 7, are bandwidth (BW = 159 Hz), cutoff frequency ($\omega_{c} = 159$ Hz) and resonant frequency ($\omega_{p} = 28$ Hz).

The program currently models a second order open-loop system providing an output velocity dependent upon an input voltage. Two considerations were deliberately neglected in order to simplify the initial model construction, mechanical backlash between the leadscrew and ball screw and torsional deflection in the cuput shaft. Inclusion of both within the NOSORT section of the CSMP code would bring the model in closer alignment with the physical system. In addition, incorporation of angular position control in the form of position feedback added as a macro to the original code would increase the program utility. Determination of the extent to which these and other refinements are necessary must await the availability of test data.

-14-

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Electro-Craft Corporation, <u>DC Motors, Speed Controls, Servo-Systems, an</u> Engineering Handbook, Electro-Craft Corporation, 1980.
- Wright, R. J., "Simulation and Synthesis of Electro-Mechanical Actuators," M.S. Thesis, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, September, 1984.
- 3. Thomas, S. M., "CSMP Modeling of Brushless DC Motors," M.S. Thesis, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, September, 1984.
- Askinas, A., "Pulse Width Modulated Speed Control of Brushless DC Motors," M.S. Thesis, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, September, 1984.
- 5. Speckhart, F. H. and Green, W. L., <u>A Guide to Using CSMP-The Continuous</u> System Modeling Program, Prentice-Hall, NJ, 1976.
- 6. Kuo, B. C., Automatic Control Systems, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1982.
- 7. Shigley, J. E. and Mitchell, L. D., <u>Mechanical Engineering Design</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1983.

APPENDIX A

CRANK/BALL SCREW TRANSFER

í

The physical arrangement of the leadscrew, ball screw, crank and output shaft is illustrated in Fig. 3. The output load which appears as a torque M_h on the output shaft, is transmitted as a force acting with the moment arm created by the crank. When the crank is orthogonal to the leadscrew, the force acting on the ball screw as a result of the output load is parallel to the axis of the leadscrew and is transmitted to the leadscrew as shown in the sketch below. However, in order to affect output shaft rotation, the crank is

generally not orthogonal to the leadscrew and the force vector acting on the ball screw is no longer parallel to the axis of the leadscrew. The force vector acting on the ball screw is represented as an axial force F_s and a transverse force F_t in the sketch. The axial force may be written as

1

.

 $F_s = M_h / (Rcos O_o)$

where R is the length of the crank measured from the axis of the output shaft to the axis of the leadscrew and 0_0 is the output shaft orientation measured such that 0_0 is zero when the two axes are perpendicular. The result effectively shortens the moment arm generated by the crank and increases the axial force acting on the ballscrew for a given output load.

GEAR RATIO

The gear ratio N is defined as the ratio of the angle 0_m turned by the motor shaft to the angle 0_0 turned by the output shaft. In differential form,

 $N = d\theta_m / d\theta_0$

and, in terms of ball screw displacement X,

 $N = (d\Theta_m / dX) (dX / d\Theta_0)$

The first term in this expression is the reciprocal of the pitch of the ball screw and the second term is related to the geometry of the drive train following the ball screw.

The geometry illustrated in Fig. 3 leads to the relationship

 $X^2 = A^2 + R^2 - 2AR \cos \alpha \tag{A1}$

where α is the orientation of the crank arm measured relative to a fixed reference frame. Taking the derivative of this expression with respect to α

 $dX / d\alpha = AR \sin \alpha / X$

and after elimination of $\sin \alpha$ by means of Eq. (A1)

$$dX/d\alpha = [(2AR)^2 - (A^2 + R^2 - X^2)^2]^{1/2} / 2X$$
(A2)

This expression has a maximum at $X = X_{ref} = (A^2 - R^2)^{1/2}$ which corresponds to the configuration when the output crank and motor shaft are perpendicular, that is, when $O_O = 0$. For the design presently under consideration, A = 6.875 in. and R = 1.887 in. so that $X_{ref} = 6.6110$ in. The corresponding value of α is $\alpha_{ref} = \tan^{-1} (X_{ref}/R) = 74.07$ degrees. For any given design this is a fixed value such that $\alpha = \alpha_{ref} + O_O$ and $dX/d\alpha = dX/dO_O$. The gear ratio is $N = (dX/dO_O)/P$ so that with Eq. (A2):

 $N = \left[(2AR)^2 - (A^2 + R^2 - X^2)^2 \right]^{1/2} / 2XP$

The maximum value of N occurs at $X = X_{ref}$ and is given by $N_{max} = R/P$, (74.1 for the present design).

Figure Al shows the variation of the gear ratio around the maximum value in terms of ball screw position X and Fig. A2 illustrates the effect of the nonlinearity for the present design over a range of fin deflections. Operation of the actuator within 90 percent of the maximum gear ratio would allow a fin deflection of about 25 degrees relative to 0_0 .

C

(Â

Figure A2. Fin Angle vs. Ball Sleeve Travel.

FLOW CHART

1

.

Ō

CSMP PROGRAM

APPENDIX B

B1

· .

· . .

r

INITIAL CONSTANT INITIAL CONSTANT EM = 0.00015, JM = 0.001, P = 0.025, M = 1.0, ... A = 6.875, R = 1.987, KI = 15.9, ... KB = 0.112, LN = 6.6110PARAMETER TF = 0.0, JC = 0.30, JS2 = 0.0625, ... MH1 = 0.0, LJ = 0.0016ES = 2.74, ITN = 0.0, ERROR = 0.001ES = 2.74, IIN = 0.0, ERROR = 0.001 *A DIMENSION OF ACTUATOR AFRANGEMENT IN *AM ANGULAR ACCELERATION OF THE MOTOR SHAFT FAD/SEC⊄2 *AO ANGULAR ACCELERATION OF THE OUTPUT FIN RAD/SEC⊄2 *A1 LS/RS -- THE INVERSE ELECTRICAL TIME CONSTANT *A2 JM/BM -- THE INVERSE MECHANICAL TIME CONSTANT *BM COEFFICIENT OF VISCOUS FRICTION OZ-IN/FAD/SEC *DM ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE MOTOR SHAFT RAD *DO ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE MOTOR SHAFT RAD *FOFTN FUNCTION OF NET TORQUE OF MOTOR OZ-IN-SEC⊄2 *JS CURRENT GENERATED IN THE STATOR WINDINGS AMPERES *JC MOMENT OF INERTIA OF THE CRANK OZ-IN-SEC⊄2 *JS MOMENT OF INERTIA OF MOTOR SHAFT OZ-IN-SEC⊄2 *JS MOMENT OF INERTIA OF ONTOUT SHAFT OZ-IN-SEC⊄2 *KB BACK E1F CONSTANT VOLTS/(RAD/SEC) *KI TORQJE CONSTANT (OZ-IN)/AMPERE *L DISPLALEMENT OF THE STATOR WINDINGS H *LN LINKAG2 NULL; DISPLACEMENT OF BALL SCREW FROM MOTOR ANCHOR POINT *LS INDUCTANCE OF THE STATOR WINDINGS H *LN LINKAG2 NULL; DISPLACEMENT OF BALL SCREW FROM MOTOR ANCHOR POINT *LN LINKAG2 NULL; DISPLACEMENT OF BALL SCREW SUCH THAT * CRANK IS FERPENDICULAR TO MOTOR SHAFT IN *M MASS OF THE BALL SCREW OZ *N GEAR RATIO *P PITCH IN/RAD *R LIMENSION OF ACTUATOR ARRANGEMENT IN *E3 FERPENDICULAR TO MOTOR SHAFT IN *E3 FERPENDICULAR ARRANGEMENT IN *E5 FERPENDICULAR ARRANGEMENT IN *E5 FERPENDICULAR OF MOTOR ARRANGEMENT IN *E5 FERPENDICULAR ARRANGEMENT IN TN PITCH IN/RAD LIMENSION OF ACTUATOR ARRANGEMENT IN RESISTANCE OF 2 STATOR WINDINGS OHMS SUM OF THE FRICTION FORCES ACTING ON THE BALL SCREW OZ TORQUE GENERATED EY MOTOR OZ-IN TORQUE ACTING ON THE BALL SCREW DUE TO THE PRODUCT OF MASS AND THE ACCELERATION OF THE BALL SCREW OZ-IN BACK E MF VOLTS APPLIED VOLTAGE VOLT ANGULAR VELOCITY OF THE FIN EAD/SEC ANGULAR VELOCITY OF MOTOR SHAFT RAD/SEC LINEAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE BALL SCREW IN LINEAR VELOCITY OF THE BALL SCREW IN/SEC LINEAR ACCELERATION OF THE BALL SCREW IN/SEC ŧ₽ *R3 *TF OZ-IN *ŤM *TS1 * ***VEMF** *VIN **≠**VĈ *前回 *X *X1 *X2 NUSORT KE=KB/10. PI=2.0*ARSI (1.000000) A1 = LS / E3 A2 = JM / B1 THRST = 0.0 UFAC = 0.0 = 0.0 JFAC -----INTEGEATION METHOD-----METHOD RKSFX DYNAMIC --HOTOR PROGRAM----VIF = 30.0 * STEP(0.0)VIR = 30.0 + SIEr(0.0) VIR = 0.0 VIN = VIF + VIB VIN1 = VIR - VEMF ISSS = VIR1 + (1.0/RS)

•

1

•

)

1

•

Ż

í

```
PROCEDURE TN1=FWDBWD (VIN, TM, TL)

TN1 = IMPL (ITN, ERRGR, FOFTN)

AM = TN1 / JM

IF (VIN.LT.0.0) GO TO 10

FOFTN = T1 - TL

GO TO 15

10 FOFTN = T1 + TL

15 CONTINUE

FND PROCEDURE
 END PROCEDUR E
PROCEDURE THE ST=RESET (JFAC, DMDEG)

TS = JFAC * 360.0

THEST = DMD3G- TS

IF (THEST.LT.360.0) GO TO 40

JFAC = JFAC + 1.0

40 CONTINUE
 ENDPROCEDURE
 *-- DEBUG FROC 3DURE--
 *OSCRT
* CALL DEBUG (-5,0)
TEEMINAL

TITLE EASIC EM ACTUATOR SYSTEM

TIMER FINTIA = .040

OUTPUT VODE;,DODEG

* PRINT VODEG,DODEG

LABEL MOTOR SPEED LUE TO STEP INFUT (30V)

PAGE MERGE
    PAGE XYPLOT
                END
               STOP
ENDJOB
```

٠.

-

•

• •

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

ſ

- Commander, Naval Weapons Center Attn: R. F. Dettling, Code 3205 China Lake, CA 93055
 Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943
- 3. Office of Research Administration Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943
- Professor R. H. Nunn, Code 69Nn Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943

No. copies

5

4

1

10

Ł

END

FILMED

2-85

DTIC

.