



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



IN DEPOSITION OF A DEPOSITIONO OF A DEPOSITIONO OF A DEPOSITION OF A DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSITICA DEPOSIT



## W.E. JOHNSON'S "SUFFICIENTNESS" POSTULATE

BY

SANDY L. ZABELL

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 350 NOVEMBER 22, 1984

Prepared Under Contract N00014-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267) For the Office of Naval Research

Herbert Solomon, Project Director

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

ويرهونه وأدواه والعن والمراجع المراجع المواجع ومواهي مواجعي



In 1932 a posthumously published article by the Cambridge philosopher W.E. Johnson showed how symmetric Dirichlet priors for infinitely exchangeable multinomial sequences could be characterized by a simple property termed "Johnson's sufficiency postulate" by I.J. Good (1965).<sup>2</sup> Johnson could prove such a result, prior to the appearance of de Finetti's work on exchangeability and the representation theorem, for Johnson had himself already invented the concept of exchangeability, dubbed by him the "permutation postulate" (see Johnson (1924, p. 183)). Johnson's contributions were largely overlooked by philosophers and statisticians alike until the publication of Good's 1965 monograph, which discussed and made serious use of Johnson's result.

Due perhaps in part to the posthumous nature of its publication, Johnson's proof was only sketched and contains several gaps and ambiguities; the major purpose of this paper is to present a complete version of Johnson's proof. This seems of interest both because of the result's intrinsic importance for Bayesian statistics and because the proof itself is a simple and elegant argument which requires little technical apparatus. Furthermore, it can be easily generalized to characterize both asymmetric Dirichlet priors and finitely exchangeable sequences with posterior expectation of success linear in the frequency count, and the proof below is given in this generality.

After sketching the background to Johnson's result in Section 1, the generalization of his proof mentioned above is given in Section 2. Section 3 discusses a number of complements to the result and some open problems it raises, and Section 4 concludes with a historical note on Johnson and the reception of his work in the philosophical literature.

Professor Zabell is at Northwestern University.

1. <u>The Bayesian Background</u>. Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be an infinite exchangeable sequence of 0's and 1's (to be thought of as indicators of some event E), and let  $S_N = X_1 + \ldots + X_N$ . Then, as first shown by de Finetti, it follows from exchangeability that the limiting frequency

$$(1.1) \qquad \qquad Z =: \lim_{N \to \infty} S_N/N$$

exists almost surely, and that

(1.2) 
$$P\{S_N = k\} = {\binom{N}{k}} \int_0^1 p^k (1-p)^{N-k} dF(p)$$

for every  $N \ge 1$  and  $0 \le k \le N$ , where  $F(p) = P\{2 \le p\}$  is the cumulative distribution function of Z. If the parameter p is thought of as a propensity or "objective probability," then dF may be regarded as the degree of belief about or "subjective probability" of the true value of p.

Traditionally, the "flat" prior dF(p) = dp was taken to express "complete ignorance" about p, or the likelihood of the event E (for which the  $X_1$  serve as indicators). Bayes's own justification for this was to take  $P\{S_N = k\} = (N+1)^{-1}$  as quantifying complete ignorance about E, observe that (1.2) gave precisely this result (for all k and N) when dF(p) = dp, and then conclude that dF(p) is dp.<sup>3</sup> Laplace justified the choice somewhat more directly by invoking the so-called principle of insufficient reason.

This principle came under strong criticism during the latter part of the 19th century, 4 and some advocates of its use (Edgeworth (1884, p. 230), Pearson (1907)) adopted the position that taking dF(p) = dp was often approximately justifiable on the basis of experience and background information; a position which suggests that other priors might equally well express and quantify states of knowledge previous to the receipt of sampling data. It was against this background that the actuary G.F. Hardy (1889) and the mathematician W.A. Whitworth (1897, pp. 224-225) both proposed the class of beta priors

$$B(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1} , \quad \alpha,\beta > 0 ,$$

as suitable for quantification of prior knowledge.

In 1778 Laplace proposed the obvious multinomial generalization of the Bayes-Laplace prior (Laplace (1781, \$33); cf. De Morgan (1845, \$48-49), Bachelier (1912, p. 503), Lidstone (1920)): if  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N$  are the outcomes of a t-category multinomial with unknown sampling vector  $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_t)$ , and frequency counts  $\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{n}_t)$ , then

(1.3) 
$$P\{n_1, ..., n_t\} = \frac{N!}{\Pi n_1!} \int_{\Sigma p_1^{i=1} i=1}^{t} \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} dF(p)$$

with  $dF(p) = dp_1 dp_2 \cdots dp_{t-1}$ , which implies that

(1.4) 
$$P\{X_{N+1} \in i-th \ category | n\} = \frac{n+1}{N+t}.$$

In 1924 W.E. Johnson gave a justification for (1.4) parallel to Bayes's: if all ordered t-partitions  $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_t$  of N are assumed to be <u>a priori</u> equally likely, then (1.4) must hold; it follows (as observed by Good (1965, p. 25)) that the moments of dF, and hence dF itself, are uniquely determined.

It was against this background that Johnson, not entirely satisfied with his equiprobability (or "combination") postulate, proposed another, more general one (his "sufficientness" postulate), which had the consequence of forcing dF to be a member of the Dirichlet family

(1.5) 
$$Dir(k_1,...,k_t) = p_1^{k_1-1} \cdots p_t^{k_t-1} dp_1 \cdots dp_{t-1}$$

 $(k_{i} > 0, all i).$ 

2. <u>Finite Exchangeable Sequences</u>. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{N+1}$  be a sequence of random variables, each taking values in the set  $t = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ ,  $N \ge 1$  and  $t \le \infty$ , such that

(2.1) 
$$P\{x_1=i_1,\ldots,x_{N+1}=i_{N+1}\}>0, all(i_1,\ldots,i_{n+1}) \in \underline{t}^{N+1}.$$

Let  $\underline{n} = \underline{n}(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  denote the t-vector of frequency counts, i.e.  $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ , where  $\underline{n}_i = \underline{n}_i(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \#\{X_j = i\}$ . Johnson's sufficientness postulate assumes that

(2.2) 
$$P\{X_{N+1} = i | X_1, ..., X_N\} = f_i(n_i)$$

ļ

that is, the conditional probability of an outcome in the i-th cell given  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  only depends on  $n_i$ , the number of outcomes in that cell previously. (Note that (2.2) is well-defined because of (2.1).) If  $X_1, \ldots, X_{N+1}$  is exchangeable,  $f_i(n_i) = P\{X_{N+1}=i|n_i\} = P\{X_{N+1}=i|n_i\}$ .

**LEPHA 2.1:** If  $t \ge 2$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold, then there exist constants  $a_{i} \ge 0$  and b such that for all i,

(2.3) 
$$f_i(n_i) = a_i + bn_i$$
.

**Proof:** First assume  $N \ge 2$ . Let

$$\underline{\mathbf{n}}_{1} = (\underline{\mathbf{n}}_{1}, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{i}, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{j}, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{k}, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{t})$$

be a fixed ordered partition of N, with i,j,k three fixed distinct indices such that  $0 < n_i, n_i$  and  $n_i, n_k < N$ , and let

$$n_{2} = (n_{1}, \dots, n_{i}+1, \dots, n_{j}-1, \dots, n_{k}, \dots, n_{t})$$
  

$$n_{3} = (n_{1}, \dots, n_{i}, \dots, n_{j}-1, \dots, n_{k}+1, \dots, n_{t})$$
  

$$n_{4} = (n_{1}, \dots, n_{i}-1, \dots, n_{j}, \dots, n_{k}+1, \dots, n_{t})$$

Note that for any u,

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{\substack{n_{\ell} \in n \\ n_{\ell} \in n}} f_{\ell}(n_{\ell}) = 1$$
,

hence taking  $\underline{n} = \underline{n}_{1}, \underline{n}_{2}, \underline{n}_{3}, \underline{n}_{4}$ , we obtain

(2.5) 
$$f_{i}(n_{i}+1) - f_{i}(n_{i}) = f_{j}(n_{j}) - f_{j}(n_{j}-1)$$
$$= f_{k}(n_{k}+1) - f_{k}(n_{k})$$
$$= f_{i}(n_{i}) - f_{i}(n_{i}-1) .$$

Thus

$$f_{i}(n_{i}) = a_{i} + bn_{i}$$
,

where  $a_i =: f_i(0) > 0$ , (because of (2.1)), and  $b =: \Delta f_i(n_i)$  is independent of i (because of (2.5)).

If N=1, let  $c_i = f_i(1)$ ; it then follows from (2.4) that for any  $j \neq i$ ,  $a_i + c_j = a_j + c_i$ , hence  $c_i - a_i = c_j - a_j = b$ . Let  $A = \sum_i a_i$ . It follows from (2.3), (2.4) that

(2.6) 
$$A + bN = 1$$
,

hence  $A < \infty$  and

(2.7) 
$$b = (1-A)/N$$
.

Suppose  $b \neq 0$ . Then letting  $k_i = a_i/b$  and  $K = \sum k_i$ , we see from (2.6) that

$$b^{-1} = N + A/b = N + K ,$$

hence

$$f_{i}(n_{i}) = a_{i} + bn_{i} = \frac{k_{i} + n_{i}}{b^{-1}} = \frac{n_{i} + k_{i}}{N + K}$$

<u>EXAMPLE 2.1</u> (Sampling without replacement.) Let  $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{N+1} = x_{N+1}$ denote a random sample drawn from a finite population with  $m_i \ge 1$ members in each category i. Let  $M = m_1 + \dots + m_t$  and let  $N \le m_i$ , all i. Then

(2.8) 
$$P\{X_{N+1} \in \text{category } i \mid n\} = \frac{m_1 - n_1}{M - N} = (\frac{m_1}{M - N}) + (\frac{1}{N - M})n_1.$$

Thus  $a_i = m_i/(M-N)$  and  $b = (N-M)^{-1} < 0$ . Note that  $k_i = -m_i$ ; thus  $k_i$  (and hence K) is independent of N, although  $a_i$ , A, and b are not. The next lemma states that this is always the case if, as here, the  $X_i$  are exchangeable and  $b \neq 0$ .

Let  $a_{i}^{(N)}$ ,  $b_{i}^{(N)}$ ,  $k_{i}^{(N)}$ , and  $f_{i}(n_{i}, N)$  denote the dependence of  $a_{i}$ , b,  $k_{i}$ , and  $f_{i}(n_{i})$  on N. Thus, if (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied for a fixed  $N \geq 1$ , then there exist  $a_{i}^{(N)}$  and  $b^{(N)}$  such that for all i,  $f_{i}(n_{i}, N) = a_{i}^{(N)} + b^{(N)}n_{i}$ . Note that  $b^{(N)} = 0$  if and only if  $\{X_{1}, \dots, X_{N}\}$  and  $X_{N+1}$  are independent.

LEMMA 2.2: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{N+1}, X_{N+2}$  be an exchangeable sequence of t-valued random variables,  $N \ge 1$  and  $t \ge 2$ , satisfying (2.1) and (2.3) for both N+1 and N+2.

- (i) If  $b^{(N)} \cdot b^{(N+1)} = 0$ , then  $b^{(N)} = b^{(N+1)} = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $b^{(N)} \cdot b^{(N+1)} \neq 0$ , then  $b^{(N)} \cdot b^{(N+1)} > 0$

and  $k_{i}^{(N)} = k_{i}^{(N+1)}$ , all i.

<u>Proof</u>: (i) Choose and fix two distinct indices  $i \neq j$ . Let  $a_i = a_i^{(N)}$ ,  $a_i^{\prime} = a_i^{(N+1)}$ ,  $b = b^{(N)}$ ,  $b^{\prime} = b^{(N+1)}$ , etc. Suppose b = 0. It follows from exchangeability that for any partition n of N,

(2.9) 
$$P\{X_{N+1} = i, X_{N+2} = j | n\} = P\{X_{N+1} = j, X_{N+2} = i | n\}$$

hence

$$(2.10) (a_{i})(a_{j}'+b'n_{j}) = (a_{j})(a_{i}'+b'n_{j}) .$$

First taking n in (2.10) with  $n_i = 0$ ,  $n_j = N$ , then with  $n_i = N$ ,  $n_j = 0$  and subtracting, we obtain  $a_i b'N = -a_j b'N$ , hence b' = 0 (since  $a_i$ ,  $a_j > 0$ ). Similarly, if b' = 0 then b = 0.

(ii) Suppose  $b \cdot b' \neq 0$ . Then it follows from (2.9) that for any partition n of N,

(2.11) 
$$(\frac{n_{i}+k_{i}}{N+K})(\frac{n_{i}+k_{i}}{N+1+K}) = (\frac{n_{i}+k_{i}}{N+K})(\frac{n_{i}+k_{i}}{N+1+K}),$$

hence

(2.12) 
$$k_{i}n_{j} + k_{j}n_{i} + k_{i}k_{j}' = k_{i}n_{j} + k_{j}n_{i} + k_{i}k_{j}$$

Letting  $n_i = 0$ ,  $n_j = N$  in (2.12), then  $n_i = N$ ,  $n_j = 0$  and subtracting, we obtain  $k_i + k_j = k_i' + k_j'$ ; since i and j were arbitrary, this implies K = K' and, if t > 2,  $k_i = k_i'$  for all i. Since  $a_i$ ,  $a_i' > 0$ , clearly b and b' must have the same sign.

Suppose t = 2 (so that i = 1, j = 2, say, and  $K = k_1 + k_2$ ). Taking  $n_i = 0$ ,  $n_j = N$  in (2.12), we obtain  $k_1(N+k_2') = k_1'(N+k_2)$ , hence

$$k_1(N+K-k_2') = k_1'(N+R-k_2)$$

from which it follows (since N+K =  $b^{-1} \neq 0$ ) that  $k_1 = k_1'$ , hence  $k_2 = k_2'$ .

Together, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 immediately imply

THEOREM 2.1: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{N_0}$   $(N_0 \ge 1)$  be an exchangeable sequence of t-valued random variables such that for every  $N < N_0$ , (i) (2.1) holds, (ii) (2.2) holds if t > 2 or (2.3) holds if t = 2. If the  $\{X_j\}$  are not independent ( $\Leftrightarrow b^{(1)} \ne 0$ ), then there exist constants  $k_i \ne 0$ , either all positive or all negative, such that  $N + \Sigma k_i \ne 0$  and

(2.13) 
$$P\{X_{N+1}=i \mid n\} = \frac{n_i + k_i}{N + Ek_i}$$

for every  $N < N_0$ , partition n of N, and i  $\varepsilon$  t.

<u>COROLLARY 2.1</u>: If  $X_1, X_2, X_3, ...$  is an infinitely exchangeable sequence which for every  $N \ge 1$ , satisfies both (i) (2.1), and (ii) either (2.2), if t > 2 or (2.3), if t = 2, then  $b^{(1)} \ge 0$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose  $b^{(1)} < 0$ . But then N+K =  $1/b^{(N)} < 0$  for all N, which is clearly impossible.

COROLLARY 2.2: For all  $N \leq N_0$ , under the conditions of Theorem 2.1,

(2.14) 
$$P\{X_{1} = i_{1}, X_{2} = i_{2}, \dots, X_{N} = i_{N}\} = \frac{i = 1 \quad j = 0}{\prod_{N=1}^{N-1} (j+k_{1})}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(K)}{\Gamma(N+K)} \quad \frac{t}{\prod_{N=1}^{N-1} (j+k_{1})}{\prod_{N=1}^{N-1} (j+k_{1})}$$

<u>Proof</u>. It follows from the product rule for conditional probabilities that it suffices to prove  $P\{X_1 = i\} = k_i/K$  for all ist. But

(2.15) 
$$P{X_1 = i, X_2 = j} = (a_j^{(1)} + b^{(1)}\delta_j(i))P{X_1 = i}$$

where  $\delta_{j}(i)$  is the indicator function of  $\{i=j\}$ . Summing over i in (2.15) gives  $P\{X_{2}=j\} = a_{j}^{(1)} + b^{(1)}P\{X_{1}=j\}$ , hence by exchangeability  $P\{X_{1}=j\} = a_{j}^{(1)}/(1-b^{(1)}) = k_{i}/K$ , since  $a_{j}^{(1)} = k_{i}b^{(1)}$ ,  $1-b^{(1)} = A^{(1)}$  (cf. (2.6)), and  $K = A^{(1)}/b^{(1)}$ .

It follows from Corollary 2.2 that  $\{k_i: i \in t\}$  uniquely determines  $P = \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{N_0})$ . Conversely, for every summable sequence of constants  $\{k_i\}$ , all of the same sign, there exists a maximal sequence of t-valued random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{N_0}$  ( $N_0 \leq \infty$ ) such that (2.1) and (2.13) hold. The length of this sequence is determined by N\*, the largest value of N such that

$$P_{1,N} =: \frac{n_1 + k_1}{N + K}$$

determines a positive probability measure on t, i.e.,  $N_0 = N^{+1}$ , where

(i) if  $k_i > 0$ , all i, and  $\sum k_i < \infty$ , then  $N^* = \infty$ , or

(ii) if  $k_i < 0$ , all i, and  $\Sigma |k_i| < \infty$ , then

 $N^* = \max\{N \ge 0: N+k_i < 0, all i\}$ .

Thus, if K < 0,  $N^* = [\min\{k_i \mid i \in t\}]$ , where [•] denotes the integer part of a number. Hence, if  $N_0 > 1$ , then  $t < \infty$  (since  $\Sigma|k_i| < \infty$  implies  $k_i \neq 0$ ).

3. Complements and Extensions.

3.1. The Symmetric Dirichlet. Johnson considered the special case where

(i) f<sub>i</sub> is independent of i, i.e., for each N, there exists a <u>single</u> function f such that

(3.1) 
$$P\{X_{N+1} = i | n\} = f(n_i, N)$$
 for all i;

(ii) b is positive.

Under these conditions  $t < \infty$ ,  $a_i \equiv a$ ,  $k_i \equiv k > 0$ ,  $P\{X_i = i\} = \frac{1}{t}$ ,

(3.2) 
$$P\{X_{N+1} = i | n\} = \frac{n_1 + k}{N + kt}$$
,

and  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  can be extended to an infinitely exchangeable sequence, whose mixing measure dF in the de Finetti representation is the symmetric Dirichlet distribution with parameter k.<sup>6</sup>

3.2. <u>Alternate approaches</u>. Let  $\Delta_t$  be the probability simplex  $\{p_i \ge 0, i=1,...,t: \Sigma p_i=1\}$ . Doksum (1974, Corollary 2.1) states in the present setting that a probability measure dF on  $\Delta_t$  has a posterior distribution  $dF(p_i | X_1,...,X_N)$ , which depends on the sample only through the values of  $n_i$  and N, if and only if dF is Dirichlet or

(i) dF is degenerate at a point (i.e., X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,... is independent);
(ii) dF concentrates on a random point (i.e., dF is supported on the extreme points {δ<sub>1</sub>(j): i=1,...,t} of Δ<sub>t</sub>, so that (2.1) would not hold);

(iii) dF concentrates on two nonrandom points (i.e., t = 2 or can be taken to be so).

This is a slightly weaker result than Johnson's, which only makes the corresponding assumption about the posterior <u>expectations</u> of the  $P_1$ .

Diaconis and Ylvisaker (1979, pp. 279-280) prove (using Ericson's theorem (1969, p. 323)) that the beta family is the unique one allowing linear posterior expectation of success in exchangeable binomial sampling, i.e., t = 2 and  $\{X_n\}$  infinitely exchangeable, and remark that their method may be extended to similarly characterize the Dirichlet priors in multinomial sampling. Ericson's results can even be applied in the finitely exchangeable case and permit the derivation of alternate expressions for the coefficients  $a_i$  and b of (2.3)

3.3. <u>When is Johnson's postulate inadequate</u>? In practical applications Johnson's sufficientness postulate, like exchangeability, may or may not be an adequate description of our state of knowledge. Johnson himself did not view his postulate as universely applicable:

> the postulate adopted in a controversial kind of theorem cannot be generalized to cover all sorts of working problems; so it is the logician's business, having once formulated a specific postulate, to indicate very carefully the factual and epistemic conditions under which it has practical value. [Johnson (1932, pp. 418-419)].

Jeffreys (1939, §3.23) briefly discusses when such conditions may hold. Good (1953, p. 241; 1965, pp. 26-27) remarks that the use of Johnson's postulate fails to take advantage of information contained in the "frequencies of frequencies" (often useful in sampling of species problems), and elsewhere (Good, 1967) advocates mixtures of symmetric Dirichlets as frequently providing more satisfactory initial distributions in practice.

3.4. <u>Partition exchangeability</u>. If the cylinder sets  $\{X_i = i_1, ..., X_N = i_N\}$  are identified with the functions  $g:\{1, ..., N\} + \{1, ..., t\}$ , then the exchangeable probability measures P are precisely those P such that

$$P\{g + \pi\} = P\{g\}$$

for all g and all permutations  $\pi$  of  $N = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ . Equivalently, the exchangeable P's are those such that the frequencies n are sufficient statistics with  $P\{\cdot | n\}$  uniform.

The rationale for exchangeability is the assumption that the index set  $\underbrace{N}_{\sim}$  conveys no information other than serving to distinguish one element of a sample from another. In the situation envisaged by Johnson, Carnap (see Section 4 below), and others, a similar state of knowledge obtains <u>vis-a-vis</u> the index set t (think of the categories as colors). Then it would be reasonable to require of P that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\pi_2 \circ g \circ \pi_1\} = \mathbb{P}\{g\}$$

for all functions g: N + t, and permutations  $\pi_1$  of N,  $\pi_2$  of t. Call such P's <u>partition-exchangeable</u>. The motivation for the name is the following. Let  $a(n) = \{a_r: 0 \le r \le N\}$  denote the frequencies of the frequencies n, i.e.,  $a_r = \#\{n_i = r\}$ . Then P is partition-exchangeable if and only if the  $a_r$  are sufficient with  $P\{\cdot | a(n)\}$  uniform, i.e.  $P\{g_1\} = P\{g_2\}$  whenever  $a(n(g_1)) = a(n(g_2))$ . The set of partitionexchangeable probabilities is a convex set containing the symmetric Dirichlets. From this perspective the frequencies of frequencies emerge as maximally informative statistics and the mixtures of symmetric Dirichlets as partition-exchangeable.

It would be of interest to have extensions of Johnson's results to "representative functions" of the functional form  $f = f(n_1, a(n))$ ; for partial results in this direction  $(f = f(n_1, a_0))$ , see Hintikka and Niiniluoto (1976), Kuipers (1978). It would also be of interest to have Johnson type results for Markov exchangeable and other classes of partially exchangeable sequences of random variables; cf. Disconis and Freedman (1980) for the definition and further references; Niiniluoto (1980) for an initial attempt.

Historical Note. Johnson's results appear to have attracted little 4. interest during his lifetime. C.D. Broad, in his review of Johnson's Logic (vol. 3, 1924), while favorable in his overall assessment of the book, was highly critical of the appendix on "eduction" (in which Johnson introduced the concept of exchangeability and characterized the multinomial generalization of the Bayes-Laplace prior!): "About the Appendix all I can do is, with the utmost respect to Mr. Johnson, to parody Mr. Hobbes's remark about the treatises of Milton and Salmasiius: 'Very good mathematics; I have rarely seen better. And very bad probability; I have rarely seen worse.'" [Broad (1924; p. 379); see generally pp. 377-379.] Other than this, two of the few references to Johnson's work on the multinomial, prior to Good (1965), are passing comments in Harold Jeffreys's Theory of Probability (1939, §3.23), and Good (1953, pp. 238-241). This general neglect is all the more surprising, inasmuch as Johnson could count among his students Keynes, Ramsey, and Dorothy Wrinch (one of Jeffreys's collaborators).7

It is ironical that in the decades after Johnson's death, Rudolph Carnap and his students would, unknowingly, reproduce much of Johnson's work. In 1945 Carnap introduced the function  $c^* [= P\{X_{N+1} = i | n\}]$ and proved that it had to have the form (1.4) under the assumption that all "structure-descriptions" [= partitions n] were a priori equally likely.<sup>8</sup> And just as Johnson grew uneasy with his combination postulate, so too Carnap would later introduce the family of functions  $\{c_{\lambda}: 0 \le \lambda \le \infty\}$  $[=(n_i+k)/N+kt, \lambda \text{ corresponding to our k}]$ , the so-called "continuum of inductive methods" (Carnap (1952)). But while Johnson proved that (3.2) followed from the sufficientness postulate (3.1), Carnap initially assumed both, although his collaborator John G. Kemeny was soon after able to show their equivalence for t > 2. Subsequently Carnap generalized these results, first proving (3.2) follows from the linearity assumption (2.3) when t=2 (Carnap and Stegmüller (1959), and later, in his last and posthumously published work on the subject, dropping the equiprobability assumption (3.1) in favor of (2.2) (Carnap (1980, §19); cf. Kuipers (1978)).<sup>9</sup>

For details of Johnson's life, see Broad (1931), Braithwaite (1949); for assessments of his philosophical work, Passmore (1968, pp. 135-136, 343-346), Smokler (1967), Prior (1967, p. 551). In addition to his work in philosophy, Johnson wrote several papers on economics one of which, on utility theory, is of considerable importance; all are reprinted, with brief commentary, in Baumol and Goldfeld (1968).

Acknowledgment: I am grateful to Persi Diaconis and Stephen Stigler for a number of helpful comments and references.

## FOOTNOTES

1. This research was supported by Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267).

2. Good (1967) later shifted to the term "sufficientness" to avoid confusion with the usual statistical meaning of sufficiency.

 The argument can be made rigorous by noting that dF is uniquely determined by its moments; see, e.g., Murray (1930); Edwards (1974,1978).
 Stigler (1981) traces how Bayes's argument was systematically distorted by later statisticians to fit their own foundational preconceptions.
 Host notably by Boole, Venn, and Chrystal. Unfortunately, Fisher's

account (1956, Chapter 2) of their reservations is seriously flawed; see Zabell (1982).

5. This is the major gap in Johnson's proof. If  $\{X_1, X_2, ...\}$  is infinitely exchangeable, but not independent, the assumption that b is positive is superfluous (see Corollary 2.1 above).

6. Good (1965, p. 25) suggests that Johnson was "unaware of the connection between the use of a flattening constant k and the symmetrical Dirichlet distribution." However, Johnson was at least aware of the connection when k = 1, for he wrote of his derivation of (1.4) via the combination postulate,

> ...I substitute for the mathematician's use of Gamma functions and the a-multiple integrals, a comparatively simple piece of algebra, and thus deduce a formula similar to the mathematician's, except that instead of for two, my theorem holds for a alternatives, primarily postulated as equiprobable. [Johnson (1932, p. 418); Johnson's a corresponds to our t.]

 For Keynes's particular indebtedness to Johnson, see the former's <u>Treatise on Probability</u> (1921), pp. 11 (fn. 1), 68-70, 116, 124 (fn. 2), 150-144; cf. Broad (1922), pp. 72, 78-79, Passmore (1968), pp. 345-346.)
 Carnap (1945); cf. Carnap (1950), Appendix.

~

9. For the historical evolution of this aspect of Carnap's work, see Schilpp (1963), pp. 74-75, 979-980; Carnap and Jeffrey (1971), pp. 1-4, 223; Jeffrey (1980), pp. 1-5, 103-104.

## REFERENCES

Bachelier, L. (1912). <u>Calcul des probabilités</u>, Volume I. Gauthier-Villars, Paris. Baumol, W. J. and Goldfeld, S. M. (1968). Precursors in Mathematical

Economics: An Anthology. Series of Reprints of Scarce Works on Political Economy <u>19</u>. London School of Economics and Political Science, London.

Braithwaite, R. B. (1949). Johnson, William Ernest. In <u>Dictionary of</u> <u>National Biography 1931-1940</u>, Oxford University Press, 489-490.
Broad, C. D. (1922). Critical notice of J.M. Keynes, <u>A Treatise on</u>

Probability. Mind, 31, 72-85.

Broad, C. D. (1924). Mr. Johnson on the logical foundations of science. <u>Mind</u>, <u>33</u>, 242-269, 367-384.

Broad, C. D. (1931). William Ernest Johnson. <u>Proceedings of the British</u> Academy, <u>17</u>, 491-514.

Carnap, R. (1945). On inductive logic. <u>Philosophy of Science</u>, <u>12</u>, 72-97.
Carnap, R. (1950). <u>Logical Foundations of Probability</u>. The University
of Chicago Press. Second edition 1962 (original text reprinted with

minor corrections and supplementary bibliography added).

- Carnap, R. (1952). <u>The Continuum of Inductive Methods</u>. The University of Chicago Press.
- Carnap, R. (1980). A basic system of inductive logic, part II. In Jeffrey (1980), 7-155.

Carnap, R. and Jeffrey, R. C. (1971). <u>Studies in Inductive Logic and</u> <u>Probability</u>, volume I. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Carnap, R. and Stegmüller, W. (1959). <u>Induktive Logik und Wahrscheinlichkeit</u>. Springer-Verlag, Vienna.

- De Morgan, A. (1845). Theory of probabilities. <u>Encyclopedia Metropolitana</u>, Volume 2, London, 393-490.
- Diaconis, P. and Freedman, D. (1980). De Finetti's generalizations of exchangeability. In Jeffrey (1980), 233-249.
- Diaconis, P. and Ylvisaker, D. (1979). Conjugate priors for exponential families. <u>Ann. Statist.</u>, 7, 269-281.
- Doksum, Kjell (1974). Tailfree and neutral random probabilities and their posterior distributions. <u>Ann. Probab.</u>, 2, 183-201.

Edgeworth, F.Y. (1884). Philosophy of chance. Mind, 9, 222-235.

- Edwards, A.W.F. (1974). A problem in the doctrine of chances. <u>Proceedings</u> of <u>Conference on Foundational Questions in Statistical Inference</u> (Aarhus, 1973), 43-60. <u>Memoirs No. 1</u>, <u>Dept. Theoret. Statist.</u>, <u>Inst. Math.</u>, Univ. Aarhus, Aarhus.
- Edwards, A.W.F. (1978). Commentary on the arguments of Thomas Bayes. Scand. J. Statistics, 5, 116-118.
- Ericson, W. A. (1969). Subjective Bayesian models in sampling finite populations. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B, 31, 195-224.
- Fisher, R. A. (1956). <u>Statistical Methods and Scientific Inference</u>. Hafner, New York. Third edition, 1973.
- Good, I. J. (1953). The population frequencies of species and the estimation of population parameters. <u>Biometrika</u>, <u>40</u>, 247-264.

Good, I. J. (1965). <u>The Estimation of Probabilities: An Essay on Modern</u> Bayesian Methods. Research Monograph No. 30, M.I.T. Press.

Good, I. J. (1967). A Bayesian significance test for multinomial distributions. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B 29, 399-431 (with discussion).
Hardy, G. F. (1889). Letter. <u>Insurance Record</u> (1889), p. 457. [Reprinted

in <u>Trans. Fac. Actuaries</u>, <u>8</u> (1920), 180-181.]

Hintikka, J. and Niiniluoto, I. (1976). An axiomatic foundation for the logic of inductive generalization. <u>In Formal Methods in the Methodology</u> <u>of Empirical Sciences</u> (M. Przelecki, K. Sxaniawski, and R. Wojcicki, eds.), D. Reidel, Dordrecht. [Reprinted in Jeffrey (1980), pp. 157-181.] Jeffrey, R.C., ed. (1980). Studies in Inductive Logic and Probability,

Volume II. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Jeffreys, H. (1939). <u>Theory of Probability</u>. Clarendon Press, Oxford. Third edition, 1961.

Johnson, W. E. (1924). Logic, Part III. The Logical Foundations of

<u>Science</u>. Cambridge University Press. [Reprinted 1964, Dover, New York.] Johnson, W. E. (1932). Probability: the deductive and inductive problems.

Mind, <u>49</u>, 409-423. [Appendix on pp. 421-423 edited by R. B. Braithwaite.] Keynes, J. M. (1921). <u>A Treatise on Probability</u>. Macmillan, London.

- Kuipers, T. (1978). <u>Studies in Inductive Probability and Rational Expectation</u>. Synthese Library 123. D. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Laplace, P. S. (1781). Mémoire sur les probabilités. <u>Mem. Acad. Sci. Paris</u> 1778, 227-332; <u>Oeuvres Complètes</u> 9, 383-485.
- Lidstone, G. J. (1920). Note on the general case of the Bayes-Laplace formula for inductive or <u>a posteriori</u> probabilities. <u>Trans. Fac.</u> <u>Actuar.</u>, <u>8</u>, 182-192.
- Murray, F. H. (1930). Note on a scholium of Bayes. <u>Bull. Amer. Math. Soc</u>., 36, 129-132.

Niiniluoto, I. (1981). Analogy and inductive logic. Erkenntnis, 16, 1-34.

Passmore, J. (1968). <u>A Hundred Years of Philosophy</u>, 2nd ed. Penguin, New York.

Pearson, K. (1907). On the influence of past experience on future expectation. <u>Philosophical Magazine</u>, Series 6, <u>13</u>, 365-378.

- Prior, A. N. (1967). Keynes; Johnson. In <u>The Encyclopedia of Philosophy</u> (Paul Edwards, ed.), vol. 4, <u>Macmillan and Fress Press</u>, New York, 550-551.
- Schillp, P. A., ed. (1963). <u>The Philosophy of Rudolph Carnap</u>. Open Court, La Salle, Ill.

Smokler, H. E. (1967). Johnson, William Ernest. In <u>The Encyclopedia of</u> <u>Philosophy</u> (Paul Edwards, ed.), vol. 4, Macmillan and Free Press, New York, 292-293.

Stigler, S. M. (1981). Thomas Bayes's Bayesian inference. Manuscript. Whitworth, W. A. (1897). <u>DCC Exercises in Choice and Chance</u>. [Reprinted

1965, Hafner, New York.]

₽\_

Zabell, S. (1982). R.A. Fisher on the history of inverse probability. Manuscript in preparation.

REPORT HUMBER 350 TITLE (and Addition) W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate AUTHOR(4) Sandy L. Zabell PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Connecting Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Connecting Office) UNCLASSIFIED The Statistic Research Statistics State of Probability Program Code 411SP OLISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Reparty APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	REPORT NUMBER       1. GOVT ACCESSION NO.       1. RECIPICE CONCECTATION FOR CONTREMENTS CATALOG NUMBER         350       A.DAIY9       OIY         TITLE (and Submine)       5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CO         W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate       5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CO         AUTHOR(a)       5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       5. CONTRACY OR GRANT NUMBER         Sandy L. Zabell       N00014-76-C-0475         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       16. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT.         Department of Statistics       Stanford, CA 94305         Stanford, CA 94305       12. REPORT DATE         NOVEMBER OF PAGES       0ffice of Naval Research         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       13. NUMBER OF PAGES         ANDITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESS(II different from Connolling Office)       14. REPORT DATE         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESS(II different from Connolling Office)       15. BECURITY CLASS. (of the report         UNCLASSIFIED       13. DECURSIFICATION/BOWIGRA         14. DECURSIFICATION/BOWIGRA       SCHEDULE	ALOG NUMBER ALOG NUMBER ORT REPORT NUMBER INT NUMBER(a) 475 INT, PROJECT, TANK 1984 ES L (of the report) TON/DOWNGRADING
350       MD-AIY9019         TITLE (and builting)       b. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOS COVERED         W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate       b. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOS COVERED         AUTHOR(Q)       b. CONTRACY OR GRANT HUMBER(Q)         Sandy L. Zabell       b. CONTRACY OR GRANT HUMBER(Q)         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       b. CONTRACY OR GRANT HUMBER(Q)         Stanford University       N00014-76-C-0475         Stanford University       NR-042-267         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       t. REPORT DATE         Office of Naval Research       t. REPORT DATE         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       t. RECORT TON PAGES         OHNITORING AGENEY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Conventing Office)       t. RECORT DATE         OUSTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the descreed in Block 30, If different free Report)       t. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)         OUSTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the descreed in Block 30, If different free Report)       t. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES	350       AD-AI490019         TITLE (and Scientifie)       5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERMOR CO         W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate       5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERMOR CO         Author(a)       5. Contract of Grant numbers         Sandy L. Zabell       5. Contract of Grant numbers         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       5. Contract of Grant numbers         Department of Statistics       10. PROGRAM CLEMENT, PROJECT, AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         Stanford University       Statistics Stanford, CA 94305         Controlling OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS       13. REPORT DATE         Office of Naval Research       13. NUMBER OF PAGES         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       14. SECURITY CLASS (of the report UNCLASS IFIED         13. NUMBER OF PAGES       14. SECURITY CLASS (of the report UNCLASS IFIED         13. DEFINITION STATEMENT (of this Report)       APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	A PERIOD COVERE ORT AREPORT NUMBER ANT NUMBER(a) 475 475 475 475 475 475 475 475 475 475
TITLE (and Addition)       b. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE         W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate       IECHNICAL REPORT         AUTHOR(4)       b. CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER(4)         Sandy L. Zabell       b. CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER(4)         PERFORMING ONGARIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS       b. CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER(4)         Department of Statistics       Stanford, CA 94305         Controlling OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS       11. REPORT DATE         Office of Naval Research       11. REPORT DATE         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       12. NUMBER OF PAGES         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(1) different Ame Controlling Office)       14. REPORT DATE         NONHITORING AGENCY HAME & ADDRESS(1) different Ame Controlling Office)       14. BECURITY CLASS. (of the report)         UNCLASSIFIED       15. DECLASSIFIED         15. DECLASSIFIED       15. DECLASSIFIEATION/DOWNGRADING         16. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abovered milered in Block 30, if different Ame Reperit)         . DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abovered milered in Block 30, if different Ame Reperit)         . SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES	TITLE (and Submitte)       b. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CO         W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate       TECHNICAL REPORT         Author(a)       b. Contract of Grant number         Sandy L. Zabel1       b. Contract of Grant number         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       b. Contract of Grant Lement, PROJECT, AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         Department of Statistics       10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         Stanford, CA 94305       10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         Office of Naval Research       November 22, 1984         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       12. REPORT DATE         NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office)       14. SECURITY CLASS (of the report UNCLASSIFIED         13. MUMBER OF PAGES       13. SECURITY CLASS (of the report UNCLASSIFIED         13. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA       SCHEDULE         CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)       APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	A PERIOS COVERE ORT A REPORT NUMBER ANT NUMBER(a) 475 475 475 475 475 475 475 475 475 475
W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate IECHNICAL REPORT AUTHOR(a) Sandy L. Zabell PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP IS. NOVEMBER 22, 1984 IS. NUMBER OF PAGES DONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office) IS. SECURITY CLASS (of the report) UNCLASSIFIED IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SWHEDULE OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the destrest sectored in Block 28, II different free Report) OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrest sectored in Block 28, II different free Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	W.E. Johnson's "Sufficientness" Postulate       TECHNICAL REPORT         Author(a)       Sandy L. Zabell       Scontract of Grant Humsen         Author(a)       Scontract of Grant Humsen       Scontract of Grant Humsen         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       N00014-76-C-0475         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, AREA & WONK UNIT HUMSERS         Department of Statistics       Stanford University         Stanford University       NR-042-267         Stanford, CA 94305       12. REPORT DATE         Office of Naval Research       November 22, 1984         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       13. NUMBER OF PAGES         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       14. REPORT DATE         NONITORING AGENEY NAME & ADDRESS(// different free Controlling Offlee)       15. SECURITY CLASS (of the report UNCLASSIFIED         13. DECLASSIFIED       13. DECLASSIFIED	ORT ANT HUMBER(4) 475 HT, PROJECT, TAK HT NUMBERS 1984 ES L (of the report)
AUTHOR(a) Sandy L. Zabell PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS() / different free Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS() / different free Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS() / different free Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS() / different free Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS() / different free Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mic Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mic electronic ontool in Block 20, 11 different free Report) Supplementary NOTES	AUTHOR(a) AUTHOR(a) Sandy L. Zabell PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling	Image: State
AUTHOR(a) Sandy L. Zabell PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP The Number of Pages Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP The Number of Pages MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Conventing Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Conv	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS     Department of Statistics     Stanford University     Stanford, CA 94305     CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS     Office of Naval Research     Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP     Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP     Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office)     MONITORING AGENCY	ANT NUMBER(a) 475 UT. PROJECT. TASK HT NUMBERS 1984 ES L (of the report)
AUTHOR(a) Sandy L. Zabell PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office) IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report) NOCLASSIFIED IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	AUTHOR(a) Sandy L. Zabell PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP Controlling of Pages MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different free Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different free Controlling Office) CONTROLLING STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	ANT HUMBER(4) 475 INT, PROJECT, TASK INT MUMBERS 1984 ES L (of the report) 10N/DOWNGRADING
Sandy L. Zabell N00014-76-C-0475  PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP Control AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different free Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different free Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different free Controlling Office) CONTROLLING STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	Sandy L. Zabell       N00014-76-C-0475         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       18. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT. AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         Department of Statistics       Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS       NR-042-267         Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       12. REPORT DATE November 22, 1984         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office)       NCLASSIFIED         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office)       NCLASSIFIED         Constraineution Statement (of Mic Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.       NONLIMITED.	475 NT. PROJECT. TASK NT NUMBERS 1984 ES L (of the report)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       INCOURT FOULD CONTR         Department of Statistics       INCOURT FOULD CONTR         Stanford University       NR-042-267         Stanford, CA 94305       INCOURT DATE         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS       NR-042-267         Office of Naval Research       November 22, 1984         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       IN NUMBER OF PAGES         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       IN NUMBER OF PAGES         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different interced in Controling Office)       IN SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)         UNCLASSIFIED       IN.       SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)         OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)       APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.         OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstress entered in Block 28, if different into Report)         OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstress entered in Block 28, if different into Report)         Supplementary Hotes	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       IS. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT. AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         Department of Statistics       NR-042-267         Stanford University       NR-042-267         Stanford, CA 94305       IS. REPORT DATE         Office of Naval Research       November 22, 1984         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       IS. NUMBER OF PAGES         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)       IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report         UNCLASSIFIED       IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA         COSTRUCTION STATEMENT (of this Report)       APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	INT. PROJECT, TASK
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       16. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TARK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         Department of Statistics       Stanford University         Stanford, CA 94305       NR-042-267         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS         Office of Naval Research       18. REPORT DATE         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       18. NUMBER OF PAGES         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       19. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different from Connecting Office)       16. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different from Connecting Office)       18. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)         UNCLASSIFIED       18. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE         ONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)       APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.         OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the chartest entered in Block 28, if different from Report)         OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the chartest entered in Block 28, if different from Report)         SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       18. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT.         Department of Statistics       Stanford University         Stanford University       NR-042-267         Stanford, CA 94305       12. REPORT DATE         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS       13. REPORT DATE         Office of Naval Research       November 22, 1984         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       13. NUMBER OF PAGES         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)       16. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report         UNCLASSIFIED       13. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA         SCHEDULE       CONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mic Report)         APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE:       DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	INT. PROJECT, TASK INT NUMBERS 1984 ES L (of the report) 10N/DOWNGRADING
Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP Description and the statement of the statement from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) MONITORISTATEMENT (of file Reserve) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of file observed onlowed in Block 30, If different from Report) Supplementary Notes	Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) CONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	1984 (of the report) 10N/DOWNGRADING
Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305       NR=042-267         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       13. REPORT DATE November 22, 1984         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       15. Numser of Pages 25 pages         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)       18. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report) UNCLASSIFIED         OKSTRIGUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.       OKSTRIGUTION STATEMENT (of the electrost entered in Fleek 30, II different from Report)         OIST RISUTION STATEMENT (of the electrost entered in Fleek 30, II different from Report)       Supplementary Notes	Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305       NR-042-267         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       12. REPORT DATE November 22, 1984         Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP       13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25 pages         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)       18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report UNCLASSIFIED         Destribution STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	1984 Es L (of the report)
Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP November 22, 1984 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25 pages NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different into Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS NONITOR STATEMENT (of the observent onto Controlling Office) NONITORING AGENCY SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Stanford, CA 94305 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) CONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	1984 Es L (of this report)
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Centreling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Centreling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Centreling Office) UNCLASSIFIED 13. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. OKSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the cherrori entered in Block 20, II different free Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Off	1984 ES L (of the report)
Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) UNCLASSIFIED IS- DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE DISTRIGUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electronic entered in Block 30, II different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRES	1984 ES L. (of this report) TON/DOWNGRADING
Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP. 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25 pages 14. SECURITY CLASE (of the report) UNCLASSIFIED 13. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ORSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotrest embored in Block 20, 11 different into Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Statistics & Probability Program Code 411SP 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25 pages 14. NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report UNCLASSIFIED 13. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA SCHEDULE 14. NUMBER OF PAGES 25 pages UNCLASSIFIED 13. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA SCHEDULE 14. NUMBER OF PAGES 25 pages UNCLASSIFIED 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA SCHEDULE APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	ES L (of the report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotreet entered in Block 30, if different from Report)  OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotreet entered in Block 30, if different from Report)  SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)     18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report UNCLASSIFIED      18. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA SCHEDULE      DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	. (of this report)
UNCLASSIFIED UN	UNCLASSIFIED IB. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA SCHEDULE APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	TON/DOWNGRADING
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above ontered in Block 30, if different has Report) OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above ontered in Block 30, if different has Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	10N/DOWNGRADING
IB. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aborrost entered in Block 38, 11 different from Report) OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aborrost entered in Block 38, 11 different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	'ION/DOWNGRADING
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstrast entered in Block 30, 11 different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
	. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
	. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side il necessary and identify by block masher)	W.E. Johnson, sufficientness postulate, exchangeability, Dirichlet prior, Rudolph Carnap.	
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block master) W.E. Johnson, sufficientness postulate, exchangeability, Dirichlet prior, Rudolph Carnap.		et prior,
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II messeery and identity by block marber) W.E. Johnson, sufficientness postulate, exchangeability, Dirichlet prior, Rudolph Carnap.	· · · · · ·	et prior,
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abefract mitwood in Block 36, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block masher)	W.E. Johnson, sufficientness postulate, exchangeability, Dirichlet prior, Rudolph Carnan,	
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if measuremy and identify by block member) W.E. Johnson, sufficientness postulate, exchangeability, Dirichlet prior, Rudelph Carnen	weekhu erush.	et prior,
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block marbor) W.E. Johnson, sufficientness postulate, exchangeability, Dirichlet prior, Rudolph Carnap.		et prior,
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II recessory and identify by block marbor) W.E. Johnson, sufficientness postulate, exchangeability, Dirichlet prior, Rudolph Carnap.	· · · ·	et prior,

\_\_\_\_\_

## FILMED

END



DTIC