

AD-A148 836

BI-PAC F-15 EXTERNAL FUEL TANK CONTAINER MODIFICATION
(U) AIR FORCE PACKAGING EVALUATION AGENCY
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH E J KOWALSKI SEP 84


1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

PTPD-84-R-02

F/G 13/4

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

12

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

(14)

PTPD REPORT NO. 84-R-02
AFPEA PROJECT NO. 84-P-111
AD NO. 538026

AD-A148 836

EDWARD J. KOWALSKI
Mechanical Engineer

AUTOVON 787-3120

Commercial (513) 257-3120

BI-PAC F-15 EXTERNAL FUEL TANK CONTAINER MODIFICATION

DTIC FILE COPY

HQ AFLC/DSTZ
AIR FORCE PACKAGING EVALUATION AGENCY
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-5999

September 1984

DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 26 1984
S
E

84 12 13 016

NOTICE

When government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto. This report is not to be used in whole or in part for advertising or sales purposes.

A request was made

ABSTRACT

Warner-Robins ALC/DSTD and ILC/SRS, Robins AFB, Georgia 31098, requested assistance from the Air Force Packaging Evaluation Agency (AFPEA), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433, to test and evaluate BI-PAC Fiberglass Containers, NSN 1560-01-017-0858FX, used for shipment/storage of F-15, 600-gallon external fuel tanks. Reports from WR-ALC/DSTD indicated that damage was occurring to the fuel tanks while in transit.

Inspection and evaluation by AFPEA revealed a need for container modifications. Tie-down rings, stacking posts, restraint bars, and stowage positions of these items were modified.

Results of the in-house testing indicate that the F-15, 600-gallon external fuel tanks can be shipped safely in the modified BI-PAC containers.

PREPARED BY:

Edward J. Kowalski
EDWARD J. KOWALSKI, Mechanical Engr
Design Branch
AF Packaging Evaluation Agency

PUBLICATION DATE:

26 NOV 1984

REVIEWED BY:

Ralph Zynda
RALPH ZYnda
Chief, Design Branch
AF Packaging Evaluation Agency

APPROVED BY:

Jack E. Thompson
JACK E. THOMPSON
Director, Air Force Packaging
Evaluation Agency

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
ABSTRACT	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	11
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	1
PURPOSE	1
TEST SPECIMENS	1
TEST OUTLINE AND TEST EQUIPMENT	2
TEST PROCEDURES AND RESULTS	2
TEST NO. 1c, PENDULUM-IMPACT	2
TEST NO. 1c (REPEAT), PENDULUM-IMPACT	2
TEST NO. 1a, CORNERWISE-DROP (ROTATIONAL)	3
TEST NO. 1b, EDGEWISE-DROP (ROTATIONAL)	3
TEST NO. 2a, VIBRATION	3
TEST NO. 2b, VIBRATION	3
TEST NO. 3, TIE-DOWN STRENGTH	4
CONCLUSION	4
RECOMMENDATION	4
TABLE I, CONTAINER TEST PLAN	5
FIGURE 1, BI-PAC CONTAINER, NSN 1560-01-017-0858FX	6
FIGURE 2, CONTAINER DAMAGE, SIDE VIEW	6
FIGURE 3, STRAP DAMAGE	6
FIGURE 4, FUEL TANK ROTATION	7
FIGURE 5, LOOSE STRAP, CORNER POST	7

FIGURE 6, LOOSE STRAP, FUEL TANK FORWARD END	7
FIGURE 7, LOOSE ANGLE IRON, FORKLIFT ENTRY	8
FIGURE 8, TIE-DOWN RING INSTALLATION	8
FIGURE 9, BACK-UP PLATE, TIE-DOWN RING INSTALLATION	8
FIGURE 10, TIE-DOWN RING CLEARANCE, CONTAINER STACKING	9
FIGURE 11, STACKING POST MODIFICATION	9
FIGURE 12, STACKING POST, INSTALLED	9
FIGURE 13, STACKING POST BRACKET INSTALLATION, STOWAGE POSITION ..	10
FIGURE 14, STACKING POST IN STOWAGE POSITION, GUIDE PIN ASSEMBLY	10
FIGURE 15, STACKING POST METAL STRAP MODIFICATION	10
FIGURE 16, STACKING POST IN STOWAGE POSITION	11
FIGURE 17, STACKING POST IN STOWAGE POSITION, NYLON STRAP MODIFICATION	11
FIGURE 18, RESTRAINT BAR MODIFICATION	11
FIGURE 19, RESTRAINT BAR MODIFICATION	12
FIGURE 20, BACK-UP PLATE, RESTRAINT BAR INSTALLATION	12
FIGURE 21, RESTRAINT BAR END	12
FIGURE 22, RESTRAINT BAR, FUEL TANK MOUNTING LUG	13
FIGURE 23, RESTRAINT BAR, STOWAGE POSITION	13
FIGURE 24, RESTRAINT BAR, STOWAGE POSITION	13
FIGURE 25, VIBRATION TEST	14
FIGURE 26, VIBRATION TEST, STACKED	14
FIGURE 27, CONTAINER DAMAGE, SIDE WALL	14
FIGURE 28, CONTAINER DAMAGE, SIDE WALL	15
FIGURE 29, CONTAINER DAMAGE, SIDE WALL	15

FIGURE 30, CONTAINER DAMAGE, BOTTOM 15
FIGURE 31, TIE-DOWN RING TEST 16
FIGURE 32, TIE-DOWN RING TEST 16
FIGURE 33, TIE-DOWN RING, SIDE WALL RUPTURE 16
FIGURE 34, TIE-DOWN RING, SIDE WALL RUPTURE 17
FIGURE 35, MARKING DIAGRAM 18
DISTRIBUTION LIST 19



Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
Price	
Reproduction	
Accession Codes	
Dist	
A-1	

INTRODUCTION

Background: Warner-Robins ALC/DSTD and ILC/SRS, Robins AFB, Georgia 31098, requested assistance from the Air Force Packaging Evaluation Agency (AFPEA), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433, to test and evaluate BI-PAC Fiberglass Containers used for the shipment and storage of F-15, 600-gallon external fuel tanks. Reports from WR-ALC/DSTD indicate that extensive damage has been experienced during shipments of the F-15 fuel tanks. Initial inspection by the AFPEA indicated that the design of the container required some modifications.

Purpose: The purpose of this project was to:

- a. Evaluate container(s) and determine deficiencies.
- b. Make design changes to improve performance of the container(s).
- c. Test design changes and prepare engineering data for use by WR-ALC.

TEST SPECIMENS

Two each BI-PAC containers, NSN 1560-01-017-0858FX, with F-15, 600-gallon fuel tanks were received from WR-ALC/MMT, Robins AFB, Georgia 31098. The containers were fabricated in 1976 and are of fiberglass material. The container exterior size is 272 x 85 x 45 inches, cube is 602 ft³ and the gross weight is 1,325 pounds. (Figure 1)

The BI-PAC containers were received at the AFPEA in the following condition:

- a. Figure 2, Damage noted to side of *Container No. 1.
- b. Figure 3, Seam of strap broken, Container No. 1.
- c. Figure 4, Rotation of fuel tanks, Container No. 1.
- d. Figure 5, Loose strap, corner post stowage, Container No. 1.
- e. Figure 6, Loose strap, tank forward end, Container No. 1.
- f. Figure 7, Loose angle iron in forklift entry, **Container No. 2.

(NOTE: *Bottom container during shipment to AFPEA.
**Upper container during shipment to AFPEA.)

The following modifications were applied to containers No. 1 and No. 2 before testing:

- a. Figure 8, Tie-Down Ring
- b. Figure 11, Stacking Post

- c. Figure 16, Stacking Post in Stowage Position
- d. Figure 17, Stacking Post in Stowage Position
- e. Figure 18, Restraint Bar
- f. Figure 24, Restraint Bar in Stowage Position
- g. Figure 35, Marking Diagram

Two sets of restraint bars were fabricated. One set of restraint bars was fabricated from one-inch x 0.125 wall square structural steel tube and one set of restraint bars was fabricated from 1½-inch x 0.188 wall square structural steel tube.

TEST OUTLINE AND TEST EQUIPMENT

Tests were conducted in accordance with the AFPEA Container Test Plan, Project No. 82-P-111, dated 7 May 1984 (Table 1). Test methods and procedures used were as outlined in Federal Test Method Standard (FTMS) No. 101 and MIL-A-8421. Equipment used for the test was as follows:

- a. Forklift, 4,000 Pound Capacity.
- b. Pendulum-Impact Tester fabricated in accordance with Figure 1 of FTMS 101, Method 5012.
- c. L.A.B. Corporation Vibration Machine, Serial No. 56801, Type 5000-96B.
- d. Edison Hydraulic Load Maintainer.

TEST PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

Test No. 1c: The Pendulum-Impact Test was conducted in accordance with FTMS No. 101, Method 5012. The verticle drop height was nine-inches and the velocity was seven feet per second (fps). The restraint bars used for this test were fabricated from one-inch square structural steel.

Results: At impact, the fuel tanks moved forward 3 5/16-inches. The front cushions were damaged and slight fiberglass damage was noted on the end wall of the container from one of the fuel tank forward movements. The restraint bars had a 3/4-inch deflection set from the forward motion of the container impact. At impact, 5.5 Gs were recorded. Test results were not acceptable and the testing was discontinued. A restraint bar was fabricated from heavier material and the testing was continued.

Test No. 1c (Repeat): The Pendulum-Impact Test was conducted in accordance with FTMS No. 101, Method 5012. The verticle drop height was nine-inches and the velocity was seven feet per second (fps). The restraint bars used for this test were fabricated from 1½-inch square structural steel.

Results: At impact, the fuel tanks moved forward 1 3/8-inches. Both end cushions were crushed slightly from the forward impact of the containers. At impact, 6.5 Gs were recorded. After the test, no visual damage was noted to the fuel tanks, the container, or to the container modifications. Test results were acceptable and the testing was continued.

Test No. 1a: The Cornerwise-Drop (Rotational) Test was conducted in accordance with FTMS No. 101, Method 5005.1. The height of the drop was 15 inches.

Results: On the second Cornerwise-Drop (Rotational) Test, damage occurred to the corner stacking post. One end of the corner post was sheared and the corner post fell on top of the fuel tank. No damage occurred to the fuel tank. The stacking posts were modified and testing was continued (Figure 11 and 12). Visual inspection after the 3rd and 4th cornerwise drops (rotational) revealed no damage to the fuel tanks, the container, or the container modifications. Results of the tests were acceptable.

Test No. 1b: The Edgewise-Drop (Rotational) Test was conducted in accordance with FTMS No. 101, Method 5008.1. The height of the drop was 15 inches.

Results: Visual inspection, after the test, revealed no physical damage to the fuel tanks, the container, or to the container modifications. Results of the tests were acceptable.

Test No. 2a: The Vibration Test was conducted in accordance with FTMS No. 101, Method 5019.1. The container was placed on a vibration table and vibrated for two hours at 3.6 Hz, 1.0 G (Figure 25).

Results: Visual inspection, after the test, revealed no physical damage to the fuel tanks, the container, or the container modifications. Results of the tests were acceptable.

Test No. 2b: The Vibration Test was conducted in accordance with FTMS No. 101, Method 5019.1. The containers were stacked (two high), placed on a vibration table, and vibrated for two hours at 3.8 Hz, 1.0 G (Figure 26). Note container strapping, straps approximately 20-inches from the container ends and also at the center of balance. Straps were used during the test for the safety of personnel and container balance and not to simulate tie-down conditions during transit.

Results: Visual inspection, after the test, revealed no physical damage to the fuel tanks or to the container modifications. However, damage was noted to the fiberglass containers (Figures 27, 28, 29, and 30). Damage to the side walls, ends, and bottoms of the containers are an extension of damage on the units as received from the field. Environmental conditions, because of outdoor storage as well as container wracking when in transit, are contributing factors in the rupture of the fiberglass materials. Results of the tests were acceptable.

Test No. 3: The Tie-Down Strength Test was conducted in accordance with MIL-STD-648, paragraph 5.8.4, and MIL-A-8421, paragraph 3.3.4. A 6,000 pound maximum load was required for the Tie-Down Strength Test (Figure 31 and 32).

Results: Visual inspection of the tie-down rings and the container side walls revealed no damage at the 6,000 pound loading. However, the test was continued until the side wall of one of the modified tie-down rings ruptured (Figure 34). A test load of 14,700 pounds was applied to the tie-down rings before the side wall ruptured. Results of the test were acceptable.

CONCLUSION

In-house testing of the modifications applied to the containers fabricated in 1976 indicate that the F-15, 600-gallon fuel tanks can be shipped safely in these modified containers. Caution should, however, be exercised in the selection of the BI-PAC Container, NSN 1560-01-017-0858FX, before the modifications are applied. All BI-PAC containers should be inspected for stress cracks and/or other damage and should be repaired only if material and labor cost are within the specified limits of the initial container cost. No modifications should be applied to unserviceable containers.

RECOMMENDATION

Stress cracks should be repaired or they will continue to propagate and thus cause additional damage to the BI-PAC containers. The stress cracks may weaken the walls, ends, or bottom to a point where they may collapse and cause damage to the fuel tanks.

It is also recommended that shipment(s) of BI-PAC container(s), with fuel tanks, be made on flat-bed trailers that will fully support the 272-inch length and the 85-inch width of the container(s). No overhang of the container(s) should be permitted because the design of the container(s) requires a full support of the base. Any amount of overhang on the trailer will cause container(s) flexing and lead to a possible collapse of the side walls.

Container markings should be stenciled on both sides of the container as an attention notification to the transporter (Figure 35).

TABLE I

AIR FORCE PACKAGING EVALUATION AGENCY (Container Test Plan)					AFPEA PROJECT NUMBER 84-P-111	
CONTAINER SIZE (L X W X D)(INCHES)		WEIGHT (LBS)		CUBE (CU. FT.)	QUANTITY	DATE
INTERIOR:	EXTERIOR:	GROSS:	ITEM:			
	272"X85"X45"	1325		602	2	7 May 84
ITEM NAME F-15 600-Gallon Fuel Tank				MANUFACTURER Plastics Research Corp.		
CONTAINER NAME Bi-Pac, NSN 1560-01-017-0858FX				CONTAINER COST N/A		
PACK DESCRIPTION Fiberglass Construction						
CONDITIONING Ambient						
TEST NO.	REF STD/SPEC AND TEST METHOD OR PROCEDURE NO's	TEST TITLE AND PARAMETERS		CONTAINER ORIENTATION	INSTRUMENTATION	
1.	<u>ROUGH HANDLING TESTS</u>					
a.	FTMS No. 101, Method 5005.1	Cornerwise-Drop (Rotational) Test, 15 inch drop height.		One drop on each corner of the container base (four drops).	N/A	
b.	FTMS No. 101, Method 5008.1	Edgewise-Drop (Rotational) Test, 15 inch drop height.		One drop each edge of the container base (two drops)	N/A	
c.	FTMS No. 101, Method 5012	Pendulum-Impact Test, 9 inch Test, 9 inch drop height, 7 fps impact.		One impact to each end (two impacts)	N/A	
2.	<u>VIBRATION TEST</u>					
a.	FTMS No. 101, Method 5019.1	One inch double amplitude within the range of 3 to 5 Hz, 2 hours.		As required by test	N/A	
b.	FTMS No. 101, Method 5019.1	One inch double amplitude within the range of 3 to 5 Hz, 2 hours.		Stack 2 high, as required by test.	N/A	
3.	<u>TIE-DOWN STRENGTH TEST</u>					
	MIL-STD-648, Para 5.8.4	Forward 3 X Gross Weight Aft 1½ X Gross Weight Lateral 1½ X Gross Weight		As required by test	N/A	
	MIL-A-8421, Para 3.3.4	Up 2 X Gross Weight Down 4½ X Gross Weight				
COMMENTS						
PREPARED BY <i>Edward J. Nowalski</i> EDWARD J. NOWALSKI, Mechanical Engineer				APPROVED BY <i>Ralph Zonda</i> RALPH ZONDA, Chief, Design Br, AFPEA		




Figure 1, Bi-Pac Container
NSN 1560-01-017-0858FX




Figure 2, Container Damage,
Side View



Figure 3, Strap Damage



Figure 4, Fuel Tank Rotation



Figure 5, Loose Strap,
Corner Post




Figure 6, Loose Strap, Fuel
Tank Forward End




Figure 7, Loose Angle Iron,
Forklift Entry



Figure 8, Tie-Down Ring
Installation



Figure 9, Back-Up Plate, Tie-
Down Ring Installation




Figure 10, Tie-Down Ring
Clearance, Container
Stacking




Figure 11, Stacking Post
Modification




Figure 12, Stacking Post
Installed



Figure 13, Stacking Post
Bracket Installation
Stowage Position



Figure 14, Stacking Post in
Stowage Position,
Guide Pin Assembly



Figure 15, Stacking Post Metal
Strap Modification




Figure 16, Stacking Post in Stowage Position




Figure 17, Stacking Post in Stowage Position, Nylon Strap Modification




Figure 18, Restraint Bar Modification




Figure 19, Restraint Bar
Modification



Figure 20, Back-Up Plate,
Restraint Bar
Installation



Figure 21, Restraint Bar End



Figure 22, Restraint Bar,
Fuel Tank Mounting Lug



Figure 23, Restraint Bar,
Stowage Position




Figure 24, Restraint Bar,
Stowage Position




Figure 25, Vibration Test




Figure 26, Vibration Test, Stacked



Figure 27, Container Damage, Side Wall



Figure 28, Container Damage,
Side Wall



Figure 29, Container Damage,
Side Wall



Figure 30, Container Damage,
Bottom




Figure 31, Tie-Down Ring Test




Figure 32, Tie-Down Ring Test



Figure 33, Tie-Down Ring, Side
Wall Rupture





Figure 34, Tie-Down Ring,
Side Wall Rupture

BIPAC CONTAINER MARKING DIAGRAM

NOTES:

- 1. LETTERS $\frac{1}{2}$ IN. UNLESS SPECIFIED
- 2. 4 PLACES
- 3. 2 PLACES
- 4. RESTRAINT BAR BACKING PLATE LOCATED ON OUTSIDE OF CONTAINER



DISTRIBUTION LIST

DTIC/TSR Cameron Station Alexandria VA 22314	12
HQ AFLC/DSTZ Library Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-5999	20
WR-ALC/DSTD Robins AFB GA 31098-5999	5
WR-ALC/MMT Robins AFB GA 31098-5609	2
WR-ILC/SRA Robins AFB GA 31098	2
HQ AFLC/DS Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-5999	1
HQ USAF/LETT Wash DC 20330	1
HQ AFLC/DSTP Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-5999	1
OO-ALC/DST Hill AFB UT 84406-5999	1
OC-ALC/DST Tinker AFB OK 73145-5999	1
SA-ALC/DST Kelly AFB TX 78241-5999	1
WR-ALC/DST Robins AFB GA 31098-5999	1
ASD/AWL Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503	1
DLSIE/DRXMC-D USA Logistics Management Center Ft Lee VA 23801	1
DARCOM/SDSTO-T Tobyhanna PA 18466	1
US Army Natick Labs/DRDNA-EPS Natick MA 01760	1

NAVSUPSYSCMD/SUP-0321A 1
Wash DC 20376

AD/YNP 1
Eglin AFB FL 32542

ASO/TEP-A 4030 1
700 Robbins Avenue
Philadelphia PA 19111

US Army Armament Research and 1
Development Center/SMCAR.-TST-S
Dover NJ 07801

GSA, Ofc of Engrg Mgmt 1
Packaging Division
Wash DC 20406

HQ DLA-OWO 1
Cameron Station
Alexandria VA 22314

END

FILMED

1-85

DTIC