



Constantenting of Insact Aschols of Dises	Genetic	Characterizatio	n of	Insect	Vectors	of	Disess
---	---------	-----------------	------	--------	---------	----	--------

Annual Report

AD-A148 603

Jeffrey R. Powell

September 1, 1981

Supported by

U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012

Contract No. DAMD17-81-C-1026

Yale University New Haven, CT 06511

DOD DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so

UTE FILE COPY

84 12 97 065

designated by other authorized documents



CLASS & STATES

AD_____

REPORT NUMBER	GE	BEF	DRE COMPLETING FORM
ſ	BOUT ACCESSION NO	J. RECIPIE	T'S CATALOG NUMBER
		A TYPE OF	
		Annual	Report
Genetic Characterization of Insect Vectors		(1 Feb.	81 - 31 Aug. 81)
OI DIBGESC		6. PERFOR	ING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AU THOR(a)		. CONTRA	TOR GRANT NUMBER(+)
Jeffrey R. Powell		DAMD17-	31-C-1026
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRA	W ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Yale University New Haven, CT 06511		61102A.	3M161102BS10.AD.047
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	<u></u>	12. REPORT	DATE
U.S. Army Medical Research and Devel	opment Command	Septer	aber 1, 1981
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21	701-5012	10	CUP PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different fre	m Cantroling Office)	18. SECURI	TY CLASS. (of the report)
		Unclass	ified
		ISA. DECLA	SHPICATION/DOWNSRADING
والمحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد والمحمد وال			
· · · ·			
			ACCOSSION FOF /
			NTIS GRAAI
			NTIS GRAAI DTIC TAB Unargounged
SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES	<u></u>		NTIS GRAAI
BUPPL ENENTARY HOTES			ACCESSION FOF NTIS GRAAI DIIC TAB Unarmounded
. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES		<u> </u>	Accession Fer NTIS GRAAI DTIC TAB Unarmounged Sustification By Distribution/
. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		(1) !	Accession For NTIS GRAAI DTIC TAB Unarnounced Justification B Distribution/ Availability Codes
SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES	lantify by Licek mabor		Accession Fer NTIS GRAAI DifC TAB Unarmounded Sustification Availability Codes Avail and/or
. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES 	fantify by block number		Accession Fer NTIS GRARI DIIC TAB Unarnounced Sastification Availability Codes Availability Codes Avail and/or Dist Special
- SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and id Mosquitoes/ <u>Aedes</u> <u>aegypti</u> /Genetics/Ind	Sentify by block number Bect Vectors/A		Accession Fer NTIS GRAAI DIIC TAB Unarnounced Justification By Distribution/ Availability Codes Availability Codes Availability Codes Availability Codes Availability Codes
SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and id Mosquitoes/ <u>Aedes</u> <u>aegypti</u> /Genetics/Ind	finility by block number Bect Vectors/A		Accession Fer NTIS GRAAI DIIC TAB Unarmounded Justification Availability Codes Availability Codes Availability Codes Availability Codes Availability Codes Availability Codes

1

ł

4 ł

ļ

25

•

.

4

4

4

4

Į

----****** -

• Ŗ

٠.

. A. A.

۰ ×

.

Because of the timing of the review panal's meeting, I hope the members will bear in mind that this "annual" report is really a semi-annual report. The contract under which the work was done has been in effect only six months.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED

The proposed projects involved population genetic analysis of Aedes Aegypti. The main technique employed is get electrophoresis of soluble proteins followed by staining for specific enzyme activity. Using this method we have identified 22 gene loci in this mosquito. Twelve of the 22 loci are variable (polymorphic), ten of which are very variable and useful for our studies. The objectives of the research is to study allelic variation at these variable loci in order to answer the following questions about <u>A</u>. <u>aervoti</u>: (1) How genetically variable are populations? (2) How much genetic variation exists between populations? (3) Can we define areas within the distribution of A. <u>aegypti</u> which have populations genetically similar and differentiated from other areas? (4) Do the world-wide patterns of genetic variation in this vector correspond in any way to patterns of diseases transmitted by A. accypti? Rather than go into great detail here on the results obtained before this contract was in effect. the reader can consult the original proposal and the following references: Tabachnick and Powell, 1978, 1979; Tabachnick at al., 1979, Powell at al., 1980. Here we shall be concerned only with new data collected under this contract and not yet published.

Based on the results previously obtained we concluded that <u>A. asgynti</u> populations in the New World exhibited a fascinating and complex pattern of genetic variation. Originally we moted that the New World had three distinct, genetically

differentiated areas: S. America, the Caribbean, and the Southeast United States (as far west as New Orleans). Most surprising was the rather large differentiation between the Caribbean and Southeast U.S. We speculated at that time that this may explain why dengue had not gotten into the Southeast U.S. Much like Dudley (1934) had speculated many years ago with respect to Asia and yellow fever, it is possible that the genotypes of A. accypti inhabiting the Southeast are less efficient transmitters of dengue viruses. To be sure there is no direct evidence of this, but it seemed worthy of further consideration. One question which remained was what genetic type of A. accypti was inhabiting Mexico and Texas? At that time we had but one Texas collection (Laredo) and one Mexcan (Piedras Negras); they both were clearly very similar to the Carribean types. If our hypothesis is correct, than, knowing that Caribbean A. asypti are good vectors of dengue, we further speculated that Mexico and at least Laredo were in danger of having dengue. This speculation was borne out when dengue did appear in Mexico and just last fall the first cases of dengue in the U.S. for many years were detected in Laredo (and Brownsville).

While all of the limited data at that time was consistent with the hypothesis, we emphasized it was very limited indeed. The whole story was based on collections from only 11 localities: 5 Caribbean from only two islands (Jamaica and Puerto Rico), 2 S. American (both from Venezuela), 3 Southeast U.S. collections (Florida and New Orleans), 1 Texas, and 1 Mexican. Therefore the major goal of the proposed research was to clarify these patterns by adding more collections from the New World. One question of paramount importance was what is the pattern between Laredo and New Orleans? Also, what is happening on other Caribbean Islands? In addition to concentrating on the New World we were to continue analyzing samples from thoughout the world as they became available through workers in the field.

Table 1 lists the samples from the New World and from elsewhere which have been

6 36

analysed since the start of this contract. A total of 29 independent samples from 17 different localities have been studied. (As pointed out in the original proposed, if at all possible we work with freshly collected material and aim for a sample size of 100-200 genes locus from the natural population. The majority of our samples meet these criteria but on occasion we must be content with whatever we are able to procure; these exceptions will be pointed out later.) Table 2 lists 5 samples from these localities which are presently in our lab undergoing analysis. Thus within a few weeks of this writing, we will have more than doubled the number of localities in the New World which have been studied for genetic variation in <u>A</u>. <u>assynti</u> populations. Also listed in Table 2 are localities where we have contacted mosquito workers who have agreed to send as collections, hopefully quite soon.

TENTATIVE CONCLUSIONS

Because this work is ongoing, we will not present any firm conclusions nor go into any detailed analyses. We have done μ_2 eliminary analyses which include both genetic distance matrices and a stepwise linear disoriminant analysis of the data obtained to date; the following disussion is based on these. (The actual analyses are not presented here as they are rather cumbersome at this point; if the committee would like to examine the several pages of computer print-out, we will supply them.)

With the additional localities now sampled, our main conclusion is that the picture in the New World is not as simple as we originally thought. We now realize that there are at least five distinguishable genetic-geographic regions in the New World. These are (1) Southeast U.S., (2) Texas-Mexico-Central America, (3) Worthern Caribbean, (4) Trinidad-Northeast S. America Coast, (5) Other S. American localities. While these conclusions are only tentative at this time, four aspects of these results warrant further discussion.

First, while originally our two Texas-Mexico populations were indistinguishable from Caribbean populations (Jamaica and Puerto Rico), with the additional samples these groups become recognizably different, although still very similar genetically. They are still much more similar to each other than either is to the Southeast U.S. The single Central American collection, Guatemala, falls into the Texas-Mexico group.

Second, with two exceptions all of the new Texas samples fall into the Texas-Mexico group. One exception is the Corpus Christi sample; this sample was also exceptional in that it was a laboratory colony (obtained from Robert Novak, CDC). Thus although interesting we do not put much faith in it. The second exception was Wealsoo which is close to Brownsville. At present we really don't understam⁴ this, as populations to the south, west, north, and northeast all do fit into the Texas-Mexico group. We have not been able to ascertain how our Weslaco sample was obtained since information from the collectors who sent it to us has not been forthcoming. However, we are currently analysing a fresh collection from Corpus Christi and anticipate additional collections from Brownsville so we will check these anomalies. If this pattern is indeed proven, we see that the transition from Texas-Mexico type to Southeast U.S. type probably occurs between Galveston and New Orleans, a very short distance.

Third, the Caribbean is heterogeneous. Trinidad is clearly distinct from Jamaica and Puerto Rico, and is very close (genetically) to Surinam. This is not too suprising since Trinidad is also very close geographically to the northeast coast of S. America. Thus further collecting in the Caribbean is warranted to learn how beterogeneous it is and where the transitions occur.

Fourth, southeast U.S. populations are more alosely related to West and East African <u>A. Angunti formans</u> than to any other group. This was missed in our earlier analysis due to the lack of enough samples with which to draw comparisons.

.

While based on morphology, the majority of Southeast U.S. <u>A. negypti</u> would not be considered subspecies <u>formosus</u>, the isozyme data however do reveal similarities. This may be significant for the following reason. In yellow fever infectivity tests, the infectivity was low in both African <u>formosus</u> subspecies and New Orleans <u>A. aegypti</u>. (Gubler, personal communication; and our own collaboration with YARU). This implies that the genes controlling the morphological differences between subspecies, may not be marking the genome as well as our isozyme markers with respect to genetically determined variation in yellow fever infectivity. Admittedly we have a long way to go in proving hat the isozyme markers will be useful in studying the genetics of vectorial capacity. However, as we do our population surveys, we keep finding encouraging patterns which we feel deserve serious consideration.

THE NEXT SIX MONTHS

As noted above, we still have six months remaining on the first year of this contract. During that time we will accomplish three things:

1. More population samples will be sent to us and we will analyze them. A few of the places where we expect samples are listed in Table 2. Also we have made contacts and inquiries elsewhere and expect a few others besides these.

2. Make a collecting trip to the Southern U.S. Orignally we had proposed to make a trip to Texas to study the transition from the Texas-Mexico type (then only known from the vicinity of the Rio Grande) to the Southeast U.S. type found in New Orleans. We postponed this trip to see if we could get more Texas samples from field workers. This would enable us to concentrate our collecting when we went to Texas, as it was obvious we couldn't cover the whole state. We will probably wait until the beginning of October in order to obtain a few more samples. At present it appears that the rather small area between Galveston and New Orleans is the most important area. However we also must clarify the Weslaco/Brownsville and Corpus

Christi problem alluded to above. If more samples are not sent from these localities we will have to include them in our trip.

3. Make a collecting trip to the Caribbean. Again, depending on what samples we receive from the Caribbean during the next few months, we will decide on exactly where to go. It is clear there are relatively large differences between northern islands (represented by Jamaica and Puerto Rico) and at least one southern island, Trinidad. Where does the transition occur? Also, when the data are in on this year's dengue outbreaks in the Carribbean, we may be able to choose islands of interest in that respect -- after the dengue season is over! The question would be: Is there any pattern of genetic variation in <u>A. aegypti</u> which correlates with dengue outbrecks?

U.S. ARMY INTERACTION

As stated in the original proposal we have maintained contact with personnel at Fort Detrick. We have advised them of the collections we have received, what data we have collected on them, and offered to send them whatever material they may find useful. We intend to continue this practice.

LITERATURE CITED

- Dudley, S.F. 1934. Can yellow fever spead into Asia? J. Trop. Med. Hvg. 37: 273-278.
- Powell, J.R., W.J. Tabachnick, and J. Arnold. 1980. Genetics and the origin of a vector population: <u>Aedes aegypti</u>, a case study. <u>Science</u> 208: 1385-1387.
- Tabachnick, W.J. and J.R. Powell. 1978. Genetic structure of East African indoor populations of <u>Aedea aegypti</u>. <u>Nature</u> 272: 535-537.
- Tabachnick, W.J. and J.R. Powell. 1979. A world-wide survey of genetic variation in the yellow fever mosquito, <u>Aedes Aegypti</u>. <u>Genet. Res</u>. 34: 215-229.
- Tabachnick, W.J., L.E. Hunstermann, and J.R. Powell. 1979. Genetic distinctness of sympatric forms of <u>Aedea aegypti</u> in East Africa. <u>Evolution</u> 33: 287-295.

Table 1. Populations of <u>A</u>. <u>aerypti</u> from the New World and elsewhere, analysed for genetic variation during six months of this contract.

1

1

4

6

:

Locality	Abbreviati on	No. of Independent Samples
NEW WORLD:		
Galveston, Texas	GALTEX	3
Austin, Texas	AUSTEX	1
San Antonio, Texas	SANTEX	1
Corpus Christi, Texas	CCTEX	1
Eagle Pass, Texas	EAGTEX	1
Weslaco, Texas	WESTEX	1
Montemorelos, Mexico	MONMEX	1
Victoria, Mexico	VICMEX	2
Guatemals	GUAT	1
Paramaribo, Surinam	PARA	2
Trinidad	TRIN	1
ELSEWHERE:		
Majengo, Kenya	HAJ	1
KWA Dzivo, Kenya	KDZ	1

BWE

KED

DAK

ACCRA

Bwerenga, Uganda

Kedougou, Senegal Dakar, Senegal

77

Accra, Ghana

Table 2: Populations of <u>A</u>. <u>aegypti</u> presently being analysed and those we anticipate receiving within a short time.

Locality	Abbreviation	No. of Independent Samples	
UNDER ANALYSIS:			
Beaumont, Texas	BEAUTEX	1	
Trinidad	TRIN 2,3,4	3	
Corpus Christi, Texas	CCTEX 2	Ī	

ANTICIPATED:

Brownsville, Texas McAllen, Texas Houston, Texas Port Arthur, Texas Austin, Texas Nederland County, Texas Quintana Roo, Mexico Costa Rica Dominica, West Indies 8

