



2

AD-A148 541

NPS-53-85-0004

Effect of Sealants of the Sound Absorption Coefficients of Acoustical Friable Insulating Materials by James L. Wayman and Mary K. Lory October 1984 Technical Report for Period November 1984 - September 1984

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California

DTC FILE COPT

000

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Prepared for: The Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943

84 12 10

108

DEC 1 9 19

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California 93943

R. H. SHUMAKER Commodore, U.S. Navy Superintendent D. A. SCHPADY Provost

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:

JAMES L. WAYMAN 7 Adjunct Professor of Mathematics

Reviewed by:

GORDON E. LATTA, Chairman Department of Mathematics

This was an unsponsored project.

Released by:

.1

KNEALE T. MARSHALL Dean of Information and Policy Sciences

	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS	
·	REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
	NPS-53-85-0004			
	TITLE (and Subtitle)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE	
	Effect of Sealants of the Sound A	Absorption	Technical Report	
	Coefficients of Acoustical Friab.	le Insulating	Nov. 1 - Sept. 30, 1984	
	Materials		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
١.	AUTHOR(e)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)	
	James L. Wayman and Mary K. Lory			
5.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK	
	Naval Postgraduate School			
	Monterey, CA 93943			
1				
	Naval Destaraduate School		October 1984	
	Monterey, CA 93943		13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
			14	
•	NUNITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilloron	ir frei Centrelling Office)		
	N/A		Unclassified	
	0/ 8		154 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING	
			L	
•	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entered	tribution unlimi	n Report)	
• 7	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract onfored SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	tribution unlimi	n Report)	
•	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	tribution unlimi In Block 20, Il different fre nd identify by block number,	red Report)	
• 7 •	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enforced SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary of Acoustical Friable Insulating M	tribution unlimi In Bleck 20, 11 different fro di identify by block number, aterials	ted	
7	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enforced SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary on Acoustical Friable Insulating M Scund Absorption Coefficients	tribution unlimi In Block 20, Il different fre didentify by block number, aterials	red Report)	
• 7 •	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebottect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY BORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an Acoustical Friable Insulating M Scund Absorption Coefficients	tribution unlimi In Block 20, 11 different fro I identify by block number, aterials	ted	
• •	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about on tended SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary on Acoustical Friable Insulating M Sound Absorption Coefficients ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary on ' Acoustical friable insulat past contained asbestos, have b 1930's. Because of their wides friable asbestos materials are fiber contamination in the indo materials with a commercial sea to control potential asbestos e preserves most of the acoustica	In Bleck 20, 11 different for In Bleck 20, 11 different for A identify by bleck number, aterials I identify by bleck number, ing materials (A een used for sou pread use and th considered to be or environment. lant product is xposure in rooms 1 properties of	FIM), which often in the and control since the mid we ease of fiber disseminati the major source of asbest Encapsulation of asbestos one of several methods used a. A sealant product that the material is preferred	
7 • •	Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about on terms SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary on Acoustical Friable Insulating M Sound Absorption Coefficients ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary on ' Acoustical friable Insulating M Sound Absorption Coefficients ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary on ' Acoustical friable insulat past contained asbestos, have b 1930's. Because of their wides friable asbestos materials are fiber contamination in the indo materials with a commercial sea to control potential asbestos e preserves most of the acoustica ' JAN 73 1473; CDITION OF : NOV 65 IS OBOUT S N 0102-LF-014-6601	In Bleck 20, 11 different for In Bleck 20, 11 different for didentify by bleck number, aterials I dentify by bleck number, ing materials (A een used for sou pread use and th considered to be or environment. lant product is xposure in rooms 1 properties of 	FIM), which often in the ind control since the mid be ease of fiber disseminati the major source of asbest Encapsulation of asbestos one of several methods used a. A sealant product that the material is preferred INCLASSIFIED	

The State

ļ

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered

> in this usage.

-

÷

- AFIM sample materials were treated with 6 types of sealants and the effects on normally incident absorption coefficients from 100 to 2500 Hz were measured using a fixed, dual-microphone technique. "Penetrating" type sealants were found to have a less detrimental effect on sound absorption than those of a "bridging" type.

· · · · · · ·

7



A-1

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

Se 18

Since the 1930s friable spray-applied asbestos insulation has been used in the construction industry - primarily for fireproofing and sound control. The term "friable" describes a material which is porous and easily crumbled in the hand readily releasing its fibers. Acoustical friable insulation material (AFIM) which contains asbestos is still found on ceilings and walls in many public buildings (including schools). Recognition of health hazards related to asbestos exposure has prompted federal agencies to enact regulations concerning exposure levels.

AFIM has excellent sound absorption properties because of its porosity. Attempts to treat AFIM to reduce asbestos fiber release, unfortunately, may also reduce this porosity, which could result in disruption of speech communication or increased risk of hearing loss from higher noise levels and, in some cases, violation of noise exposure regulations.

Encapsulation, an economical means to abate potential asbestos exposure, involves the spray application of a sealant which coats the fiber matrix of the AFIM substrate, restricting the release of fibers. In an EPA study conducted by Batelle Columbus Laboratories (Mirick, W. et al., 1981), encapsulants were investigated and separated into two categories: those that penetrate AFIM, coating individual fibers tut still leaving air spaces, and those that bridge the surface pores of the material with a continuous membrance. Fenetrating sealants erhibited penetration from 1/2 to 1-1/4 inch into the AFIM, were low in percent solids by weight, and had low viscosities. Bridging sealants showed minimal penetration, were above 35 percent solids by weight, and had high viscosities - greater than 1,000 centipoises. Whether there would be a measurable acoustic difference between the two categories of sealants on AFIM had not yet been demonstrated. The purpose of this research was to determine which of the two types of encapsulants, penetrating or bridging, when applied to AFIM permitted more sound absorption.

METHOD

An evaluation was conducted to determine the acoustic characteristics of the AFIN before and after encapsulation. As was done in the Batelle study, a non-asbestos AFIN was used as a test substrate for comparison of encapsulants. An impedance tube procedure was used to measure sound absorption of small specimens. The recently developed tube method using two stationary microphones mounted on the tube wall at known distances from the specimen was the method of choice. This "two-microphone random-excitation" method has been described by Seybert and Ross (1977) as a more accurate and efficient procedure than the standard Standing Wave Ratio method (ASTN C384-58, 1972) for determination of acoustic properties for frequencies below 2500 Hz.

<u>Test_Substrate</u>: AFIN, provided by a product manufacturer, consisted of a 1-3/8 inch hollow cellulose fiber matrix which had been uniformly spray-applied to 1/2 inch thick styrofoam sheets.

<u>Specimen Preparation</u>: Six sealants were spray-painted over the AFIN samples in accordance with the technical specifications of the U.S. Navy (Lory and Coin, 1991). Encapsulants were allowed to cure five days before testing. Encapsulants represented the range of generic products used for encapsulation of AFIN. The physical and chemical properties of the products tested are shown in Table 1. Because of possible surface irregularities, three specimens were prepared for each of the six encapsulants and for the untreated (control) AFIN. Specimens were (-1/2 inches square, so that they would overlap the

.4



Ł

Table 1. Description of Encapsulants

Encapsul ant Code Number	Generac Description	Solid Content By Weight (%)	Vincosity (cps)	Classification
I	Acrylic vinyl acetate copolymer	10	5	Penetrating
2	Acrylic	21	8	Penetrating
3	Vinyl acrylic copolymer cmulsion	46	6,000	Bridging
4	Acrylic	59	2,250	Bridging
5	Polyvinyl acetate copolymer	51	2,500	Bridging
6	Elastomeric copolymer (butyl rubher)	68	52,000	Bridging

1

outside diameter of the measuring tube. During testing, uniform pressure was applied to the specimens, but the back of the specimen directly over the tube's opening was left unobstructed.

<u>Instrumentation</u>: Figure 1 is a diagram showing the measuring arrangement for the instrumentation used. The acoustic source was provided by the analog-to-digital converter. The high frequency portion of the input signal was attenuated by a low-pass filter. The digital filter automatically filtered the signal, limiting the bandwidth to the frequency range 0-3200 Hz. A EacIntosh 30-watt amplifier was used to amplify the signal and activate a 3-inch diameter Delco speaker mounted on one end of the measuring tube. The tube was a 3-inch inner diameter PVC pipe. It was 12 inches long with a 1/4-inch rubber cushion on the open end to provide a seal between the tube and the test specimen.

Two ANG 0451-EB microphones with 3/4-inch diameters were used. The microphones were modified with custom machined heads which contained ports only on their tips. The microphones were flush mounted on the tube walls with their centers spaced 1-3/4 inches apart. The microphone signals were conditioned by an ANG dual channel preamplifier and digitized by the analog-to-digital converter. The signal analyzer measured the auto- and cross-spectral densities of the two microphone signals. These data were stored in the minicomputer memory of the controller for processing. Recults were provided in graphic form by the slotter.

Learner: Eard-limited white noise provided the acoustic signal which produced a raydomly fluctuating sound field in the measuring ture. The total time record of the data was made up of 30 separate if no microals which were averaged to provide a spectral estimate. By this constitle accounts", the random error of the spectrum was reduced





s _

to an acceptable level. The acoustic properties of the specimens were evaluated for 256 narrow frequency bands of 12.5 Hz which were evenly spaced across the spectrum from 0-3200 Hz. Points representing the center frequency of every third band were printed on graphs, and the interval between each point was 37.5 Hz.

For each specimen a time record of data was collected from which the following measurements were calculated: 1) the resistive and 2) the reactive parts of the specific impedance ratios, 3) the reflection coefficient, and 4) the phase angle between the incident and reflected sound waves. From the raw data, graphs were plotted that showed the averaged data from the three individual specimens tested for each encapsulant and the untreated control. All data points below 100 Hz and above 2500 Hz were disregarded because of questionable validity of the measurements and reliability of the instruments for those frequencies.

RESULTS

Figures 2 and 3 show the reflection coefficient results. Hore sound reflection occurred for encapsulated specimens than was demonstrated by controls. As expected, reflection coefficients for treated specimens were greatest above 1000 Hz. Sealing an acoustical product decreases surface porosity, thus restricting airflow through the material. Absorption for high frequencies is determined primarily by the arount of surface openings (Sabine and Houlder, 1979).

The highest reflection coefficients in the speech frequency range (500-2500 Hz) for the controls was near 1 at 500 Hz and at 2500 Hz. Control coefficients were near zero between 1000 and 2000 Hz. AFIN specimens coated with encapsulants 1, 2, and 3 revealed coefficients that differed from controls by less than .02 throughout the speech







2



і. Л

frequency range. A considerable increase in sound reflection was revealed for bridging encapsulants 4, 5, and 6.

When reflection coefficients are raised for acoustical materials in a room, the reverberation times for given frequencies usually become greater. In a room $32 \times 27 \times 12$ feet high, reverberation times greater than .75 second would adversely affect speech intelligibility for normal hearing persons (Enudsen and Earris, 1950). For the hearing impaired, reverberation times should be near .5 second (John, 1960).

A reduction in sound absorption would also increase background noise levels, producing an undesirable effect on speech communication. The direct acoustic signal of interest must be at least 12 dB above the ambient noise level to ensure adequate speech communication (Newby, 1972). A better signal-to-noise ratio is required for hearing imvaired persons (Boss, 1978). Increasing reflection coefficients for AFIL by more than .15 or .2 may not allow the material to meet recommendations for adequate sound control.

The normal incidence coefficients here serve to assess the relative effects of various sealants on one type of AFIN. The instrumentation permitted a partial analysis of the audithe frequency range. Future research may lead to development of an apparatus with which to explore higher frequencies and would permit a comprehensive evaluation of acoustical characteristics which could have an adverse effect on the hearing mechanism as well as on speech computation.

CONCLUSION

There finitions provide a preliminary guide to the selection of conlarts for AFLS on the basis of their acoustical effects. Considerwhile post non-ne cavel by choosis the realant that meets the requirecents of accented based prevention while at the came time preserves the necessary acoustic properties of the AFIN. Penetrating sealants were shown to produce less sound reflection than bridging products on AFIN specimens. The selection of a penetrating sealant would be the preferred choice unergyer acoustical considerations are important.

REFERENCES

- ASTI 0394-59. Standard Nethod of Test for Impedance and Absorption of Acoustical Laterials by the Tube Nethod, 1972.
- John, J.E.J. The efficiency of hearing aids as a function of architectural acoustics. In A.W.G. Ewing (Ed.), <u>The Modern</u> <u>Educational Treatment of Deafness</u>. Manchester: Manchester University Fress, 1960.
- Enudsen, V.C., and Harris, C.M. <u>Acoustical Designing of Architecture</u>. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1950.
- Lory, E.E., and Coin, D.S. Management procedure for assessment of friable asbestos insulating material. Technical Report R983. Fort Hueneme, CA: Civil Engineering Laboratory, Naval Construction Eattalion Center, 1981, Appendix "D".
- Lory, M.K. Effect of sealants on the sound absorption of acoustical friable insulating material. Unpublished master's thesis, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1983.
- Eirick, W., Schmidt, E.W., Melton, C.W., Anderson, S.J., Nowacki, L.J., and Clark, R. Final report on evaluation of encapsulants for sprayed-on asbestos-containing materials in building. Batelle Columbus Laboratories. Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1931, unpublished.
- Newry H.A. <u>Audiology (3rd ed.)</u>. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1972.
- Hons, H. Classroom acoustics and speech intelligibility. In J. Katz (E1.), <u>Clinical Audiology (2nd ed.)</u>. Ealtimore: The Williams & Wilkins Co., 1975.
- Jatine, H.J., and Houlder, R. Sound absorptive materials. In D.H. Harris (Ed.), <u>Handbook of Leise Control (2nd ed.)</u>. New York: HeGraw-Hill Fook Co., 1979.
- Jeptert, A.F., and Ross, D.F. Experimental determination of acoustic properties using a two-microphone random-empitetion technique. <u>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</u>, 1977, (1, 1362-1370.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (2) CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22214

IIBFARY, CODE (142 (2) NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEFFY, CA 93943

FESEAPCH ADMINISTRATION (1) Code (12 NAVAL POSTGFADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA 93943

PROFESSOR JAMES WAYMAN (8) Code 53WW DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFOPNIA 93943

MARY K. LOFY (5) DEFAPTMENT OF SPEECH AND HEARING UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93106