Research Report 1361

AD-A148 332

FILE COPY

3110

A Decision Aid for Addressing Supervisor Span of Control Problems

Jay S. Coke and Byron D. Greene, III

ARI Field Unit at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas



U. S. Army



21

102

Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

January 1984

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

U. S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

A Field Operating Agency under the Jurisdiction of the

Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel

.

and the second second second when the second s

L. NEALE COSBY Columpi, IN EDGAR M. JOHNSON **Technical Director** Commander Accession For Technical review by NTIS GRAAI DTIC TAB James A. Bynum Unannouncea [7 Robert C. Schwalm Justification By____ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Dist Special

NOTICES

DISTRIBUTION: Primary distribution of this report has been made by ARL Please address correspondence concerning distribution of reports to: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, ATTN: PERI-POT, 5001 Elsenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22333.

FINAL DISPOSITION: This report may be destroyed when it is no longer needed. Please do not return it to the U.S. Army Research institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.

NOTE: The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE DOCUMENTATION PAGE ADD COLMENTATION PAGE Colspan="2">CONTROL PROBLEMS " Environment of the Colspan="2">Control to the Colspan="2" Control to the Colspan="2"<			
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE DECOMPOSITIONS INFORM CONTLETTIC FORM REPORT DOCUMENT REPORT DOCUMENT NUMBER L GOVY ACCESSION ADD PROPENT CATABOR HOW P ADD - / (4 % 5 3 Å FREE/RESTECTATED HOW P CONTROLLING CONTROL PROBLEDS INC SOUTE OF REPORT & DEEMON OF CONTROL PERFORMING ONC. REPORT RUBBESING SOUTEVISOR I TYPE OF REPORT & DEEMON P CONTROL PROBLEDS INC SOUTE OF REPORT & DEEMON OF CONTROL PERFORMING ONC. REPORT RUBBESING SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEDS INTHONY I TYPE OF REPORT & DEEMON PERFORMING ONC. REPORT RUBBESING SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEDS INTHONY NUMBERS I TYPE OF REPORT & DEEMON POINT SUBJESING SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEDS INTHONY I TYPE OF REPORT & DEEMON PERFORMING ONC. REPORT RUBBESING SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEDS INTHONY I TYPE OF REPORT & DEEMON PERFORMING ONC. REPORT RUBBESING SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEDS INTHONY I TYPE OF REPORT & DEEMON PERFORMED ON AND PAGE INTHONY VIEW OF TATES INTHONY I TYPE OF REPORT RUBBESING SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEDS INTHONY I TYPE OF REPORT RUBBESING SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMENT INTIGENTION STATEMENT (of the Adverter Account Avenue, Distribution STATEMENT (of the Adverter and Ruber) COMPUTED AT STATEMENT (OR STATEMENT (of the Adverter and Ruber) COMPUTED AT STATEMENT (OR STATEMENT (of the Adverter and Ruber) COMPUTED AT STATEMENT (OR STATEMENT (of the Adverter and Ruber)	ECUNITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When	Data Kniered)	
NET ON 1 SQUEER p. covY ACCELLION NO. 1 FICE FEET CATAGOR NUMBER NULL (or showing) A DECISION ADD FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR SPAN OF CONTROL PRODLERS	REPORT DOCUMENTAT	ION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
Research: Report 1361 AD -1 (48 '5 '2) TITLE (meshwillin) • TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED A DECISION AID FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR • TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED SYAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS • TERFORMING ONE. REPORT NUMBER AUTHOR'S • TERFORMING ONE. REPORT NUMBER Jay S. Coke • TERFORMING ONE. REPORT NUMBER Byron D. Greene 111 • TERFORMING ONE. REPORT NUMBER "FERFORMING ON ONDERFORMED FAME AND ADDRESS • CONTRACT ON WARK THUMOR NUMER U.S. Army Research Institute 10. THEORY DATE U.S. Army Nessearch Institute 11. NUMBER OF PAGE Social Sciences, 5001 Elementower Avenue, 14. NUMBER OF PAGE US Army Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and 10. THEORY CASS (File And ADDRESS) Social Sciences, 5001 Elementower Avenue, 14. NUMBER OF PAGE Stark Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and 10. THEORY CASS (File And ADDRESS) Stark Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and 10. THEORY CASS (File And ADDRESS) Stark Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and 10. THEORY CASS (File And ADDRESS) Stark Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and 10. THEORY (CASS (FILE AND ADDRESS) Stark Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and 10. THEORY (CASS (FILE AND ADDRESS) <td>REPORT NUMBER</td> <td>2. GOVT ACCENSION N</td> <td>IO RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMPER</td>	REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCENSION N	IO RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMPER
THILE (and Shading) (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) A DECISION ALD FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) STAN OF CONTROL PRODUCTS (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) NUTHOR(%) (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan OF CONTROL PRODUCTS (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) PATFORMING OHOANIZATION MARE AND ACOURTS (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) PATFORMING OHOANIZATION MARE AND ACOURTS (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Contraction Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Contraction Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Stan Orrige Face and Docurts (1.1.2. fills) (1.1.2. fills) Distancial Sciences, 5001 Elsenho	Research Report 1361	AD-1.48 3	21
A DECISION ALD FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR SPAR OF CONTROL PROBLEMS AUTHOR(2) Jay S. Coke Byron D. Greene 111 - FERFORMING ONOANITATION WARE AND ADDRESS - CONTRACT OF UNANT NUMBER - - CONTRACT OF UNANT - - CONTRACT OF UNANT NUMBER - - CONTRACT OF UNANT NUMBER - - CONTRACT OF UNANT - - CONTRAC	TITLE (and Subtitie)	Y	. TYPE OF REPORT & PENIOD COVERED
A DECISION ALD FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS AUTHORY AUTHORY SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS AUTHORY AUTHORY AUTHO			
SUAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS SUAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS AUTRONIS ALL SCHEMENTARY NUMBERS AUTRONIS ALL SCHEMENTARY NOTES ALL SCHEMENTARY NOTES SUCCESS Special Task for statistic definition of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platcon leader will be able to control. The Directon of the SWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platcon leader workload. Although having each platcon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also D 'Jown 1473 EDTION OF YNOVEN DESCETE D 'JOWN 1574 EDTION OF YNOVEN DESCETE D 'JOWN 1575	A DECUSION AID FOR ADDRESSING	SUPERVISOR	
AUTHORY Jay S. Coke Byron D. Greene 111 PERFORMING ORDANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. ACTWY Research Institute Sool Lisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333 -5600 U.S. Actwy Research Institute Controlling orrect makes ADD ADDRESS U.S. Actwy Neter ADDRESS L.S. Actwy Neter ADDRESS L.S. Actwy Neter ADDRESS L.S. Actwy Neter ADDRESS Address	SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS		6. PERFORMING ONG. NEPORT NUMBER
AUTOR() AUTOR() AUTOR() Byron D, Greene 111 - PERFORMENT OF MARE AND ACOMEST C.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333 -5600 C.CONTRACT NAME AND ACOMEST U.S. Army Yeld Artillery School Vort Sill, Oklahoma 73503 4 NONFORM Address Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 5 Army Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 5 Distribution statement for Conversion of the Sciences and the Sciences			
Jay S. Coke Byron D. Greene 111 	7. AUTHOR(a)		CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
BYFON D. Greene 111 **EMFGRAMMS OMOANIZATION NAME AND ADUREA U.S. Atmy Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 10. FROORAME Lawren, PRODUCT, TARK 0. Sorrock, and porter Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 11. REPORT PATE U.S. Army Pield Artillery School Yort Slil, Oklahoma 73503 11. REPORT PATE U.S. Army Pield Artillery School Yort Slil, Oklahoma 73503 4. NONITONING AGENCY NAME & ADODRESKI different from Controlling Utites) U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 2233-5600 11. REPORT PATE UNCLASSIFIED 12. REPORT PATE January 1984 Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 2233-5600 13. RECURITY CLASS. (different from Report) Alexandria, VA 2233-5600 14. RECURITY Convertence Approved for public release; diffribution unlimited. 2. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; difficaceses and identify by block number) computer simulation span of control t	Jay S. Coke		
PERFORMING ONDARIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10 PROCRAW LAND, KUNT WOUNDERST 7 AKE U.S. Army Research Institute 20263739A793 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 12 REFORT DATE 10. S. Army Pield Artillery School 13 January 1984 Vort Sill, Oklahoma 73503 14 Nervort DATE 40 January 1984 40 505 Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and January 1984 506 Sciences, 500 Eisenhower Avenue, 40 Vexandria, VA 22333-5600 16 505 Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and UNCLASSIFIED 506 Trave Research Institute for the Repert 10 Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 5. Distribution Statement for the Secret entered in Black 20, If different from Repert 5. Distribution Statement for the secret entered in Black 20, If different from Repert 6. AuppleMentArry Notes 6. AuppleMentArry Notes 6. AuppleMentArry Notes	Byron D. Greene III		
U.S. Army Research Institute AREA & work UNIT WUMMENE U.S. Army Research Institute 20263739A793 ContRolling Orrice HAME AND ADDRESS January 1994 U.S. Army Meedarch Institute tor the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5000 18. NEUWITY CLASS. (of this super) US Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 2233-5000 18. NEUWITY CLASS. (of this super) US Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 2233-5000 18. NEUWITY CLASS. (of this super) Distribution statement for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 2233-5000 18. NEUMITY CLASS. (of this super) Constrained for public release; distribution unlimited. 18. NEUWICLASSIFIED Notification statement for the superson 19. Network Super) Artex & WORDA (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Identify by block number) 19. Network Super) Computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload 19. Network Super Values A ABYTACT (Combine an evenue side if necessary and Identify by Eleck number) 19. Support Waspon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources,	. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD		10. PROGRAM EL EMENT. PHOJECT. TASK
U.S. Army Research Institute 20263739A793 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 1. Ameroary Data U.S. Army Field Artillery School Fort Sill, Ohlahoma 73503 4. WONTGANG AGENCY MAKE A DODRESHI different from Convolting Unice) US Army Kescarch Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; diftribution unlimited. 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; diftribution unlimited. 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Report) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if different from Statements) 5. DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shearest missed in Black 20, if			AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 Excention 1. Controlling Office Name And Address January 1984 U.S. Army Vield Artillary School January 1984 Yort Sill, Oklahoma 73503 January 1984 1. NONIYOANG AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(# different from Connolling Office) M. NUMBER OF PAGES U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 M. BCURITY CLASS (of this report) 3. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) M. Excurrent for Pages Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Distribution Statement for Negarity 3. DUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the destrect intered in Block 20, If different from Report)	U.S. Army Research Institute		202637394793
 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army M'deld Artillory School Fort Sill, Oklahoma 73503 MONIYONNG AGENCY NAME & AUDRESKIT different from Controlling Office Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 DISTNIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) DISTNIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; diffribution unlimited. DISTNIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract mission of the Black 20, If different from Report) CONTROLLENG (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) COMPLEMENTARY NOTES ADDYLEMENTARY NOTES ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Computer simulation span of control task library queuing model ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also 	5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexan	dria. VA 22333-560	0
U.S. Army Field Artillery School Fort S111, Oklahoma 73503 A MonWYONNG AGENCY NAME & ACOMESSAID different from Convolution Office Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 Construction stratement (of Mie Report) Approved for public release; diftribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mie Report) Approved for public release; diftribution unlimited. CONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mie scorescy and Men(My by block number) Computer Simulation span of control task library queuing model workload A MONTACT (Conduce on reverse side M messees and Men(My by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also	1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12. REPORT DATE
<pre>Vort Sill, 0klahoma 73503 A MONYONING AGENCY NAME & ACONESK(/ different from Controlling Office) A MONYONING AGENCY NAME & ACONESK(/ different from Controlling Office) A MONYONING AGENCY NAME & ACONESK(/ different from Controlling Office) A MONYONING AGENCY NAME & ACONESK(/ different from Controlling Office) A MONYONING AGENCY NAME & ACONESK(/ different from Controlling Office) A MONYONING AGENCY NAME & ACONESK(/ different from Controlling Office) A DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. D LISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the source office mission in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONYONING AGENCY NAME & ACONESK(/ different in Black 20, if different from Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. A MONYONING STAYEMENT (of the source office mission in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Continue on reverse office intend in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Continue on reverse office intend in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Continue on reverse office intend in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Continue on reverse office intend in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Continue on reverse office intend in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Continue on reverse office intend in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Continue on reverse office intend in Black 20, if different from Report) A MONY CONSTANCE (Control teader from the source of intender) A MONY CONSTANCE (Control teader of the Intender) A MONY CONSTANCE (Control teader of the Intender) A MONY CONSTANCE (Control teader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also A MONY Context A MONY CONSTANCE (Control teade</pre>	U.S. Army Field Artillery Scho	01	January 1984
40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Fort S111, Oklahoma 73503		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
 A MONITONING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from Connolling Office) BECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Alexandria, VA 2233-5600 DETRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of this Report) DETRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STAYEMENT (of the abatrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Supplementanty NOTES NEY WORDS (Continue on reverse edge if necessary and luben(ify by block number) computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload A ABTRACT (Continue on reverse edge if necessary and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers control enteret in al	·		40
UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	14. NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II J	litterent from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 Alexandria, VA 22333-5600 Distribution statement (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, If different from Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, If different from Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Approved for public release; distribution for the form report for the form form form form form form form form	US Army Research Institute for Social Sciences 5001 Rinembour	che Benavioral and	UNCLASSIFIED
Approved for public release; diftribution unlimited. 	Alexandria VA 22333-5600	zi avenue,	
 DISTNIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number) computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload ABOTNACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also 	Alexandria, in 12000 5000		SCHEDULE
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side N measurery and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also 	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract a	ntered in Block 20. If different	from Report)
 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side M necessary and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also 	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract a	ntered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
<pre>task library queuing model workload ADSTMACT (Continue on reverse olds M necessary and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also D</pre>	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract o	ntered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
queuing model workload A ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse and M necessary and identify by Eleck number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also D FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract of 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if neces Computer simulation span of control	ntered in Block 20, if different eary and identify by block num	from Report) ber)
Workload ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse and M necessary and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also D FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract of the source) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if neces computer simulation span of control task library 	ntered in Black 20, if different eary and identify by block num	from Report) ber)
ANSTHACT (Continue on reverse olds H necessary and identify by block number) In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also D FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract of supplementary notes 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necee computer simulation span of control task library queuing model 	ntered in Black 20, if different	from Report) ber)
In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. Although having each platoon leader control many launchers would conserve resources, it would also D FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract of the source) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if neces computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload 	ntered in Bluck 20, if different eary and identify by block num	from Report) ber)
D I JAN 3 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE INCLASSIFIED	 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract of the source of the so	ntered in Bluck 20, if different eary and identify by block num	from Report) ber)
1 JAN 35 14/3 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE INCLASSIFIED	 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract of the solution statement (of the ebetract of the solution solution). SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Supplementary notes Key WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necess computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload ABSTHACT (Continue on reverse eide N necess In 1982, the Fort Sill Fieldeveloped a method for estimat. System (CSWS) platoon leader with CSWS Special Task Force was controlled and platoon leader control many later platoon leader control many later. 	eary and identify by block number any and identify by block number ing how many launch ill be able to con- ncerned with the to on leader workload unchers would conse	from Report) ber) y Research Institute (ARI) hers a Corps Support Weapon trol. The Director of the radeoff between number of . Although having each erve resources, it would also
	 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract of supplementary notes SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Supplementary notes Key words (Continue on reverse elde if neces computer simulation span of control task library queuing model workload ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N neces In 1982, the Fort Sill Fieldeveloped a method for estimat System (CSWS) platoon leader w CSWS Special Task Force was con launchers controlled and platop platoon leader control many later 	ntered in Block 20, if different eary and identify by block number in the state of the form ing how many launch ill be able to com- ncerned with the to on leader workload unchers would const	<pre>from Report) from Report) from Report) for) for) for) for) for) for) for) for</pre>

. .

ب این با این چها بیا این این می در این این

.

のでき、たちたいを調いたため大学の大学をため、たちたいので、「大学なな」のたちでは、「たちたら」のでいた事になるため、「たちたく」、そうたい。

increase workload. At some point, the number of launchers would exceed what the platoon leader could adequately control, he would fall behind in completing his tasks, and the performance of the platous would suffer.

To address the issue of platoon leader span of control, the Field Unit developed a computer-based simulation model that can be used to predict the platoon leader's ability to keep up with his work. The simulation model consists of two components. The first component is a task library, the second a computer program that operates upon the data contained in the library. This report describes the development of the simulation model, some findings generated when we can the model to estimate the span of control for CSWS platoon leaders, and the uses to which the model could be put by system developers.

11 UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Wien Date Enterod)

Research Report 1361

A Decision Aid for Addressing Supervisor Span of Control Problems

Jay S. Coke and Byron D. Greene, III

Submitted by Stanley M. Halpin, Chief ARI Field Unit at Fort Leaverworth, Kansas

> Approved as technically adequate and submitted for publication by Jerrold M. Levine, Director Systems Research Laboratory

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22333

> Office, Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel Department of the Army

> > January 1984

Army Project Number 20263739A793 Human Factors in Training& Operational Effectiveness

- Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

ARI Research Reports and Technical Reports are intended for sponsors of R&D tasks and for other research and military agencies. Any fin fings ready for implementation at the time of publication are presented in the last part of the Brief. Upon completion of a major phase of the task, formal recommendations for official action normally are conveyed to appropriate military agencies by briefing or Disposition Form.

Meaning on the Constrainty for a state of the annual sector

FOREWORD

In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the U.S. Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. To address the issue of platoon leader span of control, the Field Unit developed a computer-based simulation model that predicts platoon leader performance under various levels of task load. This report describes the development of the simulation model, some findings generated by the model when it was used to estimate the span of control for CSWS platoon leaders, and the uses to which the model could be put by system developers. The research was conducted as part of an effort to develop tools for the analysis of new weapon systems.

Styne Al Alanet

A SUCCESSION AND A SUCCESSION OF

EDGAR M. JOHNSON Technical Director

A DECISION AID FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Requirement:

In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Army Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launchers a Corps Support Weapon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. To conserve resources, it would be desirable to have each platoon leader control many launchers. Increasing the number of launchers controlled, however, would also increase workload. At some point, the number of launchers would exceed what the platoon leader could adequately control, he would fall behind in completing his tasks, and the performance of the platoon would suffer. No direct empirical data could be obtained since the CSWS was still at the concept development phase, and an alternative source of data was required.

Procedure:

A computer-based simulation model was developed to address the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. The computer-based model consists of two components: (a) a task library and (b) a computer program that operates upon the data contained in the library. The task library consists of a list of tasks along with information about (a) its priority level; (b) the typical time interval between each task: successive requirements to perform the task during combat of low, moderate, and high intensity; and (c) the typical time required to perform the task given the number of launchers being controlled. The computer program operates upon the information contained in the task library to generate predictions of platoon leader performance. The indicators of performance generated by the model are all concerned in one way or another with how well the platoon leader is able to keep up with the tasks he is required to The model was used in a simulation experiment to evaluate the perform. effects of platoon size and level of combat intensity on CSWS platoon leader performance.

Findings:

Three launchers would be a reasonable number for a CSWS platoon leader to control; the number should not caceed four. The results of the simulation experiment also suggest that the model could be useful as a tool during the development of CSWS and other systems.

Utilization of Findings:

1

.

• • • •

The simulation model is intended to be a tool to supplement the judgment of system developers by providing necessary but difficult to obtain information. The simulation model makes the user of the model aware of how task performance will be affected by what the platoon leader is asked to do and the conditions he is subjected to as he performs the tasks. The model users will need to be aware of factors that cannot be addressed by the simulation model, and to consider those factors in making decisions that affect platoon leader workload. The model presented here could be used to simulate the performance of a wide range of supervisors. A DECISION AID FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS

CON	FEN	ES																						_		_					_			
															-				•														Ē	age
INT	RODI	JCTI	ON	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	1
	Bac Pui	ckgr rpos	ou	nd •	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1 1
THE	SIN	NULA	TI	ON	M	נסכ	EL	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	Tas Cor Cap	sk L nput pabi	ib er li	ran Pi tie	ry roj as	gra o:	am f	th		5 i 1	nu:	la	tio		M	• do	21	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	1 2 3
USE	OF	THE	s	IM	υĿ	AT:	101	N 1	MOI	DEI	L 1	BY	A	S	YS!	TE!	M	DE	VEI	L01	PEI	R	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
	CSV Use	WS S e of	im t	ula he	at: S:	io im	n] ula	Exp at:	pe: io	rin n]	nei Mod	nt de	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 9
SUM	MARY	Y.	•	•	•	•	•	,	•	•	•	•	a	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
F00'	INO	res	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
REF	EREI	NCES		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
APP	END:	IX A	۰ ۱	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	A-1
APP	END	IX E			•			•	•	•		•		•					•	•	•					•	•	•	•		•	•	٠	B-1

LIST OF TABLES

• • • • • • • •

Table 1.	Input and Output Variables of the Simulation Model 4
2.	Mean Number of Tasks in Queue during Each Hour of Simulated Time with the Platoon Leader Controlling Different Numbers of Launchers in Different Levels of Combat Intenvity

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1.	Mean number of tasks in the platoon leader's queue by hour in combat of moderate intensity with platoons of
		one through five launchers
	2.	Mean number of tasks in the platoon leader's queue by hour in combat of high intensity with platoons of one through five launchers

t)

A DECISION AID FOR ADDRESSING SUPERVISOR SPAN OF CONTROL PROBLEMS

INTRODUCTION

Background

In 1982, the Fort Sill Field Unit of the Aimy Research Institute (ARI) developed a method for estimating how many launching a Corpu Support Neupon System (CSWS) platoon leader will be able to control. The Director of the CSWS Special Task Force was concerned with the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. To converve remonscere, it would be desirable to have each platoon leader control many launchers Increasing the number of launchers controlled, however, would also increase workload. At some point, the number would exceed what the platoon leader could adequately control, he would fall behind in completing his tasks, and the performance of the platoon would autfor.

Because CBWS was only at the concept definition phase of development, a computer-based simulation model was developed to address the tradeoff between number of launchers controlled and platoon leader workload. The model and the results of a simulation experiment were described in a report written for the Special Task Force (Coke & Greene, 1987). The research was conducted an part of an effort to develop tools for the analysis of hew weapon systems.

Purpose.

The purpose of this report is to describe the simulation model and to develop the concept of using the model as a decision and during system development. Although the computer model will be discussed in tarms of simulating CSWS platoon leader performance, it should be clear that the model could be used to simulate performance in other supervisory positions. The rest of the report describes the simulation model, its capabilities, and the use to which it could be put by avatem developers.

THE SIMULATION MODEL

The computer-based model consists of two components — the first conjusces is a task library (see Appendix A); the second is a computer prepose that $i \in i$ at c appendix $i \in i$.

Task Library

Because the Organizational & Operational concepts (040) for CMP and the Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRC) were to be similar, and he was MLRS was much further along in its development than was CMP, MLRS was used as a reference system to develop a task librar. The fraining and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) System Manager's Office for MLRS provided a draft field manual and a draft of the MLkS system organization, tactics, and techniques (SOTT) concept for OT-III so that we could identify the tasks to be performed by an MLRS platoon leader.

As we developed a task list, the tasks seemed to fall into two categories. In one category were tasks with clearly identifiable start and etop points, for example, performing a ground reconnaissance. In the sec ad category were continually recurring tasks, for example, maintaining a situation map. Although one could ascertain at any given time whether a platoon leader is engaged in maintaining a situation map, this task is never really completed; it is performed, off and on, so long as combat continues.¹

After the task list had been developed, it was verified as being complete by four subject matter experts from the TRADOC System Manager's (TSM's) Office. Information about these tasks was then developed through group discussions with the four subject matter experts. For all tasks, subject matter experts rated level of priority on a 5-point scale, with 5 being the highest priority. The subject matter experts also indicated for all tasks the relationship between task performance and number of launchers in a platoon (i.e., adding one launcher increases the time required to perform a task by 5 minutes).

For tasks with clear start and stop points, the subject matter experts teached a consensus response on two additional questions. The first question asked about the frequency with which each task would be performed during combat of low, moderate, and high intensity. As expected, the subject matter experts indicated that many tasks would be performed more frequently with increasing intensity of combat. They predicted, for example, that the platoon would average zero moves a day during combat of low intensity, three during combat of moderate intensity, and six during combat of high intensity. The second question asked the subject matter experts to predict the average time required to perform the tasks. For tasks that recur continually, the subject matter experts followed a slightly different procedure. They identified a : appropriate unit of time, such as an hour or a day, and then reached a consensus on what amount of that time the tecurring task would require during combat of low, moderate, and high intensity.

Computer Program

١,

To estimate how many laurchers a platoon leader can adequately control, it is not sufficient simply to know for each task the typical time interval between successive requirements to perform the task and the typical amount of time meeded to perform it. One must also take into account random variation. By chance, the time interval between successive requirements to perform a particular task will sometimes be short and sometimes long; by chance, a platoon leader will sometimes perform a task rapidly and sometimes alowly.

2

It was to deal with the complexities created by random variation that we developed the computer program. The program simulates two sets of events. The first set of events simulated represents the task environment imposed on the platoon leader. In combat, each task for which a platoon leader is responsible will have to be performed repeatedly across time. For example, the platoon will have to move to a new location from time to time, and with each move the platoon leader will perform certain tasks. The computer program simulates this environment by scheduling task requirements at specific points in simulated time. Scheduling is based on the assumption that the variation around the typical time interval between successive requirements to perform each kind of task will follow the exponential probability distribution.²

The second set of events simulated represents the platoon leader's response to his task environment. In our simulation, when a requirement to perform a task occurs, either of two things can happen depending upon whether the platoon leader is free or busy. If the simulated platoon leader is free when the requirement occurs, he begins to work on the task immediately; that is, the simulation program uses the typical time required to perform the task to schedule the point in time at which the task will be completed. Scheduling is based on the assumption that variation around the typical time required to perform the task will follow the exponential probability distribution, the same distribution used in scheduling the time interval between tasks. Once the simulated platoon leader starts a task, he must complete it before beginning another.

If the platoon leader is busy when the requirement to perform a task occurs, the task is filed in the platoon leader's queue according to priority. Whenever the platoon leader completes a task, he checks his queue. If tasks are waiting, he begins to work on the task with the highest priority (and if two tasks have equal priority, on the one that has been in the queue longer); that is, the simulation program schedules the point in time at which the task will be completed. If the platoon leader finds no task in the queue, he remains idle until the next requirement to perform a task occurs.³,⁴

Capabilities of the Simulation Model

- 1999年の後、「日本のない」のないない。「日本のない」では、「日本のない」であっていた。

In Table 1 are listed three variables that the computer program can accept as input and four variables that it can produce as output. Each input variable is manipulated via the task library. Platoon leader task libraries for one, two, three, four, and five launcher platoons are shown in Appendix A. The library data entries are explained in the introductory material preceding the task libraries.

The variables output by the computer program are statistical indicators of platoon leader performance. They can be calculated for any period of time simulated--for an hour, a day, or a week. The statistics

المراجع المراجع

TABLE 1

Input and Output Variables of the Simulation Model

Input Variables

- Time required to complete individual tasks (would be used primarily to evaluate the effects of number of launchers controlled but could also be used to evaluate, for example, the effect of giving the platoon leader a tool that would allow him to perform some individual tasks more rapidly).
- Time between requirements to perform tasks (would be used primarily to evaluate the effects of combat intensity).
- 3. Tasks included in the library (would be used to evaluate the effects of the platoon leader delegating some tasks to others).

Output Variables

- Average number of tasks in the queue waiting to be performed - by level of task priority if desired.
- Average length of time tasks spend in the queue waiting to be performed - by level of task priority if desired.
- 3. Average time required to complete all tasks waiting in the queue - that is, the time it would take the platoon leader to catch up with his work if he were to receive no new tasks.
- 4. Average percentage of time platoon leader is idle or at least is free to perform tasks other than the mandatory ones included in the task library.

المنابع المراجع المراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع

will, of course, vary from one run of the simulation program to the next, just as they would vary from one slice of time to the next for a real platoon leader. Estimates of the variance in the statistics are calculated by performing multiple simulation runs.

USE OF THE SIMULATION MODEL BY A SYSTEM DEVELOPER

The computer model was used in a simulation experiment to evaluate the effects on platoon leader performance of two input variables: (a) number of missile launchers controlled and (b) level of combat intensity. The simulation experiment provides a context for discussing the use of the simulation model as a decision aid and is briefly described here.

CSWS Simulation Experiment

a second se

To manipulate number of launchers controlled, separate task libraries were developed for one, two, three, four, and five launcher platoons (see Appendix A). Performance with each platoon size was assessed under two levels of combat intensity: moderate and high. The entries shown in the task libraries reflect the expectation that many individual tasks will take longer to perform as the number of launchers increases. The entries also reflect the expectation that many activities will be performed more frequently with increasing combat intensity, regardless of number of launchers controlled.

For each combination of number of launchers by level of combat intensity, thirty 12-hour periods were simulated. The relatively large sample was needed because of the probabalistic nature of the model; for any one combination of platoon size and combat intensity, considerable variation in platoon leader performance occurred from one 12-hour period to the next.⁵

Some results from the simulation experiment are shown in Table 2 and in Figures 1 and 2. Table 2 displays the mean number of tasks in the platoon leader's queue from hour 1 through hour 12 of simulated time for each combination of platoon size and level of combat intensity. Difficulty in keeping up with tasks is indicated by the increase over time in the number of tasks in the queue; greater difficulty is indicated by more rapid increases.

Figure 1 shows graphically the results for each of the platoon sizes during combat of moderate intensity. With platoons of one or two launchers, the size of the latoon leader's queue grows gradually over the 12-hour period, reaching an average size of about three tasks in hour 12. With platoons of three or four launchers, the queue grows slightly more rapidly, reaching an average size of about six and one-half tasks in hour 12. With a platoon of five launchers, the queue grows still more rapidly, reaching an average size of nearly 12 tasks in hour 12.

Table 2

•

1-

Mean Number of Tasks in Queue during Each Hour of Simulated Time with the Platoon Leader Controlling Different Numbers of Launchers in Different Levels of Combat Intensity

Number of Launchers		2	e	4	N	6 H	our 7	œ	σ	10	11	12
					MODI	ERATE C	OMBAT IN	NTENSIT				
ONE	.32	.75	1.26	1.33	1.21	1.27	1.70	2.24	2.53	2.79	2.69	3.23
TWO	.37	1.04	1.26	1.73	1.43	1.93	2.76	2.83	2.77	3.08	3.20	3.06
THREE	.61	1.57	2.12	3.20	4.13	4.19	3.36	3.04	3.40	4.25	5.58	6.46
FOUR	.67	1.04	1.39	1.76	1.92	2.34	3.00	3.45	3.87	4.43	5.89	6.72
FIVE	.70	i.88	2.57	3.54	4.86	5.44	5.79	7.09	8.52	9.74	10.60	11.78
					HIG	H COMBA'	I INTEN	SITY				
ONE	.82	1.92	2.66	2.99	3.47	3.91	5.00	6.06	7.30	8.22	9.44	9.81
DWD	1.20	2.48	3.32	4.90	5.62	8.07	06.6	10.95	11.08	10.88	11.26	11.57
THREE	1.15	2.72	3.62	4.73	5.75	7.30	8.73	9.62	10.62	12.06	13.87	15.45
FOUR	1.00	2.73	4.35	6.51	8.71	10.87	12.95	14.80	15.71	17.49	18.19	19.96
FIVE	1.38	3.53	5.57	7.58	8.73	9.82	11.73	13.74	15.85	17.14	19.19	21.68

NOTE. Each of the means is based on 30 observations.

A LAND









Figure 2 shows the results for the different platoon sizes during combat of high intensity. Note that the scale for number of tasks in Figure 2 is different from Figure 1. In combat of high intensity, the task queue grows fairly rapidly across the 12-hour period even for a platoon with only one launcher, reaching an average size of 9.81 tasks in hour 12. With platoons of four or five launchers, however, the queue grows much more rapidly, reaching an average size of about 20 tasks in hour 12. Performance with platoons of two or three launchers is at an intermediate level.⁶

Use of the Simulation Model

N. C. A.

A system developer looking at the results shown in Table 2 and in Figures 1 and 2 might form the hypothesis that a CSWS platoon leader will generally have a heavy workload. Even when controlling only one or two launchers in combat of moderate intensity, the simulated platoon leader had some difficulty keeping up with his work. A system developer might also form the hypothesis that level of combat intensity will more powerfully influence platoon leader workload than will the number of launchers.

Given that the platoon leader's workload appears to be heavy, particularly during high intensity combat, a system developer might want to see what would happen if some of the platoon leader's tasks were delegated to others. This could be done simply by removing the delegated tasks from the task library and running the simulation program with the revised library. The system developer might also think that the platoon leader could perform some individual tasks more rapidly if he were given some new tools with which to perform those tasks. To see how this would affect platoon leader performance, the task library entries for the time required to complete the individual tasks would be changed.

A point that we want to emphasize is that the simulation model is intended not to replace the judgment of the system developer, but only to supplement that judgment by providing information that, without the model, could be generated only with great difficulty (see Keen, 1980). In this vein, our simulation model does not prescribe a definitive number of launchers that a platoon leader should control. Instead, it makes the user of the model aware of how task performance will be affected by what the platoon leader is asked to do and the conditions he is subjected to as he performs the tasks. The system developer will be aware of factors that cannot be addressed by the simulation model, and he or she will need to consider these factors also in making decisions that affect platoon leader workload.

In the case of estimating span of control for CSWS platoon leaders, we concluded from our simulation results that three launchers would be a reasonable number for a platoon leader to control and that the number of launchers controlled should not exceed four. The CSWS Task Force Director indicated that our data and conclusions were consistent with

a set of data from another source and of a different nature. The Director found it encouraging that the independent data sets seemed to suggest similar conclusions about platoon leader span of control.

SUMMARY

This report describes a computer model that simulates platoon leader performance under different levels of task load. The computer model is intended not to make decisions, but rather to serve as an aid to system developers. The system developer plays two roles in using the model. The first role is to develop task data for the supervisor position to be simulated. The second role is to interpret and use the statistical output of the simulation program within the overall context of what is known about the system being developed. Information about the simulation model is available through the Fort Leavenworth Field Unit of the Army Research Institute.

number of tasks in the queue and considering this number in conjunction with the typical times required to perform the activities in the task library, one can get a reasonably good idea of how well the platoon leader is keeping up with his work and of how far behind he is in terms of time. For example, the median activity time in the task library for a five-launcher platoon is 20 minutes. Thus, if a platoon leader has 10 tasks in his queue, he has probably fallen behind in his work by more than a trivial amount. As was indicated in Table 1, however, the model can generate precise measures of the average amount of time individual tasks spend waiting in the queue and the average amount of time that it would take the platoon leader to complete all the tasks waiting in his queue. A system developer might well want to look at these dependent measures. And he or she might want to break all the measures out by level of task priority--to see, for example, the average number of high priority tasks waiting in the queue across a period of time. The system developer would be free to choose the output variables at which to look and the level of detail on those output variables.

このはないないないとなった。これは、「ないた」ではないないでは、「ないたいた」ないで、これでは、「ないた」ないないでは、「ないないない」ないないないないで、「ないない」ないで、「ないない」ない、「ないない

こんにたいたい、このためたたまで、こので、「「「「」」のなど、シンピーのなどなどをあってたたいというというでき、そう

REFERENCES

يەيلەرلىيە بەردە بەردە. بەردە بەردەرلىرى بەردىيەرلىيەرلىيەرلىيە بەردەر بەردە بەردەر بەردەر بەردەرلەرلىيە.

.

- Coke, J.S. and Greene, B.D. Determining the span of control of a Corps Support Weapon System platoon leader. Army Research Institute Fort Sill Field Unit Working Paper 82-3, 1982.
- Keen, P.G.W. Decision support systems: Translating analytic techniques into useful tools. Sloan Management Review, Spring 1980.
- Kiviat, P.J., Villanueva, R., and Markowitz, H.M. <u>SIMSCRIPT II.5 pro-</u> gramming language. Los Angeles: Consolidated Analysis Centers, Inc., 1973.

Naylor, T.H., Balintfy, J.L., Burdick, D.S., and Chu, K. <u>Computer</u> simulation techniques. New York: Wiley, 1966.

APPENDIX A

Development of the Task Libraries

Twenty-three tasks were identified as being the responsibility of a platoon leader in a battery of the Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS). Each of these tasks was assigned a code number. Below is a list with the code number for each task and a brief description of the task.

LIST OF TASKS

CODE DESCRIPTION

- 1 Performs a map reconnaissance
- 2 Receives displacement order by radio
- 3 Performs a ground reconnaissance of route and potential platoon area
- 4 Orders displacement and designates order of march
- 5 Organizes new platoon area
- 6 Coordinates establishment of platoon area survey point
- 7 Designates status of self-propelled launcher loaders
- 8 Supervises occupation of new position
- 9 Verifies location and accuracy of platoon area survey point
- 10 Insures that command post is in order and communication is established
- 11 Conducts coordination meetings
- 12 Maintains situation maps, overlays, and charts
- 13 Performs a ground reconnaissance of route and potential platoon area (Although this appears to be the same task as task 3, task 13 was included in the simulation analyses because the platoon leader will occasionally find a potential platoon area unsuitable for use. Task 13 was used to represent this occasional situation in the simulation analyses.)
- 14 Designates change in status of self-propelled launcher loaders when that status changes while the platoon is located within a particular platoon area

A-1

LIST OF TASKS (Continued)

CODE DESCRIPTION

- 15 Supervises improvement of positions (On most occasions the platoon leader will perform this task simultaneously with the performance of other tasks involved in moving to a new position. For only about 25 percent of the moves will this be performed as a separate task.)
- 16 Controls vehicle traffic into and out of the platoon area
- 17 Insures that situation reports are prepared and sent to battery
- 18 Performs a hasty survey and calls it in (This task will occasionally be performed when the platoon moves to a new platoon area.)
- 19 Insures availability of NBC equipment
- 20 Engages in NBC alert and, when appropriate, issues all clear
- 21 Actively directs ammunition support
- 22 Actively directs maintenance support
- 23 Monitors medical support

i Kirs

Tasks 1 through 11 are tasks that are ordinarily performed when the platoon has to move to a new platoon area. These tasks were grouped to, ether in one activity for purposes of the simulation analyses. Each time movement to a new position was scheduled in a simulation run, each of the 11 tasks had to be performed. The remainder of the tasks, tasks 12 through 23, were scheduled independently of one another and of the movement tasks in the simulation runs.

Below are the task libraries that were used to simulate the performance of a platoon leader controlling different numbers of launchers. A separate task library was used for each platoon size.

At least two lines are used to describe each activity represented in the task library. Each activity performed by a platoon leader was given a short descriptive name. This name appears as the alphabetic entry on the first line for each activity. Four numeric entries follow the name on the first line. The first numeric entry indicates the typical time span in minutes between requirements to perform the activity during combat of low intensity, the second numeric entry indicates the time span during combat of moderate intensity, and the third numeric entry indicates the time span during combat of high intensity. The fourth numeric entry on the first line indicates the priority of the

A-2

activity, larger numbers indicating higher printive falthough the subject matter experts from the TBM's office rated the printity of the tasks from one to five, the values of printity in the task likess go as high as hime. A greater spread in the range of printity values was needed for the computer program to operate property b

The line of lines below the first line for an activity are used to indicate the typical times required to perform the task of tasks accord ated with the activity. The first entry on these lines is the orden number for the particular task. The second activity is the typical the to minutes required to perform the task thily one activity, "block, has multiple tasks annound with it. Whenever a move is scheduled to occur in a simulation pur, each of the individual tasks associated with this activity has to be performed.

TARE LIBRARY FOR ONE TAING HEA PLATING

HOVE	1440	441	740	,
1	١.			
2	1			
1	15			
4	1			
1	36			
6	1			
7	1			
N	11			
V	1			
10	1			
11	10			
OCCUPY	70	60	10	•
17	4			
BRECON	2880	1440	1440	,
1 1	11			
SDESIG	1440	480	240	,
1 14	1			
NAUPER	2880	1440	110	1
15	10			-
318411	60	6.1	6.0	1
16	,			
851182	1440	1440	1440	6
17	15			
SHASLY	2880	1440	181	,
1.0	17			

and the state of the

-41

-4

.` .

1

H

• ::

41

A 3

TARK LIBRARY FOR ONE LAUNCHER PLATOON (Continued)

888: F1 1 9	1440 1	1440	720	3
4 MAI 1 2 (1	788 0 30	2680	2880	y
6 A+901 1 7 1	2880) 4	2880	1440	3
• +14 1 14 1 # 2	3440 20	1440	1440	5
• #• # 7 1	144() 2()	1440	1440	5

IAAN LINKARY FOR TWO LAUNCHER PLATOON

10+v+	1440	480	240	7
+	٠			
•	1			
•	4.63			
١	1			
•	4.3			
•	1			
•	1			
•	40			
•	1			
•••	۱.			
11	· · ·			
5	10	6 17	50	5
4.7	•			
				-,
• 3 , 3 , - 3 , -	が発音に	1440	144()	/
11	411			
	1440	AM ()	24.0	7
•••	1			
	2684	1440	720	Ĵ
	•			
		6.0	60	3
3 6	1			
	1440	1440	1440	6
	E N			

. A

řł.

A 4

•

TASK LIBRARY FOR TWO LAUNCHER PLATGON (Continued)

SHASTY 18	2880 17	1440	380	7
SNBLPL 19	1440 1	1440	720	3
SNALRT 20	2880 30	2880	2880	9
SAMMO 21	2880 6	1440	720	3
SMAINT 22	1440 40	1440	1440	5
SMED 23	1440 20	1440	1440	5

TASK LIBRARY FOR THREE LAUNCHER PLATOON

MOVE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1440 7 1 45 3 50 1 1 45 2 3 10	480	240	7
OCCUPY 12	70 12	60	50	5
SRECON 13	2880 45	1440	1440	7
SDESIG 14	1440 1	480	240	7
SSUPER 15	2880 30	1440	720	3
STRAFF 16	60 2	60	60	3
SSITRP 17	1440 15	1.440	1440	6
SHASTY 18	2880 17	1440	380	7

TASK LIBRARY FOR THREE LAUNCHER PLATOON (Continued)

10

ą

SNBCPL 19	1440 1	1440	720	3
SNALRT 20	2880 30	2880	2880	9
SAMMO 21	2880 6	960	480	3
SMAINT 22	1440 60	1440	1440	5
SMED 23	1440 20	1440	1440	5

ר. ע

Ĩ,

3

. d

; { {

Ì

ų

TASK LIBRARY FOR FOUR LAUNCHER PLATOON

MOVE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1440 8 1 50 3 57 1 1 50 2 3 10	480	240	7
OCCUPY 12	70 16	60	50	5
SRECON 13	2880 50	1440	1440	7
SDESIG 14	1440 1	480	240	7
SSUPER 15	2880 30	1440	720	3
STRAFF 16	60 2	60	60	3
SSITRP 17	1440 15	1440	1440	б
SHASTY 18	2880 17	1440	38 0	7

SNBCPL 19	1440 1	1440	720	3
SNALRT 20	2880 30	288 0	28 80	9
SAMMO 21	2880 6	720	360	3
SMAINT 22	1440 80	1440	1440	5
SMED 23	1440 20	1440	1440	5

	T.	ASK LIBRAR	Y FOR FOUF	LAUNCHE	R PLATOON (Continued)	
SNBCPL 19	1440 1	1440	720	3		
SNALRT 20	2880 30	2880	28 80	9		
SAMMO 21	2880 6	720	360	3		
SMAINT 22	1440 80	1440	1440	5		
SMED 23	1440 20	1440	1440	5		
		TASK LIBRA	RY FOR FIV	E LAUNCH	ER PLATOON	
MOVE 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 7 8 9 10 11	1440 9 1 55 3 63 1 1 55 2 3 10	480	240	7		
occupy 12	70 20	60	50	5		
SRECON 13	2880 55	1440	1440	7		
SDESIG 14	1440 1	480	240	7		
SSUPER 15	2880 30	1440	720	3		
STRAFF 16	60 2	6 0	60	3		
SSITRP 17	1440 15	1440	1440	6		
SHASTY 18	2 88 0 17	1440	38 0	7		
			A-7			

	TA	ASK LIBRAR	Y FOR FIVE	LAUNCHER	PLATOON (C	Continued)	
SNBCPL 19	1440 1	1440	720	3			
SNALRT 20	2880 30	2880	2880	9			
SAMMO 21	2880	576	288	3			
SMAINT 22	1440 100	1440	1440	5			
SMED 23	1440 20	1440	1440	5			

APPENDIX B

学校になるないになった。それになったなない。

ļ

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR SIMULATION MODEL

* 4 UNCLASSIFIED * * * * * * UNCLASSIFIED # # # LINE CACI SIMSCRIPT II.5 1100 SERIES RELEASE 7.0 06/16/82 PREAMBLE 1 2 NORMALLY MODE IS REAL AND DIMENSION IS O З PERMANENT ENTITIES 4 GENERATE LIST ROUTINES 5 EVERY FUNCTION HAS A NAME. A USED.MEAN. A MEAN.ONE. A MEAN.TWO. A MEAN.THREE. A USED.SD. A SD.ONE. AN SD.TWO. AND AN SD.THREE. 6 7 A PRIORITY AND OWNS & STRUCTURE DEFINE NAME AS AN ALPHA VARIABLE В 9 DEFINE PRIORITY AS AN INTEGER VARIABLE 10 EVERY RESOURCE HAS A STATUS AND OWNS A QUEUE DEFINE STATUS AS AN INTEGER VARIABLE 11 TEMPORARY ENTITIES 12 13 EVERY ACTIVITY HAS AN ARRIVAL.TIME AND A JOB.PRIORITY, MAY BELUNG 14 TO A QUEUE AND OWNS A ROUTING 15 DEFINE JOB. PRIDRITY AS AN INTEGER VARIABLE DEFINE QUEUE AS A SET RANKED BY HIGH JUB.PRIORITY 16 17 EVERY TASK HAS A CODE. A PROCESS.MEAN. A PROCESS.SD AND BELONGS TO A STRUCTURE AND A ROUTING 18 19 DEFINE CODE AS AN INTEGER VARIABLE 20 EVENT NOTICES INCLUDE HOURLY REPORT 21 AND CLEAN.OUT EVERY START.ACTIVITY HAS AN ACTIVITY.TYPE 55 23 DEFINE ACTIVITY. TYPE AS AN INTEGER VARIABLE 24 EVERY END. OF. TASK HAS A JOB 25 DEFINE JOB AS AN INTEGER VARIABLE 26 EXTERNAL EVENTS ARE CHANGE.IN.SITUATION AND END.OF.SIMULATION 27 PRIORITY ORDER IS END.OF.TASK, START.ACTIVITY, HOURLY.REPORT. 28 CLEAN.OUT. 59 CHANGE.IN.SITUATION. AND END.OF.SIMULATION 30 BEFORE DESTROYING ACTIVITY CALL STAY.TIME DEFINE STAY AS A REAL DUMMY VARIABLE 31 TALLY AVG. STAY AS THE MEAN, SD. STAY AS THE STD. DEV. 35 SUM. STAY AS THE SUM. AND NUM. STAY AS THE NUMBER OF STAY 33 34 ACCUMULATE HOUM AS THE SUM, HNUM AS THE NUMBER. AVG.QUEUE AS THE MEAN, MAX.QUEUE AS THE MAXIMUM, 35 AND FREQ (0 TO 30 BY 1) AS THE HISTOGRAM OF N.QUEUE 36 37 ACCUMULATE AVG. STATUS AS THE MEAN OF STATUS 8E END

```
UNCLASSIFIED
     UNCLASSIFIED #
       CACI SIMSCRIPT II.5 1100 SERIES RELEASE 7.0
LINE
                                                                   06/16/82
    1
       MAIN
       PRINT 1 LINE AS FOLLOWS
    2
THE PLATOON LEADER IS CONTROLLING FIVE LAUNCHERS
       PERFORM INITIALIZATION
    4
    5
       RELEASE INITIALIZATION
       FOR EACH FUNCTION, DO
    6
    7
       CAUSE A START.ACTIVITY IN EXPONENTIAL.F(USED.MEAN.3) MINUTES
    B
       LEI ACTIVITY.TYPE = FUNCTION
    9
       LOUP
       CAUSE AN HOURLY REPORT IN 1 HOUR
   10
   11
       CAUSE A CLEAN.OUT IN 12 HOURS
   12
       START SIMULATION
   13
       SKIP 2 LINES
       STOP
   14
       END
   15
```

and a second and a second

```
UNCLASSIFIED
     UNCLASSIFIED #
      CACI SIMSCRIPT 11.5
LINE
                           1100 SERIES
                                          RELEASE 7.0
                                                                    06/16/82
      ROUTINE FOR INITIALIZATION
   1
      READ N.RESOURCE
   2
   3
      CREATE EVERY RESOURCE
      FOR EVERY RESUJACE. DO
   4
      LET STATUS = 0
   5
      LOOP
   6
      LIST ATTRIBUTES OF EACH RESOURCE
   7
   8
      READ N.FUNCTION
   9
      CREATE EVERY FUNCTION
  10
      FOR EACH FUNCTION. DO
  11
      READ NAME (FUNCTION) . MEAN.ONE (FUNCTION) . MEAN.TWO (FUNCTION) .
  12
      MEAN.THREE (FUNCTION) SD.ONE (FUNCTION) SD.TWO (FUNCTION) .
      SD.THREE (FUNCTION) . PRIORITY (FUNCTION)
  13
      LET USED. JEAN = MEAN. TWO
  14
      LET USED.SD = SD.TWD
  15
      UNTIL MODE IS ALPHA. DO THIS
  16
  17
      CREATE A TASK
  18
      READ CODE(TASK) AND PROCESS.MEAN(TASK)
  19
      FILE THE TASK IN STRUCTURE
  50
      LOOP
  21
      LOOP
  55
      LIST ATTRIBUTES OF EACH FUNCTION
  23
      SKIP 2 LINES
  24
      SEIDHA
```

25 ENU

MERICE CELES AND AND CELESCE CE

4 4	•	4	UNC	L۹	\$5	11	FI	ED	#	ö	4	4	¥	#	#	٠	٠	٠	¥	#	#	4	#	#	#	#				
4 4	• •	4	UNC	L٩	S 5	16	- I	ED	*	#	#	#	*	4	#	4	4	4	#	4	4	#	\$	4	*	٠				
LI	N	Ξ	CA	CI	s	1	15	CR	IPI	r :	II	•5	•	11	00	SE	ER I	129	5	RE	LE	EAS	δĒ	7	0		•	067	16/8	2
		1	E۷	ΕN	т	S	T A	RT	• A (CT 1	[V]	[Ty	(F	- ហ	VCI	ric)N)	5	5AV	/ I N	IG	T۲	١E	E١	/EI	١T	NOTICE			
	ć	2	DE	FΙ	NE	Ģ	۶I	EC	F 4	١S	A r		IN'	TE	GEH	<i>د</i> ۱		214	181	E			-	_	-					
		3	CR	EA	TE.	4	٩Ņ	4	стл	EVE	T۱	\mathbf{r}		-																
		4	LE	T	AR	2	I۷	AL	• T]	[ME		= 1	٦I	٩E .	• V															
	1	5	FO	R	ĒΑ	C۲	4	PI	FCE	. (DF	51	"คิเ	٦C.	TUF	RE .	. 0	00												
	(6	CR	ËA	TΕ	1	4	TA	SK					_	•		_													
	•	7	LE	ſ	co	ÐF	Ξ	= (cod)E	(P)		E))																
	-	8	LE	T	PR	00	CE.	55	. ME	A	vi =	= F	R	bce	ESS	5 . N	1E A		(P)	EC	:E)									
	•	9	LE	Т	PR	00	DE-	55	.Si) :	= +	7)06	ĒŠ	5.5	5D ((₽]	EC	E)											
	1	0	FΙ	LE	ĩ	4	5ĸ	I	N F	งวเ	JT I	L NO	3																	
	1	1	LO	υP																										
	17	2	LE	T	JO	9.	, P:	RI	DR I	[]]	1 4	= F	R	roi	211	ΓY														
	1	3	NO	₩	A T	TE	ΞN	D.	то	Δ(CTI	[V]	(T)	1																
	14	4	SC	HE	Dυ	LE	Ξ	тн	E S	574	ARI		LC1	ידז	V I 1	ΓY Ι	(FL	INC	11	ON	Ð	I١	N							
	1	5	EX	20	NE	N	r I	AL	•F	(ปร	SEC).	IE I	٨N	.3)	Ň	11	101	E	5		•								

16 RETURN END

lander and a second a second and the second and the second and the second second and the second second second a

- UVCLASSIFIED
 UVCLASSIFIED<

UNCLASSIFIED * UNCLASSIFIED * * * 4 CACI SIMSCRIPT 11.5 1100 SERIES RELEASE 7.0 LINE ROUTINE FOR ALLOCATION 1 2 LET STATUS (RESOURCE) = 1 REMOVE THE FIRST TASK FROM THIS ROUTING 3 SCHEDULE AN END. OF. TASK GIVEN ACTIVITY IN 4 EXPONENTIAL .F (PROCESS. MEAN . 2) MINUTES 5 DESTROY THE TASK 6

06/16/82

7 RETURN END

06/16/82

* UNCLASSIFIED + + + - # - 19 - + # . * UNCLASSIFIED + + + -* ٠ . • LINE CACI SIMSCRIPT 11.5 1100 STRIES RELEASE 7.0 1

- ROUTINE FOR STAY. TIME GIVEN ACTIVITY
- **3** S DEFINE ACTIVITY AS AN INTEGER VARIABLE
- LET STAY = TIME.V ARRIVAL.TIME (ACTIVITY)
- 4 RETURN END

A STATE AND A STAT

•

4 , .

14

....

5 . 5 .

06/16/82

HVELASSIFIES ٠ . . CALE REAL FROM EFEN ATOM REMERAL HELEARE FLA 1 1 14 E. e. E. F. (E. ()) A walk a f. e. w. f. e. (A e. f. e. ()) # Model & Charles An Price into A CONNER TO ATTACK FOR FOR THE OPEN PROPERTY. SEE ENE SEE CALL STORE BUILDER AN ALL ENDERING TO DE CONSTRUCT ٩. Stan NET INFERING STATION • TT STATISTICS STATUS & IS A. Part Chest President an • 6 A B T STATION MEAN A MEAN AND AND A PLANET A. B. F. 1936 (1), b. 1. (b. 18), 10, 10 . 1 11 1 11 110 1 1 101 1 10 14 that it all within a taken a fi 11 THE TAX & THE REPART OF 1.4 288 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 199 - 1 att into a contractor 15 1.6 A 1998 3 - E 1 98 E 189 E to at the streatform at as a se 11 14 PART PART PARTIES AND AND St. F. P.S. S. ALASS B. MERLAR, ESTRER 14 1 1 11 1. 11. 111 Ø A 11 enalise the state of the state 14 **

- **1** 🔺 1

An ange experient

** ***********

24 E.

1

. 1

74

. .

÷,

1 1 - * ±± . . . 00/10/82 HELFAND 7.0 1144 454155 t T 1 1 F • • • . i . 1 1 11 ۱. atone, as freque in evides. ATAHELACTIVITY) 1.... Î = 1 ٩ ŧ * * ŧ 1 . . ÷\$. 1 Las a Lassa 1 1 4 3 5 . 1 -----. ŧ 8 - + . . . ٠ 1.11. 1. 6 A.M. 1. 1. 1. 1 a 🖡 • •

UNCLASSIFIED # # * * * UNCLASSIFIED * * * LINE CACI SIMSCRIPT II.5 1100 SERIES RELEASE 7.0 06/16/82 EVENT FOR HOURLY.REPORT SAVING THE EVENT NOTICE 1 2 SKIP 2 LINES PRINT 1 LINE WITH HOUR.F(TIME.V) AS FOLLOWS 3 HOURLY REPORT FOR HOUR *** SKIP 2 OUTPUT LINES 5 PRINT 2 LINES WITH AVG.STAY, SD.STAY, SUM.STAY AND NUM.STAY AS FOLLOWS 6 AVG.STAY = 44.44444 SD.STAY = **.***** JUB STAY STATISTICS ARE NUM.STAY = **.****** SUN.STAY = ++.++++++ SKIP 2 LINES Y BEGIN REPORT 10 11 REGIN HEADING PRINT 2 LINES AS FOLLOWS 12 RESUURCE QUEUEING REPORT HNUM RESOURCE AVG. QUEUE MAX.QUEUE 15 ENU FOR EACH RESOURCE. PRINT 1 LINE WITH RESOURCE. AVG.QUEUF. 16 MAX. QUEVE. HNUM AS FOLLOWS 17 **_** ** **.** *** END 19 20 RESET TOTALS OF STAY FUR FACH RESOURCE + DO 51 RESET TOTALS OF N.QUEUE 22 53 LOOP LE1 RESOURCE = 124 RESCHEDULE THIS HOURLY REPORT IN 1 HOUR 25 26 RETURN END

5

```
* UNCLASSIFIED * *
     UNCLASSIFIED # #
LINE CACI SINSCRIPT II.5 1100 SERIES RELEASE 7.0
      EVENT CLEAN.OUT SAVING THE EVENT NOTICE
   1
      FOR EACH ACTIVITY IN QUEUE, DO
   5
      FOR EACH TASK IN ROUTING. DO
   З
      REMOVE THE FIRST TASK FROM ROUTING
   4
   5
      DESTROY THE TASK
      LOOP
   6
       REMOVE THE ACTIVITY FROM THE QUEUE
   7
       DESTROY THE ACTIVITY
   8
      LOOP
   9
       RESET TOTALS OF STAY
  10
      RESET TOTALS OF N.QUEUE
  11
       SKIP 2 LINES
  12
       PRINT 1 LINE AS FOLLOWS
  13
A CLEAN OUT HAS DECURRED
       RESCHEDULE THIS CLEAN.OUT IN 12 HOURS
  15
       RETURN END
  16
```

7

06/16/82

B-12