

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A





ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)					
REPURT DUCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM				
REFURINUMBER 2. GOVT ACCI	ESSION NO. J. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER				
	18 189				
TITLE (and Sublitle) Ittilization of Numerical Ontimizat:	ion Doctor of Dhilosophy				
Techniques in the Design of Robust	Sentember 1984				
Multi-Input, Multi-Output Control S	Systemse Performing org. Report NUMBER				
AUTHOR(=)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)				
Vernon Curtis Gordon					
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK				
Naval Postgraduate School	AREA & WORK UNLI NUMBERS				
Monterey, California 93943					
- ·					
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12, REPORT DATE				
Naval Postgraduate School	September 1984				
monterey, calliornia 93943	175				
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlli	ing Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)				
	Unclassified				
-	UIGLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING				
	SCHEDULE				
Approved for public release; distr	ibution unlimited.				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11	ibution unlimited.				
Approved for public release; distr	ibution unlimited.				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ibution unlimited.				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ibution unlimited.				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ibution unlimited. different from Report) lock number)				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by bl Multi-input Multi-output Control, Multivariable Systems.	ibution unlimited. different from Report) lock number) Robustness, Modern Control,				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <ey (continue="" and="" bl<br="" by="" eide="" identify="" if="" necessary="" on="" reverse="" words="">Multi-input Multi-output Control, Multivariable Systems.</ey>	ibution unlimited. different from Report) Tock number) Robustness, Modern Control,				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ends if necessary and identify by bit Multi-input Multi-output Control, Multivariable Systems. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse ends if necessary and identify by bit A direct design method for solving cross-coupling perturbations in mu presented. The method uses numeri to manipulate the system feedback variables. The manipulation is ac produces desired performance by po by modification of the minimum sin return difference matrix.	<pre>ibution unlimited. different from Report) lock number/ Robustness, Modern Control,</pre>				
Approved for public release; distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide If necessary and identify by bi Multi-input Multi-output Control, Multivariable Systems. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide If necessary and identify by bic A direct design method for solving cross-coupling perturbations in mu presented. The method uses numeri to manipulate the system feedback variables. The manipulation is ac produces desired performance by po by modification of the minimum sin return difference matrix. FORM 1472	<pre>ibution unlimited. different from Report) lock number) Robustness, Modern Control,</pre>				

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Channels affected by cross-coupling perturbation may be recognized by the character of their transfer function plot. The mechanism used by the pole placement and robustness routine in obtaining a robust design is evident from the gain changes associated with the transfer function diagram and the zero shifts shown on pole-zero plots. The pole placement and robustness routine uses gain equalization and zero assignment to modify the characteristics of the system in the areas of low singular values, producing a robust design.

A modification of the pole placement and robustness routine that may be applied to the design of robust observers is also presented. Using feedback and filter gains as direct design variables a practical design procedure for robustness recovery in observer based systems is obtained.



S N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Then Date Entered)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Utilization of Numerical Optimization Techniques in the Design of Robust Multi-input Multi-output Control Systems

by

Vernon C. Gordon Commander, United States Navy B.A.E., Auburn University, 1968 M.S.A.E., Naval Postgraduate School, 1970

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL • September 1984

Author: Vern

APPROVED BY:

May F. Plata

M. F. Platzer Professor of Aeronautics

Robert 7 Stree

R. D. Stfum Professor of Electrical Engineering

D. J. Collins // Professor of Aeronautics Thesis Supervisor

Approved by:

Approved by:

T. H. Gawain

Professor of Aeronautics

G. E. Latta Professor of Mathematics

May F. Plate

Chairman, Department of Aeronautics

David A. Schrady, Academic Dean

ABSTRACT

A direct design method for solving the problem of robustness to cross-coupling perturbations in multivariable control systems is presented. The method uses numerical optimization procedures to manipulate the system feedback gains as direct design variables. The manipulation is accomplished in a manner that produces desired performance by pole placement and robustness by modification of the minimum singular values of the system return difference matrix.

Channels affected by cross-coupling perturbation may be recognized by the character of their transfer function plot. The mechanism used by the pole placement and robustness routine in obtaining a robust design is evident from the gain changes associated with the transfer function diagram and the zero shifts shown on pole-zero plots. The pole placement and robustness routine uses gain equalization and zero assignment to modify the characteristics of the system in the areas of low singular values, producing a robust design.

A modification of the pole placement and robustness routine that may be applied to the design of robust observers is also presented. Using feedback and filter gains as direct design variables a practical design procedure for robustness recovery in observer based systems is obtained.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODU	JCTION	••	• ,	• •	•••	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•••	•	. 12	2
II.	SINGLE	-INPUT	SIN	IGLE-	-002	C PU I	: 53	(ST)	ens	•	•	•	•	• •	•	- 14	ł
III.	MULTIV	ARIABLE	s sy	STE	M S	• •	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	••	•	- 20	5
IV.	CFTIMI	ZATION	• •	• •	• •	•••	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•••	•	. 33	3
۷.	CFTIMI	ZATION	LES	IGN	FR	CEI	DURI	Ξ	• •	•	•	•	•	•••	•	• 52	2
VI.	INTROD	JCTCRY	PRC	BLE	M .	• •	•	•		•	•	•	•	• •	•	. 60)
VII.	A HELIC	COPTER	STA	BIL	ITY	PRC	BLI	em	• •	•	•	•	•	• •	•	. 82	2
VIII.	SIMFLE	OBSERV	ER	• •	• •	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	••	•	113	3
IX.	RCBUST	OBSERV	ĒR	DESI	IGN	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	• •	•	124	1
X.	CCNCIU	SIONS	• •	• •	• •	• •	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	• •	•	130)
LIST CH	REFER	ENCES	• •	•	• •	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	• .	• •	•	173	3
INITIAL	DISTR	IBUTION	I II	ST .		• •	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	175	5

LIST OF TABLES

1.	Comparative Results Simple Problem
2.	CH-46 Helicopter Parameter Definitions 84
з.	Design One Pole Placement
4.	Helicopter Problem Feedback Gains 104
5.	Cbserver Parameter Data

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Basic Gain and Phase Margin Determination
	Model
2.2	Classical Bcde Plot
2.3	Nyquist Plot of Stable System
2-4	Additively Perturbed System
2.5	Additive Nyguist Plot
2.6	Nyguist for Inequality Additive Condition 20
2.7	Multiplicative System
2.8	Nyquist Plot for Multiplicative System 22
2.9	Typical Equivalent Feedback System
2.10	Polar Plot of an Optimal System 24
3.1	Nyquist D Contour
3.2	Basic Multi-input, Multi-output System 29
3.3	Additive Perturbation
3.4	Multiplicative Perturbation
4.1	Design Space for Example Problem
4.2	Design Space for Column Problem
4.3	Illustrative Example for Iteration
4.4	Plot of Various Points With Zero Gradient 40
4.5	Constrained Cptimization Example 42
4.6	Organization of ADS Program
4.7	Golden Section Diagram 45
4.8	Steepest Descent Algorithm
4.9	Fletcher-Reeves Conjugate Direction
	Algorithm
5.1	Universal Gain and Phase Singular Value Plot 55
5.2	Observer Implementation
6.1	Basic Multi-input Multi-output System 61

6.2	Multivariable Nyquist for $2s+3/(s+1)^2$
6.3	Minimum Singular Value Plot, Example Problem 64
6.4	Nyquist Diagram of 1/s+1
6.5	Perturbed System
6.6	Singular Value Plot for Simple Problem 67
6.7	Clcsed-loop Foles for Pole Placement Only
	Case
6.8	Singular Value Plot Case Three
6.9	Open-loop Transfer Function 2-1 for Baseline 72
6.10	Transfer Function 2-1 Optimized (3 Var) 73
6.11	Transfer Function 1-1 Baseline
6.12	Transfer Function 1-1 Optimized (3
	variables)
6.13	Closed-loop Fole-Zero Plot Case 3
6.14	Pole-Zero Plot Simple Problem (4 Variables) 79
6.15	System Block Diagram 80
7.1	Feedback Control Structure
7.2	System Diagram
7.3	Alphatech Design Singular Value Plots 88
7.4	Design One Perturbation Input
7.5	Design Two Perturbation Input
7.6	Transfer Function $\delta_{B} - \delta_{B}$ Design One,
	Nonoptimized
7.7	Transfer Function $\delta s - \delta s$ Design One ,
	Optimized
7.8	Transfer Function $\& - S_B$ Design One,
	Nonoptimized
7.9	Transfer Function & - Sg Design One,
	Cptimized
7.10	Pole-Zero Plots for Design 1
7.11	Pole-Zero Plcts for Design 1 (cont.)
7.12	Pole-Zero Plots for Design 1 (cont.) 100
7.13	Pole-Zero Plots for Design 1 (cont.) 101

ĺ

l

7.14	The 68 to v Frequency Response, Nonopt	102
7.15	The So to v Frequency Response, Optimized	103
7.16	Transfer Function $\mathcal{S}_{c} - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{S}}$ Design 1 Case2,	
	Nonopt	105
7.17	Transfer Function $\delta_c - \delta_3$ Design 1 Case 2, Opt .	106
7.18	Transfer Function $\delta_c - \delta_B$ Design Two, Nonopt	108
7.19	Transfer Function $\& - \delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ Design Two,	
	Optimized	109
7.20	Singular Value Plot Design Two	110
7.21	Time Response Design Two	111
8.1	Simple Observer	113
8.2	Nyquist Plot	115
8.3	Nyquist Plot for Robustness Recovery	117
8.4	Singular Values of Observer System	118
8.5	Singular Value Comparison Plot	120
8.6	Nyguist for Computed Robustness Recovery	121
8.7	Time Response Plot for Simple Observer	122
9.1	Cbserver Based Controller	125
9.2	Singular Value Plot of Observer Results	126
9.3	Time Response for Cbserver System	127

ij

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For over fifteen years the United States Navy has allowed me to make practical application of the science of flight as a Naval Flight Officer and as a flight test project officer. I wish to express my appreciation to the Navy and the citizens of the United States for the cpportunity tc further expand my knowledge of aviation by pursuing this degree.

I would also like to express a sincere thank you to the Doctoral Committee of professors Platzer, Gawain, Latta, Strum and Collins for their support in these efforts. Of course special thanks must go to Professor D.J. Collins for heading the committee and having worked so long and hard with me on two theses. Without his support this effort would never have been completed.

Thanks are also expressed to Professor G.N. Vanderplaats for allowing me to use the Automated Design Synthesis code. His help in integrating the code into the pole placement and robustness design program and the insight into the power of numerical optimization that he provided were extremely keneficial to the outcome of this thesis.

The Naval Postgraduate School Library staff and Roger Martin are appreciated tremendously for providing excellent service. No request for reference material was ever to difficult for them to handle. The W.R. Church Computer Center is acknowledged for their support in the computational processing for this thesis. I would also like to acknowledge the work of CDR Al Diel and LTs Cliff Cooksey, John Hoden and Mike Laptas for the work done to provide an excellent control system analysis package at the Naval Postgraduate School. Their efforts made the analysis of data from the pole placement and robustness routine much easier. I would also like to thank my wife, Janice, and children, Bradley and Melissa. As anyone knows who has undertaken an effort of this magnitude without their love and support this would have been impossible. They have "gone it alone" guite often so that this paper could be completed.

Above all thanks to Him who started it all.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the rising interest in multivariable control theory increasingly complex systems the need brought on by has arisen to develop design methods that will allow the designer to specify system performance while at the same time ensuring relatively high stability margins or robust-In the single-input single-output (SISO) case the ness. designer has had the tools to do these tradeoffs in the form of Nyquist, Bode and root locus plots. In the multi-input multi-output (MIMO) case the classical methods are not totally appropriate.

With the increased interest in MIMO systems numerous methods of design have been employed to obtain suitable system performance and robustness with varying degrees of success. One primary method of design is to keep the plant as decoupled as possible throughout the design so that each individual element may be controlled . independently and designed essentially as a single loop system. Rosenbrock [Ref. 1] has developed a procedure where the multiloop system is modified into a system that has diagonal elements that are much larger than any off-diagonal elements. This diagonally dominant system is then in a form where conventional Nyquist type techniques can be employed in the analysis. A third common MIMO design method is that of the linear Quadratic (LC) method. This method uses a guadratic cost functional and optimization principles to allow the designer to design for various performance levels by adjusting the matrix weighting terms used in the cost function. The major difficulty with all of the above methods is that they are not necessarily robust. This is especially true for cross-coupling terms between loops.

The primary achievement of this thesis has been the incorporation of the time domain pole placement design procedure with a method of using return difference matrix singular values to improve the robustness of the design. The technique, which utilizes a modern optimization routine, can significantly assist the designer in obtaining robustness in the face of cross-coupling perturbations. It has also been shown that the cross-coupling perturbation problem can be detected by using classical open-loop inde diagrams as well as modern control analysis. The pole placement and robustness design routine developed for this thesis has been used cn several problems discussed in recent literature. In these studies the pole placement and robustness design code has proven capable of meeting the desired goals cf pole placement and robustness and also brought to light some interesting aspects of the cross-coupling perturbation problem. A slightly modified pole placement and robustness routine has proven effective in the design of robust cbservers.

The remainder of the thesis will present background material on SISO systems in Chapter Two and on MIMO systems in Chapter Three. Optimization will be discussed in Chapter Four along with a discussion of the Automated Design Synthesis (ADS) program used as the optimizer routine for the pole placement and robustness design procedure developed in this thesis. The thesis methodology will be discussed and outlined in Chapter Five. This will be followed by chapters discussing results from selected problems. Conclusions will be presented in the final chapter.

II. <u>SINGLE-INPUT</u> <u>SINGLE-OUTPUT</u> <u>SYSTEM5</u>

The purpose of this thesis research has been to develop a method of obtaining a robust multivariable control system design. A brief review of the concept of robustness and stability in the framework of a conventional SISO system will be done before pursuing the concepts in a more complicated fashion in the following chapters. A simple interpretation of robustness is the ability of the system to tolerate design perturbations. These perturbations could be in the form of actuator failures, plant parameter uncertainty, unmodeled dynamics or nonlinear terms, or any one of many other perturbations to the nominal design of the system.

The primary reason for feedback systems is the control of uncertainty within the system. By appropriate use of feedback, properties that would lead to an unstable system may be controlled. When stability and robustness aspects are considered for a SISO system, frequency domain design concepts, using either Nyquist or Bode plots, are normally used. Robustness in SISO systems is formulated naturally by the concept of gain and phase margins, both of which are readily available on the Nyquist or Bode diagram.

In figure 2.1 a nominal feedback system can be seen with a perturbation element \propto (s) placed in series with the nominal system. When $\alpha' = 1$ the system is nominal and stable. To determine the positive phase margin of the system the value of $\alpha'(s) = \alpha'(j\omega) = e^{j\varphi}$ will be changed by varying φ until the system just becomes unstable. This value of φ will then be the system phase margin. The negative phase margin can be computed in the same way. To find the gain margin the magnitude value of α' is increased until the system just



Figure 2.1 Basic Gain and Phase Margin Determination Model.

becomes unstable. This is the upward gain margin. A similar lower margin is also defined.

These gain and phase margins may not be adequate measures of robustness [Ref. 2] because they do not account for simultaneous variation in both gain and phase. Therefore, while large individual gain or phase changes may not destabilize the system, small simultaneous changes in gain and phase may destabilize the system. This is not a major difficulty in classical SISO techniques because the effect can be easily detected.

Gain and phase margin can be defined in terms of the cpen-loop frequency domain plots in either the Bode or Nyquist format. Figure 2.2 depicts a classical Bode plot showing gain and phase margin determination from the plot. The Nyquist plot may also be used to obtain this information. Nyquist criterion states that if the cpen-loop transfer function G(s)H(s) has n poles in the right half plane and the limit cf G(s)H(s)=constant as s→∞ then for a



Figure 2.2 Classical Bode Plot.

stable system the locus of G(s)H(s) will encircle the -1+j0 point n times in the counterclockwise direction as s varies along the Nyquist contour. If there are no poles in the right half s plane then the locus will not encircle the -1 + j0 point. The diagram in figure 2.3 illustrates a nominally stable system. The gain and phase margin may be determined directly from the diagram.

Any change in the loop transfer function, provided the order of G(s)H(s) does not change, that changes the number of times the locus of G(s)H(s) encircles the (-1,0) point in the Nyquist plot causes the system to become unstable. This leads to the conclusion that the minimum distance of the



Figure 2.3 Nyquist Plot of Stable System.

locus of G(s)H(s) to the (-1,0) point is a measure of the system stability. This distance concept carries over directly to the MIMC system as will be shown in the next chapter. Examples of a multiplicative perturbation and an additive perturbation illustrate this idea. Figure 2.4 is an additively perturbed system. Figure 2.5 shows the Nyquist plot for this system. Assuming that the plant is itself stable and the perturbations are also stable the diagram may then be used to determine how near the system is to instability for the given perturbation.



Figure 2.4 Additively Perturbed System.

Since the system is stable the (-1,0) point is encircled the correct number of times by the nominal plant. If the locus of $g(j\omega)$ in the diagram is warped until it passes beyond the (-1,0) point then clearly the number of encirclements of this point will change and the system will become unstable, assuming the order of the plant is not changed by the perturbation. To keep the locus of points from moving beyond the (-1,0) point equation 2.1 must hold.





$|\Delta g(j\omega)| < |1 + g(j\omega)|$ (2.1)

This condition is illustrated in figure 2.6. The right-hand side of equation 2.1 is just the magnitude of the return difference transfer function of the nominal system. The multiplicative case is depicted in figure 2.7 with its associated Nyquist plot in figure 2.8. The requirement for stability is similar to the additive case and may be stated in equation 2.2

 $|\Delta g(j\omega)| < | 1 + (g(j\omega)^{-1})|$ (2.2)





The above arguments will be applied again in Chapter 3 to develop multivariable stability and robustness properties.

The linear quadratic design has been the primary method employed in modern control design practice. In this method an optimal state feedback control law is developed to find a set of feedback gains that optimizes a chosen performance index. The performance index for the steady-state case is given in equation 2.3





$$PI = \int (\underline{x}^{\dagger} \underline{Q} \ \underline{x} + \underline{u}^{\dagger} \underline{R} \ \underline{u}) dt \qquad (2.3)$$

)

where the x'x term and the u'u term form quadratics. The Q and R matrices are chosen by the designer to provide the best compromise between the minimum error of the system and the minimum energy needed to control the system. The LQ method is based on the use of closed-loop state variable feedback for the control of the system. In the MIMO problem IQ methods have been used extensively because of their guaranteed stability margins with diagonal weighting matrices. For diagonal weighting matrices the LQ method yields a guaranteed phase margin of 60 degrees and -6 db to infinite gain margin.



Figure 2.8 Nyquist Plot for Multiplicative System.

For SISO linear quadratic optimal regulators these stability margins can be developed from inequality 2.4 [Ref. 3]

 $|1 + f'(j\omega I - a)^{-1}t| \ge 1$ (2.4)

Writing the Kalman equation as 2.5

$$|1 + f'(sI-a) - b|^2 = 1 + (1/a) |G_{k}(s)|^2$$
 (2.5)

cne has further

1

2

$$| 1 + G(s)H(s)|^2 = 1 + (1/\rho)|G_{\kappa}(s)|^2$$
 (2.6)

and for all $s=j\omega$ and $0\le \omega < \infty$ the function $(1/\rho)G_K(s)$ is greater than zero, therefore the Kalman inequality is shown to be 2.7.

| 1 + G(s)H(s) | > 1 (2.7)





The graphical interpretation of this result is that the polar plot of G(s)H(s) must remain outside the unit circle centered at the -1 + j0 point for all frequencies. Figure



Figure 2.10 Polar Plot of an Optimal System.

2.10 shows such a polar plot. Since the optimal regulator with the loop broken at the input to the plant (denoted by the x in figure 2.9) does not penetrate the unit disk about -1 + j0 this means that the single input regulator will have

a phase margin of at least 60 degrees and a gain margin tolerance of fifty percent gain reduction and infinite upward margin. Further discussion of this property may be found in Anderson and Moore [Ref. 4]. With this fasic review of the concepts of stability and robustness in the classical SISO system complete, the next chapter will extend some of these basic concepts to the MIMO system.

III. MULTIVARIABLE SYSTEMS

Linear quadratic design has performed relatively well in aircraft control concepts because of the ability tc fcrmulate the system state equation and to quantify acceptable performance indices for the system. Industrial applications for LC theory have been less successful and have led British researchers to look into forms of decoupled design methods. Cne of the primary methods used in multivariable design is to make the system totally decoupled. This method allows each loop to be designed as a separate entity by classical means. Cne of the primary difficulties with this method is the problem of finding a compensator which will totally decourle the system. The method also suffers from the effects cf cross-courled perturbation terms. A method that does not totally deccuple the system but makes the design probles simplier by designing a compensator that only causes the diagonal terms of the transfer matrix to be dominant over all cff-diagonal terms has also been developed, [Ref. 5]. Classical frequency domain techniques are then used to design each loop of the system. The major difficulty with this and other single loop design techniques is their failure to account for cross-coupling perturbation terms that may interact between the loops. The individual loops may be highly robust in these designs but the overall system robustness may be low because of the loop interaction not accounted for in the design. This is the precise area that the singular value analysis has proven so beneficial in Singular value concepts may be applied to convendesign . tionally designed systems to assess their robustness. For instance, if a system is designed by LQ methods the designer may then formulate the transfer function of the system and

assess the singular values of the return difference matrix of the system. If the minimum singular value is found to be low at some critical frequencies the designer can then modify the Q and/or R matrices chosen in the LQ performance index and recalculate the design. In this iterative fashion a robust design would be developed.

Į,

A generalization of the SISO Nyquist theory discussed in the previous chapter has been made for the MIMO problem. This generalization leads directly to the singular value concept. The generalization is expressed in the form of the multivariable Nyquist theorem which requires that a closed loop stable system have the same number of counterclockwise encirclements of the origin by the locus of the det($I+G(j\omega)$) as the number of open loop poles that are unstable. This theorem is formally stated as;

Let N[f(s)] denote the number of clockwise encirclements of (-1,0) by the locus of f(s) as s traverses the contour D of figure 3.1 in a clockwise sense. The closed-loop system will be stable if and only if for all R sufficiently large

N[f(s)] = -P

where

 $f(s) = -1 + \det[I+G(s)] = \varphi_{o_L}(s) / \varphi_{r_L}(s) - 1 \text{ and}$ P = the number of closed right-half plane zeros of $\varphi_{o_L}(s).$

The application of the Nyquist theorem comes through the fact that a multivariable system will not be robust to modelling errors if the return difference matrix, I + G, is nearly singular for some frequency. If I + G is nearly singular a small change in G may make I + G exactly singular. This causes the det (I + G) to become zero and the Nyquist encirclement count to change indicating an unstable system. It is possible for very small changes in I+G to produce large changes in the determinant of I+G. The matrix I+G



Pigure 3.1 Nyquist D Contour.

10/s+a	9.99/s+a
10/s+a	10/s+a 🖌

has determinant $0.1/(s+a)^2$. If the element p_{21} is changed by only one percent to 9.9/s+a the determinant becomes $1.1/(s+a)^2$ which is a significant change in the determinant value. Therefore, it is evident that det (I + G) is not an accurate measure of how near the return difference is to singularity. Researchers, in the field of controls [Ref. 6], [Ref. 7], [Ref. 8], [Ref. 9] have used singular value analysis to determine how near the return difference matrix is to singularity.

Since the number of encirclements of the Nyquist diagram changes as f(s) passes through the -1 point or when det (I+G) is zero it is important to find how near the return difference matrix I+G is to being singular. This nearness to singularity can be interpreted as closeness of the matrix G to the critical point, -1. A quantity which can be used to express the nearness to singularity of the matrix is the minimum matrix singular value denoted by \mathcal{Q} . Given a matrix A the singular value may be expressed by equation 3.1

$$\underline{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{A}) = \min_{\lambda} \left(\lambda_{i} \left(\underline{\mathbf{A}}^{H} \underline{\mathbf{A}} \right) \right)^{H_{2}}$$
(3.1)

where λ_i ($\underline{A}^{,\prime}\underline{A}$) is the eigenvalue of the complex conjugate transpose of \underline{A} times \underline{A} . A basic MIMO linear system is



Figure 3.2 Basic Multi-input, Multi-output System.

depicted in figure 3.2. An additive perturbation to the plant is shown in figure 3.3. If the plant is stable before the perturbation is added to the system the Nyquist theorem will be satisfied and the locus of GH will not encircle the -1,0 critical point. When the perturbation is added to the system as long as the Nyquist locus is not forced to



Figure 3.3 Additive Perturbation.

encircle the -1 point the system will remain stable. A sufficient condition, recalling the SISO discussion in chapter 2, for the perturbed Nyquist plot not to change encirclements is that the norm of the perturbation $\triangle G$ remain less than the norm of the return difference matrix as expressed in equation 3.2.

 $|| \Delta g(j\omega)|| < 1/|| (I+G)^{-1})||$

 $\omega \geq 0$ This condition will guarantee that the locus of the det (I+G) does not pass through the -1 point. If the l₂ or Euclidean norm is assumed for this condition the equation

(3.2)

3.2 may be expressed in terms of singular values as equation 3.3.

 $\overline{\sigma}(\Delta G) \leq \underline{\sigma}(\mathbf{I} + \underline{G}) \tag{3.3}$

This result states that as long as the maximum singular value of the perturbation matrix $\Delta \mathcal{G}$ is below the minimum norm value of the return difference matrix the system will remain stable. The problem of guaranteeing robustness becomes that of finding the largest norm of the perturbation quantity, the largest singular value, for which the smallest norm or singular value of the return difference matrix will remain non singular.

The multiplicative form for a system such as figure 3.4 gives the similar norm equation in 3.4

 $|| \Delta G(j\omega) || < 1/|| (I + (G) - 1) - 1||$ (3.4) $\omega \ge 0. \text{ which may be expressed as;}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{G}}\left(\Delta \mathbf{G}\right) \leq \underline{\mathbf{G}}\left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{G}^{-1}\right) \tag{3.5}$

Singular value decomposition software is readily available to determine how near the matrix I+G or $I+ (G)^{-1}$ is to singularity.



Figure 3.4 Bultiplicative Perturbation.

IV. <u>OPTINIZATION</u>

The purpose of this chapter will be to briefly describe several of the currently employed optimization techniques and the Automated Design Synthesis (ADS) program, [Ref. 10], which employs these techniques. In general, optimization implies finding the "best" possible solution to a problem. In actuality the best solution found by an optimization technique migh+ really only be a "better" solution to the problem. The purpose of ADS and other optimization routines is to allow a rational search to be conducted to find the best possible design. The techniques of numerical optimization are used to logically wary the various parameters that affect the design until a good solution is found.

As an example of an unconstrained optimization problem consider the following problem developed in [Ref. 11]. The problem is to minimize the function

$$F(\underline{x}) = 10x, 4-20x, 2x_{z}+10x_{z}^{2}+x, 2-2x, +5$$
(4.1)

F(x) is often called the objective function, the cost function or the penalty function. Since there are no conditions imposed on the design variables, x, and x_2 , and no additional limits imposed on the overall design, the problem is considered to be one of unconstrained minimization. Figure 4.1 represents this problem in the design space. From the figure it appears the optimum is near the point 1,1. Calculus may be applied to determine the optimum exactly. Taking the derivatives


Figure 4.1 Design Space for Example Problem.

$$\partial F(x) / \partial x_1 = 40 x_1^3 - 40 x_1 x_2 + 2 x_1 - 2 = 0$$
 (4.2)

 $\partial F(x) / \partial x_2 = -20x_1^2 + 20x_2 = 0$ (4.3)

and then solving the set of equations it is found that $x_1 = 1.0$ and $x_2 = 1.0$.

If design conditions are imposed on the problem then the optimization becomes one of constrained function minimization. In other words, while the minimum of a function is still sought, this minimum must exist within the limits imposed by the design conditions or constraints. The minimum of an unconstrained function will not necessarily be the same minimum for a constrained function.

As another example, also from [Ref. 11], a design is sought which gives the minimum weight of a particular column. This weight is expressed as:

 $\overline{W} = \rho A h = \pi D h$ (4.4)

where ρ is the unit weight of the material and A the cross sectional area. The stress in the column is given by:

$$\sigma = P/A = P/R Dt$$
(4.5)

without going into detail the design will be constrained by the allowable stress on the structure. Other constraints, Euler buckling and shell buckling, are also of interest to the designer of this column. The design problem is then stated as:

minimize
$$W = \eta R$$
 Dth (4.6)

for the constraints

 $g(1) = \sigma / \bar{\sigma} - 1 \le 0$ (4.7)

$$g(2) = \sigma_{1} \sigma_{0} - 1 \le 0$$
 (4.8)

 $g(3) = \sigma_{\sigma_{s}} - 1 \le 0$ (4.9)

with $C \ge 10e-06$ and t $\ge 10e-06$.

 $g(4) = t - D \le 0$ (4.10)

 $\vec{\sigma}$. $\sigma_{\vec{s}}$. $\sigma_{\vec{s}}$ refer to the allowable design stress, Euler buckling stress and the shell buckling stress respectively.



Figure 4.2 Design Space for Column Problem.

Figure 4.2 is a design space diagram for this problem. It is noted from the figure 4.2 that the optimum is not unique and can be any value along the arc noted as optimum in the figure. The general optimization problem is then written as:

subject to $g_{j}(x) \leq 0$ j=1, m inequality constraint (4.12)

 $h_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ k=1,l equality constraint}$ (4.13)

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} \leq \mathbf{x}_{i} \leq \mathbf{x}_{i}$$
 i=1,n side constraint (4.14)

where X = col (x1, x2, ..., xn) is the design variable.

The methods used to solve this problem are usually iterative. After the establishment of an initial set of variables the optimizer will update this initial value until the optimum values are found. Again , borrowing from [Ref. 11], the iterative technique may be demonstrated by a simple example. Figure 4.3 is used to illustrate this problem. Given the initial data set X⁰ the formula

 $x^{1} = x^{0} + \alpha^{*} S^{1}$ (4.15)

can be used to upgrade this estimate of \underline{X} . The vector S is the search direction for the iteration and the scalar quantity $\boldsymbol{\times}$ is the distance of the move in the S direction. Eeginning at \underline{X}° it is desired to reduce the objective function. The search for values of \underline{X} that reduce the objective function is made in the S direction which in this example is the opposite of the gradient of the function at point \underline{X}° . The choice of S could be arbitrary as long as it reduces the



Figure 4.3 Illustrative Example for Iteration.

value of the objective. If 5 is chosen to be opposite the gradient of the objective then the search would be a Once the direction is chosen then steepest descent search. must be found to minimize the objective along the scalar this direction vector without crossing a constraint boundary. The technique for finding \varkappa is to evaluate X, the objective and the constraint functions for values of α using computer code and numerical interpolation to estimate α . This \prec value then gives the minimum value for F(X)along this search vector. Now that \varkappa for this direction is known the new equation beccmes:

 $x^2 = x^1 + \alpha^{x} S^2$ (4.16)

and now X^1 is used to start a new search in direction S^2 and compute a new $a^{\#}$ that continues to reduce the objective

function. These one dimensional searches are continued until no more reduction in the objective can be found. At this point no further design improvement is possible.

Crtimization techniques do not always lead to the absolute crtimum when applied to problems of practical interest. The reasons for this could be numerical ill-conditioning of the problem formulation or simply that there are multiple solutions to the problem. Because of these difficulties it may be adviseable to choose several different starting points for the optimization process and use engineering judgement as to the design most applicable to the problem under analysis.

Considering the unconstrained case first where the desire is to minimize the function $F(\underline{x})$, it is well known that $F(\underline{x})$ will have a minimum where the gradient of $F(\underline{x})$ is zero. That is:

grad(F(x))=0

(4.17)

with the $\nabla F(\underline{x})$ defined as:

grad $(F(\underline{x})) = (\partial F(\underline{x})/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial F(\underline{x})/\partial x_n)$ (4.18)

Figure 4.4 shows why this is a necessary condition but does not guarantee a global minimum. The gradient of $F(\underline{x})$ is zero at all four points A,B,C, and D. However, only A and D are minima. A would be the global minimum for the function as defined here. D would be only a relative minimum. To check that the zero gradient corresponds to a minimum the Hessian matrix, i.e the matrix of second partial derivatives, can be examined for positive definiteness. A positive definite Hessian ensures a relative minimum. The only way to prove a global minimum for the function is to show that the Hessian matrix is positive definite for all design variables X. A test that is seldem possible to perform.



Figure 4.4 Plot of Various Points With Zero Gradient.

If the problem of minimization is a constrained problem the situation is different from that discussed above. The objective function gradient does not have to be zero at the optimum. Figure 4.5 illustrates this case. Using figure 4.5 and assuming a start at point A it is necessary to choose a search direction S which will reduce the objective function while not violating the constraint functions. Any direction that will reduce the objective is said to be useable. This is seen to be the half plane sector below the tangent to F(x) at point A. If a half plane to the right of the tangent to the active constraint at point A is considered then the feasible sector is formed. A combination of the two

conditions gives the useable, feasible sector where the search direction S must be chosen. In mathematical nomenclature the above argument may be stated as:

useable direction $grad(F(X)) \bullet S \le 0$ (4.19)

feasible direction grad $(g_j(x)) \cdot S \leq 0$ for all j (4.20)

for which $g_1(x) = 0$.

Fount B in figure 4.5 shows a point where the gradient of the objective and constraint point in exactly the opposite direction. At a point such as B the only search vector S that meets requirements for useability and feasibility is tangent to the constraint boundary and to a line of constant objective function. This condition is stated as:

 $grad(F(x)) + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_{j}grad(g_{j}(\underline{x})) + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_{m}grad(h_{y}(\underline{x})) = 0 \qquad (4.21)$

where $\lambda_{j} \ge 0$ and $\lambda_{\ell+m}$ -unrestricted.

With this brief background in optimization complete the Automated Design Synthesis (ADS) program will be briefly discussed. More detail on the ADS routine can be found in [Ref. 10]. This code was developed as a follow-on to the successful CONMIN code [Ref. 12] developed by Vanderplaats. It is designed as a black box optimizer which allows the user to choose combinations of one dimensional search, optimization algorithm and optimization strategy. These will be discussed later. For the user with specific requirements the code may be tailored by parameter modification to meet specific requirements. For the work done in this thesis it was assumed the user of the code has no detailed knowledge of optimization and will want to use the code in the



Figure 4.5 Constrained Optimization Example.

simplest form. As such, the ADS calls made from the main program use only default parameters and first forward finite difference gradients. Should analytical gradients be available the user could use them within the code if desired with no difficulty. The calls made by the user to the ADS routines specify several important aspects of the problem solution. The user may call for an optimization strategy to be used in the routine. This is not required and its use depends on the problem. These strategies are discussed in [Ref. 10]. Two strategies used most often in the analysis done for this thesis have been sequential unconstrained minimization using guadratic exterior penalty function and the augmented Lagrange multiplier (ALM) method. Others available include seguential linear programming and sequential guadratic programming.

l;

The basic optimizer is also chosen by the user from two unconstrained and three constrained optimization algorithms. The unconstrained algorithms are Fletcher-Reeves conjugate directions, Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) variable metric method and the Broydon-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) variable metric method. The method of feasible directions and robust feasible directions are available for constrained minimization.

The user has available several types of one dimensional searches using Golden Section or polynomial approximation techniques. The ADS code has tailored these one dimensional search algorithms for the unconstrained and constrained cases, allowing the user to make appropriate choices for the type of problem to be solved. Figure 4.6 shows the basic organization of the ADS program.

Since it is not the purpose of this chapter to cover the subject of optimization in detail all the possible routines in ADS will not be discussed. A few of the routines found to work well for the work completed in this thesis will be briefly described, however. There are several methods of optimizing functions of one variable or one dimensional searches. For instance a large number of points, n, could be chosen and the function F(x) evaluated at each point. The point corresponding to the smallest value of F(x) could then the considered the optimum value of the one dimensional search. This method is hit and miss and better methods of



Figure 4.6 Organization of ADS Program.

locating optimum points can be used. The methods used in ADS are Golden Section and polynomial interpolation.

Golden Section search methods are easy to program on the digital computer and do not require continuous derivatives. They have a known convergence rate and are reliable for poorly conditioned problems. The major drawback of the Golden Section routines is the large number of function evaluations require. The Golden Section algorithm is simply illustrated through the use of figure 4.7 Assume that X⁰ and X¹ are known to be bounds on the curve's minimum value. Also, the function values of F(x), F^0 and F^1 , are evaluated and known at these points. By picking two intermediate points X² and X³ where X² < X³ and evaluating the function



Pigure 4.7 Golden Section Diagram.

at these points a bound on the minimum may be modified. Since F^2 for this figure is larger than F^3 the point X^2 forms the new lower bound. The minimum is now between X^2 and X^1 . If the function F^4 at X^4 is determined and shown to be larger than F^3 then X^4 becomes the new upper bound on the minimum. By repeating this procedure the bounds may be narrowed to any desired tolerance. The Golden Section rule is applied to this problem to reduce the bounds in the quickest possible time. By appropriately picking the values of X's at which each function evaluation is made an efficient algorithm that uses the ratio proportion of the Golden

Section, i.e. $X^2/X^1 = 1.62803$ is developed. By choosing a value τ based on this Golden Section rule where $\tau = 0.38197$ estimates for interior points X^2 and X^3 can be made as:

$$X^{2} = (1 - \hat{z}) X^{0} + \hat{z} X^{1}$$
 (4.22)

$$X^{3} = \mathcal{C} X^{0} + (1 - \mathcal{C}) X^{1}$$
 (4.23)

As each new bound is found the process repeats until the accepted level of convergence is reached.

The polynomial interpolation method is accomplished by first fitting a polynomial curve to the points about where the minimum is desired and then finding the minimum of the polynomial function. For example if the function F(X) is approximated by a guadratic as:

$$F = a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2$$
 (4.24)

Then the value of I, I, where F^{*} is zero can be shown to be :

$$X^* = -a_1 / 2a_2$$
 (4.25)

If a z is positive then F will be minimum. Other degrees of folyncmials may be used in similar fashion.

Now that the basic one dimensional search methods have been reviewed the next step is to examine the basic cptimization routines. First, the unconstrained case will be reviewed. The optimum X^* is at the point where

grad ($F(\underline{X}^{*}) = 0$

Several zero order methods exist for the purpose of finding the minimum value. These include random search, Powell's method and Box's method. Since ADS does not use these methods they will not be discussed.

(4.26)

Automated Design Synthesis makes use of first order methods which will now be discussed. The steepest descent method is best known, but poor in performance. Just as the name implies a search direction is chosen opposite the gradient of the objective function. At iteration 0

 $S^{0} = - \text{grad}(P(X^{0}))$ (4.27)

Figure 4.8 shows this algorithm geometrically. Note that the method simply stair steps its way down the "hill" to the valley or minimum. ADS uses the Fletcher-Reeves modification to steepest descent. In this routine a conjugate direction is chosen to improve the speed of the search. Figure 4.9 shows how this method tracks to the solution.

The variable metric methods listed earlier are usually more powerful than Fletcher-Reeves because they store information that allows the algorithm to approximate the inverse of the Hessian matrix or second derivative. For further discussion of these methods see [Ref. 11] or other similar optimization texts.

ALS employs two direct methods for constrained minimization. One method is that of feasible direction and the other is the method of robust feasible direction. Since these two methods were seldom employed within the work presented in this paper they will not be discussed.

The methods chosen to handle the constrained minimization problems formulated in this thesis are referred to as Sequential Unconstrained Minimization Techniques (SUMT).



Figure 4.8 Steepest Descent Algorithm.

SUMT methods are methods which formulate the objective function and the constraint functions into an augmented objective function and then solving the problem as if it were an unconstrained optimization task. ADS employs interior and exterior penalty function techniques as well as an Augmented Lagrange Multiplier (ALM) technique.

The exterior penalty function method is incorporated by forming a penalty from the constraint equations. This penalty is of the form :

$$P(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \max(0, g_j(\underline{x}))^2 + \sum_{\substack{x=j \\ x=j}}^{l} (h_x(\underline{x}))^2 \qquad (4.28)$$



Figure 4.9 Fletcher-Reeves Conjugate Direction Algorithm.

From this equation it is obvious that $P(\underline{x})$ is zero if all the constraints are satisfied. That is, if $g_j(\underline{x}) \leq 0$ and $h_j(\underline{x}) = 0$, then all conditions of the penalty function are satisfied. If an element of the penalty function is violated then the penalty increases as the square of the violated constraint. A pseude or augmented objective function is formulated where :

The constant r_p is a weighting factor for the penalty. It is adjusted with ADS as the optimization proceeds to allow the program to systematically converge to an optimum solution.

One disadvantage of this method is that if the optimizer is stopped short of the optimum the design will be in the infeasible region and probably not useful.

Criginally developed as a method to solve equality constrained problems the version of ALM in ADS has been modified to work with both equality and inequality constraints. The statement is as follows:

minimize $F(\mathbf{x})$ (4.30)

subject to
$$h_{\mu}(\underline{x}) = 0 \ k=1,1$$
 (4.31)

Next a Lagrangian is created such that

$$L(\underline{x},\lambda) = F(\underline{x}) + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{\kappa} h_{\kappa}(\underline{x})$$
(4.32)

Then the equation is augmented with an exterior penalty function such that

$$A(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{r}_{p}) = F(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\mathbf{x}=1}^{\ell} (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{r}_{p}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}) \qquad (4.33)$$

The power of this method is that in theory precise constraint matching is possible whereas in the exterior penalty function method it is not. The full details of the method will not be covered here, however, the final form of the objective function will be included:

$$A(\underline{x}, \lambda, r_{p}) = F(\underline{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\lambda_{j} \psi_{j} + r_{p} \psi_{j}^{2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} (\lambda_{h_{k}}(\underline{x}) + r_{p} (h_{k}(\underline{x}))^{2}) (4.34)$$

where $\#=\max(g_j(x), -\lambda_j/2r_p)$, and the update formulas for the Lagrange multipliers are:

$$\lambda_{j}^{P+i} = \lambda_{j}^{P} + 2r_{p} (\max(g_{j}(x), -\lambda_{j}/2r_{p})) \quad j=1,m$$
 (4.35)

$$\lambda_{k+m}^{P+1} = \lambda_{k+m}^{P} + 2r_{P}h_{k}(x) \quad k=1,1$$
 (4.36)

With this krief review of optimization and the ADS program completed the next chapter will discuss the program development for this thesis research.

V. OPTIMIZATION DESIGN PROCEDURE

Ic accomplish the task of designing a control system with acceptable time domain performance and robustness characteristics in a straight forward manner a numerical optimization technique was chosen as the method of implementation of the design algorithm. Using numerical procedures to adjust selected design variables, in this case the feedtack and/or filter gains, a desired level of performance can This level of performance is actually a combite achieved. nation cf time domain performance and robustness or frequency domain performance. By establishing the criteria for the system performance in terms of an optimization objective and constraint functions a versatile procedure can te developed to set the system feedback gains and affect an acceptable design in terms of performance and robustness. The pcle placement and robustness (POPLAR) design procedure uses pole placement to establish a designer selected performance level and then a minimum singular value level to establish the robustness.

The pole placement portion of the procedure will be discussed first. The pole placement technique was chosen because it was relatively easy to implement through a numerical optimization routine. By using this numerical procedure it is also simple to incorporate robustness into the procedure along with the performance requirements. A numerical technique similar to one posed in [Ref. 13] was chosen for the pole placement algorithm. An unconstrained optimization routine from the IBM IMSL library was used for this program. The routine, a Newton method, uses adjustments to the output feedback gains to reduce the size of an objective function. This objective function was expressed as a function of the

pole location of the system and as the objective was reduced the poles were moved toward the desired locations. To provide more versatility in the pole placement algorithm a method that can use constraint functions as well as unconstrained optimization was chosen for this program. The designer may use either objective, constraint or a combination of functions to secure the desired pole locations. As currently implemented in the program the cost or objective portion of the pole placement procedure is constructed as equation 5.1

$$OBJ = \sum_{i=1}^{J} (\lambda_{R_{0_i}} - \lambda_{R_i})^2 + (\lambda_{I_{0_i}} - \lambda_{I_i})^2$$
(5.1)

where

 λ_{R} = real eigenvalue

 λ_1 = imaginary eigenvalue

 $\lambda_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}}}$ = desired eigenvalue location

 λ_{Ib} = desired eigenvalue location

The constraint formulation is a function that must be kept negative or the constraint is violated. It is written as equation 5.2

$$g(j) = \sqrt{(\lambda_{R_{0j}} - \lambda_{R_{j}})^{2} + (\lambda_{I_{0j}} - \lambda_{I_{j}})^{2}} - r$$
 (5.2)

where r is a tolerance circle established as a function of pole placement position. Since the aim of the optimizer is to keep g negative any time the λ function of the constraint is greater than r the constraint will become active, i.e. violated. The optimizer will then attempt to move the constraint to the inactive status by adjusting the design parameters of the system.

Consideration of implementation of the frequency domain or rotustness portion of the design procedure begins with the concept of MIMO phase and gain margins. Several useful theorems on singular value analysis of multiloop systems are presented in [Ref. 8]. One of these theorems relates the matrix singular value of the return difference function to a parameter, α , and further shows that as long as the maximum singular value of the perturbation function $(L^{-1} - \underline{I})$ remains less than this α , the system remains stable. The value of α is then related to gain and phase margins of the MIMO system. The relationship developed is given in equations 5.3 and 5.4:

 $gain margin = GM = 1/(1+\alpha_0)$ (5.3)

phase margin =
$$PM = \pm \cos^{-1}(1-\alpha_0^2/2)$$
 (5.4)

provided that equation 5.5 holds.

These phase and gain margins are guaranteed in every loop simultaneously.

Universal gain and phase margin curves, [Ref. 14], hased on the minimum singular values of the return difference matrix are developed from equation 5.6.

$$(\underline{I}^{-1}-\underline{I}) = \max \sqrt{(1-1/k_n)^2 + 2/k_n (1-\cos \varphi_n)}$$
 (5.6)

for all n with $k_n > 0$. These curves shown in figure 5.1 allow the designer to pick a singular value that corresponds to a specific gain and phase margin for a given system. In addition to the universal gain and phase plot [Ref. 15] developes an optimizer solution for formulating a robust controller using the CONMIN algorithm [Ref. 12].





Since the universal curve in figure 5.1 provides a convenient method of specifying gain and phase margins in terms of singular values the robustness portion of the pole placement and robustness design procedure uses the minimum singular value level of the return difference matrix to determine the robustness. The minimum singular value level is formulated as an objective or constraint function in equation 5.7

$$J = \sum_{j} (\max(0, (\sigma_{j} - \sigma_{j}(j\omega, p)))^{2}$$
 (5.7)

The optimization procedure may be used to change feedback gains until the minimum singular value is raised above this desired design level. Although the same formulation can be used as a negative constraint function it has not been implemented as such within this program. There are numerous ways the singular value formulation could be implemented within the program by changes of the code if design requirements forced such changes.

The pele placement and robustness design program is based on the ADS code discussed in Chapter 4 to implement the design variable selection procedures. The pole placement and robustness program consists of two separate programs. The first program is used to provide designs for state or output feedback problems while the second program is used for observer or filter designs.

For the state or output feedback design program the user must input the plant matrices $\underline{A}, \underline{B}, \underline{C}$ and initial starting values for the feedback matrix F. The matrices correspond to the following linear differential system:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} \tag{5.8}$

- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} \tag{5.9}$
- $\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} \tag{5.10}$

A feed-forward matrix has not been considered in the current program. A feed forward matrix could be added to the procedure if required for specific design cases.

As the design program is currently coded the user may run output feedback or state feedback design by specifying the <u>C</u> matrix as the diagonal(<u>I</u>) matrix for state feedback. The program relies on initial starting values of the feedtack gains, <u>F</u>. As discussed in Chapter 4 there is no guarantee that the optimum found by the procedure each time is the global optimum or that the procedure will always

converge to an acceptable solution. The ability to select acceptable starting values for the feedback gains will make the procedure more efficient in operation. As currently employed, the program is used to obtain pole placement and robustness for a given set of starting gains and a selected optimization routine from the ADS program. If the optimizer is not able to meet the desired design goals on this program run two cptions are available. First, change to a different optimization routine from the list of available ADS routines and rerun the problem. This was usually successful in improving the design. Second, the designer uses a new set of starting values for the feedback gains and repeats the design procedure. Both options might be used on particularly difficult cases.

[]

The pole placement and robustness design procedure has consistently been able to find improved designs; however the program does not always yield acceptable designs. Certain problems require changes in the optimizer routine and modification in the initial feedback gain starting values in order to obtain acceptable designs. Using the IBM 3033 time share system the pole placement and robustness routine requires about ten CPU seconds to work a second order problem and on the order of 15 to 60 CPU seconds to run a forth order problem. The actual amount of time varies with optimization requirements and time share utilization.

The observer robustness design program requires two passes of the ADS program. In the first pass the feedback gains, F, of the controller are computed to obtain the desired pole locations. The second pass of the ADS routine is used to adjust the observer gains to recover the system robustness. The two pass procedure was chosen because it allows a smaller number of design variables at each stage of the optimization and much more efficient computer usage. Figure 5.2 shows how the observer is implemented. This diagram is algebraicly stated as:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{F}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{\hat{x}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}$$
(5.11)
$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{C}$$

where \underline{x} is the state, $\underline{\hat{x}}$ is the estimator variable, \underline{F} is the feedback gain and \underline{K} is the observer gain. The design of the feedback gains and the observer gains are accomplished as separate quantities in keeping with the separation prin-



Figure 5.2 Observer Implementation.

ciple. In using the pole placement and robustness design procedure for the observer system, initial values of the F and K matrices must be input. The same or different optimization techniques from ADS may be employed.

The routines contained in this thesis have been based on the input additive singular value level. The pole placement and robustness design algorithm computes input additive, output additive, input multiplicative, and output multiplicative singular values. Any of these singular values can be incorporated into the objective or constraint formulations but have not been for this version of the program.

In summary, the pole placement and robustness design procedure is a straight forward numerical optimization procedure for the practical application modern MIMO system analysis. The new aspects of the procedure are the implementation of both pole placement and robustness criteria within the same design program. The versatility of the pole placement and robustness design is obtained by incorporating a state of the art crtimizer routine ADS, with currently available singular value computation routines. Using the cptimizer format for the pole placement and robustness design gives the designer the ability to modify variables directly that affect both the time domain or performance of the system and the frequency domain or robustness of the system. The numerical optimization incorporated into the pole placement and rcbustness design is flexible enough to incorporate the double pass design technique using the seraration of the feedback and filter gains to obtain robustness recovery for observer based controllers.

VI. <u>INTRODUCTORY</u> PROBLEM

The purpose of this introductory problem is to review some results of modern multivariable robustness theory using a simple problem. This same simple system is then used as a test problem for the optimization technique developed for this thesis. The problem provides excellent insight into the cross-coupling problem and demonstrates how effectively the pole placement and rcbustness design procedure can be in dealing with the cross perturbation terms.

The problem chosen for this introductory analysis comes from [Ref. 9]. Figure 6.1 is a diagram of this tasic system. In this problem a simple plant is specified by the following linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\dot{x}} \\ \mathbf{$$

$$y 1 = x 1$$
 (6.2)
 $y 2 = x 2$

A feedback compensation of the form of equation 6.3 was assumed.

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ u \\ u \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x \\ x \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u \\ u \\ u \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$
 (6.3)

Which gives a closed-loop system, equation 6.4

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\dot{x}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{x}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{x}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{x}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6.4)

This system has eigenvalues at -2, -2 and is therefore stable. Using equation 6.5

$$G = C(sI-A)^{-1}B$$
(6.5)

the transfer matrix may be written as equation 6.6.

$$G_{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/s+1 & b_{1z}/s+1 \\ 0 & 1/s+1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6.6)

which gives the return difference matrix, equation 6.7.

$$I+G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} s+2/s+1 & t_{12}/s+1 \\ 0 & s+2/s+1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6.7)



Figure 6.1 Basic Multi-input Multi-output System.

The problem shows the inadequacies of classical methods in establishing the robustness of the system. A brief review of the results will be presented. Using the return difference matrix (I+G), the determinant may be written as equation 6.8.

(6.8)

Therefore, the multivariable Nyquist diagram will be as



Figure 6.2 Multivariable Nyquist for 2s+3/(s+1)².

shown in figure 6.2. The diagram does not encircle the (-1, 0)point and is indicative of a closed-loop stable system. Considered as a SISO system one has a gain margin of -1/3 to ∞ and a phase margin of ±106 degrees. Under this criteria one can conclude that this is a good design. This will be shown later not to be the case.

Multi-input systems are often designed a loop at a time. Applying loop design to the system the transfer function for either lcop taken separately becomes equation 6.9

G = 1/s + 1

Figure 6.4 shows the Nyquist diagram of this problem. Using this Nyquist diagram the system is indicated to be stable and have phase and gain margins of $GM=(-1, \infty)$ and $FM=(\pm 180)$. This analysis does not show the true nature of the robustness of the system. Since the factor b is not a parameter in either of the Nyquist curves it plays no part in the stability determination using these diagrams.

Using the criteria of singular values discussed in Chapter 3 a measure of the nearness to instability for this problem may be obtained by plotting the minimum singular value of $(\underline{I}+\underline{G}), \underline{\nabla}$. (For numerical calculations a value of $b_{12} = 50$ is assumed). Figure 6.3 shows the plot of this value vs. frequency. This gives a minimum singular value of about -23 db or 0.071 which corresponds to a gain margin of about 0.93 to 1.08 and a phase margin of ±4.1 degrees. These phase and gain margins are quite small and are evident in the cross-feed perturbation problem.

A perturbed system as shown in figure 5.5 can be produced which will lead to stability problems with very small values of perturbation. The closed-loop system if perturbed by a small perturbation, $5/b_{12}$, where b_{12} is a large number, will have as a characteristic equation, equation 6.10

 $(sI-A) = s^2 + 4s + 9$ (6.10)

with the eigenvalues of $s=-2\pm\sqrt{5}$. There is one positive root in this solution and the system is unstable.

To determine the nature of the robustness of this system the return difference matrix must be considered. If the return difference matrix of the transfer function $(I+G(j\omega))$

(6.9)



Figure 6.3 Minimum Singular Value Plot, Example Problem.

is nearly singular at some frequency ω_{\bullet} , then the multivariable system will not be robust with regard to any modelling errors within the system. This is because any small change in $\mathcal{G}(j\omega)$ can then make $I+\mathcal{G}(j\omega)$ singular and the det $(I+\mathcal{G})$ becomes zero, thus changing the encirclements of the Nyguist stability point and indicating a system instability. Using equation 6.1 the pole placement and robustness design method



Figure 6.4 Nyquist Diagram of 1/s+1.

can be demonstrated . The first step is to establish a baseline for the design. The state unity feedback model in this simple problem gives pole locations of -2 and -2. This set of eigenvalues -2, -2 were chosen as the baseline for the system. Since a second order system requires only two feedback gains to place the poles, the diagonal feedback gains were chosen for pole placement purposes. The pole placement and robustness design program was then used to place the poles and in the process obtained the gains required to do this (1,1). A plot of some of the singular value criteria obtained is shown in figure 6.6. Pole-zero plots of the





closed-loop transfer functions of the closed-loop transfer matrix are shown in figure 6.7. In this case the poles and a zero are clustered about the -2 point and in the input two to output one channel a zero is located at the -1 point on the pole-zero diagram. This point closely corresponds to the minimum singular value frequency. The only significant observations are the ability of the pole placement and robustness routine to place the poles and the relatively poor singular values indicative of low robustness.

Since there is a requirement for two feedback parameters to set the poles of a second order system there should be no additional freedom in design to account for robustness. Case two was a run to demonstrate this fact. Again allowing only two design variables for the problem the pole placement and robustness design program was run but with an objective function formulated to adjust the singular value above a



Figure 6.6 Singular Value Plot for Simple Problem.



ì



base level of 0.6. This level would correspond, using the universal gain margin chart, to a gain margin of -4 db to 3 db and a phase margin of ± 35 degrees. With only the two design variables to work with the pole placement and robustness design program was unable to place the poles and adjust the singular value level to the required value. The roles were placed at -2, -2 but the singular value minimum was still on the order of -23 db or 0.07. The pole-zerc plot remained almost unchanged. It is clear that additional degrees of freedom for the pole placement and robustness design program must be opened if robustness is to be accounted for.

Case three was then run on the pole placement and robustness program by adding on additional degree of This case used the f gain as the additional freedom. design variable. A good choice as will be seen. Allowing the optimizer routine the extra freedom to adjust the additional feedback gain term an excellent design was found. The singular value minimum became 0.885 as shown in figure 6.8. Using the universal gain margin chart this corresponds to gain and phase margins of -6 db - 18 db and ±52 degrees respectively. This is a considerable improvement over the criginal design. The factor that changed the design was the upper diagonal feedback term which provides a cancelling factor for the cross-coupling term $b_{12} = 50$. This can be seen by looking at the system matrix equation 6.11.

$$A_{\zeta} = A - BF \tag{6.11}$$

where equation 6.12 gives A .

$$\underline{A}_{c} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 - f_{11} & -f_{12} - b_{12} f_{22} \\ 0 & -1 - f_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6.12)


•

If the upper diagonal term of the matrix can be driven to zero the system will be decoupled into a diagonal system. To place the poles of this system at -2,-2 the diagonal feedback gains must be one. The optimizer feedback gains for this case were $f_{,,}$ =1.00006, f_{RZ} =0.99998 and, most importantly, $f_{,Z}$ =-51.83401. Using these values in the A matrix

 $A = -2.00006 \qquad 1.83501 \\ 0.0 \qquad -1.99998$

The value in the upper right position in the system matrix has been lowered considerably from the value of near 50 that appears in this position in the low singular value cases. Iowering this system gain value decreases the cross-coupling perturbation effects on the system. This can be more graphically demonstrated by figures 6.9 and 6.10. In figure 6.9 the transfer function shows a high gain of approximately 35 db and a handwidth of 50 rad/sec. In figure 6.10 this gain has been reduced to 6.0 db with a bandwidth of almost 2 rad/sec. Two things are indicated by the figures, one, the open-lcor Ecde plot of the transfer function of the crosscoupled channel can be used to indicate the robustness problem as evidenced by the high gain and bandwiith relative to the other feedback gains and, two, the mechanism used by the pole placement and robustness design procedure to recover robustness is to reduce the relative gain and associated kandwidth within the affected channel. Figures 6.11 and 6.12 which are for the input one to output one channel are approximately the same as are the Bode plots for the input two to output two channel which are not shown. These figures indicate that no problem exists in the diagonal or direct coupling terms. The pole-zero diagram for optimization run case three is included in figure 6.13. The only significant change in this plot as compared to figure 6.7 is the scvement of the zero in the off diagonal pole-zero plot. The zero is seen to shift to one of the pole locations



Figure 6.9 Open-loop Transfer Function 2-1 for Baseline.

÷

72

۰.

· • •

- N



r.

í.

P



73

•

· . .

•

. . .









. .

. . . .

during the optimization. This zero shift has the effect of smoothing the frequency response curve in the vicinity of the frequency of the minimum singular value providing a more uniform gain distribution around this point. Table 1 shows comparison results for the feedback gains for several cases of this hasic problem.

The logical extension of the problem to the general case is to allow all four feedback gains to become design vari-

	Feedback Gains			
	f ₁₁	f ₁₂	f ₂₁	f ₂₂
f ₁₂ variable f ₂₁ =0	1.00006	-51.83401	0.0	0.9998
f ₂₁ variable f ₁₂ =0	0.25254	0.0	-0.01071	1.52332
f_{21} variable $f_{12} = -50$.99960	-50.0	0.00025	0.99038
All f's variable	1.11936	-60.4718	0.00280	0.75478

TABLE 1Comparative Results Simple Problem

ables. The pole placement and robustness routine can then use full freedom in choosing all four of these feedback gains to compensate for any cross-coupling effects within the system. Based on the previous analysis the two diagonal gains would be expected to approach 1 while the upper off diagonal gain moves to -50 and the lower one moves to 0. The flexibility of the ADS program was required for the four design variable study. Several runs were made with various combinations of starting parameters for the feedback gains and optimizer routines before a good design for the case

incorporating all four feedback gains as variable was obtained. While this points out one of the limitations of optimization design routines the program was able to develop an improved design over the baseline while employing four design variables. In the design produced for this case the singular value level was placed above 0.6 for a gain margin of -4 db to 9 db and phase margin of \pm 35 degrees. In this formulation the optimizer was able to place the poles and meet the design singular value level. The feedback gains produced by the optimizer were:

Ŀ

1.11936-60.47180.00280.75478

which are approaching the analytic design gains. After obtaining the feedback gains the OPTSYS program was used to obtain the necessary data to do a closed-loop pole-zerc map. This plot is shown in figure 6.14 These plots indicate a similar pole-zero location to that found in the previous three design variable problem. Again the gain in the affected channel has been reduced to compensate for the high cross-coupling perturbation within the system.

The design studies presented to this point have been based on breaking the system loop at the input as shown in In multivariable theory the location of the figure 6.15. break in the loop changes the return difference for the system and the transfer function formulation. In the figure number 1 depicts a system with an input loop break point while number 2 is an output loop break point for output return difference determination. The return difference function for the point 1 is written as I+FG while the return difference for point 2 is I+GF. The baseline system not only has low singular values of the input return difference matrix, the lowest being 0.0706, but also has low singular values for the output return difference matrix of point 2 of about the same order. To demonstrate the versatility of the





Pigure 6.15 System Block Diagram.

pole placement and robustness routine a design was made in which the output singular values were specified instead of the input values. The pole placement and robustness routine produced a design with gains that provided a highly robust system on the output side with gain and phase margin of -6 db to and phase margin of ± 60 degrees. The design was not robust on the input side. Thus, designing for robustness at one point in the system does not necessarily give robustness at all points within the system.

Cne final case that should be discussed is that of setting both input and output robustness criteria at the same time. Excellent results were obtained for this case. The design routine placed the poles at ~1.97±.009j with feedback gains of

> 0.85793 -45.91757 0.00425 0.87137

The input singular values were raised to a level of 0.74984 or -4.5 db to 12 db gain margin and ±43 degrees phase

margin. The output values were raised to above 0.822 which corresponds to -5 to 15 db gain margin and ± 49 degrees phase margin.

Ic summarize, it can be stated that the robustness problem for this system exists in the upper cross-coutling channel (input two, output one). The lack of robustness can be discovered in two ways. The first method is to plot the open-loop Bcde plots cf each element of the transfer matrix and lock for extremely high gains and bandwidths relative to the other transfer functions. The second method examines the singular values of the return difference matrix for magnitude. Low singular values correspond to low robustness. The pole placement and robustness design routine can increase robustness by modifying feedback gains to reduce the effect of cross-coupling within the system. Observing the gain modification made by the pole placement and robustness routine the critical channel within the system that affects the robustness may be determined from the Bode clots. The pole placement and robustness routine feedback gain changes also cause zero shifts during the robustness The gain on the open loop Bode plot for the recovery. affected crcss-coupling channel is adjusted and the clcsedloop zeros, as seen on the pole-zero diagram, are shifted. This zerc shift is in a direction which will combine with system rcles to smooth the frequency response diagram in the vicinity of the minimum singular value.

VII. A HELICOPTER STABILITY PROBLEM

This chapter will deal with a more practical application of the numerical optimization program. In this problem the combined pole placement, robustness design procedure will be applied to the linear lateral dynamic channels of a CH-47 helicopter. The model is a highly coupled two-input twocutput system that has been studied for its basic robustness characteristics [Ref. 16]. The usual procedure for design of highly coupled systems is to obtain a diagonally dominant closed-lcop system. This diagonal system will be stable but not robust to cross-feed parameters. Sandell, et al, produced three designs. Two cf these designs, while meeting basic performance criteria, had poor robustness. The third design was a relatively good design. The numerical optimization technique developed in the thesis was applied to the two poor designs and shown to provide substantial improvement inrobustness.

The systems were designed to satisfy specifications to step input response and stability margins as stated in military specifications. Specific design parameters for each of the three designs presented were not available. The designs were all considered to meet the performance specification criteria and stability margin requirements. It was shown that two of these designs were extremely sensitive to model errors. The classic Nyquist techniques did not predict this sensitivity. The singular value analysis did indicate sensitivity problems.

The model is that of a CH-473 helicopter lateral dynamic system in hower. The dynamic model of the system is

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A} \, \underline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{B} \, \underline{\mathbf{u}} \tag{7.1}$$

$$X = (v, p, r, y)$$
(7.2)

 $u = (\delta_{\beta}, \delta_{c}) \tag{7.3}$

where

C

6

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2.27 & -1.42 & -0.15 & 31.99 \\ 0.01 & -0.7 & -0.07 & 0 \\ 0.04 & -0.05 & -0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.11 & 0 \\ and where \\ B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.12 & 0.95 \\ 0.04 & -8.37 \\ .34 & 0.02 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with full state available for feedback. Table 3 is a summary of parameters. The system is not open-loop stable.

Three control laws are formulated to satisfy the desired performance specifications. Equation 7.4 is the fasic control law.

 $u = -F_i x + h_i \varphi_e$ (7.4) i=1,2 or 3 where the following values of F and h were are: **[-1.72 -23.5** 70.6 F, = 595. 0.024 -2.71 0.368 -7.99 0.198 154.0 18.3 ī, = 142.0 -0.01 -1.592 -0.189 -1.47 $F_{J} =$ 0 25.5 Э 0 0 -4 0 -27

	TABLE	2	
• •			

CH-46 Helicopter Parameter Definitions

Variable	Units	Description	
v	ft/sec	Vehicle body y-axis earth relative velocity component	
Р	rad/sec	Roll rate	
r	rad/sec	Yaw rate	
φ	rad	Roll attitude angle	
δ _B	n	Yaw rate rotor deflection control	
δ _C	in	Roll rate rotor deflection control	

 $h_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 597.0 \\ -7.99 \end{bmatrix}$ $h_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 142.0 \\ -1.47 \end{bmatrix}$ $h_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -27 \end{bmatrix}$

The Ψ_c is a step input command that must track. Figure 7.1 is a diagram of the control structure for the feedback control laws. Figure 7.2 is a detailed layout of the system. All three of the designs have negative real eigenvalues and provide stable overdamped responses. All three of the above designs meet the desired design specifications.

The loop Bode flots, [Ref. 16], indicate all three designs to have good stability margins when considered a loop at a time. Since these designs were all full state LQ







Figure 7.2

• • • • • • • • •

•...

System Diagram.

•

. . .

.

designs with a diagonal control weighting matrix they should posses at least -6 db to infinite gain margin and 60 degrees of phase margin. When the singular values of the three designs are computed a robustness problem is indicated by low singular values of two of the designs. Figure 7.3 presents singular value flots of all three designs. This plot shows that designs 1 and 2 both have very low minimum singular values for the return difference matrix. Design 1 goes as low as -20 db near 10 rad/sec in frequency while design 2 is down to -34 db at frequencies up to 1000 rad/sec. Design 3 is a good design with singular values that remain above one throughout the frequency range of interest. Using the universal gain and phase diagram as discussed in Chapter 6 this equates to a gain margin of about -6 db to infinity and a phase margin of 60 degrees as expected.

The system stability in design 1 may be affected by perturbations occurring in the actuators as shown in figure 7.4. If the output axis coupling from δ_c spills into $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ in the frequency range from 0.5 to 50.0 rad/sec with a magnitude of 0.12 and a phase of 60 degrees then the system can become unstable. This could be caused by nonlinear terms, worn parts, or system saturation.

The second design as shown in figure 7.5 may have a stability derivative variation between δ_{θ} and p. If this derivative varies from about 0.04 to -0.96 the system can become unstable. The center of gravity location, trim of the aircraft and rotor coupling can all affect this lerivative.

The pole placement and robustness design technique developed for this thesis research was applied to designs 1 and 2 to obtain an improved robustness for these designs. Design 1 will be considered first. In this design a cross-feed perturbation through the actuator can produce instability as indicated by the low singular values at lower frequencies. To study this problem with the pole placement



ij





l

6

.



89



Figure 7.5 Cesign 1:0

÷-.

Cesign 1:0 Perturbation Input.

· . .

••.

and robustness design method it is assumed that the eigenvaof the system as developed in lues (pcle locations) are the required poles for the performance [Ref. 16]. criteria. Once the pole locations are set, robustness criteria must be selected. From the universal gain and phase margin curve discussed earlier two choices of singular value levels were made for this problem. The first singular value level chosen was 0.6. This corresponds to a gain margin of -4.0 db to 8 db and a phase margin of about 35 degrees. The second value chosen was 1.0. With corresponding gain margin of -6 db to co and a phase margin of 60 degrees which are the characteristics of a LC regulator design with diagonal weighting matrices.

For singular value level 0.6 the pole placement and robustness design routine places the poles as shown in table 2 The slight differences in these pole locations appear to have insignificant effect on the performance as shown in the response curves of the system. The feedback gain adjustment moves the minimum singular value from about 0.11 with very poor phase and gain margins to a level of 0.66. This is above the desired values of gain and phase. The improvement in rchustness came from modifying the feedback gains in channel δ_R . By greatly reducing the gains in channel δ_R the cptimizer minimizes the influence of the cross-coupling from channel δ_{ϵ} . In this way a much larger spill over of channel Sc may be tolerated through the actuator before the system will become unstable.

5

The primary mechanism of robustness improvement in this problem was a reduction in the gain levels of the affected channel. The feedback gains presented in table 4 show the modification of these gains from those utilized in [Ref. 16]. While all the gains are modified the f_{iq} gain undergoes a significantly larger change than the other design 1 case 1 feedback gains. Looking at the open-loop

TABLE 3 Design One Pole Placement

Pole	Desired Location	Actual Location
1	-24.7977	-24.7893
2	-11.3635	-12.0083
3	-10.3288	-10.7752
4	- 2.1005	- 2.1181

transfer functions of this optimized problem and comparing them with a non-optimized set of transfer functions for δs to as shown in figure 7.6 and 7.7 show very little change in the system gain, both are around 42.0 db, and a bandwidth of abcut 20 rad/sec. There is a significant change in the phase diagram which is caused by the zero location shift.

The transfer function for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$ depicted a gain increase of about 3 db for the optimized design while the phase remained similar for both transfer functions. In transfer function $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}$ some important aspects of the problem are observed. The Bode diagram of the open-loop transfer function of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}$ clearly indicates the cross-coupling problems and the pole placement and robustness design routine's mechanism of optimizing the system gains to increase robustness. The gain is reduced from 93 db to about 78 db and the bandwidth is reduced from above



Figure 7.6 Transfer Function $\mathcal{S}_B - \mathcal{S}_B$ Design One, Nonoptimized.



Figure 7.7 Transfer Function $\delta_8 - \delta_8$ Design One . Optimized.

94

100 rad/sec to about 30 rad/sec. The reduction of bandwidth and gain in the loop $\delta_{\mathcal{L}}$ to $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ yields an increased tclerance to perturbation. The transfer function for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}$ to 58 is shown in figures 7.8 and 7.9. In the transfer functions for So to Sc the bandwidth is slightly increased from 0.6 to 0.8 rad/sec and the gain actually increased from The big change in the overall system, 9 db to 14 db. however, is in the transfer function from Sc to SB. This is the channel that the destabilizing perturbation enters and by greatly reducing the gain and bandwidth in this channel through a change in feedback gain, the optimizer routine has brought the entire system gains to more balanced conditions and recovered a highly robust design.

The gain changes associated with the robustness improvement cause the zeros of the various closed-loop pole-zero diagram to move. A comparison of the eight pcle-zero diagrams is shown in figures 7.10 to 7.13. The significant feature of these pcle-zero diagrams is the shift of the zeros of the optimized design in a direction that attempts to equalize or balance the frequency response for frequencies in the vicinity of the minimum singular values. The pole-zero diagram of δB to v will be discussed as an example of this effect. In 7.10 the nonoptimized zeros are located about -2 ± 4.5 j and -19.8. When the pole placement and robustness routine has completed the feedback gain mcdification these zeros have shifted near -11 and $-6\pm 3j$. The effect of these zero shifts is to combine with the pcle locations to equalize the frequency response as depicted in figures 7.14 and 7.15. Zero shifts for the remainder of the transfer functions provide similar results in the other channels. By moving toward the frequencies associated with minimum singular values the zeros have balanced the the overall frequency response of the system in each channel. While the channel gain modification is the primary mechanism



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Ì

1

1







.



Figure 7.10 Pole-Zero Plots for Design 1.











ļ

102



Ì

•

•
for robustness recovery the zero shift associated with the feedback gain changes is directly related to the overall frequency response of the system.

In design 1 case 2 the minimum singular value level was increased from 0.6 to 1.0. The pole placement and robustness design procedure also gave a better design for case 2

CESIGN	GAIN VALUES $\begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{14} \\ f_{21}^{11} & f_{22}^{12} & f_{23}^{13} & f_{24}^{14} \end{bmatrix}$
One	-14.77726 2.15858 77.96629 -32.91595
Case 1	-0.00567 -2.55646 0.39039 -15.04805
One	-6.36249 -1.53746 72.85013 74.20387
Case 2	0.00813 -2.60073 0.65395 -13.47128
Two	-0.96660 -4.54597 0.75436 11.96808 0.00916 -3.03069 -0.36391 -0.34991

Helicopter Probles Feedback Gains

TABLE 4

by modifying the feedback gains as shown in table 4. The change in the transfer functions for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (figure 7.16 and 7.17) is similar to the change seen in figures7.8 and 7.9. The gain was reduced from above 90 db to about 75 db and the handwidth cut from above 100 rad/sec to about 25 rad/sec. The added requirement of increased robustness did not result in a significant change in performance. [Ref. 16].





.

D

7

)

Lesign two which is the design that is subject to parameter uncertainty in the os to p channel was also studied using the pole placement and robustness design routine. In this design the singular values were very low until frequencies above 100 rad/sec were reached. To improve the design it was assumed that the pole locations that corresponded to [Ref. 16] were the required pole locations and that a robustness singular value level of 0.6 would provide adequate gain and phase margin for the design. The pole placement and robustness routine adjusted the gains in this problem until a minimum singular value of 0.6 was obtained. During this adjustment the gains in channel δ_{B} were considerably reduced to offset the cross-coupling between the two Again, in this design as before the channel channels. cross-coupling between Sc and Se shows a marked change in bandwidth and gair from above 100 to 35 rad/sec and about 78 db to 22 db respectively. Figures 7.18 and 7.19 show the transfer function plcts for this term. Figure 7.20 shows the singular value improvement. In figure 7.21 the time response is plotted. As can be seen in the plot the improvement in robustness for this problem results in very sluggish response and degraded performance.

To summarize for this problem, a given performance level has been chosen in terms of pole locations. The level of robustness has been set for a desired gain and phase margin based on the universal gain and phase margin curve. The pole placement and robustness routine has been able to improve the robustness level by changing the feedback gains that affect the charmel \mathcal{S}_{c} cross-coupling. This robustness recovery is affected by modification of the system feedback gains in such a manner that cross coupling gains are reduced so that small cross-coupling perturbations do not drive the system into instability. The open-loop transfer function plots have been used to indicate how this mechanism operates



Transfer Function $\delta_c - \delta_g$ Design Two, Nonopt.. Pigure 7.18

108









and have been shown to be an alternative indicator of channels that may be affected by cross-feed perturbations. The pole-zero diagrams of the closed-loop transfer functions of the transfer matrix further indicate that zero movement is in a direction that equalizes the gain level of the frequency response curves in the vicinity of the lowest singular values providing a more balanced system response.

Ŀ

VIII. <u>SIMPLE OBSERVER</u>

The pole placement and robustness design procedure can also be used for robustness recovery in observer design.

Ϋ.,



Figure 8.1 Simple Observer.

Given an observer as represented in figure 8.1 it has been shown that the system differential equation may be written as equation 8.1

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{K}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{\hat{x}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}$ $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{C}$ (8.1)

where x is the state and x is the observer variable, and the solution may be separated to independent solutions for the feedback gains and the observer gains. This separation allows the use of the feedback gains to set the pole

locations and then a second optimization run with set feedback gains so that the observer gains may be computed to adjust the system robustness level. The observer pole location could also be placed using the observer gains, K. In the currently implementation of the pole placement and robustness design routine the observer poles are simply restricted to areas of the left half plane.

In this chapter a simple stable observer system will be analyzed based on [Bef. 17]. Given the system, equation 8.2,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} + \begin{bmatrix} 35 \\ -61 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \eta$$
where $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{E}(\eta) = 0$ and $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}(t)\mathbf{y}(t)) = \mathbf{E}(\eta(t)\eta(t)) = \delta(t-t)$ with

the feedlack law of equation 8.3

$$u = -(50 \ 10)x + 50r$$
 (8.3)

An analysis has been done to compare results of the numerical optimization procedure with results presented in [Ref. 17]. The results for an optimal regulator design using quadratic cost criteria as stated in equation 8.4 are given in table 5

$$J = \int (x^T H^T H x + u^2) dt \qquad (8.4)$$

with $H = 4\sqrt{5}$ ($\sqrt{35}$ 1) Figure 8.2 shows a Nyquist plot of the full state regulator, the optimal filter and a fast filter. The full state design had poles at s= -7.0±j 2.0 and feedback gains of 50 and 10. The optimal filter as shown in table 5 had poles of -7.0±j 2.0 with gain and phase margins of -6.75 db and ±15 degrees. The optimum filter gains were 30 and -50. Using a faster



Pigure 8.2 Nyquist Plot.

filter also gives poor gain and phase margins. The gain margins are on the order of -.98 while the phase margin is less than 10 degrees. The bandwidth also increased from 12 to 40 rad/sec. A recovery procedure based on a modification to the process noise matrix [Ref. 17] may be applied to the problem. This procedure can recover a large amount of the robustness that was lost with the observer addition. Figure 8.3 shows data obtained for several trials of the fictitious noise procedure. The gain, phase margin and other parameters may be found in table 5.

	FILTER POLES	GAIN MARGIN dd	PHASE MARGIN deg	ERI COVAI E [(=-1	ROR RIANCE (1-1) ^T]	STATI COVARI E(11	E ANCE)	FILTER GAIN
Optina) LQG Design	-7:21	- 6.75	15	97 -163	-163 277	221 -613	-613 2070 -	-50 -60
fast filter Adjust- ment Procedure	-22±17.9j	36	10	6280 -12200	-12200 23800	130 -613	-613 8520	720 -1400
Fictitious Roise Adjustment Procedure $q^2 = 100$	-4.3 -13.1	- 7.73	19	107 -184	-184 319	236 -613	-613 1810	26.8 _40.2
q ² = 500	-2.9 -24	-10.9	33	163 -301	-301 	268 -613	-613 1500	20.4 -17.7
q ² = 10 ³	-2.5 -33	•13.9	42	204 -385	-38 5 743	285 -613	-613 1360	16.7 -1.9
₩ ² • 10 ⁶	-2.1 -100	-37	74	290 -570	-570 1170	317 -613	-613 1200	5.9 84.6

T	ABL	E	5	
Observer	Par	an	eter	Dat

5

POPLAR CASE I	1.00018 -2.02742	GM=-5.5 dB PM=117 deg
POPLAR CASE II	18.22148 32.59476	GM=-19 dB PM=58 deg

The singular values of the state feedback and optimal cbserver systems were computed for comparison i ith results produced using the pole placement and robustness design recovery procedure. Figure 8.4 indicates a loss in rchustness represented by the observer singular values. Lower singular values are less robust. For this single-input single-output observer the Nyquist diagram will be used to define gain and phase margins. Since a singular value of 1.0 is indicative of a linear quadratic level of gain and phase margin, i.e. GM=-6 db, and $PM=\pm60$ degrees, this was chosen



Figure 8.3 Byguist Plot for Robustness Recovery.

as the design level. The pole placement and robustness routine was used to recover robustness while setting the pole placement at the state feedback pole locations of $-7\pm j2$. The minimum input singular value level was set at 1.0. The pole placement and robustness routine was also set to place the observer poles anywhere between -100 and -2 that would provide robust design. The plant poles were placed at -7.05 $\pm j$ 1.82. The feedback gains for this run

Þ



Figure 8.4 Singular Values of Observer System.

were 50 and 10.09. The optimizer output produced a filter with a gains of 1.00018 and -2.02742. The observer pole locations were $-1.99 \pm j$.001, very near the plant zero location. A significant change was produced in singular values. Figure 8.5 shows all singular value curves plotted together. The optimizer solution for this problem is well above the optimal filter curve at low frequency. Figure 8.6 shows the Nyquist plot of the optimizer developed design. The system has a gain margin of -6 db and a phase margin of 117 degrees. The most significant differences between the two designs being that the observer poles are close to the plant zero locations and the filter gains are much lower for the cptimizer solution.

Using the OPTSYS program with the pole placement and robustness routine computed gains as the design parameters the data for the observer filter was computed. The error covariance matrix was found to be

 306.5
 -456.5

 -456.5
 700.1

These values compare favorably with the trends established in table 5. The last comparison of the pole placement and robustness design recovery procedure was an analysis of the time response curves. Figure 8.7 shows the comparison plot. The design obtained using the pole placement and robustness routine did not degrade system performance.

Cne additional analysis was conducted that set the desired design parameters slightly differently. In this run the pole placement and robustness routine was set to place the observer poles between -10 and -100. The pole placement and robustness routine was unable to totally satisfy this requirement. It violated one of the constraints and moved the larger observer pole to -2.25 which is near the optimum pole location and also the high q^2 values of the fictitious noise procedure. The smaller pole was moved out to -70.8





Figure 8.6 Nyquist for Computed Robustness Recovery.

which corresponds to the same level of pole movement found when g² becomes large. The singular value levels were raised significantly as shown in figure 8.5. The state and error covariance matrices were of the same order as the matrices found in table 5. This design has a phase margin of 58 degrees. The gain margin was about -19 db. Even though some of the constraint conditions on the design were not met the design demonstrates excellent robustness recovery.



Ę

Figure 8.7 Time Response Plot for Simple Observer.

The pole placement and robustness design routine has produced a robust design for this observer based system. This design is obtained by using a numerical optimization to directly manipulate the feedback and filter gains. Modification of the LQ functional equation as done in the fictitious noise procedure is not required. The pole placement and robustness routine solution for this problem has lower gains than those found using the fictitious noise adjustment. The routine provides a good, direct methodology for selecting the feedback and filter gains for a robust observer design with excellent performance.

IX. <u>BOBUST</u> <u>OBSERVER</u> <u>DESIGN</u>

This chapter will be devoted to a short discussion of the robustness recovery of a fourth order observer based controller. The problem is a helicopter problem, [Ref. 6]. In this case the helicopter model is that of the longitudinal control loop of a CH-47. The nominal model is taken to be the system of equations 9.1 and 9.2 for an aircraft speed of forty knots.

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.02 & 0.005 & 2.4 & -32. \\ -0.14 & 0.44 & -1.3 & -30. \\ 0. & 0.018 & -1.6 & 1.2 \\ 0. & 0. & 1. & 0. \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.14 & -.12 \\ .36 & -8.6 \\ .35 & .009 \\ 0. & 0. \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{x}} \quad (9.1)$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 57.3 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{x}} \quad (9.2)$$

In this problem the controller is formulated as in figure 9.1 which leads to an open-loop transfer function of the form of equation 9.3

$$K(s)G(s) = F(sI-A+BF+KC)^{-1}KC(sI-A)^{-1}B$$
 (9.3)

The pole placement and robustness recovery procedure was applied to this problem.

First, the standard full state feedback design was carried out using the Naval Postgraduate version of OPISYS. This design produced excellent singular value output for the return difference as shown in figure 9.2. The lowest singular value being essentially 1, corresponding to a LQ design with -6 db to ∞ gain margin and 60 degrees of phase margin. The time response of the system was good as shown in



Figure 9.1 Observer Based Controller.

figure 9.3, reaching steady-state in. about four seconds with only a slight overshoct. Since the characteristics for this system are acceptable no further design iteration was carried out. The full state feedback became the baseline design. Assuming that full state feedback was not available and only two measurements could be produced an observer was developed to control the two measured outputs, vertical velocity and pitch attitude. Using the measurement matrix of equation 9.2, OPTSYS was used to develop an optimal observer system for this problem. The singular values of the return difference matrix and the time response were plotted for comparison to the full state design. These are shown in figures 9.2 and 9.3 respectively. Note that the singular value of the return difference matrix is as low as 0.16 at 4 rad/sec. This equates to a gain margin of -1 db to 1 db and less than 10 degrees phase margin. The time response is







.

ومعادية والموادي والمتعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمراجع

plotted in figure 9.3. The steady-state was reached for the velocity after a slight overshoot in about four seconds.

As the final step in the analysis the pole placement and robustness routine was employed to recover robustness of the observer based system. The pole placement and robustness routine was first used to set the poles at approximately the same location as the poles of the LQ regulator. These were assumed to be the desired pole locations. The pole placement and robustness routine was then used to vary the filter gains, K, until the desired level of robustness was reached. The desired singular value level chosen was 1.0 which ccrresponds to -6 db to 🗢 db gain margin and 60 degrees of phase margin. Figure 9.2 shows that the pole placement and robustness procedure failed to totally recover the robustness level to 1.0. The minimum singular value reached was only C.936. This singular value equates to a gain margin of -5.0 db to and a phase margin of 55 degrees. While this is slightly less than the design objective, it is far superior to the optimal observer design discussed in the previous This recovery was made by making an optimizaparagraphs. tion run, finding the filter gains with near zero values and freezing these values at zero. This reduced the number of design variables the pole placement and robustness routine was required to manipulate in a second optimization run and gave a higher robustness solution.

This analysis and the second order observer analysis presented earlier clearly indicate that it is possible to use the pole placement and robustness procedure and separation principle to develop a robustness recovery procedure. The pole placement and robustness routine provides robustness recovery by direct modification of the feedback and filter gains. This procedure requires no modification to LQ cost functionals or other parameters as done in the fictitious noise adjustment method commonly used for robustness

recovery. By providing direct gain adjustment the pole placement and robustness procedure results in a practical design with relatively low observer gains and good performance. The procedure is simple and straight forward with the only difficulty being a requirement to sometimes mcdify initial starting values or optimizer codes to force the solution toward the desired point.

X. CONCLUSIONS

7

An effective method of robustness multivariable control design utilizing a numerical optimization based algorithm has been developed. The pole placement and robustness design routine coupled with the Automated Design Synthesis program provides the designer an excellent tool with which to attack the robust design problem.

The pole placement and robustness design routine has demonstrated the capability of providing designs that solve the problems caused by cross-coupling perturbations which reduce robustness in multivariable systems. This design improvement is accomplished by modifying the system feedback gains in such a manner that the gain in channels that are affected by cross-coupling perturbations is equalized with other system gains to reduce this cross-coupling effect. The gain changes are accompanied by zero shifts which also influence the gain distribution and frequency response of the system.

Ferturbation problems in multivariable systems have been shown to be detectable by singular value analysis and by using the Bode magnitude diagram of the open-loop transfer functions of the system. In the open loop transfer function large differentials in Bode gains and bandwidths are indicative of problem areas for cross-coupling perturbations. Robustness is obtained by the pole placement and robustness design program by modifying those gains and bandwidths associated with the cross-coupling perturbations thus reducing the amount of energy coupled from the perturbation into other channels. An associated zero shift has been observed when these gain modifications take place. This zero shift is in the direction of poles that are located in the vicinity

cf the frequency of the minimum singular value and tends to equalize the frequency response curve gains in this region.

The use of numerical optimization to recover robustness in observer based designs was demonstrated. The pole placement and robustness routine was applied to problems previously solved using the fictitious noise procedure for robustness recovery. The direct manipulation of feedback and filter gains by the pole placement and robustness routine provided a highly robust design with relatively lcw filter gains. The problem of robustness recovery in filterobserver designs has been solved in a straight forward and highly practical manner.

	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		
SVMI - SINGULAR VALUE CF (I + INV(F* G)) SVAC - SINGULAR VALUE CF (I + G* F) SVAC - SINGULAR VALUE CF (I + G* F) ONLY OF INTEREST IF NO. OUTFUTS = NO. INPUTS. SIGAMI - FIRST SINGULAR VALUE OF (I + F*G) SIGAMZ - MAXIMUM SINGULAR VALUE OF (I + F*G) AX ACTOT - MIN ACDITIVE OUTPUT SINGULAR VALUE SVAFAG - MIN MULTIPLICATIVE INPUT SINGULAR VALUE SVANIX - MIN MULTIPLICATIVE INPUT SINGULAR VALUE SVANIX - MIN MULTIPLICATIVE OUTPUT SINGULAR VALUE SVANIX - MIN MULTIPLICATIVE OUTPUT SINGULAR VALUE (I + INV(FG)) SVANUCTIPLICATIVE OUTPUT SINGULAR VALUE INPUT SINGULAR VALUE (I + INV(FG))	**************************************	THE COMPLEX PARAMETERS USEC IN THE FROGRAM BX - C CMPLEX B CX - C CMPLEX B FX - C CMPLEX C FX - C CMPLEX C EIG - EIGENVELUE OF A - B*F*C Z - EIGENVECTOR OF A - B*F*C MU - I NPUT ECTOR OF A - B*F*C MU - I NPUT ECTOR OF A - B*F*C MU - I NPUT ECTOR OF A - B*F*C C = 0 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 L E LO CATICN C = 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 L E MU C C = 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 0 E E MU C C = 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 0 E E MU C C = 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 0 E E MU C C = 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 0 0 E E MU C C = 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 0 0 E E MU C C = 0 0 0 0 E E D 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<pre>XXI - UCENTITY MATKIX XXI - UCENTITY MATKIX VI - INPUT AUDITIVE SINGULAR VECTOR ASSOCIATED MITH VI - INPUT AUDITIVE SINGULAR VECTOR (SVAO) VG - OUTPUT ACCITIVE SINGULAR VECTOR (SVAO) VG - OUTPUT ACCITIVE SINGULAR VECTOR (SVAO) VMI - INPUT MULTIPLICATIVE SINGULAR VECTOR VMI - INPUT MULTIPLICATIVE SINGULAR VECTOR VMO - OUTPUT MULTIPLICATIVE SINGULAR VECTOR VECTOR PLIA - PLANT TRANSFER FUNCTION (G) VI - PLANT TRANSFER FUNCTION (G)</pre>

000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
0000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	227272222222222222222222222222222222222	ZZZZZZZZZ
229299999	565565655555555555555555555555555555555	3333333333333333333333	313202000
		****	* *

*	XX-10-** * *O		* ~ * * ~ *
*		11	
*		73	
Ť		L	* O *
*		5	\$•` → + 8- 11.~ \$
*		Z	* 20 *
		14	
*		X ·	* OZ *
, *		-RC	
*			
×		R ES	
4		2 E	* 0XO* m
n H		II VI	*
4		IN NR	
1	, MJXUL## # #4		*
			* 744*
			≱ ∩ •α,≱ Щ ≱ ∩ •α,≱ Щ
4			* 00 **
		A A A M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	*
<u>ن</u> وب ۽	-0Z++X+ +Z	0000>	* 0007 * 0007
1717) 14.14.			
			* 27.7* 8
*4.5		HUDE KAUKA & U	* ~02* 4 * 00Z* ×
>>++<	+	AHINO DONESHIA	* 50 ·* WO
		AAOHAAAAAOONA	* 4-0* ->
*		S>> Amminum PS	* 770* O··
, i i i i i i	0U+Z-1++X+-+*		* ~~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
			* 0-2* "0
メデメアした		JUNATERETER	
	* Underterterterterterterterterterterterterte		
d Xd W XX			* 2717 07
	* XXJ**J*X*		* 11112 * 12
		XXXQUHOXZUHXX	+ 23 + 4
	* 20~~~0***/***		
			* 1054*
******	* ***	а ° ° п т т т п т т т т п т т т т т т т т	• ••• ••• ••
			*

.....

٠

ດດາດດາດດາດດາດດາດດາດ ແລະ

 $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}$

C 2 KARANT LONNALT PREPARATION
C 2 KARANT LONNALT PREPARATION
C 3 FLAGT C C FILL PENDEL DENTER AND FLAGT AND FLATER 10(11) ************************* R SEE AUS . NROMF, NC OLF, NMU 0# || # шĊ ∝# # ZU · ×* +* ഄ൘൚ - d'U 4 đ 1 NRC ****** CARD I KUCE IS 4 Q CARC CARC CARD CARC a **A**RI

2 00

2

610 640 640

000

1000000 100000 1000000

630 640

Ĩ FIRS LS IGN Z THE DESIGN VARIABLE EE OF THE FORM: ARC UST CAT ΞÖ MAXN, INITIAL FREQUENCY,DELTA FREG, GPTIMIZER WEIGHTS (1,710) WMAX,WI,DELW (1,570) WT1,WT2,MT3 ЩЩ AND FOR EACH DESIGN VARIABLE (2f10.0) ary cesired fule location zoo E F(1,1) T THE ZERU IS NUT A TAR Цa UMNS CF INPUT MATRICES INPUT FOR PLACEMENT AS: αū (T MATR L REFE VERAL MITH щ R CF RCWS AND CULUMNS CF INPUT FOR PLACEN IX : READ BY ROWS AS: A(1.1) A(1.2) A(2.1) A(1.2) A(2.1) A(1.2) A(2.1) A(1.2) A(2.1) A(1.2) FOR PCARE CARDS FOR FOR PLACEN FCR CARDS AS: A(2.1) A(2.2) A(2.1) A(2.2) A(2.1) A(2.2) FOR FAC FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FCR CARDS AS: A(1.1) A(2.2) A(2.1) A(2.2) CARD ACT CARD FOR INPUT FILE AT (81.2) : THIS MATRIX CEFINES THE AT (81.2) : THIS MATRIX CEFINES THE AT (81.2) : THIS MATRIX CEFINES THE UPTIMIZER TU MAKI) AND SU FURTH-) (IN THIS CASE) TC BE CHANGED-S INPUT DESIGEC GAIN AND PLASE MARGIN FARAMETER. MANLAL S AD (1,540) SVMINI,SVMIND,RJ,NIDG FIO. 0) Card Fo Drmat (2 Aginary RLN COCE ANC FROGRAM CONTRGL (1.750) KODE, KONIRL щ (SE) σxο CATA ALL CARLE FOR FOR GML PER INFLT THIS MOULD DESIGN VARI OPTINIZER T VARIABLE AN VLE, VUB: FO THERE WILL 9 THE THE THE SET AS SET a **CFTIMIZER** 5 4 THERE BEE REP DAT RER DAT RER SET SO CARCISSO CO CARCISSO 12 61 INFUT INP UT RE AL RE AD CARE CARC CARC REAC REAL NC#1 NC#1

3

8001

•

ZZ NC CLA, NRUWB, NCOLE, NRCW C, NCOLC, NRUWF, NCOLF, NML NCOLB) NCOLE) NCOLF) RE AD (1,700) IGRAD, NDV, NCDN, ISTRAT, IGPT, IDNED, IPRINT, INFO g FGRMULATE THE DESIGN VARIABLE X FROM THE INPUT GUESS A . B . C . F RGUTINE VSRTR READ THE CESIRED EIGENVALUES AS REAL (X)+REAL (Y)*I SPECIFY THE CESIGN VARIABLES WITHIN THE F MATRIX INPUT THE PARAMETER VALUES AND THE MATRICES SCRT THE INPUT EIGENVALUESUSING IMSL

 CU 60 I= 1, NMU

 RE AC (1,660) REALMU(I), IMAGMU(I)

 D0 70 I= 1, NMU

 MU (I) = CPPLX (REALMU(I), IMAGMU(I))

 CONTINCE

 SET THE CESIGN VARIABLE BOUNDS 30 CU 20 J= 1, NCV REAC (1, 790) VLB(J), VUB(J) L VŠFIR (REALMU, NMU, IR) 90 JEI.NMJ 6C 7C F, NROW .EQ.01 , NROW UNN I =I 4N° [= づ READ LU E0 I IR (I) = I XXXX = IF (J XXX = IF (J XX = IF (J u. 99 MV = LLLL CCALL CCALL CCALL à AL AL 88 2000 8490000 8990000 **ని**రించి ຂິ້ວວວ 80 60 90 ပပပ ပပ္ပ

100 100 CALL ACS (INFO, ISTRAT, ICPT, JUNED, IFRINT, IGRAD, NDV, NCUN, X, VLB, VUB, UCUNO L AČS (INFO,ISTRAT,ICPT,IONED,IFRINT,IGRAD,NCV,NCUN,X,VLB,VUB,O 6,ICC,NGT,IC,CF,XA,NRA,NCA,WKI,NRWK,IWK,ARIWK) (2) = 1 1年 经推续法律法 法法公法 法法律法法法律法法律 法法律的 法法法的 医安全的 医有力的 法法法律 法法律 医法律学者 法法律法 法法律法 计法 计法法 计计算法 KCNTRL SET GREATER THAN O THE FIRST PASS JLMPS THE OPTIMIZER ANC REFERENCE BEST F'S FIRST CALL SETS THE SCALE FLAG SEE ACS MANUAL •NE_REAL(OMU(J+1))) GO TO 1CO)• LT-AIMAG(CMU(J+1))) GC TO 1OO CALL THE ADS CPTIMIZER TC SOLVE FCR THE ARITE THE INPUT CATA FOR CHECKING SVMÎNI, ŜVMÎNO, RJ, NIDG NRCM A, NMU L CELN 2.413 (B, NRGWB, NCCLB) Ă, NROWA, NCOLAI Č, NRCMC, NCCLCJ F. NRCWF.NCCLF R 11E (C, NRCWC ,NC (2, 84C) F 1 E (F, NRCW F, NC (2, 85C) V ECWR (UMU,NML) NAX NI BOB 1+1 (1+1 |= 1 EMP 1 WRITE WRITE WRITE WRITE CALITE CALITE RICE ш. EAPI ML CJ - BJ . C CALL WR IT NOU NOU IN IS ÄL CAL 54 * *** 4 1100 120 ပပပ

ľ;

SNO SNO CNO CCMPLEX ALL THE INPUT SYSTEM MATRICES FOR THE TRANSFER FUNCTION CALL MPLL (F.C. NRO MF. NCGLF.NCOLC.FC) CALL MPLL (B.FC. NRCWB.NCGLE.NCGLC.BFC) DU 180 I=1.NRU MA DO 170 J=1.NCULA MBFC(IJ)=A(IJ)-BFC(IJJ) AMBFC(IJ)=A(IJ)-BFC(IJJ) CUNTINLE ROUT IN EST THIS PCRIICN OF THE PRCGRAM DOES THE ACTUAL COMPUTATION COMPUTE THE A-B*F*C SYSTEM MATRIX FOR EIGEN COMPUTATION SYSTEM MATRIX 2 X4 NR4. NC4 . MK1. NRMK. IWK. ARI WK1 1 C 4 80 1 C 470 COMPLIE AND SCRT THE EIGENVALUES AND VECTORS/IMSL EQUATE LESIGN VECTUR X WITH THE DESIRED (AX, A, NRDWA, NC CLAI (BX, B, NRDWB, NC CLBI (CX, C, NRDWE, NC CLBI (FX, F, NRDWF, NC CLFI IF (IF(.,K).EQ.0) GC TC 140 KK=IF(.,K)=. F(.),K)=.)(K) CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE SATR (KEIG,NRCWA,KEY _=1.NCOLA CFLXCV CFLXCV CFLXCV CALL CALL CALL CALL CALL *** 130 150 170 180 JUU مص
ONB, NCOL B, NR OMC, NCOL CJ CALL THE PLANT TRANSFER MATRIX RUUTINE AND FORM THE SYSTEM Return cifference matrices as required FEAL (CEIG(J+1)) GC TO 240 F.AIMAG(OEIG(J+1)) GC TO 240 (it JJ (celd (j)) (celd (j)) NR OW A =1, NROWF -1.U J=1,NR0 MC 16(J) CEIC(J+1] J=TEMP 1 ã NCOL H EIG 17=1 CU 270 K=1 XI (Je K) = 1 CON JF (Je K) = 1 SM INAI = C SM INAI = C SM INAI = C SM AXAI = C CAAAXAI = C CAAC Z Z * * 28 210 2000 240 2570 270280 290 260 ວບບບບ

000000

NM

200

4200

ؽؘ

0∼30

56

CLN04

•

•

LUNU VOU ٢ ﺧﯩﯖﯩﻐ ﺧﺎﺧﻐﯩﺪ ﻣﺎﺧﯩﺪ ﻣﺎﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﻐﯩﺪ ﺧﻪﺧﻐﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﻐﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﻪﺧﺪ ﺧﻪﺧﺪ ﺧﻪﺧﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧﯩﺪ ﺧ F. SV. UI C. SVAG U F. SVAG U ALL DESIRED SV S CALL CS VL (XIP FXP, 10, 10, NR CWF, NR UWF, 0, NR UWF, CALL CS VL (XIP FXP, 10, 10, NR CWF, NR UWF, 0, NR UWF QUANTIFY CO SINGULIR VALUE DECCMP AND ¥ 310 320 340 00 2000 2000 380 390 4100

141

• • •

SUC

....

٠.-

.....

.

..

• .•

Sufit Real (CMU(J))-REAL(CEIG(J)))**2+(AIMAG(UMU(J))-AIMAG(]**2]] (REAL(CML(J))-REAL(UEIG(J)))**2+(AIMAG(UMU(J))-AIMAG(EI 2)-R(J) [REAL (DMU(J))-REAL (CEIG (J))) **2+ (AIMAG (DMU(J)) - AIMAG (DE **CPT IMIZER** 25 LESIRED FREQUENCY BANCWIDTH IN THE COST CRITERIA OF GN IS INSERTED HERE VMIN PINAI+WT3*SMINAU 430 E.SVMINI GO TU 480 260 GC TC 460 10 420 - SUR T (SI GNM INAI + (AMAXI I NAO + (AMAXI 10 RUNC **U** 0 10 USE 00 CCMFUTE LCCF FCR ALL 09 (X7 M4 5 NWC. X A INS 00 440 51= 00 51=00 51= 16 (J) 2 1442 00 11 N LE = N 10 V XAENC-1 IF (SIGN SVPIN=SI CUNTIN=SI CUNTINE SV PIX (NC SV PMO (NC SV PXO (NC SV PXO (NC SM INA I = (SM INA I = (CANTI SVM IN= SI SVM IN= SI DO 430 ر ک CUNTINU 115 h= h +0 E | h0 = 10 • (ž Ž :₹ 30 ia a FCRI 420 430 440 450 460 470 C JUU

142

ပပပ

(¢.73C) (2.66C) MAXN (2.66C) OMEGA(J), SIGNMI(J), SIGNMZ(J), SIGNMX(J), SIGPRG(J) (2.64C) OMEGA(J), SIGNMI(J), SIGNMZ(J), SIGNMX(J), SIGPRG(J) (¢.74C) OMEGA(J), SIGNMI(J), SIGNMZ(J), SIGNMX(J), SIGPRG(J) (¢.68C) OMEGA(J), SIGNMI(J), SVMIP(J), SVMIX(J), SVMMO(J), SVMXD (2.69C) SVADPC(J), SVADXU(J), SVMIP(J), SVMIX(J), SVMMO(J), SVMXD S L ULIPUT THE CCMPUTED FINAL EIGEN VALUES AND VECTORS FROM OPT NEEDED AM BFC, NRUMA, 10, 2, E IG, Z, 10, MK, I EA) 4 HERE F, C, NROWF, NCGLF, NCGLC, FC) OUTPUT THE CFTIMIZED SINGULAR VALUE DATA GOES CCMPUTATION ROUTINE GOZ, NRCWA, NCOLAJ 510 J=A(I,J)-BFC(I,J) , NRGWF ,NCDLF) 0BJ DEIG, NCOLA 184.64.01 GC TC (L) DE IG (J) , NHU 5,63Ç) . 6501 . 6701 48 00 00 E GRADIENT GU TO 125 CONTINLE N J J J K *** 2 2 2 2 2 2 <u>c n 1</u> Ĩ 0 0 500 ORMA AM BFC(CUNTIN <u>u</u> **NRITE** #0% 1 DA DA RA L Ā ¥ 1 H E * 480 500 520 530 540 550 လို့ လိုက် 20₩ 210 ပပပ

Ž

Ë

FURMA

143

٠.

770 180		000 6 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		8000 8000 8000 8000 8000	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	095	0000	020	020			1400
ບາບາບ	JUJU	INITIAIA	1 Mi	URIAIR	ועועועועוע	JAIR	JAJA JA	201	5.0	0.01	244	0400
277		2777		7777	77/7	200	コンシン	222		272	100	
ີວີດີເ	Jūć	ごうろうこ	50	2002	56666	ວວີຂ	52822	i d'u d	500	380	336	12822
			i			ا ت ت			ju	ال	D OU	
	9			X	1	ŝ						
ູ			2	S		5						
Ö	• -•		*	Ï	ä	S						
-			#	S.	ш						-	
u,	5		<u>*</u>	3	E E	3					2	
				- ÷	•••							
, m	5				đ	3					-	
			Ţ	Σ	ш	q				-	-	
Ļ	2		-0	1	Q.	◄					2	
				~		0				_ `		22
4				S	ā	Z						$\langle \cdot \rangle$
щ	<u>#</u>	#	0	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>						
	~			เก		*						
- 5		1		- ×	- A	4			4	.	ι Ω	3 4
			H	- 4	•			-	•	ii	< 5	- i #
_ب	H			-	- n	<u> </u>				**	<u>, </u>	4-
		ដ		<u> </u>		4				X # F		216
Ă	41	-11-		- G	Ĭ	2-	•				λŹ	
u,		~~	Z	A	L L L	S			1-9	XΧ3	CW	UN-
	2	_•O	2	S S	•	_ ′	2		1		<u>9</u>	ARH I
~	Ξ.	- Ŧu	Ξ	Ĩ,	ů –	- 54	<u>.</u>		12		5	
•		9 LU	<u>ن</u>	9	ш	-	4		\ •	4	Ĩ	LUX .
F	H	5	Z		Ľ,	3.	-	-		- X a	9×	uuo
	9	SL S	3	X J			2		⊑#): nat/		34	
	5	2	_			2	i		• 3	20u	J.	<u>4</u> <u>m</u> <u>a</u>
ш	Σ	AO	щ	0		Z		u	n×i		LΣ	Z OUL
ž	2	EO.	2	ž	Z	20	2	-		5	0	
- 7	vi	Öæ		AC	Ŧ	- T O			- 12, . 1991 (-144		
	I	<u> </u>	5	· 5	5		-	1		Y CY	2	034
ŝ	20	7	ũ	S	Ä		_		Σł	- <u>-</u>	Ξ.	<u>m</u> m
		_ ₹	2	. H		Z			5_3	ຽທ	α <u>κ</u>	<u>a</u> a
2			ä		: 2	- 6 E		ā	220	50	ä	
Ū.	0		-	- × 8		-1	-		-		R	22
	-	ZO	щ	S	j v	× م	5		1-10	o د	Ū	uu –
	4	-0	£	4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			4		<u></u>			
	-	шш		ີລີ	ដ ដ	ũ	č		14			IIT
Ē	11	-43	*	шv	يك د	20	i - i	1	1			
I	1		*	α 1				-	<u> </u>	.		
20	5	**	1	<u> </u>			20				11	2 2 2
щ	Σ	- 		×	< <	ū,	•	•	•		i ii	***
•3	>	***	•	~ I4	Teees	Z	ς Ω	-	*		-	•
<u> </u>	S		ĴΪ		NNOZ	- <u>-</u>	1.	0	**		ĔŤO	포포포
02	.	ーロー	5.		NUOI	ି ମ _ୁ	385 ~ ~			ກຕາ	N70	1000
	9	41014-	4		O mm	•	Ju =	N	4 E		-	
		- H-4 & al.		<u>ທຟ</u> •>		~	~~~~				~~~~	~ ~ ~ ~
22	2		12			-2,				22	220	
	-	•		. u	,,		ι		-			
	1>	<pre>hetel</pre>	-			~~2		• ~ ~ ~ -				· hallan ha
₹ ₹	<u>ج</u>	<u> 4444</u>	₹₹	<u>ৰ্ব্ৰ</u> ×	<u> </u>	<u>. </u>	<u>4444</u>	44	<u>44</u>	<u> </u>	ৰ্ব্ৰ	<u>444</u>
44				2 4 Z V 2 4 Z V		• UZ	44422	22		443 X (* *	レベス	442
22-	-0°	.000	50		0000	SO		200	52.	220	500	
゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙	Lin P		ιū		الآلالي	Ξų, ×	دبتريته يترين			т щ ų	í ú ú	
•		-		-	-		4					
00	0	0000	00	000	0000	0	0000	000	00	000	000	000
00	õ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	t sù	0-0	00-1N	n.	40.00	300	229	vm-	<u>+ 10 - 0</u>	28-30
ແກແກ	U		ົ້	000	- A - A	1.44	مرا ها ها ها ا		u u u	ມແມ່ວ	n m a	

ļ

.

C 190 C 190 C 100 C 260 C 280 C 280 **3**0 90 42004480 201 <u>őő őő</u> 700000 700000 0 C 4344A R R 22 44 22 ġ ã A ä, Ż ŝ ŝ 3 ŝ £ Š ົ້ວວັ Ũ **** ŧ hriif T F CCPPLEX V ECTGR briif T F CCPPLEX V ECTGR SU BRUUTINE CVEChR (A,N) IN TEGER N,J hriff (4,10) (A(J),J=1,N) hriff (4,10) (A(J),J=1,N) hriff (4,10) (A(J),J=1,N) hriff (4,10) (A(J),J=1,N) hrift (2F12.5) for the ********************************* CCN0B1 • INDEX)*7(INDEX,J) CCNXSV AND 12N (A(I, J), J=1,K) <u>o</u>r ******** FUR *84(10,10) rtex=1+ LL L(1,J)+ R(1 SUBRULTINE CWRI CUMPLEX 48A(10, INTEGER N.K.I, J DO 10 1= 1.4 NI J D FACKAGE œ u L IT 1 84 WRITE SUBRCUT INE H -*** -20 2 2 2 ມດດດ $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}$ JUU \mathbf{u}

145

ويتعاد والمتعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمعاد المعاد والمعاد المعاد والمعاد المعاد والمعاد المعاد والمعاد والمعاد وال

.'

.

•

•. 1

. · •

.

CCCERRO FFRRO FFRRO FFRRO FFRO FFRO FFRO FF		CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CERROG 200 CERROG 200 CERROG 200 CERROG 2200 CERROG 2200 CERROG 2200 CERROG 2200 CERROG 2200 CERROG 2200 CERROG 2200 CERROG 200 CERROG 200 CERO			CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
	RCUTIAE ************************************		<pre>k************************************</pre>		33333	N. X.
CONTINUE CUNTINUE CONTINUE RETURN ENC	REAL PATRIX MULTIPLICATION SUBROUTINE MMUL (R. T. M. LL ICATION SUBROUTINE MMUL (R. T. M. LL N. REAL++ F(10,10).T(10,10).U(1) INTEGER M. LL.N DO 30 1=1.N CO 20 L+1.N U(1,0).D 1=1.N	0 UIIJJ=UIIJ+R[I. INDEX)*T(I) 0 CONTINLE 20 CONTINLE 30 CONTINLE FNC FRANCE	**************************************	UNTREER P.N.P.NU.N.V.M.A.L. INTEGER P.N.P.NU.N.V. REAL S(1) COPPLEX C.R REAL B(150).C(1000,11(100) CATA ETA.TOL/1.5E-8.1.E-31/ NP=N+P N1=N+1	HCUSEHCLDEF RECUCTION C(1)=0.EC k=1 k=1 k=1	<pre>ELIMINATION OF A(1,K),I=K+1, 2=C.EO CD ZO 1=K,M ED ZO 1=K,M B(K)=0.EC B(K)=0.EC IF (2.LE.TCL) GC TC 70 Z= SGR 1(2) E(K)=Z</pre>

IM INATIEN OF A(K,J) J=K+2....N Z=C.E0 E0 E0 J=K1 N Z=Z+RE & (A(K,J)) **2 + A IMAG(A(K,J)) **2 C(K1)=C.E0 IF (2 = L = 70L) G0 T0 130 Z=SCRT(2) C(K1)=2 C(K1)=2 K, KIJJ/ CABS(A(K, KL) CAES LACK, KII **A(K,K])/H** C=A (K, K)/H (C, 1) + A(1, 1) L. IJA *(IX. (C* X) X*0ă(1,))=/(1,))-Q+A(1,K) CONTINLE 10 70 FHASE TRAN SFORMATICN G=-CCCNJG (A(K,K))/C DU 60 _=K1,NP A(K,J)=C+A(K,J) TKANSFORMATION K1 1+0 0.0 ξ ALIJJEALIJU CONTINLE 1) 7 = 1 10 PHASE TK 0= -CCN 00 12C A(1,K1) K= K1 60 10 × 1743888 0 14 ā Ē 00100 120 90 90 **3**800 3002 80 ں

147

۰.

LE EPSI GO TC 290 J.LE EPSI GO TO 240 FOR NEGLIGIELE ELEMENTS C 290 10 C TCLERENCE FOR NEGLIGIELE ELI 140 EP S=0.EC 00 150 k=1.N 5(K)=B(K) 7(K)=C(K) 150 EP S=AMAX1(EPS,S(K)+T(K)) 150 EP S=EP S+ETA L AND V 10 180 210 260 09 01 E0) \$\$1(1) \$(f).LE.EPS) E(L) 00 CI # GON# LIZATION DD 380 KK=1.N K= N1-KK TEST FOR SPLIT CO 230 LL=1,K L=K+1-LL IF (ABS(1(L)),LI IF (ABS(S(L-1)), CUNTINLE (.H*++.7+1) CANCELLAIICN CF CS=C=EC SN=1=EC SN=1=EC SN=1=EC DO 280]= L + K F=SN=T(1) T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1) T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)T(1)=C5+1(1)T(1)T(Ā **IN IT** C QR 210 2000 160 170 180 220 240 240 240 <u>u</u>u UL U

148

•

.

+(C-H)*(C+H))/(2.E0*H*Y) 6=-6 +(Y/(F+6)-H)+H)/X ÉO. 0.E0J 20キュキリ 310 360 . EC.OJ GO TO TEST FOR CCNVERGENCE = S(K) IF (L.EC.K) GO TG CS+R #SN ò R CS = 1 = F CS = ū SEJET CR 16 IN X= 5(C N C= 5(C N C= 5(C - 1) C= 1(C - 1) C= 5(C - 1) C= 5(C - 1) C= 5(C - 1) 1 = 6 + 11 CC L ... L . T S. B. × C Ľ 34 ß 3**10** 250260 270 C 280 290 SUC ပပ

T. . . T.

149

. •

.

. · ·

350 GC TC 380 Č SCRT SINGLLAR VALUES C SCRT SINGLLAR VALUES G=-1.EC J= K C SCRT SINGLLAR VALUES C SCRT SINGLAR 380 330 10 2 09 00 .0.E01 S0#0#0 X 222 • CENVERGENCE SK N=+ 6 CU 370 4 V(J K)= 7 **7** II Z04X ARCOLUCE ACON ** SOSS Ŧ ž 2 JXX ă NO SO 22 ū 400 320 340 90 900 900

150

Ł.

•

• .*•

LIF (NV+EC.0) GC TU 570 IF (NV+EC.0) GC TU 570 LD 560 kk=2.N K1=K+1 K1=K+1 K1=K+1 K1=K+1 K1=K+1 K1=K+1 K1=K+1 K1=K+1 K1=1 K1=1 K1 +1 CC 520 ==1 V(K1,J)=C*V(K1,J) CC 85(A(K,K1)) CC 85(A(K,K1)) CC 520 ==1 V(K1,J)=C*V(K1,J) CC 85(A(K,K1)) CC 85(A(K,K1) -0*CONJG(A(K, I)) 500 1 * C(K1 JJ CK TRANSFC KMATION IF (NU- EC-0) 60 T0 510 00 500 KK=1.N K=N1-KK K=N1-KK C 16 (K) EQ-0.E01 6C TC 0= A6(K,K)/CABS(A(K,K)) C0 4400 -=1/CABS(A(K,K)) U(K,J) = C+(K,J) Control Contro 430 450 2 2 (K, K1) -NP1 60 60 D0 440 15 11 NF C= A(J 1) A(J,1)= A(K,1) A(K,1)= C CONTINCE **Z**•1 (NL-EC.0) (1, ,)] = ((1, K) ># K J = C 24 10 Ż CONTIN CONTIN **)** = **)** 3 F BACK 410 4400 400 00 420 460 470 5000 5000 5100 520 540 5500 5700 530

200

````

. .

151

-

....

· . . .

.

٠

.

.

.

· · ·

| 0000       | 0000<br>1000<br>1007<br>1007<br>1007   | 100<br>100<br>100<br>100                                                         | 490   | 001         | 2200             | 200               | 085            | 610       | 620<br>620<br>620                                       | 600<br>660                                                                                       | 690<br>690<br>100                                                                           | 720012               | 1200                          |                                                                          |
|------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 00000      | <u>100000</u>                          | 200                                                                              | 00    | 00          | 000              | 200               | 000            | 00        | 000                                                     | 000                                                                                              | 000                                                                                         | 0000                 | 00000                         | 00000                                                                    |
| 22327      | < X X X X X                            | 2 <del>2</del> 2<br>7 7 7                                                        | 22    | 3.4<br>2.4  | 340              | 5 X X<br>5 X X    | ~~~<br>~~~     | 33        | <b>ZZZ</b>                                              | 434<br>434                                                                                       | 333                                                                                         | 2626<br>3544<br>0000 |                               |                                                                          |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  | 00    |             |                  |                   |                |           |                                                         |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      |                               | * 0                                                                      |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | #           | #                |                   |                |           | 11                                                      |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *                             |                                                                          |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | ž                |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *                             | ₩<br>1                                                                   |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | 77<br>*     | *                |                   |                |           | ĨĨ                                                      |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | 7<br>7<br>4                   |                                                                          |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | *                |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *                             | * •                                                                      |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | *                |                   |                |           | 4 4<br>4 4                                              |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | ÷                             | (注)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1)<br>(1 |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | ž.               |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *∢                            | 4 JO<br>4 ZH                                                             |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       |             | й<br>#           |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *S<br>*Ar                     | ****                                                                     |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *<br>*      | ት<br>ት           |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | * 0<br>*2                     | ***<br>***                                                               |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | ች<br>ቻ      | ት<br>ት           |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *0F                           | * 00-1<br>+ 00 *                                                         |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | *                |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *0                            | ¥ ZO                                                                     |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | 17<br>14    | Ť                |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | * 2                           | * 00-                                                                    |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | #<br>상           |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | * <u>-</u>                    | ¥Z9                                                                      |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | н<br>1<br>1 | *                |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | ₩U =<br>#UL⊄                  | *4-                                                                      |
| * *        |                                        | -                                                                                |       | ¥.          | *                |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | ₩0<br>*<<                     | # Z-10                                                                   |
| 7 7        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | ÷                |                   |                |           | *2*                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | ₩ <b>∢</b> ₩<br>₩ <b>∢</b> ∠> | *40 •                                                                    |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  | •     | Ť.          | *                |                   |                |           | *0*                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *                             | * 2~                                                                     |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | 19<br>14    | *                |                   |                |           | * *<br>*×*                                              |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *₩<br>*I<                     | * = = ~ ~                                                                |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | ¥<br>¥           |                   |                |           | ***                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | #L S                          | *33-                                                                     |
| * *        |                                        | -                                                                                |       | *           | *                |                   | Ŷ              |           | #Q#<br>#Z#                                              | X                                                                                                |                                                                                             |                      | *UOO                          | * •0<br>* × •-1                                                          |
| * *        |                                        | ×.                                                                               |       | ₩<br>₩      | *-               |                   | 1,             |           | *0*                                                     | ž                                                                                                |                                                                                             | 6                    | #2×F                          | * 10                                                                     |
| * *        | <u>z</u>                               | <b>-1</b>                                                                        |       | *           | * 。<br>* ~       |                   | "              |           | * *                                                     | ٩.                                                                                               |                                                                                             | -                    | *104<br>*021                  | ****                                                                     |
| * *<br>*×* | Ž.                                     | "                                                                                |       | *×          | (#Z              |                   |                |           | ***                                                     | ×                                                                                                |                                                                                             | 0                    | * <u>)</u>                    | * • • • •                                                                |
| ***        | •<br>•                                 |                                                                                  |       | **          | *4               |                   | -              | •         | ***                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             | 2                    | * 10                          | * 001                                                                    |
| # M #      |                                        | -                                                                                | _     | * ~         | .÷               | _                 | 5              | _         | ***                                                     | 50                                                                                               | _                                                                                           | 1                    | 434                           | * * * *                                                                  |
| * *        | A O                                    | A (                                                                              | 0     | *           | 14               | 0                 | 4              | S         | *2*                                                     | 200                                                                                              | 2                                                                                           | A                    | <u>ұ</u> щы                   | *4-0                                                                     |
| ***        | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |                                                                                  | ບ່    | 中へ<br>今日    |                  |                   | 2              | 5         | * - 1*                                                  | 507                                                                                              | トレフィ                                                                                        | ž                    |                               |                                                                          |
| * * *      |                                        | 0                                                                                | E F ] | *           | та<br>4 ш        |                   |                | L.        | *U#                                                     |                                                                                                  | ~~~                                                                                         | -0.                  |                               | *UQH<br>*2*-V                                                            |
| * *        |                                        | است.                                                                             | -     | *<br>*      | **               | ~~"               |                | -         | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *4.0                          | ***                                                                      |
| *0*        | _4 <u>0</u><br>□4 <u>0</u>             | -Ž2                                                                              |       | *           | *                | 4<br>4<br>4<br>00 | л<br>Зшын<br>а | 21-       | 本<br>(本<br>(本<br>(本)<br>(本)<br>(本)<br>(本)<br>(本)<br>(本) | -<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | 1000<br>1000                                                                                |                      | *52<br>*52                    | + C) - C + -                                                             |
| *Ш*<br>42* | 良」」 目上                                 | A A                                                                              |       | 0 #<br>L #( | ( # Č.<br>( # C. |                   |                | )X<br>-X- | # < #<br># > #{                                         | 20-<br>20-                                                                                       | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | ュキーし                 | します                           | * CC • C                                                                 |
| N# #       |                                        | 80<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10 |       | *           | #35              |                   |                |           |                                                         | NO <sup>n</sup>                                                                                  | Sug C                                                                                       |                      |                               | #20013                                                                   |
| * *        |                                        |                                                                                  |       | *           | *                |                   |                |           | * *                                                     |                                                                                                  |                                                                                             |                      | *                             |                                                                          |
| * *        |                                        | _                                                                                | -     | *           | *                |                   | -              | -         | * *                                                     | •                                                                                                |                                                                                             | 00                   | *                             | Ŧ                                                                        |
| ەرەن       |                                        | 10                                                                               | 20    | S           | ں                |                   | 10             | 20        | JOC                                                     | >                                                                                                |                                                                                             | 201                  | ວບບບບ                         | رر                                                                       |

```

·...]

152

· • .

-

.

÷

(10,10), PHIC I 0,101,8(10,10 Arbfcx(101,8P Cclb (S I A, N CA, 10, 1, NCA, 10, 0, WA, I ER J (I, BX, NCA, NCA, NCB, SIAI B) (CX, SI AIB, NRC, NCC, NCB, 6P) NCA O FE AL*4 T:*(N); XDGT(N); AMBFC(10,10), AFC COMMON AMBFC; A, B, F, C, PH1, AMBFC(10,10), AFC b, RITE(6,4004, N, NCOLB FURMAT(215) FRAT(215) FRAT(215) CALL MFITE(B; N, NCOLB CALL MFITE(B; N, NCOLB) CALL MFITE(C, N) BP HI(J)=BFH1(J)+B(J, K) *PH1(K) CONTINLE MFITE(C, 55501) AMBFCX(J), J=1,N) DO 50 J=1,N

 0
 50
 J=1
 NRA

 51
 40
 k=1
 NCA

 51
 4
 k
 s

 60
 4
 k
 s

 60
 1
 k
 k

 60
 1
 k
 k

 61
 1
 k
 k

 62
 1
 k
 k

 63
 1
 k
 k

 64
 1
 k
 k

< ANA ANA 1=1,NCA REAL SECONDER SECONDE 5 4006 550C 4m 00 C C5501 CC100 202 30 50

.

.

ەن

•:

.

ţ

154

• - .

• Chr04610 Chr04820 Chr04820 Chr04640 Chr04640 Chr04650 ۰. • CALL CATAL (I'FKX, NRA, NCA, NCK, AAUK) CALL CMATML (FX, AAUK, NRF, NCF, NCK, AUUK) CALL CAFITE (H, NRF, NCK) RE TLRN EN C -••• 1-1 S 155 44) 4 - 4 - 5 - 7 - 4 - 7 - <u>6 - 6 - 7 - 6 - 7</u>

.

و ا

| ****** | **** | **** | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | *** |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | ** | | •• | |
| * *** | · · · · · | | ш | |
| * **** > * | | | H | |
| | | :0 | A | |
| | 544 | S S | C S J | |
| * *** Z IZZZ * | 244 | <u>~</u> ₹• | 2 | |
| * *** | 344 | Z CYU | | |
| | ** | | × - | |
| | 7444 | | ы на б | |
| * ***24-44-4 | ₹ ∝ ## | | | |
| | | | | |
| 本 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 4244
1147 | 5 830 2 | | |
| | Щ С ## | N O A | 19 N 1999 | |
| | ⊂ * * | | | |
| | NG ## | | | |
| ACCAAA CUINA A | | MAARAM> | HZX GUI | |
| | 21-++ | | | |
| | | | | |
| | <u><u></u></u> | all and a line | 2 AULUN | |
| | ······································ | | XINZNIJA | |
| *UZ***Z4F02UZ2 * | | | | |
| * 524200004***00 | L)V)# # | AN - HNH | | |
| * 0*** ~~00 7 * | Z~*** | H X S | | |
| | 2 77
(744 | | ANDAHAXWX
Annuxu aa | |
| * AF * * JZZNZZOZO* | vz## | | NZGERGHRH | |
| * 10**** | Z~*** | ZH# LUN | | |
| | | LOUNDZ | - XXAXH00 | |
| | S
3
4
4 | AQD T # 200 | XX A AXXXX | |
| | <_1++ | ພ≻່ະແດ | | |
| | | | 22>0H0ZSSS | |
| | | | | |
| #W>###WH H WZCO# | C122++ | ミルリメビッ | EENT TO | |
| | | | | |
| | · ** | R GNMT | | ¥ UL |
| * 24*** 24* | ···· • # # | ACC+UAC | F&FQUQ>4Z | ű, 🕈 👘 |
| * 00 * * * 020 7 70 7 7 | NN## | >⋈╲ <u>╓</u> ┰ [ॖ] ╓ | | *** |
| * X X * * * H H H H H H H H H H H H H H | ×0## | | | a |
| # 0###VOS WOOZX# | U+++ | AJAHA | COCARASII * | 11 |
| #3####OFFJKU# | | | | |
| * ~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | X## | | | |
| # U###< ≻v> Ш # | 27* | HH JAON | ······································ | 4.1 |
| | | | ► <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u> | |
| ************* | | UN VILA | 111 1 1261''' | ド メチ |
| | | 🚍 🛶 | | <u></u> |
| | ** | 뿌는 | | III |
| | SE## | | | ~~~ |
| ** | | | | |
| | エンネネ | | | |
| ***** | | | | |
| **** | ***** | ******* | ********** | *** |
| * UU
* U | | | | |
| *** | | | | |
| | | JUJUJUJUJU | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | UUU |

156

••••

٠. ۲

· · ·

.

<u>_</u>____

. . .

| | | | | CCN01250
CCN01250
CCN01250
CCN01250
CCN01250
CCN01250 | |
|----------------------------|---|---|------------|--|------------------------|
| ******* | ********** | ****** | | +
+
* | ***** |
| н ини и ж ин | ********** | *************************************** | | | N N |
| | >> | | ALLY | 4 | |
| | 570)
T (| | | | 5 |
| | | л
4 | | * | , D |
| | 14
19 | 4 | | * | , La |
| | 00 | it
K | | * | |
| | | | NOT | 4 | |
| | 44 KK | | | * | 0 |
| | NOAAADHH
HIDD XDD | 1 | OX H | * | ġ |
| | SSSS COLOG | 1 | | 4
4 | AN |
| | NNOM>>SN | 1 | | * | S A |
| | AADD AAAPP | | | 7 | |
| | COLLAR-1100 | | | * | |
| Z | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | Ge al | 992-9 | * | I I |
| I | | | | | ~ ~ |
| AT | ARAHN MM
ARXINNAR | | 24020 | 4
14 | |
| **0 0 | 44JJN UUUU | | | * | |
| ** | | | | * | |
| | ZZHHHHAAAA | u a au | 500 - 11 | | - 4440 |
| K C CD A | | | | * | <u>, 143</u>
Σ ΩΠΠΣ |
| | 、 ミミシンミータのんじ、
ころしょししてし、 | | | * | |
| OFUDJISKP
ARRECUTO | | | | * | |
| 12412 HA | | DAH IX#ZZ 24
Pa siyana()4 | | ÷ S | |
| | | | 0 | | LAAN L |
| | | + - 2 + 22 - 201 | | A F A | N YEEG |
| | | | | 4 - 4 - 4 | |
| | | אשמי טיר | | * • • | |
| | | | | * <u>۵</u> | |
| ່ມພຳບ້າງ | | | 227320 | | MUSSE M |
| | | | | ¥ • # | |
| WNEUUXA | יטדבבצבביני | KUUHXTOXXUU | # OTX | | EIIE |
| | | | XXJULA | - × * | 1 44 41 |
| | | 4 | | * W * | |
| | | 4 | 201 - 20 | | S |
| | | | | Z 3 4 | • |
| ****** | ***** | | 11+mm- | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | д пт |
| | | • | - | SE | |
| | | |) (| \mathbf{u} | |

E(50),VUB(50),G(50),JCG(5C),JC(50),DF(50),XA(50), (1000),JF(10,10),XIN(50),JR(50),KEY(50),R(50,1) (50),VLB1(50),VUE1(50) **** ************************* DATA PRUGRAM c cptimizer parameter f cptimizer parameter f cptimizer stradient vector f cftimizer stradent vector cftimizer work space aray used by scriphose design variables frum input da used by scriphose design variables frum input da v used by scriphose design variables frum input da t sec by sort routine t sec by sort routine cfosten vector for sec cod optimizer pass t coder ber pass t coder ber ber sec cod optimizer pass t coder ber pass t coder USE THE OPTIMIZER TO USE THE I CF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION OLTINE ST IRST CECACE THIS STEP AT EACH DECADE SHIFT 2 * **** THE OPTIMIZER ALUE GF (1+FG) NGTION THE OPTIMIZER ¥H H L G L G FCRMATULES IS IS DATA RUN TELL PROGRAM HCh TC HANCLE RUN VALUES THRCUGH PRCGRAM WITHOUGH TH VALUES THRCUGH PRCGRAM WITHOUT U CCRPUTE VALUES CO ACT CFTIMIZE CCRMUTE VALUES CO ACT CFTIMIZE R FREQUENCY TO EE L SED FREQUENCY T ************ / • NFWK/ 10000/ • NR 1WK/ 1000. ** ****************** ₹Ž œΞ 3 S ******* A/50/ ICN X (50) VL B I (10000) 1 MK (0) X1 (50) G1 (AL FCN **** NF / 150/9 LE CONTREST 1.1 . ----00rdx3rram 00rdx3rram <u>....</u> 5 ***** * * * * * u, 2 m **** CARC KUDE KUNE **∢**⊻α CARD CARE

-. - .

6

C

CHART ū æ SARAMETE F Z u. AULE ER TO EACH CARDS PER THE LATA 4 SUMINI : SUMINO. RJ. NGULAR VALLE (1+6F) IN THE OBJECTIVE NET THE OBJECTIVE NEEDS FURTHER PARAMETADDITICN THEY MAY BE WEIGHTED IN SIMIALR FASHICN
4 SUMINI : SUMINO. RJ. NIDG: FORMAT(3FIO. C. 15)
5 SUMINI : - DESIREU SINGULAR VALUE LEVEL FOR THE S.V. CU
6 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
8 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
8 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
8 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
8 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
8 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
9 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
9 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CAIN AND PHASE CHU
9 SUMINO - OLTPUT VERSION OF SUMIAL CENTRENT ERROR THE
9 NIDG - PARAMETER THAT SET TYPE CONSTRAINT CO 6 IGRAD, NDV, NCON, ISTRAT, IGPT, ICNEC, IPFINT, INFG IGRAD - PARAMETER CGNCERNING GRAUIENT COMP SEE ADS NGON - NUMBER OF DE SIGN VARIABLES ISTRAT - UPFIMIZER STRATEGY SEE ADS MANUAL ICPT - OPTIMIZER STRATEGY SEE ADS MANUAL ICPT - OPTIMIZER STRATEGY SEE ADS MANUAL INFC - OPTIMIZER STRATEGY SEE ADS INFRINT - ADS PRINT CONTROL SEE ADS INFC - OPTIMIZER CONTROL PARAMETER SEE ADS INFC - OPTIMIZER CONTROL PARAMETER SEE ADS NGMAT(ST 2) NCMEER OF FCWS AND COLLON NRUWC, NCCLC, NRGWF, NCOLF, NMU CMBER OF POLES TO BE INPUT FOR PLACEMENT NLMBER OF POLES TO BE INPUT FOR PLACEMENT Addiet of POLES TO BE INPUT FOR PLACEMENT ERROR THE I CEKED S AR MR 20 ũ HEN EXT MATRIX ILL REFER SEVERAL CA WITH TH RUN THERE NOW CONCLIC.N THERE NOW CONCLIC.N A TRIX : READ BY RUWS CF INPUTE A (1,1) A (1,2) A PES ATH AAFT CCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCCCAARTA ARCAARTA ARCAATTA ARCAAT ŝ 9 4 CARC CARD CARC CARC CARI ***

,

ž

ž.

00

160

.

CNUZ CN0 S S NR CWA, NC CLA, NRCWE, NC CLE, NFCWC, NC GLC, NROW F, NC CLF, NMU Rowk, nc clk. , ICPT, ICN EC, IPRINT, INFO UUS CARD ELT FLR SECUND OPTIMIZER 10.01* (1,1050) IGRAC, NCV, NCCN, ISTRAT, IOPT, IONED, IPR INT, INFO **MEIGHTS** CARD FOR EACH DESIGN VARIABLE RMAT (2F10.0) AGINARY EESIRED FULE LOCATION FÖR EACH DESIGN VARIABLE HANGED. CIFICATION FUR THE INPUT THE PARAMETER VALUES AND THE MATRICES A.B.C.F.FK MAXN, INITIAL FREQUENCY, DELTA FREQ, OPTIMIZER INPLI CALA INTO THE FROGRAM TO SET UP THE SYSTEM XCCT=AX+BU ; Y=CX ASEI INPLT CFIIMIZER INPLT CATA (SEE ADS MANLAL) RJ, NIDG (0-1 RUN COCE AND PROGRAM CONTROL (1,1040) KCDE,KONTRL UP INO A RIABLE SERVE ESCUB; ĴΣ 12000 Tuo A a 51 lć 17 4 ----CARC REAC CARC CARC INPLT REAL CALLC CALLC CALLC CARC INPUT CARC

·. · ·.

ຸດດດ ດີດດ

 REAL(UMU(J+1))
 GD
 TO
 110

 T.AIMAG(GMU(J+1))
 GC
 TO
 110
 MATFIX CESIRED EIGENVALUES AS REAL(X)+REAL(Y)* u, AITHIN THE SPEC DESIGN VAR WITHIN THE FK MATRIX (1 F(J, K), K= 1, NCULF) (IFK(J,K),K=1,NCOLK) REALPL(I), IMAGMU(I) SET THE CESIGN VARIABLE BCUNDS CESIGN VARIABLES VLB(J) . VLB(J) 40 OVŠFTR (REALMU, NMU,IR) [00 _=1, AMU SCRT THE INPUT ELGEN VALUES 10 <u>C</u> • 0101 (010) NRUWK CU 10 J=1,NRUW REAC (1,1070) DU 20 J=1,NRUW REAC (1,610) (J=1,NMU **ニナし(K)** SPECIFY THE R E A C R E A C R E A C 50 THE 70 IINI CO 30 REAC (CALL CALL CALL 1 IR(01 1 ی W 1 REAU 100 ຊີບບບ 10 \$000 J 60 20 20 ວິດອີດ ەرەن

| CUNTINUE
CUNTINUE
RATTE THE INPUT CATA FUR CHECKING AND REFERENCE
RATTE (C:1000) KNDE
RATTE (C:1000) KNDE
RATTE (C:1000) KNDE
RATTE (C:1000) KNDE
RATTE (C:1000) KNDE
RATTE (C:1000) KNDE
RATTE (C:1000) RNDE
RATTE (C:10000) RNDE
RATTE (C:10000) RNDE
RATTE (C:10000) RNDE
RATTE (C:100000) RNDE
RATTE (C:100000) RNDE
RATTE (C:1000000) RNDE
RATTE (C:100000000) RNDE
RATTE (C:1000000000000000000000000000000000000 | CCN02280
CCN02280
CCN02280
CCN02280
CCN02280
CCN02280
CCN02280
CCN02280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0200
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN0280
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN020
CCN0 | CCN02410
CCN02410
CCN02420 | * CON02440 | CCN02480
CCN02470 | CCN01490 | | | | | | | CCNJ 2 450
CCND 2 460 | | | | CUN02760 | | CCN02620
CCN02620
CCN02620
CUN02650 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| | (L (J + 1) = 1 E PP 1
 N 1 I N L E
 N 1 I N L E
 N 1 I N L E
 N 1 I N L E | KITE THE INPUT CATA FUR CHECKING AND REFERENCE | . + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | JIE (¢,10,10) MMAX,WI,UELW
ITE (¢,630) WTI,WT2,WT3
TTF (¢,640) Svmini-Svming.RJ.NIDG | ITE (6,820) EICX,EICP,EIRT
ITE (3,65C) ARCWA.NML (CO | LIE (CILLOU)
LLE MFIIF, (APNRCWA,NCCLA) | LL WEITE (B, NRCWB, NCOLB) | LLE WEITET CONCOLC) | LLE NEIJE (F, NRCWF, NCCLF) | LL P I I C C K , NROWK, NCCLK J | LL CVE (LAR 10 MU • NML)
LL CVE (LAR 10 MU • NML)
************************************ | CALL THE ADS CPTIMIZER TC SOLVE FCR THE BEST F'S | 100 并达的非法保持不能非常的。这次不能是这些有些有的。我们的是有这些的,我们也是这些是有的。" | LL ACS (INFO, ISTRAT, IOPT, ION ED, IFRINT, IGRAD, NOV, NCON, X, VLE, VUE, GCC
,65,1 [ce, ngt, 1 c. df, xa, nra, nca, wkl, nrwk, 1wk, nriwk) | LL JEST (INFU.ISTRAT,IOPT,IONED,IPRINT,IGRAD,NOV,NCUN,X,VLB,VUB, CC
.6.1C6.NGT.LC.DF.XA.NRA.NCA.WK1.NRWK.IWK.ARIWK)
.1.NFC.FC.O. GC TO 310 | (INFC。[1]) [C] | IS PCFTICA OF THE PRCGRAM DOES THE ACTUAL CUMPUTATION | ATE LESIGN VECTOR X MITH THE DESIRED SYSTEM MATRIX
170 .=1.NkOWF |
| 00 m 0 | | | * <u>3</u> .
*
* | 133 | 2.5 | ZU: | | دت:
ا | ΞŪ: | Z (j j j | # آرن 2
*
* | | ** | | 30
1 ^B C | | F | |

FEAL (DEI & (J + 1)) GC TO 250 F.AIMAG(DE 16(J + 1)) GC TO 250 CALL EIGFF (AMBF,NRCMA,10,2,EIG,Z,10,WK,IER) EU 200 J=FEAL(EIG(JJ) RE 1G(J)=FEAL(EIG(JJ) CONTINLE CU 210 J=1,NROWA KEY(J)=J CALL VSRTR (RE 1G,NROWA,KEY) COLL VSRTR (RE 1G,NROWA,KEY) CALL VSRTR (RE 1G,NROWA,KEY) COLL VSRTR (RE 1G,NROWA,KEY) COLL VSRTR (RE 1G,NROWA,KEY) COLL VSRTR (RE 1G,NROWA,KEY) COLL VSRTR (RE 1G,NROWA,KEY) CONTINUE CONTINUE M MATRIX FCR EIGEN COMPLTATION Roke, NCOLB, NCOLF, BFJ SCRT THE EIGENVALUES AND VECTCRS CO 160 k=1.NCOLF IF (IF(.,K).EQ.O) GC TC 150 KK=IF(J.K) F(J.K)=X(KK) CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE CCMPLIE IFE SYSTEM MATRIX CALL MPLL (B,F,NR0 hE,NC0) C0 190 1=1,NR0 hA C0 180 -=1,NC0LA AMEF(1, J)=A(1, J)-BF(1, J) CUNTINLE 1+7 RÔNA IGUJ ,20 ,20 COMPUTE AND CU \$ 1=0 CONTI ヨフ Ш Ш 150 180 C 200 210 220230 240 2500 2500 2500 ں ں

5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 000000 0000 000

ļ

| 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 04460
04480
04480
04480
04480
04480
04480
04480
04480 | 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
|--|---|---|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| I CE | | | 川
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1)
(1) |
| MA | | | |
| IA - L | | 2 | |
| | | 5 | |
| | | 11 | *~~*********************************** |
| | | NT | |
| 0 9 W
- 0 V | | к н | * <u>4</u> 50*** |
| IAG
NIM |)ED | dI t | + 37+72
+ 2+0
 |
| //WI | E C | ED | N***** |
| | 4 | V 0 | すじ キRK すじしゅり ? |
| × + + ~ ~ ~ | 11 | • | 第64日日本 |
| | u
~ | , Lat | *********** |
| | L L L | ·]] • | |
| C C C | S | AT | キリキリーキ マーキー |
| | 0E | TR | |
| AL
DE | 9 | SI | |
| EAL
PL(| INE | | |
| | 10 | | × + + + 4 × |
| | m Q | | |
| | | | |
| | 50 | | |
| | l L
TAT | | |
| й | | N N ZH >
~Q⊃ ~Q~ · > | 00477 • 40 • 0 • 2 • 0 • 2 • 0 • 2 • 0 • 2 • 2 • |
| NA LON LINEA | 5 5 | 3.295-879533 | |
| | | | |
| 101× 4× 12 + 44 | | | |
| 00-21-00-44-00- | YOHO | | らうしとしょうしょう キムしりののテテドバスとのこま キムしつ |
| 2002 - 200 - | ZHLA | しろして して しょうしょう ゆうしょう しょうしょう ちょうしょう ちょうしょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ち | |
| 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | OKAOKKAKANOM | |
| | | | *** |
| 270 | 290 | 310
320
320 | 000
000 |

| UBCCN04£10
CCN04£20
CCN04£20
CCN04£30
CCN04£30
CCN04£50 | 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| X1 , VLB1 , V | | FUNCTION | | HC F NRON COL |
| (INFO ISTRAT IOPT, IONED, IPRINT, IGRAD, NDV, NCON,
1, ICG NGT IC, DF,XA,NRA,NCA,WKI, ARWK, IWK,NR IWK)
.EQ.01 GC TC 800
.GT.11 GC TC 850 | =1.NKG hK
=1.NCGLK
=1.NCGLK
1.K)=EQ.0) GO IG 380
(J.K)
+KK
X1(KKKK) | L (FK, C, NROWK, NCOLK, NCOLC, FKC)
=1, NRU AA
=1, NCOLA
J)=P(I,J)-FKC(1,J)
AF (AM FKC, NROMA,10,2,EIGK, ZK,10,WK,IER)
ALL THE INPUT SYSTEM PATRICES FCR THE TRANSFER | XCV (AX.A.NRCMA.NCCLA)
XCV (BX.B.NROMB.NCCLB)
XCV (CX.C.NROME.NCCLC)
XCV (FX.F.NROMF.NCCLC)
XCV (FXX.FK.NROMK.NCOLK)
ANT TRANSFER MATRIX ROUTINE AND FORM THE SYSTEM | AI (PLAN AX, BX, CX, h, NRCHA, NCCL A, NROHB, NCUL B, NKU |
| 370 CALL AES
II. CBJ AES
IF (INFC | L L L C C L L L L L L C C L L L L L C C L L L L L C C L | CCMPLEX
CCMPLEX
CCMPLEX
CCMPLEX
CCMPLEX
CCMPLEX
CCMPLEX | CALL CPL
CALL CPL
CALL CFL
CALL CFL
CALL CFL
CALL CFL
CALL CFL
CALL CFL
CALL CFL | CCSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS |

•

. . . .

166

٠.

•

٠.

LAN, NROMF, NCOLK, NCOLE, HG. CNT, NROMC, NCOLB, NCOLK, GH

 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 PLAN, CO CONTINUE CONTIN I NCOLB LL CWATML (C LL CWATWL (P 450 .= 1,NK0 440 k= 1, NC0 .5 COLK. 440 460

-

Hu 0-∢ **CPTIMIZER** ALL DESIRED SV'S 220 **N**N ***** <u>z zuo</u> 204 FREQUENCY BANCHIDTH 5 **CRITERIA** 2 (NC)) 1-SVHGI HERE . CECCMP ANU QUANTIFY NRON CEJECTIVE FUNCTION IS INSERTED 630 VIWAS .0. COST 10 ROWC 09 DESIRED IN THE 420 PAXN 1).GE.SVPIN) ((J) 620 60 10 USE 10 CCMPUTE LCCF FCR ALL 00 V ALU E IF (W.LE.MMAX) Maxn=n[-] FCRM CUANTITES TO • າເວເມັ C + h I 4 4 <u>ا</u>ت SVMIN= SVFG C0 630 = 1 IF (SVGF1(SVMIN= SVGH C0NTINLE S INGUL A

 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 M
 THE 3 620

ບບບ

٠.•

168

ပပပ

ەرە

CLN0¢650 CUN0¢650 CCN06670 CCN06670 CCN06680 CCN06680 CCNUE 7 CCNOE 7 CNOC JUNDE ZZ ZZ 5 =SLM3+LAMAX1 (C., (AI MAGLE 1GK (J) J / REAL (ELGK (J)) J-E IRT)]**2 OLTPUT THE COMPUTED FINAL EIGEN VALUES AND VECTORS FROM UPT F'S ŪĞRADIENI CCMPLTATICN ROUTINE GGES HERE IF NEEVED Continue ĞĨ (JJ)=SUM1+(AMAX1(0.0,REAL(EIGK(JJJ-EIGX))**2 Contince Sup2=0. Co 660 ~=1,nmu JJ=J+NPL 61 (JJ)=SLM2+(AMAX1 (0.0,E1GP-REAL(E1GK(J))))**2 Continle CEIC(), EICK() (ZK, NRCMA, NCOLA) OZ, NRCHA, NCOLA) 700 +hT2+SHINAD 710 , NRCWF, NCCLFJ GC TC 680 R (DEIG, NCOLA) ie Igk, NCGLAJ FL. CT. 01 GC TC FL.EQ.01 GC TC 10 670 411 MU L L COÌ 00 58901 68901 INLE KCN] . CALL CALL WAITE CALL CALL CAL DO 730 · O=EINS 61 (JJ WR 17E WR 17E CALL C WR 17E +7=7 **]**= D C M J LYC: ¥ 700 THE 680 640 650 660 690 710 730

169

•

.

.

• .

÷

-

۰.

WRJTE (FK, NROWK, NCOLK) CALL

NO NO NO

DATA VALUE CFTIMIZED SINGULAR THE DU TPUT ပပပ

MAXN 04

IN EIG=, FIO.5, BHEIG RAT=, FIO.5, CCN0762 HT 2=, FIO.5, 9HWEIGHT 3=, FIO.5, CCN0765 O=, FIO.5, 9HWEIGHT 3=, FIO.5, CCN0765 O=, FIO.5, 5H R J=, FIO.5, 6H ID 6 CCN0765 X *4* / UT EC 0U IPUT IS ***, /) CCN07112 CCN07112 CCN07112 CCN07112 CCN07115 UNCTION IS =, FIO.5, 6H ****, /) CCN07156 CCN07156 OND: (2:950) OREGA(J); SVHGI(J); SVGHI(J); SVFGMI(J); SVGHMI(J); (2:950) OREGA(J); SVHGI(J); SVGHI(J); SVFGMI(J); SVGHMI(J); (2:9804 CMEGA(J); SVHG2(J); SVGF2(J); SVFGM2(J); SVGHM2(J); (2:9904 CMEGA(J); SVHG2(J); SVGFX(J); SVFGM2(J); SVGHM2(J); (2:9904 CMEGA(J); SVHG2(J); SVGFX(J); SVFGMX(J); SVGHMX(J); (2:9904 CMEGA(J); SVHGX(J); SVGFX(J); SVFGMX(J); SVGHMX(J); (2:9904 CMEGA(J); SVHGX(J); SVGFX(J); SVFGMX(J); SVGHMX(J); FI0.5,8H SVMIND# FI0.5,5H 1=, F10.5, ShwEIGHT AL K MATRIX IAL DATA IS MIZER COMFUT R EIGENVALLE EIGENVECTOR EIG=, FIO. 5, 8HMIN GAIN MATRIX ' N N N N N N N N (3F10.0) (3F10.0,15) (212) (E12) (/,12H0BS MAX | 110 X **** (/,IOH WEIGHT (1,9+ S VM IN I= MXXI ***** NOIN Ĭ F0RMAT F0RMAT F0RMAT F0RMAT F0RMAT F0RMAT F0RMAT F0RMAT FORRMAN CRMA KONT **** *** 740 760 750 20 780 8000 8200 8200 830 840

CCN07

.

•

5X, 6HSVACI2, 4X, 6FSVACC2, 4X, 5HSV MI2, 4X, 5 HSV M

FREQ

L.

E12.51

145

FUNCTION IS

| 2200 | | 200
200
200
200 | | 1000
1000
1000 | -2000
-2000
-2000 | 1 00
77
77 | | 144
1837
1000 | | 100
100
100 | 000 | | 6 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 2027 | K a c |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|---|-----------|----------|
| 200 | 00000 | 200 | 000 | 2000 | 0000 | 000 | 0000 | | 0000 | >1212 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 200 | 10 |
| | | 522 | | 2222 | | | | | | | | | | | 33 |
| ບບເ | | JOC
M | | JUUL | | JOC | | JOOG | | ر ن | | روب | | ງບູ | U |
| S | | | | | | | | | _ | - | | | | | |
| Т, | ä | S | | | | _ | | | 2 9 | Ś | | | | | |
| 9 | 16 | <u>H</u> | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| - 3- | | U | | | | | | | 204 | | | | | | |
| × | נ י
ש | g | | | | | | | Z | 2 | | | | | |
| E S | E B | ٩ | | | | | ~ ~ | | X. | Ĵ | | | | | |
| Ŧ | H | Z | | | 2 | Z | 5.5 | | ZX | Ŧ | | | | | |
| ISI | 10 | Ĩ. | | | 1 | * - - | | Z | | 2 | | | | | |
| 19 | × | ÷. | | | ~ ~ ~ | ₩
₩ \\$_ | ы
ш + | 10 | 2~ | - | | | | | |
| × | | ,1 | | - | 、 * ` | хЭ | 3 # | 5 | 400 | 5 | | | | | |
| 4 | ů. | ¥ | | | ** | | ACX. | Z | A K | - | | | | | |
| × | 9 | | | | ×* | | Z\H | ũ, | | S | | | | • | |
| 5 | ч. | S | - | 1 | -ax | Σщ | JNO | R. | | Ā | | | | | |
| S | ų | Z. | _ | | NAN NAN | ×≓. | LON LON | ų, | | • | | | | | |
| ភ | E C | 77 | | | | A | uūx | 4 | 2 4 4
4 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| × | FR | a, | | 1 | * - 2 | ESE . | | RA | Z | - | | | | | |
| 4 | _ | م - | | 4 | 5+0.2
- 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| × | | z | | L. | 127E | L. | E OU | æ | -0- | -0 | | | | | |
| Ĕ | | 1 | | - | - A-14 | 7 2 | | Ξ. | ¥3 | | | | | | |
| S | ЧÖ | - mit | | 4 | PAA
TON | Q | 004 | δ | | *** | | | | | |
| £ | • 1 | ~ v | | z | Sign - | RE | a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | μ
Π
Π | × × | | | | | | |
| × | TX | ST
ST | | | | <u> </u> | | | 40 | i co | | | | | |
| 5 | -
- | H | | | Am. | R | a
a
a | ပိန္ရွိပ | XXX | 22 | - | _ | | | |
| | | 5 | ĺ | | | | | щ. | | Ä | 4- | ¥_ | | | |
| Ш. | 10 | Щ, | | | AII | II | LII | HS S | A C | -0 | 2C | ZL. | | | |
| | | 5 | | L
L | | | هما ميرا هما | s
Z
N | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 53 | 4 | | | | |
| | * | M
Z
Z
Z
Z | ž | 5 | | 4 %
| *** | SH
SH | 20 | -¥ | - Au | žá | | | |
| I | A | ц,
2 | - | | • ** | ## | *** | J
J
J
J | | | •Z | XZ | | | |
| 8 | E ONN | E | | 0 | +II | ĨĨ | EII S | NO | zo- | | -d× | Ŷ | | | - |
| × | NOUL | He He | | | a alai | щ4с | in Min | 3 | ಆಧಾ | 22 | | | 3 | R A | 5 |
| | | Ĩ | 504 | | ~~~ | \ \4 | | щш | ᄥᆤ | | | | ~ • | ~~ | io. |
| 2 | | | 5.40 | | | 220 | ::::: | 22 | |) | 04- | | """ | | |
| | ر
محاجبا محامها م | | ,
; (| | | | | -
L
L
L
L | | ь х
Эчш | | |)*~.
¥2 | אנ ו
2 | |
| 2¥) | 4444
5225 | AT C | 444 | 444 | 444 | | AAA | 08 | | 1* | <u>, , ,</u> | | | | Y |
| | (RARR) | •œ- | E Z Z | | 2222 | 9.9 | | <u>_</u> | | < 42 | DA- | | | < | <u>`</u> |
| | | | | | | | | い
い
王
に
い
正 | SC S | 520 | 5 ° | 5225 | | 195 | 32 |
| - | | | | | | _ <u>:</u> . | | S, L | - | - | | | S | د | - |
| 0 | 2000 | 20 | 000
000 | 9000
9000 | 2000 | 000 | 8000 | U H I | | | | | | V | - |
| 76 | 8600 | 2 | 000 | 0000 | | | | | | | | N N/ N | | | |



LIST OF REFERENCES

57

C.

| 1. | Rosenbrock, H. H., <u>Computer-Aided</u> <u>Control Systems</u>
<u>Design</u> , Academic Press, 1974. |
|-----|--|
| 2. | Sandell, N. R., Jr., <u>Recent Developments in the</u>
<u>Rotustness Theory of Multivariable Systems</u> , Office of
Naval Research Report CR-215-271-1F, 1979. |
| 3. | Kalman, R. E., " When Is A Linear Control System
Cptimal?", <u>ASME J. Basic Engr</u> ., pp51-60, March 1964. |
| 4. | Anderson, B. D. C. and Moore, J. B., <u>Linear Optimal</u>
<u>Control</u> , Prentice Hall, Inc., 1971. |
| 5. | Rosenbrock, H. E., "Design of Multivariable Control
Systems Using the Inverse Nyquist Array", <u>Proc. of</u>
<u>IEF</u> , Vol 116, pp 1929-1936, Nov 1969. |
| 6. | Doyle, J. C. and Stein, G., "Multivariable Feedback
Design: Concepts for a Classical/Modern Synthesis",
IEEE Trans. Autc. Control, Vol AC-26, No.1, pp4-16, Feb
1981. |
| 7. | Safancy, M. G. and Athans, M.," Gain and Phase Margin
of Multiloop LQG Regulators", <u>IEEE Trans</u> . <u>Auto</u> .
<u>Control</u> , April 1977. |
| 8. | Sandell, N. S., Jr., Lehtomaki, N. A., and Athans, M.,"
Bobustness Results in Linear Quadratic Gaussian Based
Multivariable Control DEsigns", <u>IEEE Trans. Auto</u> .
<u>Control</u> , Vol 26, No. 1, pp75-92, Feb. 1981. |
| 9. | Lehtomaki, N. A., <u>Practical Robustness Measures in</u>
<u>Multivariable Control System</u> Analysis, Ph.D. Thesis,
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, May 1981. |
| 10. | Vanderplaats, G. N., <u>ADS - A Fortran Program for</u>
<u>Automated Design Synthesis, Version 1.0</u> , Naval
Postgraduate School, Monterey, Ca., May 1984. |
| 11. | Vanderplaats, G. N., <u>Numerical Optimization</u> <u>Techniques</u>
for <u>Engineering Design</u> : <u>With Applications</u> ,
MCGraw-HIII, 1584. |
| 12. | Vanderplaats, G. N., <u>CONMIN-A Fortran Program for</u>
<u>Constrained Function Minimization - User Manual</u> , NASA
IN X-622282, AUG 1973. |
| | |

- Shapiro, E. Y., et. al., Pole Placement with Gutput Feedback", <u>AIAA J. of Guidance</u> and <u>Control</u>, Vol 4, No 4, FF 441-443, July-Aug 1981.
- 14. Mukhopadhyay, V. and Newson, J., <u>Application of Matrix</u> <u>Singular Value Margins of Multiloop Systems</u>, NASA IM-84124, July 1982.
- 15. Mukhopadhyay, V. and Newson, J., " The use of Singular Value Gradients and Optimization Techniques to Design Robust Controllers for Multiloop Systems", <u>AIAA</u> <u>Guidance and Control Papers</u>, August 1983.
- 16. Sandell, N. R., Jr., et al, <u>Multivariable Stability</u> <u>Margins for Vehicle Flight Control Systems</u>, TR -121, Alphatech Inc., Dec. 1981.
- 17. Doyle, J. C. and Stein, G., "Robustness with Observers", <u>IEFF Trans</u>. <u>Auto</u>. <u>Control</u>, Vol AC-24, pp 607-611, Aug. 1979.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

·B

....

•

) D

| | | No. Copies |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Defense Technical Information Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22314 | 2 |
| 2. | Professcr D. J. Ccllins, Code 67Co
Department of Aeronautics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943 | 5 |
| 3. | Library, Code 0142
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, Califorria 93943 | 2 |
| 4. | Department Chairman, Code 67
Department of Aercnautics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, Califorria 93943 | 1 |
| 5. | Frof M.F. Platzer, Code 67Pl
Department of Aercnautics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943 | 1 |
| 6. | Prof T.H. Gawain, Code 67Gn
Lepartment of Aercnautics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, Califorría 93943 | 1 |
| 7. | Frof R.D. Strum, Code 62St
Department of Electrical Engineering
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943 | 1 |
| 8. | Prof G.E. Latta, Code 54Lz
Department of Mathematics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, Califorria 93943 | 1 |
| 9. | Frof G.N. Vanderrlats
Department of Mechanical
and Environmental Engineering
University of California
Santa Barbara, California 93106 | 1 |
| 9. | Commander Vernon C. Gordon, USN
315 Alameda Flvd.
Corcuado, California 92118 | 3 |

ı...

.
END

FILMED

1-85

DTIC