AD-A148 021	INPA AIR WRIC AFAN	ACT TE FORCE GHT-PA	STS OF AERO TTERS	F ADJU Space DN AFB	STERS MEDICA OH D	FOR TH L RESE E SCH	IE HBU Arch I IIMMEL	-12 LA Ab Et Al	P BEL1	T(U) NUG 84	17: NI	1
	5									<b>1</b> 5 X		
		- 1 - 1 - 1										
												Ē



SELECTED

ALL STORES OF

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A **AFAMRL-TR-84-053** 





ELECTE

NOV 3 0 1984

B

007

84 11 28

# **IMPACT TESTS OF ADJUSTERS FOR THE HBU-12 LAP BELT**

DALE E. SCHIMMEL, MSGT, USAF JAMES W. BRINKLEY

**AUGUST 1984** 

FILE COPY

Ľ

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

AIR FORCE AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY **AEROSPACE MEDICAL DIVISION** AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

# NOTICES

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Please do not request copies of this report from Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. Additional copies may be purchased from:

> National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22161

Federal Government agencies and their contractors registered with Defense Technical Information Center should direct requests for copies of this report to:

> Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

#### **TECHNICAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL**

AFAMRL-TK-84-053

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

# FOR THE COMMANDER

HeirE. von Gihn

HENNING E. VON GIERKE, Dr Ing Director Biodynamics and Bioengineering Division Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory

So. NAME ORGAN LOGIST	of funding/sp Nization San ics Center	onsoring Antonio Air	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SA-ALC/MMIRCC	9. PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATION	NUMBER
Sc. ADDRE	SS (City, State an	d ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FU	NDING NOS.		
Kelly	Air Force B	ase Texas 78	241	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT
11. TITLE Adjust	(Include Security) ers for the	Classification) Impace BU-12 Lap E	t Tests of lelt (U)	62202F	7231	20	07
12. PERSO Dale	NAL AUTHOR(S) E. Schimme	el, James W. B	rinkley				
13a TYPE Fina	of Report	135. TIME (	OCT. TO <u>84 Aug</u>	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Yr., Mo., Dey) 984	18. PAGE 56	COUNT
16. SUPPLI	EMENTARY NOT	Ait	tan bar	a fina in a a a a	1 - 2 bs 1		
17.	COSATI CO	DDES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	Continue on reverse if n	scenery and identif	y by block numb	er)
	GROUP	SUB. GR.	Restraint Lap Belt Impact Tests	Adjus Impac	ters t Protectio	n	

# PREFACE

المرتبع ومنالية

This report was prepared by the Biomechanical Protection Branch, Biodynamics and Bioengineering Division of the Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. The tests described herein were conducted in response to a request from San Antonio Air Logistics Center under whose auspices the tests were accomplished. Mr Darryl Powers (SA-ALC/MMIRCC) was the program manager for the San Antonio Air Logistics Center.

The impact facilities, data acquisition equipment, and data processing system were operated by the Scientific Services Division of the Dynalectron Corporation under Air Force Contract F33615-X2-C-0523. Photographic data and documentation services were provided by the Technical Photographic Division of the 4950th Test Wing.

The authors are grateful to the many personnel of the Biomechanical Protection Branch who participated in the planning, accomplishment, and documentation of this test and evaluation effort.





iii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1911

- Y.

× 1.

PARATERI, PERSERUT, PERSERUT, INTERATORI, DEROVANI, DEROVANI, DEROVANI, DEROVANI, DEROVANI, DEROVANI, DEROVANI,

ч

		Page Number
	PREFACE	111
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Ţ
	LIST OF FIGURES	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	vi
1	INTRODUCTION	
	A Background	1
	B Test Articles, Test Preparations and Procedures	1
	C Evaluation Criteria	1
2	TEST METHODS	
	A Approach	2
	B Impact Facility	2
	C Electronic Instrumentation	2
	D Photogrammetric Data Collection	3
	E Anthropomorphic Dummies	3
	F Test Articles, Test Preparations and Procedures	3
	G Data Processing	5
3	FINDINGS	
	A Test-By-Test Narratives	6
	B Summary of Data	6
	C Conclusions	12
	APPENDIX A	
	Data Acquisition Equipment and Methods	13
	APPENDIX B	_
	Data Summaries and Graphs	21
	References	47

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page Number
1	Koch Adjuster	6
2	Restraint Harness Configuration	6
3	Shoulder Harness Clevis Breakage	10
4	VIP-95 Dummy Dammage	10
A-1	Test Seat and Instrumentation Coordinate System	20
A-2	Onboard ADACS Configuration	22
A-3	ADACS Configuration	24

### LIST OF TABLES

Page Number

1 Summary of the Electronically Measured and Computed Data from Test 1441 11 Summary of the Electronically Measured and Computer Data from Test 1442 2 12 3 Summary of the Electronically Measured and Computed Data from Test 1444 13 Summary of the Electronically Measured and Computed Data from Test 1445 4 14 5 Summary of Test Results 15 A-I Instrumentation Transducers and Channel Specifications 18 A-II Instrumentation Specifications 19

and the second

# SECTION 1

# INTRODUCTION

### A. BACKGROUND

Impact tests conducted during the HBU-X Automatic Lap Belt Acquisition Program disclosed numerous structural and functional deficiencies in off-the-shelf " restraint hardware as well as the hardware developed for the program (Brinkley and Schimmel, 1982). These tests and subsequent tests also conducted by the Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory (Brinkley and Schimmel, 1984) provided the technology base necessary to select lap belt hardware that would function under high acceleration conditions representative of those expected during aircraft crash or high-speed emergency escape. The resulting lap belt configuration has been designated the HBU-12 automatic lap belt.

The impact tests performed during the HBU-X Automatic Lap Belt Acquisition also served to provide to restraint system hardware manufacturers information that could be useful in redesigning restraint hardware. H. Koch and Sons modified the lap belt adjuster that they had manufactured for the HBU-X program based on the test results. The modification was intended to prevent the slippage of the lap belt webbing that had been previously observed.

In order to verify the adequacy of the modification and thereby increase the availability of an alternative source of belt adjuster for the HBU-12 lap belt, the San Antonio Air Logistics Center requested that impact tests be conducted using the adjuster manufactured by H. Koch and Sons. This report describes the results of the tests that were conducted for the San Antonio Air Logistics Center.

#### **B. TEST OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective of the tests was to demonstrate the adequacy of Koch webbing adjusters under high-energy impact conditions. The secondary objective of the test program was to evaluate the benefits of using an automotive crash test dummy for USAF restraint system tests.

### C. EVALUATION CRITERIA

The adequacy of the adjusters was evaluated on the basis of the following factors:

a. Ability to carry test loads without structural failure.

b. Capacity to limit slippage of lap belt webbing to no more than onehalf inch through either belt adjuster.

# SECTION 2

### TEST METHODS

#### A. APPROACH

The tests were performed in accordance with the HBU-12 Lap Belt Adjuster test plan, dated 8 December 1982. The planned acceleration level was 40 G with an impact velocity of 80 ft/sec and a time to peak G of 30 msec. The adjusters were incorporated into an HBU-12 lap belt and tested as part of a restraint harness configuration consisting of two shoulder straps, the lap belt, and a crotch strap. The harness was used to restrain a 95th percentile male-sized dummy to a test seat that simulated the dimensions of a typical ejection seat designed to meet USAF specification MIL-S-9479B.

### B. IMPACT FACILITY

The impact conditions were produced using the AFAMRL Horizontal Decelerator Facility. This impact test facility consists of a low-G launch system, a tworail track, a sled, and a hydraulic decelerator. The launch system is used to accelerate the sled along the track toward the decelerator. The length of the launch phase is approximately 75 ft. After the sled is accelerated, it glides on the track for approximately 135 ft until a five-foot-long piston attached to the front of the sled enters the hydraulic decelerator. The decelerator consists of a water-filled cylinder. As the sled piston enters the cylinder it forces the water through orifices encircling the sides of the cylinder. The arrangement and diameters of the orifices installed in the cylinder walls determine the acceleration-time profile for any given set of impact velocity and sled mass. The sled velocity is measured throughout the launch and glide phases and may be adjusted during the glide phase by a computer-controlled brake mounted on the sled.

During this test program the peak acceleration ranged from 41.8 to 43.7 G. The impact velocity was 84.9 to 86.7 ft/sec and the acceleration pulse duration ranged from 0.12 to 0.15 sec.

### C. ELECTRONIC INSTRUMENTATION

The electronic data collected during the test program included triaxial translational acceleration of the sled, sled velocity, triaxial loads measured at the tie-down points of the lap belt, shoulder harness, and the load measured at the tie-down point of the crotch strap. Detailed descriptions of the transducers, their mounting positions, and calibrations are provided in Appendix A. Fourteen channels of data were recorded using the Automatic Data Acquisition and Control System (ADACS) also described in Appendix A. The ADACS equipment mounted on the sled amplified, provided anti-aliasing filtering, and encoded signals from the acceleration, load, and velocity measuring transducers used in the test program. The data were transmitted in digital format (pulse code modulated) by cable to a word formatter at the central data collection and processing area of the ADACS.

The data were then reformatted from serial to parallel form and transmitted to a DEC PDP-11/34 computer for recording and analysis.

# D. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC DATA COLLECTION

Motion pictures were recorded on the sled using two 16-mm high-speed cameras (Photosonics Model 16mm-1B). These cameras were operated at 500 frames per second. One camera was mounted to provide a side view of the seat and dummy and the second camera provided an oblique view. A third motion picture camera - (Teledyne Millikin Model DBM45) was mounted at the side of the track to provide overall documentation of the test. The cameras were started at a preset time in the test sequence and were synchronized with the electronic data by a pulse code and an electronic flash.

A video camera was used to allow the investigators to evaluate the overall impact response of the dummy and the restraint system immediately after each test. The video camera and a magnetic tape video recorder used with it recorded images at a rate of 120 frames per second with an effective shutter speed of 10 microseconds or less.

#### E. ANTHROPOMORPHIC DUMMIES

Two test dummies were used in this program. The first dummy that was used was a VIP-95 model manufactured by Alderson Research Laboratories, Inc. Use of this type of dummy was discontinued after its failure. The VIP-95 dummy is designed for automotive crash tests. The second dummy was a C-95 dummy also manufactured by Alderson Research Laboratories, Inc. The C-95 dummy is designed for ejection seat tests. The torso of the C-95 dummy is not articulated and the joints of the extremities are simple pin-and-clevis mechanisms. Prior to each test, the dummy's joints were adjusted to a one-G torque value in accordance with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208 (U.S. Department of Transportation, 1972). Each dummy weighed 210 lbs and represented the 95th percentile adult male flying personnel in general body size.

### F. TEST ARTICLES, TEST PREPARATIONS AND PROCEDURES

The lap belt adjuster tested, P/N 015-12231-5, manufactured by H. Koch and Sons, is shown in Figure 1. The lap belt adjusters were tested as part of a restraint harness consisting of a lap belt, two shoulder straps, and a crotch strap. The lap belt was constructed of two lap belt adjusters, 1 3/4-inch wide Type XIII nylon (MIL-W-4088), a non-opening dummy lap belt buckle, and two lap belt anchor fittings (P/N 549035-10) as shown in Figure 2. The shoulder harness was an MB-6 double shoulder harness (MIL-H-5364D) constructed of 1 3/4-inch wide Type I polyester webbing (MIL-W-25361C). The crotch straps were fabricated using 1 3/4-inch wide Type I polyester (MIL-W-25361C). The lap belt, shoulder harness, and crotch strap attachment locations are contained within Appendix A.

Prior to each test the lap belt and shoulder harness were pretensioned to create a force of  $\pm 10$  pounds measured at each of the restraint load cells. The



Figure 1. Koch Adjuster.



Figure 2. Restraint Harness Configuration.

configuration of the test fixture, harness attachment points, and the symmetry of the restraint harness were identical for all tests. After all adjustments to the lap belt and shoulder harness were completed, each lap belt and shoulder harness was marked at the adjuster to allow measurement of belt slippage. After each test the belts were removed from the test fixture and any belt slippage was measured.

# G. DATA PROCESSING

Data from each test were reduced in a standardized format. Reduced electronic data are available for review within Appendix B. Computer summaries provide relevant maxima and minima from a total of 14 recorded signals. Relevant sums and times were also computed. The sums of the measured forces are the maximum values of continuously summed measurements. Scaled plots of selected signals and computer resultants were produced. Time integrals of sled acceleration signals were compared with velocity determined from displacement measurements.

### SECTION 3

# FINDINGS

### A. TEST-BY-TEST NARRATIVES

Test 1441 - The maximum sled deceleration was 43.3 G, the sled velocity at impact was 86.7 ft/sec, and the duration of the deceleration pulse was 158 milliseconds. There was no measurable lap belt slippage through the adjusters. The shoulder harness attachment link (P/N 6384009) failed as shown in Figure 3. The VIP-95 dummy incurred extensive damage. Both left and right shoulder clavicle castings broke, and the outer covering of the upper torso, lower arms, and head was torn as shown in Figure 4. Subsequent tests were accomplished using the C-95 dummy.

Test 1442 - The maximum sled deceleration was 43.7 G, the sled velocity at impact was 86.7 ft/sec, and the duration of the deceleration pulse was 126 milliseconds. The right-hand lap belt adjuster slipped 1/8 inch. The left-hand lap belt adjuster slipped 3/16 inch. Neither lap belt adjuster would release until all lap belt tension was removed.

Test 1444 - The maximum sled deceleration was 41.8 G, the sled velocity at impact was 84.9 ft/sec, and the duration of the deceleration pulse was 125 milliseconds. The right-hand lap belt slipped 3/8 inch. The left-hand lap belt slipped 1/8 inch. Neither lap belt adjuster would release until all lap belt tension was removed.

Test 1445 - The maximum sled deceleration was 42.5 G, the sled velocity at impact was 85.1 ft/sec, and the duration of the deceleration pulse was 138 milliseconds. The right-hand lap belt slipped 1/8 inch. The left-hand lap belt slipped 1/4 inch. Neither lap belt adjuster would release until all lap belt tension was removed.

### B. SUMMARY OF DATA

The test data collected from each test are summarized in tables 1 through 4. These tables provide sled deceleration maximums, sled impact velocity, the maximum restraint strap loads in three axes, the maximum magnitude of the resultant restraint strap load impact vectors, and the maximum crotch strap loads.

Figures 2 through 4 contain graphs of the data collected from each of the abovementioned channels for Test 1441. The data from tests 1442, 1444, and 1445 are provided in Appendix B.



t

Figure 3. Shoulder Harness Clevis Breakage.



Figure 4. VIP - 95 Dummy Damage.

Maximum Sled Dece	eration (G)	
X Axis	-43.28	
Z Axis	- 3.24 - 1.74	
Sled Velocity at In	<u>mpact</u> (ft/sec)	
	86.7	
<u>Maximum Shoulder Harr</u>	ness Load (1bs)	
X Axis	4159	
Y Axis	126	
Z Axis	201	
Resultant	4160	
<u>Maximum Left Lap Bel</u>	t Load (1bs)	
X Axis	4369	
Y Axis	781	
Z Axis	2910	
Resultant	5307	
<u>Maximum Right Lap Be</u>	elt Load (lbs)	
X Axis	4180	
Y Axis	785	
Z Axis	2900	
Resultant	5148	
<u>Maximum Crotch Stra</u>	<u>p Load</u> (1bs)	

4

オンドントーーー

Maximum Sled Deceleration	<u>n</u> (G)
X Axis	13.65
Y Axis -	1.51
Z Axis -	0.56
Sled Velocity at Impact (f	t/sec)
8	86.7
<u>Maximum Shoulder Harness Loa</u>	ad (Ibs)
X Axis	4490
Y Axis	116
Z Axis	80
Resultant	4490
<u>Maximum Left Lap Belt Load</u>	(1bs)
X Axis	5047
Y Axis	1728
Z Axis	3816
Resultant	6552
Maximum Right Lap Belt Load	<u>1</u> (1bs)
X Axis	4637
Y Axis	1850
Z Axis	3938
Resultant	6352
<u>Maximum Crotch Strap Load</u>	(1bs)
	1280

Table 2. Summary of the Electronically Measured and Computed Data from Test 1442.

Maximum Sled De	celeration (G)	
X Axis	-41.81	
Y Axis	- 3.05	
Z Axis	- 1.66	
Sled Velocity at	<pre>impact (ft/sec)</pre>	
	84.9	
Maximum Shoulder H	arness Load (1bs)	
X Axis	4089	
Y Axis	141	
Z Axis	65	
Resultant	4090	
Maximum Left Lap	Belt Load (1bs)	
X Axis	4870	
Y Axis	1886	
Z Axis	3968	
Resultant	6559	
<u>Maximum Right Lap</u>	<u>Belt_Load</u> (lbs)	
X Axis	4631	
Y Axis	1959	
Z Axis	3958	
Resultant	6399	
<u>Maximum Crotch St</u>	rap Load (1bs)	
	177	

able 3. Summary of the Electronically Measured and Computed Data from Test 1444

ţ,

•

.

Tabl	e	4.	Summary	of	the	Electroni	cally	Measured	and	Computed	Data	from	Test	1445
------	---	----	---------	----	-----	-----------	-------	----------	-----	----------	------	------	------	------

ŀ

Maximum Sled Dec	eleration (G)
X Axis	-42.53
Y Axis	- 2.07
Z Axis	- 1.36
Sled Velocity at 1	<pre>impact (ft/sec)</pre>
	85.1
<u>Maximum Shoulder Ha</u>	rness Load (1bs)
X Axis	4193
Y Axis	139
Z Axis	56
Resultant	4195
<u>Maximum Left Lap B</u>	elt Load (lbs)
X Axis	5097
Y Axis	1822
Z Axis	4015
Resultant	6739
Maximum Right Lap	<u>Belt Load</u> (lbs)
X Axis	4603
Y Axis	1902
Z Axis	3788
Resultant	6257
<u>Maximum Crotch St</u>	rap Load (1bs)
	440

ЗÁ.

Test <u>No.</u>	Planned G Level	Measured <u>G Level</u>	Test <u>Results</u>	Remarks
1441	40	43.3	Inadequate test	Shoulder harness clevis broke
1442	40	43.7	Success	
1444	40	41.8	Success	
1445	40	42.5	Success	

# Table 5. Summary of Test Results

# C. CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the data collected during the four impact tests, it is concluded that the modified lap belt adjusters manufactured by H. Koch and Sons functioned satisfactorily when subjected to 40 G test conditions.

The benefits of using an automotive test dummy could not be evaluated. The test dummy failed in the first test due to loads incurred subsequent to failure of the attaching link of the MB-6 shoulder harness.

The MB-6 shoulder harness attachment link (P/N 6384009) failed at a load of 4160 lbs. The link has failed on previous 40 G impact tests (Brinkley and Schimmel, 1984), but the failure has occurred at higher load levels. Redesign of the attachment link is recommended.

### APPENDIX A

#### DATA ACQUISITION EQUIPMENT AND METHODS

#### INSTRUMENTATION

Data collected during the HBU-12 lap belt adjuster program consisted of impact sled acceleration, velocity and restraint harness loads. Sled acceleration was measured using three miniature piezoresistive accelerometers mounted to the sled structure. Loads in the restraint systems were measure by load cells mounted to the restraint harness attachment fittings. The measurement transducers used in the test program are listed in Table A-I and Table A-II. These tables designate the manufacturer, type, serial number, sensitivity and other specifications on each transducer utilized. The instrumentation coordinate system and the locations of the load cells in the x, y, and z axis are shown in Figure A-I.

Three accelerometers were positioned to measure the acceleration of the sled in the x, y, and z axis. The package consisted of two Endevco Model 2264 and one Endevco Model 2262 accelerometers mounted to a  $3/4 \times 1 \times 1$  inch aluminum block which was mounted to the under surface of the sled.

Pre-program and post-program calibrations were made to check the accuracy of the data-measuring transducers. The calibration of the accelerometers was performed by the Dynalectron Corporation using the reciprocity method (Ensor, 1970). A laboratory standard accelerometer, calibrated on a yearly basis by Endevco with standards traceable to the National Bureau of Standards, and a test accelerometer were mounted on a shake table. The frequency response and phase shift of the test accelerometer were determined by driving the shake table with a random noise generator and analyzing the outputs of the accelerometers with a PDP 11/15 minicomputer and 1923 Time Data Unit using Fourier analysis. The natural frequency and damping factor of the test accelerometers were determined, recorded, and compared to previous calibration data for that test accelerometer.

Calibrations were made at a frequency of 100 Hz and acceleration of 40 G. The sensitivity of the test accelerometer was determined by comparing its output to the output of the laboratory standard accelerometer.

The negative G strap was attached to a Strainsert load cell. The calibration of the Strainsert load cell was performed by the Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (PMEL), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. PMEL calibrated this load cell on a periodic basis and provided current sensitivity and linearity data.

Three triaxial GM load cells were used to measure the shoulder strap load and the right and left lap belt loads. These load cells were calibrated to a laboratory standard load cell in a special test fixture by the Dynalectron Corporation. The sensitivity and linearity of each load cell were obtained by comparing the output of the test load cell to the output of the laboratory

N	
4	
2	
3	
i.	
-	
i T	

i

Table A-I. Instrumentation Transducers and Channel Specifications.

Ę

<u>`</u>-

5

PAGE

PROGRAM	HBU-1	DIG	ADJUSTER	STRUMEN	TATION F	DATE 7	AENTS DEC82	THR U	DEC82	6	IVNA		UN C	CORPORATION
FACHITY_	HORI ZON	ITAL DECELES	MTOR			NN	1428	THRU	145	•				
		ROUCEN			Cache /	FILTER		SAMPLE	T		IDUCEA		meet.	
	MINT	gĔ	Ş		-	N.C.			19 F.	MLTM N 2 M	100 mm	PALANCE MEMORY	COMPLETION RESIDENCE	SPECIAL NOTATIONS
1	SLED X	ENDEVC0 2262-200	FR42	4.136 mv/9	10.00	109	10 28	 ¥	60.49	120	2.5 45.0	375K - IN TO GN	1	
2	SLED Y	ENDEVC0 2264-200	BX49	2.595 mv/9	10.00 2	80	12 05	 ¥	19.27g	120	2.5 +5.0	82K + In to GND	1.47K	
۴	SLED Z	ENDEVCO 2264-150	1188	2.364 . WV/9	10.00	3	50 16	 ¥	21.159	120	2.5 +5.0 -0.0	43K 11 TO GND	1.58K	
15	LEFT LAP	ab-Su	15X	5.03 uv/LB	10.00 15	60 15	100 22	 ¥	4970LB	120	2:5 -0:0	ISX IN TO GND	•	•
91	LEFT LAP	•	157	5.30 uv/LB	10.00	60 16	102	 ¥	2347LB	120	2.5	IGK IN TO GND	•	
11	LEFT LAP	*	152	6.14 wv/LB	10.00	60 17	100 16	- -	4072LB	120	2:5 -0:0	28K 1 IN TO GND	I	
18	RIGHT LAP LCAD X	•	21X	4.90 uv/LB	10.00	60 18	100 61	 ¥	\$102LB	120	2.5 -5:0	13.5K VIN TO GND	•	
6[	RIGHT LAP LOAD Y		217	4.83 uv/LB	10.00	61	201 15	 ¥	2575LB	120	2.5	6.8K	5	
<b>%</b>	RIGHT LAP	•	, 21 <b>2</b>	6.05 uv/LB	10.00 20	20	25	 ¥	4132LB	120	2.5 -0.0	90K 1N TO GND	•	
21	SHOULDER		20X	5.55 uv/LB	10.00	60 21	201 14	 ¥	2241LB	120	2.5 -0.0	30K 1N TO GND		USE LOAD CELL X AXIS CAL.
R	SHOULDER LOAD Y	*	207	5.76 uv/LB	10.00	22	402 3		108018	120	2.5 -0.0	BOOK IN TO GND		
8	SHOULDER LOAD X		202	6.21 uv/LB	10.00	60 23	100 5	 ¥	4026LB	120	0.0-	48K IN TO GND		<ul> <li>TEST 1442 AND SUBSEQUENT BIAS HANGED FROM 2.5V to 1.9V. TO PROVIDE UPPROX. 5000 LB F.S.</li> </ul>
S.	VELOCITY	GL08E 22A672	8	.2664V/ FPS 10.25* MHEEL	62	- 28	<u>_</u> _	 ¥	117.2	80	0 -5:0	•		SIGNAL ATTENUATED BY 6.242 PRION TO SIG COND. AMP. INPUT - SENS2644VFPS/6.242 04268 VOLT FPS
8	N-G STRAP	STRAIN- SERT FLIU- 256	207	19.81 uv/LB	8.0	8	50 28	 	2524LB	120	2:2 -9:0 -9:0	•	'	TEST 1428-1443 AND GAIN 0100,F.S 262LB.

		D	ITAL IN	STRUMEN	TATION R	LEQUIREN	IENTS							-
PROGRAM	M HBU-1	2 LAP BELT	ADJUSTER			DATE_ZD	ECB2	ELN MAL	IDEC82		IVNA	RCTI	ZOZ	<b>CORPORATION</b>
FACMITY_	HORI ZON	TAL DECELE	RATOR				428	THAU	1445					
	BATA Power	IIBUCER BITO A	ŝ		C ROLLE				1	PILTER	TING T	BALANCE MALANCE MEMITON	BRIDGE COMPLETION REALFTONS	SPECIAL MOTATIONS
3	REFERENCE EVENT	•	,			900	52	 ¥	2.5VOLTS	2000	5.0 5.0 -0.0	•	•	
Ĩ	ц			•	-	0001		 ¥	5. DVOLTS	2000	2.5 5.0	•	,	
					$\sum$	$\left[ \right]$	$\backslash$	$\backslash$			$\sum$			
					$\sum$	$\left  \right $	$\sum$	$\backslash$			$\sum$			
\$	5 VOLT Exc.	•	•	,		82		 	2.5VOLT	360	2.5	•	1	ATTENUATED BY 2
46	10 VOLT EXC.	•	•		- 46	180 27		 	2.5VOLT	360	2.5	•	•	ATTENUATED BY 4
47	2.5 VOLT BIAS	•	ı			1001	-	 ¥	2.5VOLT	360	2.5.5.0	•	•	
					$\sum$	$\backslash$	$\sum$	$\setminus$			$\sum$			
					$\sum$	$\setminus$	$\setminus$	$\setminus$			$\sum$			
					$\sum$	$\backslash$	$\backslash$	$\backslash$			$\sum$			
					$\sum$	$\backslash$	$\setminus$	$\backslash$			$\sum$			
					$\backslash$	$\setminus$	$\setminus$				$\sum$	•		
					$\backslash$	$\setminus$	$\backslash$	$\backslash$			$\sum$			
					$\sum$	$\setminus$	$\setminus$	$\setminus$			$\backslash$			
		1												P.406 2 OF 2
ļ														

.....

t

Table A-II. Instrumentation Specifications

1.11

*in.* W

ĸ

	Point	Axis	Inches (Centie	eters)
HBU-12	No.	X	Y	2
Center Reference	1	0	0	0
Load Cell	2	+15.00 (+38.1)	0	-3.00 (-7.62)
Triaxial Load Cell	3	0	+9.00 (+22.85)	-1.77
Triaxial Load Cell	4	0	-9.00 (-22.86)	-1.77 (-4.50)
Triaxial Load Cell	5	-11.83 (-30.00)	0	+26.78

+X

LOAD CELL 2 PROVIDES A POSITIVE (+) OUTPUT VOLTAGE WHEN THE N-G STRAP IS PULLED IN THE +2 DIRECTION.

TRIAXIAL LOAD CELL 5 PROVIDES A POSITIVE (+) OUTPUT VOLTAGE FOR X, Y AND Z WHEN THE HARNESS IS PULLED IN THE +X, -Y AND -Z DIRECTIONS RESPECTIVELY.

TRIAXIAL LOAD CELLS 3, 4 PROVIDE A POSITIVE (+) OUTPUT VOLTAGE WHEN THE HARNESS IS PULLED TOMARDS THE CENTER REFERENCE.



+Z

16

-Z

standard under identical loading conditions. The laboratory standard load cell, in turn, is calibrated by PMEL on a periodic basis.

A Globe Industries tachometer, mounted in a special fixture, was used to measure sled velocity. The fixture consisted of an aluminum wheel, with a rubber O ring around its circumference to assure good rail contact, that was attached to the rotor of the tachometer. The tachometer was calibrated by rotating the wheel at various revolutions per minute (RPMs) and recording both the output voltage and the RPM. The sensitivity was dynamically checked out with a VS 300 velocity measuring system manufactured by GHI Systems, Rancho Palos Verdes, California. The VS 300 system consists of a timing unit and an optical sensor mounted near the track rails. As the sled travels along the track rails, a metal blade on the sled interrupts the optical sensor beam. The timing unit displays a time which may be correlated to a velocity.

AUTOMATIC DATA ACQUISITION AND CONTROL SYSTEM (ADACS)

The Automatic Data Acquisition and Control System (ADACS) is composed of 48 separate data channels and was designed by the Physical Science Laboratory of the University of New Mexico. The ADACS provides excitation, amplifier gains and filtering in addition to digitizing the analog signal from the transducers. This system, which is rigidly mounted to the sled, can transfer the digitized electronic data via a seven-wire whip cable to the digital computer interface.

The seat-mounted portion of the ADACS consists of three major components: the power conditioner, the signal conditioner, and the encoder. This configuration of the ADACS is outlined in Figure A-2. The power conditioner receives 28 VDC via the whip cable and provides six regulated voltages. The signal conditioner contains 48 modules capable of processing transducer data. Each module has an amplifier and filter section. Each amplifier can be programmed for one of seven gains by use of external gain plugs. Each filter can be programmed for one of four filter frequencies by use of external filter plugs.

Each module provides +5 and +10 VDC for transducer excitation and +2.5 VDC for output signal offset, if necessary. Bridge completion and balance resistors can be added to the module input connector, if necessary. The 48 module output signals are digitized via the pulse code modulation (PCM) encoder into 48 eleven-digit words. Two additional 11-bit synchronization (sync) words are added to the data frame. This 50-word data frame is then sampled at a rate of 1000 samples per second. Three synchronization pulse trains (bit sync, word sync, and frame sync) are added to the word frame and sent to the computer via the junction box and whip cable.

The PDP 11/34 minicomputer receives serial data from the ADACS. The serial data coming from the sled are converted to parallel data in the data formatter. The data formatter deposits data by direct momory access (DMA) into the computer memory via the buffered data channel where data are stored on disk temporarily to be later transferred to magnetic tape for permanent storage. The



. . . . .

18

inter-relationships among the data acquisition and storage equipment are shown in Figure A-3.

Test data were reviewed immediately after each test by using the "Quick-Look" CHAN routine. CHAN may be used to produce a plot of the data stored on any channel as a function of time. The routine determines the minimum and maximum values of any data plot. It may also be used to calculate velocity by integrating sled acceleration.



### APPENDIX B

#### DATA SUMMARIES AND GRAPHS

This appendix contains the data collected from each test accomplished in this test series. These data are summarized in a table of maximum and minimum values with corresponding times of maximum and minimum values and in graphs of sled accelerations, sled velocity, and loads measured at the restraint tie-down points.

The data printed out in tabular form are identified by an abbreviated title. The data are listed in four columns titled  $\max$  (the maximum value),  $\min$  (the minimum value),  $\underline{11}$  (the time the maximum valued occurred), and  $\underline{12}$  (the time the minimum value occurred). A fifth column identifies the data channel number. The values of time are specified in milliseconds. An event mark is used to synchronize the electronic and photometric data. The event time is the first data value specified on each table. To correlate a time value form one test to another, the reader must calculate the values of T1 and T2 with respect to the common time event mark. For example, if the event mark time is 160 milliseconds and the max value of the resultant shoulder harness load occurs at 300 milliseconds.

The data titles are defined as follows:

TIME OF EVENT	= Time of event correlation mark
2.5V EXT PWR	= Monitor of 2.5 volt power
10V EXT PWR	= Monitor of 10 volt power
SHD PLD PRIOR EVENT	= Shoulder harness preload prior to impact
LF LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT	= Left lap belt preload prior to impact
RT LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT	= Right lap belt preload prior to impact
SLED X ACCEL	= Sled acceleration in the X axis
SLED X ACCEL (SM)	= Sled X acceleration smoothed using a point- moving average method
SLED Y ACCEL	= Sled acceleration in the Y axis
SLED Z ACCEL	= Sled acceleration in the Z axis
N-G STRAP	= The load acting at the crotch strap tie-down point

SLED VEL (INT ACCEL)	= Sled velocity computed by intergration of sled acceleration
SLED VELOCITY	= Sled velocity computed from the tachometer
VEL AT EVENT	= The velocity at event
SHOULDER LOAD X	= The X axis component of the load acting at the shoulder harness tie-down point
SHOULDER LOAD Y	= The Y axis component of the load acting at the shoulder harness tie-down point
SHOULDER LOAD Z	= The Z axis component of the load acting at the shoulder harness tie-down point
SHOULDER RESULTANT	= The resultant of the continuously summed shoulder harness load components
SHOULDER RES/WT	= The maximum resultant shoulder harness load divided by the total weight of the subject
LF LAP LOAD X	= The X axis component of the load acting at the left lap belt tie-down point
LF LAP LOAD Y	= The Y axis component of the load acting at the left lap belt tie-down point
LF LAP LOAD Z	The Z axis component of the load acting at the left lap belt tie-down point
LF LAP RESULTANT	The resultant of the continuously summed left lap belt load components
RT LAP LOAD X	= The X axis component of the load acting at the right lap belt tie-down point
RT LAP LOAD Y	= The Y axis component of the load acting at the right lap belt tie-down point
RT LAP LOAD Z	= The Z axis component of the load acting at the right lap belt tie-down point
RT LAP RESULTANT	= The resultant of the continuously summed right lap belt load components

į

1972

· . .

HBU-12 LAP BELT STUDY	TEST: 1441	SUBJ: 95Z	NTs	208.0 NOM	G: 40.0	CELLI	K
DRTA ID		MAX	MIN	<u>T1</u>	12	CM	
TIME OF EVENT				10320.00		37	
2.5V EXT PWR 10V EXT PWR		2.50 10.00	2. N9 10.00	18.00 0.00	253.00 0.00	47 48	
SHD PLD PRIOR EVENT LF LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT At Lap PLD Prior Event		13.33 12.62 12.75		10220.00 10220.00 10220.00	10320.00 10320.00 10320.00		
SLED X ACCEL Sled X Accel (SM) Sled Y Accel Sled Z Accel		0.29 0.17 16.40 11.73	-43.28 -42.46 -3.24 -1.74	10645.00 10646.00 10458.00 10458.00	10487.00 10488.00 10467.00 10510.00	1 2 3	
N-G STAAP Sled Vel (Int Accel) Sled Velocity Vel at event		1273.75 0.00 0.23	-5.40 -89.57 -86.66 -86.66	10499.00 10320.00 10637.00	10 <b>467.00</b> 10920.00 10322.00 10322.00	30 29	
SHOULDER LOAD X		4159.20	-424.31	10498.00	10509.00	23	
SHOULDER LOAD Y Shoulder Load Z Shoulder Resultant		126.21 200.85 4160.19	-7.62 -47.81 1.93	10490.00 10506.00 10498.00	10507.00 10489.00 10634.00	<b>2</b> 1 <b>2</b> 1	
LF LAP LOAD X LF LAP LOAD Y LF LAP LOAD Z LF LAP RESULTANT •		4369.03 761.25 2909.91 5307.20	-12.92 -9.29 -0.16 6.96	10505.00 10505.00 10505.00 10505.00	10353.00 10355.00 10337.00 10427.00	15 16 17	
RT LAP LOAD X At Lap Load T Rt Lap Load Z At Lap Resultant		4179.65 785.25 2900.41 5147.67	-12.55 -7.57 -3.39 7.89	10505.00 10505.00 10505.00 10505.00	0416.00 0470.00 0439.00 0323.00	18 19 20	



....

<u>, </u>  r d n

1 1.1

**.** • `







27

and the second second



U

HBU-12 LAP BELT STUDT	TEST: 1442	SUBJ: 95%	NTI	210.0 NOM	G: NO.0	CELL: X
DATA ID		MAX	MIN	T 1	12	CH
TIME OF EVENT				10372.00		37
2.5V EXT PWR 10V EXT PWR		2.55 10.00	2.15 10.00	162.00 0.00	160.00	47 48
SHD PLO PRIOR EVENT LF LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT RT LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT		12.80 8.38 8.90		10270.00 10270.00 10270.00	10370.00 10370.00 10370.00	
SLED X ACCEL SLED X ACCEL (SM) SLED Y ACCEL SLED Z ACCEL		0.82 0.34 4.86 6.25	-43.65 -43.06 -1.51 -0.56	10667.00 10667.00 10513.00 10566.00	10541.00 10541.00 10542.00 1051 <b>3.</b> 00	1 2 3
N-C STRAP Sled Vel (Int Rccel) Sled Velocity Vel at event		1279.02 D.00 D.24	-40.49 -89.89 -86.71 -86.71	10567.00 10370.00 10640.00	10532.00 10664.00 10393.00 10393.00	30 29
SHOULDER LORD X		4490.23	-40.97	10552.00	10660.00	23
SHOULDER LOAD Y Shoulder Load Z Shoulder Resultant		115.87 80.42 4490.25	-68.68 -121.19 1.03	10566.00 10566.00 10552.00	10533.00 10533.00 10478.00	22 21
LF LAP LOAD X LF LAP LOAD Y LF LAP LOAD Z LF LAP RESULTANT		5046.59 1726.02 3815.90 6552.01	-20.97 -85.29 -9.93 4.53	10566.00 10587.00 10565.00 10566.00	10435.00 10533.00 10375.00 10368.00	15 16 17
AT LAP LOAD X At Lap Load Y At Lap Load Z At Lap Resultant		4636.51 1649.53 3938.09 6352.23	-19.79 -68.16 -14.87 2.21	10562.00 10571.00 10562.00 10562.00	10455.00 10533.00 10407.00 10375.00	18 19 20

÷







32

A CALLAN





HBU-12 LAP BELT STUDY	TEST: 1444	SUBJ: 95%	NTI	210.0 NOM	G: 40.0	CELL:	x
DATA ID		MAX	MIN	T1	12	CH	
TIME OF EVENT				10444.00		37	
2.5V EXT PHR 10V EXT PHR		2.50 10.00	2.49 10.00	22.00 0.00	537.00 0.00	47	
SHD PLD PRIOR EVENT LF LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT At LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT		15.06 12.34 15.62		10340.00 10340.00 10340.00	10440.00 10440.00 10440.00		
SLED X ACCEL Sled X Accel (SM) Sled Y Accel Sled Z Accel		0. 18 0. 33 5. 98 7. 39	-41.81 -41.48 -3.05 -1.66	10743.00 10744.00 10593.00 10642.00	10618.00 10619.00 10600.00 10649.00	1	
N-G STRAP Sled Vel (Int Accel) Sled Velgcity Vel at event		176.78 0.00 0.21	-4.87 -87.47 -84.86 -84.86	10648.00 10440.00 10795.00	10597.00 10733.00 10468.00 10468.00	30 29	
SHOULDER LOAD X		4089.35	-15.29	10636.00	10702.00	23	
SHOULDER LORD Y Shoulder Lord Z Shoulder Resultant		141.01 85.19 4089.63	-7.92 -53.54 0.91	10622.00 10643.00 10636.00	10779.00 10619.00 10739.00	22 21	
LF LAP LOAD X LF LAP LOAD Y LF LAP LOAD Z LF LAP RESULTANT		4869.92 1886.46 3967.79 6558.83	-8.84 -1.92 -4.56 3.77	10642.00 10643.00 10643.00 10643.00	10457.00 10523.00 10490.00 10556.00	15 16 17	
RT LAP LOAD X Rt Lap Load Y Rt Lap Load Z Rt Lap Resultant		4631.00 1958.78 3957.67 6398.92	-4.89 -10.40 -7.68 5.88	10641.00 10645.00 10645.00 10641.00	0555.00 0480.00 0446.00	16 19 20	

.

ちっちょう うち



CLUB -

36









HBU-12 LAP BELT STUDY	TEST: 1445	SUBJ: 95%	NT:	210.0 NOM	G: 40.0	CELL: X	i
DATA ID		MAX	MIN	T1	15	CH	
TIME OF EVENT				10530.00		37	
2.5V EXT PWR 10V EXT PWR		2.50 10.00	2.49 10.00	4.00 0.00	280.00 0.00	47 48	
SHD PLD PRIOR EVENT LF LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT RT LAP PLD PRIOR EVENT		11.38 13.87 13.68		10430.00 10430.00 10430.00	10530.00 10530.00 10530.00		
SLED X ACCEL Sled X Accel (SM) Sled Y Accel Sled Z Accel		0.49 0.35 9.69 8.14	-42.53 -42.18 -2.07 -1.36	10839.00 10839.00 10675.00 10727.00	10701.00 10703.00 10703.00 10734.00	1 2 3	
N-C STRAP Sled Vel (Int Accel) Sled Velocity Vel at event		440.08 0.00 0.24	-2.70 -88.30 -85.13 -85.13	10735.00 10530.00 10837.00	10964.00 10882.00 10531.00 10531.00	59 30	
SHOULDER LOAD X		4193.33	-19.96	10721.00	11074.00	53	
SHOULDER LOAD Y Shoulder Load Z Shoulder Resultant		138.77 55.82 4195.29	-9.09 -47.22 0.51	10712.00 10729.00 10721.00	10953.00 10706.00 10640.00	51 55	
LF LAP LOAD X LF LAP LOAD Y LF LAP LOAD Z LF LAP RESULTANT		5096.57 1821.90 4015.09 6739.09	-10.73 -10.18 -2.03 4.89	10731.00 10731.00 10731.00 10731.00	10544.00 10597.00 10599.00 10599.00	15 16 17	
RT LAP LOAD X Rt Lap Load Y Rt Lap Load Z Rt Lap Resultant		4602.75 1901.94 3788.15 6257.22	-12.75 -10.60 -7.85 7.99	10727.00 10727.00 10727.00 10727.00	10601.00 10624.00 10555.00 10612.00	18 19 20	

7.7





EVAN RAMAN RECEVEL REPERTS REPRESE RECEVEL DER REPART RECEVEL



ระเราะ เราะระการ เราะระการรูกระกรรม หระมงความ พระระการ (พระระการ) (พระระการ) (พระระกา (พระระการ) (พระระการ)





46

and the second secon

# REFERENCES

Brinkley, J. W. and D. E Schimmel, 1982, "Impact Tests of HBU-X Automatic Lap Belt Prototype, "AFAMRL-TR-82-66, Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.

Brinkley, J. W. and D. E. Schimmel, 1984, "Impact Tests of Automatic Lap Belt Configurations, "AFAMRL-TR-84-041, Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.

MIL-W-25361C, 10 October 1974, Military Specification, Webbing, Textile, Polyester, Low Elongation.

MIL-H-5364D (USAF), 29 May 1968, Harness, Aircraft Safety, Shoulder, Adjustable Type, MB-6.

+ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRIMINE OFFICE: 1884 - 359-686/16213

