| AD-A146 528 PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF IREPS (INTEGRATED REFRACTIVE EFFECTS PREDICTION SYSTEM)(U) NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER SAN DIEGO CA R A PAULUIS 29 JUN 84 NOSC/TR-966 | | | | | | | | | | | 1/ | '1 | |
|---|----------------|-----------|----------------|---|------------|----------------|----|---|---|---|----|---------------|----------|
| UNCLASSIFIED F/G 4/2 NL | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ÷ | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Ţ | 1 | | <u>،</u> |
| * | - ' - ' | - ** * | -1 -1 -1 -1 | | نہ ہے۔ | ند (. بر بر | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | L | لد | L | L | L | | | <u>ـ</u> |
| يد ا | • | ı | ł | 1 | * | | Å | Ţ | A | I | * | END /ILMED | |



・ シャイン シント

SAMATANAN MELANANAN MENANANAN MENANANAN MENANANAN MELANANAN MELANANAN

"OFY RESELUTION TEST CHAR

NOSC TR 966

Technical Report 966

PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL

NOSC TR 966

AD-A146 528

FILE COPY

H

1-1

R. A. Paulus

29 June 1984 Interim Report

Prepared for Naval Air Systems Command Code 330

> DTIC ELECTE OCT 1 5 1984

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

NOSC

NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER San Diego, California 92152

84 10 11 005



بري الأولى يتأثر والمح

NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER SAN DIEGO, CA 92152

AN ACTIVITY OF THE NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND

J.M. PATTON, CAPT, USN

Commender

R.M. HILLYER Technical Director

RB

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This task was performed for the Naval Air Systems Command, Code 330, Washington, DC 20362, under program element 62759N, subproject SF59551001.

Released by H.V. Hitney, Head Tropospheric Branch

5

.

Under authority of Dr. J.H. Richter, Head Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences Division

and the Second Contraction and the second state of the second state of

| Horizon Constrained Constrained Horizon Constrained | | REPORT DOCU | | AGE | - <u></u> - | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | VALUED NECTION | ÷ | | |
| Image: Control of the contro | A DICEMAN CARDINEANCH ADMICHTY | | S. CHEMILEUMON/AWAR | LABLINY OF IND ONT | | |
| Approximate Oxford Advance Network L confrident Oxford Advance Network Received Advance NOSC Technical Report 966 N/A Newal Concerning Conference Network L confrident Conference Network Newal All: Systems Command L confrident Conference Network Newal All: Systems Command L conference Network Note Conference Network React Tuber L conference Network < | NETANINGANON/SOMMENALING SCHERULE | | Approved for p | ublic release; distrit | oution unlimited. | |
| | | | | | | |
| Navel 07 Percenting ORLANDANDA B. ONCL 07 MORT S. Solid Of LOBROND ORLANDANDANDANDANDANDANDANDANDANDANDANDANDA | NOSC Technical Report 966 | | N/A | | | |
| Neva Cocces Systems Center Order 5430 N/A A dollad Zig, ten and 2P Colu 14, adollad Zig, ten and 2P Colu 14, adollad Zig, ten and 2P Colu Sam Diego, CA 92152 N/A 14, adollad Zig, ten and 2P Colu N/A Neral AF Systems Command 0 0 0 14, adollad Zig, ten and 2P Colu Neral AF Systems Command 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total AF Systems Command 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Za MANE OF MONTON</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | Za MANE OF MONTON | | | |
| A REALBER City, Bars and JP Code Yr. AddRead City, Bars and JP Code Sam Diego, CA 92152 N/A A Real of RealBard City, Bars and JP Code N/A A Real of RealBard City, Bars and JP Code N/A Naval Ak Systems Command Decked PP Code Code 330 It BOUND OF REALBART INSTRUCTION HAMPEN Naval Ak Systems Command Food State Earlier's real Production Hampen Weakington, DC 20362 62759N I'M David Standy, Code State Earlier's real Advantages Wook Cut With PP Code PREADCOMPOSE Food State Earlier's real Advantages R. A. Prainties Table Code State Earlier's real Advantages I'M David State Earlier's real Advantages Wook Cut With PP Code R. A. Prainties I'M David Code State Earlier's real Advantages I'M David State Earlier's real Advantages Table Code Tommer real Advantages I'M David State Earlier's real Advantages Table Code Tommer real Advantages I'M David State Earlier's real Advantages Table Code Tommer real Advantages I'M David State Earlier's real Advantages Table Code Tommer real Advantages I'M David Tommer Tommer real Advantages Table Code Tommer real Advantages I'M Daver Code Badvantages real Advantages Table Code Tom | Naval Ocean Systems Center | Waydestay Code 5430 | N/A | | | |
| Isan Diego, CA 92152 N/A Isan Diego, CA 92152 N/A Isan Diego, CA 92152 Isan Diego, CA 92152 Isan Diego, CA 92152 Isan Diego, CA 92152 < | Altorides (City, State and SP Coda) | | 7b. ADDRESS (City, Stat | e and 20 Cada) | | |
| Aver of Fundame Andrewson of Averagement A conception of Averagement | San Diero, CA 92152 | | N/A | | | |
| Instant OF Platform APOIntControl Construction Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Instant All: Systems Command Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Instant All: Systems Command Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Instant All: Systems Command Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Instant All: Systems Command Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Instant All: Systems Command Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image: Code 330 Image | | | | | | |
| Naval Air Systems Command Code 330 A 000000 (C), Sun of 27 Cast Note: State of 27 Cast Weakington, DC 20362 62759N I'M datase search detailation SF59551001 I'M datase search detailation SF59551001 I'M datase search detailation SF59551001 I'M datase search detailation I'M of the IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL Resolution with the intermed of the IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL I'M of the option of the IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL Resolution with the intermed of the IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL I'M of the option of the IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL Resolution with the intermed of the IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL I'M of the option of the IREPS evaporation intermed inter | NAME OF FUNCTING SPONSORING ORBANIZATION | So. Office Sylatics. If applicables | A. PROCUREMENT INFI | NUMENT IDENTIFICATION N | UMBER | |
| | Naval Air Systems Command | Code 330 | | | | |
| Weakington, DC 20362 62759N SF59551001 SF59551001 MP33 I WE devide Survey Classificator PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL PRACTINGING R. A. Paulus In traction In traction Interim Intermiting (Ministry Interiments) Intermiting (Ministry Interiments) Intermiting (Ministry Interinteriment) Intermiti | . ADDINESS (Chy. Sinte and SP Code) | | 10. SOUNCE OF FUNCI PROSING ELEMENT IN | O. PROJECT NO. | TARK NO. | WORK UNIT NO. |
| I THE devices Average PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL PREVENT ANNONE R. A. Paulitis In tradition of maccore In tradition In tradition Interime | Vashington, DC 20362 | | 62759N | SF59551001 | SF59551001 | MP33 |
| RACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL New of server In Vision Server Interim 12. A Faulus Interim 12. A Faulus Interim 12. A Faulus Superior server 13. BULRET Visit A Faulus or during Processory and damb to that number relia 0.35 € 35 / Mg Interpretation of the IREPS evaporation Ducts 13. BULRET Visit Processory and damb to that number relia A State of the IREPS A State of the IREPS Addition on nonver Pressame, and the We that number Attemperature of the IREPS evaporation Ducts Itemperature of the IREPS evaporation Ducts Addition on nonver Pressame, and the We that number The subscription of the IREPS evaporation Ducts Itemperature of evaporation duct heights greater than 40 The ended to meteorological measurements are examined. The unexpectedly high occurrence of evaporation duct heights greater than 40 Interes is related to stable conditions (positive air-sea temperature difference). In the surface layer. The existence of stable conditions or ether | . Will Stratute Security Characteriany | | _ | | <u>l</u> | <u> </u> |
| Rescand. Admittings R. A. Paulas a trial of secont Intestim PROM Oct 83 row of secont Intestim Promo Oct 83 row of secont Intestim Promo Oct 83 row of secont Intestim rescue to the secont rescue to the secont Intesting rescue to the secont rescue to the second to meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracio. rescue to the second to the seco | RACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE IREP | S EVAPORATION DUC | r Model | | | |
| R. A. Paulus A TYPE OF REPORT IS THE CONSERD TO APT 84 IS THE CONSERD | PUNCHAL AUTOMES | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Interim noon Oct 83 ro Apr 84 1984 June 29 68 Interim - 0 35 € 35 /49 - 68 - 0 35 € 35 /49 - 18 SURJECT WIRK Exclude on release I necessary and itemby by blad number - 0 35 € 35 /49 - - 68 - 0 35 € 35 /49 - - 68 - 0 35 € 35 /49 - - - 68 - 0 35 € 35 /49 - - - - 68 - 0 35 € 35 /49 - - - - - 68 - - - 0 35 € 35 /49 - </td <td>R. A. Paulus</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>har Manth Davi</td> <td>I 15. PAGE COL</td> <td></td> | R. A. Paulus | | | har Manth Davi | I 15. PAGE COL | |
| COLAN CODE A SSESS //40 A S | Interim PROM _ | Oct 83 TO Apr 84 | - 1984 June 29 | | 68 | |
| COGAN CODES In EXAMPLY THEME Cognities on reverse if researcery and identify by black number? In EXAMPLY THEME Cognities on reverse if researcery and identify by black number? In EXAMPLY THEME Cognities on reverse if researcery and identify by black number? Incomplexity and the service of the IREPS evaporation duct model in operational and climatelogical propagations assessments and the sensitivity of the model to meteororize and themely by black number? The application of the IREPS evaporation duct model in operational and climatelogical propagations assessments and the sensitivity of the model to meteororize and themely by black number? The application of the IREPS evaporation duct model in operational and climatelogical propagations assessments and the sensitivity of the model to meteororize of the IREPS evaporation duct model in operational and climatelogical propagations assessments and the sensitivity of the model to meteororize is related to stable conditions (positive air-see temperature difference) in the surface layer. The existence of stable conditions over the corean is analyzed in terms of meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracies. Comparisons of air-see temperature differences in the surface weather data. A modification to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A modification to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A modification to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A modification of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A modification of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A modification of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A modification of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A modification | | | | | | |
| relia executory and shouly by the modern Evaporation Ducts IREFS Addition of the IREFS evaporation duct model in operational and climatological propagation assessments and the sensitivity of the model to meteorological measurements are examined. The unexpectedly high occurrence of evaporation duct heights greater than 40 metres is related to stable conditions (positive air-sea temperature difference) in the surface layer. The existence of stable conditions over the ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracies. Comparisons of air-sea temperature dif- ference distributions are made between high quality NOAA data buoy climatological data and archived ship surface weather data. A modifi- cation to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imenuation of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Imension of the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorol | | | | | | |
| Proprietion Ducts IREPS AdMINET/Combon on reverse if neurony and identify by block number The application of the IREPS evaporation duct model in presentional and climatelogical propagation assessments and the sensitivity of the model to meteorological measurements are examined. The unexpectedly high occurrence of evaporation duct heights greater than 40 metree is related to stable conditions (positive air-sea temperature difference) in the surface layer. The existence of stable conditions over the ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracies. Comparisons of air-sea temperature dif- ference distributions are made between high quality NOAA data buoy climatological data and archived ship surface weather data. A modifi- cation to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. | | 233835 Mg- | | I thread when the second second | | |
| Advite of neuron of memory and doubly by block numbers The application of the IREPS evaporation duct model in operational and climatelogical propagation assessments and the sensitivity of the model to meteorological measurements are examined. The unexpectedly high occurrence of evaporation duct heights greater than 40 metres is related to stable conditions (positive air-sea temperature difference) in the surface layer. The existence of stable conditions over the occen is analyzed in terms of meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracies. Comparisons of air-sea temperature dif- ference distributions are made between high quality NOAA data buoy climatological data and archived ship surface weather data. A modifi- cation to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. is semicivity.//////////////////////////////////// | 7. COBATR COSES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP | 2 33 2 35 /49- | title or relieve if recentry an action of Electromagn | (density by block number) hetic Yaves | | |
| The application of the IREPS evaporation duct model in operational and climatelogical propagation assessment and the sensitivity of the model to meteorological measurements are examined. The unexpectedly high occurrence of evaporation duct heights greater than 40 metree is related to stable conditions (positive air-sea temperature difference) in the surface layer. The existence of stable conditions over the ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracies. Comparisons of air-sea temperature difference distributions are made between high quality NOAA data buoy climatological data and archived ship surface weather data. A modification to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. | rela group sus-group | A 35235 /Mg- | action of Electromagn | (identify by block number) wetic Waves | `\ | |
| metres is related to stable conditions (positive air-sea temperature difference) in the surface layer. The existence of stable conditions over the ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracies. Comparisons of air-sea temperature difference distributions are made between high quality NOAA data buoy climatological data and archived ship surface weather data. A modification to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. | COBATI CODES TISLE SUB-ChOUP SUB-ChOUP ABSTRACT (Construes on reviews of necessary and Admithy by Si | A 35 2 35 /Mg- 14 sus active and Active Atmospherio Kefri Evaporation Ducts IREPS Wet number | his on release of Assessory on action of Electromagn | (Identify by block number) vetic Waves | <u> </u> | |
| cosen is analyzed in terms of meteorological conditions and temperature measurement accuracies. Comparisons of air-sea temperature difference distributions are made between high quality NOAA data buoy climatological data and archived ship surface weather data. A modification to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. intermetrice/auxicative/or agenucer 21. Asympter security classified [2] unclassified 21. Asympter security classified [2] unclassified DN888 715 [3] transformed remetriced. 25. VELEVICI Accession Area Cody [4] A. Pondes 25. VELEVICI Accession Area Cody | ABYING CODES ABYING CODES AB | A 33 2 35 / MA- a subjection Refri Evaporation Ducts IREPS tot number m duct model in operation are azamined. The unarc | nation of Electromagn | ritemity by block number) vetic Waves | mentrand the sen | sitivity of r than 40 |
| Interview data sector data and inclusion and inclusion data. A module cation to the evaporation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A module cation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Interview data. A module cation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. A module cation duct model is proposed and applied to radio-meteorological data. Interview data. As an interview data. As an interview data. As an interview data. A module cation data and interview data. A module cation data. Interview data. As an interview data. As an interview data. A module cation data. Interview data. As an interview data. A module cation data. Interview data. As an interview data. A module cation data. Interview data. As an interview data. A module cation data. Interview data. As an interview data. A module cation data. Interview data. | Alexandroses and the interest of the interest | A 33 2 35 / Mg- A tmospherie Refr Evaporation Ducts IREPS test number on duct model in operations are examined. The unexp re air-sea temperature diff | nal and climatelogical sectedly high occurrer ference) in the surface | Propagation assess the of evaporation d player. The existen | ments and the sen luct heights greate ce of stable condi | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the |
| Served Visit/Anne Call IV 61 Addition Agency Accession Served Visit/Anne Call IV 61 Addition Agency Accession Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition DN888 715 Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition DN888 715 Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition DN888 715 Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Anne Area Call IV 61 Addition Image: Served Visit Anne Call IV 61 Addition Served Visit Addition Image: Served Visit Addition Served Visit Addition | ABRILLET Continue on receive 2 receivery and density by the The application of the IREPS evaporation the model to meteorological measurements a metres is related to stable conditions (positivo ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological ferance distributions are made between high | A 33 2 35 / MG- a subjection Refin Evaporation Ducts IREPS test number on duct model in operation are examined. The unexp re air-sea temperature diff conditions and temperature diff | nal and climatological sectedly high occurrer ference) in the surface ure measurement accor | Propagation Assess to of evaporation de layer. The existen aracles. Comparison | ments and the sen luct heights greate ce of stable condi ns of air-sea temper | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the erature dif- |
| Signation Signa | 7. COBAIL CODES TRED GROUP SUB-GROUP AMENIACT (Common or reverse 7 measurery and identify by in The application of the IREPS evaporation the model to meteorological measurements a metree is related to stable conditions (positiv cosen is analyzed in terms of meteorological ference distributions are made between high cation to the evaporation duct model is prop | A 33233 /Mg- a sustain the second se | nal and climatelogica nal and climatelogica ectedly high occurrer ference) in the surface ure measurement accu y climatological data a pometeorological data | retic Waves propegation assess the of evaporation d layer. The existen aracies. Comparison and archived ship su | ments and the sen luct heights greats ce of stable condi ns of air-sea tempo rface weather dat | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the stature dif- a. A modifi- |
| Ibit Matching / And Ability of Additional Same Ability of Additional 31. Additional Security Conditional Additional Agency Accession [2] unit Additional Visuation Inclusion Unclusion Unclusion DN858 715 In table of matching of Matching Addition Edited Area Cody Edit of Matching Area Cody Edit of Matching Area Cody In table of Matching Additional Cody Edit of Matching Additional Area Cody Edit of Matching Additional Area Cody Edit of Matching Additional Area Cody In table of Matching Additional Additi | 7. COBAR CODES TRED CROUP SUB-GROUP Address of new Pressure and Adress by St The application of the IREPS evaporation the model to meteorological measurements a metree is related to stable conditions (positiv ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological ference distributions are made between high cation to the evaporation duct model is proj | A 33 2 33 / Mg- Atmospherio Kefn Evaporation Ducts IREPS tel number on duct model in operation are examined. The unexp re air-sea temperature diff conditions and temperature quality NOAA data buoy posed and applied to radio | nal and climatological mal and climatological sociedly high occurrent ference) in the surface ture measurement accur y climatological data a o-meteorological data | Propagation assess the of evaporation de layer. The existen uracies. Comparison and archived ship su | ments and the sem luct heights greate os of stable condi ns of air-sea tempo rface weather date | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the erature dif- a. A modifi- |
| Signapoliticit/Annication of Additional Second of Additional Second of Additional Agency Accession [2] unchased on neuronal resolution Inclassified DN888 715 In Name of Interconduct resolution Second of Additional Action Accession DN888 715 In Name of Interconduct resolution Second of Additional Action Accession DN888 715 In Name of Interconduct resolution Second of Additional Action Accession Second of Additional Accession In Additional Accession (619) 225-7247 Code 5325 | 7. COLAN COSES TELO SHOLP SUB-GROUP AMENNUCT (Conduce on receive if researce) and identify by is The application of the IREPS evaporation the model to meteorological measurements a metree is related to stable conditions (positiv ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological ference distributions are made between high cation to the evaporation duct model is proj | A 33233 /Mg- A tmospheric Kefr Evaporation Ducts IREPS we amined. The unexp we air-sea temperature diff i conditions and temperature quality NOAA data buoy posed and applied to radia | internation of Electromagn action of Electromagn mal and climatological sectedly high occurrer ference) in the surface ure measurement acco y climatological data a o-meteorological data. | Propagation assess the of evaporation de layer. The existen marcies. Comparison and archived ship su | ments and the sen luct heights greate ce of stable condi ns of air-sea temper rface weather date | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the erature dif- a. A modifi- |
| Distribution/Anne Astrony of Astrony o | COMMICODES NULL COMMICODES NULL COMMICODES NULL COMMICS Commune or reverse if necessary and identify by in The application of the IREPS evaporation the model to meteorological measurements a metree is related to stable conditions (positiv ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological ference distributions are made between high cation to the evaporation duct model is prop | A 33233 /Mg- a sustain the second se | nal and climatelogica nal and climatelogica noctedly high occurren ference) in the surface we measurement accur y climatological data a o-meteorological data | retic Waves propegation assess the of evaporation d layer. The existen aracies. Comparison and archived ship su | ments and the sen luct heights greats os of stable condi ns of air-sea tempo rface weather data | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the erature dif- a. A modifi- |
| Contraction Contraction DN888 715 In Real of Instruments (Internet Area Conty) 226. VELOP HONE Conty 226. Office evideo. R. A. Pontice (619) 225-7247 Code 5325 | COSAN COSES NULL COSES | A 33 2 35 / MG- A tmospherie Refri Evaporation Ducts IREPS tet number on duct model in operation are examined. The unexp re air-sea temperature diff conditions and temperature quality NOAA data buoy posed and applied to radia | nal and climatological mal and climatological rectedly high occurrent ference) in the surface ure measurement accur climatological data o-meteorological data | Propagation assess the of evaporation de layer. The existen aracles. Comparison and archived ship su | ments and the sen luct heights greats os of stable condi ns of air-sea tempo rface weather dat | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the erature dif- a. A modifi- |
| R. A. Pontes (619) 225-7247 Code 5325 | 7. COBAN CODES TRLD GNOUP SUB-GNOUP AMENNUCY (Construct on recover 2 measurements of The application of the IREPS evaporation the model to meteorological measurements of metres is related to stable conditions (positiv ocean is analyzed in terms of meteorological ference distributions are made between high cation to the evaporation duct model is prop CALENTIAL AND | A 35 2 35 / Mg- A tmospheric Refr Evaporation Ducts IREPS test number on duct model in operation are examined. The unexp re air-sea temperature diff is conditions and temperature quality NOAA data buoy posed and applied to radio | nal and climatelogical ectedly high occurrer ference) in the surface ure measurement accu climatological data o-meteorological data | The mile by their number wetic Waves the propagation assess the of evaporation d layer. The existen marcies. Comparison and archived ship su | ments and the sen luct heights greate ce of stable condi ns of air-sea temper rface weather date | sitivity of r than 40 tions over the erature dif- a. A modifi- |
| | CORAN COORS PRED RED | A 33 2 35 / MA- Atmospherie Refr Evaporation Ducts IREPS tet number on duct model in operation are examined. The unexp re air-sea temperature diff quality NOAA data buoy posed and applied to radie | internation of Electromagn nation of Electromagn nation of Electromagn ectedly high occurren ference) in the surface were measurement accurrent vere measurement accurrent sure measurement accurrent vere measurement accurrent sure measurement accurrent unclassified sa un surface | Propagation assess the of evaporation de layer. The existen uracies. Comparison and archived ship su | Agency Access DN888 715 | sitivity of tr than 40 tions over the stature dif- a. A modifi- don |

When the there are an an an an an area from the transfer the transfer the

)

No.

```
CONTENTS
```

INTRODUCTION . . . Page 1

COSERVED PROBLEMS . . . 2

OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENTS . . . 2

CLIMATOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS . . . 2

EVAPORATION DUCT PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS . . . 4

ACCURACY . . . 4

CLIMATOLOGICAL AIR-SEA TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCES . . . 4

SENSITIVITY OF THE IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL . . . 6

NETEOROLOGICAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH EVAPORATION DUCT HEIGHT . . . 6

Unstable . . . 6

Stable . . . 6

MEASUREMENT ACCURACIES . . . 7

SUMMARY . . . 11

RECOMMENDATIONS . . . 12

REFERENCES . . . 41

APPENDIX A: HISTOGRAMS OF AIR-SEA TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCES . . . 43



i

OBJECTIVES

Investigate the validity of high (30-40 metres) evaporation duct heights in operational assessments and climatologies of the evaporation duct. Establish the sensitivity of the IREPS evaporation duct model to the input parameters.

RESULTS

1. Air-sea temperature differences as measured by transiting ships are found to be biased toward thermally stable conditions when compared to high quality MOAA data buoy data.

2. The IREPS evaporation duct model is shown to be more sensitive to the relative accuracy of air-sea temperature difference than the absolute accuracy of the measured parameters.

3. The IREPS evaporation duct model can be modified to greatly diminish the occurrence of high duct heights resulting from biased air temperature measurements.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Adopt the modified evaporation duct model for use in IREPS.

REPORTED REPORTED AND ADDR PARTER REPORT AND ADDR PARTER AND ADDR

2. Reanalyze the evaporation duct climatology with the modified evaporation duct model.

3. Develop a new sensor that would measure both air and sea temperature with high relative accuracy and be subject to a minimum of ship induced effects. A sensor with a resolution of 0.1° C and an absolute accuracy of 0.5° C is suggested.

INTRODUCTION

The Integrated Refractive Effects Prediction System (IREPS) has been used utilised operationally by the U.S. Mavy since 1978. Subsequently, there have been questions from the fleet concerning IREPS assessments of system performance under strong (30-40 metres) evaporation ducting conditions that have not been borne out by observed system performance. Additionally, the IREPS climatological propagation assessments developed from the National Climatic Data Center (HESC) data base show a distinctly bimodal distribution of evaporation duct heights that is difficult to justify. Errors in system performance assessment arise in three areas: (1) the environmental measurements, (2) the meteorological models used to determine evaporation duct heights, and (3) the propagation models used to quantify propagation conditions. Only the first two of these areas are investigated in this report.

contompA

OBSERVED PROBLEMS

OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENTS

Assessment of system performance is done operationally with IREPS by entering current environmental data, both surface and upper air. Surface data required are wind speed, sea-surface temperature, air temperature, and relative humidity. Upper air data required are pressure, temperature, and relative humidity at levels of significant change. Upper air data establish the presence of surface-based ducts which will extend surface-to-surface propagation for all frequencies above 100 MHz. In the absence of any refractive effects from elevated layers, the evaporation duct is the dominant factor in surface-to-surface propagation, the strength of which is determined from surface meteorological measurements. The enhancement effect of the evaporation duct is highly frequency dependent, and variations in evaporation duct height will result in variations of assessed performance. Table 1 is an example of computed duct height for a 24-hour period for a CV in the southern California operating area. Weather was scattered to broken clouds, becoming clear after 1400, and winds were moderate northwesterly. Under these conditions, one would expect the evaporation duct to be fairly uniform with time, yet computed duct height varied from 10 to 40 metres. This is typical of those situations where actual system performance changes little even though assessed performance varies dramatically.

It is recognized that there are problems with observing the evaporation duct parameters at sea. Winds must be determined from the observed relative wind; air temperature and relative humidity are determined from dry- and wetbulb psychrometric measurements which are subject to ship-induced effects; sea surface temperature is often taken from the seawater injection temperature, the inlet for which is well below the surface and is also subject to ship induced effects. Still, the evaporation duct height calculations are not unreasonable except in the cases where air temperature is greater than sea temperature and computed duct height goes to 30 to 40 metres. Stable conditions and relative humidities in the range of 65-75% would not be expected in the open ocean. This indicates that errors in air-sea temperature difference, to which the evaporation duct height calculation can be quite sensitive, may be the contributing factor in unrealistically high evaporation duct heights.

CLIMATOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS

The IREPS evaporation duct height climatology was compiled from NCDC archived ship surface weather observations for the years 1970 to 1979 and reported in reference 1. This climatology has been incorporated into the IREPS historical data base and has been published as part of reference 2. Figure 1 shows representative duct height distributions for the open ocean areas around and north of Hawaii. Notice that all distributions are bimodal and that the percent occurrence of duct heights of 40 metres or greater varies diurnally and latitudinally. Evaporation duct heights of 40 metres would effectively enhance propagation for frequencies as low as 1 GHz. Results from propagation experiments such as reference 3 do not support the relatively high percent occurrence of ducting as indicated by climatology. The diurnal and latitudinal variation of the occurrence of 40-metre ducts implicates solar insolation in possible air-sea temperature difference measurement errors. It is very likely that the erroneously measured stable conditions discussed in the previous section have biased the evaporation duct climatology. Table 1. Hourly evaporation duct heights calculated from surface weather observations taken on board a carrier operating 350 nmi southwest of San Diego on 10 October 1963.

| 14 21.3 20.9 80 10.1 0100 8 21.3 20.6 70 12.6 0200 10 21.3 20.6 70 12.6 0200 11 21.3 20.7 72 17.7 0400 10 21.3 20.7 72 16.6 0500 14 21.3 20.7 72 16.7 0400 14 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 0500 14 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 0500 18 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 0500 18 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 | Time(local) | Wind(kts) | Ts(C) | Ta(C) | RH(%) | Delta(m) |
|---|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------|------------|----------|
| 0100 0 21.3 20.6 78 12.6 0200 10 21.3 20.0 74 16.6 0300 11 21.3 20.7 72 17.7 0400 10 21.3 20.7 72 16.7 0400 10 21.3 20.7 72 16.7 0400 14 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 0400 18 21.1 20.0 77 16.6 0400 19 21.1 20.0 77 16.6 0400 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 0400 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 0400 17 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1200 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 | | 14 | 21.3 | 28.9 | 88 | 18.1 |
| 6200 10 21.3 20.0 74 16.6 6300 11 21.3 20.7 72 17.7 6400 10 21.3 20.7 72 17.7 6400 10 21.3 20.7 72 17.7 6500 14 21.1 20.4 76 16.6 6500 14 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 6700 19 21.1 20.8 77 18.3 6600 18 21.1 20.8 77 18.3 6600 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 6700 16 23.3 22.9 60 26.5 1100 17 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 21.0 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.0 76 23.0 | 0100 | | 21.3 | 28.6 | 78 | 12 6 |
| 0300 11 21.3 20.7 72 17.7 0400 10 21.3 20.7 72 16.7 0500 14 21.1 20.4 76 16.6 0500 14 21.1 20.4 76 16.6 0500 18 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 0700 19 21.1 20.8 77 16.3 0700 19 21.1 20.8 77 16.3 0700 19 21.1 20.8 77 16.3 0700 18 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 0700 18 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 10 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.0 75 17.6 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 | 0200 | 10 | 21.3 | 28.8 | 74 | |
| 0400 10 21.3 20.7 72 16.7 0500 14 21.1 20.4 76 16.6 0500 18 21.1 20.4 76 16.6 0500 19 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 0700 19 21.1 20.8 77 16.3 0500 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 0500 18 23.3 22.8 73 22.2 1000 17 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.0 75 17.6 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1500 20 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1 | 8388 | 11 | 21.3 | 28.7 | 72 | |
| 0500 14 21.1 20.4 76 16.6 0500 18 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 0700 19 21.1 20.8 77 18.3 0700 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 0700 18 23.3 22.9 60 22.2 1800 17 23.3 22.9 60 26.5 1800 17 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 19 23.3 23.1 75 17.6 1800 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1800 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1800 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1800 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1800 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1800 17 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1800 17 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 | 0400 | 10 | 21.3 | 28.7 | 72 | 16.7 |
| 0600 18 21.1 20.2 75 18.7 0700 19 21.1 20.8 77 18.3 0700 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.8 0700 18 23.3 22.8 73 22.2 1800 17 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 18 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 18 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 19 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1500 14 23.3 21.8 75 17.6 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.8 72 28.5 1000 | 0500 | 14 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 76 | |
| 8700 19 21.1 20.8 77 16.7 9000 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.8 9000 18 23.3 22.8 73 22.2 1000 17 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 18 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 18 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.8 75 17.6 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.8 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.8 | 0600 | 18 | 21.1 | 28.2 | 75 | 10.0 |
| 0000 14 23.3 21.7 76 17.0 0000 18 23.3 22.0 73 22.2 1000 17 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 10 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 10 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.0 75 17.6 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 | 8790 | 19 | 21.1 | 28.8 | 73 | 10.1 |
| 18 23.3 22.8 73 22.2 1000 17 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 18 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1500 14 23.3 21.0 75 17.6 1600 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 | 0000 | - 14 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 76 | |
| 1000 17 23.3 22.9 68 26.5 1100 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 18 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 <td></td> <td></td> <td>23.3</td> <td>22</td> <td>73</td> <td></td> | | | 23.3 | 22 | 73 | |
| 1100 19 23.3 23.1 72 24.4 1200 18 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 18.7 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1600 17 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1000 | 17 | 23.3 | 22.9 | 73 | 26.6 |
| 1200 10 23.3 21.1 76 10.7 1300 14 23.3 21.1 76 10.7 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.2 23.0 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1600 10 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1100 | 19 | 23.3 | 23 1 | 70 | 20.3 |
| 1300 14 23.3 21.0 75 18.7 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 23.0 1600 20 22.2 22.0 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.0 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.0 76 23.0 1600 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1600 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1200 | 18 | 23.3 | 21 1 | | 29.9 |
| 1400 17 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1500 20 22.2 22.2 76 21.0 1600 20 22.2 22.0 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.0 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.0 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1300 | 14 | 22.2 | | | 10.7 |
| 1500 20 22.2 22.8 76 23.0 1600 24 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1400 | 17 | 22.2 | 22.2 | | 17.6 |
| 1600 24 22.2 22.8 66 34.1 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1900 20 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1500 | 20 | 22 2 | 22.6 | | 21.0 |
| 1700 20 22.2 23.0 72 28.5 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2000 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1600 | 24 | 22 2 | | | 23.0 |
| 1000 10 22.2 22.9 65 40.0 1900 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1788 | 20 | 33 3 | | | 34.1 |
| 1980 20 22.2 21.4 64 26.5 2080 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1000 | | 55.5 | 23.0 | | 28.5 |
| 2000 21 22.2 21.6 76 19.7 2100 24 22.2 20.9 92 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 1900 | 20 | 66.6 | | •3 | 40.0 |
| 2100 24 22.2 20.9 82 16.4 2200 24 22.2 21.4 80 17.9 | 2000 | 21 | 66.6 99 9 | | 64 | 26.3 |
| 2200 24 22.2 21.4 30 17.9 | 2100 | 24 | 46.6 | | () | 19,7 |
| | 2200 | 24 | 44.4 22 2 | 20.7 | 4 2 | 16.4 |
| | 2300 | 67 | 66.2 | 21.9 | | 17.9 |

A DATA TO A MANY C

EVAPORATION DUCT PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS

ACCURACY

The elements of wind speed, air and sea-surface temperature, and relative humidity as measured by transiting ships have, in the past, been sufficiently accurate for operational and general climatological purposes. Reference 4 indicates that air temperature is generally reliable, but notes that temperatures reported by ships in the tropics appear to be consistently high under sunny conditions due to poor instrument exposure. Sea-surface temperatures are somewhat less reliable due to varied observational methods. Reference 5 reports average errors on the order of +1°C for seawater injection temperatures versus bucket temperatures. Surface temperatures obtained from expendable bathythermographs are potentially the most accurate routine sea-surface temperatures currently made, but most U.S. Navy ships eject the instrument into the ship's wake. On the whole, the absolute accuracy of the measurements of the evaporation duct parameters is adequate; however, the relative accuracy of the air and sea temperatures has the greatest impact on evaporation duct height determination. These two elements are usually obtained by different instruments and different observers, compounding the problem.

CLIMATOLOGICAL AIR-SEA TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCES

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has incorporated marine data buoys in the NCDC data base and published summaries (reference 6). The buoy data include wind speed and air and sea temperature observations and represent data superior to that available from ship observations as specific actions were taken in buoy design to avoid platform induced effects. Unfortunately, moisture data are not available, so evaporation duct calculations cannot be made. However, air-sea temperature differences and bulk Richardson's numbers can be examined. Buoy locations are shown in figure 2. Most of the buoys in the Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico are subject to continental influences which will tend to increase the extremes of air-sea temperature difference distributions. However, these data should bound the open ocean conditions. Pacific buoy data should be representative of the open ocean. Buoys in both ocean regions can be compared to archived ship data within those regions to determine the extent to which the IREPS climatology is biased.

It has already been noted that air temperature observations from ships in the tropics tend to be consistently high on sunny days. NOAA data buoys 42001 and 42002 are located in Marsden square 82 and 42003 in Marsden square 81 (the evaporation duct climatology in IREPS is geographically organized by Marsden square). The air-sea temperature difference (ASTD) distributions derived from reference 6 are shown in figure 3, as are the distributions from the IREPS historical data base for these two Marsden squares. Buoys 42001 and 42002 indicated stable conditions (ASTD>0) 7.3% and 1.3% of the time, respectively, compared to 34.6% of the time for the IREPS historical; buoy 42003 indicates stable conditions 0.7% of the time compared to 30.8% of the time for IREPS. Even allowing for point observations versus observations over the entire Marsden square, the discrepancy between the buoy data and ship data strongly indicates a bias in the ship data. The result of the bias is that the IREPS historical data indicate evaporation duct heights of 40 metres or greater more than 15% of the time annually in these two Marsden squares. The remaining buoy and Marsden square data are in appendix λ and further confirm the λSTD discrepancies.

Another feature of the IREPS historical data base is that it is broken down into day and night distributions. This was done to examine any diurnal variations; however, the variation that does show up is stronger than expected. Table 2, derived from reference 7, shows diurnal temperature variations at several buoys to be less than 0.6°C, implying a much smaller diurnal variation in evaporation duct beight than is currently analyzed. Table 2 also belies the diurnal variation reported by ships and further supports the likelihood of a bias toward stable conditions.

Table 2. Diurnal variation of temperature at five NOAA data buoys from the mean air temperature at 3-hour intervals; Greenwich Nean Time (GMT) and Local Standard Time (LST).

| BUOY | | GMT | 00 | 83 | 96 | 09 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | ALL HRS |
|----------|-----------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| <u> </u> | | LST | 19 | 22 | 01 | 84 | 07 | 10 | 13 | 16 | |
| 41001 | 35 . G N | 72.0H | 19.54 | 19.56 | 19.37 | 19.30 | 19.37 | 19.51 | 19.58 | 19.66 | 19.49 |
| 41005 | 31.7N | 79.7W | 21.87 | 21.98 | 21.85 | 21.73 | 21.47 | 21.56 | 21.56 | 21.82 | 21.73 |
| 44883 | 49.8N | 68.5H | 9.74 | 9.74 | 9.67 | 9.51 | 9.60 | 9.76 | 9.91 | 9.93 | 9.73 |
| | | LST | - 19 | 21 | 99 | 83 | 86 | 89 | 12 | 15 | |
| 42881 | 26.9N | 90. OH | 24.76 | 24.59 | 24.53 | 24.48 | 24.41 | 24.79 | 24.94 | 24.98 | 24.67 |
| | | LST | 14 | 17 | 28 | 23 | 82 | 85 | 88 | 11 | |
| 46001 | 56.0N | 148.0H | 5.88 | 5.73 | 5.62 | 5.60 | 5.55 | 5.51 | 5.63 | 5.81 | 5.66 |

SENSITIVITY OF THE IREPS EVAPORATION DUCT MODEL

The evaporation duct model used in IREPS is basically the model developed by Jeske (reference 8) and adapted by Hitney (reference 9). Figure 4 shows the variation of duct height versus air-sea temperature difference parametrically in relative humidity for various sea temperatures and wind speeds commonly encountered in the open ocean. As discussed by Anderson (reference 10), the curves are well-behaved for thermally unstable conditions but vary dramatically under stable conditions. Lower relative humidity and lower wind speed also affect the curves for even slightly unstable conditions. Even small errors in measuring air-sea temperature difference can lead to large errors in evaporation duct height on the stable side of the diagrams.

METEOROLOGICAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH EVAPORATION DUCT HEIGHT

Over the open ocean, air-sea temperature differences are normally slightly negative. In the presence of large ocean currents, in regions of upwelling, and with the movement of warm or cool air masses, contrasts of ASTD are greater. Under continental influences, the contrasts are greater still. The ASTD distributions for the NOAA data buoys in appendix A substantiate this. The relationships between meteorological conditions and the resulting evaporation ducting conditions give insight to the practical application of the evaporation duct model.

Unstable

In the absence of advective effects, the marine surface layer is typically slightly unstable due to the different heat capacities of air and water. Cool air being advected over warmer water will create even greater instability; cold continental air flowing out over the ocean will create the strongest instability. However, figure 4 shows the evaporation duct height to be relatively insensitive to increasingly negative air-sea temperature differences and thus also to errors in measuring the difference.

Stable

Stable conditions over the open ocean would not be expected in the absence of advection. Figure 4 indicates two possible situations with diverse evaporation duct heights associated with each situation. The first situation is one in which warm, high-humidity air overlies cooler water. This would occur with advection across an ocean current toward the cool side, advection toward an upwelling region, or with warm frontal passage. In figure 4, this is the situation in which evaporation duct height continually decreases with increasing air-sea temperature difference. This is because the refractivity difference between the surface and the observation height is continually decreasing. This type of meteorological situation is also conducive to fog formation as the overlying air is cooled by air-sea interaction and its relative humidity increases to the point of condensation. It is speculated that the higher percent occurrence of stable conditions at buoys 44001-5 shown in appendix A is due to this type of situation. The region in which these buoys are located does have a high incidence of low visibilities. This theory rectifies, in part, the fact that the percent occurrence of stable conditions is far greater than the percent occurrence of 40-metre evaporation ducts. This same argument holds for buoy 46006 in the Pacific as well.

The second stable situation is one in which warm, lower-humidity air overlies cooler water. This would have to occur in ocean areas under a continental influence. Santa Anas in the southern California area are one of this type of influence. Extremely high evaporation duct heights would result, as shown by the lower relative humidity lines in figure 4 that initially increase with air-sea temperature difference and then eventually decrease. The maximum on the curves is the point where δ/L' becomes greater than one and duct height is recomputed based upon the limit $\delta/L' = 1$ (references 8, 9). Duct height then decreases toward zero as the refractivity differences decrease. Evaporation duct heights of 40 metres or greater are common in this situation and system performance assessment would indicate strong enhancements. However, it is argued that, in this situation, surface-to-surface propagation would be dominated by a larger surface-based duct that would likely be associated with the temperature inversion at the top of the boundary layer. Thus, the evaporation duct is of little relative importance in this case.

MRASUREMENT ACCURACIES

Since the meteorological situation of relatively warm air and low humidity should not exist over the ocean without other propagation mechanisms being dominant, it is possible to modify the evaporation duct calculation to prevent measurement inaccuracies from falsely indicating this type of meteorological situation and the resulting high and unrealistic duct heights. From figure 4 it can be seen that there is only a small error in determining duct height from fairly large errors in air-sea temperature difference as long as air-sea temperature difference is less that approximately -5°C. For air-sea temperature differences greater that $-5^{\circ}C$, errors in duct height will increase and, in particular, can be quite large for even small errors in air-sea temperature difference for relative humidities less than 90%. It is then desirable to determine a maximum air-sea temperature difference above which increasing duct heights would be neglected but decreasing duct heights would be allowed. Table 3 shows mean air-sea temperature differences for the NOAA data buoys. Table 4 shows air-sea temperature differences for a variety of temperatures and wind speeds at which the bulk Richardson's number (reference 9) is -0.03 $(|Ri_{h}| \leq 0.03 \text{ are considered to be near-neutral conditions})$. These data suggest a maximum air-sea temperature difference between 0 and -1°C would be reasonable. To see the effect that an air-sea temperature difference limit would have, data collected by Anderson (reference 3) in June 1982 were reanalyzed. Figure 5a is a plot of observed pathloss at 17.7 GHz versus calculated duct height. The red data points are those duct heights computed from meteorological data that indicated stable conditions (ASTD>0). Although this plot is scaled to only 25 metres, red data points occurred up to and beyond 40 metres. The yellow, green, and blue data points are those with ASTD values as indicated in the figure. The solid lines are theoretical curves calculated by waveguide techniques for a smooth sea surface and three stability conditions. Notice that the blue points are in closest agreement with the theoretical curves, whereas the red points tend to indicate far greater pathloss than predicted for the duct height. This strongly indicates erroneous temperature measurements since the errors tend to increase with airsea temperature difference. If this is the case, these data would show a marked improvement in correlation using a modified evaporation duct height calculation, and the best correlation would be indicative of an ASTD threshold above which all data would be subject to the modification technique. Figures

 Table 3.
 Monthly and annual mean air-sea temperature differences for NOAA data buoys (reference 6).

| L | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | - | | | Ċ, | <u> </u> | - | | | |
|------|---------------|--------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|
| ANN | -3.0 | -2.7 | -2.4 | -2.5 | -1.2 | -1.5 | -2.3 | -1.5 | -1.1 | -0.2 | -4.8 | -1.9 | -1.0 | -1.4 | -0.9 | +.0- | 6.0- | 5. 0 - |
| DEC | -9*4 | -5.2 | -6.3 | -6.1 | -2.2 | -2.2 | 6.4- | -4.6 | 2" - | -3.7 | -6.9 | -2.0 | -2.5 | -1.2 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.4 | -0.7 |
| NON | -4.5 | -3.3 | -4.5 | -4.5 | -1.4 | -2.4 | -3.3 | -1.7 | -2.3 | -2.1 | -5.5 | -1.6 | -1.5 | -1.5 | 9.1- | 2ª0- | -1.3 | 6.0- |
| 001 | 6.5- | -2.8 | -2.8 | 9.6- | -1.1 | -2.9 | -2.0 | -1.1 | -1.7 | -1.1 | -4.4 | -1.4 | -1.1 | -1.6 | -1.4 | -0.4 | -1.0 | 6.9 I |
| SEP | -1.2 | -1.6 | -1.2 | -1.3 | -0.8 | -1.2 | -1.1 | -0.6 | -8.6 | 2. | -2.1 | -0.1 | -0.6 | -1.3 | -0.0 | -0.3 | -0.6 | • |
| AUG | -0-7 | -1.4 | -0.0 | -0.7 | -0.7 | -1.2 | -1.1 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 2.1 | -1.1 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -1.2 | -0.3 | -0.3 | -0.5 | ~ |
| זער | -0.4 | -9.8 | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -1.1 | -0.1 | . 1 | 2.7 | -1.0 | ۲. | -0.4 | -1.3 | -0.3 | -0.0 | -9.5 | -0.3 |
| JUN | -0.4 | -0.9 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.0 | -0.6 | -0.8 | .6 | .6 | 2.1 | -0.8 | 5. | -0.3 | -1.5 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.6 | 5 |
| MAY | -0.8 | -1.3 | -8.5 | -0.9 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | -0.4 | s. | -0.5 | -1.5 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -0.6 | -0.3 |
| APR | -2.5 | -2.3 | -1.1 | -0.5 | -0.5 | - 0 .0 | -1.8 | 5. | 6. | 8. | -1.1 | -0.2 | -0.7 | -1.0 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.6 | -1.1 |
| AAR | -3.7 | -3.9 | -1.0 | -3.1 | -0.8 | -1.0 | -2.8 | -0-1 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -5.5 | -2.1 | -0.8 | -1.5 | -0.5 | -0.2 | -0.7 | -0.6 |
| FED | -6.2 | -4.9 | -5.0 | -5.4 | -2.6 | -2.2 | -4.4 | -3.1 | -4.8 | -2.7 | -6.6 | -6.7 | -1.1 | -1.8 | -1.8 | -0.4 | -0.7 | -1.8 |
| JAN | -6.2 | -6.2 | -6.2 | -6.3 | -3.5 | -2.8 | -4.4 | -6.1 | -5.6 | -4.4 | -7.2 | -6.7 | -0.6 | -1.5 | -0.8 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -3.7 |
| TION | 72.04 | 75.2H | 78.7H | P9.7H | 90. OH | 93. SH | 96. 0H | 73. 6H | 73. OH | 68.5H | 70.0H | 69.5H | 148.0H | 139. BH | 156.0H | 136.0H | 131.8H | 138. BH |
| LOCA | 33. BN | 32. 3 N | 32. 6N | 31.7N | 26. ON | 26. ON | 26. ON | 38. 7N | 40. IN | 40. BN | 39. BN | 42. 7N | 36. BN | 42. 5N | 52. BN | 51. ON | 46. ON | 41. ON |
| YOUR | 41001 | 41002 | 41004 | 41005 | 42001 | 42002 | 42003 | 44001 | 44862 | 44003 | 44004 | 44005 | 46901 | 46082 | 46883 | 46904 | 46895 | 46006 |

Τ.

- L

Table 4. Air-sea temperature differences at which the bulk Richardson's number is -0.03 at selected temperature and wind speed combinations. Sea Temp = 30CWind = 5 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.190 Sea Temp = 30CWind = 10 knots Rib =-.03 ASTD = -.41C Sea Temp = 30CWind = 15 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.920 Sea Temp = 30C Wind = 20 knots Rib = -.03ASTD = -1.63C Sea Temp = 30C Wind = 25 knots Rib = -.03ASTD = -2.55CSea Temp = 25CWind = 5 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.10C Sea Temp = 25CWind = 10 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.49C Sea Temp = 25CWind = 15 knots Rib = -.83 ASTD = -.910 Sea Temp = 25CWind = 20 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -1.610 Wind = 25 knots Sea Temp = 25CRib = -.03 ASTD = -2.590 Sea Temp = 20CWind = 5 knots Rib = -.83 ASTD = -.180 Sea Temp = 20C Wind = 10 knots Rib = -.03 ASTB = -.40C Sea Teap = 20CWind = 15 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.890 Sea Temp = 20C Wind = 20 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -1.580 Wind = 25 knots Sea Temp = 20C Rib = -.03ASTD = -2.46C Sea Temp = 15CWind = 5 knots Rib = -.03ASTD = -.10C Sea Temp = 15C Wind = 18 knots Rib = -.83 ASTD = -.390 Sea Temp = 15C Wind = 15 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.88C Sea Temp = 15CWind = 20 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -1.55C Sea Temp = 15C Wind = 25 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -2.420 Sea Temp = 10CWind = 5 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.10C Sea Temp = 10C Wind = 10 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.380 Sea Temp = 10C Wind = 15 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -. 860 Sea Temp = 10C Wind = 20 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -1.530 Sea Temp = 18C Wind = 25 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -2.38CSea Temp = 50 Wind = 5 knots Rib = -.03ASTD = -.090 Nind = 10 knots Sea Temp = 50 Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.380 Sea Temp = **5**C Wind = 15 knots Rib = -.03 ASTD = -.85C Sea Temp = 50 Wind = 20 knots Rib = -.03ASTD = -1.50C Sea Temp = 5C Wind = 25 knots Rib = -.83 ASTD = -2.34C

A CARL STREAM AND A CARL STREAM AND A STREAM AND AND AND A STREAM AND A STREAM AND A STREAM AND A STREAM AND A

Rib|≤0.03 → "near neutral"

5b, c, and d show the results of using a modified evaporation duct height calculation with thresholds of 0, -0.5, and $-1^{\circ}C$ ASTD, respectively. The yellow data points are those for which the ASTD threshold was exceeded and which were subjected to the modified technique; the blue data points are unchanged from the original data. In figure 5b, there are only a few data points above 15 metres and these are original data at lower pathloss values. The points plotted in yellow using the modified duct height calculation show improvement over figure 5a but still have considerable scatter as compared to the theoretical curves. Figures 5c and d show additional improvement, with figure 5d showing comparable scatter between the blue and yellow points; the higher duct heights (12-16m) now correspond to the lower pathloss values. This indicates a threshold of $-1^{\circ}C$ ASTD in the modified evaporation duct height calculation gives good agreement with these radio data.

To determine the possible effects that the modified evaporation duct height calculation would have on the IREPS climatology, a comparison of histograms is useful. Figure 6a shows the duct height distribution for Marsden square 120 for June from the IREPS climatology. Note the trimodal appearance of the daytime distribution with relative maximums at 0 to 2, 10 to 12. and greater than 40 metres. The lower and higher modes likely result from the large, positive air-sea temperature differences in the archived ship weather observations. Figure 6b shows the distribution of the (unmodified) data of figure 5a. This distribution is bimodal, shows a lower occurrence of daytime ducts greater than 40 metres, and has a greater kurtosis. Finally, figure 6c shows the distribution of the modified duct height data of figure 5d. This distribution is closer to a normal distribution; the bimodal characteristic is gone and kurtosis has increased further. The mean duct height shows only a slight diurnal variation and is lower than the means in figures 6a and b. This distribution is typical of what one would expect from geophysical data. It is anticipated that applying the modified evaporation duct height calculation to the archived ship surface meteorological data would have the effect of lowering the mean duct height and reducing the variance of duct height.

The modification to the evaporation duct height calculation is flowcharted in figure 7. If ASTD is less than or equal to -1, then the evaporation duct height, δ , is calculated using the ambient ASTD. Otherwise, δ is computed for both ASTD = -1 and ASTD = 0. If δ (ASTD = -1) is less than δ (ASTD = 0), then δ is set equal to the δ calculated with ASTD=-1. Otherwise, δ is calculated using ambient ASTD.

SUMMARY

Operational determinations of the evaporation duct and the IREPS historical data base show an unexpectedly high occurrence of evaporation duct heights of 40 metres or greater. These high duct heights occur under thermally stable conditions and lower relative humidities. These conditions are the result of (1) a continental influence or (2) temperature measurement errors. In the first case, a surface-based duct will also exist, dominate any evaporation duct effects, and produce propagation enhancements for all frequencies above 100 MHz. In the second case, propagation enhancement for all frequencies above 1 GHz will be falsely assessed. If the evaporation duct height is recomputed for stable conditions and lower relative humidities, assuming an air-sea temperature difference of ~1, system performance assessment in the first case will be unchanged (since the assessment would be based on surface-based duct effects) and system performance assessment in the second case will be based on data more likely to be representative of actual conditions. This modification of the evaporation duct calculation works well on propagation data obtained from an 18-GHz propagation link and indicates that the current IREPS duct height climatology is biased toward higher means and larger variances.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this study, it is recommended that:

1. The evaporation duct calculation used by IREPS be modified as proposed to limit the occurrence of high duct heights.

2. The IREPS historical data base be updated by reanalyzing the MCDC data base using the modified evaporation duct height calculation.

3. A new sensor be developed that would be capable of measuring air and sea temperature to a high relative accuracy and would minimize ship induced effects. A sensor resolution of $0.1^{\circ}C$ and absolute accuracy of $0.5^{\circ}C$ is suggested.

ά.



A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACTACT OF A CONTRACTACT OF A CONTRACTACT OF A CONTRACTACT OF A CONTRACTACTACT OF A CONTRACT

THE CASE WATCHES CARACTER CARACTER

Birne Man Ser









SAR SECTION (SECTION)





and the second second

Figure 2a. North Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico buoys (from reference 6).



Figure 2b. North Pacific buoys (from reference 6).

۰.



AND REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.

CONTRACTOR OF

Figure 3e. Annual air-see temperature difference distribution for buoy 42001



Total obs = 34859

ALCONVE AND INC. AND INTERNE

Figure 3b. Annuel air-ses temperature difference distribution for buoy 42002 (from reference 6).



Total obs = 43367

1994

Figure 3c. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for Marsden square 82 (from reference 1).



Total obs = 24510

6.5

Figure 3d. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 42003 (from reference 6).



Total obs = 148925

and the second sec

世にしていたとうと

NY.

Figure 3e. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for Marsden square 81 (from reference 1).



in the second second second second second

Figure 4a. Evaporation duct height (Delta) vs air-sea temperature difference (ASTD) parametric in relative humidity. U = 5, 10, 15, and 20 knots wind speed and sea temperature, T_g , of 30°C.

Delta (m) Delta (n) Ts=250 U=10KTS Ts=250 U=5KTS 30 RH=50% RH-50% RH=100% RH=100% -20 -iø 10 -15 -żø -15 -i0 Ó -5 0 10 -5 5 5 ASTD (C) RSTD (C) Delta (n) Delta (n) U=20KTS Ts=250 U=15KTS Ts=250 RH=50% RH-50% ZØ RH=100% RH=100% -żø -5 -15 -10 Ò 5 10 -20 -10 -5 Ø -15 5 10 ASTD (C) ASTD (C)

~~~~

Figure 4b. Evaporation duct height (Delta) vs air-sea temperature difference (ASTD) parametric in relative humidity. U = 5, 10, 15, and 20 knots wind speed and sea temperature,  $T_g$ , of 25°C.

<u>.</u>

<u>....</u>

24

. .

· · · ·

Delta (m) Delta (m) Ts=280 Ta=20C U=5KTS U=10KTS 30 2 RH--58X RH-58% RH=188% RH=100× -ż0 -15 -i0 -ż0 -15 -5 Ø 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 RSTD (C) ASTD (C) Delta (m) Delta (m) Ts=20C U=15KTS Ts=28C U=28KTS RH=50% RH=58% rH=100% RH=100% -żø -15 -i0 -5 -ż0 -15 -i0 -5 10 5 10 Ø 5 0 RSTD (C) RSTD (C)

Figure 4c. Evaporation duct height (Delts) vs air-sea temperature difference (ASTD) parametric in relative humidity. U = 5, 10, 15, and 20 knots wind apead and sea temperature,  $T_{g'}$  of 20°C.



ŀ

Figure 4d. Evaporation duct height (Delta) vs air-sea temperature difference (ASTD) parametric in relative humidity, U = 5, 10, 15, and 20 knots wind speed and sea temperature,  $T_{g}$ , of 15°C.



Figure 4e. Evaporation duct height (Delta) vs air-sea temperature difference (ASTD) parametric in relative humidity U = 5, 10, 15, and 20 knots wind speed and sea temperature,  $T_{g'}$  of 10°C.



CANNER THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO

Figure 4f. Evaporation duct height (Delta) vs air-sea temperature difference (ASTD) parametric in relative humidity, U = 5, 10, 15, and 20 knots wind speed and sea temperature,  $T_g$ , of 5°C.



hard and the second second

the start of the way we set the

have received there were the weeks the

.

Figure 5a. RMS pathloss at 1.7 cm observed during the period 1-14 June 1982 vs calculated duct height (from reference 3). Solid lines are theoretical curves for bulk Richardson's number equal to -10, 0, and 0.1.



<u>- 1</u>

1

.

Figure 5b. Same as figure 5a, except a modified duct height calculation was used for air-sea temperature difference greater than 0.

ALL BAT BAT BAT BAT BAT BAT BAT

ALC: NO. AND A CHER M

115.014

Figure 5c. Same as figure 5b, except threshold used was for air-sea temperature difference greater than -0.5.

SC - ST PARAMETERS AND

Sec. Val. CENTRAL

1 A. C.

Figure 5d. Same as figure 5b, except threshold used was for air-sea temperature difference greater than -1.



AND THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF

Figure 6a. IREPS duct height climatology for Marsden square 120 for June.



Figure 6b. Duct height distribution for June 1982 measurement period from reference 3.



Figure 6c. Duct height distribution for June 1982 data after application of a modified duct height calculation.



Figure 7. Flow chart for the modified evaporation duct calculation.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Naval Oceanography Command Detachment Asheville, Evaporation Duct Report 76118, June 1981.
- Patterson, W.L., Climatology of Marine Atmospheric Refractive Effects, MOSC TD 573, 20 December 1982.
- 3. Anderson, K.D., Evaporation Duct Effects on Moderate Range Propagation over the Sea at 10 and 1.7 cm Wavelengths, NOSC TR 858, 19 November 1982.
- 4. Director, Naval Oceanography and Meteorology, U.S. Navy Marine Climatic Atlas of the World, series.
- 5. Saur, J.F.T., A Study of the Quality of Sea Water Temperature Reported in Logs of Ships' Weather Observations, J. Appl. Meteor., 2, 417-425, 1963.
- Mational Climatic Data Center, Climatic Summaries for NOAA Data Buoys, January 1983.
- 7. Gilhousen, D. B., NOAA Data Buoy Center, personal communication, January 1984.
- 8. Jeske, H., The State of Radar Range Prediction over Sea, <u>Tropospheric</u> Radio Wave Propagation - Part II, NATO-AGARD, February 1971.
- 9. Hitney, H.V., Propagation Modeling in the Evaporation Duct, NELC TR 1947, April 1975.
- 10. Anderson, K.D., Surface-Search Radar Performance in the Evaporation Duct: Global Predictions, NOSC TR 923, October 1983.

APPENDIX A

# Histograms of Air-Sea Temperature Differences

for

NOAA Data Buoys and IREPS Marsden Square Data

Annual Distributions

### INTRODUCTION

This appendix contains the air-sea temperature difference distributions for the remaining NOAA data buoys and Marsden Squares. Table A-1 compares stable conditions. The remaining pages are the annual distributions. In particular, note the disparities between the Pacific buoys (which should be representative of open ocean conditions) and their respective Marsden squares. Table A-1. Percent occurrence of stable conditions reported by NOAA data buoys as compared to Marsden square data from the IREPS historical data base.

-31

| Buoy # | <pre>% occurrence of<br/>ASTD&gt;0 for buoy</pre> | <pre>% occurrence of<br/>ASTD&gt;0 for MS</pre> | Marsden square |  |  |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 41001  | 2.1                                               |                                                 |                |  |  |
| 41002  | 0.6                                               |                                                 |                |  |  |
| 41004  | 4.1                                               |                                                 | ł              |  |  |
| 41005  | 4.5                                               | 32.0                                            | 116            |  |  |
| 44001  | 21.9                                              | -                                               |                |  |  |
| 44002  | 26.6                                              |                                                 |                |  |  |
| 44004  | 12.3                                              |                                                 |                |  |  |
| 44003  | 42.2                                              |                                                 |                |  |  |
| 44005  | 18.5                                              | 49.4                                            | 151            |  |  |
| 46002  | 1.6                                               |                                                 |                |  |  |
| 46005  | 4.6                                               | 51.0                                            | 158            |  |  |
| 46006  | 16.6                                              |                                                 | -              |  |  |
| 46004  | 9.7                                               | 49.8                                            | 194            |  |  |
| 46001  | 3.0                                               | 54.1                                            | 195            |  |  |
| 46003  | 3.7                                               | 54.1                                            | 196            |  |  |
|        | 1                                                 |                                                 | 1              |  |  |



| -20(# obs(=-19 | 1    | .01%   |
|----------------|------|--------|
| -19<# obs<=-18 | 0    | 0.00%  |
| -18(# obs(=-17 | 6    | . 05%  |
| -17(# obs(=-16 | 4    | . 94%  |
| -16(# obs(=-15 | 15   | . 13%  |
| -15<# obs<=-14 | 33   | . 29%  |
| -14(# obs(=-13 | 82   | .73%   |
| -13(# obs(=-12 | 122  | 1.08%  |
| -12(# obs(=-11 | 168  | 1.49%  |
| -11<# obs<=-10 | 194  | 1.72%  |
| -10(# obs<= -9 | 339  | 3.00%  |
| -9(# obs(= -8  | 359  | 3.18%  |
| -84 obs4 -7    | 435  | 3.85%  |
| -7<# obs<= -6  | 538  | 4.76%  |
| -64 obs4= -5   | 528  | 4.67%  |
| -5(# obs(= -4  | 684  | 6.05%  |
| -4<# obs<= -3  | 769  | 6.81%  |
| -3<# obs<= -2  | 1094 | 9.68%  |
| -2(# obs(= -1  | 1821 | 16.12% |
| -1<# obs<= 0   | 3874 | 34.29% |
| 0<# obs<= 1    | 209  | 1.85%  |
| 1<# obs<= 2    | 18   | . 16%  |
| 2<# obs<= 3    | 5    | . 84%  |
| 3<# obs<= 4    | ē    | 0.00%  |
| 44# obs4= 5    | ē    | 0.00%  |
| 54 obs4= 6     | Õ    | 0.00%  |
| 64 obs4= 7     | ī    | . 01%  |

Total obs = 11299

Figure A-1. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 41001 in Marsden square 116.



| -19<# obs<=-18 | 2    | .01%   |
|----------------|------|--------|
| -18<# obs<=-17 | 4    | .01%   |
| -17<# obs<=-16 | 17   | .06%   |
| -16<# obs<=-15 | 45   | .15%   |
| -15<# obs<=-14 | 64   | .21%   |
| -14<# obs<=-13 | 110  | . 36%  |
| -13<# obs<=-12 | 188  | .61%   |
| -12<# obs<=-11 | 251  | .82%   |
| -11<# obs<=-10 | 387  | 1.26%  |
| -10<# obs<= -9 | 433  | 1.41%  |
| -9<# obs<= -8  | 512  | 1.67%  |
| -8<# obs<= -7  | 923  | 3.01%  |
| -7<# obs<= -6  | 1984 | 3.53%  |
| -64# obs4= -5  | 1653 | 5,39%  |
| -54# obs<= -4  | 2022 | 6.59%  |
| -4<# obs<= -3  | 2708 | 8.82%  |
| -3<# obs<= -2  | 4211 | 13.72% |
| -2<# obs<= -1  | 6869 | 22.38% |
| -1<# obs<= 0   | 9028 | 29.39% |
| 0<# obs<= 1    | 178  | . 58%  |
| 1<# obs<= 2    | 9    | .03%   |

Total obs = 30690

Figure A-2. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 41002 in Marsden square 116.



10 M. M.

| -22(# obs(#-21            | 1    | . 66%          |
|---------------------------|------|----------------|
| -21<# obs<=-20            | 4    | . 02%          |
| -28<# obs<#-19            | 19   | . 89%          |
| -19<# obs<=-18            | 47   | .21%           |
| -18<# obs<=-17            | 33   | .15%           |
| -17<# obs<=-16            | 39   | .18%           |
| -16<# obs<=-15            | 54   | .24%           |
| -15<# obs<=-14            | 104  | .47%           |
| -14<# obs<=-13            | 287  | . 93%          |
| -13<# obs<=-12            | 208  | .94%           |
| -12<# obs<=-11            | 295  | 1.33%          |
| -11<# obs<=-10            | 332  | 1.49%          |
| -10<# obs<# -9            | 484  | 2.18%          |
| -9<# obs<= -8             | 591  | 2.66%          |
| -8<# obs<= -7             | 715  | 3.22%          |
| -7<# obs<= -6             | 877  | 3 <b>.95</b> % |
| -6<# obs<= -5             | 1124 | 5.06%          |
| -5\$# obs<= -4            | 1344 | 6.05%          |
| -4<# obs<= -3             | 1586 | 7.14%          |
| -3<# obs<= -2             | 1992 | 8.96%          |
| -2<# obs<= -1             | 3228 | 14.52%         |
| -1<# obs<= 0              | 8041 | 36.18%         |
| 0<# obs<= 1               | 674  | 3 <b>.0</b> 3% |
| 1<# obs<= 2               | 158  | .71%           |
| 2 <b>&lt;# obs&lt;=</b> 3 | 62   | .28%           |
| 3<# obs<= 4               | 6    | .03%           |
| 4<# obs<= 5               | 2    | .01%           |
|                           |      |                |

Total obs = 22227

Figure A-3. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 41004 in Marsden square 116.



| -21(# obs(#-20        | 1    | .00%   |
|-----------------------|------|--------|
| -20<# obs<=-19        | 7    | .03%   |
| -19<# obs<=-18        | 25   | . 12%  |
| -18<# obs<=-17        | 32   | .15%   |
| -17<# obs<=-16        | 44   | .21%   |
| -16<# obs<=-15        | 76   | . 36%  |
| -15(# obs<=-14        | 82   | . 38%  |
| -14<# obs<=-13        | 140  | . 66%  |
| -13<# obs<=-12        | 187  | .88%   |
| -12<# obs<=-11        | 221  | 1.03%  |
| -11<# obs<=-10        | 269  | 1.26%  |
| -10<# obs<= -9        | 481  | 2.25%  |
| -9<# obs<= -8         | 471  | 2.20%  |
| -8<# obs<= -7         | 495  | 2.32%  |
| -7<# obs<= -6         | 627  | 2.94%  |
| -6<# obs<= -5         | 835  | 3.91%  |
| -5<# obs<= -4         | 1104 | 5.17%  |
| -4<# obs<= -3         | 1468 | 6.83%  |
| -3<# obs<= -2         | 1977 | 9.26%  |
| -2<# obs<= -1         | 3438 | 16.09% |
| -1<# obs<= 0          | 8428 | 39.42% |
| <b>0&lt;#</b> obs<= 1 | 798  | 3.74%  |
| 1<# obs<= 2           | 143  | .67%   |
| 2<# obs<= 3           | 28   | .13%   |

Total obs = 21361

Figure A-4. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 41005 in Marsden square 116.



| -18<# obs<=-17        | 3           | .01%   |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|
| -17<# obs<=-16        | 33          | .13%   |
| -16<# obs<=-15        | 36          | .14%   |
| -15<# obs<=-14        | 111         | . 44%  |
| -14<# obs<=-13        | 193         | .77%   |
| -13(# obs<=-12        | 222         | . 89%  |
| -12<# obs<=-11        | 326         | 1.30%  |
| -11<# obs<=-10        | 333         | 1.33%  |
| -10<# obs<= -9        | 335         | 1.34%  |
| -9<# obs<= -8         | 359         | 1.44%  |
| -8<# obs<= -7         | 499         | 2.00%  |
| -7<# obs<= -6         | 608         | 2.43%  |
| -6<# obs<= -5         | 6 <b>90</b> | 2.76%  |
| -5<# obs<= -4         | 941         | 3.77%  |
| -4<# obs<= -3         | 1197        | 4.79%  |
| -3<# obs<= -2         | 1582        | 6.33%  |
| -2<# obs<= -1         | 2315        | 9.27%  |
| -1<# obs<= 0          | 9723        | 38.92% |
| <b>0&lt;#</b> obs<= 1 | 3298        | 13.20% |
| 1<# obs<= 2           | 1391        | 5.57%  |
| 2<# obs<= 3           | 586         | 2.35%  |
| 3<# obs<= 4           | 160         | .64%   |
| 4<# obs<= 5           | 35          | .14%   |
| <b>5&lt;#</b> obs<= 6 | 8           | .03%   |

Total obs = 24984

2

Ę,

Figure A-5. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 44001 in Marsden square 116.



| -18<# obs<=-17            | 8     | . 82%  |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| -17<# obs<=-16            | 31    | .10%   |
| -16<# obs<=-15            | 63    | .19%   |
| -15<# obs<=-14            | 130   | . 48%  |
| -14<# obs<=-13            | 136   | . 42%  |
| -13<# obs<=-12            | 233   | .72%   |
| -12(# obs(=-11            | 293   | .91%   |
| -11<# obs<=-10            | 374   | 1.16%  |
| -10<# obs<= -9            | 439   | 1.36%  |
| -9<# obs<= -8             | 539   | 1.67%  |
| -8<# obs<= -7             | 656   | 2.03%  |
| -7<# obs<= -6             | 777   | 2.40%  |
| -6<# obs<= -5             | 803   | 2.48%  |
| -5<# obs<≈ -4             | 1056  | 3.26%  |
| -4<# obs<= -3             | 1223  | 3.78%  |
| -3<# obs<= -2             | 1580  | 4.88%  |
| -2<# obs<= -1             | 2385  | 7.37%  |
| -1<# obs<= 0              | 13015 | 40.22% |
| 0<# obs<= 1               | 5674  | 17.53% |
| 1 <b>&lt;# obs&lt;= 2</b> | 2071  | 6.40%  |
| 2<# obs<= 3               | 621   | 1.92%  |
| 3<# obs<= 4               | 217   | .67%   |
| 4<# obs<= 5               | 33    | .10%   |
| 5<# obs<= 6               | 3     | .01%   |
|                           |       |        |

Total obs = 32360

· · · ·

Figure A-6. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 44002 in Marsden square 116.



Total obs = 18492

Figure A-7. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 44004 in Marsden square 116.



|       | 0031=-40 | 110   | .08%   |
|-------|----------|-------|--------|
| -20<# | obs<=−18 | 441   | . 20%  |
| -18<# | obs<=−16 | 882   | . 40%  |
| -16<# | obs<=-14 | 1631  | .74%   |
| -14<# | obs<=−12 | 3130  | 1.42%  |
| -12<# | obs<=-10 | 4849  | 2.20%  |
| -10<# | obs<= -8 | 8486  | 3.85%  |
| -8<#  | obs<= -6 | 13754 | 6.24%  |
| -6<#  | obs<= -4 | 21887 | 9.94%  |
| -4<#  | obs<= -2 | 41679 | 18.92% |
| -2<#  | obs<= 0  | 52942 | 24.84% |
| 0<#   | obs<= 2  | 42870 | 19.46% |
| 2<#   | obs<≖ 4  | 17148 | 7.79%  |
| 4<#   | obs<= 6  | 6238  | 2.83%  |
| 6<#   | obs<= 8  | 2711  | 1.23%  |
| 8<#   | obs<= 10 | 926   | . 42%  |
| 10<#  | obs<= 12 | 353   | .16%   |
| 12<#  | obs<= 14 | 132   | .96%   |
| 14<#  | obs<= 16 | 22    | .01%   |
|       |          |       |        |

Total obs = 220255

Figure A-8. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for Marsden square 116 from IREPS historical data base. Compare with distributions in figures A-1 through A-7.



CARLON AND AND AND AND A

| -184# obs<=-17 | 5    | . 82%           |
|----------------|------|-----------------|
| -17<# obs<=-16 | 6    | . 02%           |
| -16(# obs<=-15 | 18   | . 06%           |
| -15(# obs(=-14 | 17   | .06%            |
| -14<# obs<=-13 | 26   | . 98%           |
| -13<# obs<=-12 | 52   | .17%            |
| -12(# obs(=-11 | 83   | .27%            |
| -11<# obs<=-10 | 128  | . 42%           |
| -10<# obs<= -9 | 237  | .77%            |
| -9<# obs<= -8  | 323  | 1.05%           |
| -8<# obs<= -7  | 485  | 1.58%           |
| -7<# obs<= -6  | 797  | 2.68%           |
| -6<# obs<= -5  | 844  | 2.75%           |
| -5<# obs<= -4  | 1151 | 3.76%           |
| -4<# obs<= -3  | 1518 | 4.95%           |
| -3<# obs<= -2  | 1604 | 5.24%           |
| -2<# obs<= -1  | 2093 | 6.83%           |
| -1<# obs<= 0   | 8333 | 27 <b>.20</b> % |
| 0<# obs<= 1    | 5343 | 17.44%          |
| 1<# obs<= 2    | 3855 | 12.58%          |
| 2<# obs<= 3    | 2338 | 7.63%           |
| 3<# obs<= 4    | 1001 | 3.27%           |
| 4<# obs<= 5    | 283  | . 92%           |
| 5<# obs<= 6    | 87   | . 28%           |
| 6<# obs<= 7    | 7    | .02%            |
| 7(# obs(= 8    | 2    | .01%            |

Total obs = 30636

Figure A-9. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 44003 in Marsden square 151.



| -21<# obs<=-20 | 8    | .04%   |
|----------------|------|--------|
| -20<# obs<=-19 | 7    | .04%   |
| -19<# obs<=-18 | 21   | .12%   |
| -18<# obs<=-17 | 18   | . 10%  |
| -17<# obs<=-16 | 36   | . 20%  |
| -16<# obs<=-15 | 56   | .31%   |
| -15<# obs<=-14 | 76   | . 42%  |
| -14<# obs<=-13 | 105  | . 58%  |
| -13<# obs<=-12 | 133  | .74%   |
| -12<# obs<=-11 | 206  | 1.14%  |
| -11<# obs<=-10 | 211  | 1.17%  |
| -10<# obs<= -9 | 334  | 1.85%  |
| -9<# obs<= -8  | 354  | 1.96%  |
| -8<# obs<= -7  | 361  | 2.08%  |
| -7<# obs<= -6  | 555  | 3.07%  |
| -6<# obs<= -5  | 690  | 3.82%  |
| -5<# obs<= -4  | 708  | 3.92%  |
| -4<# obs<= -3  | 968  | 5.36%  |
| -3<# obs<= -2  | 1305 | 7.23%  |
| -2<# obs<= -1  | 2090 | 11.57% |
| -1<# obs<= 0   | 6469 | 35.82% |
| 0<# obs<= 1    | 2053 | 11.37% |
| 1<# obs<= 2    | 867  | 4.80%  |
| 2<# obs<= 3    | 344  | 1.90%  |
| 3<# obs<= 4    | 62   | . 34%  |
| 4<# obs<= 5    | 14   | . 98%  |
| 5<# obs<= 6    | 8    | . 94%  |
| 6<# obs<= 7    | 2    | . 01%  |

Total obs = 18061

Figure A-10. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 44005 in Marsden square 151.



| -20/4 003/10   | 145   |        |
|----------------|-------|--------|
| -18<# obs<=-16 | 285   | .24%   |
| -16<# obs<=-14 | 487   | . 57%  |
| -14<# obs<=-12 | 985   | 1.06%  |
| ~12<# obs<=-10 | 1529  | 1.78%  |
| -10<# obs<= -8 | 2827  | 3.30%  |
| -8<# obs<= -6  | 4321  | 5.04%  |
| -64# obs4= -4  | 6431  | 7.50%  |
| -4<# obs<= -2  | 10197 | 11.89% |
| -2<# obs<= 0   | 16166 | 18.86% |
| 0<# obs<= 2    | 21606 | 25.29% |
| 2<# obs<= 4    | 12861 | 15.00% |
| 44# obs4= 6    | 5081  | 5.93%  |
| 64# obs4= 8    | 1810  | 2.11%  |
| 8<# obs<=-10   | 686   | .71%   |
| 10<# obs<= 12  | 248   | . 29%  |
| 12<# obs<= 14  | 85    | . 10%  |
| 14<# obs<= 16  | 51    | .06%   |
| 164# obs4= 18  | 9     | . 01%  |
| 18<# obs<= 20  | 9     | . 01%  |
| 204# obs4= 22  | 26    | . 03%  |
|                |       |        |

Total obs = 85725

. Whether the state of the stat

Figure A-11. Annual air-see temperature difference distribution for Marsdon square 151 from IREPS historical data base. Compare with distribution in figures A-9 and A-10.



| ~9<# obs<= -8 | 4     | .01%   |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| -8<# obs<= -7 | 21    | .06%   |
| -7<# obs<= -6 | 63    | .17%   |
| -6<# obs<= -5 | 158   | . 43%  |
| -5<# obs<= -4 | 701   | 1.93%  |
| -4<# obs<= -3 | 2139  | 5.88%  |
| -3<# obs<= -2 | 6650  | 18.29% |
| -2<# obs<= -1 | 13628 | 37.49% |
| -1<# obs<= 0  | 12388 | 34.08% |
| 0<# obs<= 1   | 536   | 1.47%  |
| 1<# obs<= 2   | 58    | . 16%  |
| 2<# obs<= 3   | 5     | .01%   |
|               |       |        |

Total obs = 36351

Figure A-12. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 48002 in Marsden square 158.



Figure A-13. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for

buoy 46005 in Marsden square 158.

TOURDED BLACKED TOOPLARDS DEVELOP STATES



| -5<# | obs<= | -4 | 148  | . 92%  |
|------|-------|----|------|--------|
| -4<# | obs<= | -3 | 425  | 2.78%  |
| -3<# | obs<= | -2 | 1218 | 7.96%  |
| -2<# | obs<= | -1 | 3356 | 21.94% |
| -1<# | obs<= | 0  | 7249 | 47.39% |
| 8<#  | obs<= | 1  | 1804 | 11.79% |
| 1<#  | obs<= | 2  | 610  | 3.99%  |
| 2<#  | obs<= | 3  | 122  | . 80%  |
| 34#  | obs<= | .4 | 8    | . 85%  |

Total obs = 15295

CARAGE STREET OF CONTRACTS

Figure A-14. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 46006 in Marsden square 158.



| -12<# ob | s<=-10 | 7     | .01%            |
|----------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| -10<# ob | s<= -8 | 93    | .14%            |
| -8<# ob  | s<= -6 | 484   | .73%            |
| -6<# ob  | s<= -4 | 2223  | 3.35%           |
| -4<# ob  | s<= -2 | 9283  | 13.99%          |
| -2<# ob  | s<= 0  | 20430 | 30.8 <b>0</b> % |
| 0<# ob   | s<= 2  | 21764 | 32.81%          |
| 2<# ob   | s<= 4  | 8546  | 12.88%          |
| 4<# ob   | s<= 6  | 2495  | 3.76%           |
| 6<# ob   | s<= 8  | 697   | 1.85%           |
| 8<# ob   | s<= 10 | 226   | . 34%           |
| 10<# ob  | s<= 12 | 73    | .11%            |
| 12<# ob  | s<= 14 | 20    | .03%            |
|          |        |       |                 |

Total obs = 66340

Figure A-15. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for Marsden square 158 from IREPS historical data base. Compare with distribution in figures A-12 through A-14.



Total obs = 29034

- A

ያሳት መምረም ስም አማዲያቸው ምም ምም ምም አም ይም ይቀት ይቀት ይቀት ለም ለም ለም ለም ለም ለም

Figure A-16. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 46004 in Marsden square 194.



Figure A-17. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for Maraden square 194 from IREPS historical data base. Compare with distribution in figure A-16.

., •



Toval obs = 37320

and a standard and the second seco

Figure A-18. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 46001 in Marsden square 195.



Total obs = 60486

Figure A-19. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for Maraden square 195 from IREPS historical data base. Compare with distribution in figure A-18.



Total obs = 27669

CAPACINE ACTINE ACTING AND AND AND A

Figure A-20. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for buoy 46003 in Marsden square 196.



Total obs = 37389

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF

and Martin, " House States, "States,"

Figure A-21. Annual air-sea temperature difference distribution for Marsden square 196 from IREPS historical data base. Compare with distribution in figure A-20.

