ND-A UNCL	143 59 ASSIFI	92 FIN ION WAS IED	IITE TE IOSPHER HINGTO	NPERA RIC BAI N DC	TURE E RIUM C S T Z	FFECTS LOUDS ALESAK	5 ON TI 1. (U) (et Ai	HE EVO NAVA 24	LUTIO L RESE JUL 84	OF ARCH NRL- F/G	LAB MR-534 4/1	1. 6 NL	/1
		1741). S., ,			;								
ENC PLIES	<u> </u>												
		7											



NRL Memorandum Report 5346

the Temperature Effects on the Evolution Removaboric Bacium Clouds in the Presence of a Compacting Background Ionesphere

Fight Attende Incompressible Background Ionosphere

P. C.

S. T. ZALESAE, P. K. CHATUEVED,* S. L. ONIAKOW, AND J. A. PRODER

Generational and Phones Dynamics Branch Phones Physics Dynamics

States Ve alles

592

AD-A143

The restored and the second of the Dalay Photos instant index Subjects Street, Second Street, Se

4



S DTIC ELECTE JUL 27 1994 B

84

07

27

089

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

	Ë	/	10-1	4143	592			
	REPORT DOC	UMENTATION PAGE	£	توجعه فتدوير بالكم	ک پر مسافی است.			
INCLASSIFIED		15 RESTRICTIVE N	10 RESTRICTIVE MARK NOS					
24 SECURITY CLASS FICATION AUTHOR	11T ~	3 DISTRIBUTION A	3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT					
20 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING	SCHEDULE	Approved for	Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
A PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPOR NRL Memorandum Report 53	T NUMBER SI	S MONITORING OR	5. MUNITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER SI					
6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZAT: Naval Research Laboratory	ON 56 OFFICE SYMBO If applicable Code 4780	OL 7. NAME OF MONT	78. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION					
6c ADDRESS C.ts. State and All Code Washington, DC 20375		76 ADDRESS (City	76 ADDRESS (City State and ZIP Code)					
8. NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Defense Nuclear Agency	86 OFFICE SYMBO If applicable)	OL 9 PROCUREMENT	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER					
BC ADDRESS (City State and All Code)		10 SOURCE OF FUR	10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS					
Washington, DC 20305		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK	0044 UNIT			
11 TTLE Include Segurits Classifications (See page ii)		62715H			DINDOU-U/2			
Zalesak, S.T., Chaturvedi, P.K.	,* Ossakow, S.L., a	nd Fedder, J.A.						
134. TYPE OF REPORT (35) Interim FRC	TIME COVERED M 10/83 TO 10/	84 July 24, 1984	AT (Yr. Mo., Day)	, 15 PAGE C 55	15 PAGE COUNT			
*Science Applications Inc., Me	Lean, VA 22102				(Continues)			
17 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB GR	Barium clou Plasma clou	MS (Continue on reverse if ne ids Ionospheric ds Bifurcation	Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number: Ionospheric barium clouds Striations Bifurcation of barium clouds					
¹⁹ ABSTRACT iContinue on receive if necess We show that under realistic can be long-lived, quasi-stable, a dense head, steep density gra- tures are the final products of striations. The realistic ionosy temperatures T_i of approximal compressibility than itself, i.e., relatively incompressible backg importantly, of total magnetic special form which allows the	c ionospheric condit nonbifurcating struc- idients at the front, the recursive bifurc pheric conditions to cely 1000 K, couple, and F region. We round results in an -field-line-integrated inner portions of th	under: tions, barium ion clo ctures. These struct and a long, less den eation of a considera which we refer con ed electrically to a b show analytically th effective diffusion o Pedersen conductivi ae cloud to diffuse sl	buds hundred tures may res ise tail. We a ably larger ba sist of a barin background ic act this comb of barium plas ity, Spc. The lowly, giving	is of meters in semble "tadpo assume that the irium ion cloud um ion cloud onosphere of l ination of fin sma, but more diffusion coef the cloud a le	diameter bles," with bese struc- id, i.e., with ion lower ite T _i and e fficient has a ong lifetime,			

(Continues)

. . . .

20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION				
unclassifier in mited ${f X}$ same as ret is discussed. \Box	UNCLASSIFIED				
228 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE NOIVIDUAL	226 TELEPHINE NUMBER	220 DEFICE SYMBOL			
S. T. Zaleask	(202) 767-3215	Code 4780			
DD FORM 1473, 83 APR	DIS LEDELETE				

> S THE PAR SHITE CALSERATES. 1

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)

Finite Temperature Effects on the Evolution of Ionospheric Barium Clouds in the Presence of a Conducting Background Ionosphere

I. A High Altitude Incompressible Background Ionosphere

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION (Continued)

This research was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under Subtask S99QMXBC, work unit 00102 and work unit title "Plasma Structure Evolution."

19. ABSTRACT (Continued)

<u>e</u>] ¹

and allows the outer, less dense portions of the cloud to diffuse rapidly, preventing cloud bifurcation. Numerical simulations of the full nonlinear dynamics are then used to show that this diffusion does in fact give rise to quasi-stable barium striations hundreds of meters in diameter. These findings are consistent with the linear analysis of *Francis and Perkins* (1975).

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

• -1

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
THE MOTION OF IONOSPHERIC PLASMA	5
MATHEMATICAL MODEL	12
SIMPLIFIED TWO-LEVEL MODEL	15
DIFFUSION CHARACTERISTICS FOR A SIMPLIFIED TWO-LEVEL MODEL WITH A NEARLY INCOMPRESSIBLE BACKGROUND LAYER	25
NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS USING A SIMPLIFIED TWO-LEVEL MODEL WITH A NEARLY INCOMPRESSIBLE BACKGROUND LAYER	27
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	31
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	32
REFERENCES	41





FINITE TEMPERATURE EFFECTS ON THE EVOLUTION OF IONOSPHERIC BARIUM CLOUDS IN THE PRESENCE OF A CONDUCTING BACKGROUND IONOSPHERE

I. A High Altitude Incompressible Background Ionosphere

1. Introduction

The nonlinear evolution of an artificial plasma cloud (e.g., a barium ion cloud) released in the earth's ionosphere is characterized by an overall bulk E x B motion, a one-sided steepening of plasma gradients, and by bifurcation [see Ossakow, 1979; Ossakow et al., 1982 and references therein] a process which we define here to mean the splitting of the cloud into two or more pieces. These pieces are sometimes referred to as "striations". All of the above motion and the resultant plasma structures are observed to be magnetic-field-aligned. That is, the plasma motion parallel to magnetic field lines consists primarily of diffusion and falling, while the perpendicular plasma motion is virtually identical for all plasma along a given field line. Hence one's best view of the structuring of the cloud is from a vantage point looking parallel to the magnetic field B. This simplified description of barium cloud dynamics, seen along a line of sight parallel to B, is depicted in Fig. 1. The sequence of drifting, steepening and bifurcating is, for sufficiently large striations, recursive: each striation becomes a new cloud which in turn forms its own striations. In the absence of some dissipative mechanism, it is believed that this process would continue indefinitely. In reality, the striation size becomes small enough to be comparable to the characteristic scale lengths of physical dissipation mechanisms, and further bifurcation A previous study by McDonald et al. [1981] attempted to quantify ceases. this concept within the context of a "one-level model", a mathematical model in which all conducting plasma was constrained to lie in a single two-dimensional plane perpendicular to the magnetic field. Implicit in Manuscript approved March 15, 1984.

this model is the assignment of a single Pedersen mobility and hence compressibility to both the barium and background ionospheric plasma. In this model, the leading candidate for a dissipative mechanism is the ambipolar diffusion of electrons caused by electron-ion collisions. Using this mechanism, McDonald et al. [1981] calculated final unbifurcating striation sizes (from a so-called U shaped curve) for barium clouds of ~ 20 m (perpendicular to B). However, there is experimental evidence for the existence of long-lived "blobs" of plasma several hundred meters in diameter. The apparent stability of such large plasma structures has yet to find a satisfactory explanation within the one-level model. Ossakow et al. [1981] proposed including a zero temperature second level compressible background ionosphere below the cloud (see Scannapieco et al., 1976). This would allow image striations to build up and allow the conductivity in a striation to be amplified. This in turn would allow for larger conductivity ratios than if one had just a one level cloud. In turn, this could result in kilometer size unbifurcating striations by extrapolating the U shaped curve of McDonald et al. [1981] to higher conductivity ratios.

Here we postulate that the existence of a conducting background plasma with ion Pedersen mobility different from that of the barium plasma, combined with the finite temperature of the barium plasma, may allow the existence of stable striations with scale sizes of hundreds of meters. The essence of the mechanism is a phenomenon commonly referred to as "end shorting" first investigated in the context of barium clouds by <u>Shiau and Simon</u> [1974], who considered a completely incompressible background ionosphere, and showed that the normal electron ambipolar diffusion rates would be replaced by some fraction of the much higher ion diffusion rates caused by collisions of ions with neutrals. <u>Francis and</u> <u>Perkins</u> [1975] generalized the work of <u>Shiau and Simon</u> [1974] by

considering the cases of both incompressible and compressible background ionospheres. They concurred with Shiau and Simon [1974] that incompressible backgrounds exert a stabilizing influence, but noted that compressible backgrounds are destabilizing. In contrast, Vickrey and Kelley [1982] concluded that a background (compressible) E region should exert a stabilizing influence on high latitude irregularities. We shall address the question of the correctness of the above two analyses in a later paper. For the moment we note that: 1) Francis and Perkins [1975] performed a rigorous stability analysis of the problem; Vickrey and Kelley [1982] did not; 2) Francis and Perkins [1975] self-consistently included image formation (compressibility) in both the cloud and the background; Vickrey and Kelley [1982] did not (they took a passive load model); 3) Vickrey and Kelley [1982] included recombination chemistry; Francis and Perkins [1975] did not.

Our own conclusions are based on our view of barium cloud dynamics as consisting of a two-stage feed-back loop:

1) At any given time, the distribution of plasma density will, through the effect of these distributions on magnetic-field-line integrated Pedersen and Hall conductivities, bring about the creation of polarization electric fields whose purpose it is to maintain quasi-neutrality;

2) these electric fields, through Hall and Pedersen mobilities, affect the velocity of the plasma, which in turn affects the distribution of plasma density at the next instant of time.

We emphasize that it is only through its influence on magnetic-fieldline integrated Pedersen and Hall conductivities that a change in plasma distribution [e.g., diffusion] will affect the polarization fields, which are the engine of plasma structure.

In the present study we have isolated the "enhanced" difusive effects (due to finite temperature effects and a two level model, where only ionneutral collisions are included) on barium cloud striation evolution. A major conclusion derived from the nonlinear numerical simulation results presented here is that coupling to a nearly incompressible background ionosphere, i.e., an F region, can result in a cessation of striation bifurcation in F region ionospheric barium clouds. a te de la travella de la travésia de la terra de l

In addition, a simplified analysis of the equation for the time evolution of the total field line integrated Pedersen conductivity shows that coupling to a compressible background ionosphere, i.e., E region, would result in destabilization of the striations (see Eq. (4-36) and the discussion following). Both of these results are consistent with the linear analysis of Francis and Perkins [1975].

In section 2 we describe the motion of ionospheric plasma and in section 3 the mathematical model is presented. Section 4 presents a simplified two level model. Section 5 describes the diffusion characteristics for a simplified two level model with a nearly incompressible background. Numerical simulation results using an incompressible background are presented in section 6. Section 7 presents the conclusions and thoughts on future work.

2. The Motion of Ionospheric Plasma

(

We shall be concerned here with the motion of plasma consisting of ions and electrons in the presence of a neutral gas and magnetic field \underline{B} , subject to an external force. We shall also be interested in the electric current \underline{J} arising from the differential motion of the various species comprising the plasma. In the course of deriving the equations we make the following assumptions:

1) We assume the plasma can be adequately described by the fluid approximation. This assumes that the effective collision rate of each plasma species with itself is sufficiently high to maintain near Maxwellian distribution functions on time scales short compared to the times of interest, and is well satisfied for the plasmas we treat here.

2) We assume that the electric fields \underline{E} are electrostatic (i.e., $\nabla \times \underline{E} = 0$) and hence can be described using a scalar potential ϕ such that $\underline{E} = -\nabla \phi$. Note that this implies $\partial \underline{B}/\partial t = 0$. The validity of this assumption can be related to the fact that the Alfven velocity is much larger than any other propagation speed of interest for the plasmas we treat here. The assumption is also checked a posteriori by verifying that the calculated currents and displacement currents produce negligible time variations in B which in turn produce negligible $\nabla \times E$.

3) We assume plasma quasi-neutrality; that is,

$$\sum_{i} n_{i} q_{i} \approx n_{e}$$
(2.1)

where n is the number density, q is ion species charge, e is the electron charge, the subscripts i and e refer to ions and electrons respectively, and the sum is taken over all ion species. This assumption is a statement that the Debye length is small compared to all length scales of interest, and again can be verified a posteriori by evaluating $\nabla \cdot \underline{E}$. Note that this assumption implies that $\nabla \cdot J = 0$, where J is the electric current.

In addition to the above there are some other assumptions which, while they are not essential to the basic model, are nonetheless valid for many of the physical situations which we shall treat and impart a simplicity which we shall find convenient here.

4) We assume the electrostatic potential ϕ to be constant along magnetic field lines. As we shall see later, the electrical conductivity along magnetic field lines is much greater than that perpendicular to magnetic field lines, meaning that appreciable differences in potential along a field line will quickly be reduced by the resultant current. This assumption will break down for sufficiently small scale lengths perpendicular to the magnetic field, and for sufficiently large distances along the magnetic field.

5) We assume that the inertial terms in the plasma species momentum equations, i.e., the left hand side of Equation (2.3), are negligible with respect to the other terms in the equation. This assumption is justified whenever the time scales of interest are longer than the mean time between collisions for ions.

6) We neglect collisions between ions and electrons and between ions of different species. Later we shall also neglect collisions of electrons with neutrals. There are two reasons for this. First, the ion-neutral collision term v_{in} can be shown to be the dominant term in the diffusion physics we consider here. (One must be careful, however, since these same assumptions will yield zero diffusion when the background conductivity is set to zero. To obtain the correct electron ambipolar rate in this limit,

the electron-ion and electron-neutral collision terms must be retained. We are not interested in this limit in this paper). Second, although exact closed form expressions for the ion and electron velocities in terms of the applied forces is possible when ion-electron collisions are retained [Fedder, 1980], the expressions are considerably more complex than those given below.

The continuity and momentum equations describing a simple ion species and its associated electrons are:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n \underline{v}_{\alpha}) = 0$$
 (2.2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha} = \frac{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}} \left(\underline{\mathbf{E}} + \frac{\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha} \times \underline{\mathbf{B}}}{\mathbf{c}} \right) - \nu_{\alpha n} \left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha} - \underline{\mathbf{U}}_{n} \right) - \frac{\nabla \mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{n}_{\alpha} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha}} + \underline{\mathbf{g}}$$
(2.3)

where the subscript α takes on values i and e (denoting ions and electrons, respectively), n is the number density, <u>v</u> is the fluid velocity, P is pressure, <u>E</u> is the electric field, <u>g</u> is the gravitational acceleration, q is the charge, $v_{\alpha n}$ is the collision frequency with the neutral gas, <u>U</u>_n is the neutral wind velocity, c is the speed of light, and m is the particle mass. We can rewrite this equation as

$$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}} + \frac{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{m}_{\alpha}\mathbf{c}} \left(\underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha} \mathbf{x} \ \underline{\mathbf{B}} \right) - \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha \mathbf{n}} \ \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{-\alpha}^{=} \mathbf{0}$$
(2.4)

where

$$\frac{\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}} = \mathbf{q}_{\alpha} \frac{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{E}} + \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha} \mathbf{U}_{\alpha} - \nabla \mathbf{I}_{\alpha} / \mathbf{n}_{\alpha}$$
$$- \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla\right) \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha}$$
(2.5)

If we place ourselves in a Cartesian coordinate system in which <u>B</u> is aligned along the z axis, and if we treat \underline{F}_{α} as a given quantity then a componentwise evaluation of Equation (2.4) yields a set of three equations in three unknowns, the three components of \underline{v}_{α} . The formal solution is

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha \perp} = \mathbf{k}_{1\alpha} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{\alpha \perp} + \mathbf{k}_{2\alpha} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{\alpha \perp} \times \mathbf{\hat{z}}$$
(2.6)

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha \parallel} = \mathbf{k}_{\alpha \alpha} \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{\parallel} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$k_{1\alpha} = \frac{v_{\alpha n}}{\Omega_{\alpha}} \frac{c}{\lceil q_{\alpha} B \rceil} \left[1 - \frac{(v_{\alpha n}/\Omega_{\alpha})^2}{1 + (v_{\alpha n}/\Omega_{\alpha})^2} \right]$$
(2.8)

$$k_{2\alpha} = \frac{c}{q_{\alpha}^{B}} \left[1 - \frac{(\nu_{\alpha n}/\Omega_{\alpha})^{2}}{1 + (\nu_{\alpha n}/\Omega_{\alpha})^{2}} \right]$$
(2.9)

$$k_{o\alpha} = (m_{\alpha} v_{\alpha n})^{-1}$$
(2.10)

$$\hat{\underline{z}} \equiv \underline{B}/|B| \qquad (2.11)$$

1

$$\Omega_{\alpha} \equiv \left| \frac{q_{\alpha}^{B}}{m_{\alpha}^{c}} \right|$$
 (2.12)

The vector subscripts \perp and " refer to the components of the vector which are perpendicular and parallel respectively to \hat{z} . The quantities k_1 , k_2 , and k_0 above are referred to as the Pedersen, Hall, and direct mobilities respectively. It should be pointed out that Equations (2.6) and (2.7) are only truly closed form expressions when the inertial terms (the last term on the right hand side of Equation (2.5)) are neglected, an assumption we have made previously. Typical ranges for collision frequencies are: $v_{in} \sim 30 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, $v_{en} \sim 800 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at 150 km altitude; and $v_{in} \sim$ 10^{-1} sec^{-1} , $v_{en} \sim 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at 500 km altitude.

As we will see later, we will use the concept of "layers" to distinguish the various ion species, so for the moment we consider only a single ion species, denoted by subscript i, and the associated electrons, denoted by subscript e. We also consider only singly charged ions so that $q_i = e$ and $q_e = -e$. Noting that $v_{en}/\Omega_e \approx 0$, we obtain

$$k_{1i} = \frac{v_{in}}{\Omega_i} R_i \frac{c}{e|B|}$$
(2.13)

$$k_{1e} = 0$$
 (2.14)

$$k_{2i} = R_i \frac{c}{eB}$$
(2.15)

$$k_{2e} = -\frac{c}{eB}$$
(2.16)

where

$$R_{i} \equiv (1 + v_{in}^{2} / \Omega_{i}^{2})^{-1}$$
(2.17)

We now define the perpendicular current

$$\underline{J}_{\perp} \equiv \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha} \underline{v}_{\alpha \perp}$$
(2.18)

1

Substituting Equations (2.13) through (2.15) and (2.6) into Equation (2.18), and using the quasi-neutrality approximation

$$n_{i} \approx n_{\rho} \equiv n \tag{2.19}$$

we obtain

$$\underline{J}_{\perp} = \frac{\tilde{n}_{1}}{\Omega_{1}} R_{1} \frac{nc}{|B|} \frac{F}{-1.1}$$

$$+ \frac{nc}{B} (R_{1} \frac{F}{-1.1} + \frac{F}{-e.1}) \times \hat{\underline{z}} \qquad (2.20)$$

For the barium cloud problem we shall treat here, we shall only consider neutral winds, electric fields, gravity, and pressure gradients as external forces. Hence

$$\underline{F}_{i\perp} = e \, \underline{E}_{\perp} + m_i \, \underline{g}_{\perp} + v_{in} \, \underline{m}_i \, \underline{U}_{n\perp} - \nabla P_i / n \qquad (2.21)$$

$$\frac{F}{e_{\perp}} = -e \frac{E}{e_{\perp}} + m_e \frac{g}{e_{\perp}} - \nabla P_e/n \qquad (2.22)$$

Note that we have neglected the small term $\nu_{en} \ m_e \ \underline{U}_{n \perp}$ in Equation (2.22). We obtain

$$\underline{J}_{\perp} = \frac{v_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i} \frac{nc}{|B|} (e \underline{E}_{\perp} + m_{i} \underline{g}_{\perp} + v_{in} m_{i} \underline{U}_{n\perp} - \nabla P_{i}/n)$$

$$+ R_{i} \frac{nc}{B} [e\underline{E}_{\perp}(1 - R_{i}^{-1}) + (m_{i} + \frac{m_{e}}{R_{i}}) \underline{g}_{\perp} + v_{in} m_{i} \underline{U}_{n\perp}$$

$$- \nabla P_{i}/n - \nabla P_{e} R_{i}^{-1}/n] \times \hat{\underline{z}}$$
(2.23)

Since 0.01 < R_i < 1.0 we may neglect m_e/R_i with respect to m_i . Defining the Pedersen conductivity

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{p}} \equiv R_{\mathbf{i}} \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{n}}}{\Omega_{\mathbf{i}}} \frac{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{e}}{|\mathbf{B}|}$$
(2.24)

and noting that $1 - R_1^{-1} = -v_{1n}^2/\Omega_1^2$ we obtain

$$\underline{J}_{\perp} = \sigma_{p} \left[\underline{E}_{\perp} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_{i}}{\mathbf{e}} \underline{g}_{\perp} + \nu_{in} \frac{\mathbf{m}_{i}}{\mathbf{e}} \underline{U}_{n\perp} - \frac{\nabla P_{i}}{n\mathbf{e}} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|} \left(-\frac{\nu_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} \underline{E}_{\perp} + \frac{\Omega_{i} \mathbf{m}_{i}}{\nu_{in} \mathbf{e}} \underline{g}_{\perp} + \Omega_{i} \frac{\mathbf{m}_{i}}{\mathbf{e}} \underline{U}_{n\perp} - \frac{\Omega_{i}}{\mathbf{e}} \underline{U}_{n\perp} - \frac{\Omega_{i}}{\nu_{in} \mathbf{m} \mathbf{e}} \left(\nabla P_{i} + R_{i}^{-1} \nabla P_{e} \right) \right) \mathbf{x} \ \hat{z} \right]$$
(2.25)

Our need for an expression for \underline{J}_{\perp} stems from our need for its divergence to evaluate $\nabla \cdot \underline{J}$ (= 0 by quasi-neutrality), as we shall see in the next section.

3. Mathematical Model

(-+

We shall model our physical system using a simplified model as depicted in Figure 2. The magnetic field lines are assumed to be straight, to be aligned along the z axis of our cartesian coordinate system, and to terminate in insulators at $z = \pm \infty$. The plasma of interest is threaded by these magnetic field lines, and is divided into thin planes or "layers" of plasma perpendicular to the magnetic field. Since we have neglected collisions between different plasma species, we may use the device of layers to treat multiple ion species at a single point in space simply by allowing multiple layers to occupy the same plane in space, one for each ion species. In this way a "layer" consists only of a single ion species and its associated electrons.

Our quasi-neutrality assumption demands that

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{J} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} J_{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} J_{y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} J_{z} = 0$$
(3.1)

Integrating Equation (3.1) along z and noting from Figure 2 that J_z vanishes at $z = \pm \infty$ we obtain

$$\int_{\infty}^{+\infty} \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \underline{J}_{\perp} dz = 0$$
(3.2)

where

$$\nabla_{\perp} \equiv \hat{\underline{x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{\underline{y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$
(3.3)

From our model as depicted in Figure 2 we may approximate the integral in Equation (3.2) by a discrete sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla_{\underline{i}} \cdot \frac{J}{-\underline{i}k} \Delta z_{k} = 0$$
(3.4)

where the subscript k refers to the layer number, K is the total number of layers in the system, and Δz_k is the thickness of layer k measured along the magnetic field line. Within a layer, both the ion density n and the ion-neutral collision frequency v_{in} are assumed to be constant along a magnetic field line. This enables us to introduce the three magneticfield-line integrated quantities:

$$N_{k} \equiv n_{k} \Delta z_{k}$$
(3.5)

$$\Sigma_{pk} \equiv \sigma_{pk} \Delta z_{k} = N_{k} \left(\frac{v_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i} \right)_{k} \frac{ce}{|B|}$$
(3.6)

$$\Sigma_{hk} \equiv \left(\frac{\sigma_{in}}{\Omega_{i}}\right)_{k} \Sigma_{pk}$$
(3.7)

By our assumption of equipotential magnetic field lines and electrostatic electric fields

$$\frac{E}{2} k(x,y) = E - \nabla_{\phi} \phi(x,y) \text{ for all } k$$
(3.8)

where $\underline{E}_{0} \equiv \underline{E}_{0x} + \underline{E}_{0y} \cdot \underline{y}$ is a constant, externally imposed electric field. Then Equation (3.4) becomes

$$\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} K & K & K \\ \sum & (\Sigma_{pk}) \nabla_{\perp} \phi \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} H_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \frac{J^{ext}}{J!k}$$
(3.9)

where

$$H_{k} \equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\Sigma_{hk} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\Sigma_{hk} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{hk}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{hk}}{\partial y}$$
(3.10)

$$J_{-1k}^{ext} \equiv \Sigma_{pk} \left[\frac{m_{i}}{e} \underline{g}_{j} + \nu_{in} \frac{m_{i}}{e} \underline{U}_{nl} - \frac{\nabla P_{i}}{ne} + \underline{E}_{o} + \frac{B}{|B|} \left(\frac{\Omega_{i}}{\nu_{in}} \underline{g}_{l} + \Omega_{i} \frac{m_{i}}{e} \underline{U}_{l} - \frac{\Omega_{i}}{ne} \frac{\nu_{in}}{\nu_{in}} \left(\nabla P_{i} + R_{i}^{-1} \nabla P_{e} \right) - \frac{\nu_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} \underline{E}_{o} \right] \times \hat{\underline{z}}_{k}$$

$$(3.11)$$

and the subscript k denoting layer number on terms within parenthesis operates on all terms within those parentheses.

The system of equations we must solve consists of (3.9)-(3.11) and an equation of continuity for each layer:

$$\frac{\partial N_k}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (N_k \underline{v}_{ik}) = 0 \qquad k=1, \dots, K \qquad (3.12)$$

where \underline{v}_i is given by Eq. (2.6)-(2.12).

4. Simplified Two-Level Model

We make the following further simplifications in our model:

a) We find that for ionospheric barium clouds the currents parallel to <u>B</u> are carried primarily by electrons, and the motion of ions parallel to <u>B</u> consists primarily of a slow diffusion plus a bulk falling of the cloud to lower altitudes (since $\underline{g} \cdot \underline{B} \neq 0$). It is therefore sufficient to represent the ions as an array of two-dimensional planes or layers of plasma perpendicular to <u>B</u>, each moving with the bulk "falling" velocity along <u>B</u>, and hence to treat numerically only the transport of ions perpendicular to <u>B</u> within each layer.

b) We limit our discussion to a model consisting of only two layers or species of ions.

c) We assume that the ion neutral collision frequency $\nu_{\mbox{in}}$ is constant within a layer.

d) We assume that the only forces acting on the plasma are an external electric field \underline{E}_{0} and pressure gradients. With the above assumptions we have

$$f_{ik}^{ext} = \Sigma_{pk} \left[\left(\underline{E}_{o} - \frac{\nabla P_{i}}{ne} \right)_{k} + \frac{B}{|B|} \left[-\frac{\nu_{in}\underline{E}_{o}}{\Omega_{i}} - \frac{\Omega_{i}}{ne} \frac{\nabla P_{i}}{\nu_{in}} \left(\nabla P_{i} + R_{i}^{-1} \nabla P_{e} \right) \right]_{k} \times \hat{\underline{z}} \right]$$
(4.1)

If we assume B is along the positive z axis then B/|B| = 1 and we get

$$\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \underline{J}_{\perp k}^{\text{ext}} = \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\Sigma_{pk} \left(\underline{E}_{o} - \frac{\nabla P_{i}}{ne} \right)_{k} \right)$$
$$- \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\Sigma_{p} \frac{\nabla_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} \underline{E}_{o} \times \hat{\underline{z}} \right)_{k} + H_{pk}$$
(4.2)

where

$$H_{pk} = -\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\Sigma_{p} \frac{\Omega_{i}}{ne v_{in}} \left(\nabla P_{i} + R_{i}^{-1} \nabla P_{e}\right) \times \hat{z}\right)_{k}$$
(4.3)

Now

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{p} & \frac{\Omega_{i}}{ne v_{in}} \end{bmatrix}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{i} & \frac{v_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} & \frac{n \cdot c \cdot e}{|B|} & \Delta z & \frac{\Omega_{i}}{v_{i} ne} \end{bmatrix}_{k}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} R_{i} & \frac{c}{|B|} & \Delta z \end{bmatrix}_{k}$$
(4.4)

which is a constant within a layer if v_{in} is constant within a layer (one of our assumptions). Then

$$H_{pk} = -\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\text{constant } \nabla \left(P_{i} + R_{i}^{-1} P_{e} \right) \times \hat{z} \right) = 0$$
 (4.5)

(since $\nabla_{\perp} \cdot (\nabla C \times \hat{z}) = 0$ for any scalar field C).

Our final equations to be solved are then

$$\frac{\partial N_{k}}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(N_{k} \frac{v}{k \perp k}\right) = 0 \quad k=1,2$$
(4.6)

$$\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left[\left(\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2} \right) \nabla_{\perp} \phi \right] + H = \underline{E}_{o} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \left(\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2} \right) \\ - \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\Sigma_{p1} \frac{\nabla P_{i1}}{n_{1}e} + \Sigma_{p2} \frac{\nabla P_{i2}}{n_{2}e} \right)$$

$$(4.7)$$

where

$$H = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[(\Sigma_{h1} + \Sigma_{h2}) (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - E_{oy}) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[(\Sigma_{h1} + \Sigma_{h2}) (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - E_{ox}) \right]$$
(4.8)

Looking at Eq. (4.7) we notice that ϕ may be separated into two parts:

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm E} + \phi_{\rm P} \tag{4.9}$$

$$\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left[(\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}) \nabla_{\perp} \phi_{E} \right] + H = \underline{E}_{o} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} (\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2})$$
(4.10)

$$\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left[(\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}) \nabla_{\perp} \phi_{p} \right] = - \nabla_{\perp} (\Sigma_{p1} \frac{\nabla P_{11}}{n_1 e} + \Sigma_{p2} \frac{\nabla P_{12}}{n_2 e})$$
(4.11)

For reasons which shall become clear as we progress, we shall regard ϕ_E as that part of the potential field which tends to drive the cloud toward bifurcation. In fact, Eq. (4.10) coupled with (4.6) just form the basic inviscid two-level equations [Scannapieco et al., 1976]. We shall regard ϕ_P as that part of the potential field which tends to diffuse or antidiffuse the edges of the cloud. First let us look at solutions to (4.11). If ∇P_{11} and ∇P_{12} vanish at our boundaries, the unique solution consistent with zero Neumann boundary conditions (vanishing of the normal derivative of ϕ_P at the boundary) gives:

$$- (\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2})\nabla_{\perp}\phi_{p} = \Sigma_{p1} \frac{\nabla_{p1}^{P}}{n_{1}e} + \Sigma_{p2} \frac{\nabla_{p12}^{P}}{n_{2}e}$$
(4.12)

In general, the motion resulting from the forces proportional to $\nabla \phi_P$, ∇P_{11} and ∇P_{12} will be quite complex, not describable as the simple

superposition of a shear and a diffusion. However, in the special case of one of the layers being uniform, e.g., N_2 and T_{12} (T_1 = ion temperature) hence Σ_{p2} and P_{12} uniform, then

$$\nabla \phi_{\rm P} = \frac{-\Sigma_{\rm P1}}{\Sigma_{\rm P1} + \Sigma_{\rm P2}} \frac{\nabla P_{\rm 11}}{n_{\rm 1}^{\rm e}}$$
(4.13)

We shall now treat this special case. One may conveniently think of level 1 as representing the barium cloud and level 2 the uniform background ionosphere.

We now use the equation of state

$$P_i = n T_i$$

where T_i is in energy units (T_i is the product of Boltzmann's constant and the temperature in degrees Kelvin), and assume thermal conductivities high enough such that T_i is constant and hence

$$\nabla \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \nabla \mathbf{n} \tag{4.14}$$

Then

$$\nabla \phi_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{-\Sigma_{\mathbf{p}1}}{\Sigma_{\mathbf{p}1} + \Sigma_{\mathbf{p}2}} \frac{\mathsf{T}_{\mathbf{i}1} \nabla \mathsf{n}_{1}}{\mathsf{n}_{1} \mathsf{e}}$$

The velocity in level 1 resulting from the combined action of $\nabla \mathtt{P}_i$ and \mathtt{b}_p is

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{i1P} = \left[\frac{\nabla_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} \mathbf{R}_{i} \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{B}|} \left(-\mathbf{e}\nabla\phi_{p} - \mathbf{T}_{i} \frac{\nabla\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{n}}\right)\right]_{1} + \left[\mathbf{R}_{i} \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{B}} \left(-\mathbf{e}\nabla\phi_{p} - \mathbf{T}_{i} \frac{\nabla\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{n}}\right)\right]_{1} \mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$
(4.15)

Now

l

いいいシシショード、

いたいたい 日本 かいいかいい いい かかた たたた たたい かっかい ひんしん しんしん しょうしん たたま かんしん しんしん

$$(-e\nabla\phi_{p} - T_{1}\frac{\nabla n}{n})_{1}$$

= $T_{11}\frac{\nabla n_{1}}{n_{1}}(\frac{\Sigma_{p1}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} - 1)$
= $-T_{11}\frac{\nabla n_{1}}{n_{1}}(\frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}})$ (4.16)

Thus

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{i1P} = \frac{-\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \left[\left(\frac{\nu_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i} \frac{c}{e|B|} T_{i} \frac{\nabla N}{N} \right)_{1} + \left(R_{i} \frac{c}{eB} T_{i} \frac{\nabla N}{N} \right)_{1} \mathbf{x} \hat{\underline{z}} \right]$$

$$(4.17)$$

Note we have used $\frac{\nabla n}{n} = \frac{\nabla N}{N}$. It is convenient to divide \underline{v}_{11P} into two parts:

$$\underline{v}_{11P} = \underline{v}_{D1} + \underline{v}_{S1}$$

with the subscripts i and P suppressed but understood.

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{D1} = -\frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \left(\frac{v_{in}}{\alpha_{i}} \mathbf{R}_{i} \frac{c}{e|B|} \mathbf{T}_{i} \frac{\nabla N}{N} \right)_{1}$$
(4.18)

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{S1} = -\frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \left(\mathbf{R}_{i} \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{eB}} \mathbf{T}_{i} \frac{\nabla \mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}} \right)_{1} \mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$
(4.19)

That $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{S1}$ represents shear flow at plasma gradients can be seen by noting that the velocity is always perpendicular to VN, and is largest where $\frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \frac{\nabla N}{N}$ is a maximum, decaying in magnitude away from the region. The quantity $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_S$ is of interest because it is a shear flow and hence may be stabilizing in certain cases (see <u>Perkins and Doles</u> [1975], <u>Huba et al.</u> [1982]). We do not consider the effects of $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_S$ further in this paper except to note that for the special case of a uniform layer 2 being considered here, $\nabla \cdot \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{S1} = 0$ and $\nabla N_1 \cdot \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{S1} = 0$ which together imply $\nabla \cdot (N_1 \mathbf{v}_{S1}) = 0$, which is to say that $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{S1}$ has <u>no</u> effect on the time evolution of N_1 . Since this breaks the feedback loop, it is difficult to see how a shear stabilization mechanism could be active in this case.

A consideration of \underline{v}_D is really the heart of this paper. The quantity \underline{v}_D is the source for ion diffusion in level 1. More importantly, it is the source for the diffusion of the <u>total</u> integrated Pedersen and Hall conductivities if level 2 is sufficiently incompressible (i.e., if v_{in}/Ω_i in level 2 is sufficiently small), as we will show. Let us look at the effect of \underline{v}_D on the ion continuity equation for level 1:

$$\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left(N_{1} \frac{\nabla}{D_{1}}\right)$$
$$= +\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{\sum_{p1}^{2} p_{2}}{\sum_{p1}^{2} + \sum_{p2}^{2}} \left(\frac{\nabla_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i} \frac{c}{e|B|} T_{i} \nabla N\right)_{1}\right]$$
(4.20)

This is just a diffusion equation for N_1 with diffusion coefficient

$$D_{o} = \frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \left(\frac{\nu_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i} \frac{c}{e | \Sigma | T_{i}} T_{i} \right)_{1}$$
(4.21)

(It should be noted that this diffusion coefficient is similar to that derived by <u>Goldman et al.</u>, 1976, and <u>Vickrey and Kelley</u>, 1982.)

For a barium cloud (level 1) at 180 km altitude we take $T_i \sim 1000^{\circ}$ K, $\frac{v_{in}}{\Omega_i} \sim 0.06$, B = 0.5 g, and get

$$D_{o} = \frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} (100 \text{ m}^{2}/\text{sec})$$
(4.22)

In the less dense regions of the barium cloud where Σ_{p1} is small compared to Σ_{p2} , the ion diffusion coefficient is quite large indeed. By contrast ambipolar diffusion rates induced by electron-ion and electron-neutral collisions yield diffusion coefficients on the order of $1 \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$. Thus our neglect of these collision terms seems justified. Unfortunately, N_1 is not the relevant quantity if one is interested in the effect of \underline{v}_D on barium cloud dynamics. A look at Eq. (4.10) will convince the reader that if one wants to affect the time evolution of ϕ_E , the quantity which determines the bifurcation process, it is necessary to change the quantities $\Sigma_p \equiv \Sigma_{p1} +$ Σ_{p2} and $\Sigma_h \equiv \Sigma_{h1} + \Sigma_{h2}$, the <u>total</u> field line integrated Pedersen and Hall conductivities. Again we assume an initially uniform distribution of Σ_{p2} and N_2 . We can only show the effects of \underline{v}_D in the first instant of time here. Once images form in level 2, the problem yields only to numerical techniques. We need the velocity \underline{v}_{D2} in level 2.

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{D2} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} \mathbf{R}_{i}\right)_{2} \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{B}|} \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{p1}}{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{p1} + \mathbf{\Sigma}_{p2}} \left(\mathbf{T}_{i1} \frac{\mathbf{N}_{1}}{\mathbf{N}_{1}}\right)$$
(4.23)

As a check, let us see the effect on $(N_1 + N_2)$

$$\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left(N_2 \underline{v}_{D2}\right)$$
$$= -\nabla \cdot \left(\Sigma_{p2} e^{-2} \frac{\Sigma_{p1}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \left(T_{11} \frac{\nabla N_1}{N_1}\right)\right) \qquad (4.24)$$

$$\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{1} (N_{1} \underline{v}_{D1})$$

$$= + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \Sigma_{p1} e^{-2} T_{11} \frac{\nabla N_{1}}{N_{1}} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial t}$$
(4.25)

So we see that

$$\frac{\partial (N_1 + N_2)}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{4.26}$$

which when combined with quasi-neutrality, is simply a statement that electrons can't diffuse. This is consistent with our neglect of electronion and electron-neutral collisions.

Now let us look at the effect of \underline{v}_D on $\Sigma_p = \Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}$. A similar analysis can be performed for Σ_h with similar results. However the bifurcation effects of Σ_h are small compared to those of Σ_p , and we shall not consider them here.

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{p1}}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{v_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i}\right)_{1} \frac{ce}{|B|} \frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left(\underline{v}_{D1}\Sigma_{p1}\right)$$
(4.27)

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{p2}}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\nabla_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i}\right)_{2} \frac{ce}{|B|} \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left(\underline{v}_{D2}\Sigma_{p2}\right)$$
(4.28)

but

$$\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} = -\frac{|B|}{ce} \left(\frac{v_{in}}{\Omega_i} R_i \right)_1^{-1} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{p2}}{\partial t}$$
(4.29)

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{p1}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\left(\frac{\partial \ln R_{i}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i}\right)_{1}}{\left(\frac{\nu \ln R_{i}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i}\right)_{2}} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{p2}}{\partial t}$$
(4.30)

$$\frac{\partial (\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \Sigma_{p1}}{\partial t} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\nu_{in}}{\Omega_i} R_i \right)_2 / \left(\frac{\nu_{in}}{\Omega_i} R_i \right)_1 \right]$$
(4.31)

Now

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{p1}}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\underline{v}_{D1}\Sigma_{p1}\right)$$
(4.32)

We note that in Eq. (4.18) we may set $\nabla N_1 / N_1 = \nabla \Sigma_{p1} / \Sigma_{p1}$ so that

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{p1}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} \left(\frac{\nabla_{in}}{\Omega_{i}} R_{i} \frac{c}{e|B|} T_{i} \right)_{1} \nabla \Sigma_{p1} \right]$$
(4.33)

Now since

$$\nabla \Sigma_{p2} = 0 \tag{4.34}$$

we have

$$\nabla(\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}) = \nabla \Sigma_{p1} \tag{4.35}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial (\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2})}{\partial \tau} = \nabla_{1} \cdot \left[(1 - (\nu_{in}R_{i}/\Omega_{i})_{2}/(\nu_{in}R_{i}/\Omega_{i})_{1}) (\frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}}) \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{\nu_{in}}{\Omega_{i}}R_{i}\frac{c}{eB}T_{i}\right)_{1} \nabla (\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}) \right]$$
(4.36)

so we see that for Σ_p we again have a diffusion equation, but this time our nominal 100 m²/sec diffusion coefficient is not only multiplied by $\Sigma_{p2}/(\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2})$, reducing its effectiveness in the dense portions of the cloud, but it is further multiplied by the factor F where

$$F = 1 - \frac{(v_{in}R_{i}/\Omega_{i})_{2}}{(v_{in}R_{i}/\Omega_{i})_{1}}$$
(4.37)

Note that if $v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i$ is the same for both layers, the diffusion is reduced to zero. Furthermore, if $(v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i)_2 > (v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i)_1$ (a compressible background plasma, e.g., an E region) the diffusion coefficient is <u>negative</u>. That is, gradients in Σ_p will actually be steepened, rather than smoothed. For this reason we conclude that unless some rather fast recombination chemistry is taking place in the E region, the presence of a background E region is destabilizing, in accord with the findings of Francis and Perkins [1975].

The opposite extreme of $(v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i)_2 \ll (v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i)$ (background F region ionosphere) is more interesting since it closely approximates the conditions of most barium releases. It also yields the full nominal 100 m²/sec diffusion coefficient, since F ≈ 1.0, giving us some hope of

stopping the bifurcation process at scale sizes of hundreds of meters. Note that in this case the diffusion rate for Σ_n is the same as that for N₁. Also note that the case of $(v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i)_2$ small is the case where the Pedersen mobility and hence compressibility of the background is extremely low, meaning that only minimal images will be formed. It is a good approximation to take Σ_{p2} to be uniform for all time. One might well ask how this is possible given that the electrons can't diffuse, and that the barium ions are being allowed to diffuse in level 1. The answer is that there are (small) images: the electrons lost to a field line in level l are "soaked up" on the same field line in level 2. But the number of electrons N2 in level 2 is extremely large. (N2 must be large in order to contribute a significant Pedersen conductivity and yet have small $v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i$ (see Eq. 2.24)). Thus the electrons that are soaked up in level 2 induce only an extremely small percentage change in Σ_{p2} .

5. Diffusion Characteristics for a Simplified Two-level Model with a Nearly Incompressible Background Layer

In the last section we demonstrated that when the background ionosphere (layer 2) is nearly incompressible $((v_{in}R_i/\Omega_i)_2$ is small) then the primary effect of a finite barium ion temperature is to introduce into the continuity equation for both N_1 and for Σ_p a diffusion term with diffusion coefficient D_0 given by Eq. (4.21). For parameters appropriate to a barium cloud at 180 km altitude we get Eq. (4.22):

$$D_{o} = \frac{\Sigma_{p2}}{\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}} (100 \text{ m}^{2}/\text{sec})$$
(4.22)

Since level 2 is nearly incompressible, we may regard Σ_{p2} as a constant. 25

Since it is proportional to N₁, the integrated barium cloud density, Σ_{p1} is larger in the center of the cloud and decays toward zero at the cloud edges. This means that the diffusion coefficient approaches 100 m²/sec near the cloud edges, but may be considerably less than that value near the cloud center if $\Sigma_{p1} >> \Sigma_{p2}$.

Previous attempts to explain the persistence of scale sizes of hundreds of meters for long periods of time after barium releases have inevitably encountered the following problems: The diffusion 1) coefficients required to stop the bifurcation of plasma clouds several hundred meters in diameter were higher than one could explain theoretically; 2) assuming the existence of such large (ordinary) diffusion coefficients, their effect would not only be to stop the bifurcation of the cloud, but also to diffuse the cloud away entirely in several minutes. The form of the diffusion coefficient given by Eq. (4.22) would seem to offer us some hope of overcoming both of these problems. Its success depends on the accuracy of the following qualitative picture of barium cloud bifurcation: We postulate a barium cloud such that $\Sigma_{p1} \gtrsim 5 \Sigma_{p2}$ so that the diffusion well inside the cloud is considerably reduced over that at its edges. We further postulate that bifurcation is a process which, in the absence of diffusion, starts at the edges of barium clouds and works its way inward. Our diffusion then satisfies the needed criteria perfectly: 1) It is very effective at the edges of the cloud, stopping bifurcation before it starts; 2) it is very ineffective at the central core of the cloud, giving it a long lifetime. Note that if this picture is correct then the barium clouds commonly known as large M clouds where

 $\mathbf{26}$

$$M = \frac{\sum_{p1}^{p1} + \sum_{p2}^{p2}}{\sum_{p2}}$$
(5.1)

will have <u>extremely</u> long lifetimes. In fact for $M \gtrsim 100$, the decay of the core is probably determined by ambipolar diffusion rates. The accuracy of this picture is best determined by numerical simulation techniques, to which we turn in the next section.

6. Numerical Simulations Using a Simplified Two-level Model with a Nearly Incompressible Background Layer

In this section we attempt to answer numerically the question posed in the last section: if we consider a barium cloud whose background conductivity is due primarily to high F region plasma (nearly incompressible plasma), is the diffusion coefficient given by Eq. (4.22)sufficient to stop the bifurcation of that cloud at a diameter of several In order to isolate the effects of this diffusion hundred meters? coefficient, we will make some further simplifications in our two-level model: 1) We assume that N_2 and hence Σ_{n2} remain uniform during all times of interest. This is completely consistent with our assumption of a nearly incompressible background plasma, as discussed at the end of Section 4; 2) We neglect the shear component \underline{v}_{S} of the pressure-induced ion velocity as defined in Eq. (4.19). Again this is consistent with our assumption of a nearly incompressible background plasma which in turn implies uniform Σ_{n2} , as shown in the discussion following Eq. (4.19); 3) We neglect the "Hall term" H in Eq. (4.4) and hence in Eq. (4.10). This is a good approximation as long as $v_{in}/\Omega_i < 0.1$; 4) We neglect Pedersen convection. That is, for all terms except those causing ion diffusion, we approximate the ion velocity with the electron velocity (see Eq. (6.4)

below). We know from our previous study [Zalesak et. al,, 1983] that the Pedersen mobility of the ions in response to $(\underline{E}_{o} - \nabla \phi_{\underline{E}})$ can have an effect on the "freezing" phenomenom, and it is our desire to isolate the effects of the pressure-induced diffusion. Hence we neglect ion Pedersen mobility except in the diffusion term.

The final equations to be solved numerically are then

$$\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\perp} \cdot (N_1 \underline{v}_1) = \nabla_{\perp} \cdot (D \nabla N_1)$$
(6.1)

$$\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad (6.2)$$

$$\nabla_{\perp}((\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2}) \nabla_{\perp} \phi_{E}) = \underline{E}_{0} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}(\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2})$$
(6.3)

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{1} = \frac{c}{B^{2}} \left(\underline{\mathbf{E}}_{0} - \nabla \phi_{\mathbf{E}}\right) \times \underline{\mathbf{B}}$$
(6.4)

$$D = \frac{\sum_{p1}^{p2} D_{p1}}{\sum_{p1}^{p1} + \sum_{p2}^{p2} D_{10}}$$
(6.5)

$$\Sigma_{pk} \equiv N_{k} \left(\frac{\nu_{in} / \Omega_{i}}{1 + (\nu_{in} / \Omega_{i})^{2}} \right)_{k} \frac{ce}{|B|}$$
(6.6)

The initial conditions for the simulations were as follows

$$\Sigma_{p2} = 1.0$$
 (6.7)

$$\Sigma_{p1} = 4.0 \exp(-r^4/r^4)$$
 (6.8)

 $r^{2} = (x - x_{o})^{2} + (y - y_{o})^{2}$ (6.9)

$$r_0 = (250 \text{ m}) (1 + 0.001 \sin 80)$$
 (6.10)

$$\Theta = \arctan\left((y-y_0)/(x-x_0)\right)$$
(6.11)

$$B = 0.5 \text{ gauss}$$
 (6.12)

$$cE_{A} = 100 \text{ m/sec}$$
 (6.13)

where x_0 and y_0 are the coordinates of the cloud center and the $\underline{E}_0 \times \underline{B}$ velocity ($c\underline{E}_0/B$) is in the negative y direction.

The above equations are solved numerically on a finite difference grid in an x-y cartesian geometry perpendicular to the magnetic field, which is assumed to be aligned along the z-axis. The 83 x 60 grid is stretched in all four directions, with the central 56 x 32 portion of the grid, which is centered on the steepening backside of the cloud, having a grid spacing of 10 m in both directions. The grid stretching allows the boundaries to be placed 4 km away from the edges of the central uniform mesh in all The potential equation (6.3) is solved using a vectorized directions. incomplete Cholesky conjugate gradient algorithm due to Hain (1980), which is an extension of the algorithm of Kershaw (1978). The continuity equation (6.1)is integrated forward ĺn time using the fully multidimensional flux-corrected transport algorithms of Zalesak [1979].

In Figure 3 we show isodensity contours of Σ_{p1} for the initial conditions for all of the calculations we shall show. We have performed three calculations, varying the value of D_{10} from zero up to the physically realistic value of 100 m²/sec. The purpose of this sequence is to show first that the diffusion does have an effect on the evolution of the cloud,

and second to show that this effect is much larger than that due to any numerical diffusion which may be present in the calculations. In Figure 4 we show the results for $D_{io} = 0$. Note that even at the very early time of By 24 seconds, the main 14 seconds, bifurcation has already started. portion of the cloud has completely sheared in two. In Figure 5, we show the results for $D_{io} = 25 \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$, one fourth of the physically realistic The development of the cloud has slowed considerably, showing that value. even at one fourth its physically realistic value, the physical diffusion is dominating the effects of whatever numerical diffusion may be present. At 14 seconds the cloud has not clearly begun to bifurcate. At 25 seconds, bifurcation has occurred, but is qualitatively and quantitatively different from that which took place when $D_{io} = 0$. In Figure 6 we show the evolution of the cloud with the full, physically realistic value for Dio of 100 m^2 /sec. Bifurcation has now been halted. Rather, the cloud evolves into a streamlined "bullet" or "tadpole" shape in time, and then undergoes a slow diffusive decay. Even at the latest time calculated, 75 sec, there is no hint whatsoever of imminent bifurcation. Noting that for this cloud $M = (\Sigma_{p1} + \Sigma_{p2})/\Sigma_{p2}$ is 5 in the center of the cloud, which yields an effective diffusion coefficient of 20 m^2 /sec there. This is still enough to result in substantial decay of the central core on time scales of minutes. Clouds with larger values of M in their centers would of course

30

be longer-lived.

7. Conclusions and Future Work

The primary conclusion of this paper can be stated simply: Under realistic ionospheric conditions (where the plasma cloud couples to an incompressible background i.e., in F region), barium striations hundreds of meters in diameter can be long-lived, quasi-stable, non-bifurcating structures. These structures may resemble "tadpoles", with a dense head, steep density gradients at the front, and a long, less dense tail. If what one means by the term "freezing" is the above phenomenon, then we have shown that freezing does indeed exist.

The next obvious step is to test some of the opproximations we have made in our preliminary numerical simulation model. Pedersen convection should be put back into the model, as well as the "Hall terms" in the potential equation. Finite images should be accounted for. Also we would like to test the combined effect of the presence of both a relatively incompressible F region background and a compressible E region on the dynamics of the cloud. Recombination chemistry must be included in this E region if it is to be modeled accurately (see <u>Vickery and Kelley</u>, 1982].

Another of our approximations which should be studied in detail is that the barium cloud and background ionosphere are being driven by an externally imposed electric field, or equivalently, by a neutral wind which doesn't vary in altitude. Were we to address an altitude-dependent neutral wind as a driver, some of our simple results would become less so. The most important factor would be that one could no longer argue that the bifurcation of the cloud depended only on Σ_{p1} and Σ_{p2} , since the potential equation (4.10) would then contain terms which depended explicitly on N₁ and N₂. Although this would not change the results for the incompressible background ionosphere considered here, it would appear to allow for the

31

possibility of this end-shorting-induced diffusion being an effective bifurcation inhibiting mechanism even when the background ionosphere is compressible. We shall consider this case in our future work in this area.

It is also clear that we must address the validity of the perfect mapping of the electrostatic potential along <u>B</u>. Given that we are now dealing with structures only a few hundred meters in diameter, with gradient scale lengths of a few tens of meters, it seems likely that we will have to deal with the question of how far the polarization field produced by the barium cloud maps along the magnetic field (<u>Goldman et. al</u>, 1976, <u>Glassman and Sperling</u>, 1983). One possible effect might be that the effective value of M would be increased, since the barium cloud could no longer "see" background plasma that was far from the cloud position along <u>B</u>.

Acknowledgments

This research was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency.



t=0 t>0 -----

Figure 1. Sketch of the time evolution of a typical barium cloud in a plane perpendicular to the magnetic field, subject to an upward directed neutral wind or equivalently to a rightward directed external electric field. Lines demarking the cloud denote plasma density contours, with the highest plasma density in the center of cloud.



Figure 2. Model of plasma and magnetic field geometry used in this paper. Field lines terminate on insulators at $z = \pm \infty$. Plasma is divided into "layers" along z for mathematical and numerical treatment. Each layer consists of a single ion species and its associated electrons. Multiple collocated ion species are treated by having multiple collocated "layers".



Figure 3. Isodensity contours, with areas between alternate contours shown cross-hatched, for the barium cloud used for the three numerical simulations shown in this paper. The center of the cloud is located at the point (0,0). The boundaries in x are located at \pm 4.9 km. The boundaries in y are located at -4.0 km and \pm 5.3 km respectively. The cross-hatching is done at every other grid line, so that one rectangle of cross hatching represents a 2 x 2 array of computational cells. Contours for this and all other plots in this paper are drawn at values for z_{p1} of 0.31, 0.71, 1.24, 1.92, and 2.82 (logarithmic spacing for total Pedersen conductivity).







Figure 5. Time evolution of the cloud depicted in Figure 3 for the case $D_{10} = 25 \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$. Shown are isodensity contours at a) 14 sec, b) 24 sec. Contour values are as given in Figure 3.



Figure 6. Time evolution of the cloud depicted in Figure 3 for the case $D_{io} = 100 \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ (the physically realistic case). Shown are isodensity contours at a) 14 sec, b) 25 sec, c) 39 sec, d) 50 sec, e) 64 sec, f) 75 sec.



Same and the second of the found

Figure 6, cont'd. Time evolution of the cloud depicted in Figure 3 for the case $D_{io} = 100 \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ (the physically realistic case). Shown are isodensity contours at a) 14 sec, b) 25 sec, c) 39 sec, d) 50 sec, e) 64 sec, f) 75 sec.



Figure 6, cont⁻d. Time evolution of the cloud depicted in Figure 3 for the case $D_{io} = 100 \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ (the physically realistic case). Shown are isodensity contours at a) 14 sec, b) 25 sec, c) 39 sec, d) 50 sec, e) 64 sec, f) 75 sec.

References

Fedder, J.A., Conductivity formulas for the disturbed ionosphere, <u>Memo</u> <u>Report 4329</u>, Naval Res. Lab., Washington, D.C., Sept., 1980. ADA089 374 Francis, S.H., and F.W. Perkins, Determination of striation scale sizes for

plasma clouds in the ionosphere, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>80</u>, 3111, 1975.

Glassman, A.J. and J.L. Sperling, Electromagnetic theory of collisional interchange instabilities, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 10091,1983.

- Goldman, S.R., L. Baker, S.L. Ossakow, and A.J. Scannapieco, Striation formation associated with barium clouds in an inhomogeneous ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 81, 5097, 1976.
- Hain, K., A non-recursive incomplete Cholesky decomposition method for the solution of linear equations with a sparse matrix, <u>Memo Report 4264</u>, Naval Res. Lab., Washington, D.C., June 1980. ADA087005
- Huba, J.D., S.L. Ossakow, P. Satyanarayana, and P.N. Guzdar, Linear theory of the <u>E x B</u> instability with an inhomegeneous electric field, <u>J.</u> Geophys. Res., 88, 425, 1983.
- Kershaw, D.S., The incomplete Cholesky-conjugate gradient method for the iterative solution of systems of linear equations, J. Comput. Phys. 26, 43, 1978.
- McDonald, B.E., Ossakow, S.L., Zalesak, S.T., and Zubusky, N.J., "Scale sizes and lifetimes of F region plasma cloud striations as determined by the condition of marginal stability," <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>86</u>, 5775, 1981.

Ossakow, S.L., Ionospheric irregularities, <u>Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.</u>, <u>17</u>, 521, 1979.

- Ossakow, S.L., S.T. Zalesak, and M.J. Keskinen, A plausible hypothesis for striation freezing in ionospheric plasma clouds, <u>Memo Report 4597</u>, Naval Res. Lab., Washington, D.C., Aug. 1981.
- Ossakow, S.L., M.J. Keskinen and S.T. Zalesak, Ionospheric irregularity physics modelling, AIAA Pap. 82-0147, 1982.
- Perkins, F.W., and J.H. Doles III, Velocity shear and the <u>E x B</u> instability, J. Geophys Res., 80, 211, 1975.
- Scannapieco, A.J., S.L. Ossakow, S.R. Goldman, and J.M. Pierre, Plasma cloud late time striation spectra, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>81</u>, 6037, 1976.
- Shiau, J.N., and A. Simon, Barium cloud growth and striation in a conducting background, J. Geophys. Res., 79, 1895, 1974.
- Vickrey, J.F., and M.C. Kelley, The effects of a conducting E layer on classical F region cross-field plasma diffusion, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>87</u> 4461, 1982.
- Zalesak, S.T., Fully multidimensional flux-corrected transport algorithms for fluids, J. Comp. Phys., 31, 335, 1979.
- Zalesak, S.T., J.A. Fedder, and S.L. Ossakow, Analysis and numerical simulation of the effect of ion Pedersen mobility on ionospheric barium clouds, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>88</u>, 8003, 1983.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE COMM, CMD, CONT 7 INTELL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301 DIRECTOR COMMAND CONTROL TECHNICAL CENTER PENTAGON RM BE 685 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301 OICY ATTN C-650 01CY ATTN C-312 R. MASON DIRECTOR DEFENSE ADVANCED RSCH PROJ AGENCY ARCHITECT BUILDING 1400 WILSON BLVD. ARLINGTON, VA. 22209 01CY ATTN NUCLEAR MONITORING RESEARCH OICY ATTN STRATEGIC TECH OFFICE DEFENSE COMMUNICATION ENGINEER CENTER 1860 WIEHLE AVENUE RESTON, VA. 22090 OICY ATTN CODE R410 01CY ATTN CODE R812 DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22314 02CY DIRECTOR DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20305 OICY ATTN STVL 04CY ATTN TITL 01CY ATTN DDST 03CY ATTN RAAE

COMMANDER F*:LD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY KIRTLAND, AFB, NM 87115 OICY ATTN FCPR DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY SAO/DNA

BUILDING 20676 KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115 OICY D.C. THORNBURG

DIRECTOR INTERSERVICE NUCLEAR WEAPONS SCHOOL KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115 OICY ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301 01CY ATTN J-3 WWMCCS EVALUATION OFFICE DIRECTOR JOINT STRAT TGT PLANNING STAFF OFFUTT AFB OMAHA, NB 68113 01CY ATTN JLTW-2 OICY ATTN JPST G. GOETZ CHIEF LIVERMORE DIVISION FLD COMMAND DNA DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY P.O. BOX 808 LIVERMORE, CA 94550 OICY ATTN FCPRL COMMANDANT NATO SCHOOL (SHAPE) APO NEW YORK 09172 OICY ATTN U.S. DOCUMENTS OFFICER UNDER SECY OF DEF FOR RSCH & ENGRG DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301 OICY ATTN STRATEGIC & SPACE SYSTEMS (OS) WWMCCS SYSTEM ENGINEERING ORG WASHINGTON, D.C. 20305 OICY ATTN R. CRAWFORD COMMANDER/DIRECTOR ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES LABORATORY U.S. ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM 88002 OICY ATTN DELAS-EO F. NILES

DIRECTOR BMD ADVANCED TECH CTR HUNTSVILLE OFFICE P.O. BOX 1500 HUNTSVILLE, AL 35807 OICY ATTN ATC-T MELVIN T. CAPPS OICY ATTN ATC-O W. DAVIES OICY ATTN ATC-R DON RUSS PROGRAM MANAGER BMD PROGRAM OFFICE 5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333 OICY ATTN DACS-BMT J. SHEA CHIEF C-E- SERVICES DIVISION U.S. ARMY COMMUNICATIONS CMD PENTAGON RM 18269 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310 OICY ATTN C- E-SERVICES DIVISION COMMANDER FRADCOM TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY FORT MONMOUTH, N.J. 07703 OICY ATTN DRSEL-NL-RD H. BENNET OICY ATTN DRSEL-PL-ENV H. BOMKE OICY ATTN J.E. QUIGLEY COMMANDER U.S. ARMY COMM-ELEC ENGRG INSTAL AGY FT. HUACHUCA, AZ 85613 OICY ATTN CCC-EMEO GEORGE LANE COMMANDER U.S. ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE & TECH CTR 220 7TH STREET, NE CHARLOTTES VILLE, VA 22901 OICY ATTN DRXST-SD COMMANDER U.S. ARMY MATERIAL DEV & READINESS CMD 5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333 OICY ATTN DRCLDC J.A. BENDER COMMANDER U.S. ARMY NUCLEAR AND CHEMICAL AGENCY 7500 BACKLICK ROAD BLDG 2073 SPRINGFIELD, VA 22150 OICY ATTN LIBRARY

U.S. ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005 OICY ATTN TECH LIBRARY EDWARD BAICY COMMANDER U.S. ARMY SATCOM AGENCY FT. MONMOUTH, NJ 07703 OICY ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL COMMANDER U.S. ARMY MISSILE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35809 OICY ATTN JIM GAMBLE DIRECTOR U.S. ARMY TRADOC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTIVITY WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM 88002 OICY ATTN ATAA-SA OICY ATTN TCC/F. PAYAN JR. OICY ATTN ATTA-TAC LTC J. HESSE COMMANDER NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C. 20360 OICY ATTN NAVALEX 034 T. HUGHES OICY ATTN PME 117 OICY ATTN PME 117-T OICY ATTN CODE 5011 COMMANDING OFFICER NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CTR 4301 SUITLAND ROAD, BLDG. 5 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20390 OICY ATTN MR. DUBBIN STIC 12 01CY ATTN NISC-50 OICY ATTN CODE 5404 J. GALET COMMANDER NAVAL OCCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER SAN DIEGO, CA 92152 OICY ATTN J. FERGUSON

DIRECTOR

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375 ATTN CODE 4700S. L. OssakowDEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE26 CYS IF UNCLASS. 1 CY IF CLASS)ENT AFB, CO 80912 OICY ATTN CODE 4700 S. L. Ossakow 01CY ATTN CODE 4701 I Vitkovitsky 01CY ATTN CODE 4780 J. Huba (100 CYS IF UNCLASS, 1 CY IF CLASS) OICY ATTN CODE 7500 OICY ATTN CODE 7550 OICY ATTN CODE 7580 OICY ATTN CODE 7551 OICY ATTN CODE 7555 01CY ATTN CODE 4730 E. MCLEAN OICY ATTN CODE 4108 OICY ATTN CODE 4730 B. RIPIN 20CY ATTN CODE 2628 COMMANDER NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C. 20362 OICY ATTN CAPT R. PITKIN COMMANDER NAVAL SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM DAHLGREN, VA 22448 OICY ATTN CAPT J.H. BURTON OFFICER-IN-CHARGE NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MD 20910 OICY ATTN CODE F31 DIRECTOR STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROJECT OFFICE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20376 OICY ATTN NSP-2141 O1CY ATTN NSSP-2722 FRED WIMBERLY COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER DAHLGREN LABORATORY DAHLGREN, VA 22448 OICY ATTN CODE DF-14 R. BUTLER OFFICER OF NAVAL RESEARCH ARLINGTON, VA 22217 OICY ATTN CODE 465 OICY ATTN CODE 461 OICY ATTN CODE 402 OICY ATTN CODE 402 OICY ATTN CODE 420

OICY ATTN CODE 421

COMMANDER AEROSPACE DEFENSE COMMAND/DC OICY ATTN DC MR. LONG COMMANDER AEROSPACE DEFENSE COMMAND/XPD DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE ENT AFB, CO 80912 OICY ATTN XPDQQ 01CY ATTN XP AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731 OICY ATTN OPR HAROLD GARDNER OICY ATTN LKB KENNETH S.W. CHAMPION OICY ATTN OPR ALVA T. STAIR OICY ATTN PHD JURGEN BUCHAU OICY ATTN PHD JOHN P. MULLEN AF WEAPONS LABORATORY KIRTLAND AFT, NM 87117 OICY ATTN SUL OICY ATTN CA ARTHUR H. GUENTHER OICY ATTN NTYCE 1LT. G. KRAJEI AFTAC PATRICK AFB, FL 32925 OICY ATTN TF/MAJ WILEY OICY ATTN TN AIR FORCE AVIONICS LABORATORY WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433 OICY ATTN AAD WADE HUNT OICY ATTN AAD ALLEN JOHNSON DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, & ACQ DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20330 OICY ATTN AFRDQ HEADQUARTERS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731 O1CY ATTN J. DEAS HEADQUARTERS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION/YSEA DEPARTMENT OF THE AJR FORCE HANSCOM AFB, MA 01732 OICY ATTN YSEA

HEADOUARTERS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION/DC DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731 OICY ATTN DCKC MAJ J.C. CLARK COMMANDER FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433 OICY ATTN NICD LIBRARY OICY ATTN ETDP B. BALLARD COMMANDER ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, AFSC GRIFFISS AFB, NY 13441 01CY ATTN DOC LIBRARY/TSLD OICY ATTN OCSE V. COYNE SAMSO/SZ POST OFFICE BOX 92960 WORLDWAY POSTAL CENTER LOS ANGELES, CA 90009 (SPACE DEFENSE SYSTEMS) OICY ATTN SZJ STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/XPFS OFFUTT AFB, NB 68113 OICY ATTN ADWATE MAJ BRUCE BAUER OICY ATTN NRT OICY ATTN DOK CHIEF SCIENTIST SAMSO/SK P.O. BOX 92960 WORLDWAY POSTAL CENTER LOS ANGELES, CA 90009 OICY ATTN SKA (SPACE COMM SYSTEMS) M. CLAVIN SAMSO/MN NORTON AFB, CA 92409 (MINUTEMAN) OICY ATTN MNNL COMMANDER ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, AFSC HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731 OICY ATTN EEP A. LORENTZEN DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY LIBRARY ROOM G-042 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR A. LABOWITZ

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS OFFICE P.O. BOX 5400 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87115 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR D. SHERWOOD EG&G, INC. LOS ALAMOS DIVISION P.O. BOX 809 LOS ALAMOS, NM 85544 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. BREEDLOVE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY P.O. BOX 808 LIVERMORE, CA 94550 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR TECH INFO DEPT OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR L-389 R. OTT OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR L-31 R. HAGER OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR L-46 F. SEWARD LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY P.O. BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS, NM 87545 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. WOLCOTT OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR R.F. TASCHEK OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR E. JONES OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. MALIK OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR R. JEFFRIES OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. ZINN OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR P. KEATON OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR D. WESTERVELT OICY ATTN D. SAPPENFIELD SANDIA LABORATORIES P.O. BOX 5800 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87115 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR W. BROWN OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR A. THORNBROUGH OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR T. WRIGHT OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR D. DAHLGREN OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR 3141 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR SPACE PROJECT DIV SANDIA LABORATORIES LIVERMORE LABORATORY P.O. BOX 969 LIVERMORE, CA 94550 OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR B. MURPHEY OICY ATTN DOC CON FOR T. COOK OFFICE OF MILITARY APPLICATION DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545 OICY ATTN DOC CON DR. YO SONG

ಶತ್ಯಾತಗತ್ರಾಣ ತ್ಯಾಲ್ಯಾಲ್ಸ್ ಸ್ಪನ್ ಸ್ಮಾನ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಾನ್ ಸ್ಪನ್ ಸ್ಪನ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಸ್

OTHER GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20234 OICY (ALL CORRES: ATTN SEC OFFICER FOR)

INSTITUTE FOR TELECOM SCIENCES NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFO ADMIN BOULDER, CO 80303 OICY ATTN A. JEAN (UNCLASS ONLY) OICY ATTN W. UTLAUT OICY ATTN D. CROMBIE OICY ATTN L. BERRY

NATIONAL OCEANIC & ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BOULDER, CO 80302 01CY ATTN R. GRUBB 01CY ATTN AERONOMY LAB G. REID

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

AEROSPACE CORPORATION P.O. BOX 92957 LOS ANGELES, CA 90009 OICY ATTN I. GARFUNKEL OICY ATTN T. SALMI OICY ATTN V. JOSEPHSON OICY ATTN S. BOWER OICY ATTN D. OLSEN

ANALYTICAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CORP 5 OLD CONCORD ROAD BURLINGTON, MA 01803 01CY ATTN RADIO SCIENCES

AUSTIN RESEARCH ASSOC., INC. 1901 RUTLAND DRIVE AUSTIN, TX 78758 OICY ATTN L. SLOAN OICY ATTN R. THOMPSON

BERKELEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC. P.O. BOX 983 BERKELEY, CA 94701 OICY ATTN J. WORKMAN OICY ATTN C. PRETTIE OICY ATTN S. BRECHT

BOEING COMPANY, THE P.O. BOX 3707 SEATTLE, WA 98124 OICY ATTN G. KEISTER 01CY ATTN D. MURRAY 01CY ATTN G. HALL 01CY ATTN J. KENNEY CHARLES STARK DRAPER LABORATORY, INC. 555 TECHNOLOGY SQUARE CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139 OICY ATTN D.B. COX OICY ATTN J.P. GILMORE COMSAT LABORATORIES LINTHICUM ROAD CLARKSBURG, MD 20734 OLCY ATTN G. HYDE CORNELL UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING ITHACA, NY 14850 OICY ATTN D.T. FARLEY, JR. ELECTROSPACE SYSTEMS, INC. BOX 1359 RICHARDSON, TX 75080 OICY ATTN H. LOGSTON OICY ATTN SECURITY (PAUL PHILLIPS) EOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 606 Wilshire Blvd. Santa Monica, Calif 90401 OICY ATTN C.B. GABBARD ESL, INC. 495 JAVA DRIVE SUNNY VALE, CA 94086 OICY ATTN J. ROBERTS OICY ATTN JAMES MARSHALL GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY SPACE DIVISION VALLEY FORGE SPACE CENTER GODDARD BLVD KING OF PRUSSIA P.O. BOX 8555 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19101 OICY ATTN M.H. BORTNER SPACE SCI LAB GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY P.O. BOX 1122 SYRACUSE, NY 13201 OICY ATTN F. REIBERT

GENERAL ELECTRIC TECH SERVICES CO., INC. HMES COURT STREET SYRACUSE, NY 13201 OICY ATTN G. MILLMAN GEOPHYSICAL INSTITUTE UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA FAIRBANKS, AK 99701 (ALL CLASS ATTN: SECURITY OFFICER) OICY ATTN T.N. DAVIS (UNCLASS ONLY) OICY ATTN TECHNICAL LIBRARY OICY ATTN NEAL BROWN (UNCLASS ONLY) GTE SYLVANIA, INC. ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS GRP-EASTERN DIV 77 A STREET NEEDHAM, MA 02194 OICY ATTN DICK STEINHOF HSS, INC. 2 ALFRED CIRCLE BEDFORD, MA 01730 OICY ATTN DONALD HANSEN ILLINOIS, UNIVERSITY OF 107 COBLE HALL 150 DAVENPORT HOUSE CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820 (ALL CORRES ATTN DAN MCCLELLAND) OICY ATTN K. YEH INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES 1801 NO. BEAUREGARD STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22311 OICY ATTN J.M. AEIN OICY ATTN ERNEST BAUER OICY ATTN HANS WOLFARD OICY ATTN JOEL BENGSTON INTL TEL & TELEGRAPH CORPORATION 500 WASHINGTON AVENUE NUTLEY, NJ 07110 OICY ATTN TECHNICAL LIBRARY JAYCOR 11011 TORREYANA ROAD P.O. BOX 85154 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138 OICY ATTN J.L. SPERLING

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY JOHNS HOPKINS ROAD LAUREL, MD 20810 OICY ATTN DOCUMENT LIBRARIAN OICY ATTN THOMAS POTEMRA OICY ATTN JOHN DASSOULAS KAMAN SCIENCES CORP P.O. BOX 7463 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80933 OICY ATTN T. MEAGHER KAMAN TEMPO-CENTER FOR ADVANCED STUDIES 816 STATE STREET (P.O DRAWER QQ) SANTA BARBARA, CA 93102 OICY ATTN DASIAC OICY ATTN WARREN S. KNAPP 01CY ATTN WILLIAM MCNAMARA OICY ATTN B. GAMBILL LINKABIT CORP 10453 ROSELLE SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 OICY ATTN IRWIN JACOBS LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE CO., INC P.O. BOX 504 SUNNY VALE, CA 94088 01CY ATTN DEPT 60-12 01CY ATTN D.R. CHURCHILL LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE CO., INC. 3251 HANOVER STREET PALO ALTO, CA 94304 OICY ATTN MARTIN WALT DEPT 52-12 OICY ATTN W.L. IMHOF DEPT 52-12 OICY ATTN RICHARD G. JOHNSON DEPT 52-12 OICY ATTN J.B. CLADIS DEPT 52-12 MARTIN MARIETTA CORP ORLANDO DIVISION P.O. BOX 5837 ORLANDO, FL 32805 OICY ATTN R. HEFFNER M.I.T. LINCOLN LABORATORY P.O. BOX 73 LEXINGTON, MA 02173 OICY ATTN DAVID M. TOWLE OICY ATTN L. LOUGHLIN OICY ATTN D. CLARK

MCDONNEL DOUGLAS CORPORATION 5301 BOLSA AVENUE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92647 OICY ATTN N. HARRIS OICY ATTN J. MOULE 01CY ATTN GEORGE MROZ OICY ATTN W. OLSON OICY ATTN R.W. HALPRIN OICY ATTN TECHNICAL LIBRARY SERVICES MISSION RESEARCH CORPORATION 735 STATE STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101 OlCY ATTN P. FISCHER OlCY ATTN W.F. CREVIER OLCY ATTN STEVEN L. GUTSCHE OICY ATTN R. BOGUSCH OICY ATTN R. HENDRICK OICY ATTN RALPH KILB OICY ATTN DAVE SOWLE OICY ATTN F. FAJEN 01CY ATTN M. SCHEIBE OICY ATTN CONRAD L. LONGMIRE OICY ATTN B. WHITE MISSION RESEARCH CORP. 1720 RANDOLPH ROAD, S.E. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87106 DICY R. STELLINGWERF 01CY M. ALME 01CY L. WRIGHT MITRE CORPORATION, THE P.O. BOX 208 BEDFORD, MA 01730 01CY ATTN JOHN MORGANSTERN 01CY ATTN G. HARDING OICY ATTN C.E. CALLAHAN MITRE CORP WESTGATE RESEARCH PARK 1820 DOLLY MADISON BLVD MCLEAN, VA 22101 OICY ATTN W. HALL OICY ATTN W. FOSTER PACIFIC-SIERRA RESEARCH CORP 12340 SANTA MONICA BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 OICY ATTN E.C. FIELD, JR.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY IONOSPHERE RESEARCH LAB 318 ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING EAST UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802 (NO CLASS TO THIS ADDRESS) OICY ATTN IONOSPHERIC RESEARCH LAB PHOTOMETRICS. INC. 4 ARROW DRIVE WOBURN, MA 01801 OICY ATTN IRVING L. KOFSKY PHYSICAL DYNAMICS, INC. P.O. BOX 3027 BELLEVUE, WA 98009 OICY ATTN E.J. FREMOUW PHYSICAL DYNAMICS, INC. P.O. BOX 10367 OAKLAND, CA 94610 ATTN A. THOMSON R & D ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 9695 MARINA DEL REY, CA 90291 OICY ATTN FORREST GILMORE OICY ATTN WILLIAM B. WRIGHT, JR. OICY ATTN ROBERT F. LELEVIER OICY ATTN WILLIAM J. KARZAS OICY ATTN H. ORY OICY ATTN C. MACDONALD OICY ATTN R. TURCO OICY ATTN L. DeRAND OICY ATTN W. TSAI RAND CORPORATION, THE 1700 MAIN STREET SANTA MONICA, CA 90406 OICY ATTN CULLEN CRAIN OICY ATTN ED BEDROZIAN RAYTHEON CO. 528 BOSTON POST ROAD SUDBURY, MA 01776 OICY ATTN BARBARA ADAMS RIVERSIDE RESEARCH INSTITUTE 330 WEST 42nd STREET NEW YORK, NY 10036 01CY ATTN VINCE TRAPANI

J.

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS, INC. 1150 PROSPECT PLAZA LA JOLLA, CA 92037 01CY ATTN LEWIS M. LINSON OICY ATTN DANIEL A. HAMLIN OICY ATTN E. FRIEMAN OICY ATTN E.A. STRAKER OICY ATTN CURTIS A. SMITH OICY ATTN JACK MCDOUGALL SCIENCE APPLICATIONS, INC 1710 GOODRIDGE DR. MCLEAN, VA 22102 ATTN: J. COCKAYNE SRI INTERNATIONAL 333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE MENLO PARK, CA 94025 OICY ATTN DONALD NEILSON 01CY ATTN ALAN BURNS 01CY ATTN G. SMITH 01CY ATTN R. TSUNODA 01CY ATTN DAVID A. JOHNSON OICY ATTN WALTER G. CHESNUT OICY ATTN CHARLES L. RINO 01CY ATTN WALTER JAYE 01CY ATTN J. VICKREY OICY ATTN RAY L. LEADABRAND OICY ATTN G. CARPENTER OICY ATTN G. PRICE OICY ATTN R. LIVINGSTON OICY ATTN V. GONZALES OICY ATTN D. MCDANIEL

TECHNOLOGY INTERNATIONAL CORP 75 WIGGINS AVENUE BEDFORD, MA 01730 01CY ATTN W.P. BOQUIST

TOYON RESEARCH CO. P.O. Box 6890 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93111 OICY ATTN JOHN ISE, JR. OICY ATTN JOEL GARBARINO

TRW DEFENSE & SPACE SYS GROUP ONE SPACE PARK REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278 OICY ATTN R. K. PLEBUCH OICY ATTN S. ALTSCHULER OICY ATTN D. DEE OICY ATTN D/ STOCKWELL SNTF/1575 VISIDYNE SOUTH BEDFORD STREET

.

SOUTH BEDFORD STREET BURLINGTON, MASS 01803 OICY ATTN W. REIDY OICY ATTN J. CARPENTER OICY ATTN C. HUMPHREY



37

DTIC