



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

•

	TATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION N	D. 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
3		
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)	CHERCIANTS CTUTATIV	5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
OF VERY THIN EPITAXIALLY	GROWN AG FILMS ON	Technical Report
Ge(001)	-	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(*) M.J. Burns (Dept	. of Physics, UCLA),	9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
J.R. Lince and R.S. Will UCLA) and P.M. Chaikin Univ. of Penn.)	iams (Dept. of Chemist Dept. of Physics,	Y N00014-83-K-0612
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN Department of Chemistry & UCLA	D ADDRESS Biochemistry	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Los Angeles, CA 90024		
Chemistry Program Office	DRESS	
Office of Naval Research		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
ATLINGTON, VA 2221/ 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRE	SS(il different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
1		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
	<u></u>	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Re	port)	
		JUL 3 0 1984
To be published in <u>Solid</u>	State Communications.	A
To be published in <u>Solid</u> 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If Superconductivity - Elec	State Communications.	er) nolaver Films -
To be published in <u>Solid</u> 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if Superconductivity ~ Elec Silver on Germanium	State Communications.	nolayer Films -
To be published in <u>Solid</u> 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If Superconductivity - Elec Silver on Germanium 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde If Transport properties of grown on clean Ge(001) s monolayer coverage plus the conductivity is domi ture and electric and may weak localization in two rapidly in a manner rese resistance is restored b	State Communications. Inscessory and identify by block number tron Localization - Mon very thin (2.5 monolay ubstrates are reported isolated three-dimension nated by the metal fillognetic field dependence o dimensions. Below 22 mbling an incomplete so w application of a mac	"" nolayer Films - "" er) films of Ag epitaxially 1. The films consist of a onal islands. Below ~70°K, m and displays the tempera- tes characteristic of metalli !"K, the resistance drops superconducting transition. To metic field of ~20 KGauss @
To be published in <u>Solid</u> 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If Superconductivity - Elec Silver on Germanium 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde If Transport properties of grown on clean Ge (001) s monolayer coverage plus the conductivity is domi ture and electric and may weak localization in two rapidly in a manner rese resistance is restored f	State Communications. Inscessory and identify by block number tron Localization - Mon very thin (2.5 monolay ubstrates are reported isolated three-dimensi nated by the metal fill gnetic field dependence o dimensions. Below -22 mbling an incomplete s w application of a mac \$5 is OBSOLETE	"" nolayer Films - "" er) films of Ag epitaxially a. The films consist of a conal islands. Below ~70°K, m and displays the tempera- tes characteristic of metalli "K, the resistance drops superconducting transition. The metic field of ~20 KGauss @ UNCLASSIFIED
To be published in <u>Solid</u> 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If Superconductivity - Elec Silver on Germanium 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde If Transport properties of grown on clean Ge(001) s monolayer coverage plus the conductivity is domi ture and electric and may weak localization in two rapidly in a manner rese resistance is restored for S/N 0102-LF-014	State Communications. Inscessory and identify by block number tron Localization - Mon recessory and identify by block number very thin (2.5 monolay ubstrates are reported isolated three-dimensi nated by the metal fill gnetic field dependence o dimensions. Below 52 mbling an incomplete so y application of a mac st is obsolette 6601 SECURITY C	er) nolayer Films - "" "er) films of Ag epitaxially a. The films consist of a conal islands. Below ~70°K, m and displays the tempera- es characteristic of metalli 2°K, the resistance drops superconducting transition. The metic field of ~20 KGauss @ UNCLASSIFIED
To be published in <u>Solid</u> ^{19.} KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if Superconductivity - Elec Silver on Germanium ^{20.} ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if Transport properties of grown on clean Ge(001) s monolayer coverage plus the conductivity is domi ture and electric and may weak localization in two rapidly in a manner rese resistance is restored f DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV S/N 0102-LF-014	State Communications. Inscessory and identify by block number tron Localization - Mon recessory and identify by block number very thin (2.5 monolay ubstrates are reported isolated three-dimensi nated by the metal fill gnetic field dependence o dimensions. Below \$2 mbling an incomplete s v application of a mac \$5 is obsolvere 6601 SECURITY C	er) nolayer Films - "" rer) films of Ag epitaxially t. The films consist of a conal islands. Below ~70°K, m and displays the tempera- tes characteristic of metalli PK, the resistance drops superconducting transition. The metic field of ~20 KGauss @ UNCLASSIFIED LASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dere Ba

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Research Contract N00014-83-K-0612

TECHNICAL REFORT No. 3

ELECTRON LOCAL IZATION AND SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

OF VERY THIN EPITAXIALLY GROWN AG FILMS ON Ge(001)

M.J. Burns Department of Physics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90024

and

J.R. Lince and R.S. Williams Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90024

and

P.M. Chaikin Department of Physics University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 08801	L portention Por 1 1718 - CONT 1 17 - Cor 1	Z
Prepared for Publication	`	
in (
Solid State Communications		
July, 1984	A 1	

Reproduction in whole or part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

ELECTRON LOCALIZATION AND SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN VERY THIN EPITAXIALLY GROWN As FILMS ON G. (001)

N.J. Burns Department of Physics University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

and

J.R. Lince and R.S. Williams Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

and

P. M. Chaikin Dept. of Physics and Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter University of Pennsylvania, Phila. PA 19104 and Exron Research and Engineering Co., Annandale, NJ 08801

> Received May 2, 1984 in revised form, June 15, 1984, by E. Burstein

Transport properties of very thin (2.5 monolayer) films of Ag epitaxially grown on clean Ge (001) substrates are reported. The films consist of a monolayer coverage plus isolated three dimensional islands. Below $\sim 70^{\circ}$ K the conductivity is dominated by the metal film and displays the temperature and electric and magnetic field dependences characteristic of metallic weak localization in two dimensions. Below $\sim 2^{\circ}$ K the resistance drops rapidly in a manner resembling an incomplete superconducting transition. The resistance is restored by application of a magnetic field of ~ 20 KGauss at 0.6° K.

Research Supported by NSF DMR 83-18060 (MJB and PMC) and ONR (JRL and RSW)

In recent years there has been a reneved interest in the problem of two dimensional electronic systems with electron localization receiving a great deal of emphasis¹⁻²³. Single parameter scaling theories predict that all electron states are localized in two dimensions even when the conductivity is greater than the minimum metallic conductivity $[R^{-1}_{24}=(-30E0/square)^{-1}]$ predicted by Thenless¹.

In this communication we would like to describe a new metal film system which is ultra thin (down to approximately 2 Angstroms), crystalline and displays weak electron localization and be havior suggesting superconductivity at low temperatures. Some work on epitaxial thin metal films on semiconductors has been reported, but is somewhat complicated by composed formation 18, 19.

AN ALTON CONTRACT STATEMENT

A WAY A

2.12

the state of the

-

The samples are prepared by opitazial growth of the notal film on a semiconductor surface. Single crystals of germanium (Ge) the surface of which was oriented to within 0.5° of a (001) plane, were alumina polished and chemomechanically polished. The crystal was mounted in an ultra high vacuum chamber (base pressure (10^{-10} Torr) . The surface was further eleaned in vacuo by several sycles of argon bombardment and annealing at -\$25°K. The surface was structurally characterized using low energy electron diffraction (LEED) and Auger electron spectroscopy (AIS). Silver (Ag) was then deposited using a pyrolitic graphite Enudson offusion coll. The background pressure in the chamber was -2x10-9 Torr during deposition. The As film grew opitazially at a rate of about 2 Augstrons per minute. Deposition rate and Ag film thickness were measured using a quartz crystal microbalance (calibrated by using Rutherford backscattering (RBS)). LEED and ARS were performed on the Ag film during growth. Details of the proparation and characterization of these films is more completely described in reference 24. LEED studies show that the Ag films grow by memolayor severage followed by three dimensional island formation as deposition continued. Reference 25 on Ag on Ge (111) suggests strong chemical interaction and intermixing of the first several layers. However, other studies before²⁰ and since^{24,27,28} reference 25 indicate that there is no interfacial alloying or interdiffusion of the Ag and Ge at room temperatures.

The samples were removed from the ultra high vacuum system and stored in air until they could be analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) or RBS. The samples were placed in a conventional evaporation system (base pressure 10^{-6} torr) and 3000 Angtrom Ag contacts were electron beam deposited onto the samples.

Electronic transport measurements were performed in a scaled, temperature controlled copper sample holder placed in an exchange gas can and submerged in liquid helium. Copper wires were indiam soldered to the silver pads and heat sunk to the copper sample holder.

The monolayer film is certainly discontinuous, resting on plateaus on the Ge surface with step heights corresponding to $1/4^{th}$ the lattice spacing of Ge. These plateaus are presumably bridged by the excess 3-Dim Ag islands, resulting in the observed conductivity dominated by the monolayers. The resistance of the sample at 10° K is ~7.7 kilo-ohms.

In figure 1 we have plotted the fractional change (relative to 10 Kelvin) in the 2-probe resistance of this sample as a function of the logarithm of the temperature. The exact geometry being probed is unknown due to the discontinueties discussed above. One can see however, that the sample resistance displays a logarithmically increasing resistance with decreasing temperature.

Figure 2 shows the fractional change in the dynamic resistance of this sample at various temperatures. At low fields the resistance behavior is consistant with a quadratic electric field dependence. At higher fields a logarithmic dependence appears which becomes more pronounced at lower temperatures. The insert shows the

change in the fractional resistivity with applied magnetic field. The magnetoresistance is isotropic indicating that orbital offects are negligable. This isotropic behavior is similar to that found in Pd films whose resisitivites are above a few Kohms per square¹⁹, 20, 22, 23

The provious figures show that these ultrathin crystalline films of As opitazially grown on (001) Ge substrates exhibit electronic transport consistant with two dimensional behavior and display weak electron localization above approximately 2 degrees Kelvin. In figure 3 we show the resistivity of the film in figures 1-2 down to 0.65°E. The sample resistivity is increasing logarithmically with decreasing temperature until the temperature drops to about 1.6°E. Below this temperature the resistivity decreases rapidly. At 0.65°E its value is 55% of the resistivity at 2°E. A magnetic field suppresses this sudden decrease in resistance.

Reating the sample to ~600°K for ~10 min. under vacuum permanently destroys all signs of 2-d electronic behavior and superconductivity, consistant with the surface studies which indicate the monolayer should be unstable against island formation at that temperature²⁴.

In figure 4 we have plotted the perpendicular magnetic field behavior of the resistance of a similar sample whose resistance has been reduced (see insert) at 0.55°K to 15% of its 2°K value. Above ~1.4°K, the resistance increases immediately with the application of a magnetic field and them levels off at a value only slightly above the zero field resistance. At low temperature the magnetic field dependence is more dramatic. The resistance is flat for small fields, then increases abruptly ad levels off at a resistance mach higher than the zero field value.

Critical current Beasurements indicate a current density of 10⁵-10⁶ angs/en² assuming the film is continuous and of uniform thickness. We were concerned that exposure to air could damage the monolayer films. After these experiments were performed and repeated (over a period of approximately a month) the samples were reexamined by AES. This analysis showed that although the 3000 Angstrom Ag contact pads were tarnished (sulfur contamination), the only foreign substance on the 'monolayer' film was carbon - presumably from gump oil. The scaling theory³ predicts a

The scaling theory³ predicts a logarithmically increasing resistivity wit? decreasing temperature. The prefactor of the logarithm depends on the exponent of the temperature dependence of the inelastic scattering time,

$$\frac{\Delta \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{T})}{\mathbf{R}} = - [\mathbf{R} e^2 \mathbf{p} / (2\pi^2 \mathbf{h})] \ln(\mathbf{T}/\mathbf{T}_0)$$
(1)

where the total inelastic scattering time τ_i goes as $\tau_i = T^{-p}$. In between inelastic electron-

In between inelastic electronphonon scatterings the electron can absorb energy from the applied electric field and undergo Joule (I²R) heating. This results in a quadratic electric field dependence for low fields changing over at large fields to a logarithmic dependence. The prefactor depends on the power of the temperature dependence of both the total inelastic scattering time and the electron-phonon scattering time⁵ ($\tau_{ep} = T^{-p}$):

$\frac{AR(E)}{R} = -[Re^2/(2\pi^2h)] \{2p/(2+p')\} ln(E/E_0).$ (2)

An interacting electron picture^{4,6,7} for a disordered system also suggests a logarithmic temperature dependence to the resistivity similar to equation (1) with p=1. Calculations in reference 13 indicate that aside from electron heating effects there is no electric field dependence to the resistivity in the interaction picture. From our data we cannot determine the exponent p for the temperature dependence since the geometry is undefined as discussed above. Taking a value of p as 1 for example, we would find that the resistance of 7700 ohms is equivalent

and the second secon

to a measurement of -5.5 squares in series. As one can see from equations (1) and (2), the ratio of the logarithmic slopes of the temperature and electric field dependences can be used to calculate the temperature dependence of the electrom-phonon scattering time. Using the logarithmic electric field dependence of the sample resistance at 3°K one finds p' of equation (2) to give p'=2.6, which compares with P'=1.8 to 4.5 found for Pd films²²,²³ and p'=1.3 reported for Pt films²¹.

Both scaling theory and the interacting electron picture predict magnetoresistance effects in classically small fields ($w_c \tau << 1$) which are several orders of magnitude larger than the 'usual' positive magnetoresistance seen in metals. Electron orbital effects^{6,8,15}, Zeeman splitting^{14,17}, spin-orbit coupling^{8,13,14,16}, and impurity spin scattering can all make contributions to the magnetoresistance in both pictures. Zeeman splitting makes a contribution which while isotropic, manifests itself only in the interaction picture. There is a contribution from the Zeeman effect in the scaling theory only when spin-orbit coupling is included¹⁴. Spin-orbit coupling has a significant effect in both interaction and scaling pictures.

Considering that Ag and Pd are situated side by side in the periodic table this similarity in their magnetoresistive behavior is not suprising. The spin-orbit interactions comple as Z^4 , where Z is the nuclear charge (Z_{Ag} =47, Z_{Pd} =46, so $\Delta Z^4/Z^4$ (10%).

The most striking feature of this work is the rapid decrease of the resistance below 2° E which we tentatively associate with an incomplete superconducting transition, as might be found in an inhomogeneous sample with a variety of T_c 's. The magnetic field dependence shown is figure 4 is consistent with this interpretation if the 'critical field' for the highest T_c portions of the film is taken as indicative of Pauli limiting. A critical field of -25KGauss is expected at low temperatures for a T_c of 1.6°K. The 'critial field' is roughly isotropic, again suggesting a spin pairbreaking.

While it is not clear what is responsible for the superconductivity, it should be noted that there are no known stable AgGe compounds. AggGe is metastable and superconducting at $0.85^{\circ}K^{29}$ and there are reports of quench condensed AgGe alloys made at 4.2°K which are superconducting at up to 1.6° K^{30,31}. All of the surface characterization done on these samples indicates only weak interactions (on a chemical binding scale) between the monolayer Ag and the substrate, with no compound formation²⁴ and a sharp interface. Additional surface studies on this growth of Ag on Ge also suggest the absence of intermixing, chemical shifts or compound formation^{27,28}. However, the resolution of the different surface probes cannot detect regions of the surface which comprise only several percent, and it is always possible, even if unlikely, that a small interconnected part of the surface may contain compounds that we cannot detect but which short out the rest of the surface as they go superconducting. Our critical current measurements would tend to argue against this.

If in fact the monolayer is superconducting, while bulk Ag is known not to be, there can be several explanations. Since the observed transition temperature is low, there is so need to invoke any mechanism other than the usual electron-phonon interaction and BCS superconductivity. A monolayer of Ag has a vastly different bandstructure and is interacting with quite different phonons than the bulk material. If further investigations point to another mechanism, it should be remembered that the monolayer metal film on a Ge substrate is an ideal system for studying the excitonic mechanism as proposed in reference 32, especially since references 27 and 28 indicate that there is negligible band bending

at the Ge-Ag interface.

in the second second

In conclusion, we have shown that altrathin crystallize films of Ag epitazially grown on Ge (001) substrates exhibit electronic transport consistant with two dimensional behavior. They display weak electron localization, which crosses over to a superconducting regime below 1-2°K. At low temperatures, in the normal state, this system has a resistivity which increases logarithmically with decreasing temperature. At low electric fields the normal state resistivity is consistant with a quadratic field dependence changing over to a logarithmic dependence at high electric fields. There is a small positive isotropic magnetoresistance. Below approximately 2°K the resistivity displays a broad superconducting transition in both its temperature and magnetic field dependence.

REFERENCES

1. D.J. Thouless, Phys Rep 13C, 93 1974) and Phys Rev Latt 29,1167 (1977)

2. D.C. Licciardello and D.J. Thouless,

Phys Rev Lett 35, 1425 (1975) 3. E. Abrahams, P.W. Anderson, D.C. Licciardello and T.V. Remakrishnan, Phys Rev Lett 42. 673 (1979)

4. Patrick A. Lee, Phys Rev Lett 42. 1492 (1979)

5. P. V. Anderson, E. Abrahams and T.V. Romakrishnan, <u>Phys Rev Lett 43</u>, 718 (1979)

6. B.L. Altshuler, D. Khnelmitzkii, A.I. Larkin and P.A. Lee, Phys Rev B22, 5142 (1980)

7. B.L. Altshuler, A.G. Aronov and P.A. Lee, Phys Rev Lett 44, 1288 (1980)

8. S. Bikami, A.I. Larkin and T. Nagaoka, Prog Theor Phys 63, 707 (1980) 9. B.L. Altshuler, A.G. Aronov and D.E. Khmelmitzkii, <u>Sol St Comm 36</u>. 619 (1981)

10. N. Kavek, M.J. Uren, R.A. Davies and M. Pepper, J Phys C14, L413 (1981) 11. R.C. Dynes and J.P. Garno, Phys Rev

Lett 46, 371 (1981) 12. T. Tsuzuki, <u>Physics</u> 107B, 679

(1981)

13. B.L. Altshuler and A.G. Aronov, ZhETF Pis Red 33, 515 (1981) [JETP Lett 22, 499 (1981)]

14. S. Maskawa and H. Fukuyama, <u>J Phys</u>

<u>Soc Jan 50</u>, 2516 (1981) 15. N. Fukuyama, <u>J Phys Soc Jan 50</u>, 3407 (1981)

16. G. Deutscher and H. Fukuyana, <u>Phys</u> Rev B25, 4298 (1982)

17. P.A. Los and T.V. Ramakrishaan,

PATE RET 226, 4009 (1982) 18. R.S. Narkiewicz and L.A. Marris,

Phys Rev Lett 46,1149 (1981)

19. R.S. Markiewicz and C.J. Rollins,

Phys Rev B29, 735 (1984) 20. W.C. HcGinnis, H.J. Burns, R.W. Simon, G. Deutscher and P.H. Chaikin, Physics B+C107. 5 (1981) 21. H. Hoffmann, F. Hoffmann and V.

Schoope, Phys Rev B25, 5563 (1982) 22. W.C. HeGinnis, Thesis June 1983,

Daiversity Microfilms International. Ass Arbor , Nichigan U.S.A.

23. W.C. HeGinnis and P.M. Chaikin (unpublished)

24. J.R. Lince, J.G. Nelson and R.S. Williams, J Vac Sci Technol B1. 553 (1983)

25. G. Rossi, I. Abbati, L. Brairovich, I. Lindan and W.E. Spicer, Phy Rev B25, 3619 (1982)

26. M. Bertucci, G. LeLay, M. Manneville and R. Kern, Surf Sci 85. 471 (1979)

27. T. Miller, E. Rosenwinkel and T.-C. Chiang, Bull Am Phys Soc 28, 280 (1983);

<u>Sol Stat Comp 50</u>, 327 (1984); (To be published in <u>Phys Rev B</u>, July 15, 1984)

28. T.-C. Chiang, Bull Am Phys Soc 29. 460 (1984)

Mark Ca

A Part of the state of the

29. H.L. Luo, M.F. Merriam and D.C. Hamilton, <u>Science</u> 145, 581 (1964)

30. B. Stritzker and H. Wuhl, Z Physik 30. B. Striffker and R. wall, <u>L roysik</u> <u>243</u>, 361 (1971) and <u>Proc 12th Int Conf</u> <u>Low Temp Phys</u>, ed. E. Kanda (KEIGAKU Pub. Co., Tokyo Japan) page 339 31. N.E. Alekseevskii, V.M. Zakosarenko and V.I. Tsebro, <u>ZhETF Pis Red 13</u>, 412

(1971) [JETP Lett 13, 292 (1971)] 32. D. Allender, J. Bray and J. Bardeen, <u>Phys Rev B7</u>, 1020 (1973)

Figure 1

Fractional change in the film resistivity at low temperature. Nicroscopic terraces in the substrate prevent absolute resistivity measurements, but assuming p=1 in equation (1), would give a resistivity of ~1.4KQ/square.

Figure 2

The dynamic resistance is consistant with a quadratic field dependence for low electric fields, changing to a logarithmic dependence at high fields. This sample gives p'=2.6 in equation 2. Insert shows a positive isotropic magnetoresistance, open symbols are for B] film, solid for B || film.

Figure 3

Below ~1.6°K the resistivity of this sample shows a very rapid decrease with decreasing temperature. The insert shows the sample resistivity from 250- $0.6^{\circ}E$, which is well fit by a log(T) resistor in parallel with a semiconductor with a ~0.14eV gap.

Figure 4

The perpendicular magnetoresistance of s sample similar to that in figures 1-3, in fields up to 10 Tesla. Insert shows the temperature dependence of the resistivity below 25°K.







RESISTANCE (ohms x 10³) SAMPLE

