



Let a faire the second of a coloring of the

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

S. Salaria

.....

MERPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE MERPORY COMPLETING FORM IF CONTACLESSION NO. 2 MERPORY COMPLETING FORM COMPLETING FORM AND 20063.1-VIL N/A N/A DAC192-R2-16-168 DAC192-R2-16-168 DAC192-R2-16-168 DAC192-R2-16-168 DAC192-R2-16-168 DAC192-R2-16-168 DAC192-R2-16-168 DAC192-R2	51	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	l l
1 REPORT NUMBER 2 GEVT ACCESSION NO. 1 ALLIPICAT'S CATABOD NUMBER () ARD 20063.1-LIN N/A N/A TP_ Orderings N/A N/A TP_ Orderings 5 TYPE OF HEDDRIA & PERIOD COLL TP_ Orderings 5 TYPE OF HEDDRIA & PERIOD COLL TP_ Orderings 5 TYPE OF HEDDRIA & PERIOD COLL TP_ Orderings 5 TYPE OF HEDDRIA & PERIOD COLL TATHOR(0) 5 TYPE OF HEDDRIA & PERIOD COLL James Lynch, Gillian Micmack, Frank Proschan DAAC29-82-K-GIOS Perronaumo ORGANIZATION VAME AND ADDRESS 10 ARCCHART AND ADDRESS U. S. ATTM, ROSCARCH OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE Woodford Affice Box 12211 15. RECONTING TELENAME AND ADDRESS 13. NUMBERS FRACE (MIT NUMBER) U. SUPPLEMENT AND ADDRESS 14. NUMBER of FAGE Woodford Affice Box 12211 15. RECONTING TELENAME AND ADDRESS 15. U. SUPPLEMENT ATTEMENT (of the Address of the state of contraining office) 14. MONTONING AFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 15. U. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aburet entered in Block 20, if different from Report) MA 14. 15. DECLECTE JUL 2 3 1994 <th>Γ</th> <th>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</th> <th>READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM</th>	Γ	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AND 1326.13-20. AND 2006:1-20. TP2 Orderings N/A N/A TTLE (md Suburn) TP2 Orderings Technical Report Echnical Echnis Echnical Echnical Echnical Echnical Echn	F	I. REPORT NUMBER	D. 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
 TTLE (and Suburlie) TP2 Orderings TP2 Orderings Autnom(a) James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan Autnom(a) Autnom(a) James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan DAA(29-82-K-0188 DAA(29-82-K-0188) Automation of the solute universe in a solution of the solute upon unclassified BELECTES DEFECTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so Destinate of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so DASTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the solute of t		ARO 19367.13-MA N/A	N/A
TP2 Orderings Technical Report * AUTHOR(*) 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0108 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0108 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0108 DAA029-82-R-0168 DAA029-82-R-0108 DAA029-82-R-0108 Pennsylvania State University 19. AND READ ADDRESS U. CANTROLLING OFFICE AAME AND ADDRESS 19. REPORT DATE Worldowno Address U2211 Name Address U2211 Research Trianol-P 2012 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 19. Security CLASS (of this report) NA II. SupPLEMENT NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so lively for ther different diverse widden'id -P differe number	4	I. TITLE (and Subtitio)	5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
AUTHOR(a) AUTHOR(a) James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan DAA(29-82-K-0168		TP Orderinge	Technical Report
Autrion(e) James Lynch, Gillian Minmack, Frank Proschan DAA(29-82-K-0168 DAA(29-82-K-0168 DAA(29-82-K-0168 DAA(29-82-K-0168 DAA(29-82-K-0168 DAA(29-82-K-0007 Pennsylvania State University Data State University Dennsylvania State Stat		¹ ² orderings	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. ADTHOR(s) 18. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(s) James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan DAA(29-82-8-6/168) 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PREVAMILE MODESS Florida State University 10. PREVAMILE MODESS 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE W. S. Army Research Office March 1984 Post Office Box 12211 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Research Trianole Park, NC 22700 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. JUL 2 3 1984 12. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Append) March 1984 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES JUL 2 3 1984 14. NOMICON STATEMENT (of the Append) March 1986 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, poliv, or decision, unless so 13. KEY WORD (Continue diverse and at Meetings and demitively block number) 14. Mode Contact orderese and the meetings and demitively block number) 15. ABSTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the Appendix order, proservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The votestate of the mee			
James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan DAA:29-82-C-0168 DAA:29-82-C-007 Approvel of File Box Davies Second Davies I. REPORT DATE March 1984 I. REPORT DATE I. REPORT	ľ	7. AUTHOR()	B. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(*)
9. PERFORMING ONCANIZATION VAME AND ADDRESS DAAC29-28-4-C0007 9. PHOUSHAULLEMENT PHOLEST 10. PHOUSHAULLEMENT PHOLEST 9. OCTABLELING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE 12. S. ATMY RESEARCH Of: ICE Narch 1984 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (11 different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (11 different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) Inclassified 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy. or decision, unless so decision there directed in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WÖROS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WÖROS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WÖROS (Continue on reverse side If mecessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WÖROS		James Lynch, Gillian Mimmack, Frank Proschan	DAAG29-82-K-0168
 Beronalide Social Alford Name and Address Florida State University and Pennsylvania State University I. Controlling office NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office Post Office Box 12211 Report DATE Post Office Box 12211 I. March 1984 I. Number of Pages I. Security CLASS, for this report Unclassified I. Security CLASS, for this report I. Supplementation of the Abdress (If different from Centrolling Office) I. Security CLASS, for this report I. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA I. Supplementation of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so location of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so location of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so location of the Army position of order, policy not decision, unless so location of the Army position of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. I. ABSTRACT (Center of the mixed of dentify of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to 	L		DAAG29-84-K-0007
and Pennsylvania State University 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. ATMY Research Office Post Office Box 12211 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Post Office Box 12211 14. MONITORING AGENCY MAKE & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADIN 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Atmy position, policy, or decision, unless so dusignated by other documental inc. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse did II necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 28. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse did II measury and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to	ľ	Florida State University	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
 Pennsylvania State University CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office Box 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709 NUMBER of PAGES SECURITY CLASS. (c) this report Unclassified DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) Unclassified DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) NA DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) NA NA DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) NA DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) NA Supplementation, policy, or decision, unless so disciplanted by other documentation, policy, or decision, unless so disciplanted by other documentation. REF WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify block number) MEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify block number) Amstract (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. Amstract (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order for and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the form is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the provision is		and	
 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office Post Office Post 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Attract department of rades every and identify block number; 19. REF WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify block number) 19. REF WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify block number) 10. Inform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the form in the case of the abstract order that persists under conditioning to the case of the astochastic order that persists under conditioning to the case of the		Pennsylvania State University	
 U. S. Army Research Of:ice Post Office Box 12211 <u>Research Triangle Park</u> NC 27709 13. NUMBER of Paces 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Unclassified 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the sectored in Block 20, if different from Report) JUL 2 3 1984 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the sectored in Block 20, if different from Report) NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on review solf M mecovery and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to 	1	1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 11. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 12. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the substract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 13. Supplementation statement (of the substract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 14. Supplementation statement (of the substract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 14. Supplementation statement (of the substract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 15. Supplementation statement (of the substract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 15. Supplementation statement (of the substract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 16. Supplementation statement (of the substract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 16. Supplementation statementation 17. Distribution stochastic order, policy or decision, unless so 18. Supplementation statementation 19. REY WORDS (Continue on reverse stde If necessary and Identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 12. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse stde If necessary and Identify by block number) Uniform stochastic o	ł	U. S. Army Research Office	March 1984 13. NUMBER OF PAGES
 14. MONITORING AGENCY WAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (al this report) Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (at the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (at the ebeirect entered in Block 20, if different from Report) NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so distinct order time. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 10. ABSTRACT (Contains on coverse side if mecessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total positivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are call uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to conditionic conditioning to conditioning to conditioning to conditionic condi		Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	15p
Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 20. ABSTRACT (Containe on reverse side if mecessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total po- tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal- uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to	Г	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
 1st. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so distincted in Block 20, if definition, unless so distincted in the stochastic order, logconcavity, total positivity, conditional stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of the interest of the interest of the interest of the interest. 14. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of the interest of the interest of the interest of the interest. 15. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of the interest of the			Unclassified
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. JUL 2 3 1984 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so 19. KEY WORDS (Confinue on reverse tide If necessary and Identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Confinue on reverse tide If necessary and Identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Confinue on converse tide If necessary and Identify by block number) 19. Key of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the interest of the necessary and Identify by block number)			15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
 NA Is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. Is KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if mecessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if mecessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total positivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are calumiform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order the stochas	T	6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited.
 I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. I. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify sy block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. I. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. I. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are calumiform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order is a stochastic order is a stochastic order is a stochasti	10	6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different f	ited. Tom Report)
The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. ** KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. ** ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to		 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 	ited. Tom Report)
 Itesignated by other documentation. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if mecessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order of the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order that persists under conditioning to the stochastic order to		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	ited. Tom Report)
Uniform stochastic order, logconcavity, total positivity, conditional stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side H recessed y and identify by black number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in those of the author(s) and should not be constructed on the second statement of the Army position, policy, or decomposition 	schedule ited. Tom Report Tom Report T
convolutions, mixtures. 20. ABSTRACT (Courtous on reverse side H mecesseary and identify by black number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in those of the author(s) and should not be construined to the Army position, policy, or decides ignated by other documentation. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessory and identify av block number.	schedule ited. Tom Report Tom Report T
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side H mecessary and identify by block number) Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in those of the author(s) and should not be constru- Department of the Army position, policy, or dec designated by other documentation. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify block number Uniform stochastic order, logconcavity conditional stochastic order, preservation of order 	schedule ited. Tom Report Tom Report T
Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total p tivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are cal uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in those of the author(s) and should not be construined by other documentation. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify block number Uniform stochastic order, logconcavity conditional stochastic order, preservation of or convolutions, mixtures. 	schedule ited. Tom Report Tom Report T
uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in those of the author(s) and should not be construined those of the author(s) and should not be construined those of the Army position, policy, or dec designated by other documentation. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Conditional stochastic order, preservation of ord Convolutions, mixtures. 8. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if mecessary and identify by block number	schedule DTIC ELECTE JUL 2 3 1984 rom Report) Report B T B T B T C C C C C C C C C C C C C
uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different f NA 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained it those of the author(s) and should not be constru Department of the Army position, policy, or dec dusignated by other documentation. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Uniform stochastic order, preservation of or convolutions, mixtures. 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Uniform stochastic orderings of random variabl 	schedule ited. Tom Report Tom Report T
••		 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different f NA 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in those of the author(s) and should not be constru Department of the Army position, policy, or dec designated by other documentation. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Uniform stochastic order, preservation of or convolutions, mixtures. 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number Uniform stochastic order, preservation of or convolutions, mixtures. 	schedule ited. Tom Report Tom Report T

yesses successes assesses approved approved approved approved approved approved approved approved how

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20. ABSTRACT CONTINUED:

 $\mathbf{y}_{1} = \mathbf{y}_{1} + \mathbf{y}_{2} + \mathbf{y}_{2} + \mathbf{y}_{3} + \mathbf{y}_{4} + \mathbf{y}_{4}$

family of intervals - for example, the family consisting of all intervals of the form (- ∞ ,x]. This paper is concerned with the preservation of uniform stochastic ordering under convolution, mixing and the formation of coherent systems. A general TP₂ result involving preservation of total positivity under integration is presented and applied to convolutions and mixtures of distribution and survival functions. Logconcavity of distribution, survival and density functions characterises random variables that preserve the various orderings under addition. Likewise, random variables that preserve orderings under mixing are characterized by TP₂ distribution and survival functions.

Access	ion For	1	ORIC	
NTLS DTIC Unappi Justi	CEA&I FAB Duracd Fiction			
By Distr	<pre>ibution/</pre>	Codes		
Ava: Dist	Avail and Special	/or		

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

ARO 19367.13-1 ARO 20063.1-MA

TP, Orderings

by

James Lynch¹, Gillian Mimmack², and Frank Proschan²

FSU Statistics -Report M678, ----

AFOSR Technical Report No. 83-168

March, 1984

The Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, Florida 32306

¹Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University. This research was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office Grant No. DAAG29-82-K-0168 and DAAG29-84-K-0007.

²Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFSC, USAR, under Grant AFOSR 82-K-0007.

Key Words: Uniform stochastic order, logconcavity, total positivity, conditional stochastic order, preservation of order, coherent systems, convolutions, mixtures.

AMS Subject Classification Numbers: Primary 62E10; Secondary 62N05, 26A99.

84 07 12 019

TP₂ Orderings

by

James Lynch¹, Gillian Mimmack² and Frank Proschan²

Abstract

Uniform stochastic orderings of random variables are expressed as total positivity of density, survival and distribution functions. The orderings are called uniform because each is a stochastic order that persists under conditioning to a family of intervals - for example, the family consisting of all intervals of the infanty form (-\$\phi,x]]. This paper is concerned with the preservation of uniform stochastic ordering under convolution, mixing and the formation of coherent systems. A general TP^o₁ result involving preservation of total positivity under integration is presented and applied to convolutions and mixtures of distribution and survival functions. Logconcavity of distribution, survival and density functions characterises random variables that preserve the various orderings under addition. Likewise, random variables that preserve orderings under mixing are characterized by TP^o₂ distribution and survival functions.

and share set and

to shifts in location or changes in scale. In what follows, we extend these results, considering preservation of uniform ordering under mixing and under the formation of coherent systems and characterizing random variables that preserve uniform stochastic ordering under addition and mixing.

Section 2 contains definitions of the uniform stochastic orderings under consideration. In Section 3 we present a general theorem that applies to convolutions and mixtures in the context of uniform stochastic ordering and is of interest in its own right as a result in the theory of TP_2 functions. In Section 4 we exploit the TP_2 expressions of uniform stochastic orderings to characterize logconcave distribution and survival functions as those that preserve the appropriate uniform stochastic ordering under convolution. In Section 5 we use the general preservation theorem of Section 3 to characterize random variables that will allow uniform stochastic ordering to be transferred from mixing random variables to mixtures. Finally, in Section 6, we consider whether stochastic ordering between corresponding components of identical systems is inherited by the systems. We show, for example, that if the failure rate of one type of component is larger than the failure rate of another type of component, then a k-out-of-n system of independent components has a larger failure rate if components of the first type are used.

2. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let X be a random variable with distribution function given by $F(x) = P(X \le x)$, survival function $\overline{F} = 1 - F$ and, in the absolutely continuous case, density function f.

The uniform stochastic orderings under consideration may be conveniently expressed in terms of TP_2 ordering of functions. Let g_1 and g_2 be an arbitrary pair of functions.

- 2 -

Definition 2.1.

 $g_1 < g_2 \iff g_1(x)g_2(y) - g_1(y)g_2(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \le y.$

It is evident that $g_1^{P_2} g_2$ is equivalent to saying that $g_1(x)$ is TP_2 in (i,x)where the domain of g is $A \times B$; in this case $A = \{1,2\}$ and B is some subset of the real line. More generally, we could consider a family of functions $\{g_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A\}$ where A is some subset of the real line. Then the statement that $g_{\alpha}(x)$ is TP_2 in (α, x) would indicate that $g_{\alpha} < g_{\beta}$ for $\alpha < \beta$.

The various uniform stochastic orderings are defined by taking g_1 and g_2 to be distribution, survival and density functions.

Definition 2.2.

and the second subscript and second

(i)
$$X_{1} \begin{pmatrix} < \\ - \end{pmatrix} X_{2} \begin{pmatrix} = \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} - \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{2} \begin{pmatrix} = \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{2} \begin{pmatrix} = \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{1}(x) F_{2}(y) - F_{1}(y) F_{2}(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \le y.$$

(ii) $X_{1} \begin{pmatrix} < \\ + \end{pmatrix} X_{2} \begin{pmatrix} = \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} TP_{2} \\ - \\ F_{2} \end{pmatrix} F_{2} \begin{pmatrix} = \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{1}(x) F_{2}(y) - F_{1}(y) F_{2}(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \le y.$
(iii) $X_{1} \begin{pmatrix} < \\ + \end{pmatrix} X_{2} \begin{pmatrix} = \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} TP_{2} \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{2} \begin{pmatrix} = \\ - \end{pmatrix} F_{1}(x) F_{2}(y) - F_{1}(y) F_{2}(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \le y.$

If $X_1(\cdot)$ X_2 we say that X_1 is uniformly smaller than X_2 in the negative direction because $F_1 <^2 F_2$ is equivalent to the condition $P(X_1 \le x | X_1 \le y) \ge P(X_2 \le x | X_2 \le y)$ for all x and y such that $x \le y$. It is evident that this defines a stochastic ordering of X_1 and X_2 when each is restricted to the same interval of the form (---,y], the ordering of X_1 and X_2 being the same for all such intervals. Similarly, if $X_1(\cdot) X_2$ we say that X_1 is uniformly smaller than X_2 in the positive direction because the condition $\overline{F_1} <^{TP_2} \overline{F_2}$ defines a stochastic ordering of X_1 and X_2 when ---cach is restricted to an arbitrarily chosen interval of the form $[x, \infty)$. If $X_1 < X_2$

we say that X_1 is locally stochastically less than X_2 because this condition is equivalent to requiring that X_1 be stochastically less than X_2 whenever X_1 and X_2 are restricted to the same interval of the form [a,b]. It is easy to prove that the local ordering is the most restrictive in that $X_1 < X_2$ implies $X_{1(-)} X_2$ and $X_{1(+)} X_2$, that ordinary stochastic ordering $(X_1 \stackrel{st}{>} X_2)$ is implied by each of the weaker uniform stochastic orderings, and that the relationship between positive and negative uniform

 $x_{1(-)}x_{2} \iff -x_{2(+)} -x_{1}$

Another property we shall use is that positive and negative uniform ordering is preserved under the operation of taking the limit in distribution.

In the case of vectors of random variables, or sets of lifetimes of components $TP_{1}^{TP_{1}}$ in our context, where $\underline{f} = (f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n})$ and $\underline{g} = (g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n})$, we write $\underline{f} < 2 \underline{g}$ if $TP_{1}^{TP_{2}}$ $f_{1} < 2 \underline{g}_{1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By taking \underline{f} and \underline{g} to be vectors of distribution, survival and density functions, we extend the definitions of negative, positive and local uniform stochastic ordering.

In all that follows, we adopt the conventions that "increasing" means "nondecreasing" and "decreasing" means "nonincreasing".

3. A general preservation theorem.

The result below is of general interest because it involves preservation of total positivity under integration. It is therefore given in terms of TP₂ functions although it will be used in later sections concerning convolutions and mixtures.

Theorem 3.1.

Suppose that the distribution function $\overline{H}(\cdot,t)$ is differentiable with respect to t.

- (i) $\overline{H}(x,t)$ is TP_2 in $(x,t) \iff \int \overline{H}(x,t) dF_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ,x) whenever $\overline{F}_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ,t) .
- (ii) H(x,t) is TP_2 in $(x,t) \iff \int H(x,t) dF_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ,x) whenever $F_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ,t) .

To prove Theorem 3.1 the following lemma is needed. Lemma 3.2.

If $H(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ then $\int \overline{H}(x-t) dF_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ, x) whenever $\overline{F}_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ, t) .

Proof of lemma.

Suppose $\overline{F}_{\theta}(t)$ is TP₂ in (θ ,t). Without loss of generality we assume that θ takes only the values 1 and 2. We need to show that

 $\begin{vmatrix} \int \overline{F}_1(t)h(x-t)dt & \int \overline{F}_2(t)h(x-t)dt \\ \int \overline{F}_1(t)h(y-t)dt & \int \overline{F}_2(t)h(y-t)dt \end{vmatrix} \ge 0 \text{ for } x < y.$

By the Basic Composition Formula (Karlin (1968), p. 17), the determinant reduces to

 $\int \int \left| \begin{array}{c} \overline{F}_1(s) & \overline{F}_1(t) \\ \overline{F}_2(s) & \overline{F}_2(t) \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} h(x-s) & h(y-s) \\ h(x-t) & h(y-t) \end{array} \right| ds dt$

The first determinant above is nonnegative because $\overline{F}_i(t)$ is TP₂ in (i,t) and the second determinant is nonnegative because h is the exponential density function which is logconcave.

Proof of theorem.

a second seco

We prove only (i) as (ii) is proven from (i) since H(x,t) is a distribution function that is TP₂ in (v,+) if and only if H(-x, -t) is a survival function that is TP₂ in (x,t). => Let $\overline{H}(x,t)$ be TP_2 in (x,t) and $\overline{F}_{\theta}(t)$ be TP_2 in (θ,t) , where we assume without loss of generality that θ takes only the values 1 and 2. Suppose first that $F_1(F_2)$ has density $f_1(f_2)$. We need to show that

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} \int \overline{H}(x,t) f_1(t) dt & \int \overline{H}(y,t) f_1(t) dt \\ \int \overline{H}(x,t) f_2(t) dt & \int \overline{H}(y,t) f_2(t) dt \end{vmatrix} \ge 0 \text{ for } x < y.$$

Using the Basic Composition Formula (Karlin (1968), p. 17) and integrating the inner integral by parts, we reduce the determinant as follows:

$$D = \iint_{s < t} \begin{vmatrix} \overline{H}(x,s) & \overline{H}(x,t) \\ \overline{H}(y,s) & \overline{H}(y,t) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(s) & f_2(s) \\ f_1(t) & f_2(t) \end{vmatrix} dt ds$$
$$= \iint_{s < t} \begin{vmatrix} \overline{H}(x,s) & \overline{H}(x,t)/\partial t \\ \overline{H}(y,s) & \partial \overline{H}(y,t)/\partial t \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(s) & f_2(s) \\ \overline{F}_1(t) & \overline{F}_2(t) \end{vmatrix} dt ds$$

Since $\overline{H}(x,t)$ is TP₂ in (x,t), we have, for s<t and x<y,

$$\frac{\partial \overline{H}(y,t)/\partial t}{\overline{H}(y,t)} = \frac{\partial \overline{H}(x,t)/\partial t}{\overline{H}(x,t)} = \frac{\partial \overline{H}(x,t)}{\overline{H}(x,t)} \ge 0.$$

Thus the first determinant in the integral above is nonnegative. Similarly, since $\overline{F}_{\theta}(t)$ is TP₂ in (θ ,t), we have, for s<t and x<y,

$$\frac{f_1(s)}{\overline{F}_1(s)} \quad \frac{\overline{F}_1(s)}{\overline{F}_1(t)} \quad - \quad \frac{f_2(s)}{\overline{F}_2(s)} \quad \frac{\overline{F}_2(s)}{\overline{F}_2(t)} \geq 0.$$

Thus the second determinant in the integral above is also nonnegative.

If one or both of F_1 and F_2 does not have a density, we proceed as follows. Let $\overline{F_i^{\lambda}}(x) = \int \overline{L_{\lambda}}(x-t) dF_i(t)$ where $\overline{L_{\lambda}}(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$. From lemma 3.2 we have that $\overline{F_i^{\lambda}}(x)$ is TP_2 in (i,x) for every $\lambda > 0$. Since F_i^{λ} is the convolution of an exponential distribution function and F_1 , it has a density and therefore the proof above applies. Thus $\int \overline{H}(x,t) dF_i^{\lambda}(t)$ is TP_2 in (i,x). Then, since F_i^{λ} converges weakly to

 F_i and since \overline{H} is bounded and continuous in t, we have, by the definition of weak convergence,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int \overline{H}(x,t) dF_i^{\lambda}(t) = \int \overline{H}(x,t) dF_i(t) \text{ for each } x.$$

As the TP₂ relation for $\int \bar{H}(x,t) dF_i^{\lambda}(t)$ is preserved under this limiting operation, we have that $\int \bar{H}(x,t) dF_i(t)$ is TP₂ in (i,x).

<= Suppose that $\int \overline{H}(x,t) dF_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ,x) whenever $\overline{F}_{\theta}(t)$ is TP_2 in (θ,t) . We assume without loss of generality that θ takes only the values 1 and 2. We need to show that $\overline{H}(x,t)$ is TP_2 in (x,t). Let $F_1(F_2)$ denote the distribution function of the random variable that is degenerate at $s_1(s_2)$ where $s_1 < s_2$. Since $\overline{F}_i(t)$ is TP_2 in (i,t), we have that $\int \overline{H}(x,t) dF_i(t)$ is TP_2 in (i,x). But $\int \overline{H}(x,t) dF_i(t) = \overline{H}(x,s_i)$ and therefore the previous statement is equivalent to

 $\overline{H}(x,s_1) \ \overline{H}(y,s_2) - \overline{H}(x,s_2) \ \overline{H}(y,s_1) \ge 0 \text{ for } x < y.$ This is true for any s_1 and s_2 for which $s_1 < s_2$. ||

4. Preservation of uniform stochastic ordering under convolution.

We suppose that X_1 and X_2 are uniformly stochastically ordered in some way and consider the ordering of $X_1 + Z$ and $X_2 + Z$. We also consider the reverse question, namely, if $X_1 + Z$ and $X_2 + Z$ are uniformly stochastically ordered whenever X_1 and X_2 are, what can be said about Z? Logconcavity enters naturally from the TP₂ characterizations of the various uniform stochastic orderings because, as is wellknown, a nonnegative function g is logconcave if and only if g(x-y) is TP₂ in (x,y). Using this fact, and considering scalars θ_1 and θ_2 ($0 \le \theta_1 \le \theta_2$) rather than random variables X_1 and X_2 , Keilson and Sumita (1982) show that logconcavity of the distribution, survival and density functions of Z characterizes the random variables that can be respectively negatively, positively and locally ordered according to θ_1 and θ_2 - that is, for example, Z has a logconcave distribution function if and only if $Z + \theta_1 \leq Z + \theta_2$ whenever $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$. Keilson and Sumita (1982) also point out that if Z has a logconcave density function then uniform stochastic ordering of X_1 and X_2 is inherited by X_1+Z and X_2+Z . We extend these results, characterizing logconcave survival and distribution functions as those that preserve under addition not only the order of scalars but also the uniform stochastic order of random variables.

Theorem 4.1.

Suppose that Z is a continuous random variable.

- (i) The survival function of Z is $PF_2 \iff X_1 + Z_1 \iff X_2 + Z$ whenever $X_1 \iff X_2$.
- (ii) The distribution function of Z is $PF_2 \iff X_1 + Z_{\binom{<}{2}}X_2 + Z$ whenever $X_{\binom{<}{2}}X_2$.

Proof.

Suppose Z has survival function \bar{H} and $X_1(X_2)$ has survival function $\bar{F}_1(\bar{F}_2)$. The condition that $X_1 + Z_{(+)}X_2 + Z$ whenever $X_{1(+)}X_2$ is equivalent to the condition that $\int \bar{H}(z-t) dF_1(t)$ is TP_2 in (i,z) whenever $\bar{F}_1(t)$ is TP_2 in (i,t). Part (i) therefore follows immediately from Theorem 3.1(i). Part (ii) follows from Theorem 3.1(ii) in a similar fashion.

5. Preservation of paiform stochastic ordering under mixture.

We consider the question of whether uniform stochastic order between two mixing random variables is inherited by the resultant mixtures and the reverse question, namely, if uniform stochastic order is transferred from mixing random variables to mixtures, what can be said about the variables over which the mixing is done? Keilson and Sumita (1982) consider these questions primarily in the context of discrete random variables: they show that local stochastic ordering of the mixing random variables is preserved by the mixtures if and only if the variables to be mixed form a finite sequence of locally stochastically ordered random variables. In the same vein, Keilson and Sumita (1982) give conditions under which positive (negative) uniform stochastic ordering is inherited by mixtures of a finite sequence of positively (negatively) uniformly stochastically ordered random variables. We show that the latter results are true in general - that is, positive (negative) uniform stochastic ordering between mixing random variables is inherited by the resultant mixtures if and only if the variables to be mixed form a (not necessarily finite) sequence of positively (negatively) uniformly stochastically ordered random variables.

Theorem 5.1.

Suppose that X_{α} has distribution function $H(\cdot, \alpha)$ which is differentiable with respect to α , let W_i have distribution function F_i and let Z_i have distribution function $\int \hat{H}(\cdot, \alpha) dF_i(\alpha)$, i=1, 2.

(i) $X_{\alpha} \stackrel{<}{(+)} X_{\beta}$ for $\alpha < \beta <=> Z_{1} \stackrel{<}{(+)} Z_{2}$ whenever $W_{1} \stackrel{<}{(+)} W_{2}$.

(ii) $X_{\alpha} (\stackrel{<}{}) X_{\beta}$ for $\alpha < \beta <=> Z_1 (\stackrel{<}{}) Z_2$ whenever $W_1 (\stackrel{<}{}) W_2$.

Proof.

Since $X_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{pmatrix} X_{\beta}$ for $\alpha < \beta$ is equivalent to $\overline{H}(x,\alpha)$ being TP_2 in (x,α) , and similarly for $Z_1 \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{pmatrix} Z_2$ and $W_1 \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{pmatrix} W_2$, (i) follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 (i). Similarly, (ii) follows from Theorem 3.1 (ii).

6. Preservation of uniform stochastic ordering under the formation of coherent systems.

The uniform stochastic orderings defined above may also be expressed as follows:

- 9 -

$F_{1} \stackrel{TP}{<} F_{2} \stackrel{<=>}{=} F_{1}(x)/F_{2}(x) \text{ is decreasing in } x$ $F_{1} \stackrel{TP}{<} F_{2} \stackrel{<=>}{=} F_{1}(x)/F_{2}(x) \text{ is decreasing in } x$ $f_{1} \stackrel{TP}{<} f_{2} \stackrel{<=>}{=} f_{1}(x)/f_{2}(x) \text{ is decreasing in } x.$

In the context of reliability we may view X_1 and X_2 as lifetimes of units: if $F_1 \xrightarrow{TP_2} F_2$, we see that a unit that has failed by time t_2 is more likely to have failed by time t_1 ($t_1 < t_2$) if its lifetime has distribution F_1 rather than F_2 . Similarly, if $\overline{F_1} \xrightarrow{TP_2} \overline{F_2}$, a unit of age t_1 with lifetime X_1 is less likely to survive beyond t_2 ($t_1 < t_2$) than is a unit of the same age (t_1) with lifetime X_2 . This is equivalent to the condition that the failure rate of X_1 is greater than or equal to the failure rate of X_2 .

The characterizations given above lead to consideration of uniform stochastic ordering of the lifetimes of coherent systems when corresponding components of comparative systems are uniformly stochastically ordered. We show first that if the failure rate of one type of component is higher than that of a second type of component then certain systems have a higher failure rate if components of the first type are used rather than components of the second type.

Theorem 6.1.

Let h(p) be the reliability of a coherent system of n independent and identically distributed components with failure probability p. If ph'(p)/h(p) is decreasing TP_2 in p then h(\overline{F}) <² h(\overline{G}) whenever \overline{F} <² \overline{G} .

Proof: Suppose first that F(G) is absolutely continuous with failure rate r(s)TP where $\overline{F} < 2 \overline{G}$, or, equivalently, $r \ge s$. Let R(S) be the system failure rate corres-

- 10 -

ponding to $\overline{F}(\overline{G})$. Since $r \ge s$, $\overline{F} \le \overline{G}$. Using Theorem 1 of Esary and Proschan (1963) and the fact that ph'(p)/h(p) is decreasing in p, we have:

$$R(t) = r(t) \hat{F}(t) h'(\bar{F}(t))/h(\bar{F}(t))$$

≥ s(t) $\bar{G}(t) h'(\bar{G}(t))/h(\bar{G}(t))$
= S(t).

If F and G are not absolutely continuous, consider instead the convolutions of F and G with an exponential distribution function with mean rate λ . Since these convolutions are absolutely continuous, the proof above applies. We then use the fact that TP₂ ordering of the resulting system reliability functions is preserved as $\lambda + \infty$; since the convolution of F(G) and an exponential distribution with parameter λ converges in distribution to F(G) as $\lambda + \infty$, we have the required result.

Since ph'(p)/h(p) is decreasing in p in the case of k-out-of-n systems of independent and identically distributed components, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 6.2.

Let h be the reliability function of a k-out-of-n system of independent and identically distributed components. Then $h(\bar{F}) < h(\bar{G})$ whenever $\bar{F} < \bar{G}$.

In the case of nonidentical components we show that if each of a set of nonidentical independent components is less (more) reliable than a component of type A, say, then a k-out-of-n system is less (more) reliable if the nonidentical components are used rather than a set of components of type A.

Theorem 6.3.

Let the reliability function of a k-out-of-n system of independent components be given by $h(\underline{p})$, where $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and p_i is the failure probability of component i.

(i) If
$$\bar{F}_i \stackrel{Z^2}{<} \bar{G}_i = 1, ..., n$$
 then $h(\bar{F}_1, ..., \bar{F}_n) \stackrel{Z^2}{<} h(\bar{G}_i, ..., \bar{G})$
(ii) If $\bar{F}_i \stackrel{Z^2}{>} \bar{G}_i = 1, ..., n$ then $h(\bar{F}_1, ..., \bar{F}_n) \stackrel{Z^2}{>} h(\bar{G}_i, ..., \bar{G})$

Proof: We prove only (i) since the proofs of (i) and (ii) are similar. Suppose first that F_1, \ldots, F_n and G are absolutely continuous with failure rates r_1, \ldots, r_n and s respectively, where $\bar{F}_i <^2 \bar{G}$ or, equivalently, $r_i \ge s$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let R(S) be the system failure rate corresponding to $F_1, \ldots, F_n(G, \ldots, G)$. Now $\bar{F}_i \le \bar{G}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ because $\bar{F}_i <^2 \bar{G}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By Theorem 4 and (4.1) of Esary and Proschan (1963), we have:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t}) &= \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{i} = 1} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t}) \quad \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\frac{2\mathbf{h}/\partial p\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{h}(p)} \right) \middle| \quad \underline{p} = \underline{\bar{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{t}) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{i} = 1} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t}) \quad \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\frac{-\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial p\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{h}(p)} \right) \middle| \quad p_{\mathbf{j}} = \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{t}), \quad \mathbf{j} = 1, \dots \mathbf{n} \\ &= \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{t}). \end{split}$$

As in the proof of the preceeding theorem, if any of F_1, \ldots, F_n , G are not absolutely continuous, we consider convolutions with the exponential distribution function and use an argument involving limits to obtain the required result. ||

The theorem above gives a way to provide upper and lower bounds on the reliability of a k-out-of-n system of nonidentical independent components. The general case is that in which one set of nonidentical independent components is "less reliable" than another set of nonidentical independent components. The question of interest is whether a system would be less reliable if the "less reliable" set of components were used rather than the other set. The answer is in the affirmative, provided that corresponding components bear to each other a relationship stronger than that involving comparison of reliability functions.

Theorem 6.4.

Let h be the reliability function of a system of n independent components. If TP_2 $f_1 < g_1$ for i = 1, ..., n then $h(\bar{F}_1, ..., \bar{F}_n) < h(\bar{G}_1, ..., \bar{G}_n)$.

- 13 -

For the proof of Theorem 6.4 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5.

Let h be the reliability function of a system of n independent components. If $f_1 < g_1$ then $h(\bar{F}_1, \bar{F}_2, ..., \bar{F}_n) < h(\bar{G}_1, \bar{F}_2, ..., \bar{F}_n)$.

Proof of lemma.

Let $\{P_j\}$ denote the collection of minimal path sets of the system under consideration. For s and t satisfying $0 \le s \le t$, let

$$\mathfrak{L}(\underline{x},\underline{y}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if max min } x_i \geq s \text{ and } \max_{\substack{j \\ i \in P_j}} \min_{\substack{j \\ i \in P_j}} y_i \geq t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If x_2, \ldots, x_n and y_2, \ldots, y_n are fixed then whenever $x_1 \leq y_1$, we have

$$\ell^{\bullet}(x_{1}, y_{1}) \equiv \ell(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}; y_{1}, y_{2}, \dots, y_{n})$$

$$\geq \ell(y_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}; x_{1}, y_{2}, \dots, y_{n})$$

$$= \ell^{\bullet}(y_{1}, x_{1})$$

To obtain this inequality, define the following functions for any $s \ge 0$:

 $\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_{s}(x_{1}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if max } \min x_{i} \geq s \\ j & \text{i} \in P_{j} \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \begin{aligned} \hat{x}_{s}(y_{1}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if max } \min y_{i} \geq s \\ j & \text{i} \in P_{j} \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Since $\ell^{\bullet}(x_{1}, y_{1}) = \ell_{s}(x_{1}) \ell_{t}(y_{1})$, we need to show that $\ell_{s}(x_{1}) \ell_{t}(y_{1}) \ge \ell_{s}(y_{1}) \ell_{t}(x_{1})$. It suffices to show that $\ell_{t}(x_{1})\ell_{s}(y_{1}) = 1$ implies that $\ell_{s}(x_{1})\ell_{t}(y_{1}) = 1$: this follows immediately from the fact that $\ell_{s}(x)$ is increasing in x and decreasing in

\$.

Since f < g and $\ell(x_1, y_1) \ge \ell(y_1, x_1)$ for $x_1 \le y_1$, we have, by Theorem 5.1 of Keilson and Sumita (1982) or by Proposition 8.4.2, of Ross (1983):

$$x^{*}(x_{1}, Y_{1}) \stackrel{\text{st}}{=} x^{*}(Y_{1}, X_{1})$$

where $X_1(Y_1)$ has density $f_1(g_1)$ and X_1 and Y_1 are independent. Rewriting this in terms of 2, we have

 $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n}; \mathbf{Y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{n}) \stackrel{\text{st}}{=} \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{Y}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n}; \mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{n})$ Consequently:

 $E(\mathfrak{L}(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n; Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n)) \ge E(\mathfrak{L}(Y_1, X_2, ..., X_n; X_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n)).$

Now let $X_2, \ldots, X_n, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$ be independent random variables that are independent of X_1 and Y_1 also and suppose that X_i and Y_i have distribution function F_i for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Then we have

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathfrak{L}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n; Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)) \ge \mathsf{E}(\mathfrak{L}(Y_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n; X_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)).$$

Since $X_1, \ldots X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ are independent, we use the definition of l to rewrite this inequality as

$$h(\bar{F}_{1}(s), \bar{F}_{2}(s), ..., \bar{F}_{n}(s)) h(\bar{G}_{1}(t), \bar{F}_{2}(t), ..., \bar{F}_{n}(t))$$

 $\geq h(\bar{G}_{1}(s), \bar{F}_{2}(s), ..., \bar{F}_{n}(s)) h(\bar{F}_{1}(t), \bar{F}_{2}(t), ..., \bar{F}_{n}(t)).$

This is true for any $s \le t$.

NO MANUAL MANUAL MANUAL MANAGAR

and a price l'average

Proof of theorem: By repeated application of the lemma, we have $\begin{array}{c} TP_{2} \\ h(\bar{F}_{1},\bar{F}_{2},\ldots,\bar{F}_{n}) \end{array} \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\bar{F}_{2},\ldots,\bar{F}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\bar{G}_{2},\bar{F}_{3},\ldots,\bar{F}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} \ldots \\ & \cdots \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n-1},\bar{F}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n-1},\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n-1},\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n-1},\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n-1},\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n-1},\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n-1},\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_{2}} h(\bar{G}_{1},\ldots,\bar{G}_{n}) \xrightarrow{TP_$

References.

- 15 -

[1]	Esary, J.D., and Proschan, F. (1963). Relationship between system failure rate and component failure rates. <u>Technometrics 5</u> 183-189.
[2]	Karlin, S. (1957). Total Positivity. Stanford University Press.
[3]	Keilson, J. and Sumita, U. (1982). Uniform stochastic ordering and related inequalities. <u>Canad. J. Statist</u> . <u>10</u> 181-198.
[4]	Lehmann, E.L. (1959). Testing Statistical Hypotheses. Wiley, New York.
[5]	Ross, S.M. (1983). Stochastic Processes. Wiley, New York.

NYNY S

A THINK & SALES

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A STATEMENT