AD-A143 235	HF	PREDIC DEVEL	TION U	USING T CENT	ADIABA Er gri	TIC IN FFISS	IVARIA AFB N	NT THE Y E J	ORY(U) TICH	ROME	, 1/ ,	1
UNCLASSIFIE	ED	. 04 KH							F/G 2	20/14	NL	
						: >			je-			
					-			~	^			
	▼ : • € :		• :	U ș			* 77. 1971 -					END FILMED



Contraction of the state of the state

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

FILE COPY

3E

RADC-TR-84-59 In-House Report March 1984



HF PREDICTION USING ADIABATIC INVARIANT THEORY

Elihu J. Tichovolsky

and the second second second second second

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441

84 07 15 000

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-84-59 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED: John C Masmussen

JOHN E. RASMUSSEN Chief, Propagation Branch Electromagnetic Sciences Division

APPROVED: accan Extract

ALLAN C. SCHELL Chief, Electromagnetic Sciences Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:

an Q. Ki

JOHN A. RITZ Acting Chief, Plans Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC (EEPI) Hanscom AFB MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned. Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICAT ON OF THIS PAGE

		REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAG	E	n ata la kalanda da kalandar 2007 eta kala	rælandeta (hora konore pro	
22 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION TOTAL ALL TOTAL OF A CALL TO A DATA CAL TO A DATA CALL TO A DATA CALL TO A DATA CAL	18 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	10 HESTRICTIVE MARKINGS					
Dectassified for public release, Instrument instruction The resonance of the	2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHON 1.	A DISTRIBUTION A	VA LABILITE J	e 1906, 973			
# PERFORMING GRAP A2 ON REPORT NUMBERS S. MUNITY N. L. SHOAN ZATION REPORT NUMBERS RADC: - TR-64-50 S. MUNITY N. L. SHOAN ZATION REPORT NUMBERS Save of PERFORMING ONGAN 24107 No STRICE SYMMUL Y AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT PERFORMING ONGAN 24100 Mome Air Development PEPI Center: ELEPI Bassachusetts 01731 De OFFICE SYMMUL Bassachusetts 01731 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT	20 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SUHE	Selt	Approved distributio	ior public m unlimite	releaso, d.		
RADC-TR-84-50 as NAME OF FERFORMONG OFGANIZATION BS OFFICE SYMBUL Rome AIP Development If specialized Center IEP1 6: ADDRESS (CID) State and XIP Code: IEP1 bit ADDRESS (CID) State and XIP Code: If applicable: bit ADDRESS (CID) State and XIP Code: If applicable: bit ADDRESS (CID) State and XIP Code: If applicable: bit ADDRESS (CID) State and XIP Code: If applicable: bit ADDRESS (CID) State and XIP Code: If applicable: bit ADDRESS (CID) State and XIP Code: If applicable: bit TITULE Intraction: HP Prediction USING Addiabatic Invariant Theory: bit TITULE Intraction: If Prediction USING CORESS (CID) State and XIP Code: To Code applicable: It TITUE Intraction: HP Prediction USING CORESS (CID) State and XIP Code: If applicable: It TITUE Intraction: HP Prediction It applicable: It applicable: It TITUE Intraction: HP Prediction It applicable: It applicable: It TITUE Intraction: HP Prediction It applicable: To Code applicable:	4. PERFORMING ORGAN ZATION REPORT NUM	MBERS	5. MUNITURING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER'S				
As NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION In Source of Marked Organization Rome Air Development If applicable Center EEP1 Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: To ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: Hanscom Air B Description Set ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: To ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: Massachusetts 01731 Set ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitcbbr. Be ADDRESS (Chr. State and ZIP Code: If splitc	RADC-TR-84-59						
Rome Air Development IEP1 EEP1 EEP1 64. A00RESS.:Ch: State and ZP Code: The ADDRESS.:Ch: State and ZP Code: Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731 In NAME OF FUNDING.SPONSORING Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL SUPLEX PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENTIOENTISTEMMENTION Bar ADDRESS.:Ch:, State and ZP Code: In SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS TTTLE Includes State and ZP Code: IT TYLE Includes Face Count IT TYLE Includes Face Count It SUPCEDENTIATION HF Prediction Using Addiabatic Invariant Theory Its SUBJECT TEMME (Continue on numer (Includes Secont) It SUPLEMENTARY NOTATION Resonal addiabatic Invariant Protection It SUPLEMENTARY NOTATION Supation in the block number!	64. NAME OF PERFORMING OHGANIZATION	60 OFFICE SYMBUL	Ta NAME OF MONIT	TURING ORGANI	ZATION		
Center Center Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731 Imassachusetts 01731 Imassachusetts 01731 Imasof Report Imassachusetts 01731 </td <td>Rome Air Development</td> <td>-If applicables</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Rome Air Development	-If applicables					
Hanssonn AFB Massachusetts 01731 And Cor Funcing Sponsoring ORGANIZATION Bassachusetts 01731 And Cor Funcing Sponsoring ORGANIZATION Bassachusetts 01731	Center		75 40005555 10 11	State on 1 710 13 1			
Back Add C of FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bac OfFICE SYMBOL (If applicably) IPROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER If applicably Back ADDRESS (City, Nate and ZIP Code) ID SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS Back ADDRESS (City, Nate and ZIP Code) ID SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS IT TITLE include Security Classification/ HF Prediction Using Adiabatic Invariant Theory 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Elihu J. Tichovolsky In-House Into Covered In-House Into The Covered In-House Supercentry (Control on The Covered In-House Supercentry (Control on The Covered <	Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731		D. ADDRESS (City,	State and XIP (34	e,		
Be ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS PROGRAM PROGRAM PROJECT TASK PROGRAM PROJECT NO 03 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) HF Prediction 03 03 11 TITLE (Include Security Classified Invariant Theory 13 Distribution 14 Date of REPORT (Nr. No., Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 13b TIME COVERED 14 Date of REPORT (Nr. No., Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 13 Distribution 13b TIME COVERED 14 Date of REPORT (Nr. No., Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 13 Distribution 13b TIME COVERED 1984 March 15 PAGE COUNT 14 Date of REPORT 12 Distribution 13 Distribution 15 PAGE COUNT 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC Project Engineer: Elihu J. Tichovolsky, RADC/EEPI. 38 12 COSATI CODES 14 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on numere (I meetaary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, lonogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 12 ABSTRACT (Continue on numere (I meetaary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round-the-world (RTW) propagation nades (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are	S. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	86. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT 10	ENTIFICATION	NUMBER	
PROGRAM PROJECT TASK WORK UNIT 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification: HF Prediction 61102F 4600 16 03 11 TITLE (Include Security Classified Unit Theory 61102F 4600 16 03 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Elihu J. Tichovoisky 14. DATE OF REPORT (Nr. No., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Nr. No., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 14. DATE OF REPORT 13. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Nr. No., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 14. DATE OF REPORT 13. UNECOVERED 14. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on more if mechany and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, longram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 17. ASSTRACT (Continue on more if mechany and identify by block number) A diabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round-the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are qualitatively deduced from charts of the adiabatic invariants I _µ , I _{FE} IFE+E_and I _µ vs path length. Quantitative results are presented in the form of a simulated RTW ionogram between an elevated source and receiver. In support of RADC's orbiting receiver experiment, the robustness of global HF propagation	8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF FUR	NDING NOS			
11 HTTLE //nclude Security Clausification: HF Prediction 611021F 4600 16 03 11 HTTLE //nclude Security Clausification: HF Prediction 611021F 4600 16 03 11 HTTLE //nclude Security Clausification: HF Prediction 611021F 4600 16 03 11 HTTLE //nclude Security Clausification: Ellinu J. Tichovolsky Ellinu J. Tichovolsky 16 03 13 TYPE of ABFORT 13 Tib Time COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT //r. No. Dev) 16. PAGE COUNT 14 DATE of ABFORT 15 Tib Time COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT //r. No. Dev) 16. PAGE COUNT 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC // Counting Coversed 1984 March 38 16 GROUP Sue GR Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, Ionogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 17 Adiabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round-the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are qualitatively deduced from charts of the adiabatic invariants Ip. IF. IF. IF.E+E_band ID Vs path length.				PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT	
11 TITLE include security Classified HF Prediction 011021 4000 10 03 12 PERSONAL AUTHORISI Elihu J. Tichovolsky 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr. Mo. Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13 TITLE include security Classification: HF Prediction 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr. Mo. Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13 TITLE include security Classification: FROM To 18. Date of REPORT (Yr. Mo. Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13 TITLE include security Classification: To 1984 March 38 14 DATE OF REPORT 13. DATE OF REPORT (Yr. Mo. Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 17 PEORON To 1984 March 38 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC Project Engineer: Elihu J. Tichovolsky, RADC/EEPI. 38 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, Ionogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19 ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse of necessary and identify by block number) A diabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round-the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes of the adiabatic invariants [P			61102U	4600	16	0.2	
Using Adiabatic Invariant Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHORIS Elihu J. Tichovolsky 12. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr. Mo., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 38 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 19.84 March 38 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr. Mo., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 38 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC Project Engineer: Elihu J. Tichovolsky, RADC/EEPI. 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if incensery and identify by block number) relea Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, lonogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if incensery and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round-the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are qualitatively deduced from charts of the adiabatic invariants [pr. IFE'] If FE+E) and I() vs path length. Quantitative results are presented in the form of a simulated RTW ionogram between an elevated source and receiver. In support of RADC's orbiting receiver experiment, the robustness of global HF propagation is displayed in the form of synoptic maps of IFF [FE] and I(), using the IONCAP median model ionosphere. These maps show the abundance of various propagation modes on a world-wide basis. */ 21. ASSTRACT SECURITY CLASSTRICTION <td>11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) HF</td> <td>Prediction</td> <td>UTIU2P</td> <td>4000</td> <td>10</td> <td>6.9</td>	11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) HF	Prediction	UTIU2P	4000	10	6.9	
12. PERSONAL AUTHORIS Elihu J. Tichovolsky 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Vr. Mo. Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 19. House 13. Tichovolsky 1984 March 38 TO 1984 March To 1084 March To 1084 March To Colspan="2">1084 March To Colspan= 100 To Colspan= 100 Addiabatic invariant Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, ground-hop and chordal well model of ionospheric HF ra	Using Adiabatic Invarian	t Theory					
13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr. Mo., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 110. HOUSE FROMTO	12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) F.Libu J.	Tichovolsky		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
In-House FROM 1984 March 38 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC Project Engineer: Elihu J. Tichovolsky, RADC/EEPI. 38 17. COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, Ionogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on neuron if necessary and identify by block number) ^ Gurevich and Tsedilina's adiabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round- the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are qualitatively deduced from charts of the adiabatic invariants I _µ , I _{FE} , I _{FE+E}) and I _µ vs path length. Quantitative results are presented in the form of a simu- lated RTW ionogram between an elevated source and receiver. In support of RADC's orbiting receiver experiment, the robustness of global HF propagation is displayed in the form of synoptic maps of I _µ , I _{FY} I _{FE} and I ₁ , using the IONCAP median model ionosphere. These maps show the abundance of various propagation modes on a world-wide basis. +/ 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER Include Aree Coder 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER Include Aree Coder 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	134 TYPE OF REPORT						
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC Project Engineer: Elihu J. Tichovolsky, RADC/EEPI. 17. cosati codes 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revere if necessary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, lonogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19. AdsTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A diabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, lonogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19. AdsTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, lonogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19. AdsTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, well, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode I AdsTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) AdsTract (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) AdsTract (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) AdsTract (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Adstract secontify contential well model of ionosphere. Thes		COVERED	14 DATE OF BEROK	BT /Va Ma David	LIE BACE	COUNT	
RADC Project Engineer: Elihu J. Tichovolsky, RADC/EEPI. 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TEAMS (Continue on numerie if necessary and identify by block number) Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, lonogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on numerie if necessary and identify by block number) ** Gurevich and Tsedilina's adiabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round- the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are qualitatively deduced from charts of the adiabatic invariants Ip. IFE, IFE+E) and I() vs path length. Quantitative results are presented in the form of a simu- lated RTW ionogram between an elevated source and receiver. In support of RADC's orbiting receiver experiment, the robustness of global HF propagation is displayed in the form of synoptic maps of Ip. IFE and Iq. using the IONCAP median model ionosphere. These maps show the abundance of various propagation modes on a world-wide basis. 4/ 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. 20 DIC USERS 122. OFFICE SYMBOL Include Area Code; 22. OFFICE SYMBOL Include Area Code; 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified 22. OFFICE SYMBOL Include Area Code; 22. OFFICE SYMBOL	In-House FROM	COVERED	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Yr. Mo., Day) Lrch	15. PAGE	COUNT	
11 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB_GR Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, longgram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	In-House FROM	COVERED TO	14 DATE OF REPOR	RT (Yr. Mo., Day) arch	15. PAGE	COUNT }	
FIELD GROUP SUB_GR. Adiabatic invariant, Potential well, HF propagation, Ducting, Ionogram, Chordal mode, Synoptic maps, Round-the-world, Ground-hop, Trapped mode 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on mores (Increasery and identify by block number) F Gurevich and Tsedilina's adiabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round-the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are qualitatively deduced from charts of the adiabatic invariants $I_{F'}$, $I_{FE'}$. L/ L/ L/ F.F.* L/ E.F.* Propagation modes so for the presented in the form of a simulated RTW ionogram between an elevated source and receiver. In support of RADC's orbiting receiver experiment, the robustness of global HF propagation is displayed in the form of synoptic maps of $I_{E'}$. $I_{F'}$. No. I.F. I.F. V I.F. I.F. I.A. I.F. I.F. V I.F. I.F. I.A. I.F. I.F. I.A. <td>In-House FROM IS SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC Project Engineer:</td> <td>Elihu J. Tichov</td> <td>14. DATE OF REPOR</td> <td>arch /EEPI.</td> <td>15. PAGE</td> <td>COUNT</td>	In-House FROM IS SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION RADC Project Engineer:	Elihu J. Tichov	14. DATE OF REPOR	arch /EEPI.	15. PAGE	COUNT	
	In-House FROM	Elihu J. Tichov	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Continue on reverse if ne	RT (Yr, Mo., Day) Arch /EEPI. scenary and identi	15. PAGE 38	COUNT }	
 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on nueres if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on nueres if necessary and identify by block number) Curevich and Tsedilina's adiabatic invariant theory for the potential well model of ionospheric HF radiowave propagation is reviewed and applied to a specific round-the-world (RTW) propagation path. Propagation modes (ducting, ground-hop and chordal) are qualitatively deduced from charts of the adiabatic invariants I_F, I_{FE}, I_{FE+E}) and I_D vs path length. Quantitative results are presented in the form of a simulated RTW ionogram between an elevated source and receiver. In support of RADC's orbiting receiver experiment, the robustness of global HF propagation is displayed in the form of synoptic maps of I_F, I_{FE} in and I_T, using the IONCAP median model ionosphere. These maps show the abundance of various propagation modes on a world-wide basis. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified 22. DETION OF LIAN 73 IS OBSOLETE. 22. OFFICE SYMBOL 	In-House FROM	Elihu J. Tichov Adiabatic in	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Continue on reverse if ne variant, Poter	RT (Yr. Mo., Day) Irch /EEPI. recessory and identi-	15. PAGE 38	n, ation,	
ionosphere. These maps show the abundance of various propagation modes on a world-wide basis. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. ODTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT.	In-House FROM	Elihu J. Tichov Is subject tenns of Adiabatic in Ducting, Ion Round-the-w	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Continue on reverse if ne variant, Poter ogram, Choro rorld, Ground	AT (Yr. Mo. Day) Arch / EEPI. ntial well, dal mode, -hop, Trap	15. PAGE 38 17. by block numb HF propag Synoptic m oped mode	ation, aps,	
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DITIC USERS 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DITIC USERS 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DITIC USERS 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Elihu J. Tichovolsky 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL D FORM 1473. 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE Unclassificat	In-House FROM In-House FROM RADC Project Engineer: I. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB.GR. I. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB.GR. I. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary or F Gurevich and Tsedilin ionospheric HF radiowave the-world (RTW) propagat chordal) are qualitatively LFE+E) and LO vs path leng lated RTW ionogram betw. orbiting receiver experim the form of synoptic maps	Elihu J. Tichov Elihu J. Tichov 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Adiabatic in Ducting, Ion Round-the-w ha's adiabatic in propagation is tion path. Prop deduced from c th. Quantitative een an elevated tent, the robusta of Ly, Ly Fr E	1984 Ma 201sky, RADC 201sky,	/EEPI. /EEPI. /arch /arc	Is Page 38 Ary by block numb HF propag Synoptic m oped mode otential we a specific ground-ho variants I _F in the form n support c gation is di CAP media	ation, aps, aps, li model of round- p and J. I _{FE} , of a simu- of RADC's splayed in n model	
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DITICUSERS UINCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DITICUSERS UINCLASSIFIED/UNLEPHONE NUMBER Elihu J. Tichovolsky D FORM 1473. 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE. Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified	In-House FROM In-House FROM RADC Project Engineer: 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB.GR. 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary or FGUREVICH and Tsedilin ionospheric HF radiowave the-world (RTW) propagat chordal) are qualitatively LFE+E) and LOVS path leng lated RTW ionogram betw. orbiting receiver experim the form of synoptic maps ionosphere. These maps world-wide basis.	Elihu J. Tichov Elihu J. Tichov Adiabatic in Ducting, Ion Round-the-w didnify by block number a's adiabatic in propagation is tion path. Prop deduced from c th. Quantitative een an elevated ent, the robustr of Ly. Fr show the abundant	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Continue on reverse if ne variant, Poter ogram, Chord ord, Ground rourid, Ground rouriant theor reviewed and agation modes harts of the au e results are source and re- ness of global and I, usin ance of variou	AT (Yr. Mo. Day) Arch / EEPI. March Marc	Is Page 38 Ary by block numb HF propag Synoptic m pped mode otential we a specific ground-ho variants I _F in the form n support o gation is di CAP media: ion modes	ation, aps, aps, aps, aps, and tround- p and tround- p and tree tround- p and tree tree tround- p and tree tree tround- p and tree tree tree tree tree tree tree tre	
22e. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Elihu J. Tichovolsky 20 FORM 1473, 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE. Unclassified	In-House In-House In-House RADC Project Engineer: I. COSATI CODES FIELO GROUP SUB.GR. I. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary on Curevich and Tsedilin ionospheric HF radiowave the-world (RTW) propagat chordal) are qualitatively L(FE+E) and L() vs path leng lated RTW ionogram betw. orbiting receiver experim the form of synoptic maps ionosphere. These maps world-wide basis.	Elihu J. Tichov Adiabatic in Ducting, Ion Round-the-w ha's adiabatic i repropagation is tion path. Prop deduced from c th. Quantitative een an elevated ent, the robust of Ley Fy FE show the abundant	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Continue on reverse if ne variant, Poter ogram, Chore variant, Chore variant, Ground " ivariant theor reviewed and agation modes harts of the a- e results are source and re- ness of global and I, usin ance of variou	AT (Yr. Mo. Day) arch / EEPI. researy and identif ntial well, dal mode, J -hop, Tray y for the p applied to s (ducting, diabatic in presented eceiver. In HF propagat ug the IONC is propagat	(y by block numb HF propag Synoptic m oped mode otential we a specific ground-ho variants I _F in the form n support o jation is di CAP mediation ion modes	ation, aps, aps, li model of round- p and , I _{FE} , of a simu- of RADC's splayed in n model on a	
Elihu J. Tichovolsky (Include Area Code)	In-House In-House In-House RADC Project Engineer: I. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB.GR. I. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse () necessary and P. Gurevich and Tsediling ionospheric HF radiowaves the-world (RTW) propagat chordal) are qualitatively L. E+E) and L() vs path leng (FE+E) and L() vs path leng Iated RTW ionogram betw. orbiting receiver experiments the form of synoptic maps ionosphere. These maps world-wide basis. ↓/	Elihu J. Tichov Elihu J. Tichov Adiabatic in Ducting, Ion Round-the-w adiabatic in Propagation is tion path. Prop deduced from c th. Quantitative een an elevated ient, the robust of Ley Ley LeE show the abunda	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Continue on reverse if ne variant, Poter ogram, Choro rorld, Ground " avariant theor reviewed and agation modes harts of the ac- source and re- ness of global and I _T , usin ance of variou	AT (Yr. Mo. Day) Arch / EEPI. March March / EEPI. March dal mode, 1 -hop, Trap y for the p applied to s (ducting, diabatic in presented eceiver. In HF propag g the IONC as propagat	15. PAGE 38 Ary by block numb HF propag Synoptic m oped mode otential we a specific ground-ho variants I. in the form n support of gation is di CAP mediat ion modes	ation, aps, li model of round- p and JFE, n of a simu- of RADC's splayed in n model on a	
D FORM 1473, 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE. Unclassified	In-House FROM FROM INTER INCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS APT.	Elihu J. Tichov Elihu J. Tichov Adiabatic in Ducting, Ion Round-the-w adiabatic ir propagation is tion path. Prop deduced from c th. Quantitative een an elevated tent, the robusta of Ley LFY FE show the abunda CT	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Continue on reverse if me variant, Poter ogram, Chord rorld, Ground rorld, Groun	AT (Yr. Mo. Day) Arch / EEPI. March / EEPI. March dal mode, i -hop, Tran y for the pr applied to s (ducting, diabatic in presented eceiver. In HF propagat ag the IONC IS propagat UNITY CLASSIFIC assified UMBER	(y by block numb HF propag Synoptic m oped mode otential we a specific ground-ho variants I in the form n support o gation is di CAP media: ion modes	ation, aps, ll model of round- p and f FE' n of a simu- of RADC's splayed in n model on a	
	In-House In-House In-House FROM RADC Project Engineer: I. COSATI CODES FIELO GROUP SUB.GR. I. COSATI CODES FIELO SUB.GR. I. COSATI CODES FIELO SUB.COS	Elihu J. Tichov Elihu J. Tichov 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Adiabatic in Ducting, Ion Round-the-w adiabatic ir propagation is tion path. Prop deduced from c th. Quantitative een an elevated th. Quantitative een an elevated th. Fy FE show the abunda	14. DATE OF REPOR 1984 Ma rolsky, RADC Fontinue on reverse if ne variant, Poter ogram, Chord rorld, Ground rorld, Groun	AT (Yr. Mo. Day) Arch / EEPI. / EEPI. / archantial well, dal mode, -hop, Trap y for the pr applied to s (ducting, diabatic in presented eceiver. In HF propag the IONC is propagat	15. PAGE 38 Ary by block numb HF propag Synoptic m oped mode otential we a specific ground-ho variants I _L in the form n support o gation is di CAP media: ion modes	ation, aps, aps, aps, aps, and p and p IFE, n of a simu- of RADC's splayed in n model on a	

Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	(
2.	GROUND-BASED EXPERIMENTS	8		
3.	RADC'S ORBITING RECEIVER EXPERIMENT			
4.	ADIABATIC INVARIANT THEORY	11		
	 4.1 Propagation Channel Types 4.1.1 Ground-Hop Channel 4.1.2 Earth-Detached Channels 4.2 Snell's Law in the Potential Well 4.3 Number of Bound Solutions Inside the Potential Well 4.4 Number of Bound Solutions in Sub-Regions of the Potential Well 4.4.1 Adiabatic Invariant I_F 4.4.2 Adiabatic Invariant I₁ 4.4.3 Adiabatic Invariant I_{FE} 4.4.4 Adiabatic Invariant I_{FE} 4.5 Adiabatic Invariant I_{FE}+E 4.5 Number of Bound Solutions in Sub-Regions of the Potential 4.6.1 Propagation Channels at 8 MHz 4.6.2 Commonality of the Adiabatic Invariants 	12 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 18 18 18 19 20 20		
5.	CHANNEL TRACKING	21		
6.	SIMULATED IONOGRAMS	26		
7.	ADIABATIC INVARIANT SYNOPTIC MAPS	28		
	 7.1 Synoptic I_E Maps 7.2 Synoptic I_F Maps 7.3 Synoptic I₁ Maps 7.4 Synoptic I_{FE} Maps 	30 30 30 34		
8.	CONCLUSION	34		
RE	FERENCES	37		

3

• • • •

Illustrations

1.	Schematic Representations of Three Healized RTW Propagation Modes	Ŀ.
2.	RTW Echo of FM/CW Signal Radiated Northward From Ava, New York and Received at Verona, New York About 137.5 msec Later	ţ,
3.	Use of Heater-Induced, Field-aligned Plasma Irregularities to Deflect Transmitted Signals Into an Ionospheric Duct	10
4.	Plasma Frequency Profile Over the Point (19, 5° S, 6, 8° E) From IONCAP With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT, and the Corresponding Potential Function at $f = 20$ MHz	12
5.	Potential Well at f=20 MHz for the Plasma Frequency Profile in Figure 4 With F-Layer Ground-hop Modes in Channel I, E-Layer Chordal Modes in Channel II, FE-trapped Modes in Channel III, and F-Layer Chordal Modes in Channel IV	13
6.	Computed Relative Adiabatic Invariant vs Energy Level for the Potential Well in Figure 5	16
ï.	Potential Well at f=8 MHz for the Plasma Frequency Profile in Figure 4 With F-Layer Ground-hop Modes in Channel I, E-Layer Chordal Modes in Channel II, FE-trapped Modes in Channel III, and E-Layer Ground-hop Modes in Channel V	18
8.	Variation in Solar Zenith Angle, Critical Frequencies and Maximum Electron Density Heights (from IONCAP with Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT) Along a Great Circle Path Heading Due East from (42° N, 120° E) and Passing Through (19.5° S, 6.8° E) at 240 Degrees Angular Range	22
9.	Adiabatic Invariants I_F , I_1 , I_{FE} and I_{FE+E} vs Angular Range at $f=20$ MHz Along the Great Circle Path in Figure 8	22
10.	The Adiabatic Invariants Chart in Figure 9 With Raypaths $AA_1A_2A_3A_4$	
	BB ₁ B ₂ , CC ₁ C ₂ , DD ₁ D ₂ D ₂ D ₄ D ₅ D ₆ and LL ₁ L ₂ Straddling the Longer Great	
	Circle Segment Between the Vertical Dashed Lines at θ = 90 and 240 Degrees	23
11.	Adiabatic Invariants I_{r} , I_{1} , I_{rr} and I_{rr} vs Angular Range at	
	f = 8 MHz Along the Great Circle Path in Figure 8, With RTW Raypaths AA, A, BB ₁ B ₂ B ₃ B, CC ₁ C ₂ C ₃ C Shown as Horizontal Dashed Lines	25
12.	Simulated, Absorptionless, Swept-frequency RTW lonogram from an Elevated Source Which is Radiating Due East from (42° N, 120° E)	27
13.	Synoptic Map of Critical Frequency f E from IONCAP With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	29
14.	Synoptic Map of Critical Frequency f ₀ F2 from IONCAP With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	29
15.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I _E at $f=8$ MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	31
16.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I $_{\rm E}$ at f = 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	31
17.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I _F at f = 8 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	32

ی کی نور کی ک

Illustrations

18.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant $I_{\rm F}$ at f= 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	32
19.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I ₁ at f=8 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	33
20.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I ₁ at f = 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	33
21.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant $I_{\rm FE}$ at $f\approx 8$ MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	35
22.	Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant $\rm I_{FE}$ at f_{\pm} 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model lonosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT	35
23.	Great Circle Paths Emanating in Various Azimuthal Directions from the Point (42° N, 120° E)	36

Tables

1.	Propagation Figure 4	Channel	Algebra	at 20) MHz f	or the	Ionospher	re of	18
2.	Propagation Figure 4	Channel	Algebra	at 8	MHz fo	r the le	onosphere	e of	20



・ファマ

٠.



â

5

HF Prediction Using Adiabatic Invariant Theory

1. INTRODUCTION

The Propagation Branch of the Electromagnetic Sciences Division of RADC is planning to conduct an experiment which will explore the use of low-loss, elevated, ionospheric ducting channels in order to achieve super-long range propagation at HF (6-30 MHz). If successfully exploited, these propagation channels will extend the distances reached in surveillance and communications from one or two hop to round-the-world (RTW).

The purpose of the experiment is to collect swept frequency ionograms which will provide information concerning the modes of the super-long range propagation channels. These modes will be combinations of the three idealized modes shown in Figure 1. The first mode is the classical ground-hop mode in which ravs bounce back and forth in the channel between the earth and the ionosphere. The second mode is the chordal mode in which rays are refracted by the ionosphere at angles close enough to the horizontal such that they travel along chords connecting points in file the ionospheric shell and never touch the earth. The third mode is the trapped mode in which rays bounce back and forth in one of the sparsely ionized channels between the relatively denser E, F1 and F2 layers. The chordal and trapped modes are called "earth-detached" modes because their rays never touch the earth. Nevertheless, they can be received (or launched) from the ground

(Received for publication 20 March 1984)

in the vicinity of appropriately tilted ionospheric layers that refract them into (or out of) the ground-hop mode.



Figure 1. Schematic Representation of Three Idealized RTW Propagation Modes

2. GROUND-BASED EXPERIMENTS

Ground-based long range and RTW propagation experiments have provided evidence that ducting takes place.^{1, 2} Figure 2 shows a RTW ionogram recorded at 2356 LT during one experiment which involved two neighboring ground stations at Ava and Verona, New York (~ 45° N Lat.) that were only 65 km apart.² The 137.5 msec delay time of the leading edge indicates that the signal traveled along the longer portion of the connecting North-South great circle. In this successful pass over the auroral zone, the nighttime auroral trough provided a natural

Bubenik, D. M., Fraser-Smith, A. C., Sweeney, L. E., Jr., and Villard, O.G., Jr. (1971) Observations of fine structure in high-frequency radio signals propagated around the world, J. Geophys. Res. 76(4):1088-1092.

^{2.} Elkins, T.J., Toman, K., and Sales, G.S. (1980) Theoretical and Experimental Studies of HF Ducted Propagation, RADC-TR-80-360, AD A098944.

ionospheric tilt that guided the signal up and over the aurora in which it otherwise would have suffered great absorption. Although group, they movies were certainly present, nevertheless the auroral portions of the path musc have been traversed via earth-detached modes.



Figure 2. RTW Echo of FM/CW Signal Radiated Northward From Ava, New York and Received at Verona, New York About 137.5 msec Later

Some features of this ionogram are worth noting. The entire visible trace lies within the 2.5 msec time interval between 137.5 and 140 msec delay. The broad vertical lines which run from top to bottom below 13.5 MHz are man-made interference and noise. Beyond 13.5 MHz, where the interference decreases, the gain was manually changed and RTW signals were received out to 25.5 MHz. At the latter frequency the gain was again manually changed and signals were seen to 29 MHz. The splitting of the trace into discrete bands, which end at about 20, 23 and 29 MHz, is typical of RTW ionograms. Tsedilina³ has theoretically attribute i these bands, whose delay times increase with frequency, to ground-hop and chordal modes. On the other hand, she has associated other band structures which exhibit decreased delay times with increasing frequency with trapped modes. ¹ However, her conclusions are based upon qualitative, rather than quantitative, matching to the band

Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1980) Discrete structure of round-the-world signals, Geomagnetism and Aeronomy, 20(1):99-101.

structures. The availability of an elevated, orbitting receiver in the RADC experiment would remove the duct ejection losses which affect ground-based measurements and might result in more detailed ionograms.

3. RADC's ORBITING RECEIVER EXPERIMENT

RADC's experiment calls for signals emitted from a ground-based source to inter one of several possible RTW propagation channels either via a naturally tilted, ionospheric refraction gradient (for example, the solar terminator) or via a nearby, artificially produced, ionospheric scattering region. If necessary, the latter may be created by means of the "heater" shown in Figure 3. The receiver will orbit the earth every 90 min with perigee altitude within the ducting region. Nine long-range ionograms in one 15-min inverval per orbit at perigee will be collected and telemetered to earth over a 1-yr period.



Figure 3. Use of Heater-Induced, Field-aligned Plasma Irregularities to Deflect Transmitted Signals Into an Ionospheric Duct

The success of the experiment depends on advance knowledge of the locations of the most robust ducting channels. Although several transmitter sites will be available during the experiment, the receiver will be able to listen to only one of them at a time. Turn-around time for ionogram telemetry and processing will be about three days. By this time the receiver will have moved through many orbits. Successful scheduling of the transmitters will require quick and reliable, completational pre-assessment of the relative robustness of the ducting channels to the receiver. Since conventional raytracing would be too slow, we have turned to a technique recently developed for this kind of problem by researchers in the boyiet Union.

4. ADIABATIC INVARIANT THEORY

The idiabatic invariant technique was pioneered by Gurevich, ⁴ Tsedilina, ^{3,5} Fishchuk⁶ and others over the past 10 years. It is an outgrowth of earlier work by Wovk.⁷ The theory begins with the magnetic vector potential $A(r, \theta, 0) \sim \psi(r)Y_{fm}(\theta, 0)\hat{j}$ which is inserted into Maxwell's equations. Here, r is the radial distance from earth center, θ is the polar angle which measures distance along a great-circle path, 0 is the off-path azimuthal angle, ψ represents the radial part of \vec{A} , Y_{fm} represents the angular part of \vec{A} , and \hat{j} is an arbitrary unit vector. After separation of variable and linearization, the spatial dependence of $\psi(z = v - r_0)$ in a spherically symmetric ionosphere is governed by the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation for a free-particle in a potential well:⁸

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + k^2 [E - U(z)]\psi = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$E = f(f + 1)/k^{2}r_{0}^{2},$$

$$U(z) = -\epsilon(z) - 2z/r_{0},$$

$$f(z) = 1 - f_{p}^{2}(z)/f^{2},$$

 r_0 is the earth radius, k is the free-space wave number, $f_p(z)$ is the ionospheric plasma frequency and f is the operating frequency. The negative of the potential well function U(z) is the sum of the magnetic field-free, collision-free dielectric constant $\epsilon(z)$ and the "spherical distortion" term $2z/r_0$, where z represents altitude above earth. The "energy level" E represents the coupling constant to the differential equation for $Y_{\ell m}$.

⁽Due to the large number of references cited above, they will not be listed here. See References, page 37.)

Figure 4 shows how the function U(z) is constructed. To the left is optimized frequency profile over the point (19.5, 8, 6, 8, E) taken from the HTS IONCAP median model ionosphere with sunspot number 50 in June at 1200 UT. The relation has been suppressed for clarity. To the right is the corresponding U(z) profile for an operating frequency of 20 MHz. Notice that the latter profile always begins with U = -1 on the ground and continues along the tilted line $-2z/r_0$ until significant ionization appears at an altitude of about 80 km. Thereafter, this linear behavior is modified by the E and F2-layer ionizations. If this profile is roticed 50 degrees, as in Figure 5, the outlines of a potential well is immediately apparent.



Figure 4. Plasma Frequency Profile Over the Point (19, 5° S, 6, 8–E) from IONCAP With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT, and the Corresponding Potential Function at f = 20 MHz

4.1 Propagation Channel Types

4.1.1 GROUND-HOP CHANNEL

In Figure 5, the vertical portion of the left-hand wall, which extends from: U = -1 to $U = \infty$, corresponds to the perfectly reflective ground. The right-han i wall stops at z_F , where U attains the maximum value E_F . Therefore, the wavereversing portion of the bottomside of the F-layer lies below the horizontal line $U = E_F$ because this line represents the maximum level for bound solutions. Levels lying above $U = E_F$ correspond to unbound solutions which penetrate through the

Lloyd, J. L., Haydon, G. W., Lucas, D. L., and Teters, L. R. <u>Estimating</u> the Performance of Telecommunication Systems Using the Ionospheric <u>Transmission Channel, Vol. 1, Techniques for Analyzing Ionospheric Effects</u> <u>Upon IIF Systems</u> (to be published by NTIA/ITS).



Figure 5. Potential Well at f = 20 MHz for the Plasma Frequency Profile in Figure 4 With F-Layer Ground-hop Modes in Channel I, E-Layer Chordal Modes in Channel II, FE-trapped Modes in Channel III, and F-Layer Chordal Modes in Channel IV. The E- and F-Layer maximum electron density heights are $h_{\rm m}$ E and $h_{\rm m}$ F, respectively

ionosphere, while levels lying between U and E_F and U = -1 correspond to bound solutions which bounce back and forth between the ground and the ionosphere. The latter levels belong to the F-layer ground-hop channel which we shall call Channel I. Below the line U = -1, the left-hand wall is detached from the ground. Consequently, we shall refer to the corresponding levels as earth-detached levels.

4.1.2 EARTH-DETACHED CHANNELS

The earth-detached levels are divided among three different channels due to the presence of the secondary maximum $U = E_E$ at z_E . From U = -1 down to $U = E_E$, F-layer ionization produces the right-hand wall while the upper portion of the "pseudo wall" term $-2z/r_o$, which contains no ionization, accounts for the lefthand wall. Therefore, these levels correspond to the F-layer chordal mode channel which we will call Channel IV. Beneath Channel IV lie two more channels both of which extend downwards from the line $t = E_{12}^{-1}$. Channel II, on the left, is the E-layer chordal mode channel which lies between t = lower portion of the -2z v_0^{-1} pseudo wall and the wave-reversing portion of the bottomside of the E-layer. To the right, between the topside of the E-layer and the lower portion of the bottomside of the F-layer, lies Channel III, which is a trapped mode channel. If an F i layer were present, additional channels would exist, but these were suppressed in order to simplify this discussion.

4.2 Snell's Law in the Potential Well

Snell's Law in a spherical, stratified ionosphere is $\mu r \sin i = \text{const}$, where $\mu = \sqrt{\epsilon}$ is the index of refraction and i is the vertical incidence angle. Since $\epsilon \approx 1$ and $\mu r = \sqrt{\epsilon r^2} = r_0 \sqrt{\epsilon (1 + z/r_0)^2} \approx r_0 \sqrt{\epsilon (1 + 2z/r_0)} \approx r_0 \sqrt{\epsilon + 2z/r_0} + r_0 \sqrt{-1}$, then $\sqrt{-10} \cos \alpha \approx \text{const}$, where α is the elevation angle complementary to i and is measured away from the horizontal plane passing through the altitude z corresponding to E = U(z). Suppose we set $\text{const} = \sqrt{-E_c} \cos \gamma_c$ at the reference altitude z_c , where $E_c \approx U(z_c)$ represents a minimum in U. Then on total reflection, $\alpha = 0$, and therefore $\alpha_c \approx \cos^{-1} - \sqrt{E/E_c}$ gives the ray's elevation angle as it passes through z_c .

For rays which do not hit the ground, $\alpha = 0$ occurs at two different altitudes which define the upper reflection point z_u , where $U(z_u) = E$, and the lower reflection point z_ℓ , where $U(z_\ell) = E$ also. On the other hand, for ground-hop rays, $\alpha = 0$ is still true at the upper reflection point, but no longer on the ground. Let us move the reference altitude down to the ground, where U = -1, and set const = $\sqrt{1} \cos \beta$, where β is the ground launch or arrival angle. Then, $\alpha = 0$ yields $\beta = \cos^{-1} \sqrt{-E}$.

We can use α_c , z_c and β to provide reasonable initial guesses for ray-trace calculations. More significantly, having established a correspondence between energy levels in our potential well and rays propagating in the pertinent ionospheric channels, we can turn to the problem of measuring the robustness of these channels that is, counting the number of rays (or equivalently, the number of energy levels) which each channel can accommodate.

4.3 Number of Bound Solutions Inside the Potential Well

The adiabatic invariant theory enables us to count the total number of energy levels inside the potential well by applying the WKB solution to Eq. (1). Outside the well, beyond the turning points, E < U(z) and this solution consists of damped exponentials,

$$\psi(z) = 1/2 \operatorname{C}[iK(z)]^{-1/2} \exp [i \int_{z_{u}}^{z} K(z)dz], \quad z >> z_{u}, \text{ and}$$

$$\psi(z) = 1/2 \operatorname{C'}[iK(z)]^{-1/2} \exp [i \int_{z}^{z_{\ell}} K(z)dz], \quad z << z_{\ell}, \quad (2)$$

where $K(z) := k\sqrt{1. - U(z)}$. C and C' are arbitrary constants, and z_{f} and z_{f} and z_{f} are, respectively, the lower and appendixing points defined in Section 4.2. Inside the well, between the turning points, there are two possible kinds of bound solutions.

$$\psi(\mathbf{z}) = C[\mathrm{Kz})^{-1/2} \cos\left(\int\limits_{z}^{z_{\mathrm{eff}}} \mathrm{K}(z)\mathrm{d}z - \pi/4\right)$$

 and

$$\psi(z) = C'(K(z))^{-1/2} \cos \left[\int_{z_{f}}^{z} K(z) dz - \pi/4 \right], \quad z_{f} \le z \le z_{u}.$$

These match the outside solutions only if $kI_n = (n + 1/2) \pi$,

where

UNING TRANSPORT AND THE TRANSPORT

$$I_n = \int_{z_{\ell}}^{z_{u}} \sqrt{E_n - U(z)} dz, \quad E_0 \in E_n \in E_N$$
(4)

and n = 0, 1, 2, ... N is the number of possible nodes in ψ_n . The number n also counts the number of eigenvalues corresponding to bound solutions. We see that there are a total of N+1 eigenvalues in the spherically symmetric ionosphere assumed in Eq. (1).

As an aside, we note that action integrals of the form $\int P_z dz$, where

 $P_{z} = \sqrt{2m(E - U)}$ = phase space momentum and

z = phase-space position,

when applied to periodic systems are called "adiabatic invariants". Hence, the use of this name for integrals like I_n .

4.4 Number of Bound Solutions in Sub-Regions of the Potential Well

In Figure 6 we show I vs E for the potential well of Figure 5. We shall drop the index n and consider I and E to be continuous because $1 \approx 10 \text{ to } 10^2 \text{ km}$, while $\lambda = 2\pi/k \approx 10 \text{ m}$, and therefore, $kI \approx 10^3 \text{ to } 10^4$ levels. For added convenience, we shall ignore the multiplicative constant k and merely let I represent a relative number of bound solutions. We see that I varies continuously from the upper cutoff (point 5, corresponding to E_F) down to the lower cutoff (point 3, corresponding to E_E).



Figure 6. Computed, Relative Adiabatic Invariant vs Fnergy Level for the Potential Well in Figure 5

From Figure 5 we know that this curve actually spans two channels: between points 5 and 4 it lies in Channel I, and between points 4 and 3 it lies in Channel IV. Immediately below point 3 there is a gap in I because the potential well splits into two subwells. This splitting makes I a double-valued function of E: the curve between points 1 and 2 lies in Channel III, while the curve between points 1' and 2' lies in Channel III, while the curve between points 1' and 2' lies in Channel II. The gap in I occurs even though there is no corresponding discontinuity in E. We will discuss the implications of this fact in Section 5. For the moment, let us look at some special values of I in more detail.

4.4.1 ADIABATIC INVARIANT I

The number of bound solutions belonging to the entire well is

$$I_{F} = \int_{0}^{z} \sqrt{E_{F} - U(z)} dz , \qquad (5)$$

Where E in Eq. (4) was set to the largest, finite, maximum value E_F , and the integration runs between the infinitely high ground wall at z = 0 and the altitude z_F at which $U = E_F$, as shown in Figure 5. Recall that for $E > E_F$, solutions can escape over the right-hand well wall—that is, they penetrate through the ionosphere and are lost.

4.4.2 ADIABATIC INVARIANTI,

The second adiabatic invariant is

where E was set to the value -1, and the integration runs between the ground, on which U = -1, and the ionospheric altitude z_1 at which the multivalued function U is again equal to -1. The number I_1 includes bound solutions which are all detached from the earth, since U + -1 for these solutions. It also must include the grazing solution, at U = -1, that hits the ground horizontally. If this grazing solution does not exist that is, for a U(z) profile with U < -1 for all z < 0, then I_1 will be defined equal to zero. This does not mean that there are no detached solutions in this case. To the contrary, the only possible bound solutions would indeed be detached modes! However, all of these solutions would already have been included in I_1 , and therefore, we set $I_1 = 0$ to indicate this. On the other hand, for profiles in which U varies above and below -1 (such as in Figure 5), I_1 will serve to segragate ground-hop solutions, for which U < -1 from detached mode solutions, for which U < -1.

4, 4, 3 ADIABATIC INVARIANT I₁₂

The third adiabatic invariant is

$$I_{\rm E} = \int_{2}^{2} \sqrt{E_{\rm E} - U(z)} dz , \qquad (7)$$

where E was set to the secondary, maximum value E_E , and the integration runs between the two altitudes z_2 and z_E , both of which lie beneath the E-layer and are intercepted by the line U = E_E . Thus, I_E is proportional to the number of bound solutions which in this particular case are chordal modes beneath the E-layer in Channel II in Figure 5.

4.4.4 ADIABATIC INVARIANT I

The fourth adiabatic invariant is

$$I_{FE} = \int_{z_E}^{z_3} \sqrt{E_E - U(z)} dz , \qquad (8)$$

where E_E is the same secondary, maximum value used above for I_E , and the integration runs between the previously mentioned altitude z_E and the altitude z_3 where the lower portion of the F-layer is intercepted by the continuation of the line $U = E_E$. Hence, I_{FE} is proportional to the number of trapped mode solutions caught between the E and the F-layers in Channel III in Figure 5.

4.4.5 ADIABATIC INVARIANT $I_{\rm EE+E}$

It is of interest to note that the same energy level E_E was used in defining both I_E and I_{FE} . Consequently, the latter two may be summed to form a fifth adiabatic invariant $I_{FE+E} = I_E + I_{FE}$ which represents the sum of the bound solutions associated with the presence of the E-layer. The quantities I_{FE+E} and I_{FE} straddle the gap between points 2 and 3 in Figure 6. This gap shrinks at night when the E-layer thins out and the secondary maximum in U at z_E flattens. In general, as the ionosphere becomes depleted of electrons, I_F , I_E , I_{FE} , and I_{FE+E} all decrease continuously. However, as noted in Section 4.3.2, I_1 may abruptly become zero if the ionosphere loses enough electrons to the point where it no longer supports the grazing solution.

4.5 Number of Bound Solutions in Each Propagation Channel

CANNERS MARTING TRANSPORT

The adiabatic invariants I_E and I_{FE} were immediately identified with the number of solutions in Channels II and III of Figure 5. On the other hand, it is possible to count the number of ground-hop solutions in Channel 1 by subtracting the number of earth-detached solutions I_1 from the total number of solutions I_F . Likewise, the number of F-layer chordal solutions in Channel IV may be counted by subtracting both the number of E-layer chordal solutions I_E and the number of trapped solutions I_{FE} from I_1 . Table 1 below summarizes the "algebra" for all of the channels at 20 MHz for the ionosphere of Figure 4.

Channel No.	Channel Type	Relative Number of solutions
Ι	F-layer ground hop	$I_{F} - I_{1}$
II	E-layer chordal	^{I}E
III	E-layer/F-layer trapped	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{FE}}$
IV	F-layer chordal	$I_1 - I_{FE+E}$

Table 1. Propagation Channel Algebra at 20 MHz for the lonosphere of Figure 4

At this point we the important result that we have estimated the number of bound solutions within each channel in this spherically symmetric ionosphere without ever having traced a single ray.

4.6 Number of Bound Solutions in Sub-Regions of the Potential Well at Lower Frequencies

5

The foregoing analysis was curried out for 20 MHz, a fairly high frequency. At a lower frequency, say 8 MHz, we would expect to find a deeper potential action training even more bound solutions than at 20 MHz. Also, we would expect to find some ground-hop solutions below the E-layer as well as below the V-loyer. This is indeed the case, as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Potential Well at f = 8 MHz for the Plasma Frequency Profile in Figure 4 With F-Layer Ground-hop Modes in Channel I, E-Layer Chordal Modes in Channel II, FE-trapped Modes in Channel III, and E-Layer Ground-hop Modes in Channel V. The E- and F-layer maximum electron density heights are $h_m E$ and $h_m F$, respectively

4.6.1 PROPAGATION CHANNELS AT A MHZ

We see from Figure 7 that some of the propagation mannels defined in some tion 4.1 had to be revised. For example, Channel 1 () -layer ground-hop solutions), which extended at 20 MHz from $E_{\rm F}$ down to U = -1, now only goes as far down as $E_{\rm E}$. The maximum energy level limiting Channel II (E-layer chordal solutions) mow lies at U = -1. The definition of Channel III (trapped solutions) remains unchanged, but Channel IV (F-layer chordal solutions) has completely disappeared due to E-layer screening. We also created a new Channel V to describe the new E-layer ground-hop solutions which now fill the region from $E_{\rm E}$ down to U = -1. At this point, there seems to be no means for comparing the potential wells at the two frequencies in Figures 5 and 7.

4.6.2 COMMONALITY OF THE ADIABATIC INVARIANTS

In spite of the differences in well topology at the two frequencies, the formal definitions given in Section 4.3 for I_E , I_F , I_{FE} and I_1 apply to both wells. The only circumstantial changes involve the values of the integration limits and the fixed constants in the kernels. Therefore, we can still use the four adiabatic invariants as basis elements from which to construct a new channel algebra at 8 MHz as given in Table 2.

Channel No.	Channel Type	Relative Number of Solutions
Ι	F-layer ground-hop	$I_{\rm F} = I_{\rm FE+E}$
II	E-layer chordal	I 1
III	E-layer/F-layer trapped	I _{FE}
IV	E-layer ground hop	1 _E - 1 ₁

Table 2. Propagation Channel Algebra at 8 $\rm MHz$ for the lonosphere of Figure 4

We could go on to examine even more exotic well topologies and accumulate (or eliminate) additional propagation channels. However, we would find that the four adiabatic invariants I_E , I_F , I_{FE} and I_1 form a complete set of elements which we can use in linear combinations to count the solutions belonging to these channels. We shall therefore use these adiabatic invariants to compare different ionospheres over different parts of the globe at the same or at different frequencies.

5 CHANNEL TRACKING

1.1.1.1.1.1.1

The foregoing analysis applied to a spherically symmetric ionosphere. Let us extend this analysis to a set of points along a particular path around the world. Figure 8 shows the variations in solar zenith angle χ , layer heights and critical frequencies vs angular range θ along the RTW path which heads due east from (42? N, 120° E) at $\theta = 0$ and passes through the ionosphere of Figure 4 at $\theta = 240$ degrees. Figure 9 shows the sequential values of the overhead adiabatic invariants I_F , I_{FE+E} and I_1 which were computed assuming that the ionosphere was locally spherically symmetric at each point θ along the path. The curves were made for f = 20 MHz. We see that this path cannot be entirely traversed at this frequency via ground-hop modes, because we find path segments along which I_1 vanishes. Recall that where I_1 exists, the solutions counted by I_F are divided into two sets: ground-hop solutions with $I_1 < I \leq I_F$, and chordal solutions are possible.

The lightly hatched regions in Figure 9 show where ground-hopping is permitted. Notice that it is forbidden along the path segment between $\theta = 90$ degrees and $\theta = 180$ degrees. Furthermore, at $\theta = 143$ degrees the only possible propagation mode consists of a very small set of chordal solutions lying in an extremely shallow earth-detached well. This shallow well controls the entire RTW propagation channel for this path at 20 MHz because all ground-hop and many chordal and trapped rays penetrate through it and are lost. As we can see, the adiabatic invariant theory gives us at least a qualitative picture of the survivable propagation modes. The meaning of I(θ) can be extended as follows.

The fundamental theorem of the adiabatic invariant approximation states that the bound solution $\Psi_n(z,\theta)$ associated with $I_n(z,\theta) = \text{const.}$ propagates in a slowly varying ionosphere in accordance with Snell's Law; conversely, $\Psi_n(z,\theta)$ undergoes a transition to another $\Psi_m(z,\theta)$ associated with another $I_m(\theta) = \text{const.}$ 'only via a sudden, scattering process which violates Snell's Law. A formal proof of this theorem is not yet available. The ionosphere has to be slowly varying because we will assume that it is locally symmetric about the radius through any particular surface coordinates (θ, ϕ) for the spatial extent of at least one period of ray oscillation, θ . By "period of oscillation" we mean the distance interval required for a ray to depart and return to the same layer. Examples are the great-circle lengths of either one hop in a ground-hop channel, one complete bounce out and back between the two layers of a trapped mode channel, or one ricochet from and back to the single layer of a chordal mode channel.



•_•

A1 1 1.4

vin

Figure 9. Adiabatic Invariants I_F , I_1 , I_{FE} and I_{FE+E} vs Angular Range at f= 20 MHz Along the Great Circle Path in Figure 8

We have already established the relationship between Snells' Law and E_n , and therefore, I_n , in Section 4.2 for a symmetric ionosphere. Hence, in the symmetric case, we have a unique ray which traverses the altitude z_c at the local elevation angle α_c corresponding to I_n . We shall assume that this is still approximately true in the nearly symmetric case, and that $E_n(\theta)$, $\alpha_c(\theta)$, $z_t(\theta)$, $a_c(\theta)$ and $z_c(\theta)$ readjust in such a way as to leave $I_n(\theta)$ invariant.

Therefore, the adiabatic invariant approach allows us to "rav-trace"^b simply by superimposing horizontal lines corresponding to constant values of $l(\theta)$ upon Figure 9 as shown in Figure 10. By moving either eastward or westward along one of these lines we can immediately determine the propagation modes of the corresponding ray. For example, the segment $A_3AA_1A_2$, along which $l(\theta) = 2.5$, describes a nearly horizontal ray that ricochets in a chordal mode near the bottom of the nighttime F-layer since $l(\theta) = 2.5$ corresponds to very low-lying levels $E(\theta)$ and very shallow elevation angles $\alpha_c(\theta)$. In daytime along A_2A_3 , this ray becomes trapped between the E- and the F-layers since $2.5 < I_{FE}(\theta)$. The foregoing is similarly true for all rays within the band I $(\theta) \leq 2.5$.



Figure 10. The Adiabatic Invariants Chart in Figure 9 With Raypaths $AA_1A_2A_3A$, BB_1B_2 , CC_1C_2 , $DD_1D_2D_3D_4D_5D_6$ and LL_1L_2 (shown as horizontal dashed lines) Straddling the Longer Great Circle Segment Between the Vertical Dashed Lines at $\theta = 90$ and 240 Degrees

On the other hand, rays with 100 > 2.5 leave the ionosphere somewhere along this RTW path. For example, ray $B_1 B_2$ leaves at B_2 , either by directly penetrating through the F-layer or by first bouncing off the ground and then penetrating somewhat farther along the path. This uncertainty, which could be resolved by acta dly doing the raytracing, is of no concern as long as we know that this ray is ultimately lost. Indeed, the advantage of the adiabatic invariant theory lies in its ability to deal with the question of existence more directly than the constructive approach of ray-tracing.

To examine a more practical case, suppose we are located on the ground near $\theta = 240$ degrees and we wish to transmit to an orbitting receiver near $\theta = 90$ degrees. We first draw two vertical dashed lines, at $\theta = 240$ degrees and $\theta = 90$ degrees in Figure 10, and then, begin a process of eliminating rays (in other words, horizontal lines) which emanate from the vertical line at $\theta = 240$ degrees until we find an unimpeded propagation channel. Since we wish to transmit from the ground, only line segment DCBLK, which lies in the ground-hop channel, is of any use. All horizontal lines pointing westward from DCBLK penetrate through the ionosphere before $\theta = 180^\circ$. Therefore, we need to consider only eastward pointing rays. Of the latter, only the rays lying below LL_1L_2 reach $\theta = 90$ degrees; those above LL_1L_2 penetrate through the F-layer before $\theta = 90$ degrees. Hence, we are left with segment DCBL. We might also consider the option of eliminating the rays between lines BB_1B_2 and LL_1L_2 , because they involve some ground-hopping between BB_1 and LL_1 that increases the likelihood of *D*- and *E-layer absorption*.

The rays between lines DD_1 and CC_1 are controversial because they eventually fall into the gap (darkened region) between I_{FE} and I_{FE+E} . In Section 4.4 it was pointed out that I underwent a sudden discontinuity within this gap, although the level E did not. There are two possible points of view regarding this situation. The liberal view^{10,5} maintains that there is a possibility that in traversing point D_1 the ray continues to obey Snell's Law while gradually converting from chordal to trapped mode. This process is schematically represented by the vertical line D_1D_2 . It then proceeds in trapped mode from D_2 to D_3 , where it encounters another gap in I and reverts to chordal mode, in a process represented by D_3D_4 . Finally the ray continues along $D_4D_5D_6$ in a chordal mode. On the other hand, a conservative view would hold that all of this is unlikely, and that the only indisputably open, low absorption channel lies between lines CC_1C_2 and BB_1B_2 . Perhaps the RADC experiment will shed light on this issue.

Lobachevskiy, L.A.. Suares, J., Tushentsova, I.A. Fishchuk, D.I., and Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1979) Analysis of the conditions of propagation of decametric radio waves on a long path by the adiabatic method on a fixed frequency. I, Geomagnetism and Aeronomy, 19(3):314-319.

A more exhaustive analysis of this communication channel would require us to redraw Figure 10 at Frequencies above and below 20 MHz in order to find the optimum frequency which would make this channel most robust—that is, the frequency which yields the widest band of acceptable I values. Also, we should generate additional adiabatic invariant charts for other times of day, seasons, sunspot numbers, and so on. Because of lack of space, we will consider only one more case at f = 8 MHz.

From Figure 11 we see that at 8 MHz there exists an earth-detached channel, similar to the one at 20 MHz, below line AA_1A . It also consists partly of F-layer chordal modes and partly of trapped modes. However, at 8 MHz the E-layer is more influential and the trapped modes predominate. Compare the longer I_{FE} curve in Figure 11 with the shorter curve in Figure 9. In addition to this RTW channel, we find another, which consists purely of ground-hop rays, between lines $CC_1C_2C_3C$ and $BB_1B_2B_3B$. We might consider adopting this channel for communicating, either eastward or westward, between $\theta = 240$ degrees and $\theta = 90$ degrees (vertical dashed lines in Figure 11) via ground-hopping. The westward



Figure 11. Adiabatic Invariants I_F , I_1 , I_{FE} and I_{FE+E} vs Angular Range at f = 8 MHz Along the Great Circle Path in Figure 8, With RTW Raypaths AA₁A, BB₁B₂B₃B, CC₁C₂C₃C Shown as Horizontal Dashed Lines. The shorter raypaths BB₂, CC₃ and DD₁ straddle the shorter great circle segment between the vertical dashed lines

direction would be preferable because it is shorter and we could in the leadertional rays between DD_1 and CC_3 . However, D- and E-layer absorption would probably preclude any successful ground-hopping. Therefore, we see that, at 0 Δ Ha, ground to elevated receiver communication would be difficult. Again, it is important to realize that we have arrived at these conclusions without naving done any actual ray-tracing.

6. SIMULATED IONOGRAMS

If we prepare additional charts, similar to Figures 9 and 11, for other frequencies in the HF band and superimpose upon them horizontal lines of constant I, then we can construct a simulated ionogram between two points, using a procedure described by Fishchuk and Tsedilina.⁶ For each frequency we determine the minimum I_{min} and the maximum I_{max} for the path in question. We consider only I values between the two lines $I(\theta) = I_{min}$ and $I(\theta) = I_{max}$. For each intermediate line $I(\theta)$, we compute the corresponding curves $E(\theta)$, $z_{\xi}(\theta)$ and $z_{u}(\theta)$ by working backwards from Eq. (4). This task is easy to do it we can interpolate within a precomputed table of these quantities. We then insert the appropriate values into Borisov's¹¹ formulas for group delay:

$$t_{g} = \frac{r_{o}}{c} \int_{0}^{\theta} f\left(1 + \frac{2\overline{z}}{r_{o}}\right) \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{-E}} , \qquad (9)$$

$$\overline{z} = \frac{2}{r_0} \int_{\xi}^{z_u} \frac{z dz}{\sqrt{E - U}} , \qquad (10)$$

and

$$(e) = \frac{2}{r_0} \int_{z_\ell}^{z_u} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{E - U}}, \qquad (11)$$

where t is the group delay in msec, c is the speed of light in km/msec, r_0 is earth radius in km, θ_f is the path end point in radians, \overline{z} is the ray "mean altitude" in km and θ is the period of ray oscillation in radians.

Borisov, N. D., Fishchuk, D. I., and Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1978) Frequency dependence of the group lag time of round-the-world signals, <u>Radiophysics</u> and Quantum Electronics, 21(3):255-260.

At this point we have indiscriminately counted all of the reas borne by the ionosphere between $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \theta_{f}$. We need to eliminate those rays which do not emanate from the source and those that do not arrive at the receiver. To do this, we keep track of the number of oscillations experienced by each ray via

$$\mathbf{P} = \int_{0}^{\theta} d\theta / \omega, \qquad (12)$$

and we accept only those rays for which P is an integer. In this way the simulated ionogram will represent a point-to-point transmission.

The simulated, lossless ionogram in Figure 12 is a preliminary result and will be refined in future work. We see signatures of rays which undergo from 14 to 33 hops RTW lying within the trailing and leading edge (dashed curves) for ground-hop propagation. These results are similar to those first obtained by Fishchuk and Tsedelina.⁶ In addition to these ground-hop details, we have also computed the trapped and chordal mode structures. These appear as two bands of simulated signatures: one, immediately below 140 msec and at frequencies less than 12.5 MHz, includes rays which undergo from 14 to 20 periods of oscillation RTW; while the other, immediately above 137 msec, includes from 20 to 26 periods. These bands merge into one band which lies between 138 and 139 msec from 12.5 to 33 MHz. These results do not match those of Tsedelina³ for trapped modes, as far as delay times and structure are concerned.



Figure 12. Simulated, Absorptionless, Swept-frequency, RTW lonogram from an Elevated Sourch Which is Radiating Due East from $(42^{\circ} N, 120^{\circ} E)$

It is premature to compare Figure 12 with the empirical ionogram in Figure 3. The paths and ionospheric conditions were not the same, and the earth-detached mode structure as shown in Figure 12 needs more work. However, we can find nothing in Figure 12 which resembles the three prominences above 20 MHz in Figure 3. Tsedelina qualitatively attributed these prominences to surviving ground-hop modes but her quantitative results predicted that they should lie below 13 MHz.³

7. ADIABATIC INVARIANT SYNOPTIC MAPS

lonograms are suitable for predicting operating frequencies over the path between two given points. For the purpose of assessing propagation robustness between a fixed point and points in a variety of azimuthal directions, a synoptic view is preferable. Here is where the real power of the adiabatic invariant technique was demonstrated by Tushentsova. 1^2

Figures 13 and 14 are synoptic maps of $\int_{O} E$ and $\int_{O} F2$, respectively, using the IONCAP model ionosphere for June with sunspot number 50 at 1200 UT, in a format similar to the one used by NBS to show critical frequency contours.¹³ For visual impact, bands of adjacent critical frequencies were shaded in tones of gray, instead of labelling each contour line. Also in this format, Figures 15 through 22 show synoptic maps of I_E , I_F , I_1 , and I_{FE} at two frequencies -8 and 20 MHz. The gray tones in the latter figures indicate bands of adjacent I values. Some unlabelled contours were drawn inside the broader shaded bands in order to avoid blurring out various topological details. The dot-dash curves in Figures 13 through 22 represent the solar terminator, while the dashed curves denote the RTW path discussed in Section 5. By applying the overlay in Figure 23 to Figures 13 through 22, variations in the ionosphere and in the related propagation modes along great-circle paths in all azimuthal directions that emanate from the starting point at (42° N, 120° E), may be compared.

^{12.} Tushentsova, I.A., Fishchuk, D.I., and Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1974) Maps of the global distribution of some parameters of ionospheric wave ducts, <u>Preprint No. 5, IZMIRAN.</u>

Zacharisen, D. H. World maps of F2 critical frequencies and maximum usable frequency factors, <u>NBS Tech. Note 2 (Apr 1959) and NBS Tech. Note 2/2</u> (Oct 1960).



Figure 13. Synoptic Map of Critical Frequency f ${\mathop{}_{O}} E$ from IONCAP With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT



Figure 14. Synoptic Map of Critical Frequency $f_{\rm O}F2$ from IONCAP With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT

7.1 Synoptic IF Maps

The maps of $l_{\rm E}$ (Figure 15 for 8 MHz and Figure 16 and 20 MHz) show the relative robustness of HF propagation via ground-hop and or chord d modes involving the bottomside of the global E-layer. The contour regions in these $l_{\rm E}$ is approximate form to those of f_0 E in Figure 13. To further distinguish between ground-hop and chordal modes, it would be necessary to compare these maps with those for l_1 in Figures 19 and 20, as will be done in Section 7, 3.

7.2 Synoptic IF Maps

The maps of $I_{\rm E}$ (Figure 17 for 8 MHz and Figure 18 for 20 MHz) show the relative robustness of total HF propagation anywhere over the globe, irrespective of the mode type or the ionospheric layer involved. The $I_{\rm E}$ contours resemble those for $f_{\rm O}F2$ in Figure 14; the most robust regions occur in the vicinity of the Appleton anomalies, where the F2-layer is densest and highest; while the least robust regions occur at the poles and in the nighttime hemisphere. The effects of the auroral zones are not visible because IONCAP lacks a realistic model auroral ionosphere. The robustness of the groundhop and/or chordal modes that exclusively involve the F-layer, (without in anyway involving the E-layer) may be measured by adding the $I_{\rm E}$ and $I_{\rm FE}$ maps together and then subtracting this sum from the corresponding $I_{\rm F}$ map at the same frequency.

7.3 Synoptic I₁ Maps

The maps of I_1 (Figure 19 for 8 MHz and Figure 20 for 20 MHz) show where chordal modes exist either by themselves ($I_1 = 0$) or together with ground-hop modes ($I_1 > 0$). While I_1 may vary continuously within the gray regions ($I_1 > 0$), the transition from gray to white ($I_1 = 0$) occurs abruptly at points where the ionosphere has just become depleted of sufficient electrons to refract the grazing solutions back to earth, as was discussed in Section 4.4.2.

By subtracting I_1 (only in the regions where $I_1 \neq 0$) from I_E for the corresponding geographical areas, it is possible to obtain a map of the robustness of the E-layer ground-hop modes. It is also possible to obtain a similar map of exclusively F-layer ground-hop modes, by first subtracting both I_E and I_{FE} from I_F , and then, subtracting I_1 (only in the regions where $I_1 \neq 0$) from the resulting map. Of course, ground-hopping is forbidden in the remaining regions where $I_1 = 0$.



Figure 15. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant $\rm I_E$ at f=8 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number $^{\rm E}$ 50 in June at 12 UT



Figure 16. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant $\rm I_E$ at f= 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT



Figure 17. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant $I_{\rm F}$ at f= 8 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number -50 in June at 12 UT



Figure 18. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant $\rm I_F$ at f= 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT



Figure 19. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I_1 at f = 8 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT



Figure 20. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I_1 at f = 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT

7.4 Synoptic IFE Maps

The maps of I_{FE} (Figure 21 for 8 MHz and Figure 22 for 20 MHz) show the robustness of trapped mode propagation between the E- and F-layers. By comparing Figure 21 with Figure 15 for f_0E , we see that at 8 MHz this mode is primarily controlled by the E-layer; while comparing Figure 22 with Figures 14 and 15, indicates that at 20 MHz both the E- and the F-layers are influential. Figure 22 also illustrates how the RTW path discussed in Sections 5 and 6 was chosen to pass through regions that were more robust in trapped mode solutions at 20 MHz. A North-South path through the 60° E meridion would probably have been as good or better, but was not used because it would pass through auroral zones, which were not realistically modelled in IONCAP.

8. CONCLUSION

We have shown how the adiabatic invariant technique was developed and applied to the problem of describing the propagation modes along great-circle paths (or path segments) in order to qualitatively assess either ground-to-ground, groundto-satellite or satellite-to-satellite communications. We have demonstrated how to obtain quantitative results in the form of simulated ionograms. We have also indicated how it is possible to obtain a better understanding of global propagation characteristics via synoptic maps of I_E , I_F , I_{FE} and I_1 . We have discussed neither how to incorporate absorption effects into ionogram simulation nor how to prepare synoptic maps of absorption. This will be undertaken in future work.



Figure 21. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I_{FE} at f = 8 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number 50 in June at 12 UT



Figure 22. Synoptic Map of Adiabatic Invariant I_{FE} at f = 20 MHz for the IONCAP Model Ionosphere With Sunspot Number ^{FE}50 in June at 12 UT



and a second second

and the second states and the second s

S. C.

Figure 23. Great Circle Paths Emanating in Various Azimuthal Directions from the Point (42° N, 120° E)

References

- Bubenik, D. M., Fraser-Smith, A. C., Sweeney, L. E., Jr., and Villard, O. G., Jr. (1971) Observations of fine structure in high-frequency radio signals propagated around the world, J. Geophys. Res. 76(4):1088-1092.
- 2. Elkins, T.J., Toman, K., and Sales, G.S. (1980) Theoretical and Experimental Studies of HF Ducted Propagation, RADC-TR-80-360, AD A098944.
- 3. Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1980) Discrete structure of round-the-world signals, Geomagnetism and Aeronomy, 20(1):99-101.
- Gurevich, A.V. (1971) Effect of nonlinearity on the generation of circumterrestial signals, Geomagnetism and Aeronomy, 21(6):810-816.
- Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1975) Round-the-world radio wave propagation in ionospheric wave ducts, Geomagnetism and Aeronomy, 15(3):371-374.
- Fishchuk, D.I., and Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1980) Propagation of short radio waves on a mid-latitude round-the-world hop path, <u>Geomagnetism and Aeronomy</u>, 20(3):313-317.
- Woyk, E., (E. Chvojkova) (1959) The refraction of radio waves by a spherical ionized layer, J. Atmos. and Terr. Phys. 16(1/2):124-135.
- 8. Grinberg, G.A. (1943) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSR, Ser. Fiz. 7:99.

ALL WAARDEN

SALASSALAS - CLASSES

- Llovd, J. L., Haydon, G. W., Lucas, D. L., and Teters, L. R. T Estimating the Performance of Telecommunication Systems Using the Ionospheric Transmission Channel, Vol. 1, Techniques for Analyzing Ionospheric Effects Upon HF Systems, (to be published by NTIA/ITS).
- Lobachevskiy, L.A., Suares, J., Tushentsova, I.A. Fishchuk, D.I., and Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1979) Analysis of the conditions of propagation of decametric radio waves on a long path by the adiabatic method on a fixed frequency. I, <u>Geomagnetism and Aeronomy</u>, 19(3):314-319.
- 11. Borisov, N.D., Fishchuk, D.I., and Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1978) Frequency dependence of the group lag time of round-the-world signals, <u>Radiophysics</u> and <u>Quantum Electronics</u>, 21(3):255-260. <u>Radiophysics</u>

References

 Tushentsova, I.A., Fishchuk, D.I., and Tsedilina, Ye. Ye. (1974) Maps of the global detribution of some parameters of ionospheric wave ducts, <u>Preprint No. 5, IZMIRAN.</u>

 Zacharisen, D. H. World maps of F2 critical frequencies and maximum usable frequency factors, <u>NBS Tech. Note 2 (Apr 1959) and NBS Tech. Note 2/2</u> (Oct 1960).

X 🐼 **X** X 20

MISSION

of

Rome Air Development Center

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence (C³I) activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POS) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, ionospheric propagation, solid state sciences, microwave physics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.

୶ୡ୶ଽଡ଼୳ୡୄ୰ୡ୬ୄୡଡ଼ୢୄୄ୶ୡଡ଼ୄୡଡ଼ୄୢୄୄୡଡ଼ୄୄୡଡ଼ୄ

Printed by United States Air Force Hanscom AFB, Mass. 01731