



1 B B B

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF





Youth Attitude Tracking Study

Spring 1980

<u>A Report Prepared For:</u> The Department of Defense

Prepared By:

The Public Sector Research Group of Market Facts, Inc. 1750 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

August 1980

JOB NO. 6323 OMB # 22-R-0339

and the state of t				
SECURITY	LEASSIFIC	ATION O	in TH	IS PAGE

----_ _

		IF THIS PAGE	REPORT DOCU				
IN REPORT	SECURITY CLAS						
Unclassi		SIFICATION		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	ON AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION			
2b. DECLASS	FICATION / DOV	WNGRADING SCHE	DULE	is unlimit		release; d	distributio
4. PERFORMI	NG ORGANIZAT	TION REPORT NUN	18ER(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATIO	N REPORT NUM	RERISS
	6323			DMDC/MRB/T			
6a NAME O	FPERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	66 OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING OF	GANIZATION	
Market	Facts, Ir	nc.	(If applicable)	1		ta Center ((DMDC)
6 ADDRESS	(City, State, an	d ZIP Code)		7b ADDRESS (Ci			
1611 Nor	th Kent St	treet		1600 Wilso			
Arlingto	n, Virgini	ia 22209		Arlington,			
	FUNDING/SPO		86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9 PROCUREMEN		IDENTIFICATION	
ORGANIZ.	v_of_Defer	ice of	(If applicable)		D 0000		
8c. ADDRESS	<u>y or uerer</u> (City, State, and	d ZIP Code)	1 OSD/MIL/MPEM/AP	10. SOURCE OF	-R-0339	BERS	
Pentagon		00001		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO		
Washingt	on, D.C.	20301					ACCESSION
Principa 13a TYPE OF Iechnica	REPORT	136 TIME FROM	James T. Heisler COVERED	4 DATE OF REPO August 1980			AGE COUNT 108
Principa 13a TYPE OF Technica 16 SUPPLEME Data tap	1 Investig REPORT 1 Report ENTARY NOTAT	13b TIME FROM	covered to to at DMDC.	August 1980		2	08
Principa 13a TYPE OF Technica 15 SUPPLEME	1 Investig REPORT 1 Report ENTARY NOTAT	13b TIME FROM	COVERED TO available at DMDC. 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C	August 1980	e if necessary a	and identify by	08 block number)
Principa 13a TYPE OF Technica 15 SUPPLEME Data tap	I Investig REPORT I Report ENTARY NOTAT es and doc COSATI (13b TIME FROM TION CODES	covered to to at DMDC.	August 1980	e if necessary o	and identify by	08 block number) et/
Principa 13a TYPE OF Technica 15 SUPPLEME Data tap 12 FIELD 05 13 ABSTRACT	I Investig REPORT I Report ENTARY NOTAT ES and doc COSATIO GROUP 09 (Continue on o	13b TIME FROM	COVERED TO TO available at DMDC. 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Military/Manpow Research/Non-pr y and identity by block of	August 1980 ontinue on reversa ver/Active Fo tior service	e if necessary o orce/Recru and Prior	and identify by iting/Mark service/M	block number) et/ ale
Principa 13a TYPE OF <u>Iechnica</u> 15 SUPPLEME <u>Data tap</u> 16 SUPPLEME <u>Data tap</u> 17 FIELD 05 19 3 ABSTRACT The dents ard Program, tegies. 1975, it military and resen pensity did not enlist. financia	l Investig REPORT l Report I Report ENTARY NOTAT es and doc COSATIO GROUP 09 (Continue on or Youth Atti e selected contribut In 1983, tracks th 16 to 21 rve duty. to enlist individual indicate. YATS incl incentiv	IBD TIME FROM	COVERED TO available at DMDC. 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Military/Manpow Research/Non-pr	August 1980 ontinue on reverse ver/Active Finite ior service (mber) a telephone is a component into and was ceptions, and ture service into two greater ose with a provides time or service into two greater into two	e intervie orce/Recru and Prior e intervie nent of th he develop renamed Y nd pre-enl e in the m pups: tho positive p efinitely ney would recruiter ne series	and identify by iting/Mark service/M w survey i e Joint Ma ment of re ATS II. I istment be ilitary for se with a ropensity. or probabl definitely s, and know data about	08 block number) et/ ale n which res rket Resear cruiting st nitiated in havior of n r both acti negative pr Negative pr Negative y not enlis or probabl wledge of t
Principa 13a TYPE OF <u>Iechnica</u> 15 SUPPLEME <u>Data tap</u> 27 FIELD 05 19 ABSTRACT The dents ard Program, tegies. 1975, it military and resen pensity did not enlist. financia of young	I Investig REPORT REPORT I Report I Report ENTARY NOTAT es and doc COSATION COSATION GROUP 09 (Continue on or Youth Atti e selected contribut In 1983, tracks th 16 to 21 rve duty. to enlist individual indicate. YATS incl I incentive men and we	IBD TIME FROM	COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	August 1980 ontinue on reverse ver/Active Fe ior service (mber) a telephone is a compo- iation and the ion and was ceptions, and ture service into two gree ose with a phey would de als said the ontact with provides time y. Through	e if necessary o orce/Recru and Prior e intervie nent of th he develop renamed Y nd pre-enl e in the m pups: tho positive p efinitely ney would recruiter ne series the Sprin	and identify by iting/Mark service/M w survey i e Joint Ma ment of re ATS II. I istment be ilitary for se with a ropensity. or probabl, definitely s, and know data about g of 1980,	08 block number) et/ ale n which res rket Resear cruiting st nitiated in havior of n r both acti negative pr Negative pr Negative y not enlis or probabl wledge of t
Principa 13a TYPE OF Iechnica 15 SUPPLEME Data tap 16 SUPPLEME Data tap 17 FIELD 05 19 20 ABSTRACT The dents are Program, tegies. 1975, it military and reserved pensity did not enlist. financia of young 10 OSTRIBUT 20 DATE OF	I Investig REPORT REPORT I Report I Report I Report I Report I Report I Report I Report I Report COSATION GROUP O9 (Continue on of Youth Atti e selected contribut In 1983, tracks th 16 to 21 rve duty. to enlist individual indicate. YATS incl I incentive men and we HED/UNCIMITE RESPONSIBLE	IBD TIME FROM_ TION CODES SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP reverse if necessar tude Trackin by random of ting to recru YATS underwe re self-repor year olds w Respondents in the activ s stated in Positive pr udes adverti es for enlis tomen to enli	COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	August 1980 ontinue on reverse ver/Active Fe tion service (mber) a telephone is a composi- is a composi- iation and tak- tion and was ceptions, and ture service into two gree- ose with a phay would de als said the ontact with provides tin- y. Through 21 ABSDRACT SEC- Unclass	e if necessary o orce/Recru and Prior e intervie nent of th he develop renamed Y nd pre-enl e in the m oups: tho positive p efinitely ney would recruiter ne series the Sprin CORITY CLASSIF ified nolude Area Co	and identify by iting/Mark service/M w survey i e Joint Ma ment of re ATS II. I istment be ilitary for se with a ropensity. or probabl definitely s, and know data about g of 1980,	block number) et/ ale n which res rket Resear cruiting st nitiated in havior of n r both acti negative pr Negative pr Negative pr Negative f y not enlis or probabl wledge of t the propen males only
Principa 13a TYPE OF Iechnica 15 SUPPLEME Data tap Data tap 16 SUPPLEME Data tap 17 FIELD 05 19 20 ABSTRACT The dents ard Program, tegies. 1975, it military and resenverthe pensity pensity did not enlist. financia of young 0 OSTRIBUT 20 DATE OF Lica Square	I Investig REPORT REPORT REPORT REPORT I Report ENTARY NOTAT ESTANDARY COSATION COSATIO	IBD TIME FROM FROM CODES SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP Teverse if necessar tude Trackin by random of ting to recru YATS underwe be self-repor year olds w Respondents in the activ s stated in Positive pr udes adverti es for enlis tomen to enli	COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	August 1980 ontinue on reverse ver/Active Fe ior service (mber) a telephone is a composi- iation and taken ion and was ceptions, and ture service into two green ose with a provides time y. Through 21 ABSTRACT SEC Unclass 225 FEEDHONE (0 (702) 606-55	e if necessary o orce/Recru and Prior e intervie nent of th he develop renamed Y nd pre-enl e in the m pups: tho positive p efinitely ney would recruiter ne series the Sprin	and identify by iting/Mark service/M w survey i e Joint Ma ment of re ATS II. I istment be ilitary for se with a ropensity. or probabl, definitely s, and known data about g of 1980, ICATION Me) 22C OFFICE DMD	block number) et/ ale n which res rket Resear cruiting st nitiated in havior of n r both acti negative pr Negative y not enlis or probabl wledge of t the propen males only
Principa 13a TYPE OF Iechnica 15 SUPPLEME Data tap 16 SUPPLEME Data tap 17 FIELD 05 19 20 ABSTRACT The dents are Program, tegies. 1975, it military and reserved pensity did not enlist. financia of young 10 OSTRIBUT 20 DATE OF	I Investig REPORT REPORT REPORT REPORT I Report ENTARY NOTAT ESTANDARY COSATION COSATIO	IBD TIME FROM FROM CODES SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP Teverse if necessar tude Trackin by random of ting to recru YATS underwe be self-repor year olds w Respondents in the activ s stated in Positive pr udes adverti es for enlis tomen to enli	COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	August 1980 ontinue on reverse ver/Active Fer tion service (mber) a telephone a telephone is a compo- hation and the ion and was ceptions, and ture service into two greater ose with a phay would do als said the ontact with provides time y. Through 21 ABSTRACT SEC (703) 696-56 (100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100	e if necessary o orce/Recru and Prior e intervie nent of th he develop renamed Y nd pre-enl e in the m pups: tho positive p efinitely ney would recruiter ne series the Sprin	and identify by iting/Mark service/M w survey i e Joint Ma ment of re ATS II. I istment be ilitary for se with a ropensity. or probabl, definitely s, and known data about g of 1980, ICATION Me) 22C OFFICE DMD	block number) et/ ale n which res rket Resear cruiting st nitiated in havior of n r both acti negative pr Negative y not enlis or probabl wledge of t the propen males only

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

were tracked on a semi-annual basis. Beginning with the Fall 1980 survey, the sample size was doubled to include females. Subsequent surveys have been conducted annually and include cross-sectional samples of both sexes.

The 1980 YATS conducted 5217 interviews with young males in the Spring. In Fall 1980, YATS included females for the first time, interviewing 5111 males and 5252 females in that wave. The Spring wave marked a significant reversal of the downward trend in propensity observed across the first eight waves of the study. The Spring 1980 data supported the hypothesis of an inverse relationship between propensity and employment and job market perceptions. It also revealed a significant positive shift in the collective perceptions and attitudes of 16 to 21 year old males towards a draft registration. Again in the Fall 1980 wave an interest in military service appears to be linked to young peoples' reported employment and job market perception. This is the Spring study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

į.

Ţ.

7

Ì.

N.

₩. -

33

. , j

		Page
INTE	RODUCTION	1
	Background and Objectives	2
	Study Design	3
	Content of the Interview	· 7
	Analytic Comments	• 7
EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	8
	Introduction	9
	Major Conclusions of the Study	9
	National Trends in Propensity	10
	Differences in Tracking Areas	11
	Attitudes and Perceptions with Respect to Job	
	Characteristics	11
	Active Duty Positive Propensity Respondents Target Market	• •
	Profile	13
	Advertising Awareness	14
	Attitudes Toward Enlistment Incentives	15
	1. Perceptions of the Current Offer	16
	2. Most Effective Incentives	17
	 Whether Changes are Warranted 	17
	Draft Registration Attitudes	18
	Recruitment Strategy Implications	19
	1. Job Placement	19
	 Recruiter Contact and Aptitude Testing In Schools Enlistment Incentives 	20
	3. Enlistment Incentives	20
1.	NATIONAL TRENDS SPRING 1979 vs. SPRING 1980	22
	1.1 Definition of Propensity	24
	1.2 Changes in Propensity: Spring 1979 to Spring 1980	25
	1.3 Reasons for Not Enlisting in the Military	30
	1.4 Changes in Variables Related to Propensity	32
	1.5 Key Demographics	39
[1.	KEY RESULTS BY TRACKING AREA	43
	2.1 Positive Propensity by Tracking Area	45
	2.2 Propensity to Work as a Laborer on Construction Jobs	58
	2.3 Academic Achievement and Derived Quality Index	61
	2.4 Recalled Recruiter Contact	69
	2.5 Type of Recent Recruiter Contact	72
	2.6 Perceived Adequacy of Information Received from the	
	Recruiter	76
	2.7 Other Activities Concerning Enlistment	80
	2.8 Perceived Difficulty of Obtaining Either a Full Time or	<i></i>
	Part Time Job	83

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

2.25.25.1 S.25.2

Soc. Are

3

Page

	3	τττ	ΔΝΔΓ.Υ	SIS OF TARGET MARKETS	89
Ĩ.	<u> </u>	111.			
				Probability of Serving	92 95
S.				Demographic Variables	95 99
30	4 19			Importance of Job Characteristics	101
18	-			Achievability of Job Characteristics	101
1	2		3.5	Information Sources, Actions Taken, Advertising Recall,	107
1	2		2 6	Recruiter Contact, Influencers	112
				Relationships Between Propensity and Recruiter Contact Enlistment Decision Process	112
24				High School Graduates Not in School	114
Ŕ,	3		3.0	nigh school staddates hot in school	110
	-	IV.	ADVER	TISING AWARENESS	125
×.,					107
-	-			Top-of-Mind Awareness of Specific Services	127
				Advertising Content Recall	130
	8		4.3	Recognition of Service Advertising Slogans	145
S.	Ø	v.	ANALY	SIS OF ENLISTMENT INCENTIVES	149
2.4	<u></u>				
			5.1	The Impact of a Modification in Educational Assistance	152
				on Enlistment Intent	153
\mathcal{O}_{i}	-		5.2	The Impact of Increased Starting Pay on Enlistment	150
\mathcal{A}^{*}	2		- - -	Intent	159
	28		5.3	The Impact of Increased Cash Bonuses on Enlistment Intent	167
ŞŞ	4	VI.	PERCE	PTIONS AND ATTITUDES TOWARD DRAFT REGISTRATION	179
55			6.1	Perceived Need for Draft Registration	181
55	3			Attitudes Toward Draft Registration	184
3	2			-	
		APPEN	IDICES		190
100					
Â.	Ň				
1	9				
ta Ta	.				
1					
5	2				
1	23				
2.2					
- A4	M				
	3				
XXXXXX					
\mathbf{x}	4				
	3				
8	•				
4					
1	5				
2.3	and the second second			and the second secon	المحمد والمحاجر
					Laffaldala

Page l

INTRODUCTION

•

ŀ .

•

ς.

Carla C.

• •

INTRODUCTION

This report covers the tenth wave of the Youth Attitude Tracking Study. The rationale for conducting this study as well as the survey design and objectives are described in the Introduction to the report of the first wave (Fall 1975). For the reader's convenience, the following comments about the study's background and objectives are reprinted from that report.

Background and Objectives

There are a number of factors that are related to a young man's decision to enlist in a military service. Factors such as national unemployment and regional cultural environments can have a strong bearing upon enlistment. Other factors related to enlistment behavior include youth's general attitudes concerning military service and their awareness of the opportunities provided by the services. These factors, especially awareness, are influenced largely by promotion and advertising as well as the many activities of service recruiters. Youth's attitudes and awareness also reflect the impact of various other influencers, such as their peers, parents and family, teachers, coaches, counselors, and ex-servicemen.

General attitudes concerning military service can change over time partially because the potential market of 16 to 21 year old youth changes every year as new youth enter and older ones leave this age bracket. The outcome of recruiting efforts can be influenced by altering military service attributes such as salaries, bonuses, training options, length of service, and so on. The military services can also directly influence the propensity to serve through increasing awareness of these attributes and by improving attitudes by means of promotion, advertising and recruiter efforts. Indirectly, improved awareness and attitudes can also be achieved by improving the awareness and attitudes of the influencers of potential enlistment prospects.

In order to compete effectively in the youth labor market, the Department of Defense has a continuing need to obtain current attitudinal information concerning the nation's youth. The principal purpose of this survey, therefore, is to provide the Department and the services with valid, timely, and actionable data concerning the male youth labor market on a continuing semiannual tracking basis. This survey deals with propensity to serve in the military; effectiveness of advertising and recruiting efforts; impact of influencers; importance and achievability of certain attributes; and characterizations of youth by such factors as their demographics.

The information gathered in each of the 10 waves of this study has three fundamental objectives. The first objective is to gather information that has common utility for all the military services.

Secondly, 26 special recruiting areas have been isolated throughout the country so that special analyses can be performed on each of them. These areas, referred to as Tracking Areas, comprise one or more geographic units of each of the services: Recruiting Detachments or Squadrons (Air Force), District Recruiting Commands (Army), Recruiting Stations (Marine Corps), and Recruiting Districts (Navy). Each service is able to track the study variables over time within actionable geographic areas defined by recruiting boundaries of each service.

Thirdly, the study is designed to provide observations over time so that changes in attitudes and behavior can be detected and appraised, and recruiting strategies modified accordingly.

Study Design

As in each of the previous waves, the survey sample included 16 to 21 year-old males who do not have prior or current military involvement and who are not beyond their second year of college. In the Spring 1980 wave, a total of 5,217 interviews were completed. The survey employed telephone interviewing. Respondents were selected on the basis of randomly-generated telephone numbers. Approximately 200 interviews were completed in each of the 26 tracking areas. These geographic areas account for 100% of the "military available" male population in the continental U.S. Thus, the study provides statistically valid samples for each tracking area and allows computation of total U.S. estimates.

The 26 tracking areas are as follows:

- New York City
- Albany/Buffalo

- Harrisburg
- Washington, D.C.
- Florida
- Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee
- Ohio

0

Ç

Ş

- Michigan/Indiana
- Chicago
- Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota
- Texas
- Southern California/Arizona
- Northern California
- Philadelphia
- Boston
- Pittsburgh
- Richmond/North Carolina
- South Carolina/Georgia
- New Orleans
- Arkansas
- Kentucky
- Des Moines
- Wisconsin
- New Mexico/Colorado/Wyoming
- Washington/Oregon
- Kansas City/Oklahoma

In the first two waves of the study (Fall 1975 and Spring 1976) Lowever, only the first 13 tracking areas (New York City to Northern California) were studied independently. The remainder of the country was treated as one area and was referred to as "balance of the country."

Detailed tabulations referred to in this report are given in five volumes. Volumes 1 and 2, which constitute most of the analyses reported in this study, contain both Spring 1979 and Spring 1980 data for those questions which were the same in both waves. The five volumes of tabulations are as follows:

Volume 1: By Individual Tracking Area

- Volume 2: By Enlistment Propensity Toward Active Duty in the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps, Navy and Coast Guard
- Volume 3: By Schooling Status and Grades in High School
- Volume 4: By Age, Race and Quality Groups
- Yolume 5: By Enlistment Propensity Toward Reserves and the National Guard and by Pro-Military Index

The interviewing for this wave took place between March 31, 1980 and May 9, 1980.

Content of the Interview

The interview focused on the following areas of information:

- (1) Respondent demographics
 - Age
 - Marital status
 - Racial/ethnic affiliation
 - Education
 - Employment
- (2) Propensity to enlist in the military and stated reasons against enlisting

- (3) Nature and outcome of recruiter contact
- (4) Information-seeking activities about enlistment involving self, recruiters, and other influencers
- (5) Conversations with certain influencers about serving in the military
- (6) Perceived attitudes of certain influencers toward serving in the military
- (7) Assessment of the importance of job characteristics and their perceived attainability in the military
- (8) Assessment of advertising recall and slogan identification
- (9) Attitudes toward draft registration
- (10) Effect of various incentives on enlistment propensity

The study design permits the inclusion of new elements and the deletion or modification of others from time to time, as the information needs of the Department of Defense and the services change. The current survey has several such changes.

The following question appearing in the previous (Fall 1979) wave was deleted: awareness and understanding of the Delayed Entry Program. The list of job characteristics was modified by replacing "doing challenging work" and "being able to make your own decisions on the job" with two attributes examined in previous waves: "doing something for your country" and "adventure and excitement."

The question pertaining to the relative effect on enlistment propensity of increases and changes in the bonus policy was modified to include one additional characteristic: "you can select your place of assignment." At the same time, questions concerning the following issues were added: awareness of enlistment bonus; awareness of starting monthly pay and relative effect of current pay level on enlistment propensity; perceived need for a draft registration; and reasons for not wanting to enlist.

Analytic Comments

The following important analytic comments are reprinted from previous reports.

In such a large study, many results are likely to appear which are due solely to chance or sampling variance. In order to minimize the effect of such spurious findings, this report delineates those results which are unlikely to be due to chance or sample idiosyncrasies. Specifically, when the report indicates that a finding is significant, this means that there is less than a five percent likelihood that such a result would occur solely due to chance.

The use of stratified sampling in this study necessitates that respondents be weighted unequally. Accordingly, it is not correct to assess standard errors by methods which would be appropriate with unweighted data. When the correct procedures are applied, standard errors average 10% greater than those obtained by applying the procedures ordinarily used with unweighted data. Hence critical values for statistical significance were adjusted upwards by 10% in tests of significance on the national sample (See Appendix I).

Finally, the primary focus of the analysis is Spring-to-Spring changes in key measures. Nevertheless, the reader should review the previous nine reports in order to understand the pattern of the data over the full 4½ year period in which this study has been conducted.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ŀ

ĺ

Ę

ł

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

This is a report of the tenth wave (Spring 1980) of the Youth Attitude Tracking Study. This study was initiated in Fall 1975 and is a cross-sectional tracking of youth attitudes, perceptions, and behavior with respect to serving in the military. The attitudinal and behavioral data discussed in this report are based on 5,217 randomly selected males between the ages of 16 and 21. As in each wave, the data were collected in an approximately 30 minute telephone interview. The sample was stratified in terms of 26 geographical areas (tracking areas) encompassing the Continental U.S. Approximately 200 interviews were conducted in each area.

Major Conclusions of the Study

The Spring 1980 wave marks a significant reversal of the downward trend in propensity observed across the first eight waves of the study (Fall 1975 to Spring 1979). Reported positive propensity for each of the active duty services increased significantly from Spring 1979, reaching the highest levels recorded in the past three to four years.

Throughout this series of studies, fluctuations in propensity have been explained in terms of changes in reported employment and job market perceptions. The Spring 1980 data support this relationship. The increase in propensity figures parallels significant year-to-year declines in the level of reported employment and significant year-to-year increases in the proportion of young men who express pessimism about finding

employment in their areas. Hence, the current recession economy may help the services to meet their manpower needs.

The Spring 1980 wave also reveals a significant positive shift in the perceptions and attitudes of 16 to 21 year old males as a group towards a draft registration.

National Trends in Propensity

In the present wave, 32.8% of the respondents expressed positive propensity for one or more of the active duty services. This is not only a significant increase from Spring 1979 (27.0%), but is also the highest level of this figure recorded in the ten waves of the study. Unaided mention of plans to enter military service also increased significantly from year to year (4.2% vs. 5.8%). This statistic is based on asking respondents what they think they might be doing in the next several years.

The propensity data for the five Spring waves are summarized below. The services are rank ordered in terms of expressed propensity. This order has remained constant across the ten waves of the study.

	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring '79- Spring '80	Percent ' Change Spring '76-
	76	•77	• 78	י 79	• 80 °	Difference*	Spring '80**
			8				
Air Force	17.5	15.7	17.0	14.1	18.3	+4.2	+4.6%
Navy	16.4	15.2	15.2	13.5	15.8	+2.3	-3.6%
Агту	13.1	11.8	12.4	11.2	14.5	+3.3	+10.7%
Marine Corps	11.8	10.7	11.4	9.6	12.1	+2.5	+2.5%
Any Active Duty Service	24.8	29.6	31.1	27.0	32.8	+5.8	32.2%

National Trends in Propensity

 The differences shown for each service are statistically significant at the .95 level of confidence.

** Represents the Spring '76 - Spring '80 difference as a percentage of the Spring '76 figure.

In each wave of this study, a number of behavioral, perceptual, and demographic variables have discriminated between individuals who express positive propensity and those who express negative propensity. These variables have helped to explain, in part, the observed changes in propensity. While positive propensity increased significantly from Spring 1979, most of these variables remained unchanged. The following key variables, however, showed significant changes from Spring 1979.

Increased Significantly

- Talked with girlfriend/ wife about enlisting
- Mothers perceived to be in favor of enlisting
- Reported school attendance

Differences by Tracking Areas

Decreased Significantly

- Took military aptitude test in high school
- Reported employment

As in previous waves, the Southern states continue to be the strongest recruiting markets. The following tracking area appears to be particularly good for the services: South Carolina/Georgia. The meakest tracking areas, on the other hand, appear to be in the industrial northern markets, especially New York City. In general there has been little change in the strong and weak tracking areas over time.

Attitudes and Perceptions with Respect to Job Characteristics

For the military services to compete with other public and private employment sectors in the economy, it is essential that the "military job" be perceived as encompassing valued job attributes. Accordingly, this study has tracked the value 16 to 21 year old males attach to certain job characteristics and their perceptions with respect to where these job characteristics

can be more readily realized; in military service or in a civilian job. The results of these questions are summarized below.

Positive propensity men value these job attributes most:

- Enjoy your job
- Good income
- Job security
- Teaches valuable trade/skill
- Opportunity for good family life
- Developing your potential

but, they perceive the following job attributes to be more achievable in a civilian job:

- Enjoy your job
- Good income
- Opportunity for good family life

These three attributes represent advertising and recruiting opportunities for the services.

Negative propensity men value these job attributes the most:

- Enjoy your job
- Good income
- Job security
- Developing your potential
- Opportunity for good family life
- Employer treats you well

and they perceive all but "job security" to be more achievable in a civilian job. Communications that address the above six attributes would help to increase the appeal of military service among negative propensity men.

Active Duty Positive Propensity Respondents Target Market Profile

The demographic, attitudinal, and behavioral profile of the positive propensity individual has not changed since the first wave of this study. He can be described in contrast to his negative propensity peers, as:

• Younger

3

d

- More likely to be non-white
- More likely to be unemployed
- Less educated
- Having a less educated father
- Having lower values on the Quality Index (a measure of educational ability)
- Believing that the military is relatively more likely to enable him to achieve certain job characteristics
- Feeling more favorable about enlisting after talking to a service recruiter
- Having had recruiter contact
- Having sought information about the military by mail or by phone
- Having discussed entering the military with parents, friends, or teachers/guidance counselors
- Feeling relatives and friends support his joining the service
- Having positive propensity for more than one service
- Having taken an aptitude or career guidance test in high school given by the Armed Services
- More motivated to enlist should any of the following be offered: educational assistance, starting pay increases, cash bonus increases.

The findings from this series of studies suggest that the four active duty services appear to be drawing from a common pool of military available males, rather than from distinct segments of this population. That is, they are attracting a group of men whose demographics, perceptions and attitudes are fairly similar. In many cases they are appealing to the same individuals. This is reflected in the fact that over one-half of the positive propensity individuals in each wave of this study express positive propensity for two or more services.

These findings suggest, therefore, that the enlistment decision is at least a two-step process. First the individual decides upon the military and then chooses among the different services. This is comparable to the classic marketing paradigm where the consumer chooses to buy the product and then chooses among alternative brands.

Advertising Awareness

During the three-year period in which advertising awareness data have been collected, awareness of service advertising has increased significantly for each source of recruitment advertising. Three years ago, approximately one-in-two respondents expressed awareness of service advertising. Presently, this proportion ranges from two-thirds to four-out-of-five respondents. While the increases in awareness for each advertising source have been substantial, the Army has experienced by far the largest increase (+ 44%).

The advertising awareness data are summarized below:

Percent Aware of Advertising by Source

Advertising Source	Spring <u>'77</u> %_	Fall <u>•77</u> <u>8</u>	Spring '78	Fall <u>'78</u>	Spring <u>'79</u> <u>%</u>	Fall <u>'79</u> <u>-</u> 8	Spring <u>'80</u>	Spring '79- Spring '80 Difference	Percent Change* Spring '77- Spring '80**
Army	56.0	64.4	66.3	70.4	74.0	78.1	80.8	+6.8*	+44%
Navy	55.3	62.0	58.1	63.9	71.5	73.6	70.3	-1.2	+27%
Marine Corps	52.1	63.0	59.9	65.1	66.0	69.6	70.6	+4.6*	+36%
Air Force	49.2	59.1	54.8	60.3	62.2	65.0	66.6	+4.4	+35%
Joint Services				53.1	66.2	62.0	68.5	+2.3	+29%***

The differences shown are statistically significant at the .95 level of confidence.

** Represents the Spring . 77 - Spring '80 difference as a percentage of the Spring '77 figure.

*** Represents the Fall '78 - Spring '80 difference as a percentage of the Fall '78 figure, since no data were collected prior to Fall '78.

The nature of the most memorable advertising messages has changed over time. Messages about educational benefits and learning a trade are becoming more dominant advertising themes, as measured by service advertising awareness. The fact that target market men value job characteristics that pertain to improving oneself suggests that this change in copy recall is a positive trend.

Attitudes Toward Enlistment Incentives

This study examined potential changes in three enlistment incentives:

- Educational assistance (eliminating monthly contribution by enlistees)
- Increases in current monthly starting pay (\$50, \$100, \$200)
- Changes in the current bonus policy (\$4,000 and \$5,000, each where the recruit can select the place of assignment; \$3,000, \$4,000, \$5,000, each where the recruit cannot select the place of assignment)

Respondents were asked three questions about these incentives:

- Awareness of current offer
- Likelihood of enlisting given availability of current offer
- Likelihood of enlisting given availability of proposed changes in current offer

The information on incentives gathered in this study provides the services with guidance in addressing three key recruiting strategy issues:

- What are perceptions of the current offer
- Which incentives are likely to be most effective
- Whether proposed changes in current incentives are warranted

1. Perceptions of Current Offer

Awareness of cash bonuses and current monthly starting pay appears to be poor. Few young men knew that the services offer cash bonuses. Moreover, they greatly underestimate the current level of both cash bonuses and monthly starting pay. On the other hand, awareness of the fact that the services offer educational benefits is quite high. Despite this high level of awareness, a substantial proportion of respondents indicated that they would be more likely to consider enlisting given the availability of educational assistance benefits in general, when made aware of the specifics of the current offer. This suggests that providing information about the content of the current educational assistance offer may be an effective meruiting strategy.

2. Most Effective Incentives

Both the current and modified versions of educational assistance and cash bonuses investigated here produce more of a positive impact on enlistment intentions than do the current and increased levels of starting pay. While both educational assistance and cash bonuses appeal to a substantial proportion of negative propensity males, their greatest appeal is to youth for whom the military is already attractive:

- the youngest
- the least educated
- those with average to below-average educational abilities

3. Whether Changes are Warranted

Changes in educational assistance and cash bonuses investigated in this study do not appear to be warranted. The proposed changes in these two incentives produce no more of a positive impact on enlistment intentions than do the current offer for each of these incentives, when respondents are made aware of both the current offers and changes.

Compared to the current offer, increases in monthly starting pay of \$100 and \$200 result in larger proportions of young men indicating that they would be more likely to consider enlisting given the availability of these higher levels of pay. While this might suggest that a pay increase of at least \$100 a month is warranted, the fact that this incentive appears to be less attractive to young men than either educational assistance or cash bonuses might make this less effective than increasing awareness of the current educational assistance and cash bonus offers.

By way of summary, the data suggest that the increases in educational assistance and cash bonuses investigated here appear to have less of an effect on enlistment intentions than does increasing awareness of the current offers for each of these incentives. This suggests that the first priority should be given to addressing this awareness issue.

Draft Registration Attitudes

Since the Spring 1979 wave, respondents have been asked a series of questions with respect to their perceptions regarding the need for a draft registration, the degree to which they favor or oppose registration, and the relative effect of a draft registration on their enlistment intentions. Interviewing for the current wave was concluded just prior to the President's State-of-the-Union address.

There appears to have been a significant positive shift in the perceptions and attitudes of 16 to 21 year old males as a group towards a draft registration. Significant wave-towave increases occurred with respect to the proportion of 16 to 21 year old males who:

- Perceive a need for a draft registration
- Favor a draft registration
- Would be more likely to consider enlisting if there was a draft registration

Relative to others in the survey sample, the registrationrelated perceptions and attitudes of negative propensity youth, older individuals and those who have attained a higher level of education are less favorable. Nevertheless, the perceptions and attitudes of these individuals also have shifted in a positive direction in the last six months.

Recruitment Strategy Implications

Beginning with the Spring 1979 wave of this study, the findings have been discussed in terms of recruitment strategy implications. These have been discussed under the following headings:

• Perceptions of services

- Indirect communications
- Job placement
- Recruiter contact and aptitude testing in schools
- Enlistment incentives

The strategies discussed in the previous reports are still relevant. Those pertaining to perceptions of services have been discussed earlier. With respect to indirect communications, the data again suggest that the services should direct attention to the role that parents and friends play in the enlistment decision-making process.

The remaining three areas of implications warrant further comment.

1. Job Placement

The predominant motivation for enlisting appears to be a desire to use the military experience as a stepping-stone to a desirable civilian job. It is for this reason that the appeal of military service is greatest among those who are the least employable in the civilian sector. The services must avoid the image of the military as the "last alternative" and create an image of military service as the equal of alternative job opportunities. This may require more than changes in recruiting communications. It may be necessary to modify current policies to:

- Page 20
- Provide volunteers with more opportunities to assume military jobs that are similar to the kinds of jobs they desire
- Allow greater opportunities for individuals to select their place of assignment

Given the apparent dynamics of enlistment propensity, the current recession economy may provide the services with a greater opportunity to attract more qualified individuals who now may not be able to find satisfactory employment in the civilian labor market.

2. Recruiter Contact and Aptitude Testing In Schools

There are a number of important factors in the recruiting environment that the services can directly influence. Among these factors are recruiter contact and aptitude testing in schools. Until the present wave, reported recruiter contact with each service exhibited a downward trend. With the Spring 1980 wave, this downward trend, at least for the moment, has leveled off. However, the reported level of taking the ASVAB in schools continues to decline significantly. This figure has dropped approximately 30% from Fall 1975 to Spring 1980. As stated in the Fall 1979 report, the services should be attempting to understand this decline and take steps to correct it.

3. Enlistment Incentives

Prior to making any changes in educational assistance, monthly starting pay, and cash bonuses, the services should initiate efforts to increase awareness of the specifics of the current offer for each of these incentives, especially cash bonuses and educational assistance. The impact on enlistment intentions of increasing awareness is likely to be equivalent to that which would be generated by most of the proposed changes in these incentives investigated here. Although its impact on enlistment intentions may be less than that generated by cash bonuses and educational assistance, special attention also should be given to increasing the awareness of the current level of starting pay.

Finally, the services should give serious consideration to allowing more recruits to choose their place of assignment as an enlistment incentive. Whether or not coupled with another incentive (e.g., cash bonus), it appears to be very appealing to 16 to 21 year old males.

SECTION I

....

NATIONAL TRENDS SPRING 1979 VS. SPRING 1980

٠.

SECTION I

National Trends - Spring 1979 to Spring 1980

The criterion measure in this study is the rated likelihood of serving on active duty in each of the four military services. This measure is referred to as enlistment propensity and is categorized as either being <u>positive</u> or <u>negative</u>. In Section I, changes in propensity and the variables that are related to enlistment propensity are examined. The principal time frame for the analysis is Spring 1980. Key national data from the previous nine waves, however, also are presented in order to examine the pattern of these data over time.

The data discussed in Section I are based on total U.S. data obtained from the 26 tracking areas. The data are weighted. The rationale for weighting the data as well as the procedure used are described in Appendix III. The sampling plan is described in more detail in Appendix II. Page 24 1.1 - Definition of Propensity

Propensity is an attitudinal measure which summarizes the degree to which young men are predisposed to joining the military. Propensity is operationally defined as follows: Respondents first are asked how likely they would be to serve in the military in the next few years. The question then is repeated for each of the four active duty services plus the National Guard, Reserves and Coast Guard. A 4-point scale of likelihood is used. Respondents were classified into either having positive propensity or negative propensity based on answering the question as follows:



Throughout this series of reports reference is made to positive and negative propensity respondents, specifically, the sample of respondents is segmented into these two groups. Those in the positive propensity group are individuals who indicated positive propensity for <u>one or more of the four active duty</u> <u>services</u>. The negative propensity group is comprised of young men who indicated negative propensity for <u>all four active duty</u> <u>services</u>.

1.2 - Changes in Propensity: Spring 1979 to Spring 1980

In the Spring 1980 wave, 32.8% of the respondent's interviewed expressed positive propensity for one or more active duty services. This is a statistically significant increase from Spring 1979 at which time the figure was 27.0%. Over time the ratio of respondents who express positive propensity for any active duty service has been approximately one-in-four to onein-three. The current figure is the highest recorded in the 10 waves of the study.

Positive propensity for <u>each</u> of the four active duty services also increased significantly from Spring 1979 to Spring 1960. As Figure 1.1 shows, the Air Force (+4.2 percentage points) and the Army (+3.3 percentage points) registered the highest yearto-year increases.

Unaided mention of plans to enter military service (i.e., Pro-Military Index) also increased significantly from Spring 1979 to Spring 1980 (4.2% vs. 5.8%). The index is based on asking respondents what they think they might be doing during the next few years. In each wave of the study, fluctuations in the Pro-Military Index have paralleled changes in reported positive propensity. The correspondence between these two attitudinal measures of enlistment intentions appears to be continuing (see Figure 1.2).

The positive propensity data for each service and the Pro-Military Index data recorded in each of the 10 waves of this study are summarized in Table 1.1. The table shows that the current propensity figures for each service are at the highest

FIGURE 1.1

POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN SPECIFIC SERVICES

-

]

1

	<u>_%</u>	Spring '79-'80 Change	Statistically Significant
Air Force			
Spring '76	17.5		
Spring '77	15.7		
Spring '78	17.0		
Spring '791 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14.1		
Spring '80 MIMIMIMIMIMI	18.3	+4.2	Yes
Army			
Spring '76	13.1		
Spring '77] 🖬 🖬 🖬 🕷	11.8		
Spring '78	12.4		
Spring '79	11.2		
	14.5	+3.3	Yes
Marine Corps			
Spring '76	11.8		
Spring '77	10.7		
Spring '78	11.4		
Spring '79	9.6		
Spring '80[32] 11 12 12 1	12.1	+2.5	Yes
Navy			
Spring '76	16.4		
Spring '77 MINIMINI	15.2		
Spring '78 1100 	15.2		
Spring '79	13.5		
	15.8	+2.3	Yes

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 5a

888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 - 1888 -

FIGURE 1.2

UNAIDED MENTION OF MILITARY SERVICE AMONG PLANS FOR THE NEXT FEW YEARS

	<u></u>	Spring '79-'80 Change	Statistically Significant
Spring '76 W [W [W] W]]	5.7		
Spring '77	4.5		
Spring '78 M I M I M I M I	4.4		
Spring '79 III III III III	4.2		
Spring '80	5.8	+1.6	Yes

Base: All Respondents

1

ŝ

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$

2

Ň

2

5

944974591=1555555551=122424444441955555

Source: Question 31
TABLE 1.1

POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN SPECIFIC SERVICES AND UNAIDED MENTION OF PLANS TO ENTER THE MILITARY

	Fall '75 X	Spring 176 X	Fall '76 Z	Spring '77 Z	Fall '77 "	Spring 178 X	Fall '78 '	Spring 179 Z	Fall '79 Z	Spring 180 X
Air Force	20.4	17.5	17.9	15.7	15.7	17.0	15.6	14.1	15.3	18.3
Arny	18.4	13.1	14.5	11.8	12.7	12.4	11.8	11.2	11.8	14.5
Marine Corps	14.9	11.8	12.4	10.7	11.0	11.4	10.0	9.6	10.0	12.1
Navy	19.6	16.4	16.5	15.2	15.5	15.2	14.4	13.5	13.4	15.8
Propensity for any active duty service	31.2	24.8	26.4	29.6	29.9	31.1	28.2	27.0	27.6	32.8
Unaided mention of plans to enter military (Pro-Military Index)	8.9	5.7	6.2	4.5	5.5	4.4	4.7	4.2	5.0	5.8

Base: *

(3176) (3001) (5475) (5520) (5284) (3979) (5199) (5203) (5187) (5217)

Source: Questions 31 and 5

* Bases reported for all tables in this report and all previous reports represent weighted bases

•

.

1242

للنذر

7.7

•

levels recorded in the last three to four years. These data indicate a reversal of the downward trend in propensity observed across the first eight waves of the study (Fall 1975 to Spring 1979). By the previous wave (Fall 1979), the downturn in positive propensity appeared to have leveled off. The reasons for this dramatic turnaround in propensity are examined later in this section.

As in each wave of this study, the Air Force and Navy have the highest levels of propensity. The Army and Marine Corps follow in order. Finally, since the first wave of the tracking study, all four active duty services have shown similar wave-towave patterns of change with respect to propensity. The Spring 1980 wave is no exception.

1.3 - Reasons for Not Enlisting in the Military

While positive propensity individuals may represent the primary target market for recruiting efforts, the negative propensity group of young men also may offer some recruiting potential. "Reaching" this group, however, requires an understanding of the attitudes underlying their negative propensity. Accordingly, negative propensity respondents in the Spring 1980 wave were asked their reasons for not wanting to serve in the military. This is the first time such a question has been posed to respondents. By asking this question in future waves of this study, the Defense Department and the services will be able to track changes in these attitudes as a result of environmental events, changing characteristics of the services, and/or recruiting efforts. The Spring 1980 data are summarized in Table 1.2. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1. By far, the predominant reason for not wanting to serve in the military is that the individual simply has other plans for the future.
- About one-in-four respondents, however, voiced negative impressions of military service. The most frequently mentioned reasons for not wanting to serve in the military were psychological in nature: lack of freedom, danger and separation.

TABLE 1.2

REASONS FOR NOT ENLISTING IN THE MILITARY

	Spring 80
	_%
Reasons Given	
Have plans for civilian job	31.0
Don't want to serve in military; unspecified	26.0
Lack of personal freedom	6.8
Danger/fear of injury	5.7
Separation/being apart	5.1
Pay inadequate	3.8
Loss of status	2.4
Negative military experience by father/friends	1.4
Living conditions	0.7
Don't know/no particular reason	17.0

Base: Negative Propensity Respondents

Source: Question 18

and the second secon

1.4 - Changes in Variables Related to Propensity

Presumably, changes in propensity are related to certain events and psychological phenomena. In each wave of this study, a number of variables have discriminated between positive and negative propensity respondents. These variables include:

- Contact with service recruiters
- Talked about enlistment with influential others
- Took Armed Forces aptitude test in high school (ASVAB)
- Perceived attitudes of parents and friends regarding military service

The dynamics of propensity can be understood, in part, by observing the year-to-year levels of these variables. What follows is a discussion of the Spring-to-Spring changes in these variables (see Table 1.3).

1. The proportion of young men who reported having had recruiter contact with service recruiters within the past half year increased from Spring to Spring. Recalled recruiter contact with any service over a longer period of time also increased from Spring 1979. Neither of these year-to-year increases, however, was statistically significant. Figures 1.3 and 1.4 summarize the recruiter contact data over the 10 waves of the study. From Fall 1975 to Spring 1980, recalled recruiter contact within the past half year increased significantly, especially from Fall 1979 to Spring 1980. Recalled recruiter contact with any service over a larger period of time increased during the 4½ year.period of this study, but the increase is not significant. The increase from Fall 1979 to Spring 1980 for this variable, however, is statistically significant.

TABLE 1.3

CHANGES IN VARIABLES RELATED TO PROPENSITY

	Spring 79	Spring 180	Spring '79-'80 Change	Statistically Significant
	%	%	%	
Recruiter Contact (Qu. 8a & 9a)				
Past 5-6 months - any service	25.4	26.9	+ 1.5	No
Ever - any service	48.9	50.9	+ 2.0	No
Recruiter Contact With (Qu. 9b)				
Air Force	12.8	13.5	+ 0.7	No
Army	23.3	25.1	+ 1.8	No
Marine Corps	12.9	13.6	+ 0.7	No
Navy	15.2	15.2	-	No
Information Sources (Qu. 8c)				
Talked with friends in or out of service	37.7	37.5	- 0.2	No
Talked with one or both parents	36.0	36.9	+ 0.9	No
Talked with girlfriend or wife	15.2	19.1	+ 3.9	Yes
Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	10.8	11.3	+ 0.5	No
Took Aptitude Test in High School Given by Armed Services (Qu. 8c)	15.9	13.7	- 2.2	Yes
Perceived Attitudes of Parents/ Friends Toward Joining the Military (Qu. 10a, 11a & 12a)				
Father in favor	27.4	28.5	+ 1.1	No
Nother in favor	15.8	17.8	+ 2.0	Yes
Friends in favor*		11.5		

Base:

The for the second and and

(* **1**

Selected a

. ددد د د د د ه

1

(5203) (5217)

* Not asked in Spring 1979.

FIGURE 1.3

RECRUITER CONTACT - PAST 5-6 MONTHS - ANY SERVICE



Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 8a

FIGURE 1.4

RECRUITER CONTACT - EVER - ANY SERVICE



. . .

\$

- 2. Recalled incidence of contact with recruiters from specific services did not change from Spring 1979. As Figures 1.5 to 1.8 show, this measure has remained constant across time for all of the services except the Navy. Recalled incidence of contact with Navy recruiters decreased significantly from Fall 1975 to Spring 1980 (17.1% vs. 15.2%).
- 3. The reported incidences of talking to influential others, such as friends with military experience, parents, and teachers and counselors about enlisting remained unchanged from year to year. The reported incidence of talking to girlfriends and spouses about enlisting, however, increased significantly.
- 4. The incidence of taking the Armed Forces sponsored aptitude test in high school declined significantly from Spring to Spring. As Figure 1.9 shows, the reported incidence of taking the ASVAB has declined significantly over time. This figure has dropped approximately 30% from Fall 1975 to Spring 1980.
- 5. The proportion of respondents who perceive their fathers and mothers to be in favor of their joining the military increased from Spring 1979. Only the figure associated with mothers, however, is statistically significant. As in previous waves, more fathers than mothers and more parents than friends were perceived to be in favor of their sons serving in the military.

FIGURE 1.5

RECRUITER CONTACT - AIR FORCE

	Fall '75 - Spring '80 _%Change	Statistically Significant
Fall '75	14.4	
Spring '76 🗰 🖬 🖬 🖬 🖬 🖬	14.8	
Fall '76 !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	15.5	
Spring '77	14.8	
Fall '77 2 20 20 10 1	13.5	
	14.2	
Fall '78	14.3	
Spring '79]]	12.8	
Fall '79	12.0	
Spring '80	13.5 - 0.9	No
Base: All Respondents		

Source: Question 9b

FIGURE 1.6

RECRUITER CONTACT - ARMY



FIGURE 1.7

RECRUITER CONTACT - MARINE CORPS

	Fall '75 - Spring '80 <u>%</u> Change	Statistically Significant
Fall '75	14.7-7	
Spring '76	14.2	
Fall '76	14.9	
Spring '77	14.5	
Fall '77 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1	13.0	
Spring '78	14.9	
Fall '78	13.7	
Spring '79 00 1000 1000 100	12.9	
Fall '79	12.3	
Spring '80	13.6 - 1.1	No

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 9b

\$ * * * *

5

.

4

STATES IN DURING STATES

51

FIGURE 1.8

RECRUITER CONTACT - NAVY



FIGURE 1.9

TOOK APTITUDE TEST IN HIGH SCHOOL GIVEN BY ARMED FORCES

6

....

	<u></u>	Fall '75 - Spring '80 Change	Statistically Significant
Fall '75	119.8-	ר	
Spring '76	17.4		
Fall '76	18.1		
Spring '77	18.3		
Fall '77	18.3		
Spring '78	14.8		
Fall '78	16.4		
Spring '79	15.9		
Fall '79	14.2		
Spring '80	13.7-	- 6.1	Yes

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 8c

1.5 - Key Demographics

5

Ì

6

 $\overline{}$

Į.

塩

"

The demographics of the Spring 1979 and Spring 1980 samples are shown in Tables 1.4-1.6. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1. The data weighting procedure used in this study eliminates any sampling differences with respect to age and race by balancing the results to known "military available" statistics. Hence, the samples in all waves are identical with respect to age and race. The data weighting procedure is explained in detail in Appendix III.
- 2. Reported employment, especially full-time employment, among the sample of Spring 1980 male youth is significantly below that recorded for the Spring 1979 sample. Concomitant with the finding of decreased employment is the finding that the percentage of young men not employed and looking for a job increased significantly from Spring 1979. A hypothesis that has been pursued in recent waves is that changes in propensity are related to changes in reported employment. That is, to the extent that a young man can find satisfactory employment in the civilian sector, he may be less inclined to enlist. A correlation analysis of the two sets of data tends to support the above hypothesis.
- 3. The percentage of respondents attending school, especially high school, increased significantly from Spring 1979. At the same time, the reported proportion of high school graduates declined significantly from Spring to Spring. These changes in reported school attendance and level of education achieved do not reflect any age differences between the two Spring samples, since the age and racial composition of the sample does not change from wave to wave. Rather, the observed differences in reported school attendance and level of education achieved reflect behavioral changes perhaps motivated by labor market conditions.

TABLE 1.4

. .

لارت

AGE AND RACE

	Spring 79 X	Spring '80 <u> </u>
Age		
16	18.5	18.5
17	18.5	18.5
18	17.5	17.5
19	16.6	16.6
20	14.8	14.8
21	14.1	14.1
Race		
White	85.4	85.3
Non-White	13.9	13.8
Refused	0.6	0.8
Base:	(5203)	(5217)

Source: Questions 3a and 23

TABLE 1.5

Page 41

EMPLOYMENT STATUS

	Spring '79 Z	Spring '80 Z	Spring '79-'80 Change <u>%</u>	Statistically Significant
Employed (Qu. 3f, 3g)	66.2	61.2	-5.0	Yes
Full-time	37.3	32.2	-5.1	Yes
Part-time	28.8	28.7	-0.1	No
Not specified	0.1	0.1	-	No
Not Employed (Qu. 3f, 3g)	33.8	38.5	+4.7	Yes
Looking for a job	22.4	25.4	+3.0	Yes
Not looking	11.1	12.2	+1.1	No
Not specified	0.3	0.9	+0.6	Yes

•

Ż

à

22

A

TABLE 1.6

SCHOOLING STATUS

	Spring 79	Spring 80	Spring '79-'80 Change	Statistically Significant
	<u></u>	_%	_%	_
Attending School (Qu. 3b, 3c)	58.4	61.6	+3.2	Yes
In high school	44.3	46.5	+2.2	Yes
In vocational school	1.8	2.0	+0.2	No
In college	12.3	13.0	+0.7	No
Not Attending School (Qu. 3b, 3c) 41.6	38.4	-3.2	Yes
High school graduate	31.5	28.8	-2.7	Yes
Not high school graduate	10.0	9.5	-0.5	No
Quality Index (Mean)*	6.40	6.39	-0.1	No
Base:	(5203)	(5217)		

* Combination of Questions 21, 22 and 24

4. The quality index is a composite measure based on self-reported grades, number of math courses taken and passed in high school, and the science courses covering electronics and/or electricity taken and successfully passed in high school. A lo-point scale is used to compute this index, as shown in Table 1.7. Both Spring samples are identical with respect to quality index.

High Schoo Grades	ol	Number of Math Courses in High School		Science Cou in High Sch	
	Value		Value		Value .
A's & B's	3	None	1	Yes	2
B's & C's	2	One	2	No, Not	
C's & Below	1	Two	3	Specified	1 .
Nct Specified	0	Three	4		
		Four	5		
		Not Specified	0		

TABLE 1.7

KEY RESULTS BY TRACKING AREA

•

.

_

and a start of the start of the

SECTION II

Page 43

.

SECTION II

Performance Differences By Tracking Areas

Interviewing for this study was conducted in 26 defined geographical areas referred to as tracking areas. The tracking area approach localizes the information derived from this study. This makes it possible for the individual service recruiting commands to receive feedback with respect to their performance within specific geographic areas.

This section is a discussion of key results by the 26 tracking areas. The data are examined in terms of whether data from individual tracking areas differ significantly from national levels. Tracking areas that deviate from the U.S. averages are highlighted.

Tables 2.1 to 2.11 summarize the key tracking area data. Interpretation of these tables has been facilitated by the following system of notation:

- Percentages that are significantly different from the U.S. average for a particular service are...
- CIRCLED if the entry is lower than the U.S. average
- BOXED if the entry is higher than the U.S. average

What follows is a discussion of the following data:

• propensity

変も

ن د

11

- respondent academic characteristics
- recruiter contactt
- information seeking activities
- job opportunity perceptions
- information seeking activities
- job opportunity perceptions

بليد مراج بدار

2.1 Positive Propensity by Tracking Area

The key measure in this study is propensity to serve in one or more of the active duty services. As in past reports, the reader is cautioned against making any absolute interpretations of the propensity data. Accordingly, the propensity data should be interpreted in a relative sense (e.g., the identification of "high" versus "low" tracking areas). Any attempt to forecast actual accessions based on these data must take into account factors such as time of entry, and mental and physical qualification rates. Since the propensity index does not include these factors, only relative interpretations can be justified.

Figures 2.1-2.7 graphically present the propensity data for active duty services as well as the National Guard, Reserves and Coast Guard across each of the 26 tracking areas. The propensity data for the four active duty services were discussed in Section I. Propensity for the Reserves (15.7% vs. 20.6%) and the National Guard (15.0% vs. 18.6%) showed significant Springto-Spring increases. Propensity for the Coast Guard, however, remained unchanged from Spring 1979 (11.8% vs. 12.2%). Respondents who indicated a positive propensity to serve in the Reserve components also were asked which branches of the Reserves and National Guard they would select. The propensity figures are as follows:

Reserves

Air Force	6.3%
Army	5.9%
Navy	2.8%
Marine Corps	2.8%
National Guard	
Army National Guard	10.0%
Air National Guard	7.5%





· · · · · · · · · ·

•_____











Table 2.1 summarizes the propensity data for each of the services within each of the 26 tracking areas. Relative to national averages, the following exceptions occur:

 The propensity to serve in the Air Force deviates from the U.S. average of 18.3% as follows in these areas:

Below Average

• Boston (12.0%)

Above Average

1.1

- New York City (12.6%)
 Alabama/Mississippi/Ten
 - nessee (26.0%)
 - Texas (25.6%)
- Pittsburgh (12.0%)
 South Carolina/Georgia (28.7%)
- 2. The propensity to serve in the <u>Navy</u> deviates from the U.S. average of 15.8% as follows in these areas:

Below Average

Above Average

- New York City (10.5%)
 South Carolina/Georgia (27.8%)
- Chicago (10.4%) New Orleans (24.2%)
- Northern California (10.9%)
- 3. The propensity to serve in the <u>Army</u> deviates from the U.S. average of 14.5% as follows in these areas:

Below Average

- New York City (8.4%)
- South Carolina/Georgia (23.5%)

Above Average

- Chicago (8.7%)
- Boston (9.4%)
- Washington/Oregon (6.1%)

4. The propensity to serve in the Marine Corps deviates from the U.S. average of 12.1% as follows in these areas:

Below Average

4.4

•

K

Above Average

- (No above-average tracking areas)
- Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota (7.1%)

New York City (6.4%)

- Washington/Oregon (6.1%)
- 5. The propensity to serve in the Reserves deviates from the U.S. average of 20.6% as follows in these areas:

Below Average

- New York City (13.7%)
- Southern California/ Arizona (14.0%)
- Pittsburgh (12.2%)
- Kansas City/Oklahoma (14.6%)

- Above Average
- Alabama/Mississippi/ Tennessee (27.8%)
- South Carolina/Georgia (35.4%)
- New Orleans (28.1%)
- Kentucky (26.3%)

Above Average

(34.2%)

• Alabama/Mississippi/

Tennessee (29.7%)

• South Carolina/Georgia

6. The propensity to serve in the <u>National Guard</u> deviates from the U.S. average of 18.6% as follows in these areas:

Below Average

- New York City (9.3%)
- Harrisburg (11.6%)
- Chicago (11.4%)
- Northern California (9.7%)
- Pittsburgh (10.6%)

TABLE 2.1

POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN MILITARY SERVICES

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors in the Tracking Area Estimate

	No.	<u>cal.</u>	17.7	(<u>)</u>	10.1	8.5	16.1	(L-6)	11.0	
č		Ariz.				14.7	(14.0)	14.9	10.7	
		Tex.	25.6	18.6	20.5	15.9	22.9	18.4	18.6	
Mn./	N.D./	S.D.	14.1	12.0	11.4	$(\overline{\cdot})$	15.6	18.9	(°)	
		chi.	15.8	6	8.7) 0 .9	22.1		(; ;	
	N1./	In.	15.5	15.9	14.1	10.6	17.1			
		чо к								
/ 14	Ms./	Tn.	26.0	17.0	16.1	16.3	27.8	29.7	11.2	
		Fla.	19.8	18.6	17.4	14.8	21.8	21.1	22.3	
	Wash.	D.C.	18.1	18.5	18.0	15.6	22.8	24.5	15.1	
		Hrsbg.	13.8	11.9	12.7	11.8	15.9	(<u>``</u>)	(-)	
	Alb./	Buf.	17.0	12.9	16.2	10.9	23.1	16.0	6•6	
		NYC	(2.6)		(8))(*) •)	(13.)) (*)	(⁴)	
	Total	U.S.	18.3	15.8	14.5	12.1	20.6	18.6	12.2	
	Percent Saying	Definftely or Probably	Air Force	Ha vy	Агту	Narine Corps	keserves	National Guard	Coast Guard	

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 5

Response Alternatives: Definitely consider

Probably consider Probably not consider Definitely not consider • · · I

T

1.....

Page 55

ند ز ز E. ų į . 2 Q . ä

TABLE 2.1

POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN MILITARY SERVICES

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

	Wash./ K.C./ Oreg. Okla. 7 7	18.8	14.3	(6.1) 13.5	(e.1)	Π	15.0 17.1	13.3
N.M./	Col./ Wyo.	23.3	13.2	14.7	15.2	17.3	16.8	13.8
	<u>W18.</u> <u>X</u>	18.9	16.7	18.7	11.9	25.5		
	Des- Mns.	16.0	12.5	14.3 18.7 1	8.5	18.2	17.2	
	Ky.	20.0	20.2	19.2	13.8	26.3	23.7	12.0
	Ark.	22.7	21.5	17.9	15.8	25.5	20.6	18.0
:	New Orln.	19.5	24.2	19.3	17.9	28.1	19.1	14.5
	s.c./ Ga.	28.7	27.8	23.5	15.4	35.4	34.2	19.2
-	Kich./ N.C.	19.1	17.7	19.5	15.3	23.0	22.9	12.4
	$\frac{Pit}{\chi}$		11.4		9.3		•••)	(<u>.</u>)
	Bstn.	2.0 2.0	14.0	(⁶)	8.5	21.6	17.1	13.8
	Phil.	14.1	14.6	11.4	8.3	20.3	21.6	12.2
E a a E	U.S.	18.3	15.8	14.5	12.1	20.6	18.6	12.2
Dercent Confee	retcent saying Definitely or Probably	Air Force	Na vy	Агту	Marine Corps	Reserves	National Guard	Coast Guard

Base: All Respondents

Question 5 Source:

Definitely consider kesponse Alternatives:

Definitely not consider Probably not consider Probably consider

Page 56

The propensity to serve in the Coast Guard deviates 7. from the U.S. average of 12.2% as follows in these areas:

Below Average

Above Average

• Florida (22.3%)

- New York City (4.8%)
- Harrisburg (7.7%)
- Chicago (6.2%)
- Minnesota/Nebraska/ North Bakota/South Dakota (7.98)
- Philadelphia (7.2%)
- Pittsburgh (6.5%)

Propensity for each of the services within each of the tracking areas tends to fluctuate widely from wave-to-wave. This instability of the data reflects the relatively small sample sizes (approximately 200) for each tracking area. Hence, wave-to-wave changes in propensity can be a misleading indicator of the relative geographical strengths and weaknesses of each service. A more stable indicator is the general pattern of these data over time. Accordingly, the military has consistently registered above-average appeal in the southern tracking areas. The South Carolina/Georgia tracking area has been a particularly strong market. On the other hand, the weakest markets have been in the industrial northern areas of the country. The New York City tracking area has consistently registered belowaverage levels of propensity for all of the services.

- South Carolina/Georgia
- (19.2%)
- Texas (18.6%)

2.2 Propensity to Work as a Laborer on Construction Jobs

Prior to being asked their propensity to serve in the services, respondents are asked to indicate their propensity to work in the following types of jobs:

- Laborer on construction jobs
- Desk job in a business office
- Salesman

Respondents who express positive propensity for military service also tend to be the same individuals who express a positive propensity toward working as a laborer on construction jobs. As discussed in previous reports, this relationship seems reasonable in view of the fact that youth with positive propensity for the military attach above-average importance to learning a trade/skills. The tracking area data on propensity for working as a laborer are an additional indicator of where the services appear to have recruiting strengths and weaknesses.

Table 2.2 summarizes the Spring 1980 propensity data for working as a laborer on construction jobs. Nationally, propensity is 35.5%. This is a significant decline from the Spring 1979 figure of 38.1%. One tracking area is below the national level: New York City. Four tracking areas are above the national average: Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/ South Dakota, Northern California, Kentucky, Des Moines.

Page 58

TABLE 2.2

WORK AS A LABORER ON CONSTRUCTION JOB

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

	Cal.	44.3
So. Cal.	Ariz.	34.9
	Zex.	34.7
Mn./ Nb./ N.D./	s.D.	45.7
	Ch1.	38.0
M1./	In. Z	30.7
	0h.	35.8
Al./ Ms./	Tn.	33.8
	F1a.	34.8
Wash.	D.C.	32.4
	Hrsbg. %	28.6
Alb./	Buf.	30.5
	NYC	35.5
Total	U.S.	35.5
Percent Saying	Definitely or Probably	Will work as a Luborer on construction job

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 5

7,5

-1

. .

1

Page 59

-, "

ŀ

۰.

TABLE 2.2

ù

{

1

ŧ

WORK AS A LABORER ON CONSTRUCTION JOB

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

K.C./	<u>0kla.</u>	32.4
Wash./	Oreg.	38.1
N.M./ Col./	Wyo.	41.4
	Wis.	37.2
Des-	Mns.	43.8
	Ky.	44.8
	Ark.	36 • 3
New	<u>0r1n.</u>	36.9
S.C./	Ga. %	34.5
RIch./	N.C.	35.8
	Pit.	32.9
	Bstn. %	30.1
	Ph11.	35.5 33.4 30.1
Total	U.S.	35.5
Percent Saying	Definitely or Probably	Will work as a laborer on construction job

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 5

Page 60

2.3 Academic Achievement and Derived Quality Index

Success in the service is partially contingent on one's educational abilities. Critics of the all-volunteer force have focused on the growing disparity between the ever-increasing technical sophistication of the military and the increasing number of recruits with insufficient educational skills. In view of the importance of this issue, this series of studies has tracked the relative educational ability of positive propensity vouth. This has been done by asking respondents to report several areas of academic information: high school grades, high school education program, mathematics courses taken and passed in high school, and science courses covering electricity and/or electronics taken and successfully passed in high school. A quality index number is computed for each respondent based on his responses to these questions. High school education program (i.e., college preparatory, commercial/business, and vocational) is not used in developing this index, since it is difficult to assign scaler values to this factor. The index ranges from a low score of 1 to a high score of 10. The derivation of the quality index was explained earlier in Table 1.7 (see Section I). Table 2.3 summarizes the quality index data. The national figure is 6.39 which is comparable to the Spring 1979 figure (6.40). Deviations from this national average are geographical in nature. Several southern tracking areas are below this figure. These areas are: Florida, Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee, Richmond/North Carolina, South Carolina/Georgia, and Kentucky. Quality index values are above the U.S. average in two eastern areas: New York City and Boston.

.

1

The number of math courses taken and passed is an important component of the quality index. As in past waves of this study, tracking areas in the northeast are superior to other areas on this measure. Southern tracking areas, on the other hand, tend to be the weakest areas on this measure. The data are presented in Table 2.4.

2

.

Ď?

The high school curriculum is not a component of the quality index. Its importance to this study, however, is that it contributes to an understanding of the propensity measure. For example, young men enrolled in vocational programs are probably more likely than their peers to be interested in military service where they can get additional vocational training.

As shown in Table 2.5, the 26 tracking areas differ widely with respect to high school education programs. There is an above-average incidence of having had a college preparatory curriculum in the northeastern tracking areas. Just the same is true for vocational curricula in the southern markets.

The levels of these academic indicators as well as the pattern of the data across tracking areas is consistent with the data recorded in previous waves of the study.

Page 62

TABLE 2.3

RESPONDENT QUALITY INDEX

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

	, No.	Cal.	6.49
S	cal./	<u>Ariz.</u>	6.35
		Tex.	6.57
Mn./ Nb./	N.D./	S.D.	6.33
		ch1.	6.36
	/.IM	In. %	6.47
		0h.	6.41
A1./	ls ./	Tn.	6.95
	2		$\mathbf{}$
	2.	Fla. 7 %	\geq
			\geq
	Wash.	Hrsbg. D.C. Fla. $\frac{\chi}{\chi}$ $\frac{\chi}{\chi}$	6.32 (6.00)
	Wash.	D.C. Fla.	6.32 (6.00)
	Alb./ Wash.	Hrsbg. D.C. Fla. $\frac{\chi}{\chi}$ $\frac{\chi}{\chi}$] 6.55 6.63 6.32 (6.00)
	Alb./ Wash.	<u>Buf. Hrsbg. D.C. Fla.</u> <u><u>x</u> <u>x</u> <u>x</u> <u>x</u> <u>x</u></u>] 6.55 6.63 6.32 (6.00)

Base: All Respondents

Hean index value

Source: Quality Index (combination of Questions 21, 23 and 24)

Scale Value: Hinimum value = 1 Maximum value = 10

Page 63

Ç
. . C 1 • 1.) ----

TABLE 2.3

Mr. Carton

RESPONDENT QUALITY INDEX

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

K.C./ 0kla.	6.16
Wash./ Oreg. <u>%</u>	6.36
N.M./ Col./ Wyo.	6.33
Wis. X	6.34
Des- Mns.	6.38
) ** [<u>K</u>	60.9
Ark.	6.33
New Orln.	6.28
S.C./ Ga.	6.08
Rich./	66.5
Pit.	6•59
Bstn.	
Ph11.	6.62
Total U.S.	6.39
	value
	Index v
	llean j

Base: All Respondents

Source: Quality Index (combination of Questions 21, 23 and 24)

Maximum value = 10 Scale Value: Ninimum value =

NUMBER OF MATH COURSES PASSED

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

		Cal.		49.6	13.9
Š		Ariz.			17.2
		Tex.			15.9
Mn./	N.D./	S.D.	33.5	50.3	16.2
		chi.	39.5	46.9	13.6
	/ . IM	In.	35.7	49.7	14.6
		0h.			21.2
11/	Ms./	-H 		-	28.2
		Fla.	36.1	39.5	24.4
	Wash.	D.C.	37.7	41.9	20.5
		Hrsbg.			16.9
	Alb./	Buf.		41.3	16.9
		NYC	36.5 49.6	37.1	13.3
	Total	U.S.	36.5	45.8	17.7
	Percent Naming	This Number of Courses	Three or more	Less than three	None

Buse: All Respondents

Source: Question 23

.

NUMBER OF MATH COURSES PASSED

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

Percent Naminø	Toral				Bich /		Now					N.M./		- - -
This Number	U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	P1t.	N.C.		Orln.	Ark.	Kv.	Mns.	Wis.			Dkla.
of Courses	~	2	2	2	24		24	~	24	24	~			7
Three or more	36.5	46.8	50.8	42.2	33.0	(29.3	35.6	30.1	(28.4)	34.5	35.9			26.8
Less than three	45.8	(34.4	(1.37.3	41.9	45.8		47.8	51.3	51.9	48.4	49.6	43.7	45.3	59.2
None	17.7	18.8		15.9	21.2	21.0	16.7	18.6	19.8	17.1	14.5			14.0

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 23

Page 66

HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRAM

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

		•	Cal.		45.5	36.3	15.4
				•	-		
I	So.	Cal./	Ariz.	~	38.0	42.7	17.5
			Tex.	*	48.0	38.4	11.5
Mn./	Nb./			*	39.4	45.8	14.1
			Ch1.	~	41.8	41.0 4	16.5
		N1./	In.	~	37.7	0.9	19.9
			о <mark>н</mark> .	~	48.6	38.4 4	11.5
	Al./	Ns./	Tn.	* ((32.)	50.0	14.7
				~			16.9
		Wash.	D.C.	26	47.6	34.5	18.0
			Hrsbg.	~	52.2	(11.)	16.0
		Alb./	Buf.	24	48.6	62	22.1
			NYC	~ [60.6	(25.7)	15.1 13.2 22
	•	Total	U.S.	2	43.8	39.6	15.1
			Percent Naming	This Program	College preparatory	Vocational	Commercial/ business

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 22

.

Page 67

l t

Īt

.

•

. •

.

.

. م

HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRAM

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

	K.C./	Okla.	~	39.7	35.5	19.8
	Wash./	Oreg.	2	40.3	45.8	12.5
N.M./				35.8		13.1
		Wis.	~ (28.6	56.8	14.2
	Des-	Hus.	2	38.4 (28.6)	44.5	12.1
		Ky.	2	36.5	43.7	18.3
		Ark.	~	37.1	48.1	11.6
	New	Orln.	*	47.5	34.2	16.3
	S.C./	Ga.	~	41.1	48.5	0.01
	Rich./	N.C.	~	36.9	49.3	12.3
		Pit.	2	47.2	36.6	15.4
		Bstn.	~	59.5	27.6	12.5
		Ph11.		43.8 61.3	6.15	15.1 16.5 12.5
	Total	U.S.	%	43.8	39.6	15.1
		Percent Naming	This Program	College preparatory	Vocational	Commercial/ business

Buse: All Respondents

Source: Question 22

Page 68

य के रहे के बाद के र

•

2.4 Recalled Recruiter Contact

Table 2.6 presents the data for recent recalled recruiter contact (past five to six months). Only two tracking areas deviate from the national figure of 26.9%. These are Minnesota/ Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota and Pittsburgh which are both above the national average.

S. -.

حد ز ز

TABLE 2.6

HAD RECENT RECRUITER CONTACT

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

No. Cal.	21.6
So. Cal./ Ariz. X	26.1
Tex.	30.2
Mn./ Nb./ S.D.	35.9
Ch1.	21.6
M1./ In.	30.0
0h.	29.0
Al./ Ms./ 7n.	23.3
Fla. X	23.6
Wash. D.C.	23.4
lirsbg.	22.5
Alb./ Buf. <u>x</u>	27.3
X X	26.9 23.3
Total U.S.	26.9
Percent Had Recruiter Contact	Past 5-6 months

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 8a

71			-	31.0
				22.6
			N.M./ Col./ Wyo.	23.6
		the	W18.	28.0
		eyond ate	Des- Mns.	31.8
		alls b Estim	Ky.	28.6
	ч	U.S. fu ng Area	Ark.	24.9 27.5 28.6 31.8 28.0 23.6
	CONTAC	e total • Tracki	New Orln.	24.9
TABLE 2.6	ECRUITER	ose wher s of the	S.C./ Ga.	27.3
TAI	IIAD RECENT RECRUITER CONTACT	Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate	Bstn. Pit. N.C. G	25.7
	ILAD R	entries Standar	Pit.	36.7
		boxed of two	Bstn.	25.1
		led and boxed range of two	Ph11.	26.9 25.9 25.1
		Circ	Total U.S.	26.9
			Percent llad Kecruiter Contact	Past 5-6 months

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question Ba

Page 71

2.5 Type of Recent Recruiter Contact

ñ

(

Contact with service recruiters can be very direct and personal, such as at a recruiting station, or more indirect and anonymous, such as mail literature. This series of studies has tracked recruiter contact since the first wave (Fall 1975). This issue is discussed below as part of the tracking area analysis and again in Section III. The analysis of these data at the tracking area level provides the Department of Defense and the services with additional feedback on recruiter contact at a local level.

The Spring 1979 and Spring 1980 national levels of each type of recruiter contact are summarized below. The bases for these figures are those individuals who reported having had recent recruiter contact.

	Spring 79	Spring '80	Statistically Significant Change
	- 8	<u></u>	
Talked to recruiter by telephone	52.3	51.7	No
Received recruiting literature in the mail	45.3	46.3	No
Heard recruiter talk at high school	48.6	46.1	No
Talked face-to-face (not at station)	47.7	45.0	No
Went to a recruiting station	26.4	25.6	No

Table 2.7 presents these data for each of the 26 tracking areas. As the table shows, all of the types of recruiter contact

TYPE OF RECENT RECRUITER CONTACT

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

							A1./				Mn./ Nb./		So.	
Percent Nad This Type of <u>Recruiter Contact</u>	Total U.S.	NYC 2	Alb./ Buf. Z	Hrsbg. %	Wash. D.C.	Fla. %	Ms./ Tn.	0h.	M1./ In.	Ch1.	N.D./ S.D.	Tex. %	Cal./ Ariz. %	No. Cal. X
Talked to recruiter by telephone	51.7	42.1 44.6	44.6	59.6	39.9	43.5	40.0 65.6	65.6	52.9	55.0	76.4	49.0	40.9	49.2
keceived recrufting literature in the mail	46.3	49.3 45.8	45.8	42.5	51.6	50.4	39.9	52.0	42.8	49.6	53.5	49.0	43.6	28.7
Heard recruiter talk at high school	46.1	52.0 39.4	39.4	53.6	53.1	47.8	70.6	46.9	36.2	50.8	35.6	59.7	52.9	39.3
Talked face-to-face (not at station)	45.0	49.6 44.4	44.4	33.6	38.9	46.0	54.3	43.6		65.5	41.1	41.6	39.5	41.6
Went to a recruiting station	25.6	25.6 28.3 21.0	21.0	33.9	28.1	21.8	23.0	28.4	19.4	31.4	25.5	32.6	25.1	28.7

base: All Respondents Having Recent Recruiter Contact

Source: Questions 8b and 8c

Page 73

ľ ्र म

いた

•

TABLE 2.7

TYPE OF RECENT RECRUITER CONTACT

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

K.C./ Okla. X	61.6	50.4	43.0	48.1	(11.4)
Wash./ Oreg. %	59.8	45.9	46.3	43.9	22.0
N.M./ Col./ Wyo.	46.4	38.4	34.1	46.4 46.1	29.3
Wis. %	59.6	46.8	35.9	46.4	37.3
Des- Mns.	60.5	55.3	36.9	53.7	29.7
Ky . %	44.0 60.5 59.6 46.4	45.0 55.3 46.8	52.3	46.4 53.7	26.9 29.7
Ark. Ky.	29.0	50.0	33.0	42.0	29.5
New Orln. %	42.7	56.1	48.7	45.9	22.3
S.C./ Ga. %	28.0	41.6	43.7	50.9	24.5
Rich./ N.C.	40.1	40.4	53.8	56.5	19.9
Pit.	60.7	46.2	39.7	42.5	24.1
Phil. Bstn.	51.8	42.0	59.7	56.4	27.8
Phil.	48.9	46.1	46.1 48.9 59.7	45.0 36.3	25.6 16.1
Total U.S.	51.7	46.3	46.1	45.0	25.6
Percent Had This Type of Recruiter Contact	Talked to recruiter by telephone	Received recruiting literature in the mail	Heard recruiter talk at hIgh school	Talked face-to-face (not at station)	Went to a recruiting station

Base: All Respondents Having Recent Recruiter Contact

Source: Question 8b and 8c

Page 75

exhibit particular strengths and weaknesses across the tracking areas. This is especially true of "talked to recruiter by telephone." These tracking area deviations show no particular geographical pattern.

2.6 Perceived Adequacy of Information Received

Table 2.8 shows the percent of respondents they received inadequate information from the v recruiters. Perceived adequacy of information quantitative terms. Specifically, each respond having had recruiter contact was asked whether 1 information provided was . . .

- All the information you wanted
- Most of it
- Very little

Inadequate information was defined by a re: little."

Nationally, all four services do reasonably only about one-in-five respondents felt that the service did not provide enough information. Mo: services do not differ on this measure. On a SI basis there were no significant changes in the I of the information provided by the services.

	Spring 79 8	Spring <u>'80</u> 3
Army	19.9	19.6
Navy	17.6	18.9
Marine Corps	19.9	18.7
tor Force	15.4	17.3

Ś

Page 77

t

TABLE 2.8

PERCENT RECEIVING INADEQUATE INFORMATION FROM MILITARY RECRUITER

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

Mn./

							Al./				Nb./		So.	
Percent Getting	Total		Alb./		Wash.		Ms./		M1./		N.D./		Cal./	No.
Very Little	U.S.	NYC	Buf.	Hrsbg.	D.C.		Tn.	oh.	In.		S.D.	Tex.	Ariz.	Cal.
Information	82	%	%	*	2		%	*	%		%	%	24	%
Erom Armu	9 01	1 00	13 8	r 70	L ((9	y 11	(<i>(</i> ((a	1 00	19 0	7 70	78 7
1 1 011 11 11		1 • • •							4 1 4 7		1.07	10.1	r•7	C • 07
:	;		0	1	1):							•
From Navy	18.9	18.9 30.8 28.	28.8	22.1	20.7		8°8	21.8	27.1		13.0	13.0	26.5	20.0
							($\left(\right)$		
From Marine Corps	18.7	18.7 30.5 21.	21.7	10.4	15.2		$\left(\right)$	11.0	25.9		19.4	(2.6)	32.6	15.0
)	L	ſ		()		
From Air Force	17.3	17.3 43.1 21.	21.5	23.6	25.3		6.7	17.9	49.2			10.2	23.1	27.0
)])			

.

.

Base: Respondents Having Recruiter Contact With Specific Service Recruiter

Source: Question 9e

Response Alternatives: All the Information you wanted

Nost of it Very little

.

,

-

.

1

•

PERCENT RECEIVING INADEQUATE INFORMATION FROM MILITARY RECRUITER

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

Percent Getting Very Little	Total U.S.	Ph11.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S.C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Des- Mns. Wis. M	Wis.	N.M./ Col./ Wyo.	Wash./ Oreg.	K.C./ Okla.
Information	2		~	2	~	~	8	2	%	~	~	~	•	•
From Army	19.6	24.2	21.5	9.7	40.7	31.8	14.7	28.7	16.5	18.6	13.4	32.7	8.5	17.7
From Navy	18.9	18.9 32.6	7.9	21.9	9.5	27.3	16.4	24.5	12.5	29.8	5.8	23.6	25.9	27.5
From Marine Corps	18.7	18.7 12.4	10.2	19.0	26.7	17.0	33.6	27.3	13.0	13.3	15.2	28.5	34.3	8.4
From Air Force	17.3	17.3 19.3	24.9	16.8	11.8	17.5	9.4	9.3	7.3	18.3	13.5	33.0	15.2	9.8

Respondents Having Recruiter Contact With Specific Service Recruiter Base:

Source: Question 9e

Response Alternatives: All the information you wanted Most of 1t Very little

Page 79

The data presented in Table 2.8 vary widely because of the relatively small respondent bases in each case (i.e., respondents having contact with specific service recruiter in a particular tracking area). The error ranges associated with these estimates, therefore, are large. There is some variation across tracking areas on this measure. A tracking area <u>below</u> the national figure indicates strength for the particular service.

2.7 Other Activities Concerning Enlistment

and a stand of a second of a s

F

2

In addition to recruiter contact, there are a number of other activities that an individual can undertake with respect to seeking information about the military. Since the first wave of this study, respondents have been asked whether or not they have undertaken a series of information seeking activities during the previous six months. Table 2.9 summarizes these data in terms of the percent of youth who say that they have undertaken a particular activity.

As the table shows, youth in New York City and in Des Moines were below the national averages for several of these activities. Just the opposite is true for the South Carolina/Georgia tracking area. The findings for New York City and South Carolina/Georgia are consistent with the propensity data for these two areas.

The year-to-year changes in all but three of these activities were discussed in Section I. The other three items -- "asked for information by mail," "physically or mentally tested at military examining station," and "made toll-free call to get information" -- remained unchanged.

C	,
ç	-
6	ł
	2
Ē	ì

OTHER ACTIVITIES CONCERNING ENLISTMENT

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

CARE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF THE

No. Cal.	31.4	27.3	16.8	17.0	8.2	(°6)) 4.9	1.8	
So. Cal./ Ariz.	41.3	45.9	16.4	12.3	8.9	13.4	3.1	3.8	
Tex.	42.4	38.2	23.5	18.0	12.1	9.1	5.0	(°)	
Mn./ Nb./ N.D./ S.D.	36.9	37.0	20.6	15.3	8.1	14.2	6.2	1.5	
ch1.	37.1	30.3	17.1	15.9	10.6	10.8	6.2	1.8	
M1./ In. X	33.0	32.6	17.6	6.9	9.4	5.2	2.3	1.4	
0h.	42.0	38, 5	24.5	12.8	9.3	13.6	3.4		
Al./ Ms./ <u>7</u> n.	43.5	41.5	19.4	23.9	14.3	16.9	3.5	5.4	
FIa.	44.7	43.6	21.9	16.3	12.3	13.3	5.5	3.0	
Wash. D.C. X	43.7	45.5	22.3	8.7	13.3	11.9	6.7	1.3	
Hrsbg. %	35 . 9	40.0	16.8	14.5	10.8	10.6	3.2	1.5	
Alb./ Buf.	35.3	38.5	19.4	9.4	11.0	11.9	5.5	0.4	
NYC	23.0	34.7		5.4	12.3	10.2	3.3	3.4	
Total U.S.	37.5	36.8	19.1	13.7	11.3	10.7	4.1	2.2	
Percent Answering "Yes"	Talked with friends in or out of service	Talked with one or both parents	Talked with girlfriend or wife	Took aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	Asked for information by mail	Physically or mentally tested at military examining station	Nade toll-free call to get information	

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 8c

OTHER ACTIVITIES CONCERNING ENLISTMENT

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

K.C./ 0k1a. Z	39.4	34.8	17.8	17.1	12.2	7.4	4.9	1.0	Þage 8
Wash./ Oreg. X	40.0	60 7	24.3	10.6	12.7	7.7	4.6	2.3	
N.M./ Col./ Wyo.	34.3	38.9	17.9	12.0	12.9	7.4	3.7	0.6)
Wis.	31.6	37.2	17.2	9.7	8.6	9.8	3.6	2.1	
Des - Mns.	31.5	28.1		(1.2)	10.9	10.01	1.4	2.0	
Ky.	44.7	38.7	23.3	16.8	11.3	11.8	4.4	2.2	
Ark.	39.5	36.1	20.7	16.0	12.7	12.1	3.4	4.6	
New Orln. Z	32.5	0.0	20.6	23.5	8.8	9.1	4.5	2.4	
S.C./ Ga.	46.5	42.3	26.6	22.3	11.7	14.1	5.5	2.7	
Rich./ N.C.	48.6	42.8	19.9	13.1	17.3	8.5	3.6	2.9	
Pit.	33.7	28.1	19.2	11.7	10.1	10.4	3.0	1.8	
Bstn.	31.5	31.5	14 . 5	11.0	13.6	10.7	4.3	1.4	
Ph11.	31.0	34.4	15.0	5.4	12.0	14.7	2.6	3.0	
Total U.S.	37.5	36.8	19.1	13.7	11.3	10.7	4.1	2.2	
Percent Answering "Yes"	Talked with friends in or out of service	Talked with one or both parents	Talked with girlfriend or wife	Took aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	Asked for information by mail	Physically or mentally tested at military examining station	llade toll-free call to get information	Base: All Respondents

. .

٠.

.

82

Source: Question 8c

.]

2.8 Perceived Difficulty of Obtaining Either a Full Time or Part Time Job

As discussed in previous reports, labor market factors can be expected to have an effect on enlistment, particularly in a weak economy such as the country is currently experiencing. While unemployment rates vary from region to region and for men of different ages and backgrounds, perceptions of the job market may have a greater impact on career choices than the actual labor conditions. Accordingly, this study has tracked respondents' perceptions of the difficulty of getting either a full time or part time job in their area of the country. The Spring 1980 results are shown in Tables 2.10 and 2.11.

As Table 2.10 shows, 32.0% of the young men in the Spring 1980 study felt that it was "almost impossible/very difficult" for someone their age to get a <u>full time</u> job. This is significantly higher than the Spring 1979 figure (28.9%) and parallels the actual change in the labor market during this time.

There are some variations in these perceptions across tracking areas. Young men in New York City, Michigan/Indiana, and Kentucky were particularly pessimistic about finding full time jobs. Individuals in Texas, New Orleans and Kansas City/ Oklahoma were the most optimistic.

Table 2.11 examines the data for part time employment. Nationally, 17.0% of the respondents felt that it was "almost impossible/very difficult" to find part time employment in their areas. This figure also is significantly higher than the Spring 1979 figure (14.6%). Several tracking areas deviate from the national average. New York City, Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee, and Philadelphia were above this average. Respondents in Kansas City/Oklahoma were below the average. All in all, the national decline in employment opportunities may offer a national recruiting opportunity.

h

人口になるない たいしん いいちょうしょう しょうかい たいしん

للمددوعديها

/

PERCEIVED DIFFICULTY OF OBTAINING FULL TIME JOB

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimates

•	-	-	
No. Cal.	27.4	67.3	5.2
So. Cal./ Ariz.	27.3	71.2	1.5
Tex.	(23.3	74.6	2.1
Mn./ Nb./ N.D./ S.D.	27.2	70.4	2.4
<u>ch1.</u>	31.1	63.3	5.6
M1./ In.	45.0	63.9	1.2
0h. X	36.4	61.0	2.6
AJ./ Ms./ Z	37.6	59.5	2.9
Fla.	29.8	67.8	2.4
Wash. D.C.	32.7	62.8	4.5
llrsbg.	37.9	58.3	3.9
Alb./ Buf.	31.1	62.4	3.0 6.4
U.S. NYC X X	32.0 44.8 31.1	65.3 52.2 62.4	
Total U.S.	32.0	65.3	2.7
	Almost impossible/ very difficult	Somewhat difficult/ not difficult at all	Don't know

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 31

Page 85

5.842.2

-

.

PERCEIVED DIFFICULTY OF OBTAINING FULL TIME JOB

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

K.C./ 0kla. Z	(8.9)	5.97	1.8
Wash./ Oreg. X	32.8	63.6	3.6
N.M./ Col./ Wyo.	28.8	69.1	2.2
W15.	34.3	63.7	2.0
Des- Mns.	31.0	66.2	2.8
Ky.	41.6	(21.0)	1.3
Ark.	36.7	62.8	0.5
New Orln. %	(23.5)	76.1	0.4
s.c./ Ga.	29.4	68.5	2.0
Rich./ N.C. Z	31.7	65.3	3.0
Pit.	35.3	63 . 8	6.0
Phil. Bstn.	27.0	69.7	3.3
	32.0 31.3 27.0	65.3 65.5 69.7	2.7 3.2 3.3
Total U.S.	32.0	65.3	2.7
	Almost impossible/ very difficult	Somewhat difficult/ not difficult at all	Don't know

All Respondents Base:

Source: Question 31

Page 86

٠.

۲
•
-
5
ļ
•

TABLE 2.11

PERCEIVED DIFFICULTY OF OBTAINING PART TIME JOB

Circled and boxed entries are those where total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate

K.C./ Okla. X	(1.6)	85.6	4•6
Wash./ Oreg.	13.7	79.9	6.4
N.M./ Col./ Wyo.	17.5	79.3	3•3
Wis.	13.8	83.6	2.7
Des- Mns.	13.6	83.0	3.4
Ky.	22.9	76.2	
Ark.	16.4	81.8	1.8
New Orln.	15.8	83.8	0.4
S.C./ Ga.	19.0	78.4	2.6
Rich./ N.C. Z	14.3	81.3	4.4
Pit.	13.3	84.7	2.0
Bstn.	17.0	78.5	4.5
Phil.	17.0 25.6		3.0
Total U.S.	17.0	79.8	3.2
	Almost impossible/ very difficult	Somewhat difficult/ not difficult at all	Don't know

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 3m

Page 89

SECTION III

Ű

X

d

Ć

ANALYSIS OF TARGET MARKETS

Page 90

SECTION III

Analysis Of Target Markets

For the convenience of the reader, the background for the analyses discussed in this section is reprinted below from previous reports.

Through the use of the propensity measure, we are in effect segmenting the pool of "military available" young men into those men who are likely to be more receptive to the military's recruiting efforts and those who will not. It is important to have an understanding of what is related to one man's willingness to consider the military as a career option and another man's willingness to exclude the service from his career options. Such an understanding should help the services maximize the effectiveness of their recruiting.

The present section first examines the relationship between propensity and a number of demographic, attitudinal, and behavioral factors. The intent of this analysis is to identify those factors that discriminate between positive and negative propensity groups and it is undertaken for propensity for military service in general as well as for the individual services.

The following variables are included in this analysis:

Demographic Variables

- Age (Qu. 3a)
- Employment Status (Qu. 3f, 3g, 3h)
- Race (Qu. 25)
- Educational Status (Qu. 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e)
- Education of Father (Qu. 20)





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Importance of Job Characteristics (Qu. 13a)

Achievability of Job Characteristics (Qu. 13b)

Information Sources/Actions Taken

- Persons Spoken To/Actions Taken (Qu. 8c)
- Recruiter Contact (Qu. 8a, 9a, 9b, 9c, 9d, 9e)

Influencers (Qu. 10a, 11a, 12a)

Advertising Recall (Qu. 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7)

Following this analysis of the positive and negative propensity groups, this section examines the demographic, attitudinal and behavioral characteristics of young men who have graduated from high school and are not currently attending school.

3.1 Probability of Serving

(A) managers and accord

M

3

ののたいどど

A & ALALAS

S. N. S. L. L. S. L. S.

BEESSESS (BOUNDARY (DEPENDER) 1233

8

ļ

7

The criterion measure in this study is propensity. As discussed in Section I, propensity is the rated likelihood of enlisting and is measured on a four-point scale. Respondents who say they "definitely" or "probably" will enlist in a particular service are referred to as having <u>positive propensity</u> for that service. Those who say they "probably will not" or "definitely will not" enlist are referred to as having <u>negative</u> <u>propensity</u> for a particular service. By aggregating all of the respondents who express positive propensity for any one or more active duty services, the sample is dichotomized in terms of positive propensity and negative propensity individuals. This segmentation is the primary focus of this section.

The strength of respondents' enlistment intentions can be gauged by looking at the distribution of responses within the measure. Table 3.1 presents the propensity measure broken down into each of its response alternatives. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1. Among the great majority of positive propensity respondents the intention to enlist is at best tentative. This is reflected in the fact that nine-out-of-ten positive propensity responses fall into the category of probably will enter military service. This pattern of positive propensity responses has been consistent across services and across the 10 waves of this study.
- 2. In recent past waves, the largest single category of negative enlistment intentions consisted of respondents who said that they will definitely

a di katalah di katalah katalah katalah katala

```
Page 93
```

TABLE 3.1

DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES FOR MEASURE OF PROPENSITY

and the second second

	Air Force	Army Z	Marine Corps	Navy 2
Response				
Definitely	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.3
Probably	16.5	12.9	10.6	14.5
Probably not	40.3	38.7	38.0	39.0
Definitely not	39.6	45.1	48.2	43.5
Don't know/not sure	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7

a fa fa fa fa fa

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 5

not enlist. While this is still true for three of the four services, the disparity between the two response categories is narrowing. The Air Force exemplifies this change. Hence, strong negative feelings toward enlisting seem to be softening, at least momentarily.

5) 2

and the second

"ALLONGA"

A CONTRACTOR

annana See

annon'i laanaan laannas, laas

Ş

27

2.4

Ŗ

Ŋ

Ş

3. As in previous waves, approximately one-half of the sample label themselves as either probably likely or probably not likely to enlist. This apparent uncertainty in their attitudes toward military service may make this group susceptible to recruiting communications. Page 95

3.2 Demographic Variables

In each wave of this study the positive and negative propensity groups have differed with respect to their demographics. Table 3.2 profiles the two propensity groups in terms of 15 demographic variables. The positive and negative propensity groups differ significantly on all but one variable. The differences between the groups have been observed in each of the previous waves.

The two propensity groups differ as follows:

- 1. Positive propensity youth are younger. Although not shown in the table, the proportion of youth who express positive propensity decreases with increasing age.
- 2. Positive propensity youth are more likely to be unemployed and looking for work.
- 3. Blacks and other non-white male youth make up a greater proportion of the positive propensity group than they do of the negative propensity group.
- 4. Concomitant with the age finding is the fact that high school students make up a greater proportion of the positive propensity group than of the negative propensity group. Likewise, college students and high school graduates who are not currently in school are more likely to be in the negative propensity group.
- 5. Throughout this series of studies fathers' education has been used as an index of socio-economic status. This index indicates that positive propensity youth come from more modest socioeconomic backgrounds. Fathers' education is explained below.*

Education of fathers was measured on an eight-point scale.

- 1. Did not complete high school
- 2. Finished high school or equivalent
- 3. Adult education program
- 4. Business or trade school
- 5. Some college
- 6. Finished college (four years)
- 7. Attended graduate or professional school
- 8. Obtained a graduate or professional degree

TABLE 3.2

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS+

	Positive Propensity %	Negative Propensity %
Variable		مس <u>م</u> ب
Average age*	17.93	18.53
Not employed/looking for work	32.6	21.7
Blacks	17.0	8.8
Other non-white	2.8	1.8
Students	65.6	59.5
10th grade	17.7	9.1
llth grade	23.2	14.7
1-2 years of college	6.2	16.4
High school graduate, not in school	22.1	32.2
Education of father*	2.80	3.44
Quality index*	5.93	6.63
College preparatory curriculum in high school	33.6	48.9
Vocational curriculum in high school	49.3	34.7
Commercial/business curriculum in high school	15.3	15.0**
A's and B's in high school	20.4	32.1
Base:	(1713)	(3449)

* Mean scale values shown

Secon Accesses Constants

ģ

.

3

Ę

25

22

Š

Same and the second by the second second

ACCURATE PERSONAL ACCURATE STATISTICS

7.75

+ The two groups differ significantly on all variables except where indicated

****** Not statistically significant

AL PERSONAL PROPERTY PERSONAL PERSONAL PERSONAL PERSONAL PERSONAL PERSONAL PERSONAL

Sector Constraints in the sector

6. Positive propensity youth tend to have weaker academic backgrounds as indicated by the quality index, their high school curricula, and their reported high school grades.

Table 3.3 profiles the demographics of the positive propensity groups for each of the four active duty services and the Reserve Components. Profiles for the negative propensity groups have been omitted since they resemble the overall negative propensity group shown in Table 3.2. As shown in Table 3.3, the Reserve components appear to be attracting higher quality individuals.

TABLE 3.3

and the second of the

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS POSITIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS⁺

INDIVIDUAL SERVICES

	Air Force	Army Z	Marine Corps <u>%</u>	<u>Navy</u>	National Guard Z	Reserves Z
Variable						
Average age*	17.88	18.00	17.94	17.96	18.11	18.13
Not employed/ looking for work	32.3	35.8	32.6	32.3	31.7	32.9
Blacks	18.2	22.2	19.6	17.0	19.2	19.5
Other non-white	3.1	3.4	3.6	3.0	1.9	2.1
Students	66.5	61.6 <u>1</u> /	64.0 <u>1</u> /	65.7	$60.9^{1/}$	62.2 ¹ /
10th grade	18.5	19.6	20.2	17.7	15.7	14.2
llth grade	23.7	20.7	22.3	21.7	20.8	22.3
1-2 years of college	6.9	5.5	5.3	7.2	6.8	8.6
High school graduate, not in school	22.8	22.9	20.5	21.5	25.2	25.5
Education of father*	2.82	2.55	2.68	2.77	2.80	2.85
Quality index*	6.05	5.58	5.80	5,90	5.99	6.09
College preparatory curriculum in high school	36.1	25.4	29.3	33.1	33.3	36.1
Vocational curriculum in high school	48.1	55.9	51.7	48.1	47.6	47.0
Commercial/business curriculum in high school	14.3	16.9	17.3	16.0	17.3	15.0
A's and B's in high school	22.0	16.4	20.1	20.3	22.8	23.0

Base:

* Mean scale values shown

(950)

+ The positive propensity group for each service differs significantly from its corresponding negative propensity group on most variables, except where noted.

(627)

(821)

(963)

(1057)

<u>l</u>/Difference not statistically significant from corresponding negative propensity group.

(751)

CULUNCE -

CONTRACT PRODUCTION (PROPORTION - AN

ŝ
3.3 Importance of Job Characteristics

The following comments are repeated from previous reports for the convenience of the reader.

As a means of better understanding respondents' job decisionmaking process, they were asked to consider 12 job characteristics and to indicate the importance they attach to each. The job characteristics are those that are believed to be most salient to 16 to 21 year old youth when considering a job. Insofar as the services must compete with industry and other areas of the public sector for manpower, it is essential that the "military job" encompass valued job attributes. Hence, this question provides important feedback to the services for purposes of developing effective recruiting strategies.

Table 3.4 compares the two propensity groups on the 12 attributes. Both groups consider all of the attributes to be somewhat important, especially "enjoy your job", "good income", and "job security". Likewise, both groups attach relatively less importance to such attributes as "recognition and status", "adventure and excitement", and "doing something for your country."

Relative to negative propensity youth, positive propensity youth rated six of the attributes as more important, especially the issue of patriotism ("doing something for your country"). On the other hand, negative propensity youth attached greater importance to "enjoy your job" and "employer treats you well" than did positive propensity males.

A statistical analysis of these data reveals that differences between positive and negative propensity youth with respect to these characteristics tend to be general and not service specific. Hence, it appears that the services are drawing upon young men with similar job attribute values as well as similar demographics.

TABLE 3.4

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY IMPORTANCE OF JOB CHARACTERISTICS*

rature at a second	ĨĸŎĸĸĔĸĊĔĸĊŎĊĸĊĊĸĊĊŎĊŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎ			
				Page
r (33)	т	ABLE 3.4		
	ANALYSIS OF PROPENSI IMPORTANCE OF			
1945a		Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference
23	Job Characteristics			
	Enjoy your job	3.36	3.43	-0.07
2	Good income	3.33	3.34	-0.01 **
3	Job security	3.30	3.30	**
~	Teaches valuable trade/skill	3.29	3.17	+0.12
	Opportunity for good family life	3.25	3.19	+0.06
	Developing your potential	3.23	3.24	-0.01 **
	Retirement income	3.20	3.11	+0.09
	Gives you the job you want	3.17	3.17	**
ξ.	Employer treats you well	3.14	3.19	-0.05
e	Doing something for your country	2.94	2.54	+0.40
	Adventure and excitement	2.70	2.44	+0.26
73) 	Recognition and status	2.62	2.42	+0.20
30 				
R	Base:	(1713)	(3449)	
쪇	Source: Question 13a			
83 83	* Mean scale values shown			
33	Scale Value: 4 = Extremely impo 3 = Very important 2 = Fairly important 1 = Not important	t ant at all		e o ci u c d
	Therefore, larger importance. The significantly exce	two propensity	groups differ	

****** Not statistically significant

A SAMPLE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPT

3.4 Achievability of Job Characteristics

As discussed in previous reports, for a job characteristic to be an enlistment motivation, it must be valued and perceived as something that can be readily achieved in the military. Hence, after being asked how important they considered each job characteristic to be, respondents were asked to rate the 12 characteristics in terms of whether they could be more readily achieved in military or civilian life. A five-point scale was used. An average rating less than 3.00 indicates that the job characteristic is perceived to be more achievable in the military; a rating above 3.00 indicates that the characteristic is perceived to be more achievable in a civilian job.

The job characteristic perception data are shown in Table 3.5. Relative to the negative propensity group, positive propensity youth considered all of the job characteristics to be more achievable in the military than in civilian life. The two groups differed the greatest on two attributes: "gives you the job you want" and "enjoy your job."

On an absolute basis, positive propensity youth considered four attributes to more achievable in a civilian job. These were: "employer treats you well", "good income", "opportunity for good family life", and "enjoy your job."

On an absolute basis, negative propensity youth considered five of the 12 attributes to be more achievable in the military. These were: "doing something for your country", "adventure and excitement", "teaches valuable trade/skill", "job security", and "retirement income."

As in the case of job attribute values, the differences between propensity groups on these perceptions tend to be general and not service specific.

TABLE 3.5

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY ACHIEVABILITY OF JOB CHARACTERISTICS*

	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference
Job Characteristics			
Doing something for your country	1.68	1.90	-0.22
Adventure and excitement	2.20	2.62	-0.42
Teaches valuable trade/skill	2.38	2.89	-0.51
Job security	2.53	2.84	-0.31
Developing your potential	2.66	3.23	-0.57
Retirement income	2.68	2.98	-0.30
Recognition and status	2.71	3.14	-0.43
Gives you the job you want	2.96	3.60	-0.64
Enjoy your job	3.31	3.91	-0.60
Opportunity for good family life	3.42	3.99	-0.57
Good income	3.46	4.00	-0.54
Employer treats you well	3.62	3.94	-0.32

Base:

3

(1713) (3449)

Source: Question 13b

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 5 = Much more likely in civilian 4 = Somewhat more likely in civilian 3 = Either civilian or military 2 = Somewhat more likely in military 1 = Much more likely in military Therefore, a smaller value indicates relatively greater military likelihood. The two propensity groups differ significantly on all characteristics. "Doing something for your country" is the job characteristic that respondents felt was most likely to be achieved in the military. Given the current favorable mood of the country toward the military, the services should consider promoting the importance of this attribute.

For an attribute to be a source of enlistment motivation, individuals must perceive it to be both relatively important and attainable in the military. Figure 3.1 illustrates this comparison for positive propensity respondents in the form of a two-by-two matrix. The analysis involves dividing the 12 job characteristics into two groups: those perceived to be more achievable in the military and those perceived to be more achievable in a civilian job. Next, within each group, the job characteristics are rank ordered in terms of their relative importance. The top six attributes are those considered to be relatively important and the balance are those that can be considered to be relatively less important. A similar analysis was done for negative propensity youth and is presented in Figure 3.2.

FIGURE 3.1

E.

. З

S.

ALS:

POSITIVE PROPENSITY RESPONDENTS

	More Achievable in Military*	More Achievable in Civilian Job**
Relatively Important	Job security Teaches valuable trade/skill Developing your potential	Enjoy your job Good income Opportunity for good family life
Relatively Less Important	Retirement income Doing something for your country Adventure and excitement	Gives you the job you want Employer treats you well Recognition and status

- * Based on scores of <u>less than 3.0</u> on the job characteristic achievability scale (See Table 3.5)
- ** Based on scores of 3.0 or higher on the job characteristic achievability scale (See Table 3.5)

Š

FIGURE 3.2

NEGATIVE PROPENSITY RESPONDENTS

	More Achievable in Military*	More Achievable in Civilian Job**
Relatively Important		Enjoy your job Good income
	Job security	Developing your potential
		Employer treats you well
		Opportunity for good family life
Relatively Less	Teaches valuable trade/skill	
Important	Retirement income	Gives you the
	Doing something for your country	job you want
	Adventure and excitement	
	Recognition and status	

* Based on scores of <u>less than 3.0</u> on the job characteristic achievability scale (See Table 3.5) ** Based on scores of 3.0 or higher on the job characteristic achievability scale (See Table 3.5)

and a standard a standa

As shown in the matrix, three important job characteristics --"enjoy your job", "good income" and "opportunity for good family life"--were perceived by positive propensity youth to be relatively hard to attain in the military. These attributes, therefore, represent recruiting opportunities.

ţ,

23

N.Y.Y.

Y

Negative propensity youth considered five valued job characteristics as relatively more attainable in a civilian job. These attributes were: "enjoy your job", "good income", "developing your potential", "employer treats you well", and "opportunity for good family life." These represent advertising and recruiting opportunities.

Page 106

3.5 <u>Information Sources, Actions Taken, Advertising Recall,</u> <u>Recruiter Contact, Influencers</u>

Propensity to serve in the military may be understood, in part, by considering a number of information-oriented activities. Some of these activities may be self-initiated, such as asking for information by mail. In other cases, the individual may be a passive recipient of information, such as in the case of advertising. Examining this information receipt process, therefore, provides insight into enlistment propensity.

Table 3.6 summarizes the information-oriented activities of the two propensity groups. As in previous waves, positive and negative propensity youth differ significantly on most of these activities. The following conclusions can be drawn from the table:

- Compared to their negative propensity counterparts, those in the positive propensity group are more likely to have discussed enlistment with influential others. The two groups do not differ on the one activity that individuals cannot control--direct mail literature.
- 2. Positive propensity men are more likely than others to have asked for information by mail and by telephone and to have been tested for the services.
- 3. Except for recall of Air Force advertising, respondents in the two propensity groups do not differ with respect to their recall of service advertising.

The two propensity groups also differ with respect to several aspects of recalled recruiter contact. These data are summarized in Table 3.7 and discussed below:

1. Significantly more positive than negative youth reported having had recruiter contact at some time in the past.

TABLE 3.6

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY INFORMATION SOURCES, ACTIONS TAKEN, ADVERTISING RECALL

		TABLE 3.6		
	ANALYSIS OF PROPEN			
	INFORMATION SOURCES,	ACTIONS TAKEN	, ADVERTISING	RECALL
-		Positive	Negative	Statistically
•		Propensity	Propensity	Significant
		<u>%</u>	_%	
	Information Sources (Qu. 8c)			
	Talked with one or both parents	56.7	27.0	Yes
	Talked with friends in or out of service	54.0	29.3	Yes
	Received recruiting literature			
	in the mail	45.3	46.9	No
	Talked with girlfriend or wife	29.5	14.0	Yes
	Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	19.1	7.4	Yes
	Actions Taken (Qu. 8c)			
	Asked for information by mail	18.9	6.7	Yes
	Took aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	15.4	12.9	Yes
	Physically or mentally tested at	<i>(</i>)	0.7	
	military examining station	6.9	2.7	Yes
	Made toll-free call to get information	4.2	1.2	Yes
	Advertising Recall: % Recall			
	Seeing/Hearing (Qu. 6a)*			
	Air Force	74.7	64.9	Yes
	Агшу	77.6	81.6	No
	Marine Corps	72.6	70.3	No
	Navy	72.5	70.2	No
	Joint Services Campaign	67.5	68.6	No
	Base:	(1713)	(3449)	
)	* Base: Respondents Asked Questio	n for Specific	Service	

TABLE 3.7

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY RECRUITER CONTACT

	Positive Propensity 	Negative Propensity 	Statistically Significant
Recruiter Contact: (Qu. 8a & 9a)			
Past 5-6 months - any service	31.7	24.6	Yes
Ever - any service	56.1	48.7	Yes
Type of Recruiter Contact in Past 5-6 Months (Qu. 8b)	\		
Talked face-to-face (not at station		9.2	Yes
Heard recruiter talk at high school		10.5	Yes
Talked to recruiter by telephone	14.9	13.2	No
Went to recruiting station	11.8	4.3	Yes
Recruiter Contact Initiated by Respondent (Qu. 9d)*			
Air Force	55.8	33.2	Yes
Army	42.6	22.2	Yes
Marine Corps	48.6	25.6	Yes
Navy	48.0	27.3	Yes
Recruiter Information Considered Adequate (Qu. 9e)* Air Force	84.5	81.8	
	84.5 80.6	80.4	No
Army		82.7	No
Marine Corps	79.7 80.2	81.7	No
Navy	00.2	01./	No
Felt More Favorable About Joining After Talking to (Service) Recruiter (Qu. 9f)*			
Air Force	44.0	22.6	Yes
Агшу	36.5	18.8	Yes
Marine Corps	43.9	17.9	Yes
Navy	42.1	21.4	Yes
Base:	(1713)	(3449)	

* Base: Respondents Asked Question for Specific Service

A State of the second second

2. With respect to the type of recent recruiter contact reported, the two groups differ on all but "talked to recruiter by telephone."

H

Ų

N

-

.

ß

9

AND AND A CARGARA

TANGGRADIAN STREET, ST

ちちちんしちちょ

ADDRODON (SANDARD (DOLARDA) I DAO

- 3. Among positive propensity respondents who reported having recruiter contact, approximately one-half said that the contact was self-initiated. The ratio among negative propensity youth is significantly lower.
- 4. As in previous waves, the two groups do not differ with respect to the perceived adequacy of information received. Nevertheless, by a ratio of 2:1, positive propensity men felt more favorable than negative propensity individuals about enlisting after talking to a recruiter. This may have been due, in part, to the fact that significantly more positive propensity men initiated the recruiter contact.

Respondents were asked to indicate what they believed to be the attitudes of their parents and friends toward their enlisting. The results are summarized in Table 3.8. As shown in the table, positive propensity men were more likely than their negative counterparts to perceive their parents and friends to support their joining the military. More fathers than mothers and more parents than friends were perceived to be in favor of military service. These findings may reflect the fact that people tend to perceive that important others share their attitudes and perceptions.

TABLE 3.8

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY

PERCEIVED ATTITUDES OF PARENTS/FRIENDS TOWARD JOINING THE MILITARY

	Positive Propensity Z	Negative Propensity 	Statistically Significant
Mother			
In favor	27.9	12.6	Yes
Against	32.0	48.0	Yes
Neutral	35.8	34.6	No
Father			
In favor	42.7	21.5	Yes
Against	12.1	25.0	Yes
Neutral	35.4	44.6	Yes
Friends			
In favor	20.2	7.1	Yes
Against	22.7	39.3	Yes
Neutral	52.8	48.5	Yes
Base:	(1713)	(3449)	
dase i	(1/15)	(3447)	

Source: Questions 10a - 12c

3.6 Relationship Between Propensity and Recruiter Contact

There are many ways in which to inform young men about the all-volunteer force. The most direct means is through recruiter contact. Through contact with service recruiters, young men become more knowledgeable about military service. This experience, in turn, may positively or negatively influence their attitudes toward military service. Whether recruiter contact produces enlistment propensity or just the opposite is beyond the scope of this study.

With the above in mind, Table 3.9 relates propensity for each service to contact with a recruiter from that service. The proportion of respondents expressing a positive attitude toward a particular service and who also reported that they had contact with a recruiter from that service ranges from 21% to 33.5%. The comparable figures among negative propensity youth are significantly lower in all cases.

i i

3

TABLE 3.9

EVER HAD CONTACT WITH RECRUITER FROM SPECIFIC SERVICE

RELATED TO PROPENSITY FOR THE SAME SERVICE*

	Propensity for Individual Service				
	Positive	Negative	Difference		
	<u>×</u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>		
Contact With <u>Recruiter From</u>					
Air Force	21.0	12.0	+7.0		
Army	33.5	23.8	+9.7		
Marine Corps	23.2	12.3	+10.9		
Navy	25.5	13.2	+12.3		

sase: The Appropriate Positive and Negative Propensity Groups for Each Service

Source: Question 9b

3.7 Enlistment Decision Process

5

() () ()

As shown in previous waves of this study, the four active duty services appear to be drawing from a common pool of "military available" males, rather than from distinct segments. Table 3.10 shows that positive propensity individuals, on the average, felt positive about more than two services. For example, one-half (50.8%) of the young men who expressed positive propensity for the Marine Corps also expressed positive propensity for the Air Force.

The conclusion drawn from Table 3.10 is consistent with the within-and-across service analysis of demographic variables and job characteristic perceptions discussed earlier. In earlier reports, it was reasoned that the enlistment decision involves a two-step process. First the individual decides upon the military and then chooses among the different services. This is comparable to the classic marketing paradigm where the consumer chooses to buy the product and then chooses among alternative brands. The Spring 1980 data suggest that this hypothesis remains valid.

1443 S

२९...

TABLE 3.10

EXTENT TO WHICH PROSPECTS SHOW POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR MORE THAN ONE SERVICE

	Air Force Z	Army Z	Marine Corps 	Navy X
Also Show Positive Propensity for These Services:	\bigcirc			
Air Force	(100.9)	46.8	50.8	51.4
Агшу	37.2	(100.0)	52.7	41.8
Marine Corps	33.6	43.8	(100.g	39.5
Navy	44.6	45.6	51.6	100.0
Average Number of Active Duty Services	2.15	2.36	2.55	2.33
Base:	(950)	(751)	(627)	(821)

Source: Question 5

3.8 High School Graduates Not in School

The all-volunteer force requires individuals who have the maturity and educational abilities necessary to operate increasingly more sophisticated weapons and systems. For this reason the services have been particularly interested in attracting high school graduates who are not pursuing any additional formal education. Compared to high school dropouts, they tend to be more mature and mentally capable. Moreover, they are more likely than others to be responsive to the vocational training offered by the services.

The all-volunteer force has had difficulty attracting high school graduates. This is reflected in the decreasing proportion of enlistees with high school diplomas. To help the services attract high school graduates, this series of studies has examined the demographics and enlistment-oriented attitudes and behavior of this subgroup of 16 to 21 year old males. The following is a discussion of this group as they appear in the Spring 1980 wave.

In the Spring 1980 wave, 28.8% of the sample are individuals who have graduated from high school and are not currently in school. Tables 3.11 and 3.12 examine this group in terms of their demographics, attitudes, and behavior vis-a-vis the total sample. The following conclusions about this group emerge:

1. Demographically, the group of high school graduates who are not in school are below the U.S. averages for 16 to 21 year old males with respect to these characteristics: not employed and looking for work, Black, having taken a college preparatory curriculum in high school and reported high school grades. On the other hand, they are above-average with respect to having taken a vocational or commercial high school curriculum.

TABLE 3.11

.

•

. . .

_

.

.,

-

.

. \ .

1

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

	High School Graduates X	Total <u>Sample</u> <u>Z</u>	Statistically Significant+
Variable			
Not employed/looking for work	12.7	25.4	Yes-lower
Blacks	10.5	11.7	Yes-lower
Other non-white	1.8	2.1	No
Education of father*	2.91	3.22	No
Quality index*	6.36	6.39	No
College preparatory curriculum in high school	31.6	43.8	Yes-lower
Vocational curriculum in high school	47.9	39.6	Yes-higher
Commercial/business curriculum in high school	19.4	15.1	Yes-higher
A's and B's in high school	20.8	28.2	Yes-lower

Base:

(1502) (5217)

- * Mean scale values shown
- + Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. "here statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either <u>higher</u> or <u>lower</u> than the U.S. estimate.

TABLE 3.12

.

ATTITUDINAL/BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY, INFORMATION SOURCES, ACTIONS TAKEN

	High School Graduates X	Total <u>Sample</u> %	Statistically Significant+
Positive Propensity (Qu. 5)			
Air Force	14.4	18.3	Yes-lower
Army	11.5	14.5	Yes-lower
Marine Corps	8.6	12.1	Yes-lower
Navy	11.7	15.8	Yes-lower
Information Sources (Qu. 8c)			
Talked with friends in or out of service	36.3	37.5	No
Talked with one or both parents	28.4	36.9	Yes-lower
Talked with girlfriend or wife	18.7	19.1	No
Talked with teacher or			
guidance counselor	5.8	11.3	Yes-lower
Actions Taken (Qu. 8c)			
Took aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	15.0	13.7	No
Asked for information by mail	8.0	10.7	Yes-lower
·	0.0	10.7	TEB TOWEL
Physically or mentally tested at military examining station	4.6	4.1	No
Made toll-free call to get information	2.3	2.1	No

Base:

51515151515

STATES AND ADDRESS

-

(1502) (5217)

.

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. estimate.

TABLE 3.12

ATTITUDINAL/BEHAVIORAL PROFILE OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

RECRUITER CONTACT

	High School Graduates 	Total <u>Sample</u> <u>%</u>	Statistically Significant+
Recruiter Contact: (Qu. 8a & 9a)			
Past 5-6 months - any service	22.1	26.9	Yes-lower
Ever - any service	59.2	50.9	Yes-higher
Recruiter Contact Initiated by Respondent (Qu. 9d)*			
Air Force	37.1	41.4	No
Army	22.3	29.4	Yes-lower
Marine Corps	34.0	34.3	No
Navy	30.2	35.6	Yes-lower
Recruiter Information Considered Adequate (Qu 9e)*			
Air Force	83.9	82.7	No
Army	83.0	80.4	No
Marine Corps	80.5	81.3	No
Navy	85.7	81.1	Yes-higher
Felt More Favorable About Joining After Talking to (Service) Recruiter (Qu. 9f)*			
Air Force	26.2	30.2	Yes-lower
Army	22.0	25.0	Yes-lower
Marine Corps	27.7	27.5	No
Na vy	26.5	29.7	No

Base: (1502) (5217)

* Base: Respondents Having Contact with Specific Service

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either <u>higher</u> or <u>lower</u> than the U.S. estimate.

TABLE 3.12

ATTITUDINAL/BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

ADVERTISING RECALL

	High School Graduates	Total Sample	Statistically Significant+
		_%	
Advertising Recall: % Recall Seeing/Hearing			
Air Force	61.1	66.6	No
Army	78.6	80.8	No
Marine Corps	73.5	70.6	No
Navy	67.0	70.3	No
Joint Services Campaign	67.2	68.5	No

Base: Respondents Asked Question for Specific Service

Source: Question 6a

1

1

9

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either <u>higher</u> or <u>lower</u> than the U.S. estimate.

TABLE 3.12

ATTITUDINAL/BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

JOB CHARACTERISTIC ATTITUDES

	High School Graduates	Total Sample	Statistically Significant+
Relative Importance of Job Characteristics			
Enjoy your job	3.41	3.40	No
Good income	3.38	3.33	Yes-hi gh er
Job security	3.37	3.30	Yes-higher
Developing your potential	3.26	3.24	No
Teaches valuable trade/skill	3.25	3.21	No
Opportunity for good family life	3.22	3.21	No
Retirement income	3.20	3.14	Yes-hi ghe r
Employer treats you well	3.19	3.18	No
Gives you the job you want	3.15	3.17	No
Doing something for your country	2.65	2.67	No
Adventure and excitement	2.51	2.53	No
Recognition and status	2.48	2.49	No

Base:	(1502)	(5217)

Source: Question 13a

* Mean scale values shown

te de la constante de la const

Scale Value:	4 = Extremely important
	3 = Very important
	2 = Fairly important
	1 = Not important at all
	Therefore, a larger value indicates greater
	perceived importance.

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. estimate.

TABLE 3.12

ATTITUDINAL/BEHAVIORAL PROFILE OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

JOB CHARACTERISTIC PERCETTIONS*

	• ¶ • 1 • ¶ • 1 • 1 • 1 • 1 • 1 • 1 • 1	anta de tiente de tartes de la t						
				Pa				
	TA	BLE 3.12						
	ATTITUDINAL/BEHAVIORAL PROFILE OF							
87	HIGH SCHOOL GR	ADUATES NOT IN	SCHOOL					
	JOB CHARACTE	RISTIC PERCEFT	IONS*					
88		High School Graduates	Total Sample	Statistically Significant+				
	Achievability of Job Characteristics							
	Doing something for your country	1.83	1.89	No				
	Adventure and excitement	2.62	2.48	Yes-higher				
	Job security	2.71	2.73	No				
\mathbb{R}_{∞}	Tea ches valuable trade/skill	2.80	2.72	Yes-higher				
	Retirement income	2.85	2.88	No				
	Recognition and status	3.00	2.99	No				
	Developing your potential	3.12	3.04	Yes-higher				
S.	Gives you the job you want	3.40	3.39	No				
	Enjoy your job	3,83	3.71	Yes-higher				
	Opportunity for good family life	3.84	3.80	No				
	Employer treats you well	3.89	3.83	No				
	Good income	3.93	3.82	Yes-higher				
N.	Base:	(1502)	(5217)					
	Source: Question 13b							
	* Mean scale values e' wn							
340 - 224 2000 - 224	Scale Value: 5 = Much more lik 4 = Somewhat more 3 = Either civili 2 = Somewhat more 1 = Much more lik Therefore, a smal	likely in civ an or military likely in mil ely in militar	vilian .itary .y	itary.				
	Good income Base: Source: Question 13b * Mean scale values e' wn Scale Value: 5 = Much more lik 4 = Somewhat more 3 = Either civili 2 = Somewhat more 1 = Much more lik Therefore, a smal + Statistical significance based the range of two standard error Where statistical significance either higher or lower than the	s of the indiv is indicated,	'idual vari the variab	able estimate.				
5 -53 5 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7				ana				

- 5 = Much more likely in civilian
 - 4 = Somewhat more likely in civilian
 - 3 = Either civilian or military
 - 2 = Somewhat more likely in military
 - 1 = Much more likely in military
 - Therefore, a smaller value favors the military.

- 2. High school graduates are below the U.S. averages with respect to propensity to join each of the active duty services.
- 3. Consistent with their below-average levels of propensity is the fact that high school graduates who are not in school are below-average with respect to talking to their parents and school personnel about enlistment and asking for recruiting information by mail.
- 4. This target market is above the U.S. average with respect to reported long-term recruiter contact. This may reflect the interest service recruiters have in these individuals. With respect to reported recruiter contact during the past six months, however, this group is below the U.S. average. High school graduates also are below the U.S. average with respect to reported self-initiated recruiter contact with Army and Navy recruiters.
- 5. High school graduates who are not in school are on par with the U.S. averages with respect to the perceived adequacy of information provided by three of the four services. They are above-average with respect to the perceived adequacy of Navy information. This group is less likely than others to feel more favorable about enlisting after talking to Air Force and Army recruiters.
- 6. This target market is on par with national averages with respect to recalling service advertising.
- 7. The high school graduate group attach above-average importance to the job attributes: "good income", "job security", and "retirement income." In addition, they view civilian life as better enabling the achievement of five of the 12 job characteristics. "Job security" and "teaches valuable trade/skill" were the only valued job characteristics that high school graduates perceived as being more attainable in the military.

The Spring 1980 profile of high school graduates who are not in school is consistent with profiles developed in the previous nine waves of this study. This profile of the high school graduate group's demographics, attitudes and behavior vis-a-vis national averages indicates that this group is generally on par with the total population of 16 to 21 year old males. As such, the data do not reveal any recruiting or advertising opportunities that could be directed at this group for the purpose of enhancing their accession levels.

YYY ANNYYY DYYYYY

4

Q

ž

9354 45.555556 - 1.6020006 - 120000000 (all - 73) - 1555 - 555

- AILLAND ANY SYSTEM

٠.

SECTION IV

.

ADVERTISING AWARENESS

Page 125

SECTION IV

U

100000000000

3

1

EI.

Advertising Awareness

All forms of advertising are used by the services to communicate the benefits of belonging to the all-volunteer force. This advertising has been presented as individual service campaigns and, in recent years, as a joint service campaign. The tracking study is a convenient vehicle for measuring awareness and recall of this advertising. This provides the services with important feedback on the relative effectiveness of their advertising Starting in the Spring 1977 wave, respondents have been efforts. asked what they remember about advertising for each of the active duty services. Since the Fall 1978 wave, respondents also have been asked a similar question with respect to the joint service advertising campaign. Finally, in the Fall 1979 wave and in the present wave, respondents also were asked to associate service slogans with the appropriate source (i.e., the four active duty services and the joint campaign).

A discussion of the Spring 1980 advertising data follows.

4.1 Top-of-Mind Awareness of Specific Services

One measure of advertising is "top-of-mind" awareness, or the initial associations an individual has with a given concept. Accordingly, respondents were asked to indicate which branch of service they thought of first when the terms "Armed Services" or "military" are mentioned.

As shown in Table 4.1, the Army was the service mentioned first most often. The Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps followed in that order. When first, second and all other mentions are combined, the proportion of respondents naming any one of the active duty services is approximately three-quarters (Army, Air Force and Navy). The figure for the Marine Corps is somewhat lower. The pattern of these data has been fairly consistent across time.

The relationship between "top-of-mind" awareness (first association) of each service and propensity to join that service is examined in Table 4.2. As in past waves, the two measures appear to be related. That is, people with positive propensity for a particular service tend to name that service first in response to the terms "Armed Services" and "military." The relationship appears strongest for the Army and Air Force. Approximately one-half (52.0%) of the young men who expressed positive propensity for the Army first associated Army with the two terms.

Likewise, slightly less than one-half (47.4%) of those who expressed positive propensity for the Air Force first associated Air Force with the two terms. For the convenience of the reader, the circled values in Table 4.2 highlight these associations. No statistical significance is implied by this notation.

TABLE 4.1

BRANCH OF SERVICE NAMED IN RESPONSE TO "ARMED SERVICES"

	Percent of Respondents Who Mentioned Specific Services				
	First Mention	Second Mention	All Other Mentions	All Mentions Combined	
	_%	<u>%</u>	_%	_%	
Service Mentioned					
Air Force	25.8	20.9	25.7	71.5	
Army	36.3	21.3	17.6	74.6	
Marine Corps	14.5	18.1	29.1	60.8	
Navy	16.8	29.2	26.1	71.3	
Coast Guard	1.6	2.2	9.9	13.3	
None	5.0	3.3	19.9	27.6	

Base: All Respondents

S.

<u>.</u>

2

ž

. .

H

all rate to the the second

Source: Questions 4a, 4b and 4c

			TAB	TABLE 4.2				Ρ
	R	RELATIONSHIP C	OF BRANCII OF	SERVICE FIRST	OF BRANCH OF SERVICE FIRST ASSOCIATED WITH	HTH		age
		₹.	RMED SERVICE	"ARMED SERVICES" AND PROPENSITY*	*YTIX*			129
	A1r	Air Force	Ar	Army	Marine	Marine Corps	Na	Navy
	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity
	24	~	*	2	7	4	e	•]
First Association	(
Air Force	(47.4)	20.8	16.4	27.4	18.4	26.8	20.9	26.7
Army	25.6	38,9	(52.0)	33.6	26.7	37.6	27.4	38.0
llarine Corps	10.4	15.3)	14.1	(37.0)	11.2	12.2	14.9
Na vy	11.9	18.0	10.5	18.0	13.6	17.4	(34.5)	13.5
Base: All Respondents	ıts							
Source: Question 4a	T							
* The magnitude of the relationship between	the relations		positive propensity service consists of	ensity and fists of indivi	positive propensity and first association is limited because service consists of individuals with positive propensity for	ion is limite ositive prope	ed because ensity for	

1 5

(1) the positive propensity group of each service consists of individuals other services and (2) respondents can give only one first association.

4.2 Advertising Content Recall

Advertising awareness is measured by asking respondents to recall everything they remember seeing or hearing in advertising for either a specific active duty service or about the joint services campaign. Any one respondent is asked about only one source of advertising. This is accomplished by creating different versions of the questionnaire and randomly distributing them across respondents. The levels of advertising awareness recorded for each service since the Spring 1977 wave are summarized in Table 4.3. Data for the joint services campaign, however, are shown only for the last four waves. This is the period during which this campaign has been monitored by the tracking study.

As in each wave, respondents' comments have been coded into a set of response categories to facilitate interpretation and provide continuity over time. These data are shown in Table 4.4 for each advertising source.

The following conclusions can be drawn from Table 4.3 and Table 4.4:

1. During the three-year period in which these data have been collected, awareness of service advertising has increased significantly for each source of recruitment advertising. Three years ago, approximately one-in-two respondents expressed awareness of service advertising. Presently, this proportion ranges from two-thirds to four-out-of-five respondents. While the increases in awareness for each advertising source have been substantial, the Army has experienced by far the largest increase (+44%).

TABLE 4.3

RECALL OF SERVICE ADVERTISING

SPRING 1977 - SPRING 1980 SUMMARY

	Spring	Fall <u>'77</u>	Spring 178	Fall '78	Spring 179	Fall '79	Spring '80	Increase Spring '77- Spring '80*
	<u>%</u>	_%	_%	<u> %</u>	<u> %</u>	<u>×</u>	%	_%
Air Force	49.2	59.1	54.8	60.3	62.2	65.0	66.6	+35
Army	56.0	64.4	66.3	70.4	74.0	78.1	80.8	+44
Marine Corps	52.1	63.0	59.9	65.1	66.0	69.6	70.6	+36
Navy	55.3	62.0	58.1	63.9	71.5	73.6	70.3	+27
Joint Services		-		53.1	66.2	62.0	68.5	+29 **

Source: Question 6a

- * Represents the Spring '77 Spring '80 difference as a percentage of the Spring '77 figure
- ** Represents the Fall '78 Spring '80 difference as a percentage of the Fall '78 figure, since no data were collected prior to Fall '78

TABLE 4.4

Page 132

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE AIR FORCE

	Spring 179 <u>7</u>	Spring '80 <u>%</u>	Spring '79-'80 Change Z	Statistically Significant
Have Seen/Heard Advertising	62.2	66.6	+4.4	No
Educational benefits	4.8	8.4	+3.6	Yes
Teaching/learning a trade	5.3	8.4	+3.1	Yes
Men with equipment	6.0	8.1	+2.1	No
Want you to join/enlist	3.9	7.7	+3.8	Yes
Equipment without men	6.0	6.0		No
Variety of jobs	3.8	5.1	+1.3	No
Best service/praised service	0.2	4.4	+4.2	Yes
Opportunities	4.7	4.3	-0.4	No
Men in uniform	1.2	3.3	+2.1	Yes
Travel/see the country/world	3.8	2.7	-1.1	No
Adventure	1.8	2.2	+0.4	No
Slogans (e.g., Fly with the Air Force)	1.0	1.9	+0.9	No
Good pay/good starting pay	2.5	1.6	-0.9	No
Men in training	1.5	1.6	+0.1	No
Fun/recreation	1.0	1.5	+0.5	No
Men with flag		0.1		No
Other benefits (e.g., health)	1.2	1.4	+0.2	No
Other miscellaneous mentions	7.8	7.8		No
Don't recall content	29.0	25.9	-3.1	No
Have Not Seen/Heard Advertising	37.8	33.4	-4.4	No

Base:*

ALL STORES

. ;;

le le

シントンシンショーになるとなった。

(1050) (1010)

Source: Question 6a

* The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services, or for the joint advertising.

TABLE 4.4

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE ARMY

	Spring 179	Spring 180	Spring '79-'80 Change	Statistically Significant
	<u>%</u>	<u>_%</u>	_%	
Have Seen/Heard Advertising	74.0	80.8	+6.8	Yes
Educational benefits	9.7	17.7	+8.0	Yes
Teaching/learning a trade	5.7	16.3	+10.6	Yes
Want you to join/enlist	10.3	12.4	+2.1	No
Variety of jobs	4.9	8.3	+3.4	Yes
Men with equipment	4.8	8.0	+3.2	Yes
Travel/see the country/world	6.9	7.6	+0.7	No
Slogans (e.g., Uncle Sam needs you)	4.8	6.9	+2.1	No
Good pay/good starting pay	5.6	6.5	+0.9	No
Men in training	6.7	6.1	-0.6	No
Men in uniform	2.7	4.9	+2.2	Yes
Opportunities	6.0	4.9	-1.1	No
Adventure	3.7	3.7		No
Fun/recreation	1.9	3.0	+1.1	No
Equipment without men	1.1	2.0	+0.9	No
Best service/praised service	0.5	1.8	+1.3	Yes
Men with guns		0.1	-0.1	No
Other benefits (e.g., health)	2.9	2.6	-0.3	No
Other miscellaneous mentions	13.1	10.4	-2.7	No
Don't recall content	22.7	20.5	-2.2	No
Have Not Seen/Heard Advertising	26.0	<u>19.2</u>	<u>-6.8</u>	Yes

Base:*

(1039) (1048)

Source: Question 6a

* The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services, or for the joint advertising.

TABLE 4.4

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE MARINE CORPS

Page 134

	Spring '79	Spring '80	Spring '79-'80 Change	Statistically Significant
	<u></u>	<u>×</u>	<u>×</u>	
Have Seen/Heard Advertising	66.0	70.6	+4.6	Yes
Slogans (e.g., The few. The proud. The Marines.)	17.4	18.2	+0.8	No
Want you to join/enlist	6.2	8.5	+2.3	No
Men in training	5.9	7.0	+1.1	No
Teaching/learning a trade	3.1	6.3	+3.2	Yes
Educational benefits	4.4	5.9	+1.5	No
Men in uniform	5.7	5.6	-0.1	No
Men with equipment	2.8	4.5	+1.7	No
Travel/see the country/world	3.7	4.1	+0.4	No
Variety of jobs	2.8	3.9	+1.1	No
Opportunities	2.9	3.5	+0.6	No
Good pay/good starting pay	2.1	2.7	+0.6	No
Best service/praised service	2.3	1.9	-0.4	No
Equipment without men	1.5	1.6	+0.1	No
Adventure	2.0	1.4	-0.6	No
Fun/recreation	0.5	1.2	+0.7	No
Men with guns	0.5	0.7	+0.2	No
Men with flag	0.3	0.3	****	No
Other benefits (e.g., health)	1.8	1.5	-0.3	No
Other miscellaneous mentions	6.8	9.0	+2.2	No
Don't recall content	23.1	23.2	+0.1	No
Have Not Seen/Heard Advertising	34.0	29.4	-4.6	No

Base:*

13

ِنَّ ک

A KANTTAN

ちどうのちょう

V,

Ņ

....

(1044) (1035)

Source: Question 6a

* The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services, or for the joint advertising.
TABLE 4.4

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE NAVY

	Spring '79 X	Spring '80 Z	Spring '79-'80 Change Z	Statistically Significant
		70.3	-1.2	No
Have Seen/Heard Advertising	<u>71.5</u>	13.8	-1.7	No
Travel/see the country/world	15.5			• •
Adventure	11.4	11.7	+0.3	No
Want you to join/enlist	7.9	10.7	+2.8	No
Teaching/learning a trade	5.3	8.7	+3.4	Yes
Nen with equipment	6.3	7.6	+1.3	No
Educational benefits	4.8	7.6	+2.8	Yes
Equipment without men	8.5	6.2	-2.3	No
Variety of jobs	4.2	4.6	+0.4	No
Men in uniform	2.7	3.8	+1.1	No
Opportunities	3.8	3.2	-0.6	No
Good pay/good starting pay	2.7	3.0	+0.3	No
Fun/recreation	2.0	2.5	+0.5	No
Men in training	1.6	1.8	+0.2	No
Best service/praised service	0.3	1.2	+0.9	Yes
Slogans (e.g., The Navy makes	0.2	0.7	+0.5	No
boys into men)			10.5	No
lien with flag		0.2		••••
Men with guns	0.2	0.2		No
Other benefits (e.g., health)	1.7	1.1	-0.6	No
Other miscellaneous mentions	9.8	7.0	-2.8	No
Don't recall content	23.1	21.5	-1.6	No
Have Not Seen/Heard Advertising	28.5	29.6	<u>+1.1</u>	No

Base:*

(1024) (1024)

Source: Question 6a

* The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services, or for the joint advertising.

TABLE 4.4

- · ·

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE JOINT SERVICES

	Spring <u>'79</u> <u></u>	Spring '80 Z	Spring '79-'80 Change 2	Statistically Significant
Have Seen/Heard Advertising	66.2	68.5	+2.3	No
Teaching/learning a trade	6.2	17.4	+11.2	Yes
Mention all/several services	2.1	14.9	+12.8	Yes
Educational benefits	8.0	13.8	+5.8	Yes
Want you to join/enlist	9.0	9.5	+0.5	No
Opportunities	7.4	8.3	+0.9	No
Men with equipment	4.7	5.0	+0.3	No
Good pay/good starting pay	3.5	4.9	+1.4	No
Travel/see the country/world	8.1	4.2	-3.9	Yes
Slogans (e.g., Navy makes boys into men)	5.4	3.9	-1.5	No
Men in training	3.9	3.7	-0.2	No
Men in uniform	2.6	2.9	+0.3	No
Equipment without men	2.7	2.8	+0.1	No
Adventure	5.3	2.1	-3.2	Yes
Other miscellaneous mentions	7.6	10.6	+3.0	No
Don't recall content	22.1	18.8	-3.3	No
Have Not Seen/Heard Advertising	33.8	31.5	-2.3	No

Base:*

--14

۱ ۱

į.

Ï

ð

门

Ş

(1045) (1094)

Source: Question 6a

* The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services, or for the joint advertising.

As shown in Table 4.4, Spring-to-Spring 2. advertising awareness for the Air Force increased by 4.4 percentage points. This increase is not statistically significant. At the same time, the percentage of young men who said that they could not remember what they had seen or heard in the Air Force advertising decreased but not significantly. Among respondents who could recall the content of Air Force advertising, messages about educational benefits, learning a trade, scenes of men with and without equipment and messages urging enlistment were the most memorable copy points. This overall pattern of recall is comparable to that observed in recent waves, although the rank ordering of the copy points has changed.

Significant Spring-to-Spring <u>increases</u> in recall occurred with respect to these specific copy points: educational benefits, teaching/ learning a trade, want you to join/enlist, best service/ praised service and scenes of men in uniform.

3. Spring-to-Spring advertising awareness for the <u>Army</u> increased significantly. As in previous waves, the level of awareness of Army advertising was the highest of the different advertising sources tracked. Coupled with the significant increase in advertising awareness was a non-significant decrease in the proportion of respondents who said that they could not remember what they had seen or heard in the advertising.

The most memorable copy points were messages about educational benefits, teaching/learning a trade and messages urging enlistment.

Significant year-to-year increases in recall occurred with respect to six copy points: educational benefits, teaching/learning a trade, variety of jobs, scenes of men with equipment and men in uniform and messages praising the service. On the other hand, significant decreases occurred with respect to only one copy point: scenes of men with guns. 4. Advertising awareness for the <u>Marine Corps</u> increased significantly from Spring to Spring. At the same time, the percentage of respondents who could not recall specific copy points remained unchanged. As in the previous waves, the most memorable copy points were Marine Corps slogans.

Teaching/learning a trade was the only copy point for which recall increased significantly. There were no significant year-to-year declines in the recall of any copy points.

5. In contrast to the other services, awareness of Navy advertising decreased slightly from Spring to Spring. This drop was not significant.

As in previous waves, the most memorable copy points were messages about travel and adventure. Messages urging enlistment also were recalled frequently.

The following copy points showed significant year-to-year increases in awareness: teaching/ learning a trade, educational benefits and messages praising the Navy. There were no significant Spring-to-Spring decreases in the recall of any copy points.

 Awareness of the Joint Services Campaign increased slightly from Spring 1979 to Spring 1980. This increase is not significant.

The most memorable copy points were messages about teaching/learning a trade and educational benefits and awareness of the fact that all four services were featured. Awareness of these three copy points also <u>increased</u> significantly from Spring to Spring. The substantially large increase (+12.8 percentage points) in the year-to-year awareness of "mention all/several services" may indicate that this campaign is becoming more distinguishable from other service advertising.

There were significant year-to-year decreases in the awareness of two copy points: travel and adventure.

By way of summary, in the Spring 1980 wave, the following copy points ...

.

Were Recalled Most Often

- Teaching/learning a trade
- Educational benefits
- Travel/see the country/world
- Adventure
- Want you to join/enlist
- Slogans
- Men with equipment
- Men in training

Showed Significant Year-to-Year Increases in Recall

- Teaching/learning a trade
- Educational benefits
- Men with equipment
- Best service/praised service
- Variety of jobs
- Men in uniform
- Want you to join/enlist

Showed Significant Year-to-Year Decreases in Recall

• Travel

- Adventure
- Men with guns

Figure 4.1 summarizes the most memorable copy points across time for each service. As shown in both Table 4.4 and Figure 4.1, the nature of the most memorable advertising messages has changed over time. Messages about educational benefits and learning a trade are becoming more dominant advertising themes, as measured by service advertising awareness. This is a positive trend.

4
URE
FIG

15 2 2 2 2 2

SUMMARY OF MOST MEMORABLE COPY POINTS

AIR FORCE

Top Five Copy		č		:	:		
roints	Spring '// Z	2	Fall 77	~*	Spring '78 %	%	Fall '78
-	Teaching/ learning a trade	5.8	Teaching/ learning a trade	8.4	Men with equipment	9.3	Equipment without men
2	Opportunities 4.8	4.8	Teachin learnin Opportunities 6.l a trade	6.1	Teaching/ learning a trade	7.6	Men with equipment
e	Men with equipment	4.4	Men with equipment	5.5	Equipment without men 6.2	6.2	Want you to join/enlist
4	Want you to join/enlist	3.7	Variety of jobs	5.3	Travel/see the country/ world	4.5	Best service/ praised service

m	Men with equipment	4.4	Men with equipment	5.5	Equipment without men 6.2	Want you to 2 join/enlist		Teaching/ learning 6.8 a trade	5.3	Want you to 5.3 join/enlist	5.6	Men with 5.6 equipment	8.1
4	Want you to join/enlist	3.7	Variety of jobs	5.3	Travel/see the country/ world 4.5	Best service/ praised 5 service		Educational 4.9 benefits	4.8	Educational 4.8 benefits	5.4	Want you to 5.4 join/enlist	7.7
ŝ	Educational benefits	3.3	Educational henefits	5.0	Variety of jobs 4.	4.1 Opportun	íties 4.8	Opportunitie	s 4.7	Equipment Opportunities 4.8 Opportunities 4.7 Opportunities 5.1 without men 6.0	5.1	Equipment without men	6.0
Base:	(181)		(1743)		(1291)	(857)		(1050)		(663)		(1010)	
Source:	Source: Question 6a												

.

•

1

. • . • .

Page 141

8.4

Educational benefits

7.7

Men with equipment

6.0

Men with equipment

9.6

*

Spring 180

~

Fall '79

%

Spring '79

~

8.4

Teaching/ learning a trade

Teaching/ learning a trade

6.0

Equipment 7.1 without men

7.7

وتعشك

FIGURE 4.1

K

-

1

1

erenter baaaasteressed

SUMMARY OF MOST MEHORABLE COPY POINTS

ARHY

and the second second second second

Top Five Copy Points	Spring '77 %	⇒ e	Fall '77 %	52	Spring '78 %	~	Fall '78	24	Spring 179	24	Fall 179	2-2	Spring 18()	*
I	Teaching/ learning a trade	6.1	Teaching/ learning a trade	8.1	Teaching/ learning a trade	0•6	Travel/see the country/ world	11.0	Want you to join/enlist	10.3	Teaching/ learning a trade	11.4	Educational benefits	17.7
C1	Want you to join/enlist	6.1	Educational benefits	7.4	Men with equipment	8 . 8	Men with equipment	8.6	Educational benefits	9.7	Want you to join/enlist	10.7	Teaching/ learning a trade	16.3
٣	Opportunities 5.9	5.9	Variety of jobs	6.7	Slogans	8.5	Want you to join/enlist	8.0	Travel/see the country/ world	6.9	Travel/see the country/ world	1.6	Want you to join/enlist 12.4	12.4
4	Educational benefits	4.3	Travel/see the country/ world	, 6.6	Travel/see the country/ world	7.7	Teaching/ learning a trade	6*1	Men in training	6.7	Educational benefits	7.2	Variety of jobs	8 . 3
ŝ	Travel/see the country/ world	3.6	Want you to join/enlist	5.4	Educational benefits	6.9	Best service/ praised service	:/ 7.8	Opportunities 6.0	; 6. 0	Opportunities 6.1	; 6.1	Men with equipment	8.0
base:	(1838)		(1960)		(1392)		(880)		(1039)		(1068)		(1048)	
Source:	Source: Question 6a													

Page 142

FIGURE 4.1

SUTMARY OF MOST MENORABLE COPY POINTS

MARINE CORPS

Spring '77	~~	Fall '77	~	Spring '78 %	~	Fall 178 %	%	Spring '79 %	*	Fall '79 %	%	Spring '80 %	~
Slogans	9.3	Slogans	16.7	Slogans	18.0	Slogans	19.9	Slogans	17.4	Slogans	16.6	Slogans	18.2
Want you to join/enlist	3.3	Teaching/ learning a trade	5.0	Men in training	6.4	Best service/ praised service	8.3	Want you to join/enlist	6.2	Men in training	6.1	Want you to join/eniist	8.5
uniti	Opportunities 3.1	Men in uniform	4.6	Men in uniform	5.8	Men in training	6.0	Men in training	5.9	Teaching/ learning a trade	6. 1	Men in training	7.0
Teaching learning/ a trade	2.9	Educational benefits	4.3	Men with equipment	5.4	Travel/see the country/ world	5.9	Men in uniform	5.7	Travel/see the country/ world	5.7	Teaching/ learning a trade	6.3
Men in training	2.7	Oppurtunities 4.1	s 4.1	Teaching/ learning a trade	5.2	Nen in uniform	5.1	Educational benefits	4.4	Want you to join/enlist	5.4	Educational benefits	5.9
(11811)		(1597)		(1297)		(1729)		(1044)		(666)		(1035)	
Question 6.													

Page 143

.

7

9

Ń 2 Į,

Ę

.

FIGURE 4.1

SUMMARY OF MOST MEMORABLE COPY POINTS

NAVY

52	13.8	11.7	0.7	8.7	7.6		
Spring '80 %	Travel/seε the country/ world 1	13.3 Adventure 1	Want you to join/enlist 10.7	Teaching/ learning a trade	Men with equipment	(1024)	
	, 16.9	13.3	8.1	7.7	7.6		
Fall '79 %	Travel/see the country/ world	Adventure	Teaching/ learning a trade	Men with equipment	Want you to join/enlist	(1052)	
54	15.5	11.4	8.5	7.9	6.3		
Spring 179 %	Travel/se c the country/ world	Adventure	Equipment without men	Want you to join/enlist	Men with equipment	(1024)	
%	14.5	10.0	9.5	7.4	6.3		
Fall'78 %	Travel/see the country/ world	Adventure	Equipment without men	Want you to join/enlist	Men with equipment	(6691)	
*	16.6	9.6	8.5	7.1	8.4		
Spring '78	Travel/see the country/ world	Equipment without men	tlen with equipment	Adventure	Want you to join/enlist	(1297)	
~	14.7	5.8	5.8	3.8	3.8		
Fall '77	Travel/see the country/ world	Adventure	Want you to join/enlist	Equipment without men	Variety of jobs	(1596)	
22	13.3	6 . 0	5.4	ء 5•0	3.8		
Spring '77 %	Travel/see the country/ world	Want you to join/enlist	Teaching/ learning a trade	Opportunities 5.0	Men with equipment	(1811)	Question 6a
Top Five Copy Points		0	m	্য	S	Base:	Source: 🤇

Page 144

4.3 Recognition of Service Advertising Slogans

Slogans have long been an integral part of service advertising, especially for the Marine Corps. Slogans always have been an effective means of generating and sustaining brand awareness. Tracking the recognition of service advertising slogans, therefore, is another means of assessing the effectiveness of service advertising. Beginning in the Fall 1979 wave, respondents were asked to associate service slogans with their correct advertising source.

In the Spring 1980 wave, as in the Fall 1979 wave, respondents were read a series of slogans currently used or used in the recent past in service advertising and asked to name the correct source of each slogan. Tables 4.5 and 4.6 summarize the data. The correct responses have been circled to facilitate interpretation. No statistical significance is implied by this notation. The following conclusions can be drawn from the table:

- 1. "Join the people who've joined the (Army)." and "The Few. The Proud. The (Marines)." were correctly identified most often.
- 2. The following slogans generated some confusion: "This is the (Army)." "The (Navy). It's not just a job. It's an adventure," and "Maybe you can be one of us (Marine Corps)." Moreover, respondents were as likely to associate "(Air Force), A great way of life." with the Army or Navy as they were to name the Air Force.
- 3. Only one-in-ten respondents could correctly associate the Joint Service slogans with the correct source. However, as discussed below, this is higher than in the previous wave.

TABLE 4.5

RECOGNITION OF SERVICE ADVERTISING

SLOGAN

	Assoc	iate Slog	an with 3	This Adver	tising Source
	Army 	Air Force <u>%</u>	<u>Navy</u>	Marine Corps%%	Joint Advertising
<u>Slogan</u> "This is the"	47.6	8.4	19.9	8.2	4.1
"Join the people who've joined the"	(79.1)	4.3	10.1	3.1	1.2
" A great way of life."	28.0	28.7	20.3	9.6	5.7
" It's not just a job. It's an adventure."	35.2	9.0	40.5	8.9	4.0
"The few. The proud. The"	7.3	5.7	6.0	71.6	2.6
"Maybe you can be one of us."	10.6	15.9	13.2	37.5	9.1
"A chance to serve, a chance to learn."	33.1	19.2	16.6	7.1	11.5
"It's a great place to start."	35.9	15.4	17.3	8.4	11.0

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 7

Ē

Circled percentages represent respondents who correctly identified the slogan.

TABLE 4.6

CORRECT ASSOCIATION OF SERVICE ADVERTISING SLOGANS FALL 1979 vs. SPRING 1980

	Pere		spondents Who Slogan with Fall '79-	
Slogan	Fall '79 <u>%</u>		Spring '80	Statistically Significant
"This is the"	37.6	47.6	+10.0	Yes
"Join the people who've joined the"	80.3	79.1	-1.2	No
" A great way of life."	23.4	28.7	+5.3	Yes
" It's not just a job. It's an adventure."	47.2	40.5	-6.7	Yes
"The few. The proud. The"	67.3	71.6	+4.3	Yes
"Maybe you can be one of us."	35.2	37.5	+2.3	Yes
"A chance to serve, a chance to learn."	8.0	11.5	+3.5	Yes
"It's a great place to start."	7.8	11.0	+3.2	Yes

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 7

4. As shown in Table 4.6, the level of correct identification of service slogans increased significantly from Fall 1979 to Spring 1980 for all but two slogans. This is a positive trend. The exceptions were "Join the people who've joined the (Army)" which remained unchanged and "The (Navy). job. It's an adventure." This decrease in the cor-It's not just a job. which declined. rect identification of this Navy slogan parallels the statistically non-significant decline in awareness of Navy advertising.

1

SECTION V

......

Ę2

ĥ

٩

.

ANALYSIS OF ENLISTMENT INCENTIVES

N.

SECTION V

Analysis of Enlistment Incentives

BODALDAH BOBBLACK | KNALARNA (KASSALANA)

.

Ý

, ,

Ì

5

States and the second second second

The use of incentives has been an integral part of the services' efforts to attract gualified individuals to the military in general and to hard-to-fill jobs in particular. Educational assistance packages, cash bonuses, shorter enlistments, and pay increases are some of the incentives that have been used.

In the Spring 1980 wave, as in the Fall 1978 and Fall 1979 waves, a set of questions was added about certain enlistment incentives in an attempt to learn more about their attractiveness to 16 to 21 year old males. The criterion measure is the extent to which respondents report that they <u>would be more</u> <u>likely to consider joining one of the active duty military</u> services given the availability of the particular incentive.

The present Spring 1980 wave focused on possible modifications of three types of incentives:

- Educational assistance (eliminating monthly contribution by enlistees)
- Increases in current monthly starting pay (\$50, \$100, \$200)
- Changes in the current bonus policy (\$4,000 and \$5,000, each where the recruit can select the place of assignment; \$3,000, \$4,000, \$5,000, each where the recruit cannot select the place of assignment)

Each respondent considered all three incentives. However, he considered only <u>one</u> of three levels of increases in starting pay and <u>one</u> of five levels of change in cash bonuses along with the one level of educational assistance. (This was accomplished by printing multiple versions of the questionnaire and assigning respondents to each version on a random basis. The order of asking these questions was rotated across respondents to prevent any order bias.)

Respondents were asked several basic questions with respect to each of these incentives. These questions focused on the following:

- Perception of current incentive
- Impact of current incentive on enlistment intention
- Impact of modification of incentive on enlistment intention

As stated in previous reports, the information on incentives gathered in this study provides the services with guidance in addressing two key recruiting strategy issues:

- What are perceptions of the current offer
- Which incentives are likely to be most effective
- whether proposed changes in current incentives are warranted

The data derived from this study should be used to assess the relative magnitude of effects on enlistment intentions of (1) one incentive versus the other and (2) different levels of each incentive.

Operationally, this means examining the data in three ways. First, the data are examined in terms of the proportion of respondents who indicated that they would be more likely to consider joining the military given the availability of each incentive. The degree to which these attitudes are held also is examined.

Secondly, the responses to each incentive are examined in terms of relevant demographic subgroups. Specifically, mean scale ratings are shown for each demographic group. Those groups whose ratings differ significantly from the national average are highlighted. These are groups for whom the specific incentive is either particularly appealing (i.e., rated <u>higher</u> than the national average) or particularly less appealing (i.e., rated lower than the national average).

Finally, the data are examined in terms of the degree to which the different levels of each incentive cause negative propensity respondents to indicate that they would be more likely to consider enlisting in the military.

A discussion of the findings follows.

5.1 The Impact of a Modification in Educational Assistance on Enlistment Intent

Respondents were asked three questions with respect to educational assistance. The first was concerned with their awareness of the fact that the services offer financial assistance for post-military schooling. The second question examined reactions to the current educational assistance package and the third question assessed reactions to possible changes in this package. The questions were as follows:

- Do you think the military services offer financial support for schooling after you leave the service?
- Veterans of the military services can receive financial support for schooling. For those willing to place \$50-\$75 of their monthly pay in an educational savings account, the government will add \$2 for every \$1 they save during their tour of duty. The maximum amount of this benefit is \$8,100. Knowing this, would you be more likely, or not, to consider joining one of the active duty military services?
- If you did not have to contribute a portion of your monthly pay in order to receive this educational benefit, would you be more likely, or not, to consider joining one of the active duty military services?

The data are summarized in Tables 5.1 - 5.4. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- Not shown in the tables is the finding that nearly all of the respondents (85.9%) indicated that they knew that the services offer financial support for schooling after leaving the service. The two propensity groups did not differ on this measure.
- 2. For both the current and modified versions of educational assistance, nearly as many respondents said that they would be more likely to consider joining. Overall, the current and

TABLE 5.1

EFFECT OF CURRENT EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

	Spring <u>180</u>
	<u>%</u>
More likely to consider joining	42.8
Much more likely	11.9
Somewhat more likely	20.2
Just a little more likely	10.7
Not more likely to consider joining	52.8
Don't know	4.4
Average*	1.91

Base: All Respondents Source: Question 17b * Mean scale value shown Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likely 1 = Not more likely Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived likelihood.

.

TABLE 5.2

EFFECT OF CURRENT EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

		Spring '80	Statistically Significant+				
Total U.S. Estimate **		1.91					
Variable ***							
Positive propensity		2.50	Yes-higher				
Negative propensity		1.61	Yes-lower				
l6 years old		2.31	Yes-higher				
17 years old		2.11	Yes-higher				
18 years old		1.84	No				
19 years old		1.73	Yes-lower				
20 years old		1.65	Yes-lower				
21 years old		1.67	Yes-lower				
		1.07	les lower				
lOth/llth grade		2.25	Voo-hichor				
Senior			Yes-higher				
		1.98	lio				
In college		1.64	Yes-lower				
High school graduate, n		1.65	Yes-lower				
Not high school graduate	e	1.92	No				
High quality index		1.80	Yes-lower				
Medium quality index		1.97	Yes-higher				
Low quality index		1.91	No				
White		1.92	No				
Black		1.90	No				
Other non-white		1.79	No				
office non white		1.075	NO				
Source: Question 17b							
* Nean scale values show	v n						
3 = Somewi 2 = Just a 1 = Not mo	, larger values ind		er perceived				
** Base: All Respondent	S						
*** Base: Appropriate F	Respondent Groups 1	for Each Var	iable				
+ Statistical significan the range of two stand							

the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average.

TABLE 5.3

EFFECT OF CHANGE IN EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

	Spring <u>'80</u>
	<u>%</u>
More likely to consider joining	43.8
Much more likely	16.5
Somewhat more likely	17.7
Just a little more likely	9.6
Not more likely to consider joining	51.9
Don't know	4.3
Average*	1.99

Base: All Respondents

Ł

Source: Question 17c

* Mean scale value shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely
3 = Somewhat more likely
2 = Just a little more likely
1 = Not more likely
Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived
likelihood.

TABLE 5.4

EFFECT OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE WITHOUT CONTRIBUTING PAY ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring <u>'80</u>	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.99	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity Negative propensity	2.51 1.73	Yes-higher Yes-lower
<pre>16 years old 17 years old 18 years old 19 years old 20 years old 21 years old</pre>	2.26 2.17 1.89 1.88 1.79 1.83	Yes-higher Yes-higher Yes-lower Yes-lower Yes-lower Yes-lower
lOth/llth grade Senior In college High school graduate, not in school Not high school graduate	2.24 2.03 1.82 1.81 1.94	Yes-higher No Yes-lower Yes-lower No
High quality index Nedium quality index Low quality index	1.94 2.03 1.96	No No No
White Black Other non-white	1.99 1.99 1.88	No No No
Source: Question 17c		
* Mean scale values shown		
Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likel 1 = Not more likely Therefore, larger values in likelihood.		er perceived
** Base: All Respondents		
*** Bace: Annrowriste Respondent Crowns	for Each Par	inhle

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average. modified versions of educational assistance do not differ with respect to the proportion of respondents who said that they would be more likely to consider joining the services given the availability of this incentive.

3. The modified version of educational assistance elicited a somewhat stronger response than the current version. That is, the extent to which respondents would be more likely to consider joining is stronger for the modified version, as reflected by the significant differences in the respective average ratings (1.99 vs. 1.91).

- 4. Tables 5.2 and 5.4 examine the educational assistance data in terms of relevant demographic subgroups. As shown in the tables, the demographic subgroups in whom the services are most interested tended to give below-average ratings to both educational incentives. The only noteworthy difference in these demographic profiles is that respondents in the high educational ability group gave below-average ratings to the current educational package but were on par with the national average with respect to the modified version. All in all, the two forms of this incentive do not differ with respect to their impact on demographic subgroups.
- 5. One indication of the relative effectiveness of recruitment incentive is its impact on negative propensity respondents. That is, what proportion of negative propensity individuals indicate that they would be more likely to consider joining given the availability of the incentive? Not shown in the tables is the fact that 31.4% of the negative propensity group said that they would be more likely to consider enlisting given the availability of the current educational assistance. The figure for the modified version was 34.2%
- 6. By way of summary, the data suggest that the impact of modifying the educational assistance incentive would be more qualitative than quantitative. That is, such a change might clinitly strengthen the enlistment propensity attitude rather than increase the proportion 1. Young men in general and negative propensity y ith in particular who express more positive enlistment intentions. Rather than modify the current educational assistance package, therefied, the services should consider initiating offerts to increase awareness of this incentive.

and the second second

5.2 The Impact of Increased Starting Pay on Enlistment Intent

Respondents were asked the following three questions with respect to starting pay:

- As far as you know, what is the monthly starting pay for an enlisted man in the military -- before taxes are deducted?
- The monthly starting pay for an enlisted man is \$449. Knowing this, would you be more likely, or not, to consider joining one of the active duty military services?
- Assuming that everything else about the military service stays the same as it is now, if the starting pay were increased by (\$50/\$100/\$200) a month, would you be more likely, or not, to consider joining one of the active duty military services?

Tables 5.5-5.10 summarize the data. What follows is a discussion of the findings.

- Not shown in the tables are the findings pertaining to 1. respondents' knowledge of current monthly starting pay for an enlisted man. The average estimate was \$352, almost \$100 less than the actual figure (\$449). Thirty-four (34%) percent of the sample were able to guess within \$75 in either direction of the actual level of pay. The average estimates of positive and negative propensity men did not differ significantly (\$348 vs. \$355). While the same may be true of other occupations, it appears that the vast majority of 16 to 21 year old men are not wellinformed about starting pay in the military. This lack of understanding has been documented across the five years of this study. This suggests that the services should attempt to increase awareness of the actual level of starting pay.
- 2. After learning the level of current monthly starting pay, only one-in-five respondents indicated that they would be more likely to consider joining the service. As shown in Table 5.7, this figure increases somewhat with respect to the modified versions of starting pay, especially \$100 and \$200 a month increases.
- 3. The modified versions of this incentive elicited stronger responses than the current version, especially a \$200 a month increase.

TABLE 5.5

EFFECT OF CURRENT MONTHLY STARTING PAY ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

	Spring <u>'80</u> <u>%</u>
More likely to consider joining	21.4
Much more likely	5.1
Somewhat more likely	10.1
Just a little more likely	6.2
Not more likely to consider joining	74.9
Don't know	3.7
Average*	1.43

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 16b

Ľ

E

B

È

* Mean scale value shown

Scale Value:	4 = Much more likely
	3 = Somewhat more likely
	2 = Just a little more likely
	l = Not more likely
	Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived
	likelihood.

TABLE 5.6

EFFECT OF CURRENT MONTHLY STARTING PAY ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring '80	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.43	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity Negative propensity	1.94 1.18	Yes-higher Yes-lower
16 years old 17 years old	1.71 1.60	Yes-higher Yes-higher
18 years old	1.42	No
19 years old 20 years old	1.31	Yes-lower Yes-lower
21 years old	1.24	Yes-lower
10th/11th grade Senior	1.68 1.47	Yes-higher Yes-higher
In college High school graduate, not in school	1.17 1.24	Yes-lower Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	1.59	Yes-higher
High quality index	1.25	Yes-lower
Medium quality index Low quality index	1.49 1.56	No Yes-higher
White	1.43	No
Black Other non-white	1.43 1.10	No Yes-lower
Source: Question 16b		
* Mean scale values shown		
Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likely 1 = Not more likely	y	

** Base: All Respondents

likelihood.

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average.

Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived

TABLE 5.7

EFFECT OF CHANGE IN MONTHLY STARTING PAY ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

		Spring '80	
	Monthl	y Pay Ir	crease
	<u>\$50</u>	\$100	\$200
	%	_%	_%
More likely to consider joining	27.6	36.7	43.1
Much more likely	7.3	11.0	15.8
Somewhat more likely	12.0	15.0	16.2
Just a little more likely	8.3	10.6	11.0
Not more likely to consider joining	69.3	60.1	53.2
Don't know	3.2	3.2	3.7
Average*	1.56	1.76	1.95

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 16

> ر م

M

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely
3 = Somewhat more likely
2 = Just a little more likely
1 = Not more likely
Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived
likelihood.

TABLE 5.8

EFFECT OF \$50 A MONTH PAY INCREASE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring '80	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.56	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	2.11	Yes-higher
Negative propensity	1.29	Yes-lower
16 years old	1.83	Yes-higher
17 years old	1.75	Yes-higher
18 years old	1.52	No
19 years old	1.46	Yes-lower
20 years old	1.32	Yes-lower
21 years old	1.37	Yes-lower
l0th/llth grade	1.79	Yes-higher
Senior	1.61	No
In college	1.26	Yes-lower
High school graduate, not in school	1.35	Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	1.84	Yes-higher
High quality index	1.39	Yes-lower
Medium quality index	1.61	No
Low quality index	1.68	Yes-higher
White	1.56	No
Black	1.55	No
Other non-white	1.00	Yes-lower
Source: Question 16c		
* Mean scale values shown		
Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely		

3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likely 1 = Not more likely Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived likelihood.

****** Base: All Respondents

1555 (S13) (S13)

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average.

TABLE 5.9

.

R

. .

9

2

 留 日

innerse lassage

EFFECT OF \$100 A MONTH PAY INCREASE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring <u>'80</u>	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.76	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	2.41	Yes-higher
Negative propensity	1.43	Yes-lower
16 years old	2.12	Yes-higher
17 years old	1.98	Yes-higher
18 years old	1.72	No
19 years old	1.67	No
20 years old	1.50	Yes-lower
21 years old	1.49	Yes-lower
10th/11th grade	2.14	Yes-higher
Senior	1.77	No
In college	1.42	Yes-lower
High school graduate, not in scho		Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	1.84	No
High quality index	1.56	Yes-lower
Medium quality index	1.81	No
Low quality index	1.96	Yes-higher
White	1.76	No
Black	1.76	No
Other non-white	1.61	No
Source: Question 16 * Mean scale values shown		
Scale Value: 4 = Much more likel; 3 = Somewhat more 1; 2 = Just a little mo 1 = Not more likely Therefore, larger va likelihood.	ikely ore likely	ter perceived
** Base: All Respondents		
*** Base: Appropriate Respondent	Groups for Each Van	riable
+ Statistical significance based of the range of two standard errors Where statistical significance is either <u>higher</u> or <u>lower</u> than the	on total U.S. estima s of the individual is indicated, the va	ate falling beyond variable estimate.

TABLE 5.10

EFFECT OF \$200 A MONTH PAY INCREASE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring <u>'80</u>	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.95	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	2.69	Yes-higher Yes-lower
Negative propensity	1.57	ies-lower
l6 years old	2.27	Yes-higher
17 years old	2.12	Yes-higher
18 years old	2.06	No
19 years old	1.83	No
20 years old	1.69	Yes-lower
21 years old	1.53	Yes-lower
10th/11th grade	2.21	Yes-higher
Senior	2.11	No
In college	1.67	Yes-lower
High school graduate, not in school	1.70	Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	2.03	No
Ligh quality index	1.64	Yes-lower
Medium quality index	2.11	Yes-higher
Low quality index	2.01	No
White	1.91	No
Black	1.96	No
Other non-white	4.00 ++	Yes-higher
Source: Question 16		
* Mean scale values shown		
Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likel 1 = Not more likely Therefore, larger values ind likelihood.	•	r perceived
** Base: All Respondents		
*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups	for Each Vari	able
+ Statistical significance based on total the range of two standard errors of the Where statistical significance is indica either <u>higher</u> or <u>lower</u> than the U.S. ave	individual v ated, the var	ariable estimate.

++ keflects small base

- 4. Tables 5.6, 5.8, 5.9, and 5.10 show the impact of both the current and modified versions of starting pay on demographic subgroups. As these tables show, all of the versions of starting pay have similar impacts on demographic subgroups. As in the case of educational assistance, older respondents, high school graduates and those in the high educational ability group gave below-average ratings to the different packages of pay.
- 5. With respect to the impact of starting pay on the enlistment intentions of negative propensity youth, the data suggest that this incentive has less appeal than educational assistance among this group. Specifically, the proportion of negative propensity respondents who said that they would be more likely to consider enlisting given the current level of starting pay was 10.3%. For the three proposed modifications in pay the figures were 16.4% (+ \$50 a month), 23.7% (+ \$100 a month), and 29.2% (+ \$200 a month).
- 6. Relative to the current starting pay level, the data suggest that increasing monthly pay by at least \$100 a month both strengthens the enlistment propensity attitude as well as increases the proportion of young men who express more positive enlistment intentions.

5.3 The Impact of Increased Cash Bonuses on Enlistment Intent

Respondents were asked four questions with respect to enlistment cash bonuses. The questions were as follows:

- As far as you know, do the military services offer individuals a cash bonus for enlisting?
- How much is this bonus? Even if you aren't sure, please give me your best guess.
- The military services offer a cash bonus of up to \$3,000 for enlisting. The bonus is offered only in certain combat related jobs, requires an additional year of service, and, in general, you can select your place of assignment. It is paid at the end of your initial training. Knowing this, would you be more likely, or not, to consider joining one of the active duty military services?
- Assuming that everything else about the military services stays the same as it is now, if the cash bonus were:
 - increased to \$4,000
 - increased to \$5,000
 - \$3,000 but not allowed to select place of assignment
 - \$4,000 but not allowed to select place of assignment
 - \$5,000 but not allowed to select place of assignment

would you be more likely, or not, to consider joining one of the active duty military services?

Tables 5.11-5.18 summarize the responses to these questions. The following conclusions can be drawn:

 Not shown in the tables is the fact that only 39.7% of the total sample knew that the services offer cash bonuses for enlisting. The two propensity groups did not differ on this measure. When asked what they believed the amount of the bonus to be, 20.4% could not venture a guess. The average estimate was \$959. Again, the two propensity groups

TABLE 5.11

EFFECT OF CURRENT BONUS POLICY ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

	Spring <u>'80</u> <u>%</u>
More likely to consider joining	42.1
Much more likely	12.4
Somewhat more likely	18.8
Just a little wore likely	10.9
Not more likely to consider joining	53.5
Don't know	4.4
Average*	1.90

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 15c

N

+1

EI:

.

* Mean scale value shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely
3 = Somewhat more likely
2 = Just a little more likely
1 = Not more likely
Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived
likelihood.

TABLE 5.12

EFFECT OF CURRENT BONUS POLICY ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring '80	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.90	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	2.46	Yes-higher
Negative propensity	1.61	Yes-lower
16 years old	2.22	Yes-higher
17 years old	2.06	Yes-higher
18 years old	1.87	No
19 years old	1.75	Yes-lower
20 years old	1.69	Yes-lower
21 years old	1.69	Yes-lower
lOth/llth grade	2.18	Yes-higher
Senior	1.95	Yes-higher
In college	1.61	Yes-lower
High school graduate, not in school	1.67	Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	2.03	Yes-higher
High quality index	1.75	Yes-lower
Medium quality index	1.96	Yes-higher
Low quality index	1.96	Yes-higher
White	1.92	No
Black	1.88	No
Other non-white	1.86	Yes-lower
Source: Question 15c		
* Mean scale values shown		
Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more like 1 = Not more likely Therefore, larger values in likelihood.	-	er perceived
** Base: All Respondents		
*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups	for Each Var	iable
+ Statistical significance based on total the range of two standard errors of the		

the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either <u>higher</u> or <u>lower</u> than the U.S. average.

g

(

٤

11

TABLE 5.13

LFFECT OF CHANGE IN BONUS POLICY ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

Spring '80

	\$4,000	\$5,000	\$3,000 Without Selection of Place of Assignment	\$4,000 Without Selection of Place of Assignment %	<pre>\$5,000 Without Selection of Place of Assignment %</pre>
More likely to join	40.7	49.6	17.7	23.1	22.1
Much more likely Somewhat more likely Just a little more likely	14.3 17.1 9.3	19.2 19.9 10.6	5.7 7.0 5.0	7.3 10.2 5.6	5.9 10.1 6.1
Not more likely to join	55.1	47.3	73.9	73.0	74.3
Don't know	4.2	3.1	3.4	4.0	3.5
Average*	1.90	2.11	1.37	1.50	1.46

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 15

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 4 = Nuch more likely

3 = Somewhat more likely

2 = Just a little more likely

l = Not more likely

Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived likelihood.
TABLE 5.14

EFFECT ON ENLISTING OF \$4,000 CASH BONUS

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring 180	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.90	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity Negative propensity	2.46 1.58	Yes-higher Yes-lower
<pre>16 years old 17 years old 18 years old 19 years old 20 years old 21 years old</pre>	2.23 2.06 1.91 1.72 1.59 1.83	Yes-higher Yes-higher No Yes-lower Yes-lower No
lOth/llth grade Senior In college High school graduate, not in school Not high school graduate	2.12 1.94 1.52 1.71 2.32	Yes-higher No Yes-lower Yes-lower Yes-higher
High quality index Medium quality index Low quality index	1.73 1.97 1.98	Yes-lower No No
White Black Other non-white	1.88 1.91 2.07	No No No
Source: Question 15		
* Mean scale values shown		
<pre>Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likel 1 = Not more likely Therefore, largEr values i likelihood.</pre>		er porceived
** Base: All Respondents		

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average.

TABLE 5.15

EFFECT ON ENLISTING OF \$5,000 CASH BONUS

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring '80	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	2.11	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	2,72	Yes-higher
Negative propensity	1.80	Yes-lower
16 years old	2.45	Yes-higher
17 years old	2.26	No
18 years old	2.10	No
19 years old	2.10	No
20 years old	1.81	Yes-lower
21 years old	1.80	Yes-lower
10th/11th grade	2.45	Yes-higher
Senior	2.13	No
In college	1.86	Yes-lower
High school graduate, not in school	1.92	Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	1.89	Yes-higher
High quality index	2.05	No
Medium quality index	2.15	No
Low quality index	2.12	No
White	2.18	No
Black	2.07	No
Other non-white	1.99	No
Source: Question 15		

) |}

ĺ

.

.

. .

Dİ

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely
3 = Somewhat more likely
2 = Just a little more likely
1 = Not more likely
Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived
likelihood.

****** Base: All Respondents

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average.

TABLE 5.16

EFFECT ON ENLISTING OF \$3,000 CASH BONUS WITHOUT SELECTION OF PLACE OF ASSIGNMENT

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring 180	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.37	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	1.66	Yes-higher
Negative propensity	1.23	Yes-lower
16 years old	1.60	Yes-higher
17 years old	1.38	No
18 years old	1.38	No
19 years old	1.35	No
20 years old	1.32	No
21 years old	1.19	Yes-lower
10th/11th grade	1.53	Yes-higher
Senior	1.30	No
In college	1.29	Ro
High school graduate, not in school	1.24	Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	1.56	No
High quality index	1.23	Yes-lower
Medium quality index	1.44	No
Low quality index	1.43	No
White	1.40	No
Black	1.36	No
Other non-white	1.76	No

Source: Question 15b

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely
3 = Somewhat more likely
2 = Just a little more likely
1 = Not more likely
Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived
likelihood.

** Base: All Respondents

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average.

TABLE 5.17

EFFECT ON ENLISTING OF \$4,000 CASH BONUS WITHOUT SELECTION OF PLACE OF ASSIGNMENT

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring '80	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.50	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	1.83	Yes-higher
Negative propensity	1.31	Yes-lower
16 years old	1.74	Yes-higher
17 years old	1.43	No
18 years old	1.52	No
19 years old	1.50	No
20 years old	1.53	No
21 years old	1.19	Yes-lower
l0th/llth grade	1.62	Yes-higher
Senior	1.49	No
In college	1.46	No
High school graduate, not in school	1.31	Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	1.76	No
High quality index	1.33	Yes-lower
Medium quality index	1.57	No
Low quality index	1.61	No
White	1.44	No
Black	1.53	No
Other non-white	4.00	Yes-higher

Source: Question 15

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likely 1 = Not more likely Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived likelihood.

** Base: All Respondents

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either higher or lower than the U.S. average.

TABLE 5.18

EFFECT ON ENLISTING OF \$5,000 CASH BONUS WITHOUT SELECTION OF PLACE OF ASSIGNMENT

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring '80	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	1.46	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity	1.85	Yes-higher
Negative propensity	1.29	Yes-lower
l6 years old	1.58	No
17 years old	1.59	No
18 years old	1.45	No
19 years old	1.38	No
20 years old	1.22	Yes-lower
21 years old	1.46	No
lOth/llth grade	1.57	Yes-higher
Senior	1.55	No
In college	1.35	No
High school graduate, not in school	1.31	Yes-lower
Not high school graduate	1.55	No
High quality index	1.34	Yes-lower
Medium quality index	1.52	No
Low quality index	1.48	No
White	1.54	No
Black	1.39	No
Other non-white	1.46	No
Source: Question 15		

Source: Question 15

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely
3 = Somewhat more likely
2 = Just a little more likely
1 = Not more likely
Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived
likelihood.

** Base: All Respondents

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable is estimate either higher or lower than the U.S. average. did not differ on this measure.

- 2. Somewhat less than one-half of the respondents said that they would be more likely to consider enlisting given the availability of the current cash bonus policy. As shown in Table 5.13 this proportion actually decreases for the \$4,000 version (40.7%) and increases to 49.6% for the \$5,000 version. Eliminating the option of choosing one's place of assignment totally undermines the appeal of this incentive.
- 3. Relative to the current version and the other modified versions, the \$5,000 cash bonus option elicited the strongest response. Of all the incentives examined in this study, respondents gave the highest average rating to the \$5,000 cash bonus.
- 4. Tables 5.12, 5.14, 5.15, 5.16, 5.17, and 5.18 examine the appeal of the various cash bonus policies among demographic subgroups. Response to both the current and the modified versions are guite similar to that for the other incentives. That is, the appeal of a cash bonus tends to be less appealing to the most attractive target market groups.
- 5. The proportion of negative propensity youth who indicated that they would be more likely to consider enlisting given the availability of the current cash bonus policy was 31.2%. The corresponding figures for the \$4,000 and \$5,000 versions were 27.9% and 38.1%, respectively. When the option of selecting the place of assignment is taken away, the appeal of this incentive among negative propensity youth decreases dramatically. The figures for the \$3,000, \$4,000, and \$5,000 levels of this version of cash bonuses were 11.5%, 15.1%, and 14.9%, respectively.
- 6. By way of summary, the data suggest that changes in the current cash bonus policy may not be warranted. Of the modifications considered, the \$5,000 option had the most appeal. While this modification elicits a somewhat stronger response, the proportion of young men in general and negative propensity individuals in particular who express positive enlistment intentions is only marginally higher than that achieved by the current policy. As in the case of both educational assistance and monthly starting pay, this suggests that the services consider initiating actions to increase awareness of this incentive.

By way of summary, the data suggest that the increases in educational assistance and cash bonuses investigated here appear to have less of an effect on enlistment intentions than does increasing awareness of the current offers for each of these incentives. This suggests that the first priority should be given to addressing this awareness issue.

For the readers' convenience, response to the incentives is summarized in Table 5.19.

TABLE 5.19

.

.

4

K

.

•

ت

i

EFFECT OF VARIOUS CHANGES IN ENLISTMENT INCENTIVES ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING WITHIN DEMOGRAPHIC GROUP ANALYSIS*

La sultant a sultant a sector since

र्ष

	Educational Assistance	0W	Monthly Pay Increase	Pay e			Enlistment \$3,000 Withour	t Bonus \$4,000 Uithout	\$5,000 Mithout
I	Without Contributing	1					Selection of Place of	Selection of Place of	Selection of Place of
	Pay	\$50	\$100	\$200	\$4,000	\$5,000	Assignment	Assignment	Assignment
Total U.S.	1.99	1.56	1.76	1.95	1.90	2.11	1.37	1.50	1.46
Positive Prope gsi ty *** Negative Propensity ***	2.51 1.73	2.11 1.29	2.41 1.43	2.69 1.57	2.46 1.58	2.72 1.80	1.66 1.23	1.83 1.31	1.85 1.29
16 years old	2.26	1.83	2.12	2.27	2.23	2.45	1.60	1.74	1.58
years	1.89	1.52	1.72	2.06	1.91	2.10	1.38 1.38	1.52 1.52	1.59 1.45
19 years old	1.88 1.70	1.46	1.67	1.83	1.72	2-10	1.35	1.50	1.38
	1.83	1.37	1.49	I.53	1.83	1.80	1.19	I.19	1.46
10th/11th grade Senior	2.24 2.03	1.79	2.14	2.21 2.11	2.12 1.94	2.45	1.53 1.30	1.62 1.49	1.57 1.55
In college High school graduate	1.82 1.81	1.26 1.35	1.42 1.53	1.67 1.70	1.52 1.71	1.92	1.29 1.24	i.46 1.31	1.35 1.31
graduate Braduate	1.94 1.84	1.84	1.84	2.03	2.32	1.89	1.56	1.76	1.55
Medium quality index 1 on anality index	2,03 1,05 1,06	1.61 1.61		2.11 2.11	1.97		62 • 1 44 • 1	1.57	1. 34 1. 52 1. 52
non dealery thuck	07 • 1	00.1	06 • 1	10.2	1.70	71.7	1•40	10.1	1.40
White	1.99	1.56	1.76	1.91	1.88	2.18	1.40	1.44	1.54
Black Other non-white	1.99 1.88	1.00	1./6 1.61	1.96	2.07	2.07 1.99	1.36 1.76	1.53 4.00	1.39 1.46
* Nean scale values shown									
Scale Value: 4 = Much mo 3 = Somewha	<pre>= Much more likely = Somewhat more likely</pre>								

2 - очисти а little more ликелу
 2 = Just a little more ликелу
 1 = Not more likely
 1 = Not more likely
 Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived likel/hood.

** The underlines denote the highest average for each demographic subgroup. statistical significance.

*** Refers to propensity for any service

Page 178

This notation does not imply any

SECTION VI

C E

4

PERCEPTIONS AND ATTITUDES TOWARD DRAFT REGISTRATION Page 179

SECTION VI

Perceptions and Attitudes Toward Draft Registration

Since its inception, the all-volunteer military has been the subject of great debate. In recent months this debate has intensified. A major focus of the discussion is whether the country could quickly mobilize enough trained manpower in case of an armed conflict. This has caused both supporters and critics of the all-volunteer military to question the need to reinstitute draft registration. A resumption of registration could arouse emotion regarding military service. The impact on voluntary enlistments of renewed intensified social feelings about military service is unknown. In order to determine what this impact might be, it is necessary to first gauge reaction to the draft registration concept. To determine this reaction, three questions must be asked. These are: Do target market youth perceive a need for registration? What are target market youth's attitudes about having to register? What, if any, impact will registration have on enlistment intentions?

One year has passed since the draft registration questions were first asked. Since that time, several key events have occurred that may influence male youth's attitudes toward military service. These are the hostage situation in Iran, the Russian invasion of Afghanistan and recent reinstatement of draft registration which <u>occurred just after the interviewing</u> for the current wave. Hence, the Spring 1980 wave provides an important one-year perspective on this critical issue.

A discussion of the data follows.

Paģé 181

6.1 Perceived Need for Draft Registration

In the Spring 1979 wave and again in the Spring 1980 wave, respondents were asked whether they felt that a draft registration was necessary to provide a strong defense for this country. Specifically, respondents were read the following statement and asked to indicate the degree to which they agreed or disagreed with it. The statement was as follows:

"Requiring all 18 year olds to register for the draft is necessary to provide a strong defense for America."

In the Spring 1979 wave, less than one-half of the sample agreed with this statement. In the current wave, this proportion is now over one-half (44.3% vs. 58.8%). As Table 6.1 shows, not only has the proportion of male youth who feel that a draft registration is necessary increased, but so has the strength of this perception, as reflected in the average scale ratings (3.16 vs. 3.75). These year-to-year increases are statistically significant.

Table 6.2 examines the response to this issue in terms of relevant demographic subgroups. As the table shows, positive propensity youth and the youngest (16 years old) and oldest (21 years old) individuals expressed above-average agreement with the statement. Interestingly, those most directly affected by a draft registration (i.e., 18 and 19 year :olds) expressed below-average agreement with the statement.

TABLE 6.1

PERCEIVED NEED FOR DRAFT REGISTRATION

"Requiring all 18 year olds to register for the draft is necessary to provide a strong defense for America."

	Spring 79	Spring 180
	_%	%
Agree with Statement	44.3	58.8
Strongly agree	13.0	21.6
Generally agree	19.9	25.5
Agree just a little	11.4	11.7
Disagree with Statement	55.7	41.2
Disagree just a little	9.1	8.5
Generally disagree	19.4	13.3
Strongly disagree	27.2	19.4
Average*	3.16	3.75

Base: All Respondents

Source: Questions 14a, 14b

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 6 = Strongly agree 5 = Generally agree 4 = Agree just a little 3 = Disagree just a little 2 = Generally disagree 1 = Strongly disagree Therefore, larger values indic..te stronger agreement.

TABLE 6.2

PERCEIVED NEED FOR DRAFT REGISTRATION

"Requiring all 18 year olds to register for the draft is necessary to provide a strong defense for America."

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring 180	Statistically Significant+
Total U.S. Estimate **	3.75	
Variable ***		
Positive propensity Negative propensity	4.25 3.50	Yes-higher Yes-lower
<pre>16 years old 17 years old 18 years old 19 years old 20 years old 21 years old</pre>	3.86 3.81 3.58 3.53 3.75 4.03	Yes-higher No Yes-lower Yes-lower No Yes-higher
lOth/llth grade Senior In college High school graduate, not in school Not high school graduate	3.87 3.71 3.70 3.76 3.53	Yes-higher No No Yes-lower
High quality index Medium quality index Low quality index	3.76 3.78 3.68	No No No
White Black Other non-white	3.77 3.75 3.24	No No No
Sources Question 14h		

Source: Question 14b

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 6 = Strongly agree 5 = Generally agree 4 = Agree just a little 3 = Disagree just a little 2 = Generally disagree 1 = Strongly disagree Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived likelihood.

****** Base: All Respondents

*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable

+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either <u>higher</u> or lower than the U.S. average.

6.2 Attitudes Toward Draft Registration

Beginning with the Fall 1979 wave, respondents were asked to indicate the degree to which they favored or opposed a draft registration. Table 6.3 presents the data for both the Fall 1979 wave and the current wave. As the table shows, there was a statistically significant positive shift in attitudes toward a draft registration from Fall 1979 to Spring 1980. In the Fall 1979 wave, one-in-four respondents expressed some degree of approval of a draft registration. In the Spring 1980 wave, this ratio has increased to better than one-in-three young men. The proportion of youth who had no opinion on the subject remained unchanged.

Table 6.4 examines the response to this issue in terms of different demographic subgroups. Positive propensity youth and the youngest respondents expressed above-average approval of a draft registration. High school graduates, 18 year olds, and those in the lowest educational ability groups, on the other hand, expressed below-average approval.

Critics of a draft registration have argued that a return to the draft will be the inevitable consequence of registration. While the reality of this is questionable, the perception of it happening may be strong enough to influence the present enlistment intentions of certain individuals. As a means of studying this possible phenomenon, respondents in the Fall 1979 wave were asked whether they would be more likely or less likely to consider joining the service in the event of a draft registration. The question was repeated in the Spring 1980 wave and the two-wave data are summarized in Table 6.5. A discussion of the results follows.

TABLE 6.3

.

ATTITUDE TOWARD DRAFT REGISTRATION

	Fall '79	Spring '80
	%/ /o	<u>%</u>
Strongly in favor of it	7.0	12.8
Somewhat in favor of it	17.5	23.7
Neither in favor nor against it	24.2	23.4
Somewhat against it	21.2	18.6
Strongly against it	30.2	21.4
Average*	2.50	2.88

TABLE 6.4

ATTITUDE TOWARD DRAFT REGISTRATION

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring '80	Statistically Significant+		
Total U.S. Estimate **	2.88			
Variable ***				
Positive propensity Negative propensity	3.39 2.62	Yes-higher Yes-lower		
<pre>16 years old 17 years old 18 years old 19 years old 20 years old 21 years old</pre>	2.97 2.96 2.79 2.79 2.81 2.94	Yes-higher No Yes-lower No No No		
21 years old 10th/11th grade Senior In college High school graduate, not in school Not high school graduate	3.60 2.90 2.87 2.80 2.71	Yes-higher No Yes-lower Yes-lower		
High quality index Medium quality index Low quality index	2.93 2.88 2.79	No No Yes-lower		
White Black Other non-white	2.91 2.86 2.89	No No No		
Source: Question 14d				
* Mean scale values shown				
Scale Value: 5 = Strongly in favor of 4 = Somewhat in favor of 3 = Neither in favor nor 2 = Somewhat against it 1 = Strongly against it Therefore, larger values	it against it	nger invor.		
** Base: All Respondents				
*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups for Each Variable				
+ Statistical significance based on total V.S. estimates the range of two standard errors of the indicate Where statistical significance is indicated, the either higher or lower than the first of				





A PORT

Ś

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

As the table shows, the proportion of male youth who indicated that they would be more likely to consider enlisting increased significantly from Fall 1979 to Spring 1980 (43.4% vs. 52.2%). Moreover, the table shows that the strength of this attitude also has shifted in a positive direction.

Table 6.6 examines these data in terms of demographic subgroups. The demographic differences shown are consistent with those seen with respect to the other perception and attitude data discussed in this section. Moreover, it is not surprising that positive propensity youth would be more inclined than others to consider enlisting should draft registration become a reality. The age and education differences reflect the fact that the positive propensity group consists of a disproportionate number of younger males.

By way of summary, there appears to have been a significant positive shift in the perceptions and attitudes of 16 to 21 year old males as a group towards a draft registration. Relative to others in the survey sample, the registration-related perceptions and attitudes of negative propensity youth, older individuals and those who have attained a higher level of education are less favorable. Nevertheless, the perceptions and attitudes of these individuals also have shifted in a positive direction in the last six months.

With draft registration now a reality, future waves of this study can measure the <u>actual</u> impact of registration on enlistment intentions.

TABLE 6.5

EFFECT OF DRAFT REGISTRATION ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

	Fall '79	Spring '80
	2	2
More likely to join	43.4	52.2
Much more likely	13.6	19.3
Somewhat more likely	18.5	21.8
Just a little more likely	11.3	11.1
Less likely to join	47.8	40.4
Don't know	7.9	7.4
Average*	1.98	2.22

Base: All Respondents

ü

T. A

3

.

1.1

5

120

į,

BARADAD I CEREMAN ALARCER SHARES HERE BARADAD I SALANDA

Source: Question 14e

* Mean scale values shown

Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more likely 1 - Not more likely Therefore, larger values indicate greater perceived likelihood.

Ì

ANALY CONTROL PARAMAN ANALY ANALY ANALY ANALY ANALY ANALY ANALY

202

TABLE 6.6

EFFECT OF DRAFT REGISTRATION ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING

DENOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS*

	Spring 180	Statistically Significant+		
Total U.S. Estimate **	2.22			
Variable ***				
Positive propensity	2.71	Yes-higher		
Negative propensity	1.96	Yes-lover		
16 years old	2.34	Yes-higher		
17 years old	2.30	Yes-higher		
18 years old	2.22	No		
19 years old	2.15	No		
20 years old	2.10	Yes-lover		
21 years old	2.15	No		
10th/11th grade	2.33	Yes-higher		
Senior	2.28	No		
In college	2.19	No		
High school graduate, not in school	2.09	Yes-lower		
Not high school graduate	2.15	No		
High quality index	2.24	No		
Medium quality index	2.23	Xo		
Low quality index	2.13	Yes-lower		
White	2.28	No		
Black	2.17	Yes-lower		
Other non-white	2.32	No		
Source: Question 14e				
* Hean scale values shown				
Scale Value: 4 = Much more likely 3 = Somewhat more likely 2 = Just a little more like	1•			
1 = Less likely	- /			
Therefore, larger values in	dicate great	er perceived		
likelihood.	6	,		
** Base: All Respondents				
*** Base: Appropriate Respondent Groups	for Each Var	iable		
+ Statistical significance based on total U.S. estimate falling beyond the range of two standard errors of the individual variable estimate. Where statistical significance is indicated, the variable estimate is either <u>higher</u> or <u>lower</u> than the U.S. average.				

and all a state of a state of a

· · · · · · · · ·



Fage 191

AFFENE IX 1

STATESTECAL ARLEADELETY

Beliside respondents fre weighted windund is hit correct to address standard extrons by anthodo allow would be approved at a botto unweighted into.

11

3

2

7

SSSSSS

FUNDER

K with

North

1

1 " a " a

18 18 18

R

â

3

ï

Honica, francasta artenda ante completera for all thicker neralations formation anter anter anter a general anter a general anter a general take and the set of W. S. Complete anter an artenda anter the set of a transmission of the science france of the set of the

trensenten (): Raharana gun siit naterijten si Baltanijten sei ten ken kinadanta. Banu telëtare saturani shi naterijete te takër ten banërte di banërte di banërte saturat Wîte interijete i satal

The accompanying total and the 25 of the second or the terminal contract time three states and the terminal contract time three atoms to the terminal contract time three atoms to the terminal contract by certaining before the terminal contract.

Fage 192

CALLER REPAIRS CONSERVED

N. N. W. W.

STATISTICAL RELIABILITY FOR DETERMINING ACCURACY OF PERCENTS WITHIN A SUNCLE SAMPLE*

At the 95% lovel of confidence

	Stores	tude of Ex	miled at (Observed I	Percent
Sample	10%	3 0 %	10%	40%	50%
5:20	90%	4.9%	7.07	122	195
100	۵. ۹	\$, 7	۵. 🗭	10.0	10, 4
i s i	* *		۶.	きま	9
	5, 3	4., 1	۹, 🌒	•.4	5.4
	2.4	¥., ¥	\$ a \$	4, 1	4, 5
1000	2.1	£ •	۹., ۱	\$. \$	\$, 4
2000	₹. ♦	4 🕈	t . t	2.4	8,4
1-00	¥. ¥	¥., 7	1.0	2.1	2.1
1004	12	¥ *	• د 1	2 🕈	2.0

that to be used but comparing absorbalization from dillorent groups of to spandents

J. 🗰

** Observed permant the appropriate number shows by how much the abservation could vary due to sampling error.

STATINTY AL DE LIABILITY FOR COMPANING PERCENTS BETWEEN TWO INDEPENDENT SAMPLES

At the 45% tevel of confidence

	A1		e Iwa Other		ette
al hack	1 Cont	5 m 2		447 K.	S S S
Some ale	222		20%	1.1.1	223
	۶, ۲	¥2. 2	14.9	94. ×	- 15. E
2.1.	° ,		4		; '
400	8. #	6 . 2	6. 1	7, 5	7 5
600	3. 7	ڊ <u>۽</u>	3, *	6.2	6.3
1998	2. >	3. \$	# , *	E _ 7	4, 1
2000	2. i	2. 4	3. 1	3, 3	3.4
25.00	1.8	2.4	2. 9	Z. *	3.0
3000	1.7	2.2	25	2, •	? +

Not to be need for measuring accuracy of percents within a single somple

** Minimum difference required between the observed percests in the two sampled populations to be statistically different

いたいたちへく

No.

N. C. C. K. K.

いいいろうい

10 CX ()

2

ž

Ś

BRACHLAL ARCH. " MORPH

المعالية المحمدة من المحمدة عادة عند المحمدة عند عند المرد الله المرد المحمدة من المحمدة محمدة المحمدة المحمدة المحمدة المحمدة عند عند المحمدة المحمة المحمدة المحمدة المحمدة المحمة المحم محمدة المحمدة المحمة المحمة المحمة المحمة المحمة المحمة المحمدة المحمدة المحمدة المحمة المحمة المحمة المحمدة المحمة المحمة المحمة المحمة المحمة ا

Phe Preside of an and an and a construction of an and and a second and a second and a second and a second a sec

2. X

18 X 2 2 X 2 X

كانية عندية المعنية المعنية الله معهمة بن الله معهمة بينية المعهمة المناهم المعنية المناهم المالية. المال عنديا معمالة المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية ومارية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المع المعالية الم

்கை தலைக்கு மால் கண்டு பிட்டால்க் உள்கையில் ஆய்க்கிய பில் கண்டுக்கில் மான் கண்டு பிட்டது. இல் பில்கை கண்டு கண்ட கல்கு குட்டது. குட்டி கல்கு கல் கண்டுக் ஆல் குட்டிய பிடிய உல்கானும் ஆல் தல் தல் கடித்துக் கல் தல் குட்டி கேல் ச சிட்டியாலை

4 (prilaca 20 	Tre arcientia Mili
1 12 3	201
" - te	•
11 gr 2 P	٢.
₩. 1. t	• •
** 12 8	3
the generation	f42 3
a¥ su tre	-16 - 5

.

え

R.

Ŷ

Ś

.с. .К Т	
5	
,	BACKING
545	0
	6747457166 F08
(B) (B)	
14 28 yrs	
- - 	230

Ż

ļ

Į

ī

e. F

							Tructor		<u>م</u> ر			DAC 1	
Methodeside 1 4 <th< th=""><th>Methodeside 1 2 1 <th< th=""><th>Sections A rue</th><th>1.14</th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th>7</th><th>M</th><th>41</th><th>21</th><th>7</th><th></th></th<></th></th<>	Methodeside 1 2 1 <th< th=""><th>Sections A rue</th><th>1.14</th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th>7</th><th>M</th><th>41</th><th>21</th><th>7</th><th></th></th<>	Sections A rue	1.14			-		7	M	41	21	7	
Name Name Name Name 1 <	Nitz Karakan An Karak	-	A. 41	3	1	1	*	•	*	•	-	-	~
Marken Marken F. 1 F. 2 F. 2 <thf. 2<="" th=""> F. 2 F. 2</thf.>			t i	1	٠	•	•	-		~	-	~	~
An analysis 1 <td< td=""><td>Mentale Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew 1<</td><td></td><td>4.4</td><td>F.4</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>3</td><td>: =</td><td>•</td><td>• =#</td><td>•</td><td></td></td<>	Mentale Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew 1<		4.4	F.4		-		3	: =	•	• =#	•	
C. Receives 5. 14 1. 14	C. Anothere D. A. Anothere D. Anothere D. A. Anothere D. A. Anothere D. A. Anothere D. A. Anothere D. Anothere									•	•	•)
	Mutual for an and a strate of a strate strate of a stra		11 A - 12	**	2	2	:	*	ĩ	•	•	~	~
		-		ţ	٠	٠	•	٠	•	-	~	~	•
			•	ł	4	4							
	Internation			ł.	£				2	•	-	•	•
			2	\$	*	2	•		2	•	~	~	~
			ere N	\$	•	•	•	•	~	•	•	~	•
			4. (Å).	£	~	•	2	:	* 1	•	-	•	-
	Manual Manua Manual Manua Manual Manual Manual Manual Manual Manual Manual Ma		₽ • 1 ₽	2	\$	•	4 2	#		~	-	-	•
	Mut Resultation 1	Atractor / No rith	Ĩ										
			•	:	2	•	-	-	2	•	~	~	~
	Mental Manual 1 Manual Manual 1 Manual Manual 1 Manual Manual 1 Manual Manual 1 Manual 1 Manual Manual 1 1 1 1 Manual 1 1 1 1 1 Manual 1 1 1 1 1 1 Manual 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Manual 1	Ľ	•	8	•	:	•	-	R T	~	-	-	-
	Muthersky Nith Constrained Muthersky Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Muthersky Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Muthersky Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Muthersky Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Nith Constrained Muthersky Nith Constrained Mut	-	•	7	*	2	2	•	£	•	•	~	•
	Auch Caraabaaa 1 Much Caraabaaa 1 Much Caraabaaa 1 Much Caraabaa 1 Much Name 1	-	Ť ↓	3	\$	2	3	٤	2:	~	-	-	*
			#	*	3	#	2	#	2	•	~	•	•
			3	4	£	٤	2	•	•	-	-	-	-
		-	4 4 4 4	£	٤		-		:	~	~	-	-
				5	* 3	Ę	•		21	~	-	-	~
			10 m	2	2	-	2	L	21	•	~		~
		-											
			4. 24. 26.	•	1	:	•	Ţ	٠	•	~	•	•
			يد له نو	*	*	# #	•	9	•	•		-	-
			*	3		2	2	Z) ==	-	-	•
			*	ŧ	*	2	•	•	3	~	•	• •	-
	Dee Alvinee New Contrans Freed (C. (m.) F. R. C. K.		4. 20 4	:	2	*	•	•	•	-	-	-	-
	Now Colleges		2	*		2	2	-	z	-	-	-	-
				3	*	٤	2	5	•	-	-	-	-
		Freed (Carnet	2 B 2 B 2	42.4		* 12 14	1	ki	# 1	Ë		45	r:
			1										

Page 195

APPENDER 111

MED DEPLEN, DE BEDBURGERES

للله المسال عام المالية المسالة المرك المالية المسلك المالية ممانية مالية مالية المالية المالية المالية المالية المسلك المالية المالية ممانية المحلية المالية المحلية المحل المحلية المحليية المحلية المحليية المحليية المحلية المحلي

The weighting system sets the Yall 1910 Wave is comewhat ifferent in principle, in that fewer weights are required. Our weight is computed for each tracking area as I abotime is a most age rade o millnation. The weighting obstact is a maximum the principli duct of the appropriate tracking area and age race weights.

100000000

1717 A.S.

2

1. 1. 1.

-

5

2. Y.Y.

antikaan an fissen antikaan oostt ga kantu mee stataan anger meet maa anger maalaan alle e te te keen kann de stann de tet ge kantu kees kantutandatee meet maa 20 zu g samme tang vers much mee sametaal bestale oostt tet een meet kee saktan and maa an meete state tang vers much meete sametaal bestale oostale oo tanger and tege saktan and an meete teter oo tanger at tanger an tete sametaal bestaat bestand an se substand an eeste saktan an anno of tanger an teter sametaal eeste sater and teter an teter an teter oo teter an teter an teter oo teter anno oo teter an teter alle sametaal eeste sater an teter an teter an teter an teter oo teter oo teter oo teter and an teter an teter an teter and teter oo teter and teter and teter an teter oo teter oo teter and teter oo te

ARENAN IV

HATING PER AMALYSIL OF VARIANCE

	Progenulty Lata	Recruiter Jontaut Data
Vart up to		F Ratto
Carta/1000	· • • • •	6
	4 • • • • • • -	7.5:
The King American In	1.1.1 A	
2 A T	. R 4	* * * *
3 * TA	· • • ?	L.
T # TA	·a , ⁴ a	


















BANGE JUD

1

Ż

Ĵ

ž

J

BALLAN PARTA

Y Y.

State State State

the second s

an align and the second se			in a second
	ու գերը բացուց ուրնը գրի րուն	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	a terre alger		• .
Sagarathan () an () an (
••••• •	•••		
and the second sec	n de la constant de l La constant de la cons		1
• • • •		r says o, s s Annorsen same	
•			
	· ·	en e	
And the second sec	مهر و موجو	in the second	
τ το		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Director Manageria
n n gyra y na nala an gwyara.	PRE MAN EXPERIMENTE MA	անի անհերհայտ է։ Դի անհերհայտ է։	
المعالية الم	un e ann angle seige terdaun i	tenar eksendenarstyn and sintsa sader	- • • • • •
ն∰ապաներությունը Հայաստանին է Տերեններությունը Հե	ingen kalende – de kalende kale Na serie kalende	angente e tegente tra angente Nange angente angente	k8⊂ ∰eller i r
ցինասերությունը ենուրդերի ուն ենությունը արտանարի ունենների նախումների հա	gersan stan ar antersan gadar das		1 1 1 /
an an an an and the second of the	n a a		
रू 12		and the second states of the second	HL 4 ML S
Marke	institute netter n 131.02° Ciller, ruppie 121.02° Ciller, ruppie	inne astrono anno a na Sea Linne a Sea Linne a	ene av star
· · · · · · ·	છેલાં 🛎 ઉપ	than 1 == 12	tre type The state of the stat
			1
· · · · ·			8-0
		· ·	- 42 - 41 E
usalahira ian ukartu, yanan karisan takar yaranganatan ia	an an an a sa gair an gair an	and the sector contrology was	and an in the state a traje of a state of the state of t
مريد و معني منهم معني منهم منه منه منه منه منه منه منهم منهم	pering nan taberes rises risesta atares ata	annen die diffe dieserstenden under	
a son under Alleration ober on one an andere alleration of a signed at			
NUM CONTRACTOR NOT CONTRACTOR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1. 4 0 - 1. 4 0
- Freedom ang da si			*
Neglige (S. 1996), and so a			2 <u>2</u>
All the second s	and and and a		
Antelle alle an anna des an alles alles alles and alles a	-ter-state stidette state -sis-terrativater	and the second	
		t halfefiliter ange	
ander and		ulle nte alette endetligenden en an en endere a	₩+ 4 <i>44484</i> 549774445
and the second s		Since the second second	· • •
mage gage - reste	~ 10	Simult - y -	5 is
արդան անգանությունը։ Դերեսեր		Statutte	a (4 5)
hen Alde - Aller		Sime to a constant	- (47)
Դեծն կիս ըս օրջնենը		Server 11 2 2	s (***)
քերից լինցեր անցերիա		densett to the d	
∴n-higher nghèri Rhôt Mana nghèri	•••	19⊵nas23 e. t. 18eus 81 e. e. e.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1944, 1964		Serve the control of the	
مرد مهر مهرم الاحداث م		Marchael State of the second	
a na na sa na	and a second	4 - +*. A rtille - de -	
· · · ·	аранарын. —		. • •,
•	الدرا الإجازة والإسادة والمتحادين الأرابي والمراجع	and Report	
7 B	ا مامه و بچه با بونورس وهمود ا موندس	تلييونيا الاستالا بنيواء فوبا الهموابه الهن	the second the second sec
۴ .	ماسوما الهوييد موماته ويهوقا معج	ي المراجع الم محمد الم محمد الم محمد الم	<i>i</i>
c • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ر جدي ه⊸40مدينيتون هور تاليون	لمې رونو مېتىر ^د رىغانچە بەركىق <mark>ۇن</mark> قولىرو	
	ويهوره متبد موافقات المجهولات والمحمومة		:
The second	a second and a second sec		

455 74 ggam

and the contract and contract designed and a state of the state and

Ä

Ţ

÷,

) A

20

25%

3

1.65%

ľ

Š.

ân cadace b				ر داد به م م	. ,
Piter privat States ? - Basis in	\$1 ···	· · ••		1445	
		ین بر در مین است. میتورد بی است		. .	
		• • •			
A and . ,			* 6a *	-tu Ving	
las rest in .			·		
enter con	-10-1-10	دهـ .	-an 8,aa.		
ւտերը մես մեն, մանգեն նաչ սենչ։	رو بر در ۱۹ ۲۰ م	in and a second	a substantiate data ta mana sua sua su		
na an a		the state white a state			
نیور ایرو ایروان در (وواد	د. ، موجد جربه ه	Bay attack salada e	an Ann Salan -	. A. 268 0.	
Mar Alfak version – ըստ ու ներա արտանու Հայուններին Հայուններին Հորում է հայտում Հորում է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում Հորում է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում Պանգավոր՝ է Maria է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում Պանգավոր՝ է Maria է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում է հայտում	منه معنور و معقور و معقور مانی معرف معنی و م م موجو در 84 م	سويكور الاست الجماد والجعام أسار التكاني. والمانيا الماليان الموليس الجيار الذار السار 19	دید دلیسونده وه بد. دوره سروی روه در میلو	Alemania est agricultur. Alemania deserviturista	-
وجها محصومة بجهم معلم براح كالانت المحافة المراجع	ար քենագեր շրա <u>տան</u> ները մեսե	i ista an inter saya -	t para agaga attatatas		
••/ ***	E	4	a.		
te		. •			
п		·	e 🌔 en en en el		
rden 196 ek janne viel Konnenstreisige ferhenst verweit.	ł,		💼 ran te ka 🗛		4
)	•		
The Philiph of the control of the barrier states	st i de laderi	ւ անդեր անդերանն հերանն հերուն։ Դրուս հերուն հերանն հերուն հ	լ անաստանություն		
		A Pole of	°nelipeniati and range (tea.		
Sir sikende Sirjede Sirleden) Ers Hindende Sirjede Streetende		sinde grades		under an en 🖉 👘	11
			r s þarð f ærs í f æljillin ær fag í sær	· ••••	
երերերի Արարին էր երերեր, չերեր հերեր Գագերի եր պետարերի եր հերերերի հեր		949 94 4 - 1	f - speart bay - 71 a sily ears (1),	1 500 -	
	-state to	دين وهيئي الحديد. ا	a af linear	. 💼	14 * 49 71 1
and a stand a stand of the stan	· · · ·	Maria µanga1*	° and thayage was where -		
	- h ord-	te da se			
ا الم الم الم المحمد الم					
· •	ter and the second s	th -t	• .		.,
the interval and the second second	-				
n, an ender ander y setter a transmitter and an ender and the setter setter and the setter					
արուն հանձան էր հ երուներությունները։ Հանգանի հեր երեններին հերուներին հերուներին։	An Aranget	* #### +k*	BE Salps		
ALES A. C. Man (C. MAN).			Bilatin Sindaga)	*	
18 - Mar Land - Cold alge bill a Mage 7 fag gaar t					
	1a 📕	•			•
the terms and the second of th		rk ystav ja	y envenante 👝 tapate tape 🗉	8 · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3474		-		•	
Maghab - an aig r	*/ [*] / *	•	* *		
1. Martin and a construction of the second o	ten den under Lag - annes dinterangen ter		د. ۱۹۰۹ ز. پیچه به بېښه، مړو مورد. ۱۹۰۰ ز.	αν 12 τ αταφατά τ	
		e interior de administration	***		
	tigen deur ringe		•		
	يريد المراجع والمراجع		a 🍋 calendari dari dari da	194.9°	••
	The Fulger	Ŷ			
	anter anter anter and	v á lange			
15 − 17° \$8°-14994\$1 ¥8994\$1 varster varster 183 April 216 - National varster internetion internetional (* 1885) April 216 - National varster internetional (* 1895)	andrawani wanana wa sa	is allahan sabasan aha <u>n</u> g yay - . alisi sala	يوداد يودانيكتني ووك مطوليكام	nga kana ang ga kana kang k	
ning and the second		The game of the states of	nangkani in teringkani	THE SHALL ALL HOLES	
Ny the test the		v	*		
N . •··		Turne off			
34. <u>12</u>		ALL IN	·	Term Prova	
Brighter of Service	~ t	Mily Masa		" MH	
the Second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	>			45
ting.	-				
The and the second	•	A state of the		,	e
.	_			,	
AND I HAD JANNAG	- T 4				
Maria Maria Sparana Maria Maria	r 1				4-
angerings typener Mess. Ness stang, stages−n	c d			•	4 -

• ` • .

ì

Ş

1



•

N.

į

• ÷

ŗ .

يت چون ج

,

and a landon is seen 5. V

a,





• . . . And a constraint of a state of and the state

k h

. . .



• • • • . -

:

•••••••• the state of the second state of PER MOLES

1

· · · · · · • راف الإفراد في معادية ومنافعة ومناحد الإفرادين من منه، من مناحد أو المراجع المعاد في المراجع المراجع الم

is the second gradient gas the second second in the second s •

,如此是一个时代,我们有些一个时候,这些是一个,我们也是不可能是一个,就是我们们这些是我们都是是一个,我们就是一个,我们就是一个人们的时候,我们一个人们。

ş

F

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

of numbers address off a life in several address of the

ŗ

A THE PART OF A DATE OF A

\$

ALL ADVA SAMPAGY

÷	к.															:					and the second	
					:					• 		1.1			7 			 March 1998 /ul>			 A set gam ers so gam ers and so gam ers an Gam ers and so gam ers an	
					:					te bata per seen dans in der dat si mandelinge		1		 ● PR + A = 100 Provide P		and the second s				Para		
		• :	* 4	a - 4	۰ و ۴	:	i i	*	÷ • =	r Í Í		•	¥ ŝ				۲ .		<u>}</u>		*	
1		#							••••		• •	•••	• •	÷.						1		1
				•		•			. . .	•				*:: T * * *		ī	, î		1)
			· .	•••• •	· • •							•••	•••	and a state of states of the	ats .			·				
			· ·	•••• •	· · ·		1	•	• • •			• •		Se and a second and	まってまた。 さんしゅう 小菜 まんさん くちょう しゅうしょう しゅうしょう しゅうしょう 日本					n under an and an and an and an		
		● 「「「「」」「「」」「「」」」「「」」」「「」」」「「」」」「」」」「」」「」	14449 - Anno 1444 - Anno 1 Anno 1444 - Anno			antropological de la materia. Resentativa en la demanación Mendadoria de la construcción						The structure of the st		1	1994年11日,1月1日,1月1日,1月1日,1月1日,1月1日,1月1日,1月1日,		ł	te un oferentia de server antes antes a la server a server a server a la server a server a server a server a s A server a s	1.1			

1.1.1

₩]		2-R-0339 6. 6322
Ň	Interviewer Louis at Propensity card. If there is an "1" in the box next to "Negative Propensity," ask	
	question 14 If there is an " in the box next to "Positive Propensity," skip to the CLASSIFICATION SECTION, below.	
	19 Why would you not be lakely to enlist in one of the active duty military services? (DO NOT READ RESPONS	iES.)
	(« not wint to lerve - in military, unspecified) Have plans for civilian job	(70)
	Separation being duants sources and account of the second	
	Conjectoran of injury of its classical constraints of the second se	
₹.	le qu, a pensoo can do hetter than being a soldier)	
•••	Le A of perioral freedom	
<u>.</u>	Living conditions	
Ś.	A from other sPECIFF)	
	CLASSIFICATION SECTION	
	They, I have a few questions to help us put our participants into proper groups. Remember that the information us to completely confidential	you give
	volto ompreterizionen semita. Na - Ane viso mannimo, constemitato un divorced?	
<u>,</u> ,,	Married	
.	Single	(71)
	second a second marry in the meet 12 minths?	(72)
	res 1 ho 2	
*	anat way for highest its atfonal feedly our father completed? If you are not sure, please give me your s games	best
ń	Official multiple Non- 1 Finished college (four years) 6 Diminished they college in the second seco	
	Active as attain program (a) school (c)	(73)
1.5	Systematic processions: Systematic procession: Systematic pr	
	amat fare were price average prades to high school? (READ LIST OF GRADES)	
•	al sant a stant anno 1. Al sant fa the trans at anno 1. Does not apply	(74)
	The work work work and the second sec	./•)
•••	0's and below. – K 1. ether ethication annoise en ys were oby the trinksbischoott (efAt AstfenAtives)	
•	anar energining ningter are fix were open in inge storent texes at texes (at 5) (a''ege areaetere	
	Talling 2 Konstituter	751
	abils of the following settemation of any, did you take and pass in high school?	
	Comorcary Laphra 1 Intermodiate Algebra 3	1743
•	illigen landersy i i tri tegnetey i i f	
· .	is . Dit pour take entry is any commences on high school which repared electricity on electronics?	
2	tes in the second se	:77:
	anst of manifest and the manifest and the second of a standard of view of a second and the food destrope yourse βς alsas = ανταν	(79)
3	1° ar a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[74]
••	deren no deriften Schenden (1. 3) Annontren Staten so Alexeen Metten (1. 1. 4)	10[[] [8]#
R	MAL - PSTALL - 🗩 Batycat	
2		
	WITT TRIE TO THE THE ANTITE SHEET, PAGE IT, TH. 26 AND 27 TO PECORD RESPONDENT	
N	ANNE' KULALEE' LE KENANÉ MANDEL NNE ERINDEL VER ERINDEN ALDER	
•		

	pondent ber 1	4 5	Month D	Bay Y	0 ear	0	OMB #22-R- Job No. 63; Page 9	
			ILITARY SER Open End An CR	iswer She				<u>ard 6</u> Nup 1-10
ба.			rd recently	v. (PROB	E) Wha	t did the	advertising say	11
		advertising ertising, can't r		•••••	0			-
6b.	Have you seen	or heard recruit ices recently?				the othe	r active duty	
		Yes	۱	No	• • • • • • •	2 ->-(SK	IP TO QU. 7)	(49)
6c.		er active duty mi ecently? (DO NOT						
	-	ir Force				rps		(50)
	A	rmy	2	tia	vy	•••••	4	(00)
6d.	OTHER SERVICE (SERVICES MEN	T IS AWARE OF ADV S (QU. 6c), ASK:) TIONED) as separa MENTIONED) in the	Have you te ads for e same ad o	seen or each ser r have y	heard t vice, o ou seen	his adver r have yo or heard	tising for the u seen or heard	
			ads for ea n one servi					(51)
			es of ads					
7.	advertising. first slogan Navy, Marine	mention some slog After I read each is (READ FIRST SLO Corps or all four (REPEAT FOR EACH S)	h slogan, p DGAN). Is active dut	lease te this slo y servic	11 me wi gan use es toge	hich serv d by the ther in t	ice uses it. The Army, Air Force, he same ad or	2
	RT AT "X" E INSTRUCTIONS)						All Four Servic	
T T	Slogans		Army	Air <u>Force</u>	Navy	Marine <u>Coprs</u>	Together In Same Or Commercial	
(*) " <u>BLANK</u> ". It's It's an adven	not just a job. ture."	1	2	3	4	5	(52)
(eat way of life."		2	3	4	5	(53)
		e proud. The <u>BLAI</u>		2	3	4	5	(54)
(ple who've joined	1	2	3	4	5	(55)
() "Maybe you ca	n be one of us."	1	2	3	4	5	(56)
(serve, a chance	1	2	3	4	5	(57)
		BLANK."		2	3	4	5	(58)
(-	2	· · · · · ·	-	/

Chira Chira

٠.,

1. 19. 5 Che 16







and the second
