AD-A143 107 YOUTH ATTITUDE TRACKING STUDY VOLUME 2 FALL 1976(U) 1/2 MARKET FACTS INC CHICAGO IL J T HEISLER JAN 76 H390 DNC/MDR-IR-76/1-VOL-2													
UNCLASSIFIED F/G 5/9										5/9	NL		
l. h													
			-										
					dataah dihiaa		attituatio edittatee	dudhodh 1000000	anton data -	atteluciat attences			
													2



and the second second

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A





YOUTH ATTITUDE TRACKING STUDY *************************

FALL 1976

A Report Prepared for:

The Department of Defense

Prepared by:

Market Facts, Inc. 100 So. Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606

January, 1977

Job. No. H390 OMB #22-R-0339

			REPORT DOCUM	MENTATION	PAGE		
14. REPORT SE Unclassif	ECURITY CLASS	IFICATION		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
26. DECLASSIF	ICATION / DOW	INGRADING SCHED	ULE	Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			
4. PERFORMIN	IG ORGANIZAT	ION REPORT NUMB	ER(S)	S MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUMB	ER(S)
	H390			DMDC/MRB/TR	R-76/1-V	OL 2	
6a. NAME OF	PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	66 OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATION	
Market	Facts, In	с.	(Ir applicable)	Defense Mar	npower Data	Center (OMDC)
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and	d ZIP Code)		75. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and Zli	P Code)	
1611 Nort Arlington	h Kent St Virgini	reet a 22200		1600 Wilsor	Blvd., Su	ite 400	
	,	<u> </u>			• ir y ii i a	22203	
8a. NAME OF ORGANIZA	FUNDING/SPO	NSORING Ce Of	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT	DENTIFICATION	INUMBER
Secretary BC. ADDRESS	City, State, and	Se	IUSU/MIL/MPEM/AP	10 SOURCE OF 1	FUNDING NUMB	ERS	
Pentagon, Washingto	2B269 n, D.C.	20301		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT
Technical	Report	FROM	COVERED TO	January 1976		16	51
Technical 16 SUPPLEME	Report	FROM	(ailable at DMDC	January 1976		16	51
Technical 16 SUPPLEME Data tape	Report NTARY NOTAL s and doct COSATI	TION umentation av CODES	vailable at DMDC.	14 DATE OF REPO January 1976	e if necessary a	ind identify by	block number)
Technical 16 SUPPLEME Data tape 17 FIELD	Report NTARY NOTAT s and doct COSATI GROUP	rion umentation av codes sub-group	Ailable at DMDC.	Continue on reverse er/Active Fo	e if necessary a prce/Recrui	ind identify by	block number)
Technical Technical 16 SUPPLEME Data tape 17 FIELD 05 19 ABSTRACT The Ye	Report NTARY NOTAT S and doct COSATI GROUP 09 (Continue on outh Atti	reverse of necessary	Ailable at DMDC. 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Military/Manpower Research/Non-pr y and identify by block m Study (YATS) is	Continue on reverse er/Active Fo ior service	e if necessary a prce/Recrui and Prior	nd identify by ting/Marke service/Ma	block number) et/ ale
Technical Technical 16 SUPPLEME Data tape 17 FIELD 05 19 ABSTRACT The Yo dents are Program, tegies. 1975, it military and reserve pensity to pensity to pensi	Report NTARY NOTAL S and docu COSATI GROUP 09 (Continue on outh Attit selected contribut In 1983, tracks the 16 to 21 ve duty. o enlist ndividuals ndicate. YATS inclu	rion <u>umentation av</u> <u>codes</u> <u>sub-group</u> <u>sub-group</u> <u>tude Tracking</u> by random di ing to recruit YATS underwent <u>e self-report</u> year olds wit Respondents in the active <u>s stated in t</u> Positive pro- udes advertist es for enlist	vailable at DMDC. Is SUBJECT TERMS (C Military/Manpower Research/Non-pr y and identify by block m study (YATS) is igit dialing. It iting policy form it a reconfigurat ied attitudes perform are categorized e military and the che survey that the pensity individual ing awareness, co ing. YATS also performance ing. YATS also	January 1976 January 1976 Continue on revers er/Active Fo ior service is a compon ation and th ion and was ceptions, an ture service into two gro ose with a p hey would de als said th ontact with provides tim	e if necessary a prce/Recrui and Prior e interview ent of the e developm renamed YA d pre-enli in the mi ups: those ositive pro- finitely of ey would do recruiters e series do	survey in Joint Marke service/Ma service/Ma survey in Joint Mar ent of rec TS II. In stment beh litary for e with a n opensity. r probably efinitely , and know ata about	block number) t/ t/ ale which resp ket Research cruiting str nitiated in avior of non- both active regative pro- Negative pro- Negative pro- v not enlist or probably vledge of the the propens
Technical Technical 16 SUPPLEME Data tape 17 FIELD 05 19 ABSTRACT The Yo dents are Program, tegies. 1975, it military and reserv pensity to pensity to pensity to financial of young to 	Report NTARY NOTAL S and docu COSATI GROUP 09 (Continue on outh Attif selected contribut In 1983, tracks the 16 to 21 ve duty. o enlist ndicate. YATS inclu incentive men and we	reverse of necessary tude Tracking by random di ing to recruit YATS underwent e self-report year olds wit Respondents in the active s stated in t Positive pro udes advertis es for enlist omen to enlis	vailable at DMDC. Is SUBJECT TERMS (C Military/Manpow Research/Non-pr y and identify by block of Study (YATS) is igit dialing. It iting policy form at a reconfigurat ed attitudes percent are categorized e military and the che survey that the pensity individua- sing awareness, co it in the military	Continue on reverse er/Active Fo ior service is a compon ation and the ion and was ceptions, and ture service into two gro ose with a p hey would de als said the ontact with provides time y. Through	e if necessary a rce/Recrui and Prior interview ent of the e developm renamed YA d pre-enli in the mi ups: those ositive pro finitely of ey would do recruiters the Spring	nd identify by ting/Marke service/Ma survey in Joint Mar ent of rec TS II. In stment beh litary for e with a n opensity. r probably efinitely , and know ata about of 1980,	block number) et/ ale which resp ket Researc cruiting str navior of no both active not enlist or probably cledge of the the propens males only
Technical Technical 16 SUPPLEME Data tape 17 FIELD 05 19 ABSTRACT The Yo dents are Program, tegies. 1975, it military and reserve pensity to pensity to pensi	Report NTARY NOTAL S and docu COSATI GROUP 09 (Continue on outh Attit selected contribut: In 1983, tracks the 16 to 21 y ve duty. o enlist ndividuals ndicate. YATS inclu incentive men and we SIFIED/UNLIMIT F RESPONSIBLE	TION Umentation av CODES SUB-GROUP reverse of necessary tude Tracking by random di ing to recrui YATS underwen e self-report year olds wi Respondents in the active s stated in t Positive pro- udes advertis es for enlist omen to enlist DILITY OF ABSTRACT	Ailable at DMDC. IN SUBJECT TERMS (C Military/Manpower Research/Non-pr y and identify by block of Study (YATS) is igit dialing. It iting policy form at a reconfigurat ied attitudes perform are categorized military and the che survey that the pensity individua- ing awareness, co ing. YATS also it in the military RP1 []DTIC USERS	Continue on reverse er/Active Fo ior service ior service is a compon ation and th ion and was ceptions, an ture service into two gro ose with a p hey would de als said th ontact with provides tim y. Through	e if necessary a rce/Recrui and Prior interview ent of the e developm renamed YA d pre-enlit in the mi ups: those ositive pro- finitely of ey would do recruiters e series do the Spring ECURITY CLASSIF fied (include Area Co	nd identify by ting/Marke service/Ma survey in Joint Mar ent of rec TS II. In stment beh litary for e with a n opensity. r probably efinitely , and know ata about of 1980, FICATION	block number) et/ ale which resp rket Researc cruiting str hitiated in havior of no both activ negative pro Negative pro Negative pro Negative pro Negative pro ledge of the the propens males only
Technical Technical 16 SUPPLEME Data tape 17 FIELD 05 19 ABSTRACT The Yo dents are Program, tegies. 1975, it military and reserv pensity to pensity to pensity to pensity to financial of young to X Eluncias 224 NAME O Lisa Squar DD FORM 14	Report NTARY NOTAL S and docu COSATI GROUP 09 (Continue on outh Attif selected contribut In 1983, tracks the 16 to 21 ve duty. o enlist ndividuals ndicate. YATS incle incentive men and we FIED/UNLOALT F RESPONSIBLE drini 473, 84 MAR	reverse of necessary sub-GROUP sub-GROUP tude Tracking by random di ing to recruit YATS underwent e self-report year olds wit Respondents in the active s stated in t Positive pro- udes advertis es for enlist omen to enlist intry OF ABSTRACT ED [] SAME AS	Ailable at DMDC. 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Military/Manpow Research/Non-pr y and identify by block in Study (YATS) is igit dialing. It iting policy form at a reconfigurat ed attitudes percent it respect to full are categorized e military and the che survey that the pensity individua- ting awareness, co ing. YATS also it in the military RP1 DTIC USERS	Continue on revers er/Active Fo ior service is a compon ation and th ion and was ceptions, an ture service into two gro ose with a p hey would de als said th ontact with provides tim y. Through	e if necessary a rce/Recrui and Prior interview ent of the e developm renamed YA d pre-enli in the mi ups: those ositive pro finitely of ey would do recruiters e series do the Spring CURITY CLASSIF fied (Include Area Co 20 SECURIT	nd identify by ting/Marke service/Ma survey in Joint Mar ent of rec TS II. In stment beh litary for e with a n opensity. r probably efinitely , and know ata about of 1980, EICATION	block number) et/ ale which response ket Research cruiting structure intiated in havior of non both active negative pro- Negative pro- Negative pro- Negative pro- Negative pro- Negative pro- Negative pro- not enlist or probably response males only

Sand Parce

Unclassified

SECUNITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

were tracked on a semi-annual basis. Beginning with the Fall 1980 survey, the sample size was doubled to include females. Subsequent surveys have been conducted annually and include cross-sectional samples of both sexes.

YATS 1976 surveyed 3008 young men in the Spring and 5475 in the Fall. The Spring YATS added a series of questions dealing with the benefits of the GI Bill to the survey. The proportion of respondents linking the Bill with educational benefits is above average among nineteen year olds and high quality prospects. The association is below average among low quality prospects. The rank order of the Services expressed by propensity levels is: Air Force, Navy, Army, Marine Corps. The Fall 1976 report indicates a decline since Fall 1975 in the propensity of young men to join. This is the Fall study.

Unclassified Assertion Theorem

である

2

R'

A State

2.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction.	, 1
Background and Objectives	1
Study Design · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 4
Content of the Interview	7
Analytic Comments	8
Executive Summary	9
Introduction	9
Major Conclusion of the Study	9
National Trends: Fall 1975 - Fall 1976	10
Differences By Tracking Areas	12
Perceptions of the Services	13
Enlisted Starting Pay	13
Attitudes of Influencers	14
Advertising Copy Identification	15
Target Market Profile of Reserve Components	15
Target Market Profile of Active Duty Services	16

20000

ALL AND A CONSTRUCTION

a the set of a set of the

1.4.20

휦

С С Г

23

Ş,

3

Page

. . . .

Section I - National Trends - Fall 1976 Vs. Fall 1975	18
1.1 National Trends - Fall 1975 to Fall 1976	19
1, 1, 1 Definition of Propensity	22
1.2 Changes in Propensity	22
1.3 Changes in Variables Related to Propensity	24
1.4 Key Demographics	28
Section II - Key Results By Tracking Area	32
2.1 Positive Propensity by Tracking Area	36
2.2 Two Factors Mediating Between Expressed Propensity and Enlistment	4 8
2.2.1 Expected Time of Entry Into Military Service	48
2.2.2 Officer Versus Enlisted Entry Expectations	53
2.3 Academic Achievement and Derived Quality Index	56
2.4 Advertising Copy Identification	62
2.5 Recalled Recruiter Contact	68
2.6 Adequacy of Information Received From the Recruiter	71
2.7 Other Activities Concerning Enlistment	75
2.8 Knowledge of Monthly Enlisted Starting Pay	79
Section III - Trends By Tracking Area	82
3.1 Changes in Propensity	83
3. 2 Recent Recruiter Contact	89

۰.-

E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	'age
Section IV - Job Attributes, Life Goals, Copy Points, Pay and Influencers	91
4.1 The Importance of Job Attributes	92
4.2 Ratings of Military on Job Attributes	95
4.3 Ratings of Specific Services	98
4.4 Achievability of Life Goals	101
4.5 Advertising Copy Identification	104
4.6 Starting Pay	.09
4.7 Influencers	14
Section V - Analysis of Propensity 1	19
5.1 Demographic Variables	23
5.2 Importance of Job Attributes	28
5.3 Achievability of Life Goals 1	32
5.4 Information Sources, Actions Taken, Recruiter Contact, Pay, Influencers	.36
5.5 Enlistment Decision Process	41
5.6 Active Duty Services Versus National Guard/Reserves1	.41
5.7 Summary Comments on Propensity Analyses	.46
<u>Appendices</u>	.47
Appendix I - Statistical Reliability 1	48
Appendix II - Tracking Area Concept	.49
Appendix III - Weighting of Respondents 1	52
Appendix IV - The Questionnaire 1	54

a A de la companya de A de la companya de la Q

States -

and the second

CLANDON .

3

3

INTRODUCTION

The rationale for conducting this study as well as the survey design and objectives are described in the Introduction to the Fall 1975 report. For the reader's convenience, the following comments are reprinted from the Fall report. Some references are added to reflect chronological and survey content changes.

Background and Objectives

There are a number of factors that are related to a young man's decision to enlist in a military service. Factors such as national unemployment, the country's engagement in foreign conflict, and regional cultural environments can have a strong bearing upon enlistment. Other factors related to enlistment behavior include youths' general attitudes concerning military service and their awareness of the opportunities provided by the services. These factors, especially awareness, are influenced largely by promotion and advertising as well as the many activities of service recruiters. Youths' attitudes and awareness also reflect the impact of various other influencers, such as their peers, parents and family, teachers, coaches, counselors, and ex-servicemen.

General attitudes concerning military service can change over time partially because the potential market of 17 to 21 year old youths

Page 2

changes every year as new youths enter and older ones leave this age bracket. The outcome of recruiting efforts can be influenced by altering military service attributes such as salaries, bonuses, training options, length of service, and so on. The military services can also directly influence the propensity to serve through increasing awareness of these attributes and by improving attitudes by means of promotion, advertising and recruiter efforts. Indirectly, improved awareness and attitudes can also be achieved by improving the awareness and attitudes of the influencers of potential enlistment prospects.

Beginning in 1971, semi-annual youth surveys have been conducted each Fall and Spring (excepting Spring, 1975) for the Department of Defense. These surveys included interviewing a sample of non-prior service 16 to 21 year old male youths to gain insight into a variety of issues associated with their attitudes toward employment in general and military service in particul^{p-} fhe eleventh such survey was conducted by Market Facts, Inc. in the all of 1976. This present report provides detailed analysis of the third of a three-part survey (Fall 1975, Spring 1976, and Fall 1976), with an examination of some changes between Fall 1975 and Fall 1976.

In order to compete effectively in the youth labor market, the Department of Defense has a continuing need to obtain current attitudinal

5

うくうちょうしょう

the product of the pr

かい

3

9

information concerning the nation's youth. The principal objective of this survey is to provide the Department and the Services with valid, timely, and actionable data concerning the youth labor market on a continuing semi-annual tracking basis. This survey deals with propensity to serve in the military; effectiveness of advertising and recruiting efforts; impact of influencers; importance of military attributes; and characterization of youths by such factors as their demographics and life goals.

The information gathered on this and the past two surveys has three fundamental objectives. The first objective is to gather information that has common utility for all the military services.

Secondly, twenty-six special recruiting areas were isolated throughout the country so that special analyses could be performed on each of them. These areas, referred to as Tracking Areas, comprise one or several geographic units of each of the services: Recruiting Detachments (Air Force), District Recruiting Commands (Army), Recruiting Stations (Marine Corps), and Recruiting Districts (Navy). Each service then can track the study variables over time within actionable geographic areas defined by recruiting boundaries of each service.

Thirdly, the study is designed to provide longitudinal observations over time so that changes in attitudes and behavior can be detected

Page 4

and appraised. It is anticipated that controlled experiments might be attempted over time in the Tracking Areas to test such factors as promotional materials, recruiting practices, and advertising strategy.

A special feature included in the Fall 1976 study is a question dealing with the effect of a \$50 a month pay increase on propensity to serve in the military. The study design permits such inclusion of special features from time to time. In the Spring 1976 survey, a series of questions about the G.I. Bill and its educational benefits was included.

Study Design

The survey involved 16-21 year old males who do not have prior or current military involvement and who are not beyond their second year of college. In total, 5475 interviews were completed.

The survey employed telephone interviewing. Respondents were selected by random digit dialing. Approximately 200 interviews were completed in each of 26 tracking areas. Thus, the study provides statistically valid samples for each tracking area and allows computation of total U.S. estimates.

In the first two waves of this study (Fall 1975 and Spring 1976), only 13 tracking areas were studied independently. The 13 areas cumulatively accounted for about 65% of the U.S. "military available." The 13 tracking areas were selected from a total of 26 by using three criteria: 4) maximizing the percentage of the potential applicant pool covered, b) providing sufficient geographic dispersion or regional coverage, and c) limiting the number of recruiting units to three or less per Service. The tracking areas included in the first two waves contain the following principal cities and/or states:

- New York City
- Albany/Buffalo
- Harrisburg
- Washington, D. C.
- Florida
- Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee
- Ohio
- Michigan/Indiana
- Chicago
- Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota
- Texas
- Southern California/Arizona
- Northern California

The remainder of the country was treated as one area and was referred to as "balance of the country." Approximately 400 interviews were conducted in this aggregated area.

In the present wave (Fall 1976), the sample was allocated to all 26 tracking areas. In addition to the above 13 areas, interviews were conducted in these additional tracking areas:

Page 6

South States

- Philadelphia
- Boston
- Pittsburgh
- Richmond/North Carolina
- South Carolina/Georgia
- New Orleans
- Arkansas
- Kentucky
- Des Moines
- Wisconsin
- New Mexico/Colorado/Wyoming
- Washington/Oregon
- Kansas City/Oklahoma

All in all, the 26 tracking areas account for 100% of the "military available" in the continental U.S.

Detailed tabulations, referred to in this report, are given in five volumes. Volumes 1 and 2, which constitute most of the analyses, contain both Fall 1975 and Fall 1976 data for those questions which are considered comparable.

Volume 1:	By Individual Tracking Area
Volume 2:	By Enlistment Propensity Toward Active Duty in the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps, and Navy
Volume 3:	By Schooling Status and Grades in High School
Volume 4:	By Age, Race, and Quality Groups
Volume 5:	By Enlistment Propensity Toward Reserves and the National Guard

The interviewing for this wave took place between October 19, 1976 and November 30, 1976.

ÿ

Content of the Interview

The interview focused on the following areas of information:

- (1) Respondent demographics
 - , Age
 - Marital status
 - Racial/ethnic affiliation
 - Education
 - . Employment
- (2) Propensity to enlist in the military
- (3) Assessment of the Services' images
- (4) Assessment of advertising copy recognition
- (5) Information seeking activities about enlistment involving self, recruiters, and other influencers
- (6) Attitudes of certain influencers toward serving in the military
- (7) Nature and outcome of recruiter contact
- (8) Knowledge of current military starting pay
- (9) The relative effect of a \$50 a month pay increase on propensity to enlist in the military
- (10) Life goals and their achievability in the military

Page 8

Analytic Comments

In such a large study, many results are likely to appear which are due solely to chance or sampling variance. In order to avoid being deceived by such results, this analysis delineates those results which are unlikely to be due to chance or sample idosyncrasies. Specifically, all significance statements are based on the 95% confidence level. This means there is less than a 5% likelihood that such a result would occur solely due to chance.

The report that follows covers the third of the first three waves under the tracking area design. Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 changes in key variables are examined.

The tracking area design of this study necessitates that the collected data be weighted in order for us to make valid estimates of national statistics. In the present wave of this study an improved weighting system was used (see Appendix III). Furthermore, in order to bring the sampling procedure into closer conformance withOMB suggestions, the total sample of telephone numbers was subdivided into smaller sub-samples, and each sub-sample was completed before proceeding to the next. In order for us to make Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 comparisons, the Fall 1975 data had to be retabulated according to the new weighting system. As a result, Fall 1975 data reported in this document may differ slightly from what was originally shown in the Fall 1975 report. This weighting system is discussed in detail in Appendix III of this report.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

This report is a discussion of the Fall 1976 wave (i.e., Wave III) of the tracking study of youth attitudes toward serving in the armed forces. A total of 5475 randomly selected males between 16 and 21 years of age were interviewed by telephone. Approximately 200 interviews were conducted in each of 26 tracking areas across the continental United States.

Major Conclusion of the Study

This wave of the study provides a one-year historical perspective from which the following conclusion is drawn.

It appears that the military services have had an increasingly more difficult job of attracting (in numbers and in quality) young men into the all-volunteer force. The decline in propensity to serve since Fall 1975 appears to be related to the fact that <u>a greater proportion of young men</u> between the ages of 16 and 21 have graduated high school and are now working full-time in civilian occupations.

Today's military is an alternative to civilian occupations. This study has described positive propensity youth as coming from relatively modest socio-economic backgrounds. Periods of high unemployment affect the less-educated, less-skilled, and less-affluent members of society the most. At such times, the military may offer such young men their only

Page 10

opportunity to advance themselves. As the economy improves, the job market improves as well. Accordingly, the military is no longer the sole opportunity for a young man with a modest socio-economic background to improve himself.

The following are considered to be the major findings of this study.

National Trends: Fall 1975 to Fall 1976

Propensity to join the armed forces has decreased from Fall 1975, although the figures are up slightly from Spring 1976. The drop in propensity seems to be a trend, not a seasonal variation. Accordingly, it appears that it is becoming increasingly difficult to attract men into the all-volunteer armed services. This study also reflects a particularly sharp increase between Spring and Fall 1976 in the level of uncertainty about when interested prospects will join the reserve components (increasing from 35% to 52%).

Despite the downward shift in positive propensity, the overall rank order of the active duty services based on expressed propensity levels did not change from Fall 1975. The order is as follows:

		Fall '75	Fall '76	Difference	Percentage Decline
•	Air Force	15.7%	13.2%	-2,5	15.9%
•	Navy	14.9%	11.8%	-3.1	20.8%
•	Army	13.7%	9.8%	-3.9	28.5%
•	Marine Corps	10.2%	7.7%	-2.5	24.5%

Many of the variables that discriminate between positive and negative propensity to serve in the military did not change from Fall 1975. Recalled incidence of recruiter contact, both recent and long-term, remained steady. The degree to which respondents talked to influential sources about enlistment did not change. Self-reported academic quality of the respondents did not change. Moreover, respondent age and race are identical in both waves, by study design.

While school attendance in general is unchanged, more of the Fall 1976 respondents have graduated from high school and are not currently in school. Also, the proportion of respondents attending vocational school has dropped. Other significant changes include an increase in full-time employment and a corresponding decrease in job seeking. Moreover, fewer respondents in the Fall 1976 wave mention having taken an aptitude test given by the military in high school.

Significant Fall to Fall shifts occurred with respect to life goal achievement. Relative to civilian life, the military was perceived to gain ground in eight of 12 areas: job security, respect of friends, learning as much as you can, developing potential, working for a better society, adventure and excitement, helping other people, and recognition and status.

The military experienced setbacks with regard to perceived personal freedom and making a lot of money, but maintained its position relative to

Page 12

civilian life with respect to the perception of doing challenging work and ability to make own decisions.

Differences By Tracking Areas

There are significant differences in the data across the 26 tracking areas on all of the variables included in the tracking area analysis.

In the past, the markets where propensity was low for the military were the major metropolitan areas such as New York City and Chicago. This does not seem to be entirely true in this present wave. Both areas tend to be on par with the rest of the country with respect to propensity to serve in the major services (the Navy is the one exception in New York City).

On the other hand, both tracking areas are below average with respect to seeking information about the services. At the same time, propensity for the other services --National Guard, Reserves -- is expecially low in New York City and Chicago.

Wisconsin is clearly the poorest tracking area with respect to propensity to join the military. Wisconsin falls below the national averages for all of the active-duty services except the Army.

Perceptions of the Services

A military career is perceived as allowing a young man to have adventure and excitement and job security. On the other hand, a military career is not perceived to permit a young man to enjoy personal freedom, make his own job-oriented decisions, or make a lot of money.

Young men value certain job attributes when considering joining the service. Two of these are perceived as being attainable in the service. These are "teaches you a valuable trade or skill" and "a career you can be proud of." Valued job attributes perceived as being hard to attain are "good benefits for you and your family," "job you want," and "opportunity to better your life."

The individual services are perceived differently. The Air Force has particular strength with respect to teaching a valuable trade or skill, providing a challenging job, and enabling the serviceman to improve himself. The Army is associated most often with help in obtaining a college education, teaching a trade or skill, and family benefits. The Marine Corps is associated with training for leadership, and the Navy is equated with world travel.

Enlisted Starting Pay

Almost one-half (43.8%) of the sample claims to have no idea of the level of monthly enlisted starting pay. Among those who do give estimates, the average estimate is \$374 which is the true value. However, the averages

range from a low of \$325 to a high of \$439 across tracking areas.

Positive propensity men value good starting pay but do not think they can achieve this in the military. As in past surveys, those with negative propensity give higher pay estimates than do those with positive propensity to enlist and, as a result, come closer to the actual figure. The data suggest that the lower pay estimate among positive propensity people might present a recruiting opportunity. More than one-half (54.9%) of the positive propensity group say that they would be more likely to enlist if starting pay were increased by \$50 a month. Among the negative propensity group, nearly one-in-five men say they would be more likely to enlist.

A demographic analysis of the pay raise data suggests that a \$50 a month raise has more attraction to lower socio-economic men than it does to those from higher socio-economic backgrounds.

Attitudes of Influencers

Positive propensity men claim that their parents and friends are in favor of their joining the service, particularly for the job training they will receive. Negative propensity men feel that their parents do not want them to join the military. On the other hand, loss of status, education and separation/danger are the major reasons given why some parents and friends are perceived as opposing military service. If respondents' perceptions are accurate, this suggests that these influencers are a critical element in the entire military recruiting/decision-making process.

₹í

Advertising Copy Identification

Respondents have a difficult time associating each of the 12 copy points with its correct sponsor. Only three copy points are associated with the correct service by as many as 40% of the sample. These are: "Join the People" (Army), "The Few, the Proud" (Marine Corps), and "Most Important Part-Time Job" (National Guard).

The following conclusions can be made with respect to the advertising of the individual services. Relative to the copy points of other services, the Air Force's "Great Way of Life" has not achieved high awareness. Both Army copy points have relatively high awareness, as do both Marine Corps' copy points. The Navy has achieved moderate levels of awareness with both of its copy points. The National Guard's "The....Belongs" generates little awareness of this component.

Target Market Profile of Reserve Components

An attempt was made to determine whether the National Guard and Reserves draw from the same pool of men as do the active duty services. The following differences are revealed with respect to the profile of those men who intend to join the National Guard or Reserves.

Page 16

Those men who indicate a positive propensity for the reserve components, in contrast to their active duty counterparts, tend to be.....

- Older
- More likely to be white
- More likely to be employed
- Less likely to be students, although more of them are in college
- More likely to be high school graduates
- Less likely to feel that, relative to civilian life, life goals can be more readily achieved in the military.
- Relatively more inclined to join a reserve component than one of the active services.

Target Market Profile of Active Duty Services

As in previous waves of this study, the most likely candidate for all of the active duty military services can be described, in contrast to his peers, as....

- Younger
- More likely to be non-white
- More likely to be unemployed and looking for work
- Less educated
- Having a less educated father
- Having lower values on the Quality Index
- Considering all of the job attributes to be important when considering joining the service

• Feeling the military is relatively more likely to enable him to achieve most of his life goals

- Underestimating the level of starting pay
- More motivated to enlist should pay be increased by \$50 a month
- Having had more recent recruiter contact
- Feeling relatives and friends support his joining the service
- Having positive propensity for more than one service

C

D

(

Page 13

SECTION I

NATIONAL TRENDS

Fall 1975 vs. Fall 1976



33 4

2

X

5

SECTION I

National Trends - Fall 1975 to Fall 1976

The key measure in this investigation is enlistment propensity (i. e., the relative likelihood of serving on active duty in each military service). Accordingly, the analysis begins with an examination of those variables that are related to enlistment propensity. Changes in relevant attitudes and behavior are compared between Fall 1975 and Fall 1976. Given this full-year Fall to Fall time frame, seasonal effects are eliminated and observed changes can be viewed as indicative of underlying trends. As such, this analysis can confirm whether earlier Fall 1975 to Spring 1976 changes (reported in the Spring 1976 analysis) were indicative of real trends or merely seasonal variations.

The data reported in this section represent weighted total U.S. data obtained from twenty-six (26) tracking areas. Thirteen (13) of these **are** the same as those used in the previous two waves of this investigation. The balance are thirteen (13) new tracking areas which in previous waves were aggregated into one group and labeled as "balance of the country." The sampling is described in Appendix III.

Page 19

Page 20

FIGURE 1.1

POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN SPECIFIC SERVICES



Source: Qu. 4a



Source: Qu. 3i

Ę,

Page 22

1.1

Definition of Propensity

As in previous waves, respondents were asked to indicate their likelihood of serving on active duty in each military service. In addition to the four main branches of the military, this question was extended to include the National Guard, Reserves, and Coast Guard. Likelihood was measured using a four-point scale: "definitely", "probably", "probably not" and "definitely not" (Question 4a, Appendix). <u>Positive propensity</u> has been operationally defined as a response of either "definitely" or "probably would serve". Negative propensity is defined as a response of "probably not", "definitely not", or "don't know/no answer".

1.2

Changes in Propensity

Although the figures for positive propensity for each of the four services have increased slightly since Spring 1976, the changes since last fall all have been downward. From a statistical standpoint, these declines are real. The findings are graphed in Figure 1.1. The Army had the largest decline (-3. % points which represents a 28.5% Fall-to-Fall drop) followed closely by the Navy (-3. 1% points which represents a 20.8% Fall-to-Fall drop). Both the Air Force and Marine Corps showed declines of 2.5% points. This represents a 15.9% Fall-to-Fall loss for the Air Force and a 24.5% Fall-to-Fall loss for the Marine Corps.

i, i,

S

Ş

ALL SALES I SERVER ij

----Ŕ

Constant and and accounted

Another measure of propensity for enlistment is an overall index of pro-military attitude. The index is derived from asking respondents what they think they might be doing during the next few years. The index is a net measure of all unaided mentions of military service. The index, illustrated in Figure 1.2, declined significantly from Fall 1975 (8.9% to 6.2%).

All in all, the above indices of propensity suggest that it is becoming increasingly more difficult to attract men into the allvolunteer military.

Page 24

1.3 Changes in Variables Related to Propensity

In previous waves, a number of variables were identified that discriminated between positive and negative propensity groups. These variables and their Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 changes are presented in Table 1.1.

- On a national basis, recalled exposure to recruiter contact (both recent and long-term) did not change significantly from Fall to Fall. Moreover, recalled incidences of recruiter contact for each of the services remained unchanged.
- 2. The degree to which respondents talked to influential sources about enlistment remained virtually unchanged. This suggests, as it has in the past, that the decline in propensity cannot be attributed to any changes in the extent to which respondents discussed enlistment with friends, parents, teachers, girl friends and wives.
- The incidence of taking a military-sponsored aptitude test in high school declined.

И

•

14-24-24-25

Ŗ

4. In deciding whether or not to pursue a military career the potential enlistee presumably goes through a complex decision-making process. Part of this process involves the consideration of whether certain life goals can be more readily achieved in the military or in civilian life. Consequently, life goal achievement is an important issue with respect to the military's recruiting efforts. During the last year the military strengthened its position relative to civilian life with regard to a number of life goal perceptions.

Relative to civilian life, the military gained ground in eight areas: job security, respect of friends, learning as much as one can, developing potential, working for a better society, adventure and excitement, helping other people, and recognition and status.

On the other hand, the military lost ground relative to civilian life in terms of two life goal perceptions: personal freedom and making a lot of money. The latter may reflect an improvement in the U.S. economy.

The military maintained its perceived position relative to civilian life on the remaining two life goal attributes --doing challenging work and ability to make own decisions.

Page 25
Page 26

ANALY STREET STREET STREET INDUILS BUILD

100

TABLE 1.1

CHANGES IN VARIABLES RELATED TO PROPENSITY

	Fall '75 %	Fall '76 <u>%</u>	Change	Statistically Significant
Recruiter Contact (Ques. 7a & 8a)				
Past 5-6 months - any service	24. 7	24.9	+0.2	No
Ever - any service	49. 2	49.9	+0.7	No
Ever Contacted By				
Air Force recruiter	14.4	15.5	+1.1	No
Army recruiter	2 5, 3	24.3	-1.0	No
Marine Corps recruiter	14.7	14.9	+0.2	No
Navy recruiter	17.1	17.5	+0.4	No
Talked About Enlistment With (Qu. 7c)				
Friends with military experience	39.7	40.9	+1.2	No
Parents	37.4	36.9	-0.5	No
Teachers/Counselors	12.0	11.6	-0.4	No
Girl Friend/Wife	19.5	18.8	-0.7	No
Aptitude Test in High School By Armed Services (Qu. 7c)	19.8	18. 1	-1.7	Yes

Base (3176) (5475)

· ·

the state of the s

Ś

Ş.

0.0

1

Page 27

TABLE 1.1

(Continued)

	Fall '75	Fall '76	Change	Statistically Significant
Life Goal Achievement Civilian Advantage Over Military (Qu. 10)				
Making a Lot of Money	3. 83	3.92	+.09	Yes
Personal Freedom	4.04	4.12	+.08	Yes
Ability to Make Own Decisions	3.85	3.89	+.04	No
Doing Challenging Work	2.78	2.79	+.01	No
Adventure and Excitement	2.54	2.34	20	Yes
Job Security	2.53	2.37	16	Yes
Helping Other People	3.02	2.87	15	Yes
Respect of Friends	3.15	3.02	13	Yes
Working for a Better Society	3.06	2.95	11	Yes
Learning As Much As One Can	3.00	2.92	08	Yes
Recognition and status	2.85	2.77	08	Yes
Developing Potential	3.00	2.93	07	Yes
Base	(3176)	(5475)		

Scale:

Star Star Barrel and a star start of the

Military - much more likely	+1		
Military - somewhat more likely	+2		
Either military or civilian			
Civilian - somewhat more likely	+4		
Civilian - much more likely	+5		

Page 28

1.4

Key Demographics

The following is a summary of the Fall 1975 and Fall 1976 samples on certain key demographic variables. The data are reported in Tables 1.2 - 1.4.

- With respect to both age and race, the two samples are identical.
 The weighting procedure, explained in Appendix III, eliminates any sampling differences on these two variables by balancing the results of each wave to known "military available" statistics.
- o The percentage of respondents employed in the Fall 1976 wave is higher. Consequently, fewer are currently looking for jobs.
- o The percentage of respondents in the present wave currently attending high school has not changed. However, more of the Fall 1976 respondents have graduated high school and are not currently in school. There also has been a significant decline in those attending vocational school.
- o Respondent quality is a composite measure based on self-reported grades, number of math courses, and the inclusion of science courses in high school curriculum. The two samples are approximately identical with respect to respondent quality.

4

<u>አትን</u> እርሰው እር እስከት እንኳን

Page 29

TABLE 1.2AGE AND RACE

	<u>Fall '75</u>	Fall '76
	%	<u>%</u>
Age		
16	18.5	18.4
17	18.4	18.6
18	18.0	17.5
19	16.6	16.7
20	15.2	14.8
21	13.2	14.1
Race		
White	86.3	85 . 2
Non-white	12.7	13.5
Refused	1.0	1.3
Base (All Respondents)	(3176)	(5475)

Page 30

TABLE 1.3

EMPLOYMENT STATUS

	Fall 75 <u>%</u>	Fall '76	Change	Statistically Significant
Employed	<u>57.0</u>	<u>61.0</u>	<u>+4.0</u>	Yes
Full time	31.3	35.3	+4.0	Yes
Part time	25.7	25.7	-	No
Not Employed	42.9	<u>38. 9</u>	-4.0	Yes
Looking for a job	26.0	21.5	-4.5	Yes
Not looking	16.8	16.9	+0.1	No
Not specified	0.1	0.5	+0.4	No
Base (All Respondents)	(3176)	(5475)		

TABLE 1.4

SCHOOLING STATUS

	Fall '75 <u>%</u>	Fall '76 <u>%</u>	<u>Chang</u> e	Statistically Significant
Attending School	<u>58.4</u>	56.9	<u>-1.5</u>	No
In high school	40.3	39.2	-1.1	No
In vocational school	2.8	1.6	-1.2	Yes
In college	14.9	14.9	-	No
Not specified	0.4	1.2	+0.8	Yes
Not Attending School	<u>41.6</u>	<u>43. 1</u>	<u>+1.5</u>	No
High school graduate	31,6	34.3	+2.7	Yes
Not high school graduate	10.0	8.8	-1.2	No
Quality Index (Mean)	6.43	6.36	-0.07	No
Base (All Respondents)	(3176)	(5475)		

5

Page 31

Based on this analysis and analyses of the data from previous waves, it appears that two variables are related to propensity: fulltime employment and high school graduate/not attending school. Significant increases in these variables may explain, in part, the drop in propensity to serve in the military. It appears that as the economy imporves, the military becomes less attractive to youth for whom the military may have been their only opportunity for advancement in a poor economy. Thus, as the civilian labor market opens up, fewer young men express an interest in a military career.

Propensity is examined in more detail in Section V.

Page 32

SECTION II

KEY RESULTS BY TRACKING AREA

R

(10000000000) - (10

Page 33

SECTION II

Performance Differences By Tracking Areas

As in the previous waves of this study, the interviewing was conducted in defined geographical areas referred to as tracking areas. The tracking area approach localizes the information derived from this investigation and thereby makes it possible for the service recruiting commands to receive feedback with respect to their performance within geographic areas which can be easily related to the recruiting boundaries of each service.

In the past two waves of this study, the data were collected and reported in terms of 13 geographical areas and the balance of the country. For the present wave, the balance of the country was divided into 13 additional tracking areas, creating 26 tracking areas in total.

What follows in this section of the report is a discussion of key results by the 26 tracking areas. The data are viewed from two perspectives. The first looks at whether data from individual tracking areas differ significantly from national levels. The second focuses on Fall to Fall changes within the Page 34

original 13 tracking areas relative to corresponding Fall to Fall national changes. The latter perspective enables us to determine whether observed changes within these individual tracking areas are unique to those tracking areas or merely a reflection of a national occurence.

It shoud be pointed out that the demographics of the New York City tracking area are different from past waves due to a new sampling procedure which provides a more uniform dispersion of the sample throughout the counties that comprise the New York City tracking area. Accordingly, Fall to Fall differences in the data within this area may be a reflection of these demographic changes.

An example of computing the Fall to Fall difference in a particular tracking area relative to the change in the total country is shown below using positive propensity to serve in the Army in metropolitan New York (one of the 26 tracking areas in this study and one of the original 13).

	F all '76	<u>Fall '75</u>	Difference
NYC	6.8%	8 . 9 %	-2.1%
Total U.S.	9.8%	14.0%	-4,2%
Net Change	(NYC Difference total U.S. Differ	minus ence)	+2.1%

-

Page 35

The above computation indicates that the change in the Army's positive propensity in metropolitan New York was 2.1 percentage points better (i.e., less) than the corresponding national change. When this 2.1% change is tested for statistical significance, it is shown to be not significant at the 95% level of confidence. In other words, the change that occurs in the Army's positive propensity in metropolitan New York is simply a reflection of what has occurred nationally and is not something unique to the New York area.

All of the key tracking area data are summarized in Tables 2.1 to 2.11. In order to facilitate the interpretation of these tables, those percentages that are significantly different from the U.S. average for a particular service are <u>CIRCLED if the entry is lower than the U.S.</u> average and BOXED if the entry is higher than the U.S. average.

Propensity to Serve

Perhaps the most important measure in this study is propensity to serve in the military. The data per se only represent indices of the likelihood to enter military service. As such, they should be interpreted in a relative, as opposed to an absolute, sense (e.g., the identification of "high" versus "low" tracking areas). There are various factors such as time of entry, enlisted man versus officer status, and mental and physical qualification that enter into any attempt to forecast accession rates.

Page 36

The propensity to serve scale can be calibrated for purposes of forecasting accessions to military service. Provision has been made to accomplish the necessary calibration by determining conversion rates to accessions according to prior level of propensity to serve.

2.1 Positive Propensity by Tracking Area

The propensity data are presented graphically in Figures 2.1 - 2.7 and are summarized in Table 2.1. In the Fall 1975 study serving in the National Guard and serving in the Reserves were treated on a combined basis. In the present wave, they were measured separately and are therefore reported separately.

The overall rank order of the active duty services based on expressed propensity levels did not change from Fall 1975. As in the past, the order is as follows: Air Force is highest (13.2%), the Navy follows closely (11.8%), Army is third (9.8%), and the Marine Corps is fourth (7.7%).

Propensity data for the other three services -- National Guard, Reserves, and Coast Guard -- are graphically displayed in Figures 2.5 - 2.7. On a national basis, the propensity to serve in the Reserves is 13.3%. The National Guard follows closely with 12.6%. With respect to which branches of the Reserves and National Guard positive propensity people would choose, these data are presented in the tabulations: Volume I, pages 19 and 21; and Volume V, pages 19 and 21.



.

Page	38	9.8% Total U.S.		9.8% Total U.S.	
		• ⁸ •9%	No. Cal	9.8%	K. U./ Okla
		۲.%6 %	So. Cal	6. 7%	w asn / Oreg.
4	serving)	8. 5%	Tex	-9. 2%-	Col.
G ARE/	nsider f	7.4%	Mn/ Nb/ ND/ SD	-8. 4 %	X I X
RACKIN	bably co	7.4%	Chi		Mns
5 BY TI	or pro	7.9%	Mi / In		РУ
LEVELS	ARMY efinitely	9. 7%	ЧО		АГК
L YTISN	or sing d	12. 4%	Al/ Ms/ Tn	10. 8%	Orln
PROPE	nts endo	9.8%	Fla		o.c./ Ga. U.S.
SITIVE	esponde	9. 3%	Wash D. C.		N. C. N. C.
PO	ercent r	6. 5 [%]	Hrsbg		from th
	(Pe	0 7 8 8	Alb/ Buf		DBui 4a ficantly
			D4N	6 .6%	rm. ce: Qu. rs signi
		9.8% Total - U.S.	1	9.8% Total	Sour *Diffe

٠.

FIGURE 2.2

CARLES CONTRACTORS

6.6









1

Page 42



Source: Qu. 4a *Differs significantly from the total U.S.

Page 43

K. C. Okla

N.M./ Wash/ Col Oreg.

Wis

Des Mns

Кy

Ark

Orln New

s. c. / Ga.

Rich/ N. C.

Pit

Bstn

Page 44

Table 2.1 summarizes the data graphically displayed in Figures 2.1 - 2.7. According to Table 2.1, the following exceptions to U.S. averages occur:

- The propensity to serve in the <u>Air Force</u> is below the U.S. average of 13.2% in Harrisburg (7.6%), Michigan/Indiana (7.7%), Wisconsin (7.8%), and Kansas City/Oklahoma (9.0%). Southern California (20.0%), Richmond/North Carolina (18.8%), and South Carolina/Georgia (19.1%) are all above the U.S. average.
- The overall propensity to serve in the <u>Army</u> is 9.8%. Only one tracking area deviates from this average -- Arkansas (17.5%).
- The <u>Marine Corps</u> has equal strength across all but one tracking area. Wisconsin (3.7% falls significantly below the national average of 7.7%.
- 4. The <u>Navy</u> has comparable strength across all but two tracking areas. New York City (7.5%) and Wisconsin (7.8%) fall below the Navy's national average of 11.9%.
- 5. The <u>National Guard</u> with a total U.S. average of 12.6% is below average in Ohio (5.4%) and Michigan/Indiana (7.3%) and above average in Boston (17.8%), Richmond/North Carolina (18.6%), South Carolina/Georgia (21.4%), and Kentucky (20.1%).
- The <u>Reserves</u> have a national average of 13.3%. Three tracking areas deviate from this average -- New York City (8.5%), Chicago (9.1%), and Texas (8.4%).

TABLE 2.1 POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN MILITARY SERVICES

ي ال

.

Ę

ľ

ر. ر

Ë

Ň

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

that have statistically significantly greater Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 change than in the total U.S. Entries with plus or minus signs denote magnitude of net change for tracking areas

							Al./				MN/ NB/			
Datrent Caring	Total U.S.	NYC	Alb./ Buf.	Hrsbg.	Wash. D.C.	Fla.	Ms./ Tn.	oh.	Mi./ In.	Chi.	ND/ SD	Tx.	So. Ca.	No. Ca.
Definitely or Probably	<mark>.</mark> о	P a	0,0	<i>b</i> /0/	P	P0/	0/0	15	0/ 0/	20	9 <u>,</u> 0	0/0	<u>%</u>	Pe
Air Force	13.2	11.8	15.2	() ۲	13.4	15.6	13.7	10.9	-8°-6	12. 6	10.7	11.8	20.0	15, 1
Arnıy	9.8	6.8	10.4	6.5	9.3	9 . 8	12.4	9.7	7. 9	7.4	7.4	8. 5	8.9	8° 0
M a rine Corps	7.7	5 ° 3	6.7	8. 6	8.1	8. 9	11.6	ó. 0	-9.6 6.6	6.3	5.6	9.0	6 . 6	5. 6
Navy	11.8		14.9	l I. 4	11.1	10.5	15.9	12.2	9.8	8 . 9	9.8	12.8	14.9	10.3
National Guard (Fall '76 only)	12.6	9 . 9	11.3	14.1	12.5	12.9	17.9	10 4.	۲.)	9.6	13.6	10.6	9. 0	16 . 5
Reserves (Fall '76 only)	13, 3	6.5	15.0	14.5	13.0	10.8	19.2	11.2	11.5	6	13. 1	([†] , ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .,	12.4	16.4
Coast Guard (Fall '76 only)	8° 9	6.5	11.2	9 ° 8	9.8	10.1	10.5	(J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J) (J)	(² .8)	6.3	10.0	6. 7	د.12	n. 12. a
Base: All repondents														
Response alternatives: Defini	itely con:	sider												

.....

Source: Qu. 4a

Probably Probably not Definitely not

ira.e. 4**5**

.

SER VICES
MILITARY S
SERVE IN
ROPENSITY TO
POSITIVE P
TABLE 2.1

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

	-													
	Total U.S.	Phil.	B stn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S. C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Des-	Wis.	N. M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K. C./ Okla.
Fercent Saying Definitely or Probably	6/ 9/	%	200	0/0	0/0	20	20	<u>%</u>	20	20	20	20	20	<u>%</u>
Air Force	13.2	10.0	14 . 5	12.3	18.8	19.1	12.6	17.4	12.7	13.0	رح 8	13.7	15.3	6.0
Army	9.8	8.9	11.1	9.1	11.3	16.5	10.8	17.5	14 . l	11.8	8.4	9.2	6.7	9.8
Marine Corps	7.7	7.1	6.2	6 . 5	10.5	8. 2	10.5	11. 9	8, 4	10.7	3.7	10.6	6.0	7.7
Navy	11.8	11.8	10.4	12.6	11.9	16.1	13.4	12. 3	12.0	10.7	۲, 8) (۲, 8)	12.0	13.5	9.8
National Guard (Fall '76 only)	12.6	10.3	17.8	10. 9	18.6	21.4	15.4	15.3	20.1	11.1	12.9	11.5	12.6	10.9
Reserves (Fall '76 only)	13.3	11.3	13.9	15.4	17.7	15.8	12.1	15.7	16.4	12.0	10.0	15.6	15.9	11.4
Coast Guard (Fall '76 only)	8.9	6.5	12.6	9.5	8.4	10.7	12.2	13. 3	8.6	2.0	6.8	8 . 6	10.5	2.0

Base: All respondents

Response alternatives: Definitely consider Probably Probably not Definitely not

Source: Qu. 4a

Page 46

.

 Five tracking areas fall below the <u>Coast Guard's</u> national average of 8.9%. Those areas where the propensity to join the Coast Guard is relatively low include: New York City (4.5%), Ohio (5.3%), Michigan/Indiana (5.8%), Des Moines (5.0%) and Kansas City/Oklahoma (5.0%).

An analysis of propensity changes by tracking area between Fall 1975 and Fall 1976 reveals only three shifts that differ significantly from the corresponding Fall-to-Fall national changes in propensity. Both the Air Force and Army experienced significant declines in propensity in the Michigan/Indiana tracking area. The Navy lost ground in New York City. (Note: The decline shown in the second line of each row in the table is the. net difference after subtracting the national Fall-to-Fall shift from the tracking area Fall-to-Fall change, illustrated on page 34.

These data as well as subsequent data to be reported in this section are merely descriptive in nature. The differences among tracking areas as well as differences within tracking areas from one point in time to another can be the result of numerous factors (e.g., demographics, economics, military recruiting efforts). In Sections IV and V of this report, those variables that are believed to influence propensity are examined in detail. Page 48

ANALY TRANSPORT CONTRACT CANADA CONTRACT TRANSPORT

2.2 <u>Two Factors Mediating Between Expressed Propensity</u> and Enlistment

There are at least two mediating factors between expressed propensity to join the service and actual enlistment. These are expected time of entry and whether the person will enter as an enlisted man or as an officer. Both factors are discussed below.

2.2.1 Expected Time of Entry Into Military S

As in the Spring 1976 wave, the question dealing with expected time of entry into the service was divided into two parts -- active duty and National Guard/Reserves. This was not the case in the Fall 1975 wave of the study. Nevertheless, it is possible to make a rough Fall to Fall comparison. Young men with positive propensity toward the military are less likely than in the past to enlist within the near future (within two years). Near-term enlistment intent has dropped from 36% in Fall 1975 to 30% in Fall 1976. The current figure is comparable to what was observed last Spring. What was then speculated to be a seasonal result may therefore instead have been a real trend. It should be pointed out that this drop in near-term enlistment intent has not been offset by a rise in the percent of those positive propensity youth who expect to enter the service in the more distant future. Rather, the TABLE 2.2 WHEN EXPECT TO JOIN MILITARY SERVICE

100 A 10

Ś

2

605111666668811622222233111966662391146559565

<u>ESSE</u> La

0000000 19000000 00000000

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

												I
	No.	Ca.	20	23.9	46.5	29.6			17.8	41.7	40.4	Ì
	So.	Ca.	40	26.1	52.7	21.2			14.8	27.9	57.3	
		Tx.	20	24.0	49.6	26.5			20.0	26.4	5 3. 6	
/ NW	/QN	SD	40	25.6	55, 3	19.0			6.9	41.9	48.8	
		Chi.	<u>%</u>	24.6	57. 1	18.3			(°)	£2.8	51,1	
	Mi./	<u>-</u>	40	30.0	52.8	17.2			21.4	30.0	48.6	
		•40	2%	42.5	30.6	26.9			22.5	22.9	54.6	
/ 14	Ms./	Tn	2%	28.1	45. 6	26.4			19.9	41.2	38.9	
		Fla.	20	31.4	45.2	23.4			16.3	24.1	59.6	
	Wash.	D.C.	20	23.9	48.6	27.4			16.6	32.1	51.2	
		Hr sbg.	20	31.2	39.2	29.7			16.9	33.0	50.1	
	Alb./	Buf.	20	30.0	39.4	30.7			6.9	30.4	6 2.6	
		NYC	<u>%</u>	34.6	40.2	25.2			15.9	9.11	72.5	
•	Total	U.S.	01/0	30.4	45.0	24.5			16.7	31.5	51.8	
		ACTIVE DUTY	Percent Naming This Time Span	Within 2 years	More than 2 years	Don't know/no answer	NATIONAL GUARD/ RESERVES	Percent Naming This Time Span	Within 2 years	More than 2 years	Don't know/no answer	

Base: Those with positive propensity

Source: Qu. 4b

Page 49

AND LEADER AND ALLEADER AND ALLEADER AND ALLEADER AND ALLEADER ALLEADER AND ALLEADER ALLEADER ALLEADER ALLEADER

TABLE 2.2 WHEN EXPECT TO JOIN MILITARY SERVICE

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

										•				
ACTIVE DUTY	Total U.S.	Phil.	B stn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S. C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Des-	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K. C./ Okla.
Percent Naming This Time Span	<u>%</u>	<u></u>	%	%	%	20	8	200	20	%	200	<u>%</u> (20	%
Within 2 years	30. 4	45. 6	31.6	30.8	27.2	38, 2	27.6	40.0	34.9	33.5	42.5	(17.4)	32.8	25.6
More than 2 years	45.0	35.4	40.5	32.5	52.2	38. 1	50.3	49.1	40.6	46. 1	35, 5	52.3	43.2	42.2
Don't know/no answer	24.5	19.1	27.8	36.7	20.6	23.8	22.1	10.8	24.5	20.5	22.0	30.3	23.9	32.2
NATIONAL GUARD/ RESERVE)						
Percent Naming This Time Span											[I		
Within 2 years	16.7	14.9	16.3	12. 3	23.9	19.9	20.3	25.0	12.9	18.9	29.8	7.8	13.7	11.7
More than 2 years	31.5	27.7	31.1	31.0	44.9	27.1	27.1	33.6	32.0	27.9	24.2	40.2	30.9	26.3
Don't know/no answer	51.8	57.3	52.6	56.7	31.2	52.9	52.5	41.3	55.1	53. 1	46.0	52.0	55.4	62.0

Base: Those with positive propensity

Source: Qu. 4b

للناخ

; ; ;

Page 50

L

1227

7

È

5

3

3

したべんたかが、

decline has been offset by an increase in those who say that they do not know when they will enlist. With regard to the National Guard/Reserves, there has been a dramatic shift from Spring 1976. Compared to the Spring wave, respondents in the present Fall 1976 wave are not as sure when they will join these services. In the Spring 1976 wave, 35.2% said they did not know when they would join the National Guard/Reserves. This figure jumps to 51.8% in the Fall 1976 wave. Compared to the active duty services, the National Guard/Reserves elicit a greater degree of uncertainty (51.8% versus 24.5%).

The data reveal several significant differences across the 26 tracking areas. Ohio, Philadelphia, and Arkansas are above the U.S. average with respect to joining within two years. New Mexico/Colorado falls below average in expectation of near-term enlistment. Chicago is above average and Ohio and Pittsburgh are below average with respect to expectation of enlistment of more than two years. At the same time, Pittsburgh has an above-average percent of positive youth who don't know when they will enlist. Arkansas conversely has fewer respondents who respond "don't know".

The proportion of young men who intend to join the National Guard/ Reserves within the next two years is significantly higher in Wisconsin and significantly lower in Albany/Buffalo, Chicago, Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota and New Mexico/Colorado. Five tracking areas are above the U.S. average with regard to the proportion of positive-propensity Page 52

youth who intend to enlist in the more distant future. These are: Alabama/ Mississippi/Tennessee, Chicago, Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota, Northern Californiz, and Richmond/North Carolina. New York City is below average for this time frame. Finally, New York City and Albany/Buffalo have an above-average proportion of youth who do not know when they will enlist in the National Guard/Reserves. Just the opposite is true of Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee, Northern California, Richmond/ North Carolina and Arkansas.

It was pointed out in the previous Spring 1976 report and it should be repeated that any forecasting with regard to rates of accession should take[•] into account the regional differences that occur for both levels of propensity and expected time of entry as well as mental and physical disqualification rates.

1

1

3

Pro-

the half of the second states and second states

Page 53

2.2.2 Officer Versus Enlisted Entry Expectations

As Table 2.3 indicates, 70.8% of those with positive propensity expect to enter the military as enlisted men. This is a drop from the Fall 1975 figure of 77.0%. During the same period of time, the level of expected officer entry increased from 19.5% to 24.9%. Relative to the national averages, Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota and Des Moines have the highest percentages of positive propensity youth who expect to enter as enlisted men. Des Moines also is below average with respect to officer entry, while Arkansas falls significantly above average.

New York City experienced the greatest Fall to Fall changes relative to the corresponding national shifts. The change in percentage of youth who intend to enter the service as enlisted men exceeded the national change by 19.2 percentage points, while the percentage intending to enter as officers had a net loss of 18.3 percentage points. Interest in becoming an officer went up significantly in Ohio (+19.0% points). EXPECTATION OF ENTERING SERVICE AS AN ENLISTED MAN OR AN OFFICER TABLE 2.3

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate. Entries with plus or minus signs denote magnitude of net change for tracking areas that have statistically significantly greater Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 change than in the total U.S.

											NW			
							Al./				NB /			
	Total		Alb./		Wash.		M s./		Mi./		ND/		So.	No.
	U. S.	NYC	Buf.	<u>Hrsbg.</u>	D.C.	Fla.	цц.	ч О	ц.	Chi.	G	Tx.	Ca.	Ca.
Percent Would Enter As:	2	%	20	<i>b</i> /	20	8	20	%	%	20	40	<u>%</u>	р <mark>о</mark>	<u> </u>
											[
Enlisted man	70.8	79.4 +19.2	71.8	74. 0	68 . 6	68 . 8	69. 1	62.9	69 . 4	75. 0	81.1	71.4	75.5	70.0
Officer	24.9	18.1 -18.3	22.5	21.3	28.8	28.2	27.2	33 . 0 +19. 0	29.1	20.5	18. 9	23.5	18 . I	25.4

Base: Those with positive propensity

Source: Qu. 4c

ы С Ň 0 Ì

EXPECTATION OF ENTERING SERVICE AS AN ENLISTED MAN OR AS AN OFFICER TABLE 2.3

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. fally beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

sh./ K.C. eg. Okla.	<u>d</u>	5 66.4	8 26.3
/ Was Ore	<u> </u>	64.	27.
N.M. Col.	20	6 • 69	25,2
Wis.	40	69. 3	25. 3
Des- mns.	20	87.7	6.
Ky.	20	67.2	25.7
Ark.	%	62.8	36.2
New Orln.	2	70.9	22.7
S. C./ Ga.	2	69.3	24.5
Rich./ N.C.	20	68. 0	27.3
Pit.	%	67.6	29.7
Bstn.	200	77.1	16.9
Phil.	<u>%</u>	75. 2	24.8
Total U.S.	9 <u>,</u>	70.8	24.9
	Percent Would Enter As:	Enlisted man	Officer

and the second

Base: Those with positive propensity

Source: Qu. 4c

Page 55

Page 56

2.3

Academic Achievement and Derived Quality Index

The military is concerned about the mental quality level of their enlisted personnel. The present study attempted to gauge the mental quality of those who express an intent to join the service. Respondents were asked to report several areas of academic information -- high school grades, high school education program, mathematics courses taken and passed in high school, and science courses covering electricity and/or electronics taken and passed in high school. Based on his responses to these questions, a quality index number was developed for each respondent. The index ranges from a low score of 1 to a high score of 10. Table 2.4 explains the derivation of this index.

Table 2.4

(High School G	rades)	(Number of Math in High Sch	Courses	(Science Co <u>High Scl</u>	urses in hool)
	Value		Value		Value
A's & B's	3	None	1	Yes	2
B's & C's	2	One	`2	No, Not	1
C's and below	1	Two	3	Specified	L
Not specified	0	Three	4		
		Four	5		
		Not Specified	0		

Table 2.5 shows the quality index of the sample as a whole and those for each of the 26 tracking areas. Overall, the average quality index value was 6.36, slightly down from the past two waves of this study (6.43 and 6.41 for Fall 1975 and Spring 1976 respectively). Quality index values were above the U.S. average in Albany/Buffalo. Harrisburg, Texas, Philadelphia, and Boston. Alabama/Mississippi/ Tennessee, New Orleans and Kentucky were below average. Both Albany/Buffalo and Texas experienced upward shifts from Fall 1975 to Fall 1976. New York City was the only tracking area whose quality index declined significantly during this time period. Once again, it should be pointed out that the demographics of the New York City tracking area are different in this wave from past waves due to a revision in sampling procedure designed to achieve a more uniform dispersion of the sample throughout the counties of the tracking area. Therefore, the decline in the quality index in New York City may be a reflection of the change in sampling procedure.

The number of math courses taken and passed by individuals is an important part of the quality index. Table 2.6 shows that tracking areas differ widely with respect to math courses passed. In terms of the number of math courses passed, the east coast tracking areas excel. On the other hand, the southern tracking areas tend to fall below national averages. A complete breakdown of academic achievement, including quality index values, is available in Vol. I, pages 139 - 145 of the supplementary documents to this report. TABLE 2.5 RESPONDENT QUALITY INDEX

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

Entries with plus or minus signs denote magnitude of net change for tracking areas that have statistically significantly greater Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 change than in the total U. S.

.

.....

No.	Ca.	6.37	
So.	Ca.	6.20	
E	TX.	6.64	+, 34
ND / UD /		6.54	
i	Chi	6.34	
Mi./	E	6.29	
;	oh.	6.45	
Al./ Ms./	Th.	6.90	
	Fla.	6.40	
Wash.		6. 59	
	Hrsbg.	6.68	
Alb./	Buf.	6.73	+ 30
	NYC	6.36	- 93
Total	U.S.	6.36	
-	·		

Mean index value

Base: All respondents

Minimum value = 1

Maximum value = 10

Source: Volume I, page 144

Page 58

ŧ

TABLE 2.5 RESPONDENT QUALITY INDEX

 ٠.

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

K. C. Okla.	6.13
Wash./ Oreg.	6.51
N. M. / Col.	6.33
Wis.	6.35
Des- mns.	6.10
Ky.	(² , 98
Ark.	6.13
New Orln.	(2.98)
S. C. / Ga.	6.21
Rich./ N.C.	6.21
Pit.	6.35
B stn.	6.67
Phil.	6.84
Total U.S.	6.36

Base: All respondents

Mean index value

Minimum value = 1

Maximum value = 10

Source: Volume I, page 144

Page 59

		No.	Ca.	P.	33, 3	47.6	19.2
		So.	Ca.	Pe	26.5	54.8	18.6
			T×.	69	3 . 3	49.2	(13.0)
as al U. S.	NN / NB /	ND/	SD	Po	37 . 9	47.9 +10.0	14.2
ting are the tot			Chi.	Po	33.1	55.1	11.8
or trach than in		Mi./	ц.	Po	34.6	48.1	17.3
hange fo change			oh.	2°	37.8	46.8	15.4
of net c all 1976	A1./	Ms./	Tn.	Po	24.4	49.7 -12.5	25.8
gnitude 75 to F			Fla.	20	36.7	43.9	19.5
iote ma Fall 19		Wash.	D.C.	P.	44.9	35.7	19.3
igns der greater			Hr sbg.	Pé	44.9	44.3	-7.7
ninus s icantly		Alb./	Buf.	P _o	45.2	37.8	17.0
olus or r y signif			NYC	P.,	39.5	-17.6 -17.6	20.9 +8.4
Entries with _F ive statisticall		Total	U.S.	a. o	35.2	46.9	17.9
that he			Percent Naming This	Number of Courses	Three or more	Less than three	None/no answer

Base: All respondents

Source: Qu. 17a

.

(, ,)

L.

200

5

THE LET LET

1840 1840 U.C.

Page 60

Circled and boxed entrine are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate

NUMBER OF MATH COURSES PASSED

TABLE 2.6

. ک

K.

4

TABLE 2.6 NUMBER OF MATH COURSES PASSED

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

/ K. C./ Okla.	<u>%</u>	(21.1)	53.8	19.1
Wash./ Oreg.	6	33, 2	52.9	13.9
N. M./ Col.	%	33, 7	50, 1	16.2
Wis.	0/0	33.5	50.8	15.6
De s-	0/0	(27.7)	54.4	17.9
Ky.	200	23.9	50.5	25.6
Ark.	200	28.2	49.4	22.4
New Orln	20	32.0	46.9	21.0
S. C./ Ga.	20	38.4	38.7	22.8
Rich./ N.C.	00	51.7	48.1	20.2
Pit.	<u>6%</u>	33.8	47.0	19.2
Bstn.	P.0	45.6	41.4	[1] [1]
Phil.	_{ю́}	49.6	34.6	15.8
Total U.S.	P.,	35.2	46. 9	17.9
	mber of Courses	Three or more	Less than three	None/no answer
¢	žź			

Base: All respondents

Source: Qu. 17a

Page 61
Page 62

2.4

Advertising Copy Identification

Extensive advertising campaigns are an integral part of the military's efforts at recruiting young men into the volunteer force. Respondents were read a list of 12 copy points used by the various services in their advertising. For each statement, respondents were asked to name the service or services who were making such a claim. Their responses are summarized in Table 2.7. Since the statements used in the Fall 1976 wave are not entirely identical to those used in the Fall 1975 study, no Fall to Fall changes are noted. Tracking areas that differ from the corresponding U.S. average, however, are noted and are summarized below:

		<u> </u>	76
<u></u>	Statement	Below Average	Above Average
AF:	Look Up - Be Looked Up To	HRSBG FLA	WASH/OREG
AF:	Great Way of Life	BSTN	SO. CA DES MNS N. M/COL
A:	Join the People	NYC SO. CA PHIL BSTN ARK	AL/MS/TN MN/NB/ND/SD PIT S. C/GA KY N. M/COL
A:	Project Ahead	MN/NB/ND/SD	N. M/COL
MC:	The Few, The Proud	NEW ORLN KY NYC	WASH D. C. TX

Page 63

		Fall 19	76
		Below	Above
	Statement	Average	Average
MC:	Same Pay As Other Services	NYC	DES MNS N. M/COL K. C. /OKLA
N:	Not Just A Job, An Adeventure	NYC CHI B STN	TX DES MNS
N:	Looking For Adventure, the Field Narrows	NYC HRSBRG FLA MN/NB/ND/SD NO. CA	TX
NG:	Most Important Part-Time Job	NYC CHI SO. CA PIT NEW ORLN	WASH D. C. MN/NB/ND/SD WIS
NG:	The Belongs	NYC MI/IN CHI SO. CA NO. CA	WASH D.C. TX ARK WIS
CG:	Good Work		WASH D.C. SO. CA NO. CA
CG:	Help Others, Help Yourself	DES MNS	

Three tracking areas do especially well with respect to advertising copy identification: Washington D.C., Texas, and New Mexico/Colorado. On the other hand, New York City performs poorly on this measure.

	rage	0 1 7 (8	6.7	7.8	9 . 8	l. 5	0.3
		4	-1	- 1	9 2		5 7	4	0 4
		ŭ ŭ	اد	5	37.	-2	30.	37.	38.
		÷	<	%	33, 1	3• 3	40.3	48.0	35.7
S च	range	/NM /BN /QN		20	32.2	2.8	31.0	55. 6	39, 8
SERVIC	ond the			8	29.4	4.7	22.7	30.5	34.8
WITH	alls bey ate	Mi./ In		%	30. 6	3. 0	30.3	49.2	31.6
OIN TS	J. S. Fa a estim	ć		Pé	31.7	2.2	31.6	46.0	30.2
д үчо:	Total U ing Are	Al./ Ms./ Tr		%	29.3	2.4	30, 8	48,8	34.4
ISING 0	e where e Track	م ا		8	32, 2	3. 1	29.0	40.7	27.1
DVERT	re those ts of the	Wash.		20	30. 3	8.6	29.2	54.5	34.6
I OF A	itries a d Erroi	Hr cha.	900	<u>%</u>	35, 1	2, 3	29.7	42. 9	27.4
TIATION	oxed er Standar	Alb./ Buf		%	32. 3	4.2	33. 1	47.0	36. 2
ASSOC	ed and b of two			8	(j. (j.)	2.3	(22.3	(9.6	29.1
LE 2.7	Circl	Total		%	31.6	3.8	30.2	44.2	34. 9
TAB				Percent Associating	Same Pay As Other Services Correct: Marine Corps Most Associated: Marine Corps	Good Work Correct: Coast Guard Most Associated: Army	Not Just A Job, An Adventure Correct: Navy Most Associated: Navy	Most Important Part-Time Job Correct: National Guard Most Associated: National Guard	Look Up Be Looked Up To Correct: Air Force Most Associated: Air Force

47.8 13.9 5.1 54.5 15.5 9 16.6 49.5 7.1 17.3 16.6 58.7 14.9 44.4 10.2) 12.8 44.3 22.4 16.9 51.9 16.3) 48.4 15.5 15.8 13, 5 (37.7) 3.6) 50.7 12.0 16.2 **Correct:** National Guard Most Associated: Army Most Associated: Army Most Associated: Navy Great Way of Life Correct: Air Force Most Important Par Correct: National Look Up -- Be Lool Correct: Air For Most Associated: Most Associated: The . . Belongs Correct: Army Join The People

52.8

(42.9)

49.4

62.]

7.6)

6.7

18.2

13.7

14. I

23.7

20.0

16.5

Page 64

			C. C. C. C. C.	CINC.	072	11681			121								
			222 22	8							8	S.	•		~* N		
			TABLE 2.	ASSO	CIATIO	N OF A	DV ER TI	ISING C	ч үчо:	OINTS	WITH 3	SER VIC	ES				
							(Continu	ued)									<u></u>
			Total U.S.	NYC	Alb./ Buf.	Hrsbg.	Wash. D.C.	Fla.	Al./ Ms./ Tn.	Oh.	Mi./ In.	Chi.	MN / NB / ND/ SD	Tx.	So. Ca.	No. Ca.	
Percent As:	sociating		a/0	<i>b</i> %	%	20	8	20	84	84	6	20	20	200	20	0/0	
Looking Fol The Field N Correct: Most Asso	r Adventur farrows Navy ciated: Ni	re, avy	23, 6	12.5	25.8	16.8	27.4	17.8	28.6	22.8	24.8	18.8	(18.2)	35.8	29.5	(12.9)	
The Few, T Correct: Most Asso	'he Proud Marine Co Iciated: Ma	rrps arine Corf	ps 48.5	33.8	51.7	53, 8	56.0	48 . l	47.5	46. 6	48 . 6	45.3	45.2	59.6	51.6	43.0	
Help Others Correct: 4 Most Asso	s, Help Yo Coast Gua: Iciated: A	urself rd rmy	6.9	6 . 8	11,8	14, 3	9.5	7.7	10.0	10.3	6.7	11.2	7.8	15.0		14. 9	
Project Ahe Correct: Most Asso	ad Army sciated: Au	rmy	35. 9	32. 4	41.3	37.2	41.2	34, 1	36.8	37.7	41.5	32, 5	27.3	40.0	32.4	30.2	
Base: All r	e spondent :	Ø														P	
Source: Qu	. 6															'ag. ύ5	

SUCCESS - TOWNERS AND ADDRESS - NEWSON - NEWSONA ADDRESS - ADDRESS

TAB	LE 2.7	ASSOC	IATION	OF AD	VER TIS	SING CC	орү рс	NINTS V	NTH SI	ERVICE	ស្			
Cir	rcled and	boxed e	ntries a Standard	re those Error	e where s of the	Total (Trackii	J. S. fa 1g Area	lls beyc estima	nd the te	range				Page 60
	Total U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S. C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Des- mns.	Wis.	N. M. / Col.	Wash. / Oreg.	K. C. / Okla.
Percent Associating	200	%	20	<i>b</i>	20	<i>%</i>	6	<u>%</u>	<u>d</u>	%	8	20	%	%
Same Pay As Other Services Correct: Marine Corps Most Associated: Marine Corps	31.6	30. 3	27.7	32. 5	34.2	37.8	26.4	28.0	32.4	40.3	33.7	40.4	33. 7	40.3
Good Work Correct: Coast Guard Most Associated: Army	3.8	4 .3	1.8	3. 9	4. 3	1.8	2.9	2.0	4.1	5.9	4.0	4.1	4.4	3° 3
Not Just A Job, An Adventure Correct: Navy Most Associated: Navy	30.2	29.6	24.0	32.0	26.4	35, 6	27.6	32.2	27.9	38.4	26.4	29. 1	• 28.6	32. 4
Most Important Part-Time Job Correct: National Guard Most Associated: National Guard	44.2	46.9	39.2	33.9	45. 6	45.4	35.4	48.4	47.0	48.0	57.1	45.1	46.0	47.9
Look Up Be Looked Up To Correct: Air Force Most Associated: Air Force	34. 9	37.1	31.2	30. 1	39.6	35.9	34.3	41.1	37, 3	38. 1	35. 4	35.2	41.7	37. 4
Join The People Correct: Army Most Associated: Army	50.7	(⁴ 3.4)	38.5	63.9	50.1	67.1	46.9	(12.9)	60.6	50.7	48.9	6.03	47.5	55. 3
The • • • Belongs Correct: National Guard Most Associated: Army	12, 0	12.3	9.5	15.2	13.7	9.4	11.1	23.1	11.3	8. 6	21.9	12.2	8.9	14. S
Great Way of Life Correct: Air Force Most Associated: Navy	16.2	17.4	8	12. 2	14.4	16, 8	13.2	12.7	18.5	23.5	13.8	22.4	15.7	۲- ۵۵

(t

117

10000

1 Marine

SSOCIATION OF ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES Continued) (Continued) (Continued) <t< th=""><th>SSOCIATION OF ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES (Continued) (Continued) <t< th=""><th></th><th>TABLE 2.7 A</th><th>Total U.S.</th><th>Issociating</th><th>For Adventure, 1 Narrows 1: Navy 23.6 27 5500 (ated: Navy 23.6 27)</th><th>The Proud :: Marine Corps ssociated: Marine Corps 48.5 50</th><th>ers, Help Yourself :: Coast Guard ssociated: Army 9.9</th><th>lhead :: Army ssociated: Army 35.9 32</th></t<></th></t<>	SSOCIATION OF ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES (Continued) (Continued) <t< th=""><th></th><th>TABLE 2.7 A</th><th>Total U.S.</th><th>Issociating</th><th>For Adventure, 1 Narrows 1: Navy 23.6 27 5500 (ated: Navy 23.6 27)</th><th>The Proud :: Marine Corps ssociated: Marine Corps 48.5 50</th><th>ers, Help Yourself :: Coast Guard ssociated: Army 9.9</th><th>lhead :: Army ssociated: Army 35.9 32</th></t<>		TABLE 2.7 A	Total U.S.	Issociating	For Adventure, 1 Narrows 1: Navy 23.6 27 5500 (ated: Navy 23.6 27)	The Proud :: Marine Corps ssociated: Marine Corps 48.5 50	ers, Help Yourself :: Coast Guard ssociated: Army 9.9	lhead :: Army ssociated: Army 35.9 32
10N OF ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES (Continued) (Continued) (million)	1000 OF ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES (Continued) (Continued) (Continued) M.C. Gene M.M. Wiss Wiss M.M. th. Pit. N.C. Gen. Ark. Ky. Mes. Wiss. Coli. Oreg. th. Pit. N.C. Gen. Orlin. Ark. Ky. Miss. Wiss. Coli. Oreg. a M. M.C. Gen. Orlin. Ark. Ky. Miss. Wiss. Coli. Oreg. a M. M.S. M.S. M.S. M.S. Miss. Miss. Coli. Oreg. a M. M.S. M.S. M.S. M.S. Miss. Mi	5	SSOCIAT	hil. Be	24	2°0 21) . 6 43	°,0 9	2.4 36
ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES (Continued) (Continued) Image: Ima	ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES (Continued) (Continued) $\frac{N.C.}{O}$ $\frac{N.C.}{N}$ $\frac{N.M.}{N}$		TON OF	lt.	201	.5 26.	.1 49.	.3 10.	.8 37.
TISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES nued) / S.C./ New Ark Ky. Des- <u>7</u> . Orlin. Ark Ky. mns. Wis. Col. <u>7</u> . <u>6</u> . <u>7</u> . <u>7</u> . 25.4 27.6 28.4 19.1 23.0 23.2 25.7 25.4 27.6 28.4 19.1 23.0 23.2 25.7 25.4 46.8 <u>41.1</u> 51.6 <u>41.2</u> 44.9 50.8 56.0 9.0 8.7 6.8 9.2 <u>4.0</u> 11.8 9.6 40.1 32.7 28.5 35.9 30.3 38.3 <u>45.0</u>	TISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES nued) Mued) ' S.C.' New Mrk. Ky. Des- mas. Wis. Col. ' S.C.' New Ark. Ky. Des- mas. Wis. Col. Oreg. '' S.C.' New Ark. Ark. Ky. Des- mas. Wis. Col. Oreg. '' S.C.' New Ark. Ark. Ky. Des- mas. Wis. Col. Oreg. '' S.C.' New Ark. Ark. Ky. Des- mas. Wis. Col. Oreg. '' Gai. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' B.C.' New A. Y. Des- Mas. Wis. Col. Oreg. '' Gai. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' J. C. 23.0 23.1 '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' J. C. B. 9.2 '' A. '' A. '' A. '' A. '' J. C. B. J. S. '' J. '' J. '' J. '' J. C.<		ADVER (Conti	Rich.	<u>6</u>	4 19.4	0 48.0	0 10.6	1 34.6
COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES New Ark. Ky. mns. Wis. Col. New M. M. M. New M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. <tr< td=""><td>COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES New Ark. Ky. Des- N.M./ Wash, 26. 76. 76. 76. 76. 76. 28.4 19.1 23.0 23.2 25.7 25.4 21.2 41.1 51.6 41.2 44.9 50.8 56.0 53.1 41.1 51.6 41.2 44.9 50.8 56.0 53.1 8.7 6.8 9.2 4.0 11.8 9.6 12.4 32.7 28.5 35.9 30.3 38.3 45.0 35.3</td><td></td><td>TISING Dued)</td><td>/ S. C./ Ga.</td><td>6</td><td>27.6</td><td>46.8</td><td>0 °6</td><td>40.1</td></tr<>	COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES New Ark. Ky. Des- N.M./ Wash, 26. 76. 76. 76. 76. 76. 28.4 19.1 23.0 23.2 25.7 25.4 21.2 41.1 51.6 41.2 44.9 50.8 56.0 53.1 41.1 51.6 41.2 44.9 50.8 56.0 53.1 8.7 6.8 9.2 4.0 11.8 9.6 12.4 32.7 28.5 35.9 30.3 38.3 45.0 35.3		TISING Dued)	/ S. C./ Ga.	6	27.6	46.8	0 °6	40.1
MUTH SERVICES Ark. Ky. M.M./ Ark. Ky. M.M./ M.M. M.M. </td <td>Mr. WITH SERVICES OINTS WITH SERVICES Mr. Wis. N. M. Wash. Mr. Ky. Des- Mis. N. M. Wash. M. Mis. Col. Oreg. M. Mis. Wis. Col. Oreg. M. Mis. Wis. Col. Oreg. M. M. M. Wash. Wash. Mash. M. M. M. M. Wash. Wash. M. M. M. M. W. M. Wash. M. M. M. M. Wash. Mash. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. J. J. J. 23.0 J. 41.9 50.8 56.0 53.1 21.2 21.2 Su. 3 J. 23.3 J. 23.3 J. 23.3 J. 23.3<td></td><td>сору р</td><td>New Or In.</td><td>8</td><td>28.4</td><td></td><td>8, 7</td><td>32.7</td></td>	Mr. WITH SERVICES OINTS WITH SERVICES Mr. Wis. N. M. Wash. Mr. Ky. Des- Mis. N. M. Wash. M. Mis. Col. Oreg. M. Mis. Wis. Col. Oreg. M. Mis. Wis. Col. Oreg. M. M. M. Wash. Wash. Mash. M. M. M. M. Wash. Wash. M. M. M. M. W. M. Wash. M. M. M. M. Wash. Mash. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. J. J. J. 23.0 J. 41.9 50.8 56.0 53.1 21.2 21.2 Su. 3 J. 23.3 J. 23.3 J. 23.3 J. 23.3 <td></td> <td>сору р</td> <td>New Or In.</td> <td>8</td> <td>28.4</td> <td></td> <td>8, 7</td> <td>32.7</td>		сору р	New Or In.	8	28.4		8, 7	32.7
MITH SERVICES WITH SERVICES WITH SERVICES Multiple Multit	WITH SERVICES WITH SERVICES WITH SERVICES Ky. Des- mas. N.M./ Wash. M. Mis. Col. Oreg. M. Mis. Sol. Sol. M. Mis. Sol. Sol. M. Mis. Sol. Sol. M. Mis. Sol. Sol. M. M. Mis. Sol. Sol. M. Mis. Sol. Sol. Sol. M. M. Sol. Sol. Sol. M. M. Mis. Sol. Sol. Sol. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. Sol. <t< td=""><td></td><td>OINTS</td><td>Ark.</td><td>200</td><td>19, 1</td><td>51.6</td><td>6.8</td><td>28.5</td></t<>		OINTS	Ark.	200	19, 1	51.6	6.8	28.5
ERVICES Des- N.M./ Des- Wis. Col. <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>3</u> <u>3</u> <u>3</u> <u>3</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>5</u>	The set of the s		S HTIW	Ky.	å	23.0	41.2	9,2	35.9
ES Wis. Col. 25.7 25.4 11.8 9.6 38.3 45.0	ES <u>Wis.</u> N.M./ Wash, <u>Wis.</u> Col. Oreg. <u>7</u> 25.7 25.4 21.2 50.8 56.0 53.1 11.8 9.6 12.4 38.3 45.0 35.3		ERVICI	Des-	%	23. 2	44.9	(4)	30.3
N.M./ Col. 56.0 9.6	N.M./ Wash./ Col. Oreg. 25.4 21.2 26.0 53.1 9.6 12.4 45.0 35.3		ទ	Wis.	%	25.7	50.8	11.8	38 . 3
	Wash.// Oreg. 53.1 53.1 12.4			N.M./ Col.	8	25.4	56.0	9.6	45.0

. .

Base: All respondents

Source: Qu. 6

Page 67

Page 68

2.5

Recalled Recruiter Contact

Table 2.8 shows the level of recalled recent recruiter contact for the sample as a whole as well as within each of the tracking areas. Overall, 24.9% of the total sample reported that they had been exposed to recruiter contact within the last five to six months. Exceptions to this national average are New York City, Florida, and Pittsburgh which all fell significantly below this average. One tracking area --Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota -- was significantly above the average. Chicago is the only tracking area that experienced a significant Fall to Fall change in recalled recent recruiter contact. Relative to the national average, Chicago dropped 8.2 percentage points.

101	34 19 29				No. Ca.	8	21.8	Page 69
					So.	20	26.4	
	.				Tx.	6	30.0	
			ange	ts IU.S.	MN/ NB/ ND/ SD	20	32. 3	
			id the r	ing ares the tota	Chi.	20	26.1 -8.2	N . 00 1
		ст	s beyon ite	ư track than in	Mi./ Ia.	2	28.1	
		CONTAC	.S. fall setima	ıange fo change	Oh.	20	22.2	
	- -	NTER (Total U ng Area	of net c [†] III 1976	Al./ Ms./ Tn.	%	21.6	
		RECRU	e where : Tracki	nitude 75 to Fz	Fla,	20	18.6	
		ECENT	re those s of the	ote mag Fall 19	Wash. D.C.	200	20.5	
		HAD R	itries a d Erroi	gns den greater	Hr sbg.	20	29.7	
	9. F	2.8	ooxed er Standar	ainus si cantly g	Alb./ Buf.	20	20.7	
	8	TABLE	ed and { of two	lus or n y signifi	NYC	5 2	16.8	
	동 공		Circl	s with p istícally	rotal U.S.	%	24.9	
	<u>ज</u> र			Entrie ave stat	v. 1	itact		
31 I S	8			that h		iter Con	hs	a B
						Recru	6 mont	e sponde . 7a
	H					ent Had	ast 5 to	e: All r ce: Qu
	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3					Perc	ቢ	Base Sour
C IS.								

1.....

	Circ	cled and of two	boxed er Standar	itries a d Erro	re those rs of th	e Where e Track	Total U ing Are	l.S. fali a estim	ls beyo ate	nd the r	ange			
	Total U.S.	Phil.	B stn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S. C. / Ga.	New Or In.	Ark.	Ky.	Des- mns.	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K. C./ Okla.
Percent Had Recruiter Contact	0, 0,	%	₽ <mark>%</mark>	<i>P</i> %	20	%	20	%	20	20	20	6	200	<mark>%</mark>
Past 5 to 6 months	24.9	23.2	21,2	(18.4 4	28.6	27.3	24.9	30.4	22.4	31.4	29.7	22.7	27.6	25, 1
The standard and the standard s														

Base: All respondents

Source: Qu. 7a

2

HAD RECENT RECRUITER CONTACT

TABLE 2.8

ŧ

A CARLON A CARLON

Page 71

2.6 Adequacy of Information Received From the Recruiter

Table 2.9 shows the percent of respondents who report that they received inadequate information from the various services. Adequacy of information was determined by asking each respondent who had ever had contact with a recruiter whether he felt that the information provided was.

- All the information you wanted
- Most of it
- Very little

Inadequate information was defined by a response of "very little".

Overall, all of the services do well on this issue. Approximately four-out-of-five respondents contacted by recruiters feel that the contacting services provided enough information. Vis-avis one another, the Marine Corps scores somewhat less favorably than the other services.

With respect to each service, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The <u>Air Force</u> does fairly well in every tracking area and especially well in Pittsburgh and Arkansas. On a Fall to Fall basis, the level of dissatisfaction with the amount of information received increased significantly in the Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota tracking area.

Page 72

- 2. The <u>Army</u> gets exceptionally good marks from respondents in the South Carolina/Georgia tracking area and particularly low marks in Washington D. C. New York City, Southern California, and Northern California experience significant Fall to Fall increases in the percent who consider recruiter information to be inadequate. Albany/Buffalo experiences just the opposite shift.
- 3. The <u>Marine Corps'</u> information program gets its biggest endorsement from New Orleans. At the same time, Florida has a significant decline from Fall 1975.
- 4. The <u>Navy</u> has exceptionally low rates of dissatisfaction in Albany/Buffalo and New Mexico/Colorado. The level of dissatisfaction rose significantly from Fall 1975 in Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota and Southern California.

PERCENT RECEIVING INADEQUATE INFORMATION FROM MILITARY RECRUITER TABLE 2.9

4

-

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate

Entries with plus or minus signs denote magnitude of net change for tracking areas that have statistically significantly greater Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 change than in the total U.

လံ

							Al./				NM / NB /			
	Total U.S.	NYC	Alb./ Buf.	Hrsbg.	Wash. D.C.	Fla.	Ms./ Tn.	oh.	Mi./ In.	Chi .	QN SD	Tx.	So. Ca.	No. Ca.
Percent Getting Very Little Information	.0. .0	10'	Pé	Eo	Ъ ⁰ /	Ъ°,	60/ 0/	0/ 10	P.º/	τ ⁰	Poi	$\mathcal{B}_{0^{\prime}}$	0, 0,	10 0
From Air Force	16.2	10.5	13.0	19.5	24.2	10.1	24.6	8•3	17.8	9.1	23.2 +17.5	10.7	27.4	16.8
From Army	17.2	30.6 +20.3	8.9 -22.6	10.1	32.6	ະ •	16.6	23.1	19,1	14.5	18.2	19.7	18.2 +9.0	20.4 +11.0
From Marine Corps	22.2	38. 7	(16.1	28.7	13.1 -30.2	° ∞	28.8	31,6	27.2	16 . 9	31.5	17.6	13.2
From Navy	د.1	6 • 6	7.7	16.3	27.0	16. 6	15.3	16.7	22.2	18.3	22.0 +11.9	21.0	15.8 ÷11.9	22.5

Base: Respondents having recruiter contact

Response alternatives: All the information you wanted Most of it Very little

Source: Qu. 8e

Page 13

Page 74		K. C./ Oklaj		ષ્ટ	27.4	17.7	22.4	28.1
		Wash./ Oreg.		۶¢	16. ć	16.5	6 - 21	13. 3
TER		N.M./ Col.		Pa	14.6	13. 3	16.5	
RECRUI	ange	Wis.		દુ	23.0	26.7	34.2	25.3
TARY 1	nd the r	Des- mns.		$F_{\mathbf{c}}$	19.9	20.0	28.3	20.6
M MILI	ls beyon late	Ke.		₽°	6.2	23.4	15. g	11.5
N FRO	:.S. fal a estirr	Ark.		£°	(°,°)	12.4	22.5	10.5
иатю	Total I ing Are	New Orla.		F.	18.1	14.8	(10.5)	10.3
IN FOI	e where e Track	S. C. / Ga.		P.o.	الع . ((c • 8)	35.0	16.5
QUATE	re thos rs of th	Rich./		50	23.6	9 . 8	0.0	19.2
INADE	ntries a rd Erro	Pit.		P.,	(°, 2)	17.3	23.4	14.4
EIVING	boxed e Standaı	B stn.		P.	13° à	1ć.3	11,1	15.3
VT REC	led and of two	Phil.		Po	14.7	10.3	24.6	13.3
P ER CEI	Circ	Total U.S.		ક°∤	16.2	17.2	22.2	17.3
TABLE 2.9			Percent Getting Very	Little Information	From Air Force	From Army	From Marine Corps	From Navy

Base: Respondents having recruiter contact

Response alternatives: All the inform**ation you wanted** Most of it Very little

Source: Qu. 8e

Page 75

2.7 Other Activities Concerning Enlistment

The extent to which a young man undertakes a number of information seeking behaviors can be a further indication of his inclination to join the services as well as providing insight into the forces that influence that decision. Respondents were asked to report whether or not they had done a series of things in the last six months with regard to obtaining information about the military. Table 2.10 summarizes the results of this question. The data represent the proportion of young men who indicated that they had undertaken certain information seeking behaviors relevant to the service's recruiting and advertising efforts.

Enlistment-oriented behaviors are listed in descending order of mention for the nation as a whole below.

٠	Talked with friends in or out of service	40.9%
•	Talked with one or both parents	36.9%
•	Talked with wife/girl friend	18.8%
•	Taken aptitude test in high school given by	
	armed services	18.1%
•	Asked for information by mail	13.6%
٠	Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	11.6%
•	Physically or mentally tested at military	
	examining station	5.3%
•	Made toll-free call to get information	3.7%

Page 76

In terms of tracking areas, Chicago area youth are somewhat less likely than their peers in other areas to seek information about enlistment. In the last wave of this study (Spring 1976), respondents in New York City were consistently less likely to seek information about enlistment. This does not appear to be the case now.

With respect to Fall to Fall changes, New York City increased significantly in one area: talked with wife/girl friend. Florida dropped significantly in two areas: talked with teacher or guidance counselor, and taken aptitude test in high school given by armed services. Finally, the Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota tracking area also experienced significant declines in two areas: talked with wife/girl friend, and talked with one or both parents. OTHER ACTIVITIES CONCERNING ENLISTMENT **TABLE 2.10**

2

Ņ

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate

that have statistically significantly greater Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 change than in the total U. S. Entries with plus or minus signs denote magnitude of net change for tracking areas

4N / NB / ND / So. No. SD Tx. Ca. Ca. $\frac{70}{10}$ $\frac{70}{10}$ $\frac{70}{10}$	4.1 40.2 41.4 41.6	0.4 9.5 10.1 16.8 8.3	5.3 (12.9) 20.4 14.3 8.1	6.7 36.1 41.0 33.5	8.2 24.5 18.2 (12.8)	2.5 4.0 4.9 3.8	5.9 3.5 6.0 6.6	Page 11. 2 14. 8 13
Chi.	32.8	9.8	- I - I	33	15.6 1	2.6	3.6	10.7
Mi./ In.	38.4	9.2	15.8	34.0	13.7	2.2	4.0	12.7
oh.	42.7	15.1	20.8	36.3	6)	3.8	4. 8	11.7
Al./ Ms./ Tn.	43 . l	9.4	23.9	39.2	23.5	3 . 8	5.2	13. 3
Fla.	45.2	8.1 -7.0	22.1	40.9	14.7 -13.0	5° 3	3. 3	11.5
Wash. D.C.	42.3	8.9	16, 6	40.5	19.2	2.5	5 . I	15.3
Hrsbg.	46.5	l 4 . 8	21.2	43.0	20.5	4. I	5. 2	18.8
Alb./ Buf.	40.2	16.6	18.0	38.9	16.7	3.0	5.9	14.3
NY C	37. 3	(1) (1)	23.4 +11.0	39. 0	(10. z)	4.5	4.0	16.2
Total U.S.	40.9	11.6	18.8	36.9	18.1	3.7	5.3	13.6
ercent Answering Yes	alked with friends in or out of service	alked with teacher or guidance counselor	alked with wife/girl friend	'alked with one or both parents	aken aptitude test in high school given by armed services	fade toll-free call to get information	'hysically or mentally tested at military examining station	sked for information by mail

Base: All respondents

Sourc .: Qu. 7c

H
1EN
NLISTN
ы
NCERNINC
Ö
AC TIVITIES
OTHER
10
2.
ABLE
<u> </u>

k

Page 78

۰.

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate

	Total U.S.	Phil.	B stn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S. C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Des- mns.	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K. C. / Okla.
Percent Answering Yes	b	200	20	06	P%	Po	60	P0/	<u>%</u>	р, ю́	P ₀ /	0 <u>,</u>	<u>0/0</u>	20
Talked with friends in or out of service	40.9	38.9	40.4	36 , 0	41.7	44.8	41.7	43.3	39.7	39.4	38. 5	40.4	46. 1	40.1
Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	11.6	13.1	10.8	13. 7	9.9	18.3	15.3	11.2	12.0	14.6	10.8	7.6	13.2	9.6
Talked with wife/girl friend	18, 8	14.9	17.5	18.2	25, 3	21.8	21.0	18.6	17.0	17.4	20.2	15.9	18.8	21.9
Talked with one or both parents	36.9	36.6	35.8	40.9	37.9	37.4	32.2	32.5	32.1	37.7	35.7	37.4	42.8	33, 5
Taken aptitude test in high school given by armed services	18.1	15, 1	16.0	20.4	19.4	18. 2	22.0	26.7	27.8	16.8	18.1	19.8	25.4	17.5
Made toll-free call to get information	3.7	5° 5	2.0	3.7	4.4	6.7	4.1	1.4	5.6	3.6	4	[].6	3.5	5.1
Physically or mentally tested at military examining station	2•3	4° 4	6.1	5.1	6 • 2	8. 1	7.5	5.2	4.8	7.8	4.	5.1	7.4	4. 7.
Asked for information by mail	13.6	17.0	11.7	16.6	10.1	17.6	14.8	14.1	16.7	11.4	9.8	13.4	17.0	11.7

Base: All respondents

Source: Qu. 7c

1

•

Page 79

2.8

3

14222442220- 000X

Knowledge of Monthly Enlisted Starting Pay

Without any prompting by the interviewers, the respondents were asked to provide their best estimates of monthly enlisted starting pay before taxes. The estimates are recorded in data tapes in their original form. For tabulation purposes they were coded by \$50 intervals.

Table 2.11 summarizes two aspects of the data. First, it gives the percentage of respondents who were not able to make any estimate (43.9% in total U.S.). The proportion not able to make an estimate was particularly high in metropolitan New York and Chicago, and exceptionally low in Des Moines and New Mexico/Colorado.

Table 2.11 also shows the mean monthly dollar value of starting pay for those respondents who made an estimate. The mean estimate of \$374 for the total U.S. is the correct monthly starting pay. However, 15 of the 26 tracking areas ranged from \$6 to \$48 below the U.S. mean and nine of the areas ranged from \$8 to \$66 above the U.S. mean. Only two tracking areas -- Albany/Buffalo and Washington D.C. -- were on par with the U.S. mean.

Section IV examines the relationship between starting pay and propensity to serve in more detail.

Page	80	411.8 411.8 411.8
		401.9 38 A
		439. 3 45. 3 1X
		MN/ ND/ ND/ SD/ SD/ SD/ SD/ SD/ SD/ SD/ SD/ SD/ S
UMAN CC	۵	55.7 366.9
ENLISTE	the rang	MI/ 1351.00
AY FOR	ls beyond te,	40.0 <u>H</u>
ATING P.	U.S. fall ea estima	AL/ MS/ MS/ 17N 365.6
LY STAI	ere Total cking Are	364.4 364.4 5 6 4 5 6 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
MONTH	those who if the Tra	Wash D.C. 373. 5 373. 5
[IMATEL	ries are Errors o	Hrsbg 361.
ESJ	boxed ent Standard	Alh/ Buf 378.5
BLE 2.11	cled and of two	352. 1 352. 1
TA	Cir	Total <u>U.S.</u> 43.9 373.6
		w/no answer) llars respondents hu. 9
		Oon't kno (Percent ay in do (Mean) (Mean) ase: All

. ŝ т (N N N A SUB OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTR

Υ.

1

ESTIMATED MONTHLY STARTING PAY FOR ENLISTED MAN TABLE 2.11

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area estimate.

)on't know/no answer (Percent)	ay in dollars (Mean) iase: All Respondents
Total <u>U.S.</u>	43.9	373. 6
Phil.	48.7	326.1
Bstn. %	41.1	325.4
Pit.	48.6	363.9
Rich/ N.C.	50.7	356.8
S. C. G.A.	40.6	362.7
New Orln. %	45.1	402.7
<u>AR</u> %	43.6	364.7
<u>X</u> %	45.8	350.8
Des Mns. <u>%</u>	36.1	391.5
1M	44.5	364.7
NM/ CO N/	32.4	387.9
WAS/ OREC %	38.7	389.5
K. C. / <u>OKLA</u> %	39.3	404. 3

Source: Qu. 9

Page 81

CO

(

Page 82

SECTION III

TRENDS BY TRACKING AREA

3.1

SECTION III

Trends By Tracking Area

The completion of the present Fall 1976 wave of this study enables observation of what, if any, changes have occurred within tracking areas with respect to critical areas of interest. In Section II these shifts in data were viewed relative to national changes. In this section, **these** changes are examined exclusive of the corresponding national changes. Two issues are of particular concern -- positive propensity toward the services and the level of recent recruiter efforts.

Changes in Propensity

From Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 there have been significant changes in propensity within tracking areas and, moreover, some of the changes are significantly different from one another. Tables 3.1 to 3.4 present the changes that have occurred within each of the original 13 tracking areas with respect to each service.

Page 83

and a solution

Page 84

TABLE 3.1

CHANGE IN POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR THE AIR FORCE BY TRACKING AREA

	Fall '75 <u>%</u>	Fall <u>'76</u>	Change	Statistic (Signific)
New York City	14.2	11.8	-2.4	NG
Albany/Buffalo	14,8	15.2	+.4	11-
Harrisburg	12.8	7.0	-5.8	Yes
Washington D. C.	11.1	13.4	+2.3	No
Florida	20.7	15.6	-5.1	NO
Alabama /Mississippi	20.1	13.7	-6.4	Nto
Ohio	10.7	10.9	+ .2	No
Michigan /Indiana	16.3	7.7	-8.6	Yes
Chicago	11.9	12.6	+.7	No
Minnesota/Nebraska/ North Dakota/South Dakota	13.3	10.7	-2.6	No
Texas	14.9	11.8	-3.1	No
Southern California	19.9	20.0	+.1	No
Northern California	12.7	15.1	+2.4	No

Page 85

TABLE 3,2

CHANGE IN POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR THE ARMY BY TRACKING AREA

	Fall '75	Fall '76	Change	Statistically Significant
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>		
New York City	9.0	6.8	-2.2	No
Albany/Buffalo	14.0	10.4	-3.6	No
Harrisburg	9.0	6.4	-2.6	No
Washington D. C.	13.1	9.3	-3.8	No
Florida	18.3	9.8	-8.5	Yes
Alabama/Mississippi	20.8	12.4	-8.4	Yes
Ohio	9.6	9.7	+.1	No
Michigan /Indiana	13.2	7.9	- 5, 3	No
Chicago	10.7	7.4	-3.3	No
Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota	15.0	7.4	-7.6	Yes
Texas	15.5	8.5	-7.0	Yes
Southern California	13.1	8.9	-4.2	No
Northern California	6.9	8.9	+2.0	No

and the second secon

Page 86

TABLE 3.3

CHANGE IN POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR THE MARINE CORPS BY TRACKING AREA

	Fall '75	Fall '76	Change	Statistically Significant
	α' /0	<u><u></u> 70</u>		
New York City	9.8	5.3	- 4.5	No
Albany/Buffalo	9.6	6.7	-2.9	No
Harrisburg	8.8	8.5	3	No
Washington D. C.	8.4	8.1	3	No
Florida	10.8	8.9	-1.9	No
Alabama/Mississippi	11.8	11.6	2	No
Ohio	7.7	6.0	÷1.7	No
Michigan/Indiana	10.3	6.6	-3.7	No
Chicago	9.2	6.3	-2.9	No
Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota	12.0	5.6	-6.4	Yes
Texas	15.0	9.0	-6.0	No
Southern California	11.1	6.6	-4.5	No
No rther n Ca l ifornia	9.7	5.6	-4.1	No

AND DESCRIPTION OF THE

2

<u>_</u>___

, N

ت

12

 Page 87

TABLE 3.4

CHANGE IN POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR THE NAVY BY TRACKING AREA

	Fall 75 %	Fall 76 25	Change	Statistically Significant
New York City	18.3	7.5	-10.8	Yes
Albany/Buffalo	15.5	14.9	6	No
Harrisburg	12.5	11.4	-1.1	No
Washington D.C.	18.3	11.1	-7.2	Yes
Florida	18.0	10.5	-7. 5	Yes
Alabama/Mississippi	16.6	15.9	7	No
Ohio	10.2	12.2	+2.0	No
Michigan /Indiana	19.0	9.3	-9,2	Yes
Chicago	10.0	8.9	-1.1	No
Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota	16.7	9.8	-6.9	Yes
Texas	15.5	12.8	-2.7	No
Southern California	16.3	14.9	-1.4	No
Northern California	13.4	10.3	-3,1	No



1.0	45 50 63 44	2.8 3.2 3.6	<u>2.5</u> 2.2
		40	2.0 1.8
1.25	₩ \ .	4	1.6

all a star and a

15

A. B. 45. L. -.

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A

The state of the

ā

¥,

202

52

ì

J

3

3

3

Ż

2

3

A CARACTER

1455 M

20000

Sec. 1

Page 87

TABLE 3.4

CHANGE IN POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR THE NAVY BY TRACKING AREA

	Fall '75	Fall <u>'76</u>	Change	Statistically Significant
	%	%		
New York City	18.3	7.5	-10.8	Yes
Albany/Buffalo	15.5	14.9	6	No
Harrisburg	12.5	11.4	-1.1	No
Washington D. C.	18.3	11. 1	-7.2	Yes
Florida	18.0	10.5	-7.5	Yes
Alabama /Mississippi	16.6	15,9	7	No
Ohio	10.2	12.2	+2.0	No
Michigan/Indiana	19.0	9.8	-9.2	Yes
Chicago	10.0	8.9	-1.1	No
Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota	16.7	9.8	-6.9	Yes
Texas	15.5	12.8	-2.7	No
Southern California	16.3	14. 9	-1.4	No
Northern California	13.4	10.3	-3.1	No

Page 88

The following observations can be made:

- From Fall 1975 to Fall 1976, the proportion of young men who indicate that they are likely to join the <u>Air Force</u> declined significantly in two of the 13 tracking areas --Harrisburg and Michigan/Indiana.
- The <u>Army</u> lost ground in four of the tracking areas. These are Florida, Alabama/Mississippi, Minnesota/Nebraska/ North Dakota/South Dakota and Texas.
- Positive propensity for the <u>Marine Corps</u> declined in one tracking area from Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 -- Minnesota/ Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota.
- 4. The level of positive propensity for the <u>Navy</u> fell off in five tracking areas. These are New York City, Washington D.C., Florida, Michigan/Indiana, and Minnesota/ Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota.

Page 89

3.2

J

2

Ĵ,

Recent Recruiter Contact

The relative number of respondents who report having been exposed to recruiter contact within the past five to six months has shifted very little within tracking areas from Fall 1975 to Fall 1976.

The following observations can be made from Table 3.5

- Based on respondents' reports, recent recruiter contact appears to have increased only in Southern California.
- 2. On the other hand, relatively fewer respondents report having been exposed recently to recruiter contact in Chicago.

Page 90

Cher No

TABLE 3.5

CHANGE IN RECENT RECRUITER CONTACT BY TRACKING AREA

	Fall '75 <u>%</u>	Fall <u>'76</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Change</u>	Statistically Significant
New York City	13.6	16.8	+3.2	No
Albany/Buffalo	16.1	20.7	+4.6	No
Harrisburg	29.5	29.7	+.2	No
Washington D. C.	21.8	20.5	-1.3	No
Florida	22.6	18.6	-4.0	No
Alabama / Mississippi	24.7	21.6	-3.1	No
Ohio	27. 3	22. 2	-5, 1	No
Michigan/Indiana	24.4	28.1	+3.7	No
Chicago	34. 3	26.1	-8, 2	Yes
Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota	31, 1	32. 3	+1.2	No
Texas	25, 1	30.0	+4.9	No
Southern California	17.8	26.4	+8.6	Yes
Northern California	16.9	21.8	+4.9	No

(

Page 91

SECTION IV

المدري

JOB ATTRIBUTES, LIFE GOALS, COPY POINTS, PAY, AND INFLUENCERS

E LT

2

5

States and

ションでの

0

3

Page 92

This section examines in-depth the dynamics that surround a young man's decision whether or not to pursue a military career. Included here are factors important to an individual such as perceptions of job attributes, life goals, advertising, influencers, and military pay. The data are viewed in terms of positive and negative propensity for (1) the individual services and (2) for all four active duty services combined (i. e., those respondents with either positive or negative propensity for any of the services).

4.1 The Importance of Job Attributes

Respondents were read a list of 11 job attributes and asked to indicate the relative importance of each in terms of considering whether to join the military. A 5-point importance scale was used. This scale is defined as follows:

1 = extremely important
 2 = very important
 3 = fairly important
 4 = don't know/no answer
 5 = not important at all

The results are shown in Table 4.1. On an average basis, all of the job attributes are perceived as being important. Relative to one another, the following attributes can be considered to be the <u>most important</u>:

- Teaches you a valuable trade or skill
- Provides good benefits for you and your family
- Gives you the job you want

- Gives you an opportunity to better your life

Page 93

At the other extreme, the least important attributes are:

- Allows you to see many different countries of the world

- Has other men you would like to work with

In many cases, the differences between attributes within the two propensity groups are negligible. Nevertheless, the rank-ordering of the data suggest that young men attach greater importance to careeroriented economic benefits (learning a trade, family benefits) than to the more subjective psychological benefits (travel, comradery, leadership). This was the case in previous waves of this investigation.

Respondents in the positive propensity group show little difference from their counterparts in the negative propensity group with respect to the relative importance they attach to each of these job attributes. However, there are some exceptions. Respondents in the positive propensity group rank one attribute relatively higher ("Is a career you can be proud of") and two attributes relatively lower ("Pays well to start" and "Helps you get a college education while you serve"). Compared to respondents in the negative propensity group, positive propensity respondents appear to be less concerned about initial pay and help in acquiring a college education, the same as they were in the Spring wave.

3

ġ

5.53

3

Ş

S

Nates -

222002

1000000

and the second sec

1.11

Page 94

TABLE 4.1

RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF JOB ATTRIBUTES RELATED TO POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED*

	Positiv	e	Negativ	e : t
	Average	ity	Average	119
	Importance	Rank	Importance	Rank
Attribute:				
Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	1.85	1	2. 11	2
Provides good benefits for you and your family	1.88	2	2.08	1
Gives you the job you want	1.93	3	2.19	3
Gives you an opportunity to better your life	1.94	4	2.26	4
Is a career you can be proud of	` 2.01	5	2.46	8
Gives you a job which is challenging	2.10	6	2.36	6
Pays well to start	2. 15	7	2.32	5
Helps you get a college education while you serve	2.20	8	2. 36	6
Trains you for leadership	2, 25	9	2.60	9
Has other men you would like to work with	2. 51	10	2. 78	10
Allows you to see many different countries of the world	2,64	11	2.96	11

*A smaller value means greater perceived importance.

Source: Question 5a
Page 95

4.2 Rating of Military on Job Attributes

Having determined the relative importance young men attach to these job attributes, the next question is whether they feel that these attributes are attainable in the military (in general). The data are summarized in Table 4.2.

Most of the respondents feel that every attribute could be attained by joining the military. The data range from 95 percent of the respondents in the positive propensity group who feel that a military job is a career a person can be proud of to 58 percent of the negative propensity respondents who believe the military pays well to start.

Not surprisingly, in the case of each job attribute, a greater percent of the positive propensity group feel the attribute is attainable in the service.

The determination of an attribute's perceived importance and perceived attainability in the military enable us to make a statement about its potential for recruiting efforts. Specifically, an attribute must be both important and perceived as attainable in the military, for it to be an attractive incentive for enlistment. This analysis can be made by 3

S

Page 96

TABLE 4.2

ATTAINABILITY OF JOB ATTRIBUTES IN THE MILITARY RELATED TO POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED

	Positive Propensity		Negative Propensity	
		Rank		Rank
Attribute:				
Is a career you can be proud of	95 . 4	1	80.7	6
Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	94.9	2	88.7	2
Gives you a job which is challenging	93.2	3	81.2	5
Allows you to see many different countries of the world	9 2. 9	4	89.3	1
Trains you for leadership	90.4	5	82,8	3
Helps vou get a college education while you serve	90.0	6	81.6	4
Gives you an opportunity to ⁺ your life	89.6	7	78.0	7
Provides good benefits for you and your family	87. 1	8	77.4	8
Gives you the job you want	83.9	9	67.2	10
Has other men you would like to work with	83, 5	10	70.8	9
Pays well to start	76.1	11	5 8.4	11

Sec. Note

Source: Question 5b

comparing the positive propensity group's rank orders in Tables 4.1 and 4.2. The result of this analysis is illustrated below. Only two of the 11 attributes are ranked high on both importance and perceived attainability in the military -- "Teaches you a valuable trade or skill" and "Is a career you can be proud of."

	Relatively Easy To Attain	R elatively Hard To Attain
R elatively Important	Teaches valuable trade Career you can be proud of	Good benefits for you and your family Job you want Opportunity to better your life
Relatively Unimportant	Challenging job Opportunity to travel Trains for leadership	Men you would like to work with Pays well to start Helps you get a aoll ege education

Of these two attributes that are relatively important and perceived as attainable in the military, "Teaches you a valuable trade or skill" is rated the highest on both dimensions. This suggests that this one attribute perhaps has the greatest potential for recruiting. On the other hand, three important attributes -- "Good benefits for you and your family," "Job you want," and "Opportunity to better your life" -- represent opportunities for recruiting efforts. These findings are similar to what was observed in the Spring wave.

Page 98

4.3

Rating of Specific Services

In an attempt to profile the relative strengths and weaknesses of the active services in terms of these job attributes, respondents were asked to indicate for which service the attribute was most characteristic. The results are presented in Table 4.3 from which the following observations can be made:

- On seven of the 11 attributes, the percentage of respondents who aither feel that the attribute is not true of the military in general or do not associate the attribute with any one specific service exceeds all of the percentages for the specific services. For example, nearly one-half of the respondents do not associate "Pays well to start" with any of the services. This far exceeds the percentage for any one of the services. Nevertheless, this is something of an improvement from past waves of this study.
- 2. To the extent that respondents do associate attributes with specific services, the following observations can be made:

Page 99

TABLE 4.3

PERCENT INDICATING FOR WHICH SERVICES EACH ATTRIBUTE IS MOST TRUE

	Air Force	Army	Marine Corps	Navy	Coast Guard	None/ Don't Know
	_%	<u>%</u>	_%	_%	<u></u>	_%
Attribute:						
Gives you an opportunity to better your life	25.8	14. 1	13. 1	15, 5	4. 2	33.1
Trains you for leadership	15.1	18.0	32.2	9.5	2.8	28.2
Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	31.7	22.4	8.9	17.6	3.7	23.0
Helps you get a college education while you serve	24. 9	23.0	7.9	16. 1	3.0	31.0
Allows you to see many different countries of the world	16.8	15.3	7. 1	45, 3	2.5	18.3
Provides good benefits for you and your family	21.8	20.7	9.0	14.8	3.5	38.3
Is a career you can be proud of	27.9	13. 2	19.1	15.0	4.6	28. 5
Has other men you would like to work with	20. 2	15.7	11.1	14.7	4.4	39.7
Gives you a job you want	23. 3	17.3	7.2	14.8	3.4	37.7
Gives you a job which is challenging	27.7	14.0	17.5	15, 1	4. 2	26.9
Pays well to start	20.2	12.0	7.8	11.8	2.6	49.4

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 5c

2.42

3

.

- o The <u>Air Force</u> leads all other services on nine of the 11 attributes. Among these nine attributes, the Air Force demon tes particular strength with respect to three -- "Gives you an opportunity to better your life,"
 "Gives you a job which is challenging,"
 "Teaches you a valuable trade or skill, "
 The latter attribute was earlier identified as the most important.
- The <u>Army</u> is not associated with any of the attributes to any great degree. Its highest associations are for "Helps you get a college education while you serve," "Teaches you a valuable trade or skill," and "Provides good benefits for you and your family."
- o The <u>Marine Corps</u> is associated with one attribute in particular -- "Trains you for leadership." In addition, the Marine Corps is frequently associated with "Is a career you can be proud of," and "Gives you a job which is challenging." For the most part, however, few people associate the Marines with any of the other attributes.
- The <u>Navy</u> is rated the highest of any service on
 "Allows you to see many different countries of the world." The Navy's weakest association is for "Trains you for leadership."

In general, the above results parallel the findings of previous waves of this study.

Page 101

4.4

Achievability of Life Goals

The decision to enlist in the military is, in part, a function of whether or not a young man feels that his goals in life can be more readily achieved in the military versus civilian life. Accordingly, respondents were read a list of 12 life goals and asked to indicate for each whether it could be achieved more readily in a military or civilian job. A 5-point scale was used as follows:

Code Equivalent

Much more likely in military service	+1
Somewhat more likely in military service	+2
Either military or civilian	+3
Somewhat more likely in civilian job	+4
Much more likely in civilian job	+5

The results are presented in terms of mean scores for positive and **negative** propensity groups in Table 4.4. The following conclusions can be made:

o Adventure and excitement

o Job security

- 2. A civilian job is most likely to permit a person to achieve...
 - o Personal Freedom
 - o Making a lot of money
 - o Being able to make your own decisions on the job

AND THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

Ŋ,

TABLE 4.4

ACHIEVABILITY OF LIFE GOALS IN THE MILITARY RELATED TO POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED

	Po sitive Propensity		Negative Propensity	
	Mean Score*	Rank	Mean Score*	Rank
Life Goal:				
Adventure and excitement	1, 85	1	2. 53	2
Job security	2. 11	2	2. 47	1
Doing challenging work	2, 22	3	3.00	5
Developing your potential	2. 31	4	3. 16	8
Learning as much as you can	2.38	5	3. 12	7
Recognition and status	2. 38	6	2. 91	3
Working for a better society	2, 52	7	3. 11	6
Helping other people	2, 55	8	2.99	4
Having the respect of friends	2.59	9	3. 18	9
Making a lot of money	3.47	10	4. 10	11
Being able to make your own decisions on the job	3. 52	11	4.03	10
Personal freedom	3. 76	12	4, 26	12

Source: Question 10

* The lower the score the better the rating for the military. The scale is explained at the beginning of Section 4.4.

Page 103

والمتحمية المريكين

- 3. The positive propensity group rates the military more favorably than does the negative propensity group on all of the life goals.
- 4. The two propensity groups differ the most on "Developing your potential." The positive propensity group rates the military much more favorably than does the negative propensity group. At the other extreme, both groups agree to about the same extent that there is more job security in the military.

a sa sa sa sa

Page 104

4.5

1

) ()

ľ,

א נו

2

S

Advertising Copy Identification

Extensive advertising campaigns have been an integral part of each service's recruiting efforts. In an attempt to gauge the effectiveness of this advertising, respondents were read a series of advertising copy points and asked to identify which service was making the claim. In addition to the four active services, advertising copy points for the National Guard and Coast Guard were included.

The results are summarized in Table 4.5. The following observations can be made from this table:

 There is a great degree of incorrect association between copy points and the services. The majority of copy points received low correct recognition. Only three copy points are associated with the correct service by as many as 40% of the respondents. These are:

0	Army	"Join the People"
0	Marine Corps	"The Few, The Proud"
0	National Guard	"Most Important Part-Time Job"

2. "Don't Know" is the most frequently given response for four of the copy points. These include: "Good Work," "The...Belongs," "Looking for Adventure, the Field Narrows," and "Help Others, Help Yourself."

Page 105

S

TABLE 4.5

ale in faile in the second and a second and

ASSOCIATION OF ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SERVICES

	Percent A	Associa	ting Ea	ach Copy	Point Wi	th Thes	e Services:
	Air Force	Army	Navy	Marine Corps	National Guard	Coast Guard	None/ Don't Know
Same Pay as Other Services (Marine Corps)	9.5	13, 3	8.8	<u>31.6</u>	6, 3	3.9	26.6
Good Work (Coast Guard)	11.0	26.8	12. 4	8.6	2, 5	3.8	34. 9
Not Just A Job, An Adventure (Navy)	16.9	14.6	<u>30.2</u>	11.9	2,4	4.8	19. 2
Most Important Part-Time Job (National Guard)	6.2	13.7	4.0	4.2	44.2	8.2	19.5
Look Up - Be Looked Up To (Air Force)	<u>34. 9</u>	9.2	5.9	29.8	1, 2	1, 3	17. 7
Join the People (Army)	6.4	<u>50.7</u>	17.2	8.1	2.8	2,4	12.4
The Belongs (National Guard)	9.4	18.9	16.2	11.6	12.0	5.3	26.7
Great Way of Life (Air Force) <u>16.2</u>	17.5	26.2	8.4	2.0	3.5	26. 1
Looking For Adventure, The Field Narrows (Navy)	14. 5	13. 6	<u>23, ó</u>	15.8	2.7	4.2	2 5. 6
The Few, The Proud (Marine Corps)	8.7	10.4	7.2	48.5	2.2	3.0	20. 1
Help Others, Help Yourself (Coast Guard)	7.6	27.6	15.0	9.6	8.3	9.9	22.0
Project Ahead (Army)	16.6	<u>35. 9</u>	14, 1	5.9	2.4	1.8	23.4

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 6

1

ŝ

3

Page 106

3. Respondents attribute four copy points to other services more frequently than to the sponsoring service. These are: "Good Work" (Army rather than Coast Guard), "The...Belongs" (Army rather than National Guard), "Great Way of Life" (Navy rather than Air Force), and "Help Others, Help Yourself" (Army rather than Coast Guard).

4. Seven of these copy points were included in the Spring 1976 wave of this study. Correct association with the sponsoring service increased for five of the copy points. These are: "Same Pay As Other Services" (Marine Corps), "Look Up - Be Looked Up To" (Air Force), "Join the People" (Army), "Great Way of Life" (Air Force), and "Project Ahead" (Army).

Correct association decreased for the two National Guard copy points: "Most Important Part-Time Job" and "TheBelongs."

- 5. With respect to the individual services and their corresponding copy points, the following conclusions can be made: Relative to one another....
 - o The <u>Air Force's</u> "Great Way of Life" has not achieved high awareness.
 - o Both Army copy points have high awareness.
 - o The <u>Navy</u> has achieved moderate awareness with both of its copy points.
 - o Both Marine Corps' copy points have relatively high awareness.

Page 107

• <u>The National Guard's</u> "The...Belongs" appears to be relatively ineffective in generating awareness of this component.

o Neither of the <u>Coast Guard's</u> copy points has captured any noticeable "share-of-mind."

Table 4.6 examines the relationship between advertising awareness and propensity to join the sponsoring service. The hypothesis is that young men with a positive propensity for a particular service are more likely than those with a negative propensity to be aware of that service's advertising. However, no causality is implied in this relationship. For eight copy points, the difference between positive and negative propensity groups on this measure is statistically significant. The expected relationship occurs for seven of these eight copy points: "Same Pay as Other Service" (Marine Corps), "Not Just a Job, An Adventure" (Navy), "Look Up - Be Looked Up To" (Air Force), "Join the People" (Army), "Great Way of Life" (Air Force), "Looking for Adventure, the Field Narrows" (Navy), and "Project Ahead" (Army). For the eighth copy point -- "Most Important Part-Time Job" (National Guard) -- the relationship is not in the expected direction, E

2

į.

C.S.S.S.S.

1.2.2

S.

A BARAN

TABLE 4.6

ASSOCIATION OF ADVERTISING COPY POINTS WITH SPONSORING SERVICES

(Related to Respective Positive and Negative Propensity Groups)

Propensit	ty for the		
Sponsorin	ng Service		Statistically
Positive	Negative	Difference	Significant
	%		
		• -	
37.4	31.2	+ 6.2	Yes
6.0	3.7	+ 2.3	No
39.7	28.7	+11.0	Yes
40.0	44.5	- 4.5	Yes
39.6	34.0	+ 5.6	Yes
5 8. 5	50.4	+ 8,1	Yes
14. 3	11.6	+ 2.7	No
24.4	15.0	+ 9.4	Yes
30.0	22.7	+ 7.3	Yes
52, 1	48.4	+ 3.7	No
11,6	9.8	+ 1.8	No
40.0	35, 3	+ 4.7	Yes
	Sponsorin Sponsorin Positive	Sponsoring Service Positive Negative $\frac{\%}{}$ $\frac{\%}{}$ 37.4 31.2 6.0 3.7 39.7 28.7 40.0 44.5 39.6 34.0 58.5 50.4 14.3 11.6 24.4 15.0 30.0 22.7 52.1 48.4 11.6 9.8 40.0 35.3	Sponsoring Service Difference $\frac{70}{70}$ $\frac{76}{70}$ 37.4 31.2 + 6.2 6.0 3.7 + 2.3 39.7 28.7 + 11.0 40.0 44.5 - 4.5 39.6 34.0 + 5.6 58.5 50.4 + 8.1 14.3 11.6 + 2.7 24.4 15.0 + 9.4 30.0 22.7 + 7.3 52.1 48.4 + 3.7 11.6 9.8 + 1.8 40.0 35.3 + 4.7

Base: Respective Positive and Negative Propensity Groups

Source: Question 6

Page 109

1 Structure

4.6

Starting Pay

Insofar as today's military represents an <u>alternative</u> to other career opportunities, perceived starting pay may be an important factor in deciding whether or not to join the service. Accordingly, respondents were asked to estimate the starting pay for an enlistee. Table 4.7 presents the results in terms of averages, first for those with positive versus negative propensity for the military in general, and then for the respective positive and negative propensity groups for each of the major services.

Overall, the average of starting pay estimates for the total sample is the actual true value -- \$374. However, there is a great degree of variation in the estimates. As many as 10% of the sample estimate monthly starting pay to be more than \$475, while a corresponding 10% estimate starting pay to be under \$274 a month. At the same time, nearly one-half (43.9%) of the sample claims no idea of what the initial pay is.

For every service, those with negative propensity give higher estimates of starting pay on average than do those who intend to enlist. As such, the negative propensity group comes closer to the true value than does the positive propensity group. This finding is consistent with findings reported in the previous Spring 1976 wave of the study. At that time, the following rationale was offered. Young men with positive propensity for the military

27755 - 2255555 - 2255555 - (2555

23

5

2

<u>a</u>

ŝ

-

and the second of the second s

TABLE 4.7

1.1.1

ESTIMATE OF STARTING PAY BY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED

		Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference (Pos. Minus Neg.)
Any Service		\$359	\$379	-\$20
Air Force		362	376	-\$14
Army		357	376	-\$19
Marine Corps		340	376	-\$36
Navy		358	376	-\$18
	Total Sample	\$37	4	

Source: Qu. 9

TABLE 4.8 EST IMATE OF STARTING PAY BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC BACKGROUND*

Education of Father

Less Than High School	\$349
High School	\$360
More Than High School	\$389

Race

جفته النظالية فالتنافية ومنهجتين ومنابع والمتعادي والمتعادي والمتعادي والمتعادي والمتعاد	
Black	\$3 63
White	\$369
Propensity	
Positive	\$354
Negative	\$377

* Average estimates shown.

Page 111

tend to come from relatively modest socio-economic backgrounds and therefore may have lower salary expectations. It may also be argued that young men from lower socio-economic backgrounds may attach higher perceived value to lower amounts of money.

A demographic analysis of these data indicate that the father's education (an indicator of socio-economic background) is the most discriminating variable with respect to estimated starting pay. As Table 4.8 shows, the average estimate of starting pay of respondents whose fathers have less than a high school education is \$40 less than those whose fathers have at least some college education. This is irrespective of respondents' race or propensity to serve. Of the two remaining variables, respondents' race is the least discriminating.

As discussed earlier, while men with positive propensity for the military attach above average importance to pay, they do not expect to get good starting pay in the military. In the Spring 1976 report it was suggested that the lower pay estimate among positive propensity people might present a recruiting opportunity. Specifically, by correcting their perceptions of starting pay, the military might increase the likelihood of positive propensity men to enlist. With this in mind, respondents in the present wave of the study were asked what effect a \$50 a month pay raise would have on their likelihood of enlisting. The results are shown in Table 4.9. S

Ż

 $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$

5

Page 112

TABLE 4.9

EFFECT OF \$50 PAY RAISE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING RELATED TO POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED

	Positive <u>Propensity</u>	Negative Propensity
		70
More likely	54.9	19.0
Not more likely	35.6	71.7
Don't Know/No Answer	9.5	9.4

Source: Qu. 9b

Page 113

A mong men with positive propensity for joining the service, more than one-half (54.9%) say they would be more likely to enlist. The idea of a \$50 pay increase has some effect on those men who presently do not intend to enlist. Nineteen (19) percent of these men say that they would be more likely to enlist if the starting pay were increased by \$50. Pay and pay increases may offer some opportunities for recruiting.

Page 114

4.7

3

Ŷ

Influencers

People rarely make important decisions without first turning to those whose counsel they value. Presumably a young man consults parents, friends, and spouse before deciding to join the military. Respondents in the Fall 1976 survey were asked several questions with respect to the feelings of certain influencers toward their joining the military.

Table 4.10 examines what respondents perceive to be the overall attitudes of their parents, girl friends/wives, and friends with military experience toward their enlisting. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- The parents, especially fathers, of positive propensity men are perceived to be in favor of their sons joining the service.
- 2. Friends who have been in the military are considered to be the strongest supporters of the positive propensity group. Not surprisingly, girl friends/wives are viewed as being against their boy friends/husbands joining the service.
- 3. Among the negative propensity group, parents and girl friends/wives alike are perceived to be against military service. Friends who have been in the service are viewed as being less inclined to support a military career.

1

Į.

210

Page 116

If respondents' perceptions are accurate this suggests that these influencers are a critical element in the entire military recruiting/ decision-making process. On the other hand, respondents' perceptions about influencer attitudes may reflect the respondents' own biases. A person who has made up his mind about something has a tendency to feel that other people share his position.

Respondents also were asked why they thought the influencers would be in favor or opposed to their joining the service. Their answers were categorized and are summarized in Table 4.11 The following observations can be made:

- Job training is the strongest reason given for enlisting. Friends also are likely to talk about the benefits and the exciting nature of the work.
- 2. Fathers tend to oppose military service for their sons for reasons having to do with loss of status and the desire for their sons to get an education. Mothers and girl friends/wives are perceived to be against military service for reasons dealing with separation and danger. Friends cite the loss of status.

TABLE 4.11

Page 117

COMMENTS OF INFLUENCERS ABOUT JOINING THE MILITARY RELATED TO POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED

	Positive <u>Propensity</u> %	Negative <u>Propensity</u> %
Father		
Favorable Comments		
Job Training	30.5	15.8
Maturity	18.9	12.5
Exciting Job	18.4	8.6
Benefits	14.9	9.6
Patriotism	12.7	8.6
Unfavorable Comments		
Separation	3.0	5,3
Father's Negative Experience	3.0	6.4
Danger	2.4	5.8
Civilian Education	2.4	9.3
Loss of Status	2.3	8.7
Mother		
Favorable Comments		
Job Training	24.0	8.9
Maturity	13.4	7.2
B en efits	11.6	4.6
Exciting Job	11.3	5.0
Patriotism	6.3	2.2
Unfavorable Comments		
Danger	13.9	21.7
Separation	13.8	21.1
Loss of Status	3.7	9.2
Civilian Education	3.7	10.2
Father's Negative Experience	1.9	2.8
Source: Qu. 11b.		

<u>AN ANY SALAHARANA ANY SALAHARANA ANY SALAHARANA ANY SALAHARANA ANY SALAHARANA ANY SALAHARANA AMIN' SALAHARANA A</u>

Carlo Car

-

.

....

.,

Ä

TABLE 4.11 (Continued)

Page 118

COMMENTS OF INFLUENCERS ABOUT JOINING THE MILITARY RELATED TO POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED

	Positive	Negative
	Propensity	Propensity
Girl Friend/Wife	70	<u> %</u>
Favorable Comments		
Job Training	6.8	1,6
Exciting Job	4.5	1,3
Benefits	3.8	0.9
Maturity	2.7	1.0
Patriotism	1.1	0.5
Unfavorable Comments		
Separation	63.1	69.3
Danger	10.6	15.5
Loss of Status	2.0	5.7
Civilian Education	1.5	3. 5
Father's Negative Experience	0.5	0.9
Friends With Service Experience		
Exciting Job	22. 7	14.5
Job Training	20. 5	13.2
Benefits	18.9	11.9
Maturity	7.4	5.1
Patriotism	4.5	3.9
Unfavorable Comments		
Loss of Status	3. 9	8.1
Danger	2.5	3.3
Father's Negative Experience	2.3	4.1
Separation	1.6	3.4
Civilian Education	0.2	2.1
Source On 11b		

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY

SECTION V

MARKET FACTS

Sec. 2010 Store State State

Page 119

Page 120

SECTION V

Analysis of Propensity

As mentioned in Section I of this report, propensity to serve in the military is the key measure in this study. As an attitudinal measure, propensity summarizes the degree to which young men are predisposed to joining the military. Propensity was operationally defined as follows. Respondents were asked how likely they would be to serve in the military in the next few years. The question was repeated for each of the main active-duty services plus the National Guard, Reserves, and Coast Guard. A 4-point scale of likelihood was used. Respondents were classified into either positive propensity or negative propensity based on answering the question as follows:



Probably not Definitely not - Negative Propensity Group No answer _____

Through the use of this propensity measure, we are in effect segmenting the pool of "military available" young men into those men

Page 121

who are likely to be more receptive to the military's recruiting efforts and those who will not. It is important to have an understanding of what causes one man to consider the military as a career and another man to exclude the service from his career options. Such an understanding should help the services to maximize the effectiveness of their recruiting.

The present section examines the relationship between propensity and a number of demographic, attitudinal, and behavioral factors. The intent of this analysis is to identify those factors that discriminate between positive and negative propensity groups and is undertaken for propensity for military service in general as well as for the individual services.

The following variables are included in this analysis:

Demographic Variables

- Age (Qu. 3a)
- Employment Status (Qu. 3f, 3g, 3h)
- Race (Qu. 19)
- Educational Status (Qu. 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e)
- Education of Father (Qu. 14)
- Quality Index (See Section II)

Importance of Job Attributes (Qu. 5a)

STORT - PURCHAR , SECRETARY , SECRETARY - PURCHARKA , MENDER - M

2

2

Í

55

Ĩ

2

S,

.

Z

H

Aporti 1945-27444 (1949-2944) 1 - 2444444 (1944-1944)

Page 122

Achievability of Life Goals in the Military (Qu. 10)

Information Sources/Actions Taken

- Persons Spoken To/Actions Taken (Qu. 7c)
- Recruiter Contact (Qu. 8)

Influencers (Qu. 11a, 12a)

Page 123

5.1

Demographic Variables

Table 5.1 presents demographic profiles of the positive and negative propensity groups. An F ratio was computed on the differences between groups on each variable. The F statistic indicates the degree of statistical significance of the group differences. The groups are significantly different on all of the variables included in the table.

The positive and negative propensity groups can be demographically described as follows:

- On the average, the positive propensity group is younger.
- A greater percentage of the positive propensity group is currently unemployed and looking for work.
- 3. The percentage of Blacks in the positive propensity group is approximately twice as great as that of the negative group. There also is a greater percent of other non-whites among the positive propensity group.
- 4. There is a greater percentage of students among the positive group, particularly 10th and 11th grade level students. On the other hand, the

E.

trades spectra reserves

.

7

022

Ż

Ę

Page 124

TABLE 5.1

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY

GROUP PROFILES ON DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

Variable	Positive Propensity	Negative <u>Propensity</u>	F
Average Age	17.69	18. 57	284. 1
Not employed /looking for work	32.4%	17.4%	135.2
Blacks	13.3%	6.6%	66 . 4
Other Non-White	6.8%	4.2%	20.8
Students	68.1%	52.5%	91.3
10th grade	9.6%	3.4%	73, 4
llth grade	25.3%	13.0%	96.2
1-2 years of college	8.0%	17.1%	87.7
High School graduate, not in school	21.8%	39.0%	124.6
Education of Father*	2, 70	3. 11	49.4
Quality Index*	5. 91	6. 52	116.2

F.05 = 3.85

and the second second

* Mean scale values shown

3

Ļ

<u>,</u>

MARKET FACTS

Page 125

positive propensity group has fewer students in their first two years of college and fewer high school graduates currently not in school.

- 5. The fathers of positive propensity students are not as well educated as their negative propensity counterparts.
- The positive propensity group has lower academic achievement, as measured by the quality index.

The Quality Index is described in Section II. Education of father was measured with an 8-point scale as follows:

- l Did not complete high school
- 2 Finished high school or equivalent
- 3 Adult education program
- 4 Business or trade school
- 5 Some college
- 6 Finished college (four years)
- 7 Attended graduate or professional school
- 8 Obtained a graduate or professional degree

A similar analysis of demographic variables was conducted for each of the services. Table 5.2 shows the demographic profiles of the positive propensity group for each service. Profiles for the negative propensity groups have been omitted since they resemble the profile of

2

Ĩ

Ĩ

C.

5

THES

Page 126

TABLE 5.2

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILES OF POSITIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS -INDIVIDUAL SERVICES

	Air				National	
	Force	Army	Marines	Navy	Guard	Reserves
Age	17.66	17.78	17.54	17.63	1 7. 96	17.85
Blacks	13. 7 %	19.0%	15.5%	10.7%	14.5%	13.8%
Other Non-White+		7.9%	7.5%	7.3%	6.9%	
Not Employed: Looking for work	33.2%	32.7%	34.8%	32.0%	27.4%	30.8%
Students	70.6%	65.6%	70.2%	70.0%	62.8%	66.0%
10th grade	8.5%	9.2%	11.7%	9.6%	8.3%	9.0%
llth grade	27.0%	26.1%	27.6%	27.1%	22.2%	21.9%
1-2 Years of College	8.2%	5.6%	7.1%	7.0%	8.3%	9.6%
High School Graduate Not In School	22.2%	20.1%	19.8%	23.3%	27.5%	24.8%
Education of Father*	2.88	2.42	2.69	2.79	2.53	2.71
Quality Index*	6.11	5.67	5.79	5.9 7	5.91	6.05

*Mean scale values shown

+Values not shown are not significantly different from corresponding negative propensity groups

Page 127

the overall negative group shown in Table 5.1. Tests of statistical significance have been conducted for each cell in Table 5.2, and only those entries are shown which are significantly different from the corresponding negative propensity group.

There are 66 differences (6 services and 11 variables) which could have been shown in Table 5.2. Of this number 64 are significantly different from their corresponding negative propensity groups. The differences between positive and negative groups within each service parallel those for the overall positive and negative propensity groups shown in Table 5.1. In other words, the services are quite similar in terms of the demographic profiles of their high propensity youth. There are, however, several noticeable exceptions: fewer Blacks among those with high propensity for the Navy and more Blacks among those with high propensity for the Army. Among the Air Force group, there is a higher level of educational attainment as indicated by the Quality Index and Education of Father. The Army has a relatively lower profile for educational attainment.

Page 128

5.2

े •े

H

Importance of Job Attributes

Table 5.3 looks at how the two propensity groups differ with respect to the relative importances they attach to various job attributes. The data appear as averages which are based on a 5-point scale where smaller values indicate greater perceived importance.

The positive propensity group considers all of the attributes to be more important when considering joining the military than does the negative group. The two groups differ the greatest with respect to "Is a career you can be proud of."

Page 129

TABLE 5.3

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY MEAN RATINGS OF IMPORTANCE OF JOB ATTRIBUTES*

Job Attributes	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference+
Is a career you can be proud of	2.01	2.46	. 45
Trains you for leadership	2, 25	2.60	. 35
Gives you an opportunity to better your life	1.94	2.26	. 32
Allows you to see many different countries of the world	2.64	2.96	. 32
Has other men you would like to work with	2, 51	2. 78	. 27
Gives you a job which is challenging	2.10	2. 36	. 26
Gives you the job you want	1.93	2.19	. 26
Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	1.85	2. 11	. 26
Provides good benefits for you and your family	1.88	2.08	. 20
Pays well to start	2.15	2, 32	. 17
Helps you get a college education while you serve	2, 20	2, 36	. 16

1

*The scale used for this analysis is:

- 1 = Extremely Important
- 2 = Very Important
- 3 = Fairly Important
- 4 = No Answer
- 5 = Not Important At All

Therefore, smaller values indicate more perceived importance.

+In the difference column a large value indicates that the positive propensity group considers the attribute as more important than the negative propensity group. All differences are significantly greater than zero.

Page 130

The data are summarized in a similar manner for each of the services in Table 5. 4. The numbers that appear <u>represent the differ-</u> <u>ences in mean ratings between the positive and negative propensity</u> <u>groups for each service.</u> Differences of . 30 or greater are circled. Two attributes -- "Is a career you can be proud of" and "Trains you for leadership" -- have the largest differences for most services. Some noticeable differences among the services are seen. Both the Army and Navy are below average with respect to "Trains you for leadership." "Has other men you would like to work with" and "Provides good benefits for you and your family" are higher than average for the National Guard and Reserves. The Army, Marine Corps, and Navy all fall below average on "Gives you a job which is challenging." The Army is below average on two other attributes -- "Gives you a job you want" and "Teaches you a valuable trade or skill."

The positive propensity groups consider every job attribute to be more important than do the corresponding negative propensity groups.

Page 131

TABLE 5.4

IMPORTANCE OF JOB ATTRIBUTES DIFFERENCES IN IMPORTANCE VALUES BETWEEN POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS*

Job Attributes	Air Force	Army	Marine Corps	Navy	National <u>Guard</u>	Reserves
Is a career you can be proud of	37)	(41)	(46)	(38)	39	39
Trains you for leadership	(34)	. 29	(37)	. 26	(37)	(35)
Gives you an opportunity to better your life	31	(31)	. 29	. 25	30	. 27
Allows you to see many different countries of the world	. 26	. 26	. 29	(31)	. 27	. 27
Has other men you would like to work with	. 22	. 28	. 28	. 21	.3)	35
Gives you a job which is challenging	. 28	. 20	. 20	. 20	. 28	. 28
Gives you a job you want	. 27	. 18	. 22	. 22	. 23	. 25
Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	. 24	. 18	. 24	. 23	. 26	. 23
Provides good benefits for you and your family	. 19	. 19	. 16	. 16	. 25	. 26
Pays well to start	. 14	. 14	. 13	. 15	. 18	. 15
Helps you get a college edu cation while you serve	. 23	. 10	. 18	. 18	. 19	. 17

* The entries in the table are differences in mean ratings between the positive and negative propensity groups for each service. Refer to Volume II, pages 30 to 40 for the complete data.

All differences between positive and negative propensity are statistically significant. The positive propensity group felt each attribute to be relatively more important than the negative group in every case.
Page 132

5.3

Ň

Achievability of Life Goals

The life goals data are presented in Table 5.5 in terms of average ratings. Recall that the lower the average rating, the more likely the goal is seen as being achievable in the military. In all cases, the positive propensity group gives more favorable ratings to the military than does the negative group. The two groups differ the most on "Developing your potential," "Doing challenging work," and "Learning as much as you can." All differences between groups are statistically significant,

While the positive propensity group regards the military more favorably on every life goal, they do not see every goal as being achievable in the military. Scale values in excess of 3.00 indicate that the goal is perceived to be more achievable in civilian life. Positive propensity respondents feel that "Making a lot of money," "Personal freedom," and "Being able to make your own decisions on the job" are more achievable in civilian jobs. The negative propensity group considers all but four life goals more achievable in civilian life. The exceptions are "Recognition and status," "Adventure and excitement," "Helping other people," and "Job security."

Page 133

TABLE 5.5

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY ACHIEVABILITY OF LIFE GOALS AVERAGE RATINGS*

Life Goal	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference Favoring Military
Developing your potential	2.31	3.16	. 85
Doing challenging work	2. 22	3.00	. 78
Learning as much as you can	2. 38	3.12	. 74
Adventure and excitement	1.85	2. 53	. 68
Making a lot of money	3.47	4.10	. 63
Working for a better society	2. 5 2	3.11	. 59
Having the respect of friends	2. 59	3. 18	. 59
Recognition and status	2. 38	2, 91	. 53
Being able to make your own decisions on the job	3. 5 2	4.03	. 51
Personal freedom	3.76	4.26	. 50
Helping other people	2. 55	2.99	. 44
Job security	2.11	2.47	. 36

*The scale used was:

- 1 = Much more likely in military
- 2 = Somewhat more likely in military
- 3 = Either civilian or military
- 4 = Somewhat more likely in civilian
- 5 = Much more likely in civilian

Therefore a smaller value indicates relatively greater military likelihood. The groups differ significantly on all goals.

Ľ 1

. . ,

C

.

. *********

S

7

Ę

Constant and a second second second

Pag e 134

Table 5.6 summarizes the same analyses for the individual services. <u>The data are presented in terms of differences between corres-</u> ponding positive and negative propensity groups. Positive values indicate that the positive propensity group feels that the life goal can be achieved more readily in the military. All differences of .60 have been circled as an indication of the differences with the greatest magnitudes. "Developing your potential" has the largest differences between propensity groups for all of the services except the National Guard. "Learning as much as you can" and "Doing challenging work" also have large differences across all of the services except the National Guard and Reserves. As in earlier waves, the Army has an above average difference with respect to "Making a lot of money." In the past, this finding was hypothesized to be a reflection of the lower socioeconomic youth that the Army tends to attract. This rationale continues to be valid.

Page 135

therease "services by success the services and the services the services the services of the s

TABLE 5.6

ACHIEVABILITY OF LIFE GOALS DIFFERENCES BETWEEN POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS*

	Air		Marine		National	•
	Force	Army	Corps	Navy	Guard	Reserves
Developing your potential	.74	.66	.7)	.76	. 54	.67
Learning as much as you can	.63	.63	.60	.63	. 48	. 55
Doing challenging work	. 62	.68	.73	68	. 44	. 47
Recognition and status	. 42	. 35	, 36	. 41	. 40	. 42
Making a lot of money	. 53	. 68	. 51	. 50	. 45	. 46
Working for a better society	. 5 2	. 59	, 55	. 42	. 42	. 50
Having the respect of friends	. 47	. 50	, 5 3	. 47	. 46	. 50
Adventure and excitement	. 52	. 56	. 45	. 54	. 43	. 53
Personal freedom	. 44	. 53	. 49	. 33	. 48	. 42
Helping other people	. 33	. 38	. 38	. 34	. 35	. 33
Being able to make your own decisions on the job	. 48	. 54	. 43	. 43	. 34	. 46
Job security	. 25	. 16	.17	. 33	. 22	. 32

*Entries in this table are differences between corresponding positive and negative propensity groups in ratings of achievability of life goals in the military. In this table a <u>positive</u> value means the positive propensity group felt the goal was relatively more achievable in the military than the negative propensity group. All values are significantly greater than zero. Refer to Volume II, pages 112 to 123 for the complete data. :그 .제

A STATE OF STATE OF STATE

0.5.5

Page 136

5.4

Information Sources, Actions Taken, Recruiter Contact, Influencers

Table 5.7 compares the two propensity groups in terms of the people they have talked to regarding enlistment and the actions they have initiated toward enlistment.

Many negative propensity men have talked with other people about enlistment and have even taken actions with respect to enlistment, a significantly greater percentage of positive propensity men have behaved in this manner. The most striking differences are with respect to talking with parents, and friends who are in or have been in the military, and mailing away for information.

Although the positive propensity group reports more contact with recruiters than does the negative group, the differences are not as great as last Spring either for recent contact or ever having contact. Moreover, the two groups do not differ with regard to the information provided by recruiters. However, the negative propensity group, more so than the positive group, reports more recruiter-initiated contact. This reflects the fact that more of the positive propensity group take the initiative in seeking information about the service.

Page 137

TABLE 5.7

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY INFORMATION SOURCES, ACTION TAKEN, RECRUITER CONTACT

	Positive <u>Propensity</u> %	Negative <u>Propensity</u> %	F
Information Sources			
Talked with one or both parents	61.3	27.8	607.5
Talked with friends already in the service or who have been in the service	59.6	34.2	336.9
Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	21, 5	8.1	188.8
Talked with wife or girlfriend	30.7	14.5	175.9
Actions Taken			
Mailed pre-addressed coupon to get information	25. 1	9.5	211.7
Made toll-free call to get infor- mation	6.7	2.4	63,9
Physically or mentally tested at a military examining station	7.2	4.7	6.4
Taken aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	21.0	17.1	9,7
Recruiter Contact (ever)	52.2	49.7	3.6
Recruiter Contact (past 5-6 mos.)	30.0	23. 2	21.8
Recruiter Contact Initiated by Recruiter	57.2	69.7	10.9
Recruiter Information Considered Adequate	90.6	87.9	3.0

S.

たら

Ì

H.

Page 138

TABLE 5.7

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY INFORMATION SOURCES, ACTION TAKEN, RECRUITER CONTACT (Continued)

	Positive Propensity <u>%</u>	Negati v e <u>Propensity</u> <u>%</u>	<u>F</u>
Influential Sources In Favor of Enlistment			
Father	47.8	24.1	315.9
Mother	35.9	15.9	292.1
Wife or girl friend	12.9	3.9	150.6
Friends with service experience	53.6	33.1	169.8
Parental Discussion			
Father	18.7	18.2	76.2
Mother	18.1	13.7	103.8
Both Father and Mother	62.7	66.8	247.7

Page 139

Positive propensity men are more likely to report that parents,

girl friends/wives, and friends are in favor of their enlisting.

One additional comment is in order with respect to recruiter contact. As shown in Table 5.8, the propensity groups for the individual services differ with respect to rates of recalled recruiter contact.

TABLE 5.8

EVER HAD CONTACT WITH RECRUITER FROM SPECIFIC SERVICE RELATED TO PROPENSITY FOR THE SAME SERVICE*

F	propensity for In	dividual Serv	vices
-	Positive	Negative	Difference
		<u>%</u>	
Air Force	25.4	13.9	+11.5
Army	32.6	23.6	+ 9.0
Marine Corps	26.0	14.1	+11.9
Navy	24.9	16.7	+ 8.2

Base: All respondents

*Contact (in the last 5-6 months) was not asked for individual services.

Page 140

In all cases, a larger proportion of positive propensity men recall having been exposed to recruiter contact than have negative propensity men. The largest differences between corresponding propensity groups occur for the Marine Corps and Air Force, and the lowest for the Navy. These differences, however, are not as large as in the past.

Page 141

5.5 Enlistment Decision Process

As in the past, the study provides evidence that suggests that the decision to enlist is, at the very least, a multi-step process. First a young man decides whether to join the military. Having made this decision, he then must pick a specific service. Table 5.9 shows that individuals tend to consider more than one service. This is revealed by the fact that positive propensity respondents, on the average, indicate positive propensity for more than one service. This multi-step decision process hypothesis warrants further consideration.

5.6 Active Duty Services Versus National Guard/Reserves

The need for maintaining a strong reserve military component currently is a critical concern. Efforts are being made to increase enlistments and retention for both the National Guard and Reserves. It is important to know whether these efforts are creating competition for the active duty services. The key question is, are the active services and reserve components drawing from the same pool of young men? Reference to Tables 5.9-5.11 help answer this question

According to Table 5.9, those men with positive propensity for the National Guard and Reserves tend to show greater propensity for each other than they show toward the active duty services. This suggests that the reserve components are drawing from a somewhat different pool of men.

1

X

.

ij,

Page 142

TABLE 5.9

THE EXTENT TO WHICH PROSPECTS SHOW POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR MORE THAN ONE SERVICE

		Respond	ents With	Positiv	e Propens	sity
		I	oward Th	iese Ser	vices	
	Air		Marine		National	
	Force	Army	Corps	Navy	Guard	Reserves
Also Show Positive						
These Services:	_%_		%			
Air Force	100.0	39.7	44.3	44.1	37.4	36.2
Army	29.5	100.0	45.5	34.0	34.5	32.6
Marine Corps	26.0	35.9	100.0	29.8	25.3	25.1
Navy	39.6	41.1	45.7	100.1	35.1	40.1
National Guard	35.7	44.3	41.0	37.3	100.0	50.1
Reserves	36.5	44.2	43.1	45.0	52.9	100.0
Avg. No. of Services	2.67	3.05	3.20	2.90	2.85	2.84
(Base)	(715)	(532)	(420)	(643)	(689)	(728)

Page 143

Looking at Table 5.10 the demographic profile of those with positive propensity for the National Guard and Reserves is different from the profile of men who intend to join one of the active duty services. The following differences emerge:

Men who expect to join the National Guard or Reserves, in contrast to those with positive propensity for the active duty services, tend to be

- Older
- More likely to be White
- More likely to be employed
- Less likely to be students, although more of them are in college
- More likely to be high school graduates

With respect to the achievability of life goals, the difference in ratings between the positive and negative propensity groups for the reserve components are smaller than those for the active duty services. The data are shown in Table 5.11. This suggests that, relative to their active duty counterparts, young men who intend to join the National Guard and Reserves do not feel as strongly that their life goals can be achieved more readily in the military. Insofar as many of these men also will have civilian jobs, this finding is sensible.

1277

1

ν.

<u>ٹ</u>

5

Jamagaas Coopooliki (anarviki) (Jamavini) (Jim

ļ

1

R

Page 144

TABLE 5.10

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILES OF POSITIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ACTIVE SERVICES VERSUS RESERVE COMPONENTS *

	Active Services	Reserve Components
Age	17.65	17.90
Blacks	14.7%	14.2%
Other Non-White	7.2%	6.6%
No t Employed: Looking for work	33.2%	29.1%
Students	69.1%	64.4%
10th grade	9.8%	8.6%
11th grade	27.0%	22.0%
1-2 years of College	7.0%	9. 0 %
High School Graduate Not In School	21.4%	26.2%
Education of Father**	2.70	2.62
Quality Index**	5.88	5.98

* Percentages have been averaged to give equal weight to each service. For more complete data, refer to Table 5.2.

** Averages of mean scale values shown.

Page 145

TABLE 5.11

ACHEVABILITY OF LIFE GOALS DIFFERENCES BETWEEN POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS FOR THE ACTIVE SERVICES AND RESERVE COMPONENTS*

	<u>Active Services</u>	Reserve Components
Developing your potential	.72	. 60
Learning as much as you can	. 62	. 52
Doing challenging work	.68	.46
Recognition and status	. 38	. 41
Making a lot of money	. 56	.46
Working for a better society	. 52	. 46
Having the respect of friends	.49	. 48
Adventure and excitement	. 5 2	.48
Personal freedom	.45	. 45
Helping other people	. 36	. 34
Being able to make your own decisions on the job	. 47	.40
Job security	.23	.27

* Differences have been averaged to give equal weight to each service. For more complete data refer to Table 5.6.

1 ED

5

i i

5

and the second

Page 146

5.7 Summary Comments on Active Services

These analyses lead to the following conclusions:

As in the past, the most likely candidate for all of the active duty military services can be described, in contrast to his peers, as....

- Younger
- More likely to be non-white
- More likely to be unemployed and looking for work

- Less educated
- Having less educated fathers
- Having lower values on the Quality Index
- Considering all of the job attributes to be important when considering joining the service
- Feeling the military is relatively more likely to enable him to achieve most of his life goals
- Underestimating the level of starting pay
- More motivated to enlist should pay be increased by \$50 a month
- Having had more recent recruiter contact

- Feeling relatives and friends support his joining the service
- Having positive propensity for more than one service.

. 19

Page 147

APPENDICES

Dage 14×

APPENDIX

STATISTICAL RELIGEDINY

STATISTICAL RELIABILITY FOR DETERMINING ACCUPACY OF PERCENTS WITHIN A SINGLE SAMPLE&

At the 90% level of confidence

	Magni	tude of Ex	pected or C	bserved F	ercent
Sample	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%
Size	90%	80%	70%	60%	<u> 50"%</u>
100	4.9	6.6	7.5	8.1	8.2
200	3.5	4.7	5.3	5.7	5.8
400	2.5	3.3	3.8	4.0	4.1
600	2.0	2.7	3.1	3.3	3.4
1000	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.6
2000	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.8
2600	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.6
3000	. 9	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.5

Not to be used for comparing observations from different groups of respondents

** Observed percent \pm the appropriate number shows by how much the observation could vary due to sampling error

STATISTICAL RELIABILITY FOR COMPARING PERCENTS BETWEEN TWO INDEPENDENT SAMPLES

At the 90% level of confidence

Size	Ave	rage of th	e Two Obs	erved Per	cents	
of Each	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
Sample	<u>90"5</u>	80%	70%	60''0	50%	
100	7.0	9.3	10.7	11.4	11.6	
200	4.9	6.6	7.5	8.1	8.2	
400	3.5	4.7	5.3	5.7	5.8	
600	2.8	3.8	4.4	4.7	4.8	ste
1000	2.2	2.9	3.4	3.6	3.7	
2000	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.5	2. U	
2600	1.4	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.3	
3000	1.3	1.7	1.9	2.1	2. 1	

* Not to be used for measuring accuracy of percents within a single sample

** Minimum difference required between the observed percents in the two sampled populations to be statistically different

Page 149

APPENDIX II

TRACKING AREA CONCEPT

The "Tracking Area" concept is an integral part of the study objectives. It is designed to allow each Service to relate the findings to one or several recruiting districts. Each Service has a different number of recruiting districts with some local discretion as to advertising and recruitment allocations. A Tracking Area represents the commonality among Services. Data collection and analysis based on Tracking Areas allows comparison, evaluation, and goal setting within each Service on a local basis.

The Tracking Areas were constructed around these criteria: 1) to limit the number of Army District Recruiting Commands, Navy Recruiting Districts, Air Force Recruiting Detachments and Marine Corps Recruiting Stations to three each or less per Tracking Area, 2) to see that the TA's have a high commonality among services, i.e., a high percentage of the counties' Military Available being common to all four Services, and 3) to represent regionally meaningful clusters of recruiting districts for the Services.

For purposes of this research, 26 TA's were defined which account for every county in the Continental United States. This strategy provides for national conclusions to be drawn from the survey findings, as well as individual findings for the 26 TA's. Page 150

Since each Tracking Area is to contain undivided Recruiting Districts for each Service, some counties occur in more than one TA. For all 26 areas the cumulative overlap is 13 percent.

The percentage of Military Availables in the United States accounted for by varying numbers of tracking areas is approximately as follows:

Number of TA's	Percent Military Available
Top 5	28.7
Тор 10	52.9
Top 13	65.1
Top 15	72.2
Top 18	81.2
Тор 20	86.8
All 26	100.0

B

Ņ

.

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR TRACKING AREAS

			% WA Ac	counted for									
			Common	0011100	9	% Trackir	d Area N	A A					
	Proposed	MA% of	to 4			Falling O	utside DR	s o		No. 0	(DRC's		
H	acking Area	Total U.S.	Services	Renainder	<	ZĮ	AF	WC	<	zI	AF	MC	
22 14	Michigan/Indi ana A lahama/Mississioni/	7.41	82	18	15	15	æ	ŝ	'n	2	3	2	
•	Tenressee	6.76	94	6	80	80	-	18	ŝ	'n	2	2	
03	New York City	6.31	77	23	19	12	10	15	7	1	1	7	
10	Richnond/North				1								
25	Carolina Southern California /	6.12	62	38	12	33	14	27	4	7	7	7	
3	A rizona	5.95	100	*	0	0	0	0		2	2	S,	
21	Chio	5.94	76	24	9	7	14	14	m	2	2	2	
IJÓ	A lbany/Buffalo	5.89	59	41	22	30	17	24	4	2	2	2	
16	Texas	5. 79	95	ŝ	641	0	0	2	4	٣	2	m	
10	Chicago	5.09	79	21	0	20	24	61	2	1	1	1	
02	Harrisburg	4.79	62	38	2	2	36	11	2	7	I	~	
24	Minnesota/North Dakot	a/											
	South Dakota/Nebrask	a 4.72	69	31	œ	٢	24	10	4	2	2	2	
26	Northern California	4.67	86	14	14	0	13	17	2	~	2	2	
502	Kansas City/Oklahoma	4.37	52	48	26	30	Ś	25	e	2	2	2	
08	P ittsburgh	4.16	42	58	10	43	25	12	2	Ч	-	2	
12	South Carolina/Georgia	3.87	57	43	36	10	36	32	2	2	-	l.	
1 0	Philadelphi a	3.54	11	29	29	26	0	18	1	7	I	I	
13	Florida	3.39	75	25	9	11	14	15	2	2	l	l	
6 0	Boston	3.28	83	17	20	4	13	12	2	1	-1	7	
28	Washington/Oregon	3. 23	70	30	1	28	29	12	٣	2	-	2	
27	New Mexico/Colorado/												
	Wyoming	3.17	56	44	19	2	43	ò	2	2	I	'n	
60	Washington, D.C.	3.11	63	37	17	9	18	80	2	1	1	1	
19	Kentucky	2.90	54	46	34	21	29	2	1	1	l	(1)	
17	A rkansas	2.84	70	30	18	0	0	22	2	2	1	~	Pa
23	Wisconsin	2.28	89	11	7	4	4	¢	1	l	1,	-6	ag
20	Des Moines	1.86	57	43	42	34	15	29	1	l	l	-	e
15	New Orleans	1.98	62	38	29	20	45	0	-1	I	ľ	-	15
	Total (Cum.)	113.42	(72)	(28)	(14)	(14)	(15)	(14)	(19)	(43)	(37)	(+ 1)	1
	U.S. (Excluding HI, AF	ς, 10,190,30	0										

STALL OR COMPANY - MANAGAM

5

, J Page 152

APPENDIX III

WEIGHTING OF RESPONDENTS

The need to compare characteristics of individual tracking areas leads naturally to a study design in which the numbers of respondents in each tracking area are approximately equal. However, since the tracking areas contain unequal numbers of military availables, we cannot estimate national statistics by simply adding up the data for all the respondents; respondents in larger tracking areas should be weighted more heavily than those in smaller tracking areas.

The respondent weighting system used in this wave represents an improvement over that of earlier waves. In the first two waves each respondent was classified into one of 156 cells on the basis of tracking area, age, and race (13 tracking areas x 6 age categories x 2 races = 156 cells). The actual number of military availables corresponding to each cell was estimated from census data. The weight for respondents in a cell was then simply the estimated number of military availables corresponding to that cell divided by the number of respondents in the cell.

The problem with that weighting method was that for some cells with few respondents (such as blacks in certain age categories in certain tracking areas) the denominator of the weighting fraction was quite variable.

Page 153

This led to weights that varied considerably from cell to cell, an undesirable property since it leads to some loss of statistical precision in the data.

The weighting system used in the current wave is somewhat different in principle, in that fewer weights are required. One weight is computed for each tracking area and another for each age/race combination. The weighting constant for each cell is simply the product of the appropriate tracking area and age/race weights.

Since fewer weights are computed by this method (26 tracking areas plus 12 age/race combinations = 38) than by the old method (12 x 26 = 312) they are much more stable and the variation between effective weights applied to individual cells is reduced substantially. This should lead to some increase in statistical precision.

For purposes of comparison the Fall, 1975 wave of the study was retabulated using the same technique. All results for Fall 1975 in this report are based on the new weighting technique, and will therefore differ slightly from results as originally reported.

(•.• ,•

h

N 63

Pag+ 154

APPENDIX IV

THE QUESTIONNAIRE

		MI	LITARY SERV - Screening Qu	ICE STUI Mestions -	Υ¢		
Mark	et Facts Repr						
Fielo	Station		Date		_ "		
Time	· Interview Began A	M/PM Ti	ime Ended	 A M/	РМ		
Hello young	b. My name is of Man g men between the ages of 1	-ket Facts, 6 and 21.	an opinion re	(I search נסו	.D. #)1 mpa ny .	4 I a , : -	
ι.	Is there a young man in yo AT SCHOOL.)	ur househo s 1	ld in this age g	group? (Ľ No. 2 (T	O NOI	INCI T	
					CALI	2 RECE	
:a.	How many? (CIRCLE NU:	MBER)	F	_	W D IT D		
	1 2	, 4	5 or more	e	(WRITE		
26.	What is his age, please?	(What are t	heir ages, ple	ase ple	ase star	t with the	
			Qu. 3a				
			Currently a Junior				
			or Senior		I	n Million	
			or in	Qu,	3b		1
	<u>Qu. 2b - Ages</u> <u>16 17 18 19 20</u>	21	Grad <u>School</u> Yes No	<u>No</u> Yes	w No	<u>ilas)</u> Yes	$\sim 10^{-1}$
		 b l]] []2	[]]1	[]2	· 1	
•		6 [L]2		
		⊡6 [<u>]</u> ı []2		[_ <u>2</u>	C.1	
•		□6 []1 [2		(<u> </u> 2	(1)	
а, Ъ.	(DETERMINE FOR EACH) Graduate School? (RECOR (DETERMINE FOR EACH)	Is (NAME D ABOVE) IS he cur	E FIRST AGE)) rently in militi	currently	a Junio ce. Natio	r or Section 1.	· · · · · ·
c.	(THIS DOES NOT INCLUD (DETERMINE FOR EACH)	E ROTC.) Has he <u>ev</u>	(RECORD AI	BOVE) military so	ervice,	National .	
	(THIS DOES NOT INCLUD	E ROTC.)	(RECORD AI	BOVE)			
d.	(DETERMINE FOR EACH) is waiting for the date when ABOVE)	Hashe <u>be</u> the is to g	<u>en accepted</u> fo o on active dui	y? (THIS	in a bra DOES	nch or the . NOT INC1.	en an
iot f	E: QUALIFYING MALE. THE AGES OF THE YOUR "RESPONDEN	S ARE TH QUALIFY T SELECT	OSE WHO ANS ING MEN BEI TON SHEET"	SWERED LOW (SIA TO DETE	''NO'' T RTING ' RMINE	OQU. Secon WITH THE WHO TO	la da sensita da sensita La sensita da sensita da sensita da Nerro en la s
					Ages an of Qua	d First Nov lifying Male	
	TELEPHONE NUMBE	R	((Oldest)	•		
			(Next (Oldest) 2	·		
			(Next (Oldest) - 3			
							····
1 a k F	E UP TO THREE CALLRA	CK APPOI	UNEXT ON TO NO MENTS TO	COMP14	• CLE: INT	FRVIEW	
						- ۲۱ پالیو ۲۷ پالیو ۲۰	· 1 :.
81 A)	ppr:: Date	Tim	e	Re	suit:		
rd A	pp:: Date	1 im Ti	ee	Ке	suit:		
A	PP Salt	1 IM	~ <u></u>	Ke	suu:		
EEP	TRACK OF TERMINATES	i.	CHECK HERE HOUSEHOLD	E IF NO C QUALIFII	ONE IN ES OR I	F	

C,

MiLITA Mitriet Facts' Repr. Field Sation Fine Interview BeganAM/PM fune Interview BeganAM/PM Metho? 1'mAM/AM	ARY SERVICE STUDY Mailued Respondent) ime Ended AM/PM	ONB #22 Job No. F Pare 2 Cd. Du	-R-0339 H390-1	ية. ج ا	How rasy of the How rase the the How rate of t	ifficially a for some set inter-out	TCCC me of your acc to the of your acc to the of your acc to	ert o <u>Saltana</u> addr ad of nara		Affectuaries and a second seco	
Fursty for unserved to construct the sense is of the and such a sense is the new York Point And The sense is interveted in a sense of the sense o	Participants merical structures to construct been chosen by chance. Any uncorr of employer does a certain anound o to verify that you surveyed three op- ant end you <u>may</u> also be considered at ormetume to be inferviewed in ow on the N PAGE 1,1. N PAGE 1,1. N PAGE 1,1. N PAGE 1,1. N PAGE 1,1. N PAGE 1,1. N PAGE 1,0. N	uture occupations are mation you give us us thereking to are uf an uter take take take take taker take take to deremu has surrey? (1F. VOI.) has surrey? (1F. VOI.)	and would completely min plata atua you me it come , REQUES	. 7	difficult or to Now I'n goun next few seat	a durinali eteni". (Rk. Armostur Sonrekal Sonrekal Nordalita Positi kana Bati tradisme instan Setioreadisme instan	CORD BELOW) all states all states It al all	Ju <u>buil June</u> ··· I ·J1 ··· · ··· · ···· · ···· · ····· · ······	Latt de la constant d		

Ĵ -2 Ĵ 2 -4c. Ť (12) (22) (53) (54) (25. (35) (67) (30) TERMINATE MAMARY (RECORD BELOW, IF RESPONSE IS JOIN THE SPUNCE, DETERMINE REANCH AND IYPE, IF <u>BRANCH</u> OF SERVICE <u>ONLY</u> IS MENTIONED, DETERMINE <u>TYPE</u>, IT <u>IYPE</u> OF SERVICE <u>ONLY</u> IS MENTIONED, DETERMINE <u>BRANCH</u>. Now, let's talk about your place for the next few yours. When up you think you mught be doing? (200 NOT READ LIST, FRORE WITH "ANYTHING PLISE", PLACE, CVCILL UMPREDUCTIVE,) Don't Know Are you urrently louking for a jub, or not Y DC What is your current yrar to school? (IF NECESSARY, ASK:). What type of echool is ity 197; Cuard Type of Service Variuna :: ~, c Z Reserved 1 - (ASK QU, 3c AND THEN SKIP TO JU, 31) 2 - (SKIP TO QU, 3d) Daing nothing C. No U 21 · · · [] Active -How many years of schooling nave you completed? No No Navy ÷. Working Less than 1 year of High Schoul...9 1 year of High School vorational of trade school..... 5 Yes 1 --- (SKIF 10 QU, 31) 1221 **1**9 . . . 81 working tull time or Are you a high school graduate? Are you attending school now " Are you currently employed? --Going to school 🕡 Branch of Brysce Fuli time Part time part ture? 1 Yes Are you ž Apn-military

ŗ

Ī

,¥

ι,

ŝ

Lines 1 7 7 131 . с. 1042 ÷, ÷+ S. 4 . . . • • • • For instance, how fixedy is in that you would be to (KEAD STATE'S ALP' A down you do not by Promably of Foundation Definitely Not? F. 1. 7411 1 8 - 1 - E 211-1-1-V-1 De +1 - + * . I., ***** : . 1 1 4 1 1 and . والمعالية والمعالية . • 110.011 ، عدده So that the Ast National Guard [] or the Army National 2 aktionen olimpisaid oo ooli. Manotaa 1 yeerena hijiin taan fiinenen 1.1.1.1 4 - 1 - 1 When do you three were writered in directions and the control of the (ASK QS, North, C. DREIMPETT, ON ERROADT, MALET, MALET, RESERVENCER, CONTRIANS, STOCK, CONTRIANS, CONTRIANS Sinserie Detroiten ľ Do you experision would not a new second as an induced Is that the Air Force Armin 2 Reserve Reserve 2 Autorical monthly and a Serving to the Coast Guard (active duty)..... Pervind to the Are Force forther daty)..... Serving the the Arny lastics dury list. Service in the Navy (active duty)...... CARATS OF POSTO COLOR Serving mith. Marine (erps lactive duty)..... Working as a laborer on construction jobs ... Working at a drisk in a business uttice. SIARI "ULATA" ()|||Servic in "1" National Guard Serving in the Reserves Serving in the military T Working as a valesman

.

E strated real contracts and

1911. 111.

1-

Pon't know branch

OMB #22-R-0339 Job No. H390-1 Page 4

I'd lake to read several automota. After I read each atticment, j tease teil me how impurtant you feel ut would be u you were considering johang the service. Here's the first one. (READ STATEMENT) DO you consider that Externely important, Very Important, Fairly Important, or Not Important At All? (REPEAT FOR EACH STATEMENT)

54.

1111 3 30

ħ	AR.	T	Extremely	Z	H tu	10	1	
Ŧ	ERE	الدز	Imp.	-auj	Inc	AL AL	y nou	
_	-	Gives you an opportunity to better your life	-	•	~	•		
-	-	frame vow for leadership	• -		-		r.	(A.E.)
	~	Tarchas conta calification	-	1	-	Ŧ	v	(20)
		or skill		~1	~	+	ŝ	(15)
	^	Helps you get a college education while you serve		-1		+	17	(52)
÷	~	Allows you to see maay different countries of the world	-	~:		· •		
-	^	Provides good benefits for you and your family	• -	2	· -			(66)
-	~	ls à career you can be proud ol	· -	. ~	• •			
-	~	Has other mea you would luke to work with ,	-	· ~	-	• •	` .r	195)
-	-	Gives you the Job you want		2	1	•		(57)
-	-	Gives you a job which is challenging		2	~	•	ŝ	(58)
-	~	Pays well to start,	-	7	-	•	s	(63)

I'm goung to read the statements agaun. The first once is ... (READ). Do you thuck this is true of any of the services, or not? ŝ.

(JF "YES" TO QU. 5b, ASK:) Which gave service is this most true of (SINGLE RESPONSE ONLY) 5c.

			đ	4				3	۶			3
			Tru	8								Dup.
5	-		ABY S	E Y L	1			Mest T	rue OC:			1-20
7			피	দি বিদ্যা		Ferce	Armx	Const	Marine Cerpe	Navy	Don t	
~	-	Gives you an opportunity to better your life.	7		660	-	~	~	.		-	
-	^	Trains you for leadership.	~		[9]		- ~		• •		• •	(17)
-	-	Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	7	<u> </u>	(62	-	ب - ا	. ~		• •	, <i>2</i>	
	^	Helpe you get a college education while you serve	7	~	(63)	-	2	~	-	, r) <i>-</i>	
-	-	Allows you to see many dufferent countries of the world	~ -	~	(+9)	-	2	-	-	· ·		150
~	^	Provides good benefits for you ans your family	~ 1	~	(99)		~1	, <i>-</i>		· .	, z	(57)
~	~	la a career you can be proud of	7 1	~	(99)	-	~		-	. <i>v</i> .		(17)
	^	Has other men you would like to work with	~ -	~	(67)	-	7	-	+	e e	2	
-	-	Gives you the job you want	1 2		(89)	-	~	~	+	v		(**)
-	-	Gives you a job which is challenging	7 1	ŝ	(69)	-	~	-	+	.,	-	(9ā)
~	^	Pays well to start.	1 2	~	(02)	~	4	~	7		¢')	(11)
					208							

• .-

ż	Nov, I would like to read some statene Guer, Manne Corps, Navy or Nanona MILH A. 'N'' Which Opy writice, if any, runde this si	nts that I Guard, ateruent	Let a Let a	Verber Tad	ાત્ર છે. કે કે કે કે	the Arr tate tae	Eurce, Ar d. (REAL)	ary, Co STA1	ast FAFN	
STA HEH	RI	Air <u>Force</u>	A.W.S	Guard Guard	19 19 19	1. 1. 1.	induction.	i.	1 ' ' ' ' C B =	
-	The BLAV' Afters the same pay, teiner through and entactional opportunities as the other service, plus the right to call yourself a BLANK	-	-1	-		1,			s t t t	-
Ĵ	Good WOTAL The BLANK.	-					÷		r	
Ĵ	There is a HLANK education program, called PF OIFCI AHEAD, which lets the earn in college creation while in the BLANK with the BLANK paying up to 7% of my futuon.		••	~		r	ن	r-	n	-
Ĵ	If you'r' loosing for adventure, the field seddinly narrows	-	•,			.r	÷		٤.	
Ĵ	The most important part-time job	-	·.	~		v	÷	1-	r	
Ĵ	Look up, be looked up to	-	·.	-		r	ې	ç	x	(55)
Ĵ	Help others, help yourself in the BLANK		•,	-			ن	-		
ì	Join the seaple whate joined the BLANK	-	-,			1		18		·
Ĵ	I he BLA \K briongs, Maybe you belong to the BLANK	~	~.		•	ı		r.	æ	
2	HLANK - a great way ut life		·.	-	-	r	2	:-	2.	l t
-	its not het a Job. It's an edventure	_	·.				;		1	
ĉ	The lew, the provid, the BJ.ANK	-	۰.	~		r	z	1.	ĸ	(+ t)

O'da #22-R-1114 Son No. 11390 1 Page 5



OMB #42-R-0339 Job No., H396-1 Page 2 <u>ر</u>

OR (somewhat more or much more likely to achieve this goal in a <u>civilian</u> job)? (RECORD BELOW.) job. or could it be achieved in either one? (READ F HRST GOAL. IF "MILITARY" OR "CIVILIAN", ASK:) Would you say you would be (much more likely or somewhat more likely to achieve this goal in the <u>military</u>) I am going to read a list of life goals that young men like yourself inight have. As I read each one, please tell me whether you feel you would be more likely to achieve this goal in the military service or in a vivilian ïö.

Much Somewhat Military Somewhat Much

Either

Military

Civilian

	More <u>Likelv</u>	More Likely	or <u>Civilian</u>	More Likely	More Likely	
Personal freedom	-	2	•	7	'n	(01)
Developing your potential		~	۴	4	ŝ	(11)
Job security. i.e a steady Job		7	٢	.	ŝ	(12)
Making a lot of money	1.	2	~	4	۰r	(13)
Working for a better society		7	÷	4	Ŋ	(†1)
Having the respect of friends	-	2	ñ	7	J.	(15)
Doing challenging work	-	7	ۍ	-1*	'n	(15)
Adventure and excitement		~	*	4	ŝ	(11)
Learning as much as you can	1.	7	~	4 5'	u,	(9)
Helping other people	-	~1	~	4	ŝ	(61)
deing able to make your own decisions on the job		~ ~	~~~	- -	if if	(20)
	•	ı	ı			

Just a few more questions. How would the following people feel if you told them you were thinking about joining any of the military services ?

Would your (NAME FIRST PERSON) Be in favor of your joining the service, against it, or neutral? lla.

(IF "IN FAVOR," ASK:) Would (he/she) be very much in favor of it ur slightly in favor of it?

(IF "AGAINST, " ASK:) Would (he/she) be slightly against it or very much against 1t?

(REPEAT QUESTION FOR EACH PERSON LISTED HELOW.)

Friends in the

			Girl Friend	Service or Who Have Been
	Father	Mother	or Wife	in the Service
	(12)	(22)	(s, j)	(77)
DON'T HAVE	0	0	Э	0
IN FAVOR				
Very much		7	1	
Shightly	2	7	7	7
ACAINST				
Slightly	٤	~	~	~
Very much		7	7	+
NEUIRAL	٠.5	ŝ	ų.	u,
DON'T KNOW	0	ç	د	ç

OMB#22-R-0339 Job No. H390-1 Page 9

Service or Who in the Service Z(A(v)) = 0 (i.e.) your joining one of the military services. Why one is no (i.e.) set the (i.e.) would feel that way? Friends in the (ASK FOR EACH PERSON IN QU. 11a WHO WAS "IN FAVOR" OF "AVAINST") You said your (NAME PERSON) would be (IN Have Been Friend or Wife Girl Mother Father

) STREWER STREETS	(52)	(27)	(67)	(31)
	1.	1	1	-
	2.	7	2	2
the state good	۳.	3	ę	ŕ
intesting job/career	4.	4	4	4
Job training/leatning a career	ŝ.	ŝ	£	5
Other then the above	9	6	6	9
UNEAVOR ABLE COMMENTS	(97	(78)	(30)	(32)
Separation/being apart		-	1	7
Danger/fear of injury or death	2.	2	2	2
Loss of status of military vs.				
civilian status career (e.g., "You				
can do better than being a				
soldier")	m.	£	ę	e
Civilian education	4	4	4	4
M. gative military experience by				
lather	5	5	ŝ	5
Other than the above	9	9	9	ę

(ASK QU, 12a & 12h II "YES" TO "TALKED WITH ONE OR BOTH PARENTS" -- QU, A., PAGE 6

You old me you had discussed the possibility of joining the military with

(??) Both 3 Mother 2 i'ather 1

in war discussions, who is usually the one to bring up the possibility of possibility of possibility of primes, definitions are your parent(s)? 12b.

Both/not sure Parents 2 c_spendent 1

(134)

OMB #22-8-9 Job No. H. P-1 Page 10

. . .

1.11

CLASSIFICATION SECTION

Now, I have a few questions to help us put our participants into proper groups. Remember that the information you give us is completely confidential.

13. A	\re	you	married,	single,	separated	\mathbf{or}	divorced?
-------	-----	-----	----------	---------	-----------	---------------	-----------

Ξ.

いたちのちょうとう

いいいいいい

Н

Ķ

ľ

Married 1 Single 2 Separated/Divorced/Widowed 3 What was the highest educational level your father completed. If any pre-not sure, please give me your best guess. Did not complete high school 1 Finished college (four years) Finished high school or equivalent . . 2 Attended graduate or protessional Business or trade school 4 Obtained a graduate or professional Some college 5

15. What (are/were) your average grades in high school? (READ LIST OF GRADES.)

A's and B's 1	(DON'T READ)	
B's and C's	Does not apply	12
C's and D's \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	Don't remember 0	
D's and below 4		

What education program (are you/were you) in, in high school? (READ ALTERNATIVES) 16.

	College preparatory	1	Commercial or business training	2	Vocational 3	3	r	
17.	Which of the following math	ematics	s courses, if any, did you take <u>and</u>	pass	m high school	?		

Elementary Alegebra		1	Intermediate Algebra 3
Plane Geometry	•••	2	Frigonometry 4

(DON'T RFAD) None of these

Did you take and pass any science courses in high school which covered electricity or electronics 18. YPS 1 No 2

19. Just to be sure we are representing all groups in our survey, please tell me whether you describe yourself as . . . (READ LIST)

Cuban 1	Other Spanish 4	Oriental 7	(+1)
Mexican-American 2	American Indian 5	White 8	
Puerto Rican 3	Black,	Refused R	

Name of Respondent

20.

14.

Address	
City/State	

Zip Code lelephone number

Next, I would like to know your Social Security Number. Because of a recently enacted law, I must 21. tell you that the authority to request this information is given in 10 USC 156. Providing this information is voluntary on your part and there are no consequences it you choose not to do so. This information is necessary to enable us to re-contact you in the future regarding your decisions.

What is your Social Security Number?

	i., .
None 0	
Refused y	

Your opinions have been very helpful and I appreciate the time you took to participate in this survey. Thank you, -----

TO MAKE THIS A VALID INTERVIEW, PLEASE RECORD ON PAGE 1 AND HERE IMPORTANT: THE I.D. NUMBER FROM YOUR CALL RECORD FORM.





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A