



1.80

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

PARISON OF TOTAL WHITE BLOOD COUNTS ON THE TECHNICON H6000 D COULTER COUNTER MODEL ZBI AN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH PROGRAM

AD-A142 989

NO. 83-14



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release

NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER

P. O. BOX 85122 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92138

06

041

NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND BETHESDA, MARYLAND

8 1 678

A COMPARISON OF TOTAL WHITE BLOOD CELL COUNTS ON THE TECHNICON H6000 AND COULTER COUNTER MODEL ZBI IN AN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH PROGRAM

Frank C. Garland Environmental Medicine Department Naval Health Research Center, San Diego

1 4 4 X X X MAN

1. J. J. A. A.

Grace M. Seal

Naval Regional Medical Center, Long Beach, Branch Medical Clinic Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California 93555

and

Martín R. White San Diego State University School of Public Health San Diego, California 92115

Naval Health Research Center P. D. Box 85122 San Diego, CA 92138-9174

Report No. 83-14 was supported by Naval Medical Research and Development Command, Bethesda, Maryland, Department of the Navy, under Research Work Unit MR041.22-001-0005. The views presented in this paper are those of the authors. No endorsement by the Department of the Navy has been given or should be inferred.



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release Distribution Unlimited

SUMMARY

and the second states of

Var Contractor

White blood cell (WBC) counts obtained using a Technicon H6000 in a large occupational health program were compared with counts obtained on identical samples using a Counter Counter Model 281. To assure quality control and to assess the comparability of results obtained on the two machines, a 25% systematic sample (N = 586) of analyses performed on the Technicon were independently and blindly performed on the Coulter Counter. The Pearson product-moment-correlation between WBC counts from the two machines was r = .94 (p \leq .0001). The mean WBC count was 6,664 cells/mm³ for the Technicon and 6,790 cells/mm³ for the Coulter Counter. The estimated prevalence of leukopenia (WBC counts \leq 4,500 cells/mm³) was 10.6% using the Technicon and 9.7% using the Coulter Counter. The results demonstrate that the two machines provide results which can be directly compared without corrections



2

A Technicon H6000 Automated Blood Cell Analyzer is currently being used for hematological monitoring in a large occupational health program at a predominantly civilian Naval facility. This large-scale monitoring program of total white blood cell (WBC) counts in an apparently healthy working population has as one of its objectives a comparison of the hematologic profile of the population under study with that of various reference groups. In particular, comparisons with the findings reported by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (HANES)(11) of WBC counts in a random sample of the U.S. population were of interest, and a measure of correlation between the Technicon H6000 and Coulter Counter brand instrumentation used in the HANES was desired. The HANES performed WBC counts on a Coulter Counter Model Fn.

In order to assess the degree of comparability of results obtained on the Technicon H6000, a 25% sample of blood analyses were blindly repeated on a Coulter Counter Model ZBI, a machine very similar to the Coulter Counter Model Fn. This retesting procedure also served as an effective means of assuring quality control throughout the blood collection phase of the study.

Estimates of precision of WBC counts have been determined by repeated measurements on the same samples of blood for predecessors to the Technicon H6000 (2,8,9,13). Lappin, et al., reported a slight but statistically significantly lower mean WBC count on the Technicon SMA-4 (5,970 cells/mm³) when compared to a Coulter Counter Model A (6,140 cells/mm³), but agreement between these machines was reported as high (r = 0.990, df = 98, p < .001)(9).

The Technicon gives a differential white blood cell count, which is a far more complete picture of leukocyte population dynamics than a total WBC count. For our purpose, however, total WBC count was the measure of primary concern, as it can serve as an indicator of occupational exposures affecting bone marrow function (3,6,7,10).

This report assesses the degree of comparability of total WBC counts performed on the two machines.

Background of WBC Count Study

The Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, in collaboration with the Naval Regional Medical Center, Long Beach, and the Naval Weapons Center (NWC), China Lake, California, introduced a large-scale hematological monitoring project as a major component of the Occupational Health Program at the Naval Weapons Center. This project was begun in response to recommendations resulting from preliminary studies by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) of cases of leukopenia in employees of the NWC (15,16). The CDC studies were based on review of medical records of employees who received routine blood analyses as part of an existing occupational health program. From these data, however, it was not possible to estimate the prevalence of leukopenia for the entire Center workforce since most employees had not been tested. The CDC recommended the initiation of a Center-wide hematological monitoring program.

In response, a program was designed which would meet a number of objectives. These included the indentification of indviduals with leukopenia (WBC \leq 4,500 cells/mm³), the prevalence of leukopenia in the entire workforce, and an evaluation of the health importance of the observed prevalence. All procedures followed were in accord with procedures to protect human subjects prescribed by the Under Secretary of Navy Instructions.

Materials and Methods

A Technicon H6000 was installed at the Branch Medical Clinic at the Naval Weapons Center during November 1981. Comparison studies of the Technicon and Coulter Counter were carried out over an 11-month period from January 1, 1982, to November 30, 1982. Every blood sample drawn for accupational monitoring (N = 2,344) was tested on the Technicon H6000. A systematic sample conmisting of every fourth blood sample drawn was also tested on the Coulter Counter (N = 586).

Data Collection

An occupational health nurse, two blood drawers, and a clerk visited work locations and draw blood samples in the field. Samples were collected using 20 guage needles and vacutainers which contained 0.07 ml of EDTA anticoagulant. All samples were collected between 8:30 a.m. and 11:00 a.m., and with few exceptions were analyzed within 8 hours of being drawn.

- The procedure for processing samples was as follows:
- (1) Every fourth blood sample drawn was noted and "flagged";
- (2) The "flagged" sample was split into two samples for analysis;
- (3) One sample was analyzed on the Technicon;
- (4) The other sample was analyzed on the Coulter Counter.
- (5) The results from each machine were entered by a different technician onto forms that had no information concerning the results obtained on the other machine.

Before each daily operation, quality control measures were performed for each instrument according to the manufacturer's recommendations (4,17). Checks on instrument function and electronic components and background counts were performed daily prior to routine operation. When counts on the Coulter Counter exceed 10,000 cell/mm³, the likelihood increases that two or more cells may pass through the aperture together as a single mass, and be counted as a single cell. The results from the Coulter Counter have been statistically corrected for this possiblility using a standard algorithm(4).

Agreement between the two machines was assessed using a Pearson product-moment correlation(1). Difference in total mean WBC counts was tested for significance using a paired t-test (14). Correlation analyses and paired t-tests were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (12). Confidence intervals on prevalence of leukopenia were calculated using the binomial distribution (5).

<u>Results</u>

A plot of the correlation between white blood cell counts as determined on the Technicon and the Coulter Counter is shown in the Figure. However, as shown in Table 1, the Technicon provided a mean white blood cell count which was 126 cells lower than that of the Coulter Counter. While this was a difference of minor clinical importance, it was statistically significant and effected the estimated prevalence of white blood cell counts which were below the level chosen as our definition of leukopenia ($\leq 4,500$ cells/mm³)(Table 2). The estimated prevalence of leukopenia was 10.6% using the Technicon, and 9.7% using the Coulter Counter, this difference was not significent. Coefficients of variation were determined for the Technicon H6000 and Coulter Counter at high $(10,000 - 11,000 \text{ cells/mm}^3)$ and low $(3,500 - 4,500 \text{ cells/mm}^3)$ white blood cell counts. The coefficients for the Technicon were 1.8% for high white blood cell counts and 4.0% for low white blood cell counts; those for the Coulter Counter were 2.4% and 3.5%, respectively. Each coefficient was based on analysis of 25 duplicate samples. The results show that both devices produced results with reasonably high precision, making it unlikely that the observed low white blood cell counts were artifacts of instrument variability. Since the coefficients of variability were greater for low white blood cell counts than for high, however, the calculated confidence intervals shown in the table may be slightly narrower than appropriate for this isolated part of the distribution.

A measure of clinical importance is the proportion of counts on identical samples tested on the Technicon that fall within a specified range on the Coulter Counter. About 68% of the samples tested on the two machines fell within \pm 500 cells (Table 3), but 2.5% of the samples were markedly different, i.e., outside of the \pm 2,000 cell range.

Discussion

The demand for a large number of WBC counts in a timely manner was met by the use of the Technicon H6000 automated blood cell analyzer. It was found that the Technicon provided WBC counts that are comparable to those reported by other large-scale research projects which used Coulter Counter brand instrumentation. These results provide reassurance that epidemiclogic studies using the two models tested can be compared without introducing significant biases, and they provide a basis for acceptance of the Technicon H6000 as a useful tool in clinical pathology.

References

- 1. Armitage P. Statistical Methods in Medical Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1973.
- Bain BJ, Neill PJ, Scott D, Scott TJ, Innis MD. Automated differential Leukocyte counters: An evaluation of the Hemalog D and a comparison with the hematrak. I. Principles of operation: Reproducibility and accuracy on normal blood samples. Pathology 1980; 12:83-100.
- 3. Checkoway H, Williams TM. A hematology survey of workers at a styrenebutadiene synthetic rubber manufacturing plant. Am Ind Hyg Assoc 3 1982;43:164-169.
- Coulter Electronics, Inc. Coulter Counter Model ZBI. Coulter Electronics, Inc., Hislesh, Florids, 1979.
- 5. Fleiss JL. Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions, Second Edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1981.
- 6. Flodin U, Andersson L, Anjov CB, et al. A case-referent study on acute myeloid leukemia, background radiation and exposure to solvents and other agents. Scand J Work Environ Health 1981; 7:169-178.

- 7. Gordon-Smith EC, Gordon MY. Environmental factors in haemopoietic failure in humans. 1981 microenvironments in haemopoetic and lymphoid differentiation. Pitman Medical London (Ciba Foundation Symposium 84) p 87-108.
- 8. Hudson MJ, Green AE. Visual versus mechanized leukocyte differential counts: Costing and evaluation of traditional and Hemalog D methods. J Clin Pathol 1980;33:1114-117.
- 9. Lappin TRJ, Lamont A, Nelson MG. An evaluation of the AutoAnalyzer SMA-4. J Clin Pathol 1969; 22:11-18.
- 10. Mangalik A, Robinson WA. Stem cell assays in the evaluation of myelotoxicity. Environmental Health perspectives 1981;39:51-58.
- McGraft CR, Hitchcock DC, van Assendelft DW. Total white blood cell counts for persons ages 1-74 years with differential leukocyte counts for adults ages 25-74 years: United States, 1971-75, DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 82-1670 Series 11, No. 220, National Center for Health Statistics, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1982.
- Nie HN, Hull CH, Jenkins JG, Steinbrenner K, Bent DH. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), Second Edition. McGraw-Hill, New York 1975.
- Pierre RV, O'Sullivan MB. Evaluation of the Hemalog D automated differential leukocyte counter. Mayo Clin. Proce. 1974; 49:970-974.
- 14. Remington RD, Schork MA. Statistics with Applications to the Biological and Health Sciences. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey 1970.
- 15. Stein GF, Caldwell GC, Heath CW. Childhood Leukemia in Ridgecrest, California. Public Health Service - CDC - Atlanta EPI-77-84-2 July 23, 1979.
- Stein GF, Caldwell GG, Heath CW. Leukopenia, China Lake, California. Public Health Service
 CDC-Atlanta EPI-77-84-3 July 23, 1979.
- 17. Technicon Instruments Corporation. Technicon Information Bulletin No. TN81-443-10, Technicon Instruments Corporation, New York 1981.

160.40.7574 (* (* (* * * * * *

Comparison of Mean White Blood Cell Counts of Identical Samples Tested on the Two Machines

	Mean white blood cell count 3	Standard deviation
Machine	(cells/mm ²)	(cells/mm ³)
Coulter Counter	6,790	2,173
Technicon	6,664	2,101

tpaired = 4.09
df = 585
p < .0001</pre>

Table 2

Differences in Estimates of the Prevalence of Leukopenia (White Blood Cell Counts $\leq 4,500$ Cells/mm³) Using

mile biood ceri counts - 4,500 ceristans / baing

Identical Samples Tested on the Two Machines

Machine	Estimated prevalence of leuko- penia (percent)	95% Confidence interval (percent)
Coulter Counter	9.7	7.3 12.1
Technicon	10.6	8.1 13.1

7

.....

Differences in Total White Blood Cell Counts of

Identical Samples Tested on the Two Machines

Difference (No. of cells/mm ³)	No. of samples	Percent in this interval	Cumulative percent
<u>+</u> 0 - 100	113	19.3	19.3
<u>+</u> 101 - 200	80	13.6	32.9
<u>+</u> 201 - 300	78	13.3	46.3
<u>+</u> 301 - 400	67	11.4	57.6
<u>+</u> 401 - 500	60	10.2	67.8
<u>+</u> 501 - 1,000	127	21.7	89.5
<u>+</u> 1,001 - 2,000	47	8.0	97.5
<u>+</u> 2,001 +	14	2.4	100.0



Proprietary, Britanski Jakata



8

Contest of the Contest of the Contest of Contest of Contest of Contest of Contest on Contest of Contest on Contest

	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
83-14 AD-A147	989
TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
A COMPARISON OF TOTAL WHITE BLOOD CELL COUNTS	Interim
ON THE TECHNICON H6000 AND COULTER COUNTER MODEL ZBI IN AN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH PROGRAM	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
A1174A0/a1	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Frank C. Garland, Ph.D.	
Grace M. Seal, R.N.	
Martin R. White, B.A.	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Health Research Center P.O. Box 85122	MR041.22-001-0005
San Diego, CA 92138	
I. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	21 April 1983
Naval Medical Research & Development Command	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
NMCNCR Bethesda MD 20814	9
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Commander, Naval Medical Command	UNCLASSIFIED
Department of the Navy	
	FIRE DECLASSICICATION/DOWNGRADING
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for	ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for	ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number	<pre>ise. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. rom Report) ir)</pre>
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000	ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter	ted.
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow	ted.
Washington, DC 20372 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow cytometry	<pre> 15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. rom Report) rom Report </pre>
Washington, DC 20372 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow cytometry 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Automated blood contents of the counter of the count	<pre> 15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. rom Report) r) </pre>
Washington, DC 20372 S. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow cytometry 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide II necessery and Identify by Block number White blood cell (WBC) counts obtained using a Technicon for the formula formula for the formula formula for the formula formula formula for the formula	<pre> 15.e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. rom Report) r) echnicon H6000 in a large unts obtained on identical </pre>
Washington, DC 20372 S. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow cytometry 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block number White blood cell (WBC) counts obtained using a Technicon leader of the compared with con- occupational health program were compared with con- complex using a Coulter Counter Model 2 RI To an	<pre> 15.e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. rom Report) r) echnicon H6000 in a large unts obtained on identical ssure quality control and to</pre>
 Washington, DC 20372 S. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow cytometry 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number White blood cell (WBC) counts obtained using a Tecculational health program were compared with consamples using a Coulter Counter Model ZBI. To an assess the comparability of results obtained on the second second counter of the se	<pre> 15 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. rom Report) r) echnicon H6000 in a large unts obtained on identical ssure quality control and to the two machines, a 25% sys- </pre>
Washington, DC 20372 B. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow cytometry 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number White blood cell (WBC) counts obtained using a To occupational health program were compared with con samples using a Coulter Counter Model ZBI. To an assess the comparability of results obtained on it tematic sample (N = 586) of analyses performed on	(15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m)
Washington, DC 20372 B. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number Automated blood analyser Technicon H6000 White blood cell counts Coulter Counter Leukocytes, Hematology Flow cytometry 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number White blood cell (WBC) counts obtained using a To occupational health program were compared with con samples using a Coulter Counter Model ZBI. To an assess the comparability of results obtained on itematic sample (N = 586) of analyses performed on pendently and blindly performed on the Coulter Counter	<pre>15.e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ted. ted. rom Report) echnicon H6000 in a large unts obtained on identical ssure quality control and to the two machines, a 25% sys- n the Technicon were inde- ounter. The Pearson product-</pre>

CONCOLUCION CONCOLUCIÓN

Ê

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

($p \le .0001$). The mean WBC count was 6,664 cells/mm³ for the Technicon and 6,790 cells/mm⁴ for the Coulter Counter. The estimated prevalence of leukopenia (WBC counts $\le 4,500$ cells/mm³) was 10.6% using the Technicon and 9.7% using the Coulter Counter. The results demonstrate that the two machines provide results which can be directly compared without corrections.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

