



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A ļ



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER		3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
СТ 00060	AD-A11/2	916
4. TITLE (and Sublille)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Southwestern Coastal Basin		INSPECTION REPORT
Nestport, Conn., Nash Pond Dam NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTI	ON OF NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
DAMS		9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND	ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDR		12. REPORT DATE
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF E NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	INGINEERS	April 1980
424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA	. 02254	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS		18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obotto	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	en Report)
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE:	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	an Report)
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observe 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED er envered in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, National Stational Sta	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observe Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I however, the official title o Non-Federal Dams; use cover MEY WORDS (Contor - on reverse side if no	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED er encored in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, Nat f the program is: Natio date for date of report	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observe Cover program reads: Phase I however, the official title o Non-Federal Dams; use cover DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFET	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED er encored in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, Nat f the program is: Natio date for date of report	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observe Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I however, the official title o Non-Federal Dams; use cover MEY WORDS (Contor - on reverse side if no	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED er encored in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, Nat f the program is: Natio date for date of report	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obour Cover program reads: Phase I however, the official title o Non-Federal Dams; use cover MEY WORDS (Contur - on reverse of of if no DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFET Southwestern Coastal Basin	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED er encored in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, Nat f the program is: Natio date for date of report	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observe Cover program reads: Phase I however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover • KEY WORDS (Conter - on reverse of do if no DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFET Southwestern Coastal Basin Westport, Conn. Nash Pond Dam	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED c: entered in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, Natif the program is: Natif date for date of report concerp and identify by block number Y,	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the every Cover program reads: Phase I however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover P. KEY WORDS (Contin - on reverse elde if no DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFET Southwestern Coastal Basin Westport, Conn. Nash Pond Dam P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if no The dam at Nash Pond is consti ft. high and ahs a top width of ations to the dam since the day used for recreation. The press report and it is recommended in	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED c: entered in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, Nation date for date of report covery and identify by block number ructed of stone masonry of 5 to 7 ft. There hav am was completed in 187 ent owner of the dam co that the State of Conn.	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t. , is approx. 105 ft. long, 25 e been no significant modific- 9. The impounded water is prima uld not be determined during th ascertain the ownership of the
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the every Cover program reads: Phase I however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover P. KEY WORDS (Contin - on reverse elde if no DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFET Southwestern Coastal Basin Westport, Conn. Nash Pond Dam P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if no The dam at Nash Pond is consti ft. high and ahs a top width of ations to the dam since the day used for recreation. The press report and it is recommended in	DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED et entered in Block 20, 11 different in Inspection Report, Nation date for date of report eccesary and identify by block number ructed of stone masonry of 5 to 7 ft. There hav am was completed in 187 ent owner of the dam co that the State of Conn. a SMALL in size and a H	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t. , is approx. 105 ft. long, 25 e been no significant modific- 9. The impounded water is prima uld not be determined during th ascertain the ownership of the IGH hazard potential structure



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM. MASSACHUSETTS 02154

a na si na si na si na fina fina da kana na si na s

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF: NEDED

MAY 0 5 1920

Honorable Ella T. Grasso Governor of the State of Connecticut State Capitol Hartford Connecticut 06115

Dear Governor Grasso.

Inclosed is a copy of the Nash Pond Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Protection, the cooperating agency for the State of Connecticut.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Protection for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely,

MAX B. SCHEIDER Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

Incl As stated

a. 11a	25.25	
	Į	
	Ĵ	
	أغتب	SOUTHWESTERN COASTAL BASIN
		WESTPORT, CONNECTICUT
1 3 C 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C		
		Accession For
- こうちちょう - うくう	8	NASH POND DAM
	A	CT 00060 By
State of the		Distribution/ Availability Codes
and a second		Dist Avail and/or Special
ころであることで		× A-1
a se a tar an the tar		PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
1. N. N. S.	8	NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
مواجر والمحرور		NATIONAL DAM MORECTION PROGRAM
19 N	Ĵ	
and the state	3	

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No.:	CT 00060
Name of Dam:	Nash Pond Dam
Town:	Westport
County and State:	Fairfield County, Connecticut
Stream:	Stony Brook
Date of Inspection:	November 15, 1979

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

The dam at Nash Pond is constructed of stone masonry, is approximately 105 feet long, 25 feet high and has a top width of 5 to 7 feet. There have been no significant modifications to the dam since the dam was completed in 1879. The impounded water is primarily used for recreation. The present owner of the dam could not be determined during this report and it is recommended that the State of Connecticut ascertain the ownership of the dam.

Based on the visual inspection and past operational performance, the dam is judged to be in FAIR condition. Slight seepage from the masonry joints was noted on the downstream face and some of the joints need repointing. The valve controlling discharge to the 30 inch pipe outlet self-opened in the Summer of 1978 and was subsequently reclosed. A low-level blowoff is believed to exist through the base of the dam.

This dam is classified as SMALL in size and a HIGH hazard potential structure in accordance with recommended guidelines established by the Corps of Engineers. The impoundment storage at the top of the dam is 114 ac.-ft. and the maximum height of the dam is 25 feet. Failure of the dam would result in the loss of more than a few lives and excessive economic loss to the downstream urbanized area, two commercial buildings, 2 - 3 residential homes, and an apartment building.

The test flood for this dam is 1/2 the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The test flood has an inflow equal to 2650 cfs and an outflow discharge equal to 2570 cfs with a stillwater elevation of 64.0 which will overtop the dam by 3.8 feet in a stillwater condition. The maximum outflow capacity of the spillway under stillwater conditions is 250 cfs which is 10 percent of the test flood.

It is recommended that the following items be studied further: The operability of the lowlevel blowoff, the valve for the 30 inch pipe as to leakage and operability, the downstream toe, the upstream face, and the spillway capacity.

The following remedial measures should be taken: The removal of vegetation from and repointing of joints on the dam, the monitoring of seepage, the development of a downstream warning plan and an inspection program, the removal of trees near the downstream face of the dam, and the clearing of the downstream channel.

Recommendations and remedial measures that should be implemented within one year of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report are further described in Section 7.

JAMES P. PURCELL ASSOCIATES, INC.

Sudhir A. Shah, P.E. Vice-President Connecticut P.E. No. 8012

3

了 心

Ę

Ę



This Phase I Inspection Report on Nash Pond Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

may M.Y.

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

BICHARD DIBUONO, MEMBER Water Control Branch Engineering Division

ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, CHAIRMAN Geotechnical Engineering Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

OE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

itelse efelse e

, ,

1111

3

12020

Server 2

1 N. W. W.

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation. However, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there by any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

CARLENCES CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect	tion		Page
Lette	er of	Transmittal	
Brief	As	sessment	
Revie	ew E	Board Page	
Prefa	ace		i
		Contents	ii-iv
		Contenta	n-i v
Over	viev	v Photo	v
Loca	tion	Мар	vi
		REPORT	
1. P	Proje	oct Information	
1	.1	General	1
		a. Authority	
		b. Purpose of Inspection	
1.	.2	Description of Project	1
	,	a. Location	
		b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	
		c. Size Classification d. Hazard Classification	
		e. Ownership	
		f. Operator	
		g. Purpose of Dam	
		h. Design and Construction History	
	i	i. Normal Operational Procedure	
1.	.3	Pertinent Data	3
2. E	ingin	eering Data	
2	2.1	Design	8

	<u>S</u>	
	2	
		•
N.		TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)
	37	Section Page
	-2	2.2 Construction 8
ĺ.	3	
	• ` .	2.4 Evaluation 8
11/1222020		3. Visual Inspection
		3.1 Findings 9
	Ľ	a. General
KORESCE ENGLAND	5	b. Dam c. Appurtenant Structures
		d. Reservoir Area e. Downstream Channel
	1942 I	3.2 Evaluation 11
		4. Operational and Maintenance Procedures
	1 3	4.1 Operational Procedures 12
	Ŋ	4.2 Maintenance of the Dam 12
		4.3 Maintenance of the Operating Facilities 12
		4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect 12
	22	4.5 Evaluation 12
7/-1		5. Evaluation of Hydraulic/Hydrologic Features
11		
		5.1 General 13
_		5.2 Design Data 13
	Ŋ	5.3 Experience Data 13
		5.4 Test Flood Analysis 13
		5.5 Dam Failure Analysis 14
	3	
		iii
		ᆆᄷᄷᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡᄡ

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

di di

	Section	Page
	6. Evaluation of Structural Stability	
	6.1 Visual Observations	15
	6.2 Design and Construction	15
	6.3 Post-Construction Changes	15
	6.4 Seismic Stability	15
	7. Assessment, Recommendations and Remedial Measures	
	7.1 Dam Assessment	16
	a. Condition b. Adequacy	
	b. Adequacy c. Urgency	
	7.2 Recommendations	16
İ	7.3 Remedial Measures	16
	a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures	
	7.4 Alternatives	17
	APPENDIXES	
l	Appendix A - Inspection Checklist	A-1
	Appendix B - Engineering Data	B-1
	Appendix C - Photographs	C-1
	Appendix D - Hydrologic and Hydraulic Computations	D-1
	Appendix E - Information as Contained in the	_ .
	National Inventory of Dams	E-1
	iv	





NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT

NAME OF DAM: NASH POND DAM

SECTION 1

PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

Same Care and

3.2.2.X.A.

3

Ś

7

a. Authority:Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army through the Corps of Engineers to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. James P. Purcell Associates, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Connecticut. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to James P. Purcell Associates, Inc., under a letter from William E. Hodgson, Jr., Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-80-C-0002 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose

- 1. Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
- Encourage and prepare the States to initiate quickly, effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
- 3. To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of the Project

a. Location: The Nash Pond Dam is located in Fairfield County, Connecticut, in the Town of Westport, approximately 0.7 miles southwest of Westport along Route 1 (See Plate No. 1). The dam impounds water from Stony Brook and is located approximately 3000 feet upstream of the Saugatuck River and immediately upstream of an apartment and commercial buildings. The impoundment is situated in a northwest/southeast direction, with the dam at the southeast end. The latitude is 41°-8'-14" and the longitude is 73°-22'-21".

All elevations used in this report are based on the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). Elevations are based on a spillway crest elevation of 57.0 estimated from available mapping.

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances:Nash Pond Dam is constructed of stone masonry and apparently with abutments and foundation keyed into rock. The length at the top of the dam is 105 feet and maximum depth is about 25 feet. The spillway is granite and is located in the center of the dam. It is an uncontrolled broad crested weir with a length of 16 feet and a crest elevation of 57.0. The maximum top width of the dam is approximately 7 feet and is 3.2 feet above the top of the spillway. The downstream face of the dam is vertical and is slightly arched in plan. The downstream channel has a natural bottom with a stone wall defining the east side downstream of the dam. This stone wall functions as a retaining wall for a building located approximately 50 feet downstream of the dam. The west side of channel has brush and small trees lining the bank, and a building approximately 40 feet downstream of the dam.

ł

Ň

.

SALESSES

Outlet works consist of a butterfly valve, with a manually operated control mechanism located in a masonry block on the east crest of the dam. The butterfly valve has an 18-inch or 24-inch square opening. This valve controls discharge into a 30-inch pipe extending from the dam along the east side of the downstream channel. The 30-inch pipe has two (2) 24-inch open blowoff ports, and transitions to a short length of 18-inch pipe at a sealed terminus. The purpose of this outlet structure is not known, but presumably, it was part of an uncompleted system for providing water power to the adjacent factory building. The low-level blowoff is reportedly the 2 foot by 2 foot opening in the lower east corner of the downstream face. Its intake location, condition and operability is unknown. However, it is possible that it is controlled by the smaller mechanism on the east crest of the dam.

- c. Size Classification: The size classification of this dam is SMALL as per the criteria set forth in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, by the Corps of Engineers. The impoundment storage at the top of the dam is 114 ac.-ft. (within the range 50-1000 ac.-ft.) and the maximum height of the dam is 25 feet (within the range 25-40 feet). The size classification is governed by height and storage.
- d. Hazard Classification: The hazard classification of this dam is HIGH as per the criteria set forth in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, by the Corps of Engineers. The failure of the dam would result in the loss of more than a few lives and excessive economic loss to the downstream urbanized area and to the two commerical buildings located along the spillway channel immediately downstream of the dam. Failure discharge can cause damage due to

high velocity impact from debris and flooding. The buildings immediately downstream will be inundated by approximately 12 feet and the confluence with the Saugatuck River would be flooded by approximately 3 feet.

e. Ownership: The present ownership of Nash Pond Dam could not be determined during this study. The last known owner was the Nash family:

Mrs. Edward Nash, Jr. P.O. Box 184 Saugatuck Station Westport, Connecticut 06880

It is possible that the present owner is the Nash - Webber Trust or the Nash Pond Association.

- f. Operator: There is no assigned operator of the Nash Pond Dam. The operation is informal and provided by either the Nash - Webber Trust or the Nash Pond Association.
- g. Purpose of Dam:Nash Pond Dam impounds water from Stony Brook and is presently used for recreational and aesthetic purposes.
- h. Design and Construction History:Nash Pond Dam, as it appears today, was constructed in 1879. It is believed that some type of structure, prior to the existing dam, has existed at this site since the 1700s. No design or construction plans are known to be in existence.
- i. Normal Operating Procedures:Normally all water is discharged over the spillway and operation of the butterfly valve is not regularly performed.

1.3 Pertinent Data

- Drainage Area: The Nash Pond Dam is located in Fairfield County, Connecticut. The drainage basin lies approximately 0.5 miles upstream of the confluence of Stony Brook with the Saugatuck River. The basin is generally rectangular in shape having a length of 3.8 miles and an average width of 0.8 miles. The total drainage area to the dam is 3.05 square miles (see drainage basin map in Appendix D). The topography is a generally moderate to steep terrain, with elevations ranging from a high of 370 feet to 57 feet at the spillway crest. Stream slopes are flat having average grades of 0.7 percent. The pond has a normal surface area of 11 acres which is 0.6 percent of the drainage area.
- b. Discharge at Dam Site:There is no specific discharge records available for this dam. Listed below are calculated discharge values for the spillway and outlet works (30 inch pipe).

- 2. Maximum known flood at dam site: Calculated to be approximately 650 cfs in 1955 based on a reported water level of 1.0 foot over the top of the dam.
- 3. Spillway capacity at top of dam: 250 cfs at elevation 60.2.
- 4. Spillway capacity at test flood elevation: 800 cfs at elevation 64.0.
- 5. Gated outlet capacity at normal pool elevation: 50 cfs at elevation 57.0.
- 6. Gated outlet capacity at test flood elevation: 80 cfs at elevation 64.0.
- 7. Gated outlet capacity at top of dam elevation: 65 cfs at elevation 60.2.
- 8. Total project discharge at top of dam: 315 cfs at elevation 60.2.
- 9. Total project discharge at test flood elevation: 2650 cfs at elevation 64.0.

c. Elevation (Ft. above NGVD)

d.

1.	Stream bed at toe of dam	35+/
2 .	Bottom of cutoff	Unknown
3.	Maximum tailwater	Unknown
4.	Recreation pool	N/A
5.	Full flood control pool	N/A
6.	Spillway crest (Normal Pool)	57.0
7.	Design surcharge (Original Design)	Unknown
8 .	Top of dam	60.2
9 .	Test flood level	64.0
Res	ervoir (Length in feet)	
1.	Normal pool	2200
2 .	Flood control pool	N/A

	3 .	Spillway crest pool	2200
	4.	Top of dam	3000
	5 .	Test flood pool	3500
8.	Sto	rage (acre-feet)	
	1.	Normal pool	58
	2 .	Flood control pool	N/A
	3.	Spillway crest pool	58
	4.	Top of dam	114
	5 .	Test flood pool	233
f.	Res	ervoir Surface (acres)	
	1.	Normal pool	11
	2.	Flood control pool	N/A
	3.	Spillway crest	11
	4 .	Test flood pool	35
	5.	Top of dam	25
g.	Dar	n	
	1.	Туре	Stone Masonry
	2.	Length	105 feet
	3.	Height	25 feet
	4.	Top Width	5 to 7 feet
	5.	Side Slopes	Upstream: Vertical above spillway level Downstream: Vertical

	6 .	Zoning	Unknown
	7 .	Impervious Core	Unknown
	8.	Cutoff	Unknown
	9.	Grout Curtain	Unknown
•	Div	ersion and Regulating Tunnel	N/A
	Spil	lway	
	1.	Туре	Overflow broad crested uncon- trolled weir
	2.	Length of weir	16.0 ft.
	3.	Crest elevation	57.0
	4.	Gates	None
	5.	U/S Channel	Natural bed
	6 .	D/S Channel	Stone walls and buildings along banks

j. Regulating Outlets

h.

i.

Refer to Paragraph 1.2b "Description of Dam and Appurtenances" for description of Outlet Works.

1.	Size and inverts	30 inch pipe:
		Invert 51.+/-
		Low-level Blowoff:
		Invert unknown.
2.	Description	30 inch pipe:
		Cast iron.
		Low-level blowoff:
		Unknown



Control mechanism 3.

Hand operated gear mechanisms on the top of the dam.

SECTION 2

in here all a called at

ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

ALAR BEERS STREET

ંડ

. . بر

ÿ

and the second

There are no available records presenting design information for the construction of the Nash Pond Dam.

2.2 Construction

There are no available records of the construction or subsequent repairs to this dam.

2.3 Operation

No formal records of operation are maintained for this facility.

2.4 Evaluation

- a. Availability: The information concerning this dam was gathered only by field investigation and meetings with representatives of the Nash Webber Trust.
- b. Adequacy: The lack of indepth engineering did not allow a definite review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on the visual inspection, the dam's past performance, and sound engineering judgment.
- c. Validity: The validity of the limited information available could not be verified.

a allasis

SECTION 3

VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

Active average data

SALANCE ST

attended a second

のいたない

STATES -

4

ŝ,

યુ

¥.

.

a. General: The visual inspection of the Nash Pond Dam was conducted on November 15, 1979 and a copy of the visual inspection check list is contained in Appendix A of this report.

The following procedure was used;

- 1. Inspection of the upstream area of the pond created by the dam.
- 2. Visual inspection of the face and crest of the dam and the spillway for cracks, loose stones, leakage, etc.
- 3. Inspection of the outlet works and other appurtenances as to their existence, location, and operability.
- 4. Review of procedures that could be utilized in the event of an emergency situation.
- A check of the downstream area for seepage, piping, boils or other indications of abnormal conditions. The downstream hazard potential in the event of dam failure was investigated.
- 6. Photographs of the general area of the dam and of specific items of note were taken and are included in Appendix C of this report.

Before the inspection, the available existing data and aerial photographs were studied and reviewed.

b. Dam

- 1. Crest: The top of the dam is constructed of stone masonry with no evidence of settlement or misalignment (Photos C-3, C-4). Grass is growing between some of the stones. The east crest supports the control mechanisms for the outlet works. The crest width varies from approximately 5 feet (west crest and east abutment) to 7 feet (east crest at the spillway).
- 2. Upstream Face: The upstream face of the dam is stone masonry with a vertical face above the water level at the time of the inspection, which was approximately 34 inches below the top of the dam. The face is free of vegetation (Photo C-2).

3. Downstream Face: The downstream face is also stone masonry with a vertical face. Grass and vines are growing on the face and small trees are growing from the ground immediately below the dam (Photo C-1). Leakage was noted on the downstream face below both the dam's east crest (Photo C-8) and west crest (Photo C-9). The dam appears to be keyed into rock at both abutments.

The face below the dam's east crest contains a plaque which reads "Erected by E. H. Nash, 1879. B. H. Hull, Engineer" (Photo C-10).

c. Appurtenant Structures

TRANSPORT STATES STATES

A ALACE INSTANCES

Latic

5

3

- Spillway: The spillway is a 16 foot long and 5 foot wide broad crested weir with a 16.8 foot free drop to the tailwater (Photo C-1). It is constructed of capstones which overhang the downstream face of the dam by approximately 6 inches (Photo C-4). Water was flowing over the spillway at the time of the inspection. Mortar is missing from the joints between the stones on the wall at the west end of the spillway (Photo C-4).
- 2. Low Level Outlet: A 2 foot by 2 foot square opening in the downstream face (Photo C-7) indicates the presence of a low level outlet. No other information concerning this outlet was available from the visual inspection. It is possible that the smaller mechanism on the east crest (Photo C-6) is the control for this outlet. No record of past operation was available from the representative of the Nash - Webber Trust.
- 3. 30 Inch Pipe Outlet: This outlet is regulated by an 18 or 24 inch square butterfly valve controlled by the larger mechanism on the east crest (Photo C-5). The invert is unknown but presumed to be 6 to 12 feet below the top of the dam. The pond was drained via this outlet in the 1960s. In the Summer of 1978, the butterfly valve self-opened and drew down the pond approximately 1 foot before being reclosed by members of the Nash Webber Trust and the Nash Pond Association. Reportedly, the valve requires a precise orientation in the open position to prevent it from turning 180 degrees and reclosing.

The 30 inch cast iron pipe extends through the dam and along the east bank of the downstream channel within a masonry wall and a building foundation wall. The pipe exits the building wall and continues along the east bank to a sealed terminus consisting of a short section of 18 inch pipe and a 42 inch diameter tank-like structure on each side. Reportedly, these structures at the terminus were part of an unconstructed water power facility for the adjacent building. Two 24 inch blow-offs, one vertical (Photo C-11) and one horizontal, allow the discharge of water to the channel. The pipe has rusted through in places and leakage from the wall along the east bank containing the pipe below the dam is occurring. This indicates possibly a rusted pipe and leaking valve or leakage through the dam along the pipe.

d. Reservoir Area: The impoundment created by the dam is a relatively narrow flooded portion of the natural riverbed. There are gentle slopes on the valley walls surrounding the reservoir, and bedrock appears to be at or near the surface. No geologic features were detected that could be expected to adversely affect the dam or its appurtenant structures.

Trespassing on the dam is prohibited. However, the area is not fenced and is located near well traveled roads. Evidence of trespassing was noted during this inspection in the form of paths through the woods leading to the dam.

e. Downstream Channel: The downstream channel is fairly straight and uniform with walls or buildings lining each bank (Photo C-12) except for approximately 40 feet immediately below the dam on the west side. Numerous trees overhang the channel and the channel contains considerable brush, debris, snags and vegetative growth.

3.2 Evaluation

10000 (1000)

THE REPORT

Anteres success succession where the

È.

Ş

Based on the visual inspection, the Nash Pond Dam appears to be in fair condition overall, and there were no major areas of distress noted. Specific areas of concern that were noted are:

The presence of leakage and vegetative growth on the downstream face of the dam.

The missing mortar on the spillway wall at the west edge.

The possible leakage along or through the 30 inch outlet works.

The structural capacity cannot be evaluated due to the unknown conditions within and below the dam.

SECTION 4

OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURE

4.1 Operational Procedures

There are presently no operational procedures for the Nash Pond Dam. It has only a recreational purpose at this time.

4.2 Maintenance of the Dam

There is no regular maintenance schedule for this dam. The downstream channel has a natural bottom, and stone retaining walls form the banks. Upstream of the dam, the shore is in a natural state.

4.3 Maintenance of the Operating Facilities

No maintenance of the outlet works is presently performed. There is no record of prior operation of the low level blowoff and, due to years of activity and the accumulation of silt, it is probable that this outlet is inoperable. The butterfly valve for the 30 inch pipe opened by itself in the Summer of 1978, and was subsequently reclosed.

4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect

No formal emergency or contingency plan is in effect to reduce or minimize downstream damage.

4.5 Evaluation

Survey Parkage

Same Or

1007

2

2

223

To insure the safety of the residents and industries downstream, a regular inspection and maintenance program should be developed and implemented.

SECTION 5

EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES

5.1 General

The Nash Pond Dam creates an impoundment with a total storage capacity of 58 ac.ft. at elevation 57.0, the spillway crest elevation. Each foot of depth in the reservoir above the spillway crest can accommodate approximately 18 ac.-ft. The drainage area is 3.05 square miles and the normal pond area is 11 acres or 0.6 percent of the watershed. Stream slopes are flat having average grades of 0.7 percent. The spillway is a 5 foot wide broad crested weir 16 feet in length and 3.2 feet below the top of the dam.

5.2 Design Data

- a. No specific design data is available for this watershed or the structures of the Nash Pond Dam. In lieu of existing design information, USGS topographic maps (scale 1"=2000') were utilized to develop hydrologic parameters such as drainage area, basin length, time of concentration, and other runoff characteristics. Elevation-storage relations for the Nash Pond were approximated. The pond surface area and surcharge storage was computed using the USGS maps. Some of the pertinent hydraulic design data was obtained and/or confirmed by actual field measurements at the time of the visual inspection.
- b. Outflow values (routing procedures) and dam overtopping analyses were computed in accordance with the guidelines developed by the Corps of Engineers. Judgment was used in calculating final values outlined in this report, which are quite approximate and should not be considered a substitute for actual detailed analysis.

5.3 Experience Data:

Historical data for recorded discharges is not available for this dam. The maximum discharge to date occurred in 1955 and was calculated to be approximately 650 cfs corresponding to a reported water level of 12 inches over the top of the dam. Several houses upstream were reportedly flooded.

5.4 Test Flood Analysis:

Recommended guidelines for the Safety Inspection of Dams by the Corps of Engineers were used for the selection of the "Test Flood". This dam is classified as a HIGH

hazard and SMALL size structure. Guidelines indicate that a range of 1/2 to the full Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) be used as the "Test Flood" for these classifications. A test flood of 1/2 PMF was chosen because of the size on the low side of the small category and the hazard is on the low side of the high category. The watershed has a total area of 3.05 square miles. Snyder's lag was calculated to be 3.6 hours and a Snyder peaking coefficient of 0.625 was used. The 200 square mile - 24 hour probable maximum precipitation (PMP) is 22 inches. The flood hydrograph package, HEC-1 computer program, developed by the Corps of Engineers was utilized to develop the inflow hydrograph, route the flood through the reservoir, and for the dam overtopping analysis. The test flood inflow was calculated to be 2650 cfs. The outlet works were assumed to be closed for this analysis.

上 日

5

2

The spillway capacity is hydraulically inadequate to pass the "Test Flood" (1/2 PMF) and overtopping of the dam will occur. The maximum outflow capacity of the spillway without overtopping the dam is 250 cfs. This corresponds to 10 percent of the test flood and a storage above the spillway level of 56 ac.-ft. The maximum outflow discharge value for the test flood is 2570 cfs corresponding to a depth of flow over the top of the dam of 3.8 feet and a storage above the spillway level of 175 ac.-ft. A spillway rating curve, outlet works rating curve, and a reservoir surface area-capacity curve are included in Appendix D of this report.

At the spillway crest elevation of 57.0, the capacity of the 30 inch outlet structure is 65 cfs. It will require approximately 3 hours to lower the water level the first foot assuming a water surface area of 11 acres, normal inflow conditions, and use of the outlet works to regulate the water level for expected inflows. When the pond was drained in the 1960s, it reportedly took several days to completely drain the 19ke.

5.5 Dam Failure Analysis

MARKENSALANE AND AND A TATATATATATA

This dam is classified as a high hazard structure. Failure discharge can cause the loss of more than a few lives and damage due to high velocities, impact from debris, and flooding to 2 - 3 residential homes and two commercial buildings along the downstream channel. Also, an apartment building immediately downstream of the dam would suffer damage in the event of a dam failure.

The calculated dam failure discharge is 3360 cfs at a pool level equal to the top of the dam. At this elevation, the downstream discharge before failure will be the full spillway capacity of 250 cfs corresponding to a depth of flow of 1-2 feet in the downstream channel. Failure will produce a water surface level of approximately 12.0 feet immediately downstream from the dam. The failure discharge will effect downstream areas for a distance of 3000 feet from the dam. At this distance, the water surface level will be approximately 0 - 1 foot above normal observations as it enters the Saugatuck River. Beyond 3000 feet, the effects of the failure discharge will be reduced as it enters the Saugatuck River. Water surface elevations due to the failure of the dam are listed in Appendix D. Probable consequences including the prime impact areas are also listed in Appendix D.

SECTION 6

EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Visual Observation

The visual inspection revealed no signs of major physical distress in the structure. However, leakage was noted on the downstream face.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

There is insufficient design and construction data to permit a formal evaluation of stability.

6.3 Post-Construction Changes

An older dam is thought to have existed at the site since the 1700s. The present dam was built in 1879 and is believed to have remained essentially unchanged.

6.4 Seismic Stability

ij

2

The dam is in Seismic Zone 1 and hence does not require evaluation for seismic stability according to the Corps of Engineers Recommended Guidelines.

SECTION 7

ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

- a. Condition:Based on the visual inspection, past performance and hydraulic/hydrologic evaluation, the Nash Pond Dam and appurtenances are judged to be generally in FAIR condition. Items of concern that should be addressed as a result of this inspection are listed in Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- b. Adequacy of Information: The absence of existing engineering data did not allow for definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of the dam is based on visual inspection, past performance history, and engineering judgment.
- c. Urgency: The recommendations and remedial measures described below should be implemented by the owner within one year after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

7.2 Recommendations

and the analysis and an and the

73

It is recommended that the owner engage a qualified registered engineer to carry out the following actions and that his recommendations be implemented.

- a. The location, condition and operability of the low level blowoff be ascertained.
- b. A reliable procedure for operation of the 30 inch pipe outlet be designed, and the outlet works checked for leakage along the pipe or through the valve.
- c. A detailed hydrologic/hydraulic investigation to determine the need and means of increasing the discharge capacity of the project.
- d. The upstream face of the dam be visually inspected.
- e. The downstream toe be checked for potential undermining.

7.3 Remedial Measures

- a. Operational and Maintenance Procedures
 - 1. The vegetation should be removed from the joints and the joints repointed on the faces and crest of the dam, as required.

- 2. The seepage on the downstream face should be monitored to note any change from the existing conditions.
- 3. Develop a downstream warning and surveillance plan, including round-theclock monitoring during heavy precipitation.
- 4. Institute a program of annual periodic technical inspection with special emphasis on the joint between the dam and the abutments at the valley walls.
- 5. The trees in the vicinity of the downstream face of the dam should be removed and the downstream channel cleared of debris, snags and vegetation.

7.4 Alternatives

Ŋ

Remove the dam.

APPENDIX A

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

INSPECT	TION CHECK LIST
PARTY	ORGANIZATION
PROJECT Nash Pond Dam	DATE November 15, 1979 TIME 8:30 - 10:30 A.M. WEATHER Clear W.S. ELEV. U.S. DN.S
PARTY: 1. R. Johnston, JPPA 2. R. Lyon, JPPA 3. J. Chastanet, CWDD 4 5	
PROJECT FFATURE	INSPFCTED BY REMARKS
PROJECT FFATURE 1. Hydraulics	INSPFCTED BY REMARKS R. Johnston
PROJECT FFATURE 1. <u>Hydraulics</u> 2. <u>Structural</u> 3. <u>Geotechnical</u>	INSPFCTED BY REMARKS R. Johnston R. Lyon J. Chastanet
PROJECT FFATURE 1. Hydraulics 2. Structural 3. Geotechnical 4	INSPFCTFD BY RFMARKS R. Johnston R. Lyon J. Chastanet
PROJECT FFATURE 1. Hydraulics 2. Structural 3. Geotechnical 4	INSPFCTED BY REMARKS R. Johnston R. Lyon J. Chastanet
PROJECT FFATURE 1. Hydraulics 2. Structural 3. Geotechnical 4	INSPFCTFD BY RFMARKS R. Johnston R. Lyon J. Chastanet
PROJECT FFATURE 1. Hydraulics 2. Structural 3. Geotechnical 4	INSPFCTFD BY RFMARKS R. Johnston R. Lyon J. Chastanet

and the state of the

Charles and the second design of the second
ŝ

33

F]

IN	SPECTION	CHECK LIST
PROJECT Nash Pond Dam DATE November 15, 197		DATE November 15, 1979
PROJECT FEATURE		NAME
DISCIPLINE		NAME
AREA EVALUATED		CONDITION
DAM EMBANKMENT		
Crest Elevation	60.2	Good - stone masonry
Current Pool Elevation	57.0	34" below crest
Maximum Impoundment to Da	te	1 foot over crest in 1955
Surface Cracks		Minor cracks in mortar and s
Pavement Condition		open joints N/A
Movement or Settlement of	Crest	None observed
Lateral Movement		None observed
Vertical Alignment		Good
Horizontal Alignment		Possible bulge by a few stor
Condition at Abutment and Concrete Structures	at	in the downstream face Abutments apparently founded rock
Indications of Movement o Structural Items on Slop		None observed
Trespassing on Slopes Vegetation on Slopes Sloughing or Erosion of S or Abutments	lopes	Not permitted Grass and vines on downstrea None observed
Rock Slope Protection - R Failures	iprap	N/A
Unusual Movement or Crack or near Toes	ing at	None observed
Unusual Embankment or Dow Seepage	nstream	Slight steady seepage at abu ments and downstream face
Piping or Boils		None observed
Foundation Drainage Featu	res	None observed
Toe Drains		None observed
Instrumentation System		None observed
	A-2	

INSPECTION CHECK LIST	
PROJECT Nash Pond Dam	DATE November 15, 1979
PROJECT FEATURE	NAME
DISCIPLINE	NAME
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE	
a. Approach Channel	Entire lake bed under water
b. Intake Structures	
30 inch pipe	A square butterfly valve ap imately 18 inches to 24 inc controls discharge into the inch pipe. Operated by a v
	<pre>stem extending from masonry on the east crest. Reporte operational.</pre>
Low Level Blowoff	Intake location, condition operability unknown. Possi controlled by the smaller mechanism on the east crest
·	
A-3	
INSPECTIO	ON CHECK LIST
--	--
PROJECT Nash Pond Dam	DATE November 15, 1979
PROJECT FEATURE	NAME
DISCIPLINE	NAME
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT	
a. 30 inch pipe	A 30 inch metal pipe encased i masonry leads from the dam, al the wall of the building on th east bank below the dam. The pipe exits the building and continues on piers to a capped end. The pipe has rusted thro in places.
b. Low Level Blowoff	Location, type and condition of conduit unknown.
A-4	

INSPECTIO	ON CHECK LIST
PROJECT Nash Pond Dam	DATE November 15, 1979
PROJECT FEATURE	NAME
DISCIPLINE	NAME
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL	
a. 30 inch pipe	The pipe terminates in a seal end with a 42 inch diameter tank-like structure on each s One vertical and one horizont 24 inch open blowoffs located upstream of the terminus will
	allow water to be discharged from the pipe.
b. Low Level Blowoff	A 2 foot by 2 foot square opening in the downstream fac of the dam.
· ·	
A-5	

INSPEC	CTION CHECK LIST
PROJECT Nash Pond Dam	DATE November 15, 1979
PROJECT FEATURE	NAME
DISCIPLINE	NAME
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNEL	<u>s</u>
a. Approach Channel	Entire lake bed - under water.
General Condition	
Loose Rock Overhanging Ch	annel
Trees Overhanging Channel	
Floor of Approach Channel	
b. Weir	
General Condition of Masc	nry Good
Rust or Staining	N/A
Spalling	None observed
Any Visible Reinforcing	None observed
Any Seepage or Effloresce	nce Spillway flowing - none visibl
Drain Holes	None observed
c. Discharge Channel	Rectangular masonry channel an buildings.
General Condition	Fair - masonry deteriorating, debris and snags in the channe
Loose Rock Overhanging Ch	
Trees Overhanging Channel	Yes
Floor of Channel	Debris, snags, stones
Other Obstructions	Stone piers for 30 inch pipe.
· ·	A-6

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA

APPENDIX B-1

- - -

DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS AND LOCATION

Mr. Victor J. Galgowski Dam Safety Engineer Water and Related Resources Unit **Department of Environmental Protection** State of Connecticut State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06115

3

5

3

ŠX.

water a state of the state of t

APPENDIX B-2

e eleter et el el el el el

172

and the second
COPIES OF PAST INSPECTION REPORTS

BUCK & BUCK

ENGINEERS

71 CAPITOL AVENUE, HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06106

JANUS A. THOMPHON ROBDISOF W. BUCE

ł

2

3

and support the second support the

Comm. 5713-59

February 14, 1972

Mr. William H. O'Brien III Department of Environmental Protection Water and Related Resources State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Re: Nash Pond Stoney Brook Westport, Connecticut

Dear Mr. O'Brien:

While in the vicinity of Lee Pond, we made an inspection of the subject dam.

It is a massive stone masonry structure built in 1879 in excellent condition. There is some minor leakage on the south face; but, in general, the dam appears very sound.

Sincerely yours,

BUCK & BUCK

James A. Thompson

WATER & RELATED RESOURCES RECEIVED

IEB 1 4 1972

ANSWERED	
HEFERRED	
FILED	

B-3

11 TOLY 1964 TOLY 1964 Name of Dam or Pond AISH PLOD Code No. SA 22 SI 0.5 Nearest Street Location DINCS 14.600444 Town WESTPERT U.S.G.S. Quad. WESTPERT Name of Stream STENCY Owner EDWARD C.MARD C.MASH 7 Address 31 Make of Stream STENCY Owner EDWARD C.MARD C.MASH 7 Address 31 MINES MEENWAY WESTPERT BREEN Owner EDWARD C.MARD C.MASH 7 Address 31 MARES MEENWAY WESTPERT Presender Dimensions of Pond: Width 200 FEET Location of Spillway CENTER OF DAM Height of Pond Above Stream Bed 25 Type of Spillway Construction MASCORY Type of Spillway Construction MASCORY Downstream Conditions WESTPORT Summary of File Data SUEARY	rentoried.	SUPERVISI	CES COUNISSION ON OF DAMS RY DATA	78		
Code No. SI 2 2 SI 0 5 Nearest Street Location UNCS HIGHWAY Town WESTPART U.S.G.S. Quad. WESTPART Name of Stream STON/ BROCK Owner EDWARD C Address 31 MINES HIGHWAY WESTPART Name of Stream STON/ BROCK Owner EDWARD C NASH 3 Address 31 MINES HIGHWAY WESTPART MESTPART Pond Used For RECREATION DM 3.C Dimensions of Pond: Width 200 FEET Length 1 Area 40 Total Length of Dam 100 FEET Length of Spillway 15 FEE Location of Spillway CENTER OF DAM Height of Pond Above Stream Bed 25 FEET Height of Embankment Above Spillway 3 FEET Type of Spillway Construction MASONRY Type of Dike Construction MASONRY Type of Dike Construction MASONRY Summary of File Data	e 21 JULY 1964	_				-
Code No. SI 2 2 SI 0 5 Nearest Street Location UNCS HIGHWAY Town WESTPART U.S.G.S. Quad. WESTPART Name of Stream STON/ BROCK Owner EDWARD C Address SI MINES HIGHWAY WESTPART Name of Stream STON/ BROCK Owner EDWARD C NASH 7 Address SI MINES HIGHWAY WESTPART MESTPART Address SI MINES HIGHWAY WESTPART MESTPART Pond Used For RECRET Length of Pond: Width 200 FEET Location of Spillway CENTER OF DAM Height of Pond Above Stream Bed 25 FEET Height of Embankment Above Spillway S FEET Type of Spillway Construction MASONRY Type of Dike Construction MASONRY Summary of File Data Strong Tool Above ASTRENT	Name of Dam or I	Pond	Parod			
Nearest Street Location blocs histicat Town WESTPERT U.S.G.S. Quad. WESTPERT Name of Stream STON/ BROCK Owner EDWARD Commer EDWARD Address 31 MINES Mine of Stream STON/ BROCK Owner EDWARD Address 31 MINES Mine of Stream Stream WESTPORT DA 3.00 Dimensions of Pond: Width 200 FEET Location of Spillway CENTER OF Location of Spillway CENTER OF Location of Spillway CENTER OF Height of Pond Above Stream Bed 25 FEET Height of Embankment Above Spillway 3 FEET Type of Spillway Construction MASONRY Type of Dike Construction MASONRY Downstream Conditions WESTPORT Summary of File Data						
Town WESTPENT U.S.G.S. Qund. WESTPENT Name of Stream STON/ BFOOK Owner EDWARD C. NASH ? Address 31 WINES HIGHWAY						
Name of Stream STON/ BROCK Owner EDWARD C NASH 7 Address 31 MINES HIGHWAY	•					•
Owner EDWARD C NASH ? Address 31 MINES HIGHWAY	U.S.G.S. Quad	. WESTPORT				· •
Owner EDWARD C NASH ? Address 31 MINCS HIGHWAY	Name of Strea	IM STUN/ BRO				•
WESTPORT Pond Used For RECREATION And Be Dimensions of Pond: Width Too FEET Length 1 Area 40 Total Length of Dam 100 FEET Length of Spillway 15 FEE Location of Spillway CENTER OF DAM Height of Pond Above Stream Bed 25 FEET Height of Embankment Above Spillway 3 FEET Type of Spillway Construction MASONRY Type of Dike Construction NIASONRY Downstream Conditions WESTPORT Summary of File Data ON WEST Remarks LEAKAGE NOTED			-		:	•
Pond Used For RECREATION M 3.0 Dimensions of Pond: Width 200 FEET Length 1 Area Area Total Length of Dam 100 FEET Length of Spillway 15 FEE Location of Spillway CENTER OF DAM Height of Pond Above Stream Bed 25 FEET Height of Embankment Above Spillway 3 FEET Type of Spillway Construction MASONRY Type of Dike Construction MASONRY Downstream Conditions WEST YORT Summary of File Data ON WEST Remarks LEAKAGE NOTED ON WEST	Address 3	I MINES HIE	HW 4Y			
Poind Used For		ULSTPORT				
Poind Used For					· · · · ·	
Dimensions of Pond: Width <u>200 FEET</u> Length <u>2000 FEET</u> Area <u>40</u> Total Length of Dam <u>100 FEET</u> Length of Spillway <u>15 FEE</u> Location of Spillway <u>CENTER OF DAM</u> Height of Pond Above Stream Bed <u>25 FEET</u> Height of Embankment Above Spillway <u>3 FEET</u> Type of Spillway Construction <u>MASONRY</u> Type of Dike Construction <u>MASONRY</u> Downstream Conditions <u>WEST VORT</u> Summary of File Data <u>UEST VORT</u>	Pond Used For	RECREATIO	\mathbf{v}		১ন	3.075
Height of Embankment Above Spillway						_
Type of Spillway Construction	Total Length of Location of Spil	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>(</u>	T Length of	Spillway A.M		_
Type of Dike Construction NASONRY Downstream Conditions WESTPORT Summary of File Data Summary of File Data Remarks LEAKAGE NOTED ON WEST ABUTMENT	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>()</u> bove Stream Bed	T Length of ENTER OF D 25 FEET	Spillway A.M		_
Downstream Conditions <u>WESTPORT</u> Summary of File Data Remarks <u>LEAKAGE NOTED ON WEST ABUTMENT</u>	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>(1</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills	T Length of ENTER OF D 25 FEET way 3 FEET	Spillway A.~N		FEET
Summary of File Data	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>CE</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction	T Length of ENTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3</u> FEET MASONRY	Spillway A.M		FEET
REMARKS LEAKAGE NOTED ON WEST ABUTMENT	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway Type of Dike Con	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>(1</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction <u>N</u>	T Length of ENTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3 FEET</u> MASONRY ASONRY	Spillway A.M		FEET
REMARKS LEAKAGE NOTED ON WEST ABUTMENT	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway Type of Dike Con	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>(1</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction <u>N</u>	T Length of ENTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3 FEET</u> MASONRY ASONRY	Spillway A.M		FEET
	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway Type of Dike Con Downstream Condi	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>CE</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction <u>N</u> struction <u>NEST</u>	T Length of TNTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3 FEET</u> MASONRY ASONRY VORT	Spillway A.M		FEET
	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway Type of Dike Con Downstream Condi	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>CE</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction <u>N</u> struction <u>NEST</u>	T Length of TNTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3 FEET</u> MASONRY ASONRY VORT	Spillway A.M		FEET
	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway Type of Dike Con Downstream Condi Summary of File	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>CE</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction <u>N</u> struction <u>NEST</u> Data	T Length of TNTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3 FEET</u> MASONRY NORT	Spillway A.M		FEET
	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway Type of Dike Con Downstream Condi Summary of File Remarks <u>LEAK</u>	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>(1</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction <u>N</u> struction <u>N</u> tions <u>WEST</u> Data <u></u>	T Length of TNTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3 FEET</u> MASONRY NORT	Spillway A.M		FEET
	Total Length of Location of Spil Height of Pond A Height of Embank Type of Spillway Type of Dike Con Downstream Condi Summary of File Remarks <u>LEAK</u>	Dam <u>100 FEE</u> lway <u>(1</u> bove Stream Bed ment Above Spills Construction <u>N</u> struction <u>N</u> tions <u>WEST</u> Data <u></u>	T Length of TNTER OF D 25 FEET way <u>3 FEET</u> MASONRY NORT	Spillway A.M		FEET

GIV

.

B-

~1. ~1. N. M. C.

APPENDIX B-3

er de la constru

Х Х

N.

1943

ÿ

X

7

18980

RECORD DRAWINGS AND SKETCHES



STATES MARKE

AND AND A DESCRIPTION OF A





APPENDIX C

CALSO CALSO CALSO

added and the shall a

ы Ч

222

3

.

X

and the second second second second

 N. N. N. N. M. M. M.

 ٠.•

PHOTOGRAPHS







रणाणः भः



and a satural a satur

Server Reference

A WAY A MARK

Y

3

Ę

222

Ŷ.

C-5 OUTLET CONTROL MECHANISM ON EASTERN TOP OF DAM FOR 30 INCH PIPE



C-6 SUSPECTED OUTLET CONTROL MECHANISM ON EASTERN TOP OF DAM - USE UNKNOWN

C-3



「日日日」」、それの日本人を見て、原日日日日日、一日日日日日、

The state of the part of the state of the st

のないのないです。

なままなまでの 一次なるときますのの





APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS



67200000 (KSSSSSS) (MMMMMM), (MMMMM) - 188

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS SUMMARY SHEET

Dam Nash Pond Dam

Contraction of the second s

and a subscription of the second s

5

Ser.

S:

Test Flood 1/2 PMF

INFLOW HYDROGRAPH DEVELOPMENT

Drainage Area 3.05 sq. mi.

Probable Maximum Precipation 24 hour - 200 square mile PMP 22 inches

Initial Railfall Loss <u>0</u> Inch Uniform Railfall loss <u>.1</u> Inch

Snyder's Lag <u>3.6</u> hours Snyder's Peaking Coefficient <u>.625</u>

Test Flood Inflow 2650 CFS

PMF Inflow 5300 CFS

RESERVOIR ROUTING AND DAM OVERTOPPING

Test Flood Outflow2570	CFS	
Spillway Capacity at Top of Da	250 	CFS % of Test Flood
Flow Over Spillway at Test Flo	od 800	CFS
Top of Dam Elevation 60	.0Feet.2Feet.0Feet	

Sector Contraction of the sector of the s

25	5-5-25	a construction of the second se	Services.		مزمرم:		2.4.2	1.1.6		<u> </u>								
	·1.																	
	3	ť	, ·	•					·									
																		-
	3							•										
	Í																	
	-3																	
	5																	
	•																	
X.																		
			F															
					•													
	28																	
	6773 1775				•		-											
ANNUA.												- - - -						
	-				N	•	• -		ANAL YS IS								,	
						1 МУДНОGRAPH			ANAL									
				£ RJ	•	Y DHO(0 0	• -	5NI d	7								
	Ň			ר הט	_				OVERTOPPING			ı						
	T i			`	•	U INFLOW	142.	• =		•								
				79-905	•	0 T 0F			LAKE- 1	•								
	Ż			NO. 7 CT.		- DEVELOPMENT	3.1 133.											
1 1 N	<u>K</u>				•	0 Evelu	• • •	-	INFLOW WYDROGRAPH THRU	•	s.							
A A A A	3			ANALYSIS - JOB JAM - WESTPOHT.		ā	124.		ROGRI		9 9	•		:			,	
	হা			L YSI - NE	• -	PMF	 	0 ° 0	ы Ч Ч С Н С О Ч	e •	2.7		:		:			
XVVCC.				LANA	•	N OF	~ =	N	FLON	90	- 0 -	•					•	
				***** Nam Safety Ana <u>Nash Ponu Uam</u> 01-00-80	- ~	1.0 1 Computation of	22. 22.	•625 •05	, 19, 19,		16.0							
d.	2		11-0 11-0	AH S ASH S BO-00	•	NAMO		•	POUT ING	č								
F _{1}			ечезациезорододенно РМ Рагкабс (HEC-1) SION JULY 197H ATION 26 FEA 79		55-1	ŗeŭ	- 0 0	3.6 7.1	· Č c	11.	57.	66					1 • •	
31 19 19			с в с в с 2 5 5 F	888 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	รธิวร์	<u> </u>	ICH	3 × ¥		- 4 L								
いが、読みがで	E			* * * 4	.	/ # #					•••	-						
			<pre>sessissessessessessessessessesses fluud hydrokraph parkage dam Safety version last modification _ >6 (</pre>	**************************************														
				\$ \$ \$														
	98			* * * - N M	+	- 20 0 1	924	<u>.</u> 43	92	800	122	0						
			FLUC DAH	* #		•												
4. 34	A							-		÷		D 3						

			S	3		3	22	Î	5		S.			3		A D
SAFETY SAFETY Safety	FLOUD MYURDGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1) Par Safety Version 26 FER 79 Last montfication 26 FER 79		***** (HEC-1) LV 1978 ER 79													-
ATE INE	UATEO N1/24/80. TIMEU 14.26.40.															
			DAM SAFETY ANA Nash Pund Dam 01-08-80	ETY ANALYSIS ND DAM - WES D	- TP-I	M0. 7 CT.	9-915 /	69 FXJ								
		NG 15	NHR I	2 9 1 2 2	11.44 10PER 10PER	JOB SPEC Ink Net	IFICATION IMIN LAOPT	N METHC 1RACE	1 1 1 1 5	а 1 на I 1	ns t an O					•
		RT 1	RTIOS*	·	MULTI-PLAN NPLAN	ANALYS	10	НЕ РЕНFURMED LHT10= 1	ED							-
	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +				ů †			1		:	•					
		5	COMPUTATION OF	ON OF PMF		HUND 4ENT	5 E	COMPUTATIUN Inflow hydhograph	варн							
					I COMP	lecon 0	ITAPE U	JPL I 0	JPRT 0	INAME I	ISTAGE 0	I AUTO				
		1 мүрб 1	1046	1AREA 3.10	SNAP 0.00	НУЦНО6Н ТН50 л 3 . 10	APH UATA 145PC 0.00	A4110 0.000	0 NONS I	ISAM	E LUCAL	9 5				
COM	IKSPC COMPUTED HY THE	HE PROGRAM	PFL 1.00	7М5 22400 .800	110.1	PHEC1 H12 124.00	P DATA 424 133.00	00.241 142.00	R72 0.00	R96 0.00						
	L КОР Т 0	_	STHKR UL	UL TKM MT 0.00	10L F	FRAIN STF	DATA 1x5 00	RTIUK ST 1.40 0	51H1L CN	CNSTL AL .10 0	AL SMK R	RT 1MP 0.00				
					1P= 3.	UNIT HYDRC 3.60 CF	068.444 0A1A P= .63	TA=	•							
APPHOX 1MATF	ATE CLAMK COFFFICIENTS	nt FF ICI	ENTS FROM	STHTO GIVEN	I.1 SNYDEH	KECESS UKCS	I'NN DATA N= 05 ARE TC= 4.	1	HTIOR= 2.00 And H= 3.37	0 17 INTERVALS	VALS					
		1111	нтараскарн 155. 38.		20 END-OF-PEMIOD 217. 339. 24. 21.	0H010A0 405 15	5	6= 3.63 220. 11.	ноирс. 169. 8.	CP= .63 125. 6.	- 101	°1.00 93. 5.	69. 3.			

1.27 43233. 83.)(1224.22) 3.27 ----ě ē ē ā ē, ē 24.99 21.72 (635.)(552.)(00.0 9.00 0.00 ĕ 5 š 0.00 00.00 0.00 00.00 00.0 00.0 00.0 0.00 VOLUME +3234. 1224. 21.62 549.21 3573. 3573. 669 70 70 70 70 70 SUN 5 TOTAL 15.00 72-HUUR 600. 17. 21.62 549.21 3573. 3573. 8 8. 0 a 0 0 ë 24-HOUN 1724. 44. 20.69 525.52 3419. 4217. -----20 PEAK 5298. 150. 00.00 CMS INCHES MM AC-FT THOUS CU M 90339333 CFS 36 222222 110.00 111.00 11.00 5.50 5.50 5.50 1.00 н. 0 00 ° 2 A.0C 0.00 6.01 ĕ ----.....02 **c a** ē

2

E.

r,

Î

į.

t

2 t Ľ Ľ LXXXXXXXXXX L X X X X X X X X X X X X X X L XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX LXXXXXXXXXXX Ľ 2 × I 2 2 PRECIPIL) AND EXCESSIAL • 5 . J . 3 STATION à 5.12 2000. è 9 1000. 1 0...] 21. 22.1 23.1 24.1 • 251 261 301 2 Ĵ. ļ į 51. 52. Ξ 2 4 1 24 ġ 9 5.4 50.5 2 5 27 Ξ 0,0 0 5 <u></u> 'n 2 3 22.00 5. 27.40 5.00 00.1 00 9 **c**o. 1.60 9.00 10.00 2°00 3.00 23.00 00.0 8 6.00 A.00 14.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 H.00 9.00 00-00 23.00 0.00 11.00 00.61 + JAU+ 1.00 3.00 2.00 3. 5.00 00° - [] 3.00 4.00 H. U0 20.00 0. . d H. UO 2.5 0.00 14.40 5 · 2 ñ ċ D-6

SET UNINN'S ANALYORA WEARING ADDRESS STRATES STRATES

Same and a set of the set of the set

الرحاق وما وما حد الم يلا

		1999 (N. 1997)	ala sela da aval				
	ТС ТС	•					
1		,		• • •			
	<u>.</u>						
	222						
8	e.	. اب اب اب		یہ نہ اے لہ یہ لے ا			
		:					
N. 1911		•					
ξ,		•		•			
		••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••			
	÷.	:					
26	•	•		•			
ñ.	3	•••	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •			
M	% 1	•		•			
Persona -		•					
37	222	•					
		• • •					
ξĘ.		•			: :		
10.00X	<u>_</u>	•		•			
X	6	•••	• • • • • • • • •	•			
SŞ.	8	:		•			
	-	:		•			
	Š.			•			
		•					
		•		-			
24	2.9				· ·		
1 1 1 1		•••	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • •			
	190			•			
				•	i		
	14,3 9	• • •				:	
	1			•		1	
1				•	1	i	
10 And and a function of the second				• •		;	
10		•••	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •			
	36	•				i	
	*	:			· 1		
	3	• • •		• • • • • •	1		
	1.139 ¹			i i	1	!	
2. X		•			,		
.7	1				;		
				•	4		
4				•			
				•			
	33	• • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •			
	ET .	:		•			
		:		•			
- 							
		59. 19		701			
	-	3999	00-51 00-51 00-51				
				N 77 6 - N 77 N N	~ 7		
ومرجع المر		•	10 10 - 10 - 10 10	••••	D-7		

IG Nord

1. 1. 2. 4 L

		.00		Ì																		222	1044.	•								•			10	0		
	•	106.	11.	684.	590 .	28.		•										-			21.	. 89	1380.	-	•							* * * * *			IAUTO			
																							;									•			ISTAGE	•	5	
	•	91.	12.	416.	912.				VOI UME	21617.	612.	10.01	274.60	1787.	2204.						S 2	831.	1825		.	VOLUME	•373••	21.62	549.21	3573.	4407.	ŧ			INAME			
1 v	-	6l.	15.	270.	210.	62.			TOTAL								~ 0			-171	•		2421		•	TOTAL								AL YS IS	JPRT I		070	
1. HI			- 7.		-		••		12-HUUR	360.		10.81	274.60	1787.	2604.		I. RT								•	72-HOUR	• • • •	21.62	544.21	.6746	4407.	:	n c	OVERTURPING ANALYSIS		0	1001	-
1 FOK			25.		-		•	••	24-HOUH	862.	24.	10.34	262.76	1704.	2109.		I FUM PLAN			• • •						24-HOUK		20.05	525.52	3414.	4217.		SRAPH ROUTING	1	ITAPE	D IIING DAIA	I SAME	n .
			.65					•	4-HOUN	2124.	60.		161.93	53	1299.	•	AT STA		• 1	•			•			6-HOUR	120.	12.75	323.85	2107.	2599.	•	MYDHUGRAPH	ROUTING INFLOW MYDROGRAPH THHU LAKE	16C0	0	41	
нтикоскарн					N				PEAK	2649.	75.	•				:	HYDROGMAPH				ſ		n	5		PEAK	150.					* * * *		HY DROGH	I COMP	-	346	97.0
ÀH -	: .	-	•	• • •	2649.	216.	-	•				Ş	Į	-	I		H	-		• •	150.								Ŧ	-	I			INFLOW	ISTAD		<u>C1 055</u>	0.000
-		•	•09		2361.	292.	-	•		CFS	5	INCHES	T		THOUS CU			-			117.		583.			ť		INCHE S	I	PC P	THOUS CU	•		ROUT ING			61 055	0.0
-		•			1779.	.268	••	•										2.	_	154			784.		•													

+NAG=

「おおおかり、ことがないないのか、、ことのないない」 こうけんかいろう

54.

č k

行い

<u>a</u>l

Ņ

المعرية والمراجع المراجع والمحالية

いたいないないないないという Ĩ.

3. S. S. S. S. 3

D-8

SERVICE STATES AND A CALLER AND A

÷ : SUMPACE ANEA=

ه5.

No No No		15	57.0 16.0	2.7	31×-	+ L F VI 0.0	0.0 0.0	HFA FXPL 0.0 0.0		
Station 1. Plaw It Ratio 1 FINI-WVERIOD HYDROGGAPCH ORDINATES OUTFLOW 0.0 <				10P 8		141 1414 30 Exp				
FMD-W-PERIOD HYDROGMARM ORDINATES 0. 0				STAT	low	٠	RATIO			
17: 20: <				END-U	001834- <i>1</i>	HYDROGHA	H ORDINATE	S		
7 7 0					OUTFLO	3				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•	•	••	•	••	3	••	••	••	
17. 20. 31. 27. <td></td> <td>• •</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ج</td> <td></td> <td>31.</td> <td>52.</td> <td>69</td>		• •	•			ج		31.	52.	69
10. 2030. 2400. 2773. 2290. 100. 0.			-00	• •				27.	2 3 .	6
499. 376. 243. 241. 206. 173. 141. 111. 6 7. 3. 2. 2. 2. 2. 19. 10. 6 10. 6 11. 15. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 11. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 15. 10. 10. 15. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. </td <td>1410.</td> <td>2034.</td> <td>2489.</td> <td></td> <td>.2298.</td> <td>126. 1860 -</td> <td>101</td> <td>221.</td> <td>406. 830</td> <td>830</td>	1410.	2034.	2489.		.2298.	126. 1860 -	101	221.	406. 830	830
*** *** *** 13. 10. 0.	489.	376.	- E+3	241.	208.	173.	141.		87.	
0. 0. <td< td=""><td>• ~ •</td><td>* *</td><td>2• 2•</td><td>18. 2.</td><td>13.</td><td>10.</td><td>8</td><td>ę.</td><td>5.</td><td></td></td<>	• ~ •	* *	2• 2•	18. 2.	13.	10.	8	ę.	5.	
0 0					STODAG	ia a	_			
0 0				•0	- 0	a			ė	c
20. 19. 18. 16. 14. 12. 10. 15. 27. 10. 15. 27. 10. 15. 27. 10. 15. 27. 10. 17. 10. 15. 27. 10. 17. 10. 15. 27. 11. 17. 10. 17. 10. 17. 10. 17. 10. 17. 20. 3	•	0.0	••	-	-			.01		
7 7 10 15 22 30 36 50 70 123 15 61 55 163 144 124 108 95 1 11 8 7 55 163 144 124 108 95 1 11 8 55 13 14 124 118 95 1 11 8 55 4 34 37 34 37 35 57 57.0 57.0 57.0 57.0 57.0 57.0 57.1 37 36 37 35 37 <	20.	19.	18.	16.	14.	12.	10.	•		~
157. 157. 171. 175. 163. 140. 27.1 57.0	·		10.	15.	22.	30.	38.	50.	70.	95.
7: <	123.	152.	171.	175.	163.	- + + -	124.	108.	95.	84.
7:0 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.2	• •		•	••••				27.	22.	.
57.0 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.2 59.2 59.2 59.2 59.2 59.2 59.2 59.2 59.1 57.2 57.2 57.2 57.2 57.2 57.2 57.2 57.2 57.2 57.2	2.	2.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	:_				:	•	
57.0 57.1 57.2 58.0 59.1 57.2 58.0 57.2	1				STAGE					
7:1 51.4 51.3 51.2 51.1		57.0	57.0	57.0	57.0	57.0	57.0	57.0	57.0	57.0
7:15 57.6 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.1 51.2 51.1						7.1C	0.00			28
67.5 63.4 64.9 63.7 53.1 57.6 62.0 61.6 50.4 60.4 60.1 59.6 57.4 57.3 57.3 57.3 57.2 58.6 57.2 58.6 59.2 58.6 59.6 50.6 51.6 57.2 58.6 59.2 58.6 59.2 58.6 59.6	2.00	57.6							1.10	
00.9 60.7 60.4 60.1 59.8 59.5 59.2 59.3 51.4 03.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.3 57.3 57.3 03.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.3 57.3 57.2 57.2 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.3 7.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.3 57.2 7.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.3 57.2 7.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.2 7.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.2 7.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.2 57.3 57.2 7.1 57.3 57.3 57.3 57.3 57.2 57.2 57.2 7.1 57.3 57.3 57.3 57.3 57.2 57.2 57.2 6.5	5.5	4.64	6 1 9	4 4 • 0	6.66					
36.1 57.4 57.6 57.5 57.4 57.3 57.3 57.2 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.3 75.13. AT TIML 44.00 HUURS 74.1 57.1 57.1 57.3 57.3 57.3 75.13. AT TIML 44.00 HUURS 74.1 72.4 72.4 57.3 57.3 57.2 75.13. AT TIML 44.00 HUURS 74.400 R 24-4000 R 72.4000 R 21602. 75. 2313. 2113. R55. 340. 21602. 73. 6.34 10.40 10.80 10.80 1MCHES 15.4 8. 612. AC-FT 1649. 1649. 1745. 1745.	9.04	60.7	60.4	60.1	8 - 6 C	50.5		58.0		
75/3. AT TIME 44.00 HOURS 75/3. AT TIME 44.00 HOURS CFS 2573. 2113. 855. 300. CMS 73. 60. 24. 8. INCHES 0.34 10.26 10.80 MM 161.04 260.57 274.42 AG-FT 10.48. 1695. 1785.	1.84	51.9	57.7	57.6	51.5	57.4	57.3	57.3	57.2	57.2
PEAK 6-MOUR 24-MOUR 72-MUUR TOTAL 5 2573. 2113. R55. 300. 45 73. 60. 24. 8. 5 6.34 10.26 10.80 41 161.08 260.57 274.42 7 1048. 1695. 1785.		AT .	00.44	urs	1.10					
PEAK 6-MOUR 24-MOUR 72-MUUR TOTAL 5 2573. 2113. 855. JUO. 45 73. 2113. 855. JUO. 45 73. 60. 24. 8. 55 6.34 10.26 10.80 4M 161.04 260.57 274.42 61 1046. 1695. 1785.										
rs rs nu 24. 8. rs rs 6.34 10.26 10.80 AM 161.08 260.57 274.42 r1 1048. 1695. 1785.					24-			> (1)		
H 161.04 260.57 274.42 F 1048. 1695. 1785.		L S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S					. 3.	612.		
FT 1048. 1695. 1785.				161.0		~	.42	274.42		
		AC-F	_	1048			85.	1785.		

. .

.

Lought

1

:

Ľ

E

ŝ

Salar Andrew Andrew Andrews

Matters - the second states and

and the second of the second second

D-9

NER BEREINEN SAMEREN S

		-							-																				•				-		
		0	•	••	•	••	•	••	• •	••	•	••	•	••	••••••	••	•	••	• •	••	•	•	••	• •	• •	••	•	• •	••	•	••	• •	••	•	•
		0	•	••	•	••	•	••	• • • • •	••	•	• •	•	••		••	•	••	• •	• •	•	•	• •	• •	• •	••	•	•	••	•	• •	••	• •	•	• •
		•	•	••	•	••	•	• •	•	••	•	••	•	••	•••••	••	•	• •	• •	• •	•	•	••	• •	• •	••	•	• • • • •	••	•	• •	••	• •	•	• •
		•	•	••	•	••	•	••	•	••	•	••	•	••	•	•	•	••	••	•	•	•	••	••	•	••	•	•	. .	•	••	••	• •		• •
		•	•	••	•		•	• • •	•	••	•	•••	•	••	• • • • • • •	• . •	•	••	• •	•;•	•	• •			•	••	•	•	••	•	••	• •	•		: .• ·
					_		_				_		_	_	• • • • • •				_	*	•		;			_		•						•	
		2800.	•	••	• •		• •		•	••	•	• •	•	••	•••••••	• • • •	• •	••	• •		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• •	••	• •	•	••	•	• • • • • •	 	10	• •	••	•		••
		FLON (*) 2400-	•	• •	• •	•	• •	•		••	•	••	•	• •		• •	• •	•	••	•	•	• •	• • · i	••	•	••	•	•		•••	••	••	•		••
	-	CHSEPVED 7000.	•	••	• •	•	• •	•		••	•	••	•	••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	• •	•	••	•	•	• •	•••	••	•	• •	•	•	•••	•	- c	••	•	•••••	• •
ŝ	STATION	AND			••	•	• •	•		••	•	• •	•	••	•••••	•	• •	•	••	•	•	• •	• •		•	••	•		••	•	• 🛏	••	•	•	•
		001FL04(0)	•		•••	•				• •	•	• •	•		•	•	• •	• •	• •	•	•	•••	! 		•			•	••	• 1	• • •	• - •	•	•	
		INFLUX(1) +						•				,			•••••					•	••••••		:									0	c	••••••	
		.00A	•	••	••	•	••	•		••	•	• •	•	• •		•	••	•	• •	•	••••	• •	• • ·	• •	•	••	•	•	••	•	••	••	-		••
		.004	•	• •	••	•	••			••	•	••	•	••		•	••	•	••	•	••••	••	•	••	•	•	C	•	••	• •	••	• •	•		10.
	•	•							111					19.01	20.1.	22.1	24.10 24.1	25.1	2710	2810	301	11F 320T	10.66	35. 0 I	12.00 36. 0		39 .	4	• M •	• 1 • 1 • 1		4 4 I .	* 7 4 * 1	.16	

	- - 		*. *. *. *. *. *. *. *. *. *. *. *. *.		
	•	· · · · · ·	7 .		w
हेर्न इ.स.		• • • • •			
	•				
	• • • • • • • • • •	••••			
8		••••			
222					
3					
		••••			
		••••	:		
		• • • •			
2			i i		
	•••••••••	• • • •			
		• • • • •			
				: •	
	· · · · · · · · · ·	• • • •			
			1		
		• • • • •			
. nut.0.	6110 6210 641 651 651 651	121			
	71		D-11		
		4 , - 44			

*NA0+

STATION 1. PLAN 1. HATTO 2

END-OF-MENIOD HYDROURAPH ORDINATES

-	143.	36.	.1783.	1237.	110.	5.			١.	34.	11.	140.	115.	27.	.			57.1	59.2	57.9	63.0	62.3	58.9	57.3	
			-	-	145.				١.	28.	13.	105.	133.	34.	э.			57.1	58.9	58.0	62.0	62.8	59.2	57.3	
-	70.	52.	627.	2145.	181.	•			١.	19.	15.	84.	156.	42.	•			57.1	58.4	58.1	61.2	63.5	59.6	57.3	
	33.	64.	393.	2842.	217.	12.			١.	10.	17.	69.	187.	50.	5.			57.1	57.8	58.3	60.7	64.3	59.9	57.4	
					251.				۱.									-			-		60.2		
•	.	96.	177.	4546.	316.	21.	5 .	STORAG	.0	۶.	24.	•1.	263.	63.	7.	۲.	STAGE		57.5	58.7	59.6	46.1	60.5	57.6	57.1
•	-	115.	112.	5146.	410.	20.	•e		••	1.	28.	27.	287.	70.	÷.	۶ .		0:15	57.1	54.9	58.9	66.6	60.7		57.2
•	-	. + 61	63.	. 4964	540.	+0.			••	-	32.	17.	280.	78.	12.	• N		- 57.0	57.1	59.1	58.3	66.4	61.0	57.9	51.2
•	١.	149.	39.	410A.	714.	56.	•		••	-	35.	12.	242.	84.	16.	2.		57.0	57.1	59.3	57.9	65.6	61.4	58.2	51.2
•	١.	154.	33.	2840.	.145	80.	:		• •		36.	10.	189.	100.	21.	ۍ• ۲		57.0	1.14	59.3	57.8		61.8	58.5	5.10

- ----

VDLU4F 43217. 1724. 21.61 548.99 3572. 4406.
TOTAL
72-MUUR 600. 17. 21.61 544.49 3572.
Z4-HOUN 1713. 49. 20.56 522.19 522.19 3397.
.0625 .021 .021 .021 .021 .021 .021 .021 .021
PEAK 5140. 146.
CFS CMS INCHES MM AC-FT THOUS CU M

D-12

1		¢	R	5		1957		32	<u>9</u> .					9				
170+	*					12	STATION	-										ι.
		1000.	2000	INFLU.		0UTFLO#(0) A . 4000.	AND UHSER 000c	RVED FLOW (• 6000	(a))(.	•	••	3		•	•	ŏ	-	
v	0 11	••		••	••	• •	••		••	• •	• •		• •	• •	•	- '		
m.	.00 31	•		•	•	• •	••		••	• •	••		••	••	••	-		
• •		• •			••	•	• •		• •	•	•		•	•	•	-	•	
0	19 00.	•		•	•	• •			••	• •	••		••	••	••	-	• -	
T	N.U0 bl	••			••	••	• •			• •	• •			• •	• •		•	
3	16 90.	•		•	•	• • •	•		•	•	• •		••	• •	• •			
=		••••	•	•	•	••••	••••	•••••	•••••		••••	•	••••••	•••••	•••••		•	•
~ 7	. UO 121	•		•	• ; •	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	Ĵ	•	
		• •		• •	• •	• •	• •		•	•	•		•	•	•	-		
<u> </u>	. 00.	••		:	• •	••	••		• •	••	••		• .•	••	••		• •	
Ś	.00 1401	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	• • 1		•	•	•			
-		•••		•••	••	••	••		••	•••	• •		• •	• •	• •	- •	•	
3	5	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•		
	21.00 21. I		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	• •	•		••••	•			
	2.7	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	• i		•	•	•	-		• •
13		••		••	••	••	••		••	••	• •		••	••	••	- •	• •	
-		•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	5		
ú m	0102 00.	••			••	••	••		•••	••	••			• •	• •		• •	
4.		•		•	•	•	•		•	•			• •	•	•	-		
ŕċ	162 00.	•	•		•		•			• • • • •	•		•	•	-			
-	7.00 311	•		•	•	•				•								
ī J	1025 00. . v0 33.01	•••			••	• •	• •		• •	• •	• •		• •	• •	• •			
10				•	•	•	••		•	• •	••				• •			
= :		•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	-	-	
	.00 17.				••	••	••		••	• •	••		• •	••	••	- •		
Ì.	HE 00.	0 1 .	1	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		• •	• •	•	•		
τ.	.00 34.	c	-	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	-	-	
2	r 4	••••	• • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	.0	••••	•••	•••••	•	•••••	- · · ·	•	•	•••••	•	•••	•	
÷.	.00 42.	•		•	•	c.	• •	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	-	_	•
	.00 45.	•		•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•	•		
7	.00 45.	••			••	•••	- •	5	••	••	••		• •	••	••	- •		
22	•00 44.	•		•	- •	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	_	
	.00 48.	• •		- 	• •	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	-		
	.00 49.	••	• I		• •	• •	• •				••			••	••	- •		
~ ~	2.00 50.5		0	•				••••••				• • • • • •	• • • • •	••••••		•		
- -	.10 00.	10. 10		• •	• •	• •	• •		• •	• •	•		• •	• •	• •			
ۍ :	.00 53.	01			• •	• •	• •			•	•		•	•	• •		-	
					•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•		

		E CAR	<u>e</u> M										••••													
	2	•	r			-							<u>.</u>					.								
				•	••	•	••	• •	••••	• •	• •	• •														
				•	••	•	••	• •		• •	• •	••														
				•	• •	•		• •		• •	• •	••														
									•																	
				•	••	•	••	• •		• •	•	••					•									
Sold Contract			•	• •		•	••	• •		• •	•	••														
									•••••																	
		•	•	• •	••	• •	••	• •		••	•	••	,							:						
			•	••	•	• •	• •	••		••	•	••														
1. A.A.A.A.	222	•	•	• •	•	• •			•	••	•	••			·								•			
	E S	•							•••••			:			•							1.	:			
		•	•	••	•	• •	•	••		••	•	••;		:						1		•				ı
		••••••	•	••	•	••	•	••	•		•	; • •								1			:		:	
	8	••••••			•				•	• -	•	:								•						
		•••••			•	- •			•	- •	•															
		•	•	••	•	••	•	••		••	•	••														
		r610	0110	16	14	190	11.		701	121	167	12														
		12.00 0	13.60 c	15.00 6	10.00 C	907.41	19.00	00.1c	7 00.54	0.00	- 00	1.00														
					• •											. .	1	D-14	4							

1946 80 81.

A CARLON

D-14
SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

X-19-5-97-37

DE

,,;

TR.

and a second

	TIME OF Failure Hours
NF NAM 60.20 56. 247.	TIME OF Max Outflow Hours
T 0P	DURATION DVER TOP HOURS
SPILLWAY CREST 57.00 0. 0.	MAKIMUM Outflow CfS
VAL UF 01 0 •	MAX [MIJH STORAGE AC-FT
INITIAL VALUF 57.00 0. U·	MAX1MUM DEPTH Ovfr Dam
ELEVATION Stohage Outflow	MAXIMUM Reservoir W+S+ELEV
PLAN 1	RAT10 0F PMF
L N L	

••••

00 • • • •

15.00 20.00

2573.

175. 287.

Э.74 6.37

63.44 66.57

.50

·· • · • ·

::

<u>你</u>	
_	NASH POND DAM
	Dam Failure Analysis
	1. Failure discharge with pool at top of dam (elev. $\frac{60.2}{2}$) = 3360 CFS
	2. Depth of water in reservoir at time of failure = $\frac{25}{25}$ ft.
2	3. Maximum depth of flow downstream of dam = <u>12</u> ft.
	<pre>4. Water surface elevation just downstream) of dam at time of failure) = 47.0 NGVI</pre>
•	The failure discharge of 3360 CFS will enter and flow down-
	stream feet until the brook reaches the Saugatuck River
2	Valley storage in this 3000 feet length of brook is substantial in
in .	reducing the discharge. Also due to roughness characteristics,
	obstructions and frictional losses, it is very likely that the
	unsteady dam failure flow will dissipate its wave and kinetic
	energy and thus convert to steady and uniform flow obeying Manning's
6	formulae feet downstream. The failure profile will have
	the following hydraulic characteristics:

DISTANCE FROM THE DAM	WATER SURFACE ELEVATION NGVD	REMARKS
0 0 1000 2000	60.2 47.0 32.0 8.5	Cpstream of Dam Downstream of Dam
3000	0-1 foot above normal	Saugatuck River
3000	 N/A	······································
seyona ieet	low in the below given channel	characteristics:
Q =N/A	CFS; S =	N/A
$n = \frac{N/A}{2}$	b = N/A; $d =$	N/A

The second manual second se

 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{x}}$

2.3

2

٣

D-17

"Rule of Thumb Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Analysis"

a sa faria ta faria (a "a "a "a "a

Si di

Ø

×

N

10.00

200

DATA

Name of DamNASH POND DAM
Location in Westport, 0.6 miles northwest of Bald Mtn.
Drainage Area <u>3.05</u> sq. mi., Top of Dam <u>60.2</u> NGVD
Spillway Type Overflow-Broadcrest , Crest of Spillway 57.0 NGVD
Surface Area 0 Crest Elev. 11.0 Acres = 0.017 sq. mi.
Pool Bottom Near Dam = 35.0 ::GVD (Downstream)
Assumed Side Slopes of Embankments = 2:1
Depth of Pool at Dam (Yo) = 25 Feet
Mid-Height Elev. 47.5 NGVD
Length of Dam at Crest = <u>105</u> Feet
Length of Dam at Mid-Height = 65 Feet
25% of Dam Length at Mid-Height = $W_b = 16$ Feet
Step 1
Storage (S) at time of failure <u>114</u> Ac-FT (Equal to top of dam)
Step 2
Peak Failure Dis <u>ch</u> arge Q _{pl} = 8/27 W _b V g Yo 3/2
= 1.68 Wb Yo ^{3/2} = 3360 cfs

Failure is assumed to coincide with pool elevation at top of dam.

The Saugatuck Riveris located3000feet downstreamofNash Ponddam. There is a30footdrop intothe riverwhich will cause the dissipationof wave and kinetic energy of the failure discharge. Approximately,the water surface elevations betweenNash Ponddamandthe Saugatuck Riverwill be as given on Dam FailureAnalysis.The increase of depth inthe riverdue tofailure ofNash Ponddam is estimated to ben-1

www. www.line. wooders and were shared a consider

3

EN S

5

Sec.

State of the state

a a a a a a a b Ballow A

e the second second a failed of a second a secon







1 1						
		<u>Classificatio</u>	_	NASH P	OND DAM	
1	-	m =25				
	Storage capa	city at top o	f dam (elev.	60.2)=	14 AC-FT.;	hence small
	Adopted size	classificati	on <u>small</u>			
	B.i) <u>Hazard</u>	Potential				
	This d	am is located	upstream of	an urbaniz	ed area.	Iwo
	commer	cial building	s and an apar	tment buil	ding are l	ocated
	along	the channel i	mmediately do	wnstream f	rom the da	m.
G	ii) Impact	of Failure o	f Dam at Mari		Top of Dam	······································
`a	سميدييري · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	that the fol	estimated fro lowing advers				
	of this dam.		-			
2		ss of homes	Possibl		;	
	a) Lo b) Lo	ss of buildin	Possibl gs	y 2-3 1-2 No	;	
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo		Possibl gs s or roads	1-2	; ; ;	
5	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile	Possibl gs s or roads	1-2 No No	of <u>3000</u>	feet
5	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile •	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a	1-2 No No distance	of <u>3000</u>	_ feet
5	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a	1-2 No No distance	of <u>3000</u>	_ feet
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a	1-2 NO NO distance <u>TE</u>	ST FLOOD R	ANGE
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u>	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • <u>Potential Cl</u> <u>S</u>	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a assifications	1-2 NO NO distance <u>TE</u>	-	ANGE
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u>	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl <u>S</u>	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a assifications IZE	1-2 NO NO distance <u>TE</u>	ST FLOOD R	ANGE
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u> <u>High</u>	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl <u>S</u>	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a assifications IZE mall	$\frac{1-2}{NO}$ NO distance $\frac{TE}{1/}$ = N/	ST FLOOD R	ANGE MF
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u> <u>High</u> Adopted Test	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl <u>S</u> Flood =1	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a assifications IZE small ./2 PMF	$\frac{1-2}{NO}$ NO distance $\frac{TE}{1/}$ $= \frac{N/}{26}$	ST FLOOD R 2 PMF to P A	ANGE MFCSM
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u> <u>High</u> Adopted Test	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl <u>S</u>	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a assifications IZE mall _/2 PMF al	$\frac{1-2}{NO}$ NO distance $\frac{TE}{1/}$ $= \frac{N}{26}$	ST FLOOD R 2 PMF to P 7A	ANGE MFCSM
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u> <u>High</u> Adopted Test D. <u>Overto</u> Draina	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl <u>S</u> Flood = 1 Flood = 1	Possibl gs	$\frac{1-2}{NO}$ NO distance $\frac{TE}{1/}$ $= \frac{N}{26}$	ST FLOOD R 2 PMF to P 2 A 50	ANGE MF CSM CFS
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u> <u>High</u> Adopted Test D. <u>Overto</u> Draina Spillw	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl § Flood = pping Potenti ge Area	Possibl gs	$\frac{1-2}{NO}$ NO distance $\frac{TE}{1/2}$ $= \frac{N}{26}$ $= 3.$	ST FLOOD R 2 PMF to P 2 7 50 05 ~ 0	AMGE MF CSM CFS sq. miles
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u> <u>High</u> Adopted Test D. <u>Overto</u> Draina Spillw Top of	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl <u>S</u> Flood =1 pping Potenti ge Area1 ay crest elev Dam Elevatio	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a assifications IZE mall /2 PMF al .951 acres ation = n =	$\frac{1-2}{NO}$ $\frac{NO}{NO}$ $distance$ $\frac{TE}{1/2}$ $= \frac{N}{26}$ $= \frac{3}{57}$ $= \frac{3}{60}$	ST FLOOD R 2 PMF to P 2 7 50 05 ~ 0	AMGE MF CSM CFS sq. miles NGVD
	a) Lo b) Lo c) Lo d) Lo The fa from the dam C. <u>Hazard</u> <u>HAZARD</u> <u>High</u> Adopted Test D. <u>Overto</u> Draina Spillw Top of <u>Maximum spil</u> Capacity wit	ss of buildin ss of highway ss of bridges ilure profile • Potential Cl <u>S</u> Flood =1 pping Potenti ge Area1 ay crest elev	Possibl gs s or roads can affect a assifications IZE mall /2 PMF al .951 acres ation = n = ing of dam =	$\frac{1-2}{NO}$ $\frac{NO}{NO}$ $distance$ $\frac{TE}{1/2}$ $= \frac{N/2}{26}$ $= 3.$ $57.$	ST FLOOD R 2 PMF to P 2 7 50 05 ~ 0	AMGE MF CSM CFS sq. miles NGVD

R

222

2

33	a la sel sel sel		aleigetestestastastestestestestestestestestestestestestes
	N D		
			RATING CURVE DEVELOPMENT
			Nash Pond Dam
	¥.	Spillway	$Q = C \perp H^{3/2}$
			C = 2.70
2016	275 275		L = 16 feet
See.		30 Inch Pipe	$Q = c a (2gh)^{1/2}$
			c = .6
2.2	2		a = 4.9 square feet

D-24

TEST FLOOD DISCHARGE (C.F.S. TOP OF DAM **drest** SPILLWAY 20 ELEVATION IN FEET (N.G.V.D.) NASH POND DAM SPILLWAY RATING CURVE D-25 •



रन्तन

NASH POND DAM RESERVOIR AREA-CAPACITY CURVE D-27



MERCENERAL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE

NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

-3

R.

