



A.T.P.C.A.N.N

1

. . . . .

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

.

	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
	REPORT NUMBER #14		2.	GOVT ACCESSION NO 4D-AY4	3. RECIPIEN	T'S CATALOG NUMBER	R
			Y	10-11-01		ALPORT & PERIOD CO	VERED
A		the Developmen	nt of Neur	cons in	Technic		JVERED
	Visual Corto	ex			6. PERFORM	NG ORG. REPORT NU	MBER
	AUTHOR(.)				8. CONTRAC	T OR GRANT NUMBER	(*)
I	Leon N Coope	r			N00014-	-81-K-0136	
	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS				10. PROGRAM	A ELEMENT, PROJECT	TASK
	Center for Neural Science Brown University			NR-201-			
1	SIOWII UIIIVEI	SILY					
1.	CONTROLLING OF	FICE NAME AND ADI	DRESS		12. REPORT	DATE	
ļ	Personnel an	d Training Res	July 5	1984			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		-	13. NUMBER	OF PAGES	
4.			SS(IL dillorent 1	rem Controlling Office)	25	Y CLASS. (of this repor	r)
					Unclas	-	-
						SIFICATION/DOWNGR	
				•	SCHEDU	LE	
6.	DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of this Rep	port)				
	or In part i	s por itted for	or mjr pa	ibution unlimit Proce of the Ur Block 20, 11 different fr	ited Stat	ee-Oovernment T	
	or In part i	s por itted for	or mjr pa	r <del>pese of the U</del>	ited Stat	DTIC	
7.	or In part i	ATEMENT (of the abo	or mjr pa	r <del>pese of the U</del>	ited Stat		
7.	DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the abo	trect entered in	Plock 20, 11 dilloront in	ited Stat	DTIC ELECTE JUL 1 0 1984	D
<b>7</b> .	DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the about NOTES	treci entered in	Plock 20, 11 dilloront in	m Report)		D
7. 0.	DISTRIBUTION ST SUPPLEMENTARY In Press: M KEY WORDS (Conti Visual Corte Developmenta	ATEMENT (of the about ATEMENT (of the about NOTES fodels of Visu	treci entered in	Proce of the Ur	m Report)	DTIC ELECTE JUL 1 0 1984	D
7. 0.	DISTRIBUTION ST SUPPLEMENTARY In Press: M KEY WORDS (Conti Visual Corte	ATEMENT (of the about ATEMENT (of the about NOTES fodels of Visu have on reverse side if al model lification	treci entered in	Proce of the Ur	m Report)	DTIC ELECTE JUL 1 0 1984	D
7. 0.	DISTRIBUTION ST SUPPLEMENTARY In Press: M KEY WORDS (Conti Visual Corte Developmenta Synaptic mod Hebbian lear AUSTRACT (Contin The object of organization data on the that received higher level constructed	ATEMENT (of the about ATEMENT (of the about NOTES fodels of Visu have on reverse side if al model dification cning have on reverse side if r of our researce of our researce of neural ne cellular leve e sensory and/ l systems prop that can orga	al Cortex necessary and in his to f etworks th or intern perties. anize them	Proce of the Ur	of develop both for large numb ation, for urons alre lay some of	DTIC ELECTE JUL 1 0 1984 JUL 1 0 1984 B pment and experimental ers of neurons various ady have been ognitive	
7. 0.	DISTRIBUTION ST SUPPLEMENTARY In Press: M KEY WORDS (Conti Visual Corte Developmenta Synaptic mod Hebbian lear AUSTRACT (Contin The object of organization data on the that received higher level constructed	ATEMENT (of the about ATEMENT (of the about NOTES fodels of Visu have on reverse side if al model dification cning have on reverse side if r of our researce of our researce of neural ne cellular leve e sensory and/ l systems prop that can orga	al Cortex necessary and in hal Cortex necessary and i ch is to f etworks th el and, wh or intern perties. anize them ese are st	Slock 20, 11 different in Block 20, 11 different in dentify by block number ind principles at can account en applied to euronal inform Networks of ne selves to disp ill primitive	of develo both for large numb ation, for urons alre lay some of compared t	DTIC ELECTE JUL 1 0 1984 JUL 1 0 1984 B pment and experimental ers of neurons various ady have been ognitive	3

Without YHERE WARRANG SAMA

÷

#### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

or even machines in some cases can presently do, it is of significance that these networks are self-organizing, that the global cognitive properties are the result of local modifications of the network components -- learning (so to speak) on a cellular level. This learning comes about through the modification of synaptic junctions (connections) between neurons. One crucial hypothesis concerns the form of this synaptic modification by applying them to the development of selectivity and ocular dominance in cat visual cortex, where much experimental data has been obtained in the last twenty years. This leads to a theory of synaptic evolution based on sets of coupled non-linear stochastic differential equations. Analysis and computer simulations show this theory is in good agreement with classical experimental results. In addition we obtain some new predictions that can be tested.

$\frown$
$\frown$
$\frown$
$\frown$
DIC
COPY
PECTLI

S/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

# A Model for the Development of Neurons

- 4 - 1 in Visual Cortex\*

Leon N Cooper

# Center for Neural Science and Physics Department

Brown University

\*This work was supported in part by the United States

Office of Naval Research under contract #N00014-81K-0136

# 84 07 09 040

Great attention has been lavished on the visual system in the last generation--and rightly so. For this system may provide a clue as to the means by which information is processed on its path from the sensory apparatus to 'higher' brain centers. But as is suggested in a large number of 'deprivation' experiments--experiments in which the normal development of visual cortex is modified by abnormal visual experience--the visual system may also provide a means of testing neuron learning on a single cell level.

For the visual system, we can control the dominant input, (in development as well as in testing) as well as measure the output. This gives us the possibility of measuring the change of response of individual neurons as a function of the sensory environment in which they are placed-assuming that what is observed in altered visual environments such as monocular deprivation or dark rearing are changes in responses of individual neurons rather than responses of different populations of neurons. Although it seems likely that this is indeed the case, the question will probably be settled finally by appropriate chronic experiments.

Even though such neuron learning may play only a minor role in contributing to the architecture of visual cortex, with a minimum of fortune, it could be related to learning that must take place in higher brain centers. It is this point of view that we have explored over the past few years. .•

Here  $d_{\ell}$ ,  $d_{r}$ ,  $m_{\ell}$ ,  $m_{r}$  are inputs and synaptic junctions from left and right eyes. The output of this neuron (in the linear region) can be written

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{d}$$
(1)

This means that the neuron firing rate (in the linear region) is the sum of the inputs from the left eye multiplied by the appropriate left-eye synaptic weights plus the inputs from the right eye multiplied by the appropriate right-eye synaptic weights. Thus the neuron integrates signals from the left and right eyes.

According to the theory presented by Bienenstock. Cooper and Munro. 1982 (BCM) these synaptic weights modify as a function of local and global variables. To illustrate we consider the synaptic weight m (figure 1b).

### Fig. 1b

Local and Quasi-Local Variables

Its change in time.  $m_j$ , can be written very generally as:

 $m_j = F(d_j, ..., m_j; d_k, ..., c_i, c_i, x_i, y_i, z_i)$ (2)

and the second secon

Cortical neurons receive afferents from many sources. In visual cortex (layer 4. for example) the principle afferents are those from the lateral geniculate nucleus and from other cortical neurons. This leads to a complex network that we have analyzed in several stages.

•

In the first stage we consider a single neuron with inputs from both eyes (Figure 1a).

# Fig. (la)

A Model Neuron

Here variables such as d ... m are designated local. These represent information (such as the incoming signal,  $d_i$  and the strength of the synaptic junction,  $m_i$  ) available locally at the synaptic junction,  $m_i$  . Variables such as  $d_k$  ... are designated quasi-local. These represent information (such as c, the firing rate of the cell, or  $d_{\mu}$ , the incoming signal to another synaptic junction) that is not locally available to the junction m, but is physically connected to the junction by the cell body itself--thus necessitating some form of internal communication between various parts of the cell and its synaptic junctions. Variables such as  $\overline{c}$  (the time averaged output of the cell) are averaged local or quasi-local variables. Global variables are designated X,Y,Z... These latter represent information (e.g. presence or absence of neurotransmitters such as norepinephrine or the average activity of large numbers of cortical cells) that is present in a similar fashion for all or a large number of cortical neurons (distinguished from local or quasi-local variables carrying detailed information that varies from synapse to synapse).

In a form relevant to this discussion, BCM modification is written

$$\mathbf{m} = \phi(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{\bar{c}}; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \ldots) \mathbf{d}$$
(3)

so that the jth synaptic junction, mj, changes its value in time as a function of quasi-local and time-averaged quasi-local variables. c and  $\overline{c}$ , as well as global variables X,Y,Z, through the function,  $\phi$ . and a function of the local variable d<sub>j</sub>. The crucial function,  $\phi$ , is shown in Figure 1c.

# Fig. lc

# The BCM Modification Function

What is of particular significance is the change of sign of  $\phi$  at the modification threshold,  $\Theta_{M}$ , and the non-linear variation of  $\Theta_{M}$  with the average output of the cell,  $\bar{c}$ . In a simple situation

$$\Theta_{\rm M} = (\bar{\rm c})^2 \tag{4}$$

The occurance of negative and positive regions for  $\phi$  drives the cell to selectivity in a 'normal' environment. This is so because the response of the cell is diminished to those patterns for which the output. c, is below threshold ( $\phi$  negative) while the response is enhanced to those patterns for which the output, c, is above threshold ( $\phi$  positive). The non-linear variation of the threshold with the sverage output of the cell,  $\bar{c}$ , places the threshold so that it eventually separates one pattern from all of the rest. Further it provides the stability properties of the system.

A detailed analysis of the consequences of this form of modification is given in BCM. To illustrate we consider the various final states for the cell under rearing conditions characterized as follows: Normal Environment: The inputs from the eye are a stochastic sequence of patterns possibly distorted by noise (these patterns represent the mapping by retinal and TGN cells of images or patterns viewed by the animal). In normal rearing it is presumed that certain patterns (edges, for example) are a repeated part of the environment and are viewed by the animal many times. It is assumed that such patterns may be distorted or may appear in various combinations but that for a normal environment the patterns are statistically independent.

Restricted or Altered Environment: The inputs are restricted to one or a few patterns that may be highly correlated. This represents the mapping in the neural space of a restricted or artificial environment (for example. one in which only vertical lines or right angles are present).

Dark Reared Environment: The patterned inputs are replaced by random noise that represents the non-patterned input due to dark discharges and spontaneous activity of the retinal cells.

Various rearing conditions can then be represented as follows:

## Normal Binocular Rearing:

Correlated patterns input from both eyes. This means that both the left and right eye see the same pattern at the same time.

## Strabismic Rearing:

なななどのよう

Carrier Control of

Non-correlated pattern input from both eyes. In this case the left and right see different patterns at the same time.

Monocular Deprivation: (right eye deprived)Patterned input from left eye. noise from right eye. In this case the left eye sees a pattern while the right eye is closed.

### Binocular Deprivation:

In this case, both eyes being closed (or kept in the dark) noise is received from both eyes.

The consequences of the BCM modification in these classical rearing conditions are shown in Figure 2.

Computer simulations of various rearing conditions. Initial (dashed) and final (solid) responses to the two eyes are shown separately (left/right).

These may be summarized as follows:

#### Monocularly driven neurons

(1) A monocularly driven neuron in a "normal" (patterned) environment becomes selective. The precise pattern to which it becomes selective is determined at random if there is no initial preference or may be biased toward a particular pattern if there is a built-in preference for this pattern.

(2) This same neuron in various deprived environments evolves as follows.

PURE NOISE. The neuron becomes less selective but continues to be (somewhat) responsive. It may show an orientation preference, but this is relatively unstable.

EXPOSURE TO A SINGLE PATTERN (such as vertical lines). The neuron comes to respond preferentially to the single pattern but with less selectivity (less sharply tuned) than if all orientations were present in the environment.

(3) Inhibitory synapses are required to produce maximum selectivity. If such inhibitory connections are arbitrarily set equal to 0, selectivity diminishes.

# Binocularly driven neurons

(1) A binocularly driven neuron in a "normal" (patterned)
environment becomes selective and binocular. It is driven selectively
by the same pattern from both eyes.

(2) This same binocularly driven neuron in various deprived environments evolves as follows.

UNCORRELATED PATTERNED INPUTS TO BOTH EYES. The neuron becomes selective, often monocularly driven; if the neuron is binocular, sometimes it is driven by different patterns from the two eyes.

PATTERNED INPUT TO ONE EYE, NOISE TO THE OTHER (monocular deprivation). The neuron becomes selective and generally driven only by the open eye. There is a correlation between selectivity and binocularity. The more selective the neuron becomes, the more it is driven only by the open eye. A non-selective neuron tends to remain binocularly driven. This correlation is due, in part, to the fact that it is the same mechanism of synaptic change that serves to increase both the selectivity and ocular dominance of the open eye. However there is also a subtler connection: it is the non-preferred inputs from the open eye accompanied by noise from the closed eye that drive the neuron's response to the closed eye to zero. NOISE INPUT TO BOTH EYES (dark rearing or binocular deprivation). The neuron remains non-selective (or loses its selectivity) and diminishes its responsiveness but remains binocularly driven (in contrast to the situation in monocular deprivation).

An unexpected consequence of this theory is a connection between selectivity and ocular dominance. The analysis given in BCM leads to the conclusion that the more selective a cell is, the more the state of the closed eye will be driven to zero, so that the cell will be more monocular. Thus, during cell development, pattern selectivity for the open eye is achieved before the cell becomes monocular as Figure 3.

Figure 3. Progression of development of selectivity and ocular dominance. Note that selectivity develops for the open eye <u>before</u> the response to the closed eye is driven to zero.

Since non-preferred inputs presented to the open eye are a necessary part of the suppression of deprived eye responses, if inputs to the open eye are restricted to preferred patterns, selectivity may still develop but the cell will be less selective to the open eye and less monocular than it would be in a non-restricted environment. Such effects should be experimentally observable.

Ł

To better confront these ideas with experiment a second stage of analysis is necessary. The BCM neuron must be placed in a network with the anatomical features of visual cortex; a network in which inhibitory and excitatory cells receive input from LGN and from each other. This has been done (Scofield and Cooper to be published). Our conclusions are similar to those of BCM with explicit further statements concerning the independent effects of excitatory and inhibitory neurons on selectivity and ocular dominance. For example. shutting off inhibitory cells lessens selectivity and alters ocular dominance. (masked synapses). These inhibitory cells may be selective but there is no theoretical necessity that they be so. Further the intra-cortical inhibitory synapses do not have to be very responsive to visual experience. Most of the learning process can occur among the excitatory LGN-cortical synapses.

Sandara I Andrea

۰.

Quantitative tests of progressions such as those shown in Figure 3 are in progress in our laboratory. We hope that such experiments can provide detailed comparisons of theory and experiment and provide us with a sensitive tool for determining synaptic modification among various classes of neurons--a possible entry to the process by which the nervous system organizes itself. FIGURE CAPTIONS:

Figure la: A Model Neuron.

Figure 1b: Local and Quasi-Local Variables.

Figure 1c: The BCM Modification Function.

Figure 2: Computer simulations of various rearing conditions. Initial (dashed) and final (solid) responses to the two eyes are shown separately (left/right). In this figure, NR means normally reared, BD means binocular deprivation, MD means monocular deprivation and RS means reverse suture.

Figure 3: Progression of development of selectivity and ocular dominance. Note that selectivity develops for the open eye <u>before</u> the response to the closed eye is driven to zero.

## **REFERENCES:**

1 . A

- Bienenstock, E. L., L. N Cooper and P. W. Munro (1982), "Theory for the Development of Neuron Selectivity: Orientation Specificity and Binocular Interaction in Visual Cortex", Journal of Neuroscience 2:32-48.
- Scofield, C. L. and Cooper, L. N. "Selectivity and Ocular Dominance in Visual Cortex: A Network Theory", to be published.





























