ľ	AD-A14	12 781 SIFIED	PROCE COMMA WRIGH C A P	EDINGS ND) AVI T-PATTE DRUBCAN	PAPERS ONICS S RSON AF	OF THE STAND B OH D 82	AFSC ( (U) AER IRECTOR	AIR FOR ONAUTIC ATE O	CE SYST AL SYST F/	TEMS TEMS DI G 9/2	1 / NL	/1	
			~										
							<u>)</u> =:						
											END DATE FILWED 884 DTIC		
	<b>k</b> a												
μ									_				



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A ASD(ENA)-TR-82-5031 VOLUME VI

781

AD-A142

### 2nd AFSC STANDARDIZATION CONFERENCE

COMBINED PARTICIPATION BY: DOD-ARMY-NAVY-AIR FORCE-NATO

30 NOVEMBER - 2 DECEMBER 1982 TUTORIALS: 29 NOVEMBER 1982

### DAYTON CONVENTION CENTER DAYTON, OHIO



### F 94 - 19

### NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (ASD/PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

Jeffenz L Pester

JEFFERY L. PESLER Vice Chairman 2nd AFSC Standardization Conference

FOR THE COMMANDER

Larne

ROBERT P. LAVOIE, COL, USAF Director of Avionics Engineering Deputy for Engineering

"If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization please notify ASD/ENAS W-PAFB, OH 45433 to help us maintain a current mailing list".

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

E. C. S.

ERWIN C. GANGL Chief, Avionics Systems Division Directorate of Avionics Engineering

UNCLASSIFIED	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	I NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ASD (ENA)-TR-82-5031, VOLUME XV1 10. A142	751
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report
Proceedings Papers of the Second AFSC Avionics	29 November - 2 December 1982
Standardization Conference	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(S)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S)
Editor: Cynthia A. Porubcansky	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
HQ ASD/ENAS Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
HQ ASD/ENA	November 1982
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office Same as Above	(*) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
	154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	t from Report)
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen N/A	t from Report)
<ul> <li>ISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unlimit</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	t from Report)
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unlimit</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num</li> <li>Computer Instruction Set Architecture, Multiplexi</li> <li>Data Bus, Rational Standardization, Digital Avion</li> <li>Interface, Standardization, MIL-STD-1853, MIL-STD</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> </ul>	<pre>:ed. it (rom Report) it (rom Report) ing, Compilers, Support Software, ics, System Integration, Stores -1589 (JOVIAL), MIL-STD-1750, EBULA).</pre>
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unlimit</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num</li> <li>Computer Instruction Set Architecture, Multiplexi</li> <li>Data Bus, Rational Standardization, Digital Avion</li> <li>Interface, Standardization, MIL-STD-1553, MIL-STD</li> <li>MIL-STD-1760, MIL-STD-1815 (ADA), MIL-STD-1862 (N</li> <li>10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse adde if necessary and identify by block num</li> <li>This is a collection of UNCLASSIFIED papers to be of the Second AFSC Avionics Standardization Confect and approved embedded computer hardware/software and well as standard subsystems used within the Tri-St theme of the conference is "Rational Standardization are highl</li> </ul>	<pre>it from Report)  ht from Report)  ng, Compilers, Support Software, hics, System Integration, Stores -1589 (JOVIAL), MIL-STD-1750, IEBULA).  ber)  distributed to the attendees rence at the Convention Center, es the complete range of DoD related interface standards as ervice community and NATO. The ion<sup>10</sup>. Lessons learned as well ighted</pre>
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unlimit</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num</li> <li>Computer Instruction Set Architecture, Multiplexi</li> <li>Data Bus, Rational Standardization, Digital Avion</li> <li>Interface, Standardization, MIL-STD-1553, MIL-STD</li> <li>MIL-STD-1760, MIL-STD-1815 (ADA), MIL-STD-1862 (N</li> <li>0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse adde if necessary and identify by block num</li> <li>This is a collection of UNCLASSIFIED papers to be of the Second AFSC Avionics Standardization Confe Dayton, Ohio. The scope of the Conference includ approved embedded computer hardware/software and well as standard subsystems used within the Tri-S theme of the conference is "Rational Standardization are highl</li> <li>D FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 63 IS OBSOLETE</li> </ul>	red. (from Report) ng, Compilers, Support Software, nics, System Integration, Stores -1589 (JOVIAL), MIL-STD-1750, EBULA). ber) distributed to the attendees rence at the Convention Center, es the complete range of DoD related interface standards as ervice community and NATO. The ion <sup>10</sup> . Lessons learned as well ighted. UNCLASSIFIED

### This is Volume 6

blume	1	Proceedings pp. 1-560
olume	2	Proceedings pp. 561-1131
/olume	3	Governing Documents
/olume	4	MIL-SID-1553 Tutorial
<i>folume</i>	5	MIL-STD-1589 Tutorial
olume	6	MIL-SID-1679 Tutorial
olume	7	MIL-STD-1750 Tutorial
olume	8	MIL-STD-1815 Tutorial
olume	9	Navy Case Study Tutorial

### **PROCEEDINGS OF THE**

### 2nd AFSC STANDARDIZATION CONFERENCE

### 30 NOVEMBER - 2 DECEMBER 1982

### DAYTON CONVENTION CENTER DAYTON, OHIO

Sponsored by:

Hosted by:

Air Force Systems Command

Aeronautical Systems Division

### FOREWORD

THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE HAS COMMITTED ITSELF TO "STANDARDIZATION." THE THEME OF THIS YEAR'S CONFERENCE IS "RATIONAL STANDARDIZATION," AND WE HAVE EXPANDED THE SCOPE TO INCLUDE US ARMY, US NAVY AND NATO PERSPECTIVES ON ONGOING DOD INITIATIVES IN THIS IMPORTANT AREA.

WHY DOES THE AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND SPONSOR THESE CONFERENCES? BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THAT THE COMMUNICATIONS GENERATED BY THESE GET-TOGETHERS IMPROVE THE ACCEPTANCE OF OUR NEW STANDARDS AND FOSTERS EARLIER, SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION IN NUMEROUS APPLICATIONS. WE WANT ALL PARTIES AFFECTED BY THESE STANDARDS TO KNOW JUST WHAT IS AVAILABLE TO SUPPORT THEM: THE HARDWARE; THE COMPLIANCE TESTING; THE TOOLS NECESSARY TO FACILITATE DESIGN, ETC. WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT FEEDBACK FROM PEOPLE WHO HAVE USED THEM IS ESSENTIAL TO OUR CONTINUED EFFORTS TO IMPROVE OUR STANDARDIZATION PROCESS. WE HOPE TO LEARN FROM OUR SUCCESSES AND OUR FAILURES; BUT FIRST, WE MUST KNOW WHAT THESE ARE AND WE COUNT ON YOU TO TELL US.

AS WE DID IN 1980, WE ARE FOCUSING OUR PRESENTATIONS ON GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY EXECUTIVES, MANAGERS, AND ENGINEERS AND OUR GOAL IS TO EDUCATE RATHER THAN PRESENT DETAILED TECHNICAL MATERIAL. WE ARE STRIVING TO PRESENT, IN A SINGLE FORUM, THE TOTAL AFSC STANDARDIZATION PICTURE FROM POLICY TO IMPLEMENTATION. WE HOPE THIS INSIGHT WILL ENABLE ALL OF YOU TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THE "WHY'S AND WHEREFORE'S" OF OUR CURRENT EMPHASIS ON THIS SUBJECT.

MANY THANKS TO A DEDICATED TEAM FROM THE DIRECTORATE OF AVIONICS ENGINEERING FOR ORGANIZING THIS CONFERENCE; FROM THE OUTSTANDING TECHNICAL PROGRAM TO THE UNGLAMOROUS DETAILS NEEDED TO MAKE YOUR VISIT TO DAYTON, OHIO A PLEASANT ONE. THANKS ALSO TO ALL THE MODERATORS, SPEAKERS AND EXHIBITORS WHO RESPONDED IN SUCH A TIMELY MANNER TO ALL OF OUR PLEAS FOR ASSISTANCE.

ROBERT P. LAVOIE, COL, USAF DIRECTOR OF AVIONICS ENGINEERING DEPUTY FOR ENGINEERING

Acces	sion For			
NTIS	GRA&I	M		>
DIIC	TAB	1	X	
Unann	cupred		12	53 °)
Junti	Crentica _		-L'a	•
	а с.			
	1 - 10/1			
I A⊽rii	Inbility (	Codes		
	Avail and	/or		
Dist	Special			
<b>/-N</b>	4 4		1	



### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE DD 20334

2 3 AUG 1982

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

ATTN OF

second AFSC Standardization Conference

TO ASD/CC

1. Since the highly successful standardization conference hosted by ASD in 1980, significant technological advancements have occurred. Integration of the standards into weapon systems has become a reality. As a result, we have many "lessons learned" and cost/benefit analyses that should be shared within the tri-service community. Also, this would be a good opportunity to update current and potential "users." Therefore, I endorse the organization of the Second AFSC Standardization Conference.

2. This conference should cover the current accepted standards, results of recent congressional actions, and standards planned for the future. We should provide the latest information on policy, system applications, and lessons learned. The agenda should accommodate both government and industry inputs that criticize as well as support our efforts. Experts from the tri-service arena should be invited to present papers on the various topics. Our AFSC project officer, Maj David Hammond, HQ AFSC/ALR, AUTOVON 858-5731, is prepared to assist.

Sand

ROBERT M. BOND, Lt Gen, USAE Vice Commander

### MIL-STD-1679

### WEAPON SYSTEM SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT

### Instructor: Jack Cooper

Anchor Software Management, Inc.

### ABSTRACT

MIL-STD-1679 is appearing on more and more Government contracts. The Navy and several other Government agencies are using it extensively on all contracts that contain software development.

### This MIL-STD-1679 Tutorial covers:

- o Detailed presentation of the requirements.
- o Interpretation and intent behind the requirements.
- o Relationship to other Military Standards.
- o How to "tailor" MIL-STD-1679 to a contract.
- o Practical application of MIL-STD-1679 to a contract.
- o Changes to MIL-STD-1679 that are taking place.

MIL-STD-1679 Tutorial also provides an opportunity to discuss, ask questions, get answers, share experiences and exchange ideas with the speaker and other attendees.

### BIOGRAPHY

Jack Cooper President, Anchor Software Management, Ltd. P. O. Box 11208 Alexandria, VA 22312

Prior to his retirement from the Navy Mr. Cooper was the Assistant, for Software Management, to the Director, Computer Resource Office, Headquarters, Naval Material Command (NAVMAT). During this tour he led the development effort for MIL-STD-1679, "Weapon System Software Development". Mr. Cooper was also responsible for policy, standards, and procedures for software acquisition, development and application within NAVMAT.

His involvement in the development of MIL-STD-1679, plus his vast range of military and civilian experiences uniquely qualify Mr. Cooper to present this Tutorial on MIL-STD-1679.

vii

PRECEDENC PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

### "Weapon System Software MIL-STD-1679 Development"

1

By Jack Cooper

MILITARY SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS	<ul> <li>Specifications vs Standards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ground Rules: Conform to requirements of MIL-STD-962</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Written in contract-like language</li> <li>Application limited to the scope of the contract</li> <li>Cannot contain a requirement on the government</li> <li>Specify "what" rather than "how" to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contain only a description of work to be performed</li> <li>Deliverables (including documentation) cannot be referenced in the body of the standard</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DD Form 1423, Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) is the vehicle for ordering documentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tailoring conflicts with the purpose of MIL-STDs</li> <li>CA.C.I.</li> </ul>
			2			

I

MIL-STD-1679, "WEAPON SYSTEM SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT"	<ul> <li>It is not a <u>system</u> standard</li> <li>Encompasses the <u>total</u> software development process the <u>total</u> software development process the <u>total</u> software development process the <u>total</u> software development of work and documentation required regardless of the size and procumentation required regardless of the size and type of development</li> <li>Incorporates state-of-the-art software engineering practices and procedures, such as: <ul> <li>Top-down software development</li> <li>Top-down software development of work and methodogy</li> <li>Top-down software development</li> <li>Top-down so</li></ul></li></ul>
---	---

## MIL-STD-1679's PURPOSE

- Purpose: "Establishes uniform requirements for the development of weapon system software within the **Department of Defense**"
- To fill a contracting void

4

- To improve the maintainability of software entering the DOD inventory
- To prevent recurrence of historical software acquisition problems

### INDIRECT BENEFITS

- Safe for blind application
- A crutch for software naive managers
- Promotes standardization of:

5

- Programming standards and conventions
- Computer program documentation
- Definition and priorities of software errors
- Software change vehicles

# Prevents certain "gotcha's" by contractors

### PURPOSE OF COMPUTER PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION

- Physical manifestation of a computer program
- Vehicle for delivery of a program
- Description of the design
- Definition of the program itself
- Description of the tests

6

- Definition of the management baselines
- Configuration control tool
- Development management tool
- Software maintenance tool
- Future program enhancements
- Operator and user instructions

C.A.C.I.

i

### MYTH: THE COST OF COMPUTER PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION IS EXCESSIVE

- Recall all the uses for documentation
- Documentation is labor-intensive
- Cost components of documentation:
- Paper and ink
- Printing and binding

7

- Tech writing, editing, and typing
- Labor
- Engineering effort
- Human creativity
- The physical manifestation of the design of a computer program
- C.A.C.I. Incomplete documentation vs after-the-fact documentation





| | |

!





C.A.C.I.

1

and were reactive contractions of the second s





# UNIT DEVELOPMENT FOLDER (UDF)

- Overview of UDFs
- Project management tool
- They help force concurrent documentation
- Quality assurance tool
- Configuration management tool
- They are widely used in the industry

### PROGRAMMING STANDARDS AND **CONVENTIONS MANUAL**

- Programmer's bible
- Programming visibility and discipline
- Examples of items to be included:
- Operating system interface
- --- Module-to-module interfacing
- Program-to-program interfacing
- Structured programming
- Module size limitation
- Parameterization and symbolics
- Format for source code listing
- --- Source code commenting standards
- Data base interface ground rules
- Naming conventions
- Trade-off guidance

SOFTWARE QUALITY RELATIONSHIPS

Covernment Project Management     Software Development Contract Monitoring	Independent Verification & Validation (V&V) Contractor	<ul> <li>Verification</li> <li>Validation (Test)</li> </ul>	Software Development Contractor	Software Quality Assurance	Software Software Design and Software System Integration and Test Debug		Indeper Software Requirements Definition	Governme Software Dev ndent Verifica erification Software Software	ent Proj elopment tion & v tion & v and bebug	ect Management Contract Monitoring Contract Monitoring alidation (V&V) Contractor alidation (Test)
--	--	---	---------------------------------	----------------------------	---	--	---	---	--	--

## **OUTLINE OF MIL-STD-1679**

### Forward

- Section 1. Scope
- Section 2. Referenced Documents
- Section 3. Definitions
- Section 4. General Requirements
- Section 5. Detailed Requirements

### **OUTLINE OF MIL-STD-1679** (Cont.)

### **Detailed Requirements** Section 5.

- **Program Performance Requirements** Section 5.1
  - **Program Design Requirements** Section 5.2
    - Section 5.3
- **Programming Standards**
- **Programming Conventions** Section 5.4
  - **Program Production** Section 5.5
- **Program Regeneration** Section 5.6
  - **Program Operation** Section 5.7
    - **Program Test** Section 5.8
- **Quality Assurance** Section 5.9
- Section 5.10
- **Program Acceptance**
- **Configuration Management** Section 5.11
  - **Management Control** Section 5.12

### Miscellaneous Section 6.

## SOFTWARE QUALITY TEST

- This test is to be used just like a yardstick
- It is only part of the minimum acceptance criteria needed, it must be augmented for total acceptance criteria
- Should be used for both acceptance and life cycle testing
- It is not a replacement for full performance testing, it is merely a special one-time stress test
- It requires its own set of test documentation: plan, specifications, and procedures

TEST	
ANCE	
ENDUR	
AN	
ОF	
DESIGN	

- Establish definitions
- Identify programs to be tested
- Use configuration-managed (controlled) software
- Conduct in the ultimate environment
- Perform on the full-up system
- Define the duration of the test
- Include the required periods of stress
- Define the amount of allowable degradation
- Make contractually binding

# CONDUCT OF AN ENDURANCE TEST

- Conduct by an independent test organization
- **Exercise all functions and interfaces**
- Test over the full range of inputs
- Ensure that the program is overstressed
- Test for all wrap-around conditions
- Test must run to completion
- Invocation of the auto recovery routine constitutes a test failure
- Test must be rerun completely if a failure occurs

### ITEMS OF INTEREST

- It is required on all subcontracts
- Firmware is treated the same as software
- Automatic test equipment and training applications software are included within its coverage
- There are no specific reliability requirements

21

- Requires verification of the program architecture
- Specifies a limitation on the size of procedures
- It restricts the use of the "GOTO"
- Requires a 20% reserve of computer system resources at the time of program delivery
- Requires delivery of all support software
- C.A.C.I. Flow charts are not a required deliverable

## ITEMS OF INTEREST (Cont.)

- Apparent large number of reviews
- Apparent large amount of deliverable documentation

0
Ň
0
_
1
Ń
S
1
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
C
7
<b>(</b> )
S
LL_
Ο
<b></b>
in
×
U
1

- The hue and cry
- Work in the standard vs deliverables on 1423
- Software engineering practices
- Quality assurance practices
- Minimum set of requirements

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT COSTS

- 35% Requirements and design
- 15% Code and debug
  - 50% Integrate and test

SOFTWARE COSTS



# MIL-STD-1679's APP-LICABILITY

- It is applicable only within the scope of the contract
- It is applicable to projects of any size, even small ones
- It is appropriate for use in all system applications areas
- It is also applicable to commercial and ADP applications areas
- It is applicable to the development of firmware
- It can be used with any type of documentation scheme

### RELATIONSHIPS

# To computer program documentation

- Describes work in support of the DD Form 1423
- Supports: SECNAVINST 3560.1, MIL-STD-483, MIL-STD-490, DODI 7935.1S, FIPS PUB 38, et. al.
- Prescribes a minimum set

## To software quality assurance:

- Philosophically different from MIL-S-52779A
- Re-enforces the software QA movement
- QA is not synonymous with "test"

## To configuration management:

- Software CM is integral to system CM
- -- Limited to contractor internal software CM
- Addresses only the developmental baseline
- Does not conflict/compete with MIL-STD-483
- Does not conflict/compete with DOD-STD-480A

	IL-STDS: 560.1	C.A.C.I.
RELATIONSHIPS (Cont.)	<ul> <li>To government directives and other M</li> <li>DOD Directive 5000.29</li> <li>MIL-STD-490</li> <li>DOD Instruction 5000.31</li> <li>MIL-STD-1521A</li> <li>DOD Instruction 5000.5X</li> <li>MIL-STD-1521A</li> <li>DOD-STD-480A and 481</li> <li>MIL-STD-1644</li> <li>MIL-STD-483</li> <li>SECNAVINST 3</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To tailoring:</li> <li>Only allowable tailoring is upwards</li> <li>Upwards tailoring is required for:</li> <li>Derformance requirements</li> <li>Performance requirements</li> <li>Ran-machine interfaces</li> <li>System differences</li> <li>Project differences</li> <li>Uniqueness of applications</li> <li>Institutional differences</li> <li>Additional acceptance criteria</li> </ul>

28

Ł

### **CURRENT STATUS**

- government, commercially, and internationally It is being used widely throughout the
- It is widely accepted among software engineers
- It is widely accepted throughout the industry
- It is becoming an integral component of RFP/ proposals
- It is influencing contractor internal procedures:
- Software engineering standards are being promulgated
- Software quality assurance programs are being established
- Independent computer program test is emerging
- Configuration management is becoming visible
- Central software development facilities are more prevalent

