



server a server a server to a server a server

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



# **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS HEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
	NO. 3 ALGIP EVT'S CATALOG NUMBER	<b>1</b>
ст 00078 А142 5	9/	
TLE (and Subilite)	3. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
uonnock River Basin	INSPECTION REPORT	
dgeport, Conn., Forest Lake Dam IONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL	6 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
IONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL	6 PERFORMING ONG. REPORT RUMBER	· _ •
THOR(a)	B CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)	
. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS		•
ENGLAND DIVISION		
REORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK	
	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
T. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS	12. REPORT DATE	
ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	August 1978	-
TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254	• 110	
INITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(It dillerent from Controlling Off	ce) 13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
	184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	r.
RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		-
OVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMIT	ED	
STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if differe		
ROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMIT STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Block 20, if difference er program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ever, the official title of the program is: Na -Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if difference PPLEMENTARY NOTES er program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ever, the official title of the program is: Na	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
PPLEMENTARY NOTES Presentary notes program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ever, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotrect entered in Block 20, if different r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep vonDs (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block ma S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Lonnock River basin igeport, Conn.	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
PLEMENTARY NOTES PLEMENTARY NOTES Program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Noter, the official title of the program is: National Dams; use cover date for date of reproduced for the program is shock not for the progra	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Bleck 20, 11 different r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep WORDS (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block num S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, nonnock River basin ligeport, Conn. est Lake Dam TRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block num	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
PLEMENTARY NOTES r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep v VORDS (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block num S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, uonnock River basin dgeport, Conn. est Lake Dam STRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block num dam is approx. 1,650 ft. in length. It consi	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
PLEMENTARY NOTES r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nover, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep v WORDS (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block ma IS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Bleck 20, 11 difference r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nover, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep TORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by bleck ma S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, nonnock River basin ligeport, Conn. est Lake Dam TRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by bleck ma dam is approx. 1,650 ft. in length. It consi tons and an earth embankment with mortar face maximum height of 28 ft. above the original st a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort. her; sts of both natural earth ' for- i rubble core walls. The dam has reambed. The top width is 10 ft. to 1 vertical. There exists only c	
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if difference r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep vonDs (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ma S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, uonnock River basin dgeport, Conn. est Lake Dam TRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block man dam is approx. 1,650 ft. in length. It consi ions and an earth embankment with mortar face aximum height of 28 ft. above the original st h a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal operable outlet other than the spillway. Sin	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort. here: ats of both natural earth ' for- d rubble core walls. The dam has reambed. The top width is 10 ft. to 1 vertical. There exists only of gle family homes exist at the top	
PLEMENTARY NOTES r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep r vorDs (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ma S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, uonnock River basin dgeport, Conn. est Lake Dam ITRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ma dam is approx. 1,650 ft. in length. It consi ions and an earth embankment with mortar face aximum height of 28 ft. above the original st h a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal operable outlet other than the spillway. Sin the dam, on the downstream side, for approx.	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
PLEMENTARY NOTES r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep r vorDs (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block ma S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, uonnock River basin dgeport, Conn. est Lake Dam STRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block ma dam is approx. 1,650 ft. in length. It consi ions and an earth embankment with mortar faces aximum height of 28 ft. above the original st h a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal operable outlet other than the spillway. Sing the dam, on the downstream side, for approx. a broad crested concrete weir, 35.5 ft. long,	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about of an entered in Block 20, 11 different r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep r VORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block ma S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, uonnock River basin dgeport, Conn. est Lake Dam TRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block ma dam is approx. 1,650 ft. in length. It consi ions and an earth embankment with mortar face aximum height of 28 ft. above the original st h a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal operable outlet other than the spillway. Sing the dam, on the downstream side, for approx. a broad crested concrete weir, 35.5 ft. long, side walls. The area immediately below the data state of the spilly dwellings.	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetreet entered in Block 20, if different r program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, N ver, the official title of the program is: Na Federal Dams; use cover date for date of rep r words (Centinus on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ma S, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, wonnock River basin dgeport, Conn. est Lake Dam STRACT (Centinus on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ma dam is approx. 1,650 ft. in length. It consi ions and an earth embankment with mortar face aximum height of 28 ft. above the original st h a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal operable outlet other than the spillway. Sin the dam, on the downstream side, for approx. a broad crested concrete weir, 35.5 ft. long, side walls. The area immediately below the d	ational Dam Inspection Program; tional Program for Inspection of ort.	



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION. CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM. MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

NEDED

Honorable Ella T. Grasso Governor of the State of Connecticut State Capitol Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Dear Governor Grasso:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Forest Lake Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Protection, the cooperating agency for the State of Connecticut. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, The Lake Forest Association, Inc., 424 Frenchtown Road, Bridgeport, Connecticut 06606, ATTN: Mr. Norman Fuller, President.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Protection for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

JOHN P. CHANDLER Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

This document has been approved frein 2 lier ien eranar aler its чd

Incl As stated يد د و 1 •••

FOREST LAKE DAM CT 00078

## PEQUONNOCK RIVER BASIN BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

## PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

20.005

AV

Dist

.

#### BRIEF ASSESSMENT

h

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

#### NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF DAMS

Name of Dam: FOREST LAKE Inventory Number: CT 00078 CONNECTICUT State Located: County Located: FAIRFIELD Town Located: BRIDGEPORT Stream: ISLAND BROOK Date of Inspection: MAY 23, 1978 DEAN THOMASSON Inspection Team: HECTOR MORENO GONZALO CASTRO

The dam is approximately 1,650 feet in length. It consists of both natural earth formations and an earth embankment with mortar faced rubble core walls. The dam has a maximum height of 28 feet above the original streambed. The top width is 10 feet with a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal to 1 vertical. There exists only one operable outlet other than the spillway. Single family homes exist at the top of the dam, on the downstream side, for approximately 75% of its length. The spillway is a broad crested concrete weir, 35.5 feet long, having masonry rubble training and side walls. The area immediately below the dam and spillway is heavily developed with single family dwellings.

Based upon the visual inspection at the site and past performance of the dam, the dam is judged to be in good condition. No evidence was observed of structural instability in the embankment and the condition of the earth embankment is generally good. There are some areas which require attention. See Section 7 for further details.

Based upon our hydraulic computations, the spillway capacity is 560 cubic feet per second, which is equivalent to approximately 18 percent of the Test Flood. Based upon the size and hazard classification in accordance with Corps guidelines the test flood will be equal to the Probable Maximum (PMF). Peak inflow to the reservoir is 3,840 cubic feet per second; peak outflow (Test Flood) is 3,150 cubic feet per second with the dam overtopped 0.7 feet. The peak failure outflow from the dam breaching would be 5,900 cubic feet per second. An overtopping of 0.7 feet will flood the houses located immediately adjacent to the toe of the dam. A breach of the dam which would develop a 4 foot wave would create flooding immediately downstream of the dam causing severe damage to life and property.

It is recommended that further studies be undertaken to perform a more refined hydraulic/hydrologic study and determination of the best way to increase the ability of the facility to pass a greater percentage of the test flood. Any increasing of spillway capacity would have to be coordinated with present studies concerning downstream flooding. We recommend increasing spillway capacity because overtopping of the dam has far worse potential for loss of lives than downstream flooding. See Section 7 for further detail.

The low level outlet for the dam is not operative. It must be repaired immediately so the dam water level can be lowered for emergencies or maintenance. The high level outlet gate valve is in the downstream face of the dam. It should be replaced by a valve on the upstream side of the high level outlet pipe. Also, the screen chambers for the outlets are not properly covered and are a hazard.

In addition to our investigations, studies by J.W. Cone consisting of an inspection report and recommendations dated June 7, 1966 (Appendix B-19) outline corrective work necessary. Again in February 1969 (Appendix B-50) John J. Mozzochi and Associates in their inspection report outlined similar corrective work. Clarence Blair Associates (in 1971), prepared plans for proposed lengthening of the spillway and channel improvements. This corrective work has not been done to date.

An operation and maintenance plan (see Remedial Measures, Section 7) as well as the recommendations presented above, should be instituted within 6 months of the owner's receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

STE CONNECTION Pater M Hacener
Sa Peter M. Heynen, P.E.
Project Manager
Cahn Engineers, Inc.
OF CONNECTED William O. Doll, P.E. Chief Engineer
Cahn Engineers, Inc.
BERNERED Cann Engineers, Inc.

This Phase I Inspection Report on Forest Lake Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection</u>: <u>of Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

herles

CHARLES G. TIERSCH, Chairman Chief, Foundation and Materials Branch Engineering Division

aven

FRED J. RAVENS, Jr., Member Chief, Design Branch Engineering Division

**P** 

S

.

2

SAUL COOPER, Member Chief, Water Control Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

B. Fryan JOE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to D.C. identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspection. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionarly in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions there of. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as neccessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

#### PREFACE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page Brief Assessment i,ii Review Board Signature Page iii iv Preface Table of Contents v **Overview Photo** Y Plate No. 1 Site Location Plan Plate No. 2 Drainage Area Map SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION 1.1 General..... a. Authority Purpose of Inspection Program b. c. Scope of Inspection Program 1.2 Description of Project.....2 Description of Dam and Appurtenances a. b. Location Size Classification c. Hazard Classification d. e. Ownership f. Purpose of Dam Design and Construction History g. h. Normal Operational Procedures 1.3 Pertinent Data..... .......4 . . . . . . Drainage Areas a. b. Discharge at Damsite c. Elevations d. Reservoir e. Storage f. **Reservoir Surface** Dam g. h. Diversion and Regulatory Tunnel Spillway i. j. **Regulatory Outlets** 

v

1

## SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA **2.1 Design.....** 6 Available Data a. b. Design Features c. Design Data Available Data a. Construction Considerations b. a. Availability b. Adequacy c. Validity SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION a. General b. Dam C. Appurtenant Structures **Reservoir Area** d. e. Downstream Channel SECTION 4: OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES 4.1 <u>Regulatory Procedures</u>..... 11 4.2 Maintenance of Dam.... 11 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities.... 11 4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect..... 11 4.5 Evaluation..... 11 SECTION 5: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

- 5.1 Evaluation of Features.....12
  - a. Design Data
  - b. Experience Data
  - c. Visual Observations
  - d. Overtopping Potential
  - e. Spillway Adequacy

## SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1	Evaluation	of Structural Stability13	
	a. Visual	Observations	

- Visual Observations Design and Construction Data b.
- c. Operating Records
- d. Post Construction Changes
- e. Seismic Stability

## SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATION'S & REMEDIAL MEASURES

## 7.1 Dam Assessment.....14

- a. Condition
- b. Adequacy of Information
- c. Urgency
- Need for Additional Information d.
- 7.2 Recommendations.....14
- 7.3 Remedial Measures.....15 a. Alternatives
  - b. O&M Maintenance and Procedures

APPENDIX

SECTION A: VISUAL OBSERVATIONS A-	1 to A-13
SECTION B: EXISTING DATA*	
Summary of Contents Data and Correspondence B-	l to B-14
Drawings	
"Improvements at Island Brook Reservoir" Bridgeport, Connecticut The Bridgeport Hydraulic Company S.G. Stoddard, Jr. Engineer May 1899	B-145
"Topography Below Island Brook Dam" Bridgeport Hydraulic Company August 1908	B-146
"Topographic Map Island Brook Drainage Study and Stream Encroachment Lines" City of Bridgeport Seelye, Stevenson, Value and Knecht April 21, 1973	B-147
"Topographic Map Lake Forest & Vicinity" Island Brook Flood Control Study Bridgeport, Connecticut State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection April 1974	B-148
"Proposed-Alterations to Lake Forest Dam" For the Lake Forest Association, Inc. Bridgeport, Connecticut Clarence Blair Associates Revised May 18, 1971 Sheet 1 of 2 and 2 of 2	B-149
Dam-Plan Profiles and Sections	B-151

(

Page

4

SECTION C:	DETAIL PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1	to	C-2
SECTION D:	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1	to	D-
SECTION E:	INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS			
Lake Fo	prest Dam-Inventory No. CT 00078		E-]	L
* See Si	pecial Note, Appendix Section B			

Availability of Data.

20:







- - -

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

## FOREST LAKE DAM

#### SECTION I

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>- Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Cahn Engineers, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the southwestern portion of the State of Connecticut. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Cahn Engineers, Inc. under a letter of April 26, 1978 from Ralph T,. Garver, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-78-C-0310 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. <u>Purpose of Inspection Program</u>- The purposes of the program are to:

- Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions requiring correction in a timely manner by nonfederal interests.
- (2) Encourage and prepare the States to quickly initiate effective dam inspection programs for non-federal dams.
- (3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

c. <u>Scope of Inspection Program</u>- The scope of this Phase I inspection report includes:

- (1) Gathering, reviewing and presenting available data as can be obtained from the owners, previous owners, the state and other associated parties.
- (2) A field inspection of the facility detailing the visual condition of the dam, embankments and appurtenant structures.

-1-

- (3) Computation concerning the hydraulics and hydrology of the facility and its relationship to the calculated flood through the existing spillway.
- (4) An assessment of the condition of the facility and corrective measures required.

It should be noted that this report does not pass judgement on the safety or stability of the dam other than on a visual basis. The inspection is to identify these features on the dam which need corrective action and/or further study.

#### 1.2 Description of Project

Description of Dam and Appurtenances- At this time a. the dam consists of both natural earth formations and earth embankments with mortar faced rubble corewalls approximately on center line. The dam is approximately 1,650 feet in length. The top width is 10 feet with a maximum downstream slope of 2 horizontal to 1 vertical. Single family homes exist at the top of the dam, on the downstream side, for approximately 75% of its length. The spillway is a broad crested concrete weir 35.5 feet long, with masonry sidewalls having a steel pedestrian walk located 38 inches above the There are two valve houses and two gate chambers spillway. which outlet through a single 30 inch pipe. The area immediately below the dam and spillway is heavily developed with single family dwellings.

b. Location- The dam is located on Island Brook, in a residential area, in the Town of Bridgeport, County of Fairfield, State of Connecticut. The dam is shown on the Bridgeport U.S.G.S. Quandrangle Map having coordinates of longitude W73 12"32" and latitude N410 13'9".

c. <u>Size Classification</u>- SMALL (Storage Elevation 178 Top of Dam) (Pool -908 acre ft) (Height Top of Dam to Old Streambed - 28 ft.)

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u> - HIGH (Category I) Single family homes exist at the toe of the dam on the downstream side, for approximately 75% of its length. The area immediately below the dam is heavily developed with single family homes. If the dam were breached, there is a potential that many lives could be lost. Even overtopping of the dam yields a potential for loss of life. OwnershipThe Lake Forest Association, Inc.424 Frenchtown RoadBridgeport, Connecticut 06606Phone Number (203) 372-9144 ClubhousePresident: Norman Fuller Home# 372-5911Office# 374-0520Dam Committee: Bill McCarn 372-0395

f. Purpose of Dam- Recreation

**q**. Design and Cosntruction History- The following information is believed to be accurate based on the plans and correspondence available and included in the Appendix. Prior to 1899 the dam consisted of earth fill and masonry walls upstream and downstream. The dam retaining incorporates both natural earth formations and man made embankments at low areas. The dam is approximately 50 feet wide at the toe and 25 ft. high. No design or construction history was available. The contractor and engineer are not known.

After 1899 the dam was raised approximately 4 feet by the Bridgeport Hydraulic Company and utilized for water The design engineer was S.G. Stoddard Jr. supply. The contractor is not known. No design or construction history was available for these improvements. The improvements consisted of raising the upstream retaining wall by 6 feet and incorporating this wall as the central corewall by filling and ripraping the upstream face. The high and low level intakes, piping and structures were constructed at this time. A topographic map of the area dated 1908 for the Bridgeport Hydraulic Company shows all construction to be complete. The Bridgeport Hydraulic Company sold the reservoir and dam in 1938 to Island Brook, Inc. The present owner of the dam is the Lake Forest Association, Inc. In the early 1960's under their ownership, the water supply piping to the City was abandoned and outlet pipes constructed from each of the gate chambers, joined and outletted through a single 30 inch pipe approximately 200 ft downstream of the spillway on the left. The engineer and contractor for this work is not known. To the right of the spillway, homes have been constructed in the natural earth formation or by filling on the downstream slope as material was made available. It appears that the spillway may also have been raised approximately 1.4 feet in the early 1960's to its present elevation. The engineer and contractor for the spillway raising is not known.

-3-

h. Normal Operational Procedures - The owner stated that from late summer to early winter the lake level is maintained approximately 3 feet below the spillway. The high level intake is used for this purpose. The lake can be lowered at a rate of 1 inch per day depending on precipitation.

1.3 Pertinent Data

1

•

...

 a. <u>Drainage Areas</u> - 1.45 square miles (925 acres) in residential area. Mountainous terrain.

b. <u>Discharge at Damsite</u> - Maximum Flood Not Known. Total spillway capacity at elevation 178 (top of dam) 560 cfs.

c. <u>Elevation</u> - (Ft above MSL, USGS Datum)

	Top of Dam: Spillway Crest: Streambed @ Center Line of Dam: High Level Intake: Low Level Intake: Outlet Pipe:	178 174.8 150 167 152 150
đ.	<u>Reservoir</u> - Length of Normal Pool: Length of Maximum Pool:	1500 ft. 1500+ ft.
e.	<u>Storage</u> - At Elevation 174.8 At Elevation 178 (top of dam)	852 acre ft. 908 acre ft.
f.	Reservoir Surface - At Elevation 174.8 At Elevation 178	71 acres 71+ acres
g.	<u>Dam</u> - Type:	Earth fill with masonry core approxi- mately on center- line and natural earth formations.
	Length:	1,650 feet
	Height:	28 ft. above original streambed

-4-

Top Width:

Side Slope:

Impervious Core:

10+ feet

Up stream 1.5H to IV (Max.) Downstream 2H to IV

Central masonry core to within 2 ft. of top of dam.

Cutoff:

Type:

None Known.

h. Diversion and Regulatory Tunnel - Not Applicable.

i. Spillway

Broad Crested concrete weir. Length of Weir: 35.5 feet Crest Elevation: 174.8 **Upstream Channel:** 10H to IV

Downstream Channel:

10H to IV, 3 feet high, 20 feet wide, curves right.

## j. Regulating Outlets

Size 20" dia., manually High Level intake: operated, located in downstream face at Elevation 167 operational.

Low Level Intake - Size Unknown (estimated 24") manually operated, located in upstream face at elevation 152, inoperative.

Outlet: Combined to 30" dia. pipe

-5-

#### SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

#### 2.1 Design

a. <u>Available Data</u> The available data consists of drawings, correspondence and calculations by the Bridgeport Hydraulic Company, State of Connecticut, Joseph W. Cone, Lake Forest Association, John J. Mozzochi and Associates, Clarence Blair Associates, City of Bridgeport, Seelye Stevenson Value and Knecht, Inc. and others. Considerable information is available with respect to the hydraulic/hydrologic nature of the facility and its impacts on downstream flooding. The available data is included in the Appendix Section B.

b. <u>Design Features</u> - The available data does not address the design features of the embankment or spillway but does summarize field investigations and assumptions.

c. <u>Design Data</u> - There were no engineering values, assumptions, test results or calculations available for the original construction or later raising. The design data available addresses only the hydraulic/hydrologic characteristics of the facility.

#### 2.2 Construction

a. <u>Available Data</u> - The only available construction drawing appears to be a section titled "Improvements at Island Brook Reservoir" dated May 1899, Appendix B page B-145.

b. <u>Construction Considerations</u> - No data information was available.

## 2.3 Operation

a. No formal operation records exist. A representative for the Lake Forest Association stated that the low level intake gate valve does not function. Lake level is adjusted through the 20 inch high level outlet.

#### 2.4 Evaluation

a. <u>Availability</u> - Existing data was provided by the State of Connecticut, City of Bridgeport and the owner. The owner made the operations available for visual inspection.

b. <u>Adequacy</u> - Due to the limited amount of detailed engineering data available, the final assessment of this investigation must be based primarily on visual inspection, performance history and hydraulic/hydrologic assumptions.

c. <u>Validity</u> - A comparison of record data and visual observations reveals no observable significant discrepancies in the record data.

## SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 Findings

a. <u>General</u> - In general the dam is in need of maintenance.

b. Dam

Upstream Slope ----At the time of the visual inspection of the dam, the reservoir level was slightly over the spillway crest, and thus only the upper three feet of the slope could be observed as exposed. The riprap protection inspected generally is in good condition, however, next to the spillway walls there has been some erosion and settling of riprap. Modifications of the upstream slope and crest of the dam have been made by some property owners to facilitate boating or swimming from their properties within an area about 300 to 500 feet to the right of the spillway. These modifications do not appear to have had a detrimental effect on the dam.

<u>Crest</u> - There are bushes and trees growing at several locations along the crest and the upper part of the upstream slope of the dam.

Downstream Slope - A considerable amount of fill has been placed against the downstream slope for home building, as can be seen by comparing the August 1908 topography drawing of Bridgeport Hydraulic Co. with the 1974 topography drawing of the State of Connecticut. The character of the fill placed as compared with the dam materials is not known. It should be pointed out that placement of soil against the downstream slope increases the stability of the dam only if the fill materials are of equal or higher permeability than the embankment. In other words, placement of impervious fill on the downstream face of the dam could adversely affect dam stability.

The downstream filled areas are occupied by homes to the right of the spillway, while to the left of the spillway there is heavy tree and brush cover among piles of fill which were not spread.

No evidence of seepage or wet areas were found on the downstream slope or within an area of about 150 feet downstream of the dam with the exception of a wet area 120 feet downstream of the dam immediately to the left of the spillway channel and near the outlet discharge pipe.

-8-

c. Appurtenant Structures

Spillway - The concrete weir shows signs of indentation and deterioration. The training and sidewalls show signs of loose or m missing mortar in between the rubble.

**Outlet Works - The high level intake gate house and** valve located on the downstream face of the dam, are in good condition and were demonstrated by the owner. The high level intake gate chamber is in good condition. The low level intake gate house located on the upstream face of the dam, is in need of repair. The roof has a large hole in it and the floor is partially collapsed. The valve inside does not function. The valve in the manhole cross over (See Plate No. 3) is in good condition and was demonstrated by the owner. The low level intake chamber is in good condition, however, security devices have been destroyed. The 30 inch pipe which discharges into the spillway channel is partially obstructed with boulders to about its midheight

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u> - The topography surrounding the reservoir gently slopes to the water. The shore is entirely developed. Sedimentation is not excessive. It is most notable where storm drainage enters the lake.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u> - The spillway channel has low stone walls within about 50 feet of the spillway. The left wall has partially collapsed while the right wall is in good condition. The channel is strewn with boulders, and there are some tree branches which have fallen into the stream Heavy tree and bush growth next to the channel can, in the future, result in additional branches falling into the channel.

## 3.2 Evaluation

The condition of the earth embankment is generally good but there are some areas which require attention

a. The trees and bushes growing on the crest, upstream and downstream slopes of the dam present a potential seepage problem. The roots can create seepage paths for the water, particularly after the trees die. Uprooting of trees during windstorms could cause embankment problems

-9-

b. The top portion of the upstream slope and the adjacent crest section at the spillway retaining walls have settled or eroded and would cause concentration of water flow if the reservoir level were to approach the crest of the dam. This would increase the possibility of localized erosion and washout at this point

c. The outlet pipe is partially blocked and its flow capacity is thus reduced, decreasing its usefulness in lowering the reservoir in an emergency.

d. The bottom of the spillway channel contains tree branches and other debris which can reduce its flow capacity.

e. The gate structures are in need of maintenance, particularly the low level intake house.

#### SECTION 4: OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

#### 4.1 Regulating Procedure

From late summer to early winter the lake level is maintained approximately 3 feet below the spillway crest

#### 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

The owner stated that every two (2) to three (3) years trees and brush are removed from the dam. The spillway area is cleaned as needed.

#### 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The maintenance of the facilities is on an as needed basis. The functional gate valves are generally operated at least twice a year.

#### 4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect

No formal warning system is in effect. The owner reports emergency situations directly to the Bridgeport Fire Department.

## 4.5 Evaluation

The operation and maintenance procedures should be improved (see Section 7.2).

## SECTION 5: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

## 5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. <u>Design Data</u> - No computations could be found for the original dam construction. As development downstream has progressed concerns for flooding have increased. The Appendix B contains numerous calculations by consultants retained to study the hydraulic/hydrologic impacts of the facility.

b. Experience Data The worst experience was a situation where 3 boats were adrift blocking the spillway so that water started to cut through the earth on top of the dam. Possible disaster was averted by a member of the Lake Forest Association who happened to notice this at 2:00 a.m.. (refer to photographs 1 and 2)

c. <u>Visual Observations</u> - Downstream flooding is a problem and is currently being studied. The spillway is narrow and could be easily blocked.

d. Overtopping Potential - The test flood for this high hazard mall size dam is equal to the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) of 3150 cfs.

Based upon our hydraulics computations, the spillway capacity is 560 cubic feet per second (Appendix D-10). Based upon "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharges" dated March 1978, peak inflow to the reservoir is 3,840 cubic feet per second; peak outflow (Test Flood) is 3,150 cubic feet per second with the dam overtopped 0.7 feet (Appendix D-13)

Since the watershed area (1.45 square miles) of Lake Forest is smaller than two square miles, it may be appropriate to consider higher intensity short duration storms. One such calculation is shown in Appendix D-16.

e. <u>Spillway Adequacy</u> - The spillway will pass only 18 percent of the Test Flood at elevation 178 (top of dam elevation).

#### SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

## 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. <u>Visual Observations</u> - No evidence was observed of structural instability in the embankment. The appurtenant structures are in need of repair.

b. <u>Design and Construction Data</u>- There is not enough design and construction data to permit a formal evaluation of stability.

c. <u>Operating Records</u> No available recorded information exists that indicates an instability problem.

d. <u>Post Construction Changes</u> - No evidence indicates that construction changes, (i.e.) filling downstream of the dam, has had a detrimental effect on dam stability

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u> - This dam is in Seismic Zone 1 and hence does not have to be evaluated for seismic stability, according to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Recommended Guidelines. In any case, there is not sufficient information available about the materials in the dam and its foundation to make such an evaluation. SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT. RECOMMENDATIONS & REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### 7.1 Dam Assessment

a. <u>Condition</u> - Based upon the visual inspection at the site and past performance, the dam is judged to be in good condition. No evidence was observed of structural instability in the embankment and the condition of the earth embankment is generally good. There are some areas which require attention.

Based upon our hydraulics computations, the spillway capacity is 560 cubic feet per second which is equivalent to approximately 18 percent of the Test Flood. Based upon "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharges" dated March 1978, peak inflow to the reservoir is 3,840 cubic feet per second; peak outflow is 3,150 cubic feet per second with the dam overtopped 0.7 feet.

Utilizing the April 1978 "Rule of Thumb Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs," the peak failure outflow from the dam would be 5,900 cubic feet per second. The overtopping of 0.7 feet will flood the houses located immediately adjacent to the toe of the dam. A breach of the dam which would develop a 4 foot wave would create flooding immediately downstream of the dam causing severe damage to life and property.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u> - The information available is not sufficient to analyze the stability of the dam. An assessment of the dam must thus be based solely on a visual inspection, which cannot disclose all potential problems the dam may develop in the future.

c. <u>Urgency</u> - The recommendations and remedial measures presented in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 should be implemented within 6 months of the owner's receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

d. <u>Need for Additional Information</u> - There is a need for additional information.

#### 7.2 Recommendations

1. Repair and reactivate the low level outlet and lower the pool elevation until spillway capacity has been increased.

- 2. A more sophisticated round the clock surveillance should be provided by the owner during periods of unusually heavy precipitation. The owner should develop a formal warning system with local officials for alerting downstream residents in case of emergency.
- 3. The spillway discharge capacity is not considered adequate. Further hydraulic studies by competent consulting engineers are necessary to determine what alternative measures are necessary to significantly increase spillway discharge capabilities.
- 4. The high level outlet valve is in the downstream face of the dam. It must be replaced by a valve on the upstream side of the high level outlet pipe. Also, the screen chambers for the outlets are not properly covered and are a hazard.
- 5. Since the worst operating experience recorded was a spillway jamming situation with three boats adrift, consideration should be given to raising the spillway bridge and/or providing a log boom.

#### 7.3 Remedial Measures

This study has Alternatives a. identified no practical alternatives to the above recommendations. The alternative which practically achieves the desired results as the recommendations would be to drain the lake. Such action should be taken in the interest of safety if the recommendations are not implemented within the specified time frame. However, this action would adversely impact the ecology of this lake and it's year-round recreational uses. Therefore, every effort should be made to implement the above recommendations.

b. <u>Operation and Maintenance Procedures</u> - The following measures must be undertaken within 6 months of the owner's receipt of this report and continued on a regular basis.

1. The trees and brush growing on the crest and brush growing on the upstream slope should be removed. Any tree stumps with a trunk diameter of 6 inches or over should also be removed and the hole backfilled with a compacted sandy clay or clayey sand soil. Along the undeveloped portion of the dam, trees should be removed from the downstream slope of the dam and within a distance of 15 feet from the toe of the central portion of the original dam. Along those areas which have been developed, the removal of trees and brush should be within a distance of 30 feet from the upstream edge of the crest of the dam.

1

I (

- 2. The top portion of the dam should be returned to its original grade and rip-rapped condition next to the spillway.
- 3. Obstructions should be removed from the outlet pipe.

The bottom of the spillway should be cleaned of the branches and other debris, and trees immediately adjacent to the channel should be cut.

- 4. Maintain the low level outlet so the dam water level can be lowered for emergencies or maintenance. The valve should be operated at least twice a year for a minimum of 6 hours to clear the inlet and assure that the valve is operable.
- 5. The dam should be inspected at least once every two years by an inspector gualified in dam inspection.

## APPENDIX

# SECTION A: VISUAL OBSERVATIONS
VISUAL	INSPECTION	CHECK LIST	
	PARTY ORGAN	IZATION	
ROJECT Lake Forest Dam		DATE: May	23, 1978
		TIME: 8:3	0 - 3:00
		WEATHER Pa	rtly Cloudy-80°F
		W.S. ELEV.	174.9 U.S. 152 DN.S
PARTY:	INITIALS:		DISCIPLINE:
Dean Thomasson	DT	<u></u>	Structural
2Hector Moreno	HM		Hydraulic/Hydrologic
Gonzalo Castro	GC	<u></u>	Geotechnical
4			
5			
6			
PROJECT FEATURE		INSPECTED BY	REMARKS
L. Earth and Masonry Core Emban	kment	GC/DT	
2.Spillway		GC/DT	
3. Outlet Works - Inlets		GC/HM/DT	
. Outlet Works - Conduits		GC	
5. Outlet Works - Control Struc	tures	HM/DT	· ·
6. Outlet Works - Outlets		GC/DT	
. Outlet Works - Service Bridg	ie	DT	
8. Reservoir		DT	
9. Operation and Maintenance		DT	
. Safety and Performance Instr	umentation	DT	
12.			

į

.

Ś

ŀ

Ì

PERIODIC INSP PROJECT Lake Forest	ECTI	ON CHECK LIST Page 1 of 2 DATE May 23, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Earth and Masonry Core D		ry Core Dam Embankment
AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION
Crest Elevation	TD	174.8
Current Pool Elevation	DT	174.9
Maximum Impoundment to Date	DT	178.0 (top of dam)
Surface Cracks	GC	None.
Pavement Condition	GC	No pavement, footpath, some grass, locally bushes and trees.
Movement or Settlement of Crest	GC	None apparent.
lateral Movement	GC	None apparent.
Vertical Alignment	GC	Appears good.
Norizontal Alignment	GC	Appears good. Some modifications by homeowners with small retaining walls
Condition at Abutment and at Masonry Structures	GC	and boat landings. Some loss of soil next to spillway at upstream side.
Indications of Movement of Struct- ural Items on Slopes	GC	None.
Trespassing of Slopes	GC	Footpaths on D.S. slope left of spill-
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	GC	way. None noted.
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Fail- ures	GC	Some minor movement of riprap.
Unusual Movement or Craking at or near Toes	GC	None observed.
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Scopage	GC	None observed.
Diping or Boils	GC	None observed,
Foundation Drainage Features	GC	None observed.
Toe Drains	GC	None apparent.

.

Q

PERIODIC	INSPECTION	CHECK	LIST	Page 2 of 2
----------	------------	-------	------	-------------

PROJECT Lake Forest

DATE May 23, 1978

A-3

PROJECT FEATURE Earth and Masonry Core Dam Embankment

AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION
Vegetation growth Instrumentation Systems	GC GC	Trees growing on top of dam at several locations, None known.
· .		
· · · ·		
•.		

	ROJECT Lake Forest		DATE May 23, 1978
<del>ا</del> 	PROJECT FEATURE Spillway - App	roaci	n, Channel, Weir, Discharge Channel
	NREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION
a.	Approach Channel	GC	None observed because reservoir was full.
	General Condition	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	-	
	Trees Overhanging Channel	-	
1	Floor of Approach Channel	-	
ь.	Weir and Training or Sidewalls	-	
	General Condition of Concrete	TD	Concrete fair - Masonry side wall loosing mortar.
	Rust of Staining	DT	
	Spalling	DT	Erosion at crest of weir.
* 1	ny Visible Reinforcing	DT	No.
	Any Seepage or Efflorescence	DT	No.
	Drain Holes	GC	None.
с.	Discharge Channel	Ì	
	General Condition	GC	Good.
	Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	GC	None.
	Trees Overhanging Channel	GC	Tree branches fallen and other branch leaning.
ł	Floor of Channel	GC	
	Other Obstructions	GC	
ł			

ار	PROJECT       Lake Forest       DATE       May 23, 1978         PROJECT       FEATURE_Outlet Works - Inlet Channel 6 Inlet Structure			
	۸	REA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION
	a.	Approach Channel Slope Conditions Bottom Conditions Rock Slides or Falls Log Boom Debris Condition of Concrete Lining Drains or Weep Holes Intake Structure Condition of Concrete Stop Logs and Slots	GC DT & HM	Could not be observed if present. Reservoir was full. '. Low level intake structure flooded and inoperative. 'High level intake in good condition.

•

PROJECT Lake Forest		DATE May 23, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Outlet W	lorks -	Transition and Conduit
AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION
General Condition of Concrete		
Rust or Staining on Concrete	-	
5palling	-	
Erosion or Cavitation	-	
Cracking	-	
Alignment of Monoliths	-	
Alignment of Joints	-	
Numbering of Monoliths	-	
Conduits	GC	Outlet conduit is blocked at outlet to about its mid height.
΄.		
·.		

Q

PROJECT_Lake Forest		DATEMay 23, 1978	
PROJECT FEATURE Outlet Works	- Cont	rol Tower, Operating House, Gate Shafts	
AREA EVALUATED	ВҮ	CONDITION	
a. Concrete and Structural			
General Condition	DT	Low level intake in poor condition. High level intake in good condition.	i
Condition of Joints	DT	Good.	
Spalling	DT	Yes.	12
Visible Reinforcing	DT	None.	
Rusting or Staining of Concre	ete DT	None.	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	DT	None.	
Joint Alignment	DT	Good.	
Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber	DT	None.	
Cracks	DT	None.	
Rusting or Corrosion of Stee	1 DT	None.	
b. Mechanical and Electrical	нм	No mechanical or electrical equipment other than gate valves. Lower level	
Air Vents		gate valve inoperable. High level gate valve and crossover valve in manhole in	
Float Wells		good condition.	
Crane Hoist			
Elevator			
Hydraulic System			
Service Gates			
Emergency Gates			
Lighting Protection System	ł		

T

T

\* \* \* 🖤

•

	AREA EVALUATED	ву	CONDITION
=	Wiring and Lighting System in Gate Chamber	-	
~			
~			
_			

	SPECTI	ON CHECK LIST
PROJECT Lake Forest		DATE May 23, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Outlet Works	- Out1	et Structure and Outlet Channel
AREA EVALUATED	ВY	CONDITION
General Condition of Concrete	DT	Good.
Rust or Staining	TC	None.
Spalling	TD	Slight.
Erosion or Cavitation	TC	Slight.
Visible Reinforcing	TC	None.
Any Seepage orEfflorescence	DT	None.
Condition at Joints	DT	Good.
Drain Holes	GC	None.
Channel	GC	Stone walls and stone bottom. In good
Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	GC	condition except for left wall near spillway which has partly collapsed. Several tree branches have fallen and others are leaning over channel.
Condition of Discharge Channel	GC	Contains some debris.
· ·		
	1	
• .		
	  .	

PROJECT Lake Project feature		DATE May 23, 1978 vice Bridge (Pedestrian/Vehicular)
AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION
Alignment of A Approach to Ba	Members Deck cing em hts DT ers tion of Concrete Abutment	<pre>Steel channel with steel grating for pedestrian access. Needs paint. Steel pipe concrete filled pier at center of bridge. Rubble masorry abutments.</pre>

٠. Г., Г.,

C

<u>.</u>				
	PERIODIC INSE	ECT	ION CHECK LIST	
	PROJECT Lake Forest DATE May 23, 1978			
	PROJECT FEATURE <u>Reservoir</u>			
	AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION	
-	Shoreline	DT	Fully developed	
	Sedimentation	та	Slight at storm drainage inlet and	
	Potential Upstream Hazard Areas	DT	beaches. None, Dam would overtop first.	
140	Watershed Alteration - Runoff Potential	DT	Area largely developed, only minor watershed alteration possible.	
心 計				
8				
5				
1170 - NAVA - NAVA - ANA (				
- L				

PROJECT Lake Forest		DATE May 23, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Operation and	BY	CONDITION
AREA EVALUATED	D I	
a. <u>Reservoir Regulation Plan</u>		
Normal Conditions	DT	In fall water level maintained 3 fee below
Emergency Plans	DT	Spillway.
Warning System	DT	Notifies Fire Department.
b. <u>Maintenance</u> (Type) (Regularity)		
Dam	DT	Remove trees and brush every two (2) to three (3) years.
Spillway	DT	Clean as needed.
Outlet Works	TD	Valves greased as needed.
	1	

PROJECT FEATURE Safety and Performance Instrumentation						
AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION				
Headwater and Tailwater Gages	DT	None.				
Horizontal and Vertical Alignment Instrumentation (Concrete Struct- ures)	DT	None.				
Horizontal and Vertical Movement, Consolidation, and Pore-Water Pressure Instrumentation (Embankment Structures)	DT	None.				
Uplift Instrumentation	DT	None.				
Drainage System Instrumentation	TC	None.				
Seismic Instrumentation	рт	None.				
	{					

•:

APPENDIX SECTION B: EXISTING DATA

#### SPECIAL NOTE

## SECTION B

# AVAILABILITY OF DATA

The correspondence listed in the Summary of Contents and the plans listed in the Table of Contents, Appendix Section B, are included in the master copy of this report, which is on file at the office of the Army Corps of Engineers, New England Division, in Waltham, Massachusetts.

£

1977 - 1977 1977 - 1977

14

Only the following correspondence is included in this report.

Date	<u>To</u>	From	Subject Page
July 7, 1974	Files	Water Resources Commission	Dam Inventory B-1 Data
March 20 1969	Files	William H. O'Brien III	Correspondence B-5 File Summary
June 7 1966	William O'Brien III	J.W. Cone	Dam Summary and B-19 Inspection Report with calculations
Feb. 10 1969	n 11	John J. Mozzochi and Associates	Inspection Re- B-50 port & Recom- mendations
Dec. 14 1971	90 97	n n	Additional B-63 Design Criteria
Dec. 1973	City of Bridgeport	Seelye, Stevenson, Value & Knecht, Inc.	"Island Brook B-88 Drainage Study- Lake Forest Spillway to Poquonock River"

		PAGE	8-1	mmary 8-3	igh ite B-10		n from B-13	rníng B-14	s about B-15		B-18	cion report B-19	Cone report dated B-45	
4		SUBJECT	Dam Inventory Data	Correspondence File Summary	Plan and Section through Presumed High Level Gate Structure	Request for Dam Inspections	Request for information from Bridgeport Hydraulics	Responce to Cone concerning lack of information	Letter asking questions about Dam	Useage of "Hydraulic Circular No. 4"	Response to questions	Dam Summary and inspection report with calculations	Review of J.W. Cone rep June 7, 66	Request obtaining of a Profess- ional Engineer to submit plans
( Section B: <u>Existing data</u>	SUMMARY OF CONTENTS	FROM	Water Resources Commission	William H. O'Brien, III		W. H. O'Brien, III	J. W. Cone	R. H. Reinert	J. W. Cone	J. W. Cone	R. H. Reinert	J. W. Cone	W. P. Sander	W. P. Sander
		10	Files	Files		Mr. J. Cone	Mr. R.H. Reinert	Mr. J. W. Cone	Mr. R. H. Keinert	Bureau of Public Roads	J. W. Cone	W. H. O'Brein, III	J. J. Curry	Lake Forest Association
-		DATE	July 7, 64	Mar. 20, 69	No Date	Mar 16, 66	April 15, 66	April 20, 66	May 23, 66	May 25, 66	June 1, 50	June 7, 66	June 14, 66	July 13, 66

				= •
	SECTION B:	EXISTING DATA		
	SUMMARY (	OF CONTENTS		••••
DATE	10	FROM	<u>SUBJECT</u>	PAGE
Oct. 5, 68	State Board of Supervision of Dams	Mrs. J.W. Buckley	Requests explanation of dam and its condition	B-48
Dec. 26, 68	Mrs. J.W. Buckley	W.H. O'Brien, III	Response with items requir- ing attention	B-49
Feb. 10, 69	W.H. O'Brien, III	John J. Mozzochi & Associates	Inspection report and recom- mendations	B-50
Feb. 19, 69	Lake Forest Association	John J. Curry	Order to repair dam to make safe	B-52
Feb.2, 70	John J. Mozzochi & Associates	Clarence Blair Assoc.	Verification of design criteria B-54	
April 2, 70	Clarence Blair Associates	<b>John J. Mozzochi Assoc.</b>	Establishment of Design Criteria B-56	ia B-56
May 29, 70	Clarence Blair Associates	Lake Forest Assoc.	Authorization to provide addi- tional spillway capacity to the East	8-28 8-28
July 28, 70	John J. Mozzochi & Assoc.	Clarence Blair Assoc.	Computation of spillway capacity	B-5(
Oct. 12, 70	W.H. O'Brien, III	John J. Mozzochi Assoc.	Rainfall criteria and hooding	<b>B-6</b> 0
Nov. 4, 70	Charles J. Pelletier, Water Resources Commission	Clarence Blair Assoc.	Discussion of design criteria and data	B-61
Dec. 14, 71	W.H. O'Brien, III	John J. Mozzochi Assoc.	Additional design criteria	B-63
May 24,71	W.H. O'Brien, III	Lake Forest Assoc.	Concerns regarding order from State of Connecticut to make repairs	B-65

	SEC	ر Section B: Existing data		<u> </u>
		ARY O		
	10	FROM	<u>SUBJECT</u>	PAGE
June 9, 71	Lake Forest Assoc.	W.H. O'Brien, III	Response to questions raised by Lake Forest Association	B-67
74	Files		Rainfall in Bridgeport area	B-69
11	Files	Newspapers	Reports of record rainfall	B-70
July 29, 71	Files	W.H. O'Brien, III	Discussion of effects of rainfall on dam	B-73
July 29, 71	Lake Forest Assoc.	John J. Curry	Request for schedule of proposed improvements	B-75
Dec. 20, 71	Files	W.H. O'Brien, III	Dam Inspection	B-77
Jan. 3, 72	Attorney General's Office	Department of Environmental Protection	Request for immediate legal steps to secure repair of dam or removal	B-79
April 5, 72	Files	W.H. O'Brien, III	Memo of meeting concerning necessity of repairs	ty B-81
April 10, 72	W.H. O'Brien, III	John J. Mozzochi Assoc.	Construction cost estimate	<b>B-</b> 82
Sept. 27, 73	J.S. Suffern, DEP	Mary Ann Massey, DEP	Expanded spillway may worsen down- stream flooding problems	B-83
			Discharge vs. Time Inflow/Outflow curves	B-84
			Volume above spillway vs. Spillway Discharge curves	B-85
			Partial plan and section of proposed spillway alterations	ed B-86

C

	SECT	ECTION B: <u>EXISTING DATA</u> Summary of contents		
DATE	21 11	1	SUBJECT	PAGF
Dec., 73	City of Bridgeport	Seelye, Stevenson, Value & Knecht, Inc.	"Island Brook drainage study- Lake Forest spillway to Poquo- nock River	B-88
Jan. 23, 75	Files	Joe Elmer	Possible inclusion of Lake Forest alterations to Island Brook work	B-132
June 16, 76	Hon. Carl Ajello, Attorney General	Joseph N. Gill, DEP	Request for title search to ascertain owership of dam B	ain B-133
June 28, 76	J.N. Gill	Carl R. Ajello	Designation of title search attorney	B-134
July 2, 76	J.P. McLoughlin	Victor Galgowski, DEP	Request for title search	B-135
July 12, 76	J.N. Gill	Victor Galgowski, DEP	Request for resolution of dam repair orders	ir B-136
Aug. 5, 76	Mr. F. Mancuso, office of Civil Preparedness	Victor Galgowski	Suggestions of warning and evacua- tion plan	B-138
Aug. 18, 76	John C. Mandanici, Mayor City of Bridgeport	Frank Mancuso	Development of evacuation plan	B-139
Sept 29, 76	Files	B.A. Warner, DEP	Review memo of draft of flood control study of Island Brook B	ro] B-140
Jan 12, 77	Frank Mancuso	John F. Gleason, Director of Civil Defense	Plans for warning and evacuation with flood area plans	B-141

Ву	$\frac{157^{-5}}{\text{mtoried}} \qquad \text{WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION} \\ \frac{157^{-5}}{\text{SUPERVISION OF DAMS}} \qquad $	
Date	7 JULY 1964	
	Name of Dam or Pond LAKE FOREST	•
	Code No. <u>PQZZ IS 2.5</u>	
	Nearest Street Location LAWESHORE TERRACE	
	Town BRIDG-EPORT	•
	U.S.G.S. Quad. BRIDGEPORT	-
	Name of Stream ISLAND BROOK	
	Owner	
	Address	
		•
	1879	İ
	Pond Used For RECREATION	
	Dimensions of Pond: Width 1500 FEET Length 1500 FEET Avea	5
	Total Length of Dam 1686 FETT Length of Spillway 36 FET	Σ.
	Location of Spillway EAST END OF DAM	
	Height of Pond Above Stream Bed <u>30 FEET</u>	
	Height of Pond Above Stream Bed <u>30 FEET</u> Height of Embankment Above Spillway <u>4 FEET</u>	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway 4 FEBT	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway 4 FEBT Type of Spillway Construction CONCRETE	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway <u>4 FEBT</u> Type of Spillway Construction <u>CONCRETE</u> Type of Dike Construction <u>EARTH</u>	-
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway <u>4 FEBT</u> Type of Spillway Construction <u>CONCRETE</u> Type of Dike Construction <u>EARTH</u>	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway       4       FEET         Type of Spillway Construction       CONCRETE         Type of Dike Construction       EARTH         Downstream Conditions       RESIDENTIAL         AREA         Summary of File Data	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway       4       FEET         Type of Spillway Construction       CONCRETE         Type of Dike Construction       EARTH         Downstream Conditions       RESIDENTIAL	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway       4       FEET         Type of Spillway Construction       CONCRETE         Type of Dike Construction       EARTH         Downstream Conditions       RESIDENTIAL         AREA         Summary of File Data	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway       4       FEET         Type of Spillway Construction       CONCRETE         Type of Dike Construction       EARTH         Downstream Conditions       RESIDENTIAL         AREA         Summary of File Data	
	Height of Embankment Above Spillway       4       FEET         Type of Spillway Construction       CONCRETE         Type of Dike Construction       EARTH         Downstream Conditions       RESIDENTIAL         AREA         Summary of File Data	

Ē

Stephen C. J	Thomson	AGENCY 	DATE March 22 - 107 <b>2</b>
ом <u>William Ц. (</u> Civil Engin		AGENCY Environmental Protection Water and Related Resources	TELEPHONE
File Summar	y - Lake Fores	t Dam, Bridgeport	
✓ 7-7-64		cted as part of inventory program fects in evidence.	. No
✓ 3-16-66	WRC requested J. Cone.	a reacher inspection by our cons	ultant,
<i>v</i> 6-7-66		onsultant (Cone). <u>States opinion</u> low) should be at 4,000 CFS.	that
V 7-13-66		RC to L. F. Assoc. enumerating re hat they hire a consulting engine	
9-27-66		RC to L. F. Assoc unless we re , will consider more formal actio	
10-10-66		edensky & Meyer (L. F. Lawyer) th and balance of work to be comple	
10-17-66		RC to lawyer - what are plans to ilway capacity?	provide
<b>2-10-69</b>	that there is underside of as top of dam spillway woul from a rainfa storm (Aug. 1	ur engineering consultant, John L 38" from the spillway (normal po a steel footbridge across spillwa ) and that the depth of water thr d have to be 47 inches to pass th 11 approximating that recorded in 8, 1955) the rainfall recorded at is storm did not come anywhere ne	nd) to the ay (same elev. rough this the run-off the Diane the Bridgeport
- 2-19-69 2-20-69	Memo to file Assoc.'s Club and seven mem engineering c appeared gene	from W. O'Brien summarizing meeti house on 3/19/69 with their Presi bers of their board of directors onsultant, John Luchs, at which t ral agreement that engineering pl approval for repair of dam.	ing at the dent, Secretary and our time there
4 - 17 - 69	Forest Assoc. and blocked t the earth on	red from R. J. Battistelli, a memb describing instance where 3 boat the spillway so that water started top of the dam. Possible disaste opened to notice this at 2:00 a.m.	s were adrift to cut through r averted by

F

- 4-28-69 Letter from Battistelli suggesting increasing the length of the spillway by at least 20 feet. (Based on an engineering study the approved plans call for maintaining the existing 36 foot length spillway and adding an adjacent additional 100 feet of spillway at an elevation 0.7 feet below the existing.) Mentions that high water levels threaten basement floors. (Proposed changes will mean lower water levels at normal and at flood time).
- 5-8-69 Letter from Secretary of Assoc. that Blair Assoc. is their engineer but work-load prohibits starting for 2 to 3 months. Request extension of 3 months.
- 5-21-69 Letter from W. O'Brien to Assoc. Per VOTE of WRC, submission of plans extended to July 14, 1969, completion date remains the same (September 1, 1969). Requests that their engineer will inform us as to when plans will be submitted.
- 6-9-69 Copy of letter from Assoc. to Blair Assoc. authorizing them to prepare plans.
- 8-12-69 Letter from Attorney Jonas J. Meyer III, legal counsel and member of the board. Board was "shocked" that Blair Assoc. had not submitted plans. Requests names of "alleged members". Disagrees with their comments. Let me know if you don't receive plans immediately.
- 11-7-69 Letter from Frank Ragaini of Clarence Blair We expect to submit plans to your office by December 15.
- 2-2-70 Copy of letter from Clarence Blair Assoc. to our Consultant John Luchs inquiring if their design criteria are acceptable.
  - **4-20-70** Letter from Attorney Jonas Meyer requesting authorization to change new spillway from west to east of existing spillway.
  - **4-27-20** Letter from W. O'Brien to Assoc. stating that the ORDER of the WRC did not mean to imply that the additional spillway had to be provided to the west. How to provide adequate capacity is to be worked out between your engineer and your Assoc. When will plans be submitted?
  - 5-25-70 Letter from W. O'Brien to Jonas Meyer When will plans be submitted?
  - 5-29-70 Copy of letter from Assoc. to Clarence Blair at Meeting of Board of Directors on May 27, I was instructed to request you to provide the additional spillway to the EAST of the existing spillway. "This is your authority to commence work".

7-28-70 Engineering report from Clarence Blair asking our concurrence with their criteria for spillway design. some correspondence and conferences in interim , 12-14-70 Letter from John Luchs suggesting using higher rainfall of 15.5 inches in 6 hours instead of 10.3 inches because to provide for this, the spillway would remain essentially the same and would require raising the embankment 1.5 feet instead of 0.9 feet. 12-18-70 Luch's comments forwarded to Clarence Blair Assoc. 4-14-71 Plans sent to us by Clarence Blair rec'd April 15, 1971. 4-15-71 Plans hand carried to Luchs requesting his comments by April 16, 1971. WRC votes to issue Construction Permit when revised plans 4-26-71 are submitted in agreement with telephone conversation with Luchs and Us and Clarence Blair Assoc. 5-18-71 Clarence Blair Assoc. submits revised plans. 5-24-71 Letter from Lake Assoc. asking many questions. 5-27-71 John Luchs recommends approval of revised plans. 6-9-71 Letter from W. O'Brien to Lake Assoc. answering questions. Construction Permit for repairs to dam issued to the Lake 6-14-71 Forest Assoc. Inc., Permit to expire unless work is started within six months and completed within one year. 6-14-71 Letter to Attorney Jonas J. Meyer III from John Curry, Director requesting that the Commission be notified in writing before July 1, 1971 of the proposed timeschedule of repairs. There is no record in our file that this letter was ever answered. 7-19-71 Record rainfall in Bridgeport, 5.7 inches in 4 hours, recorded at Bridgeport Municipal Airport. The center of the drainage area for this dam is ½ way between 2 rain gauges maintained by Bridgeport Hydraulic which recorded totals of 1.84" and 1.28" for July 19, 1971. If the center of this storm had been miles further inland, the dam as it now exists, could have been overtopped and if so, would have presumeably failed.

١.

7-29-71 Certified letter to Assoc. from John Curry, Director ( return receipt was returned signed by Secretary of Lake Assoc.) requesting name and address of new president and detailed time schedule for making repairs. No answer has been received to this letter.

> In this interim we had a conference in the Assistant Attorney General's Office and were told that we would have to wait for the expiration of the Construction Permit before taking further action.

- - -

- 12-20-71 Memo to file from W. O'Brien regarding field inspection of dam on December 16, 1971. No work accomplished - therefore permit has expired.
- 1-3-72 Interdepartment memo from Commissioner Lufkin to Robert Killian, Attorney General requesting that he take immediate legal steps to secure repair or removal of dam in accordance with ORDER of WRC issued February 19, 1969.
- 2-24-72 Copy of letter from Robert Killian, Attorney General to Judge Albert L. Coles, representing the lake assoc. suggesting that W. O'Brien contact him to set up a meeting.
- 3-1-72 Undersigned spoke to Judge Coles. He said he felt that the state should not delay in its proceedings and that he would try to convince the assoc. that they should do something. Conversation relayed to Brian O'Neill, Assistant Attorney General.

Estimated cost of repairs per approved plans is \$80,000 to \$90,000 per owner's engineer.

Responsibility of dam owner is to insure the safety of his dam which includes the provision of an adequate spillway. We will plan to inspect other structures below to check their adequacy. If necessary, the owners will be advised accordingly. This is not a consideration in this case.

Civil Engineer

WHO:d

Ż

1

E

\* John Lucies estimates #60,000-3/23/72

	Res	1	.1	1556	
ANSV.	ar 9				
REFL	RED				*****

fillo Jung-7, 1966

TELEPHONE

CUNNERND 4.21

Mr. William H. O'Erien III Water Resources Commission State Office Bullding Hartford 15, Conn.

Re: Dam #15 Lake Forest-Fridgeport

Dear Mr. O'Brient

CONNECTICUT REGISTRATIC

The Lake Forest earth dam was inspected by me on May 7, 1966, also a considerable portion of the watershed was traversed. The general situation was evidently so startling that considerable more time was used in this investigation than is normally required.

JOSEPH W. CONE

CIVIL ENGINEER

124 HAVEMEYER PLACE

GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT

06830

Reference is made to Plates 1-0 incl., work sheets A-I incl., photos 1-4 incl., for supporting details so that this letter report may be comparatively brief.

<u>Watershed</u> is <u>1.445 sq. mi.</u>, is approx <u>2 mi x 0.7 mi</u>. Land use is generally rather small suburban lots, is developing rapidly and will be intensely developed by 2000 A.D.

Terrain is gentle to hilly rolling, mostly residential.

Drainage. Area is served by many small streams, in addition to storm water sewers in the more densely built up sections, with paved streets and other impervious surfaces. For these reasons the runoff and concentration factors may be characterized as medium high at present and high by 2000 AD, although terrain is not rugged.

Land Use. Land is developed in small lots below the dam and around the lake, in appearance a part of the City of Bridgeport.

# JOSEPH W. CONE CIVIL ENGINEER 124 HAVEMEYER PLACE GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT

-2-

Mr. William H. O'Brien III Dam #45 Lake Forest-Bubt.

June 7, 166

TELEFHON

10WHISEND 9.2

Should the dam breach without warning property damage would be very high and undcubtedly many lives would be lost.

Dam is of earth with mortar faced dry masonly cora-wall approximately on center line, and possibly there may be another core-wall, both as shown on 1899 drawing which you have in your files. Sketch sections of dam and spillway were taken on May 7th and shown on PL-3.

<u>Trees</u> and <u>Shrubs</u> are growing all over the dam embankment, as shown by photos. In addition, a path is on dam creat and several paths up downstream face are worn to bare earth.

Spillway. As shown on PL-3, maximum dimensions of spill-notch are 35.5' by 3.25', and 1.75' of height is obstructed by a wire screen supported by pipe posts and top rail, this shows in Photo #1. Spillcrest to damcrest of only 3.25' does not allow much freeboard for wave height and runup. On May 7th there was some adcumulation of leaves and debris lodged against the screen. If spillway were clear it would be inadequate to pass peak flood for a slow runoff shed (PL-3) and this shed definitely is not slow.

<u>Maintonanco</u>. It is evident that there has been a reprehensible lack of knowledgeable maintenance for many years.

Precipitation. I was unable to learn to what height above spillcrest water reached during October 1955 storm. Ey referring to PL-2, precipitation in the Bridgeport area was not extraordinary and did not approach that in the Stamford-Norwalk

## JOSEPH W. CONE CIVIL ENGINEER 124 HAVEMEYER PLACE GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT

Mr. William H. O'Brion III Dam #45 Lake Forest-Bdpt.

-3-

June 7, 166

TELEPHONE

B-21

TOWNSEND 9-2

## areas.

Also it should be noted that after 2.7" in 4 hrs. in AM of Oct. 15th, only 1.24" fell in 17 hes. in AM-PM Oct. 15th. This lag in precipitation allowed spillway to pass storage build-up in reservoir in time to take runoff midnight 15th-16th.

In short, the Oct. 1955 was no serious tost particularly if one compares with "Probable Maximum Possible" (PL-5). It was most fortunate that in this area the storm did not reach 15" and that characteristics were more compact without long periods of low rainfall. Had this occurred, the dam would have failed.

Design Q. Since there would be a high potential of property damage and loss of life should this dam fail, many methods for estimating runoff were calculated as shown on work sheets. Results are summarized as follows: Pres-1.00 gr 4000 PL-4 Design of recent earth dams 400 yr P1-7 & A Public Roads formula (1220)3240 2000 AD E Cook Method (2160)4550 D Rational Method (2250) 4750 PL-6 Q 1955 = 3000 71.445 3600 G Cir #4 Public Roads 3960 6/24,100 4,000 cfs

Average Q.=

## CIVIL ENGINEER 124 HAVEMEYER PLACE GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT

-!! ---

Mr. William H. O'Brien III Dam #15 Lake Forest-Edpt.

June 7, 1966

B-22

"It is my opinion that Q should be at least 4000 cfs when one considers a built up city just below an earth damp which of course should never be evenicy pad.

New Spillney. Required capacity may be provided by:

- (1) Raising Dam
- (2) Wider Spillway
- (3) Lower Spillway
- (4) Various Combinations
- I examined the south shore of the lake at several places but did not take levels. I do not believe it practical to raise the dam because it would (a) put extra pressure on the earth dam and (b) there are probably low spots along the shore.
- (2) A wider spillway seems more practical and safer.
- (3) If spillway is lowered it could only be by a small amount.
- (4) A combination might be lower spillway and widen same.

Seepage. No seepage was noticed at the areas either side of the spillway. However there is a small flow at the end of Victory Street that is piped from the direction of the lake. This flow should be watched.

<u>Recommendation</u>. In my opinion owners of dam and spillway should be notified to:

#### CIVIL ENGINEER

#### 124 HAVEMEYER PLACE GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT

-5-

Mr. William H. O'Erien III Dam #45 Lake Forest-Edpt.

June 7, 166

- (1) Remove trees and shrubs from earth embankment.
- (2) Obtain good protective stand of grass on embankment.
- (3) Remove screen on spillway.
- Retain professional engineer, particularly competent in the determination of flood flows and design of earth dams and spillways.
- (5) Submit plans for approval.

I would comment again, it is most fortunate that Bridgeport to date has been spared a serious catastrophe. Don't tempt the forces of nature too often.

Yours very truly,

J. W. Cone

JWC/dr Enc: - many





14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -	76 (1219-117 - 4 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	11:0 0.5 T	•
and the second sec		Proc	
20 West 555 Will aver 20 West 60 & more 11 Val 5 60 & more 21 Val 5 60 & more 21 Val 5 60 & more 21 Val 5 60 & more 2 Percent for 1 & 0 2 Percent for		ENE	
10 rat During Dec SS torn or and Afres 20 Wes 605 mbro New Haven Airat 20 More New Haven Airat 20 More Standard 2 start on Andres Second 2 presentates			
ALLA 44 TO ALLA 44 TO Nam Haven Constrant Cons	5100 - 11 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10		•
- <u>14</u> / <u>c</u> p	1965		
			•
24			
	70-7		
		37 77	
( mg 72)			
Mcc -am	-1 Laker	· U1(3)'	2










Watershed in Acres



FLSCO FLST or Slow Roses

ESO Sylines 189 Species ESO CONSC

TION. This flood ourse is suggesting the solution to solution

TION. This flood curve is sugged in the in spillway design to page maximum floods from slow run-off when using the mart. Sufficient freeboard perience must be excessised when using the mart. Sufficient freeboard must be provided above still water height when ing floods to provide the factor of safety required by local contribution. Freeboard is of vital importaande in the case of earth dams and sizes.



Ζ rurcai By Public Roads Graphs FOREST 25 Yr Norma 925 Ac - 1.445 59. Mi VIA45 = 1.2 = 850 fs Wind roude 1900' (Chave B) 9 Peak wintal Entire shed luckoping rapidly. Rolling tomain ].08 Q present 25pr. = RF X LoF X FF X Q cfa/Ac × 0.8 × 1 × 850 = 680 cf 0.73 1 × 0.3 × 1.8 × 550 = 1220 Q .... 100 70 2 1.32 1 × 0.3 × 3,8 × 850 = 2580 2.8 101 400yr 2 9 1 × 1.0 ×3.8 × PJO = 3240 3.5 4 2000 10 11 7 Compare 3240 with 1955 Floods. 1.5 57 m; on Q= 5000 JA = \$150,915.1 = 6000 on 1445 SULLESS 1460 Ac - 2.28 Sq Mi Entire area developing rapidly except 132 Ac owning by Respice Polling torain rather flat Chart A Q= 1150 cfs Canstand 685 Qpris. 25 yr = RF X LF X FF X Q × 0.6 × 1 × 1150 = 690 ch 0.47 0,85 1 × 0.6 × 1.8 ×1150 Ir. 100 1250 1 x 0.6 x 7.8 x 1150 2620 1.8 1. 440 - 1 × 1.0 × 3.8 × 1150 4370 3.0 2000 AD " Provid-p Ren Arms Controls lawje area Theistat 2200 Ac. Chap A = 1500 3.4459.m. Chap A = 1500 Stillman 455 = RFXLFXFFXQ Cfs/4. 9 pres 25 A 0 43 = 1 × 0,7 × 1 × 1500 1050 0.80 = 1 × 0.7 × 1.8 × 1500 1870 100 = 1 x 0.7 x 3.8 x 1500 1.8 4,00 400 2,3 - 1 × 0.9 × 3.8 × 1500 5170 2000 A D 11 Provided Hom Arous & G.E. do not alandare 330 Ac.

10rcs1 V.W.C. 514/66 #45 Lake Forest 5/8/66 Water shed Lake Area 5.80 Cell. 4 5.7 8 squa Len phil 2.25 h 0.42 2/11.55 1.443 59.mi 2184 5.775 Aver higher .65 m 925 AC 41.42 .105 5g.m. 67 AL Storage Ratio 1: 14 Fair Lake Suaccos above Stillman Ormal by Ren Arms Water shed trib to Succes. Lake Area 9:13 41.82 ·20519.wi 132 AC 2118,24 0.18 419.12 310.46 4 .153 2.28 Spmi .038 Brini MLO AC 24 Ac Storage Ratio 1:61 Very Poor Oward's Bear Army Stillman Port + G.E trib to below Success Stillman including Water shad Lake Arra trib To Success 4.70 4 2:04 330 Ac. .0.06 29.76 2 6.11 4 .055 .014 sq nor 1.17: 59.mi Ac Storage Restis 1:83 Very Bad precticily O 750 Ac TOTAL Stillman #46 (include: Success) Watershad Lake Sichen fueldet the " Len.shed 3.15 m; 1.1まれ 13.76 Suces 24 Ar. 2 27.55 Stillme 9 4/13.77 Total 33 h 3.44 59 mi 2200 AC Total Storege Ratio 1:67 Very poor Bd 1460 2210 Chuck

Um = AS Lake Forest Aug 1908 Man Minister 750 Man. 175,40 Sivil nay 172.85 1. notch. 4.55 " length 37 by scale In field May 7 1966 Skillware notes 13 35.5' × 3.25 " Strenger of tor 1900 scamer may have ghas 13 . Ass and exception and inter this done. The matter the wall stisker on both the 1593 of the sport since is not to Le otten; E the borrer of performed. The channel from the twin gate bours to "Causi & francose Pour as shown on 1908 way, its clear i lest in.

Dan # 45 Lake Forest Rational Method Length 12,000+ ft This Concentration 40 mi @ 5'per sec Precipitation 4" por hour == 100 yr precipitation Intensity = 5" " " for 40 mer = 2 Chy 2000 AD will be. 45 A = 925 fc  $\varphi = A ci$ 9100 = 925 x.45 x 5 = 2250 cfs  $Q_{400} = 2250 \times 2.1$ 4750 " 2 SUMMATION PL-A Companission with treast Eath Dams 4000 of A+PI-7 By Publis Roads Jumla (2000 AD) 3240 . 400 " Cook method E (") 4550 " " Rational " above D 4750 " " ginss = 3000 51.445 PL-6 3600 5 20,140 4,000 = By, Gr#4 Burnan Public Poaks U.M.C 1961 Q400 = 3960 ch 5/14/66 100/S9m 57 24/66

Dan #45 Lake Forest Design Q by Cook mething Watershoel lougth - 2.25 mi - L. " area = 1.44589 k  $W = \frac{2.25^2}{1.745} = \frac{5.062.5}{1.4455} = 3.5$ By Fig 3 Exten. to = 3.5 and Area = 1.5 89. mi Shap factor = . 7

EW Relief 20
Soil 15
Soil 15
Subscription
Subscripting
Subscription
Subscription
Subscription<

J.W.G

5/14/66

Mcc vam Hake I UTCOJ' 2 #35-3 #22 # 34 (1)(4) (2) (3) Sugary Chamberlaia Mill River Norwallk Watershid 4.1 59.mi 10.48 2.32 59. Ma 4.25 59. mi Spirmay Len 50 100 200 100' 12' и н 12' 5' 10' 9' 27 5 derign 8 sefe Safe H 9'-27 3.5-6.55 11 Wein type 45 45 3. 9 Ces e.g' =4 C 3.3 Ce9:4 C 28' 4.0 4× 50×27 3.3× 200 × 6.5 4×100×17 4050 CB. 9e5' Q 5400 cfs 10,800 4 4300 **9e**8' 9000 4 **9/ 5/** /**398**1 8' 1030 1320 ch 9/54.mi 1030 1B60 11.20 JooeHand 115 AL Res. Avea 102 Ac 290 37 FL 105 " Shid " 2620 " 6700 1420 21 Shed 27.0 " i true 110 M 6-0-Ratio 1:26 bec ? 1;22 900 1:16 mint Mater 1:27 good Sensi Ivral Very Rovel Type Shed Scni Keral Schi Rurel rugged Strop Silvi Rolling AVE Spill Hoch 500 0119 600 5q.ft 1200 1000 1000 Avu/sq.mi 118 145 115 430 236

Ž

NOTES: #4 Originary intruded varing 50'x 10' but to avoid flocking a highway during tail stars decoded 100'x 10'. If orighted 50'x 8' then Q= 4 × 50 × 22.6 = 4520 efs. but this would have flooded highway.

J.W.G

Lake Forst Feak by Circ 4 Convolla 16 Drainage Avec = 925 Ac OF .735 10 Lempth of Stream L= 2.31 mile, 4.62 X 1000 Ac .3 1 = .17 3,2 5 4. 7 L ~ 1.61 Eles, RJ incadual. 370 ft 4.62 2254 b 7 + Sove . 54 # 295 .. 6 at Site 170± 125  $S_1 = \frac{370 - 295}{.3L} = 109 \ ft/m; \qquad S_2 = \frac{295 - 170}{.7L} = 78 \ ft/m;$ J52 = 6.8 JS1 = 10.4  $T = \frac{.32}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{.72}{\sqrt{5_2}} = \frac{.69}{.0.4} + \frac{1.61}{.8.8} = .06- +, 1.01 \quad .260 = T$ 2. Fig 1 a P- Index = 2 Zone? Not 3. Q10 us hig Fig 2 Zone 1? Aira 925 luder 2 T .26 a 1st Ex 910 = .36 in 1000 cf = 360 cf b. Uning Zone =1? )Fig3 A = 18 Fig 3 Avea . 925 P-indic 2  $A - T 100 = \frac{8}{18} = 44\%$ 24 T-T is prediction ± 30% go to 30) G Civet 4 10

cumpt-is contop Lale Forcest aris # 4 cents 3d. Adjusting 910 Fiz 4  $[V] = \frac{.26}{12} = 1.44$ C = 1.75 $(2) C \times 9, 4 3a = 1.75 \times 360 = 630 cfs$ Fig 5 By State Formula Enter 910 = 630 1.8510 630, Cf3 700 to Read 925 = 850 27525147 925 1 1000 9 50 = 150 37 50 2 1250 1 1575 1700 11 1900 Q 700 = 1300 5 100 2.7 7.2 200 3.9 2450 11 2700 - + 100 ± But Circ # q is for Zone # 1 is for a very restricted area Insolar as Conn is concerned. 14 there is not unreader the Te assume that lower Fairlies courty. mand med de in a Zune mare prodectide of render there for all at into 10% alere al obtain aller 5960 fs Cive # 4 7-12 3 G Jwc Jwc



Stanfing Water S. Mill Riber Reservoir by Mr. Ben 5/13/66 Tel. 324-3163 Waturshine Com 1.97 N.Y. 2,28 Total. 4.25 2720 fc. or in Preservoit e.F.L. 105 Az · 2 Main Fl. 115 . Aver for storing factor 110 AC به از فه دمارسکم 1: 27=1= good By Malph Marstad \$13/66 450 Spilling L= 100 \* 1× 10' Section 45 + Oger. Same as Chamanisiant Span Shoppany (Said 100'x r's to provent flowing of a highwyck. Both ( P Bell Ralph seigh Flow H = 4-9" (451) 2- 4000 43.

JOHN J. MOZZOCHI AND ASSOCIATES CIVIL ENGINEERS

February 10, 1969

GLASTONBURY, CONN 06033 217 HEBRON AVENUL PHONE 033-9401

PROVIDENCE, R. I. 02003 100 DYER STREET PHONE GASPEE 1-0420

REPLY TO: Glastonbury

TOXIN YX HOSE NORTH ASSOCIATES OWEN J. WHITE JOHN LUCHS. JR. ECTOR L. GIOVANNINI

Civil Engineer

William H. O'Brien, III

State Office Building

Hartford, Connecticut 06115

STATE WATER REPOURCES CONMISSION REGEIVED Water Resources Commission FED 1 2 1289

ANSWERED -----REFERRED .----

Re; Lake Forest Dam FILED-Bridgeport, Connecticut Our File No. 57-73-86

Dear Mr. O'Brien:

As requested in your letter of December 26, 1968, I inspected the referenced dam on January 11, 1969. The lake is southeasterly of the Ox Hill section on the northerly edge of Bridgeport in a single family residential section. The lake frontage is developed with lake front lots excepting a portion along the dam. Considerable foot traffic is evident on top of the dam and in certain locations on the downstream slope (paths).

The existing conditions at the site are not in agreement with the 1960 U.S.G.S. map, indicating some recent changes. There is an angle point in the dam and the U.S.G.S. map shows the outlet to be easterly of this angle point. The present discharge from the lake is through a spillway and cobble channel west of the angle point.

There is an inlet structure (house) in the lake; a control house (?) downstream of the dam and a <u>30" concrete discharge pipe outletting in the brook</u>. These are in the general location of the outlet as shown on the U.S.G.S. map. There was no discharge in the 30" pipe. This leads me to believe these appurtenances were part of the principal spillway and the outlet presently being used was the emergency spillway. I was not able to enter either of these two (2) houses for additional inspecting.

The concrete spillway is 36' (feet) in width and a clear height to the bottom of the steel of a foot bridge over the spillway of 38". The bottom of the steel is approximately the same elevation as the top of the dam. The upstream slope of the "Milliam H. OBrien, III

'ed

Lake Forest Dam

dam is cobbled (set stone).

The spillway adequacy was checked as follows:

	FREQUENCY (YEARS)	DURATION (HOURS)	RAINI'ALL (INCHES)	WATER LEVEL ABOVE SPILLWAY CREST (FEET)	Produkt Hara (444) (C.F.S)	, 1 <u>.</u> .
l <b>.</b>	10	6	3.5	1.9	310	•1
2.	25	6	4.0	2.3	105	
3.	50	6	4.3	2.6	4.80	•
4.	100	6	$5.1 \times 1.3 = 6.9$	3.9 - say 3'11	" \$9C	
•		(Ro	oughly equivalent to ]	•	••••	-

With approximately 3' 2" from spillway crest to top of dam, it is evident the dam could be over-topped. It is impractical to increase the height of the dam due to the development of the lake; therefore additional spillway should be provided. The area westerly of the present spillway can be utilized for this purpose.

Listed below are my recommendations resulting from the field inspection and the calculations:

- 1. Provide additional spillway capacity west of the existing spillway on original ground.
- 2. Remove brush and small trees on cmbankment.
- 3. Regrade embankment to provide a minimum top width of ten (10) feet and a 3:1 downstream slope. Slope to be loamed and seeded.
- 4. Remove debris from cobblestone channel and repair sidewalls that have collapsed (short sections).

Very truly yours,

JOHN J. MOZZOCHI & ASSOCIATES

n Auch By John Luchs, Jr. Associa

#### MOZZOCHI ASSOCIATES

CIVIL ENGINEERS December 14, 1970

<u>PAUTNERS</u> John Luchs, Jr. Ituart J. Beckerman GLASTONBURY, CONN. OGC33 217 MERRON AVLNUE PHONE 633-9401

PROVIDENCE, R. I. 02903 169 WEYBOLSET STREET PHONE 421-0420

REPLY TO: GLASTONDURY

William H. O'Brien, III Civil Engineers Water Resources Commission State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Re: Lake Forest Dam Bridgepørt Our File #57-73-86

Dear Mr. O'Brien:

We are in receipt of a copy of Charles Augur's letter dated November 4, 1970 and a print of some sections dated July, 1970. These were forwarded to us by Mr. Pelletier when you were in the hospital.

Reviewing the CGS quad sheet does show that Island Brook (from Lake Forest) does pass through a residential section of Bridgeport with three (3) other structures (dams) along its route before discharging into the Poquonock River. This type of basin, in my opinion, dictates that extreme care be used in developing criteria for Lake Forest.

With the additional information of a concrete core wall being located, it is reasonable to compromise some of my original recommendations listed in my letter dated February 10, 1969. From our flood routing analysis (135.'of spillway), the following has been developed:

STORM	MAX. W.S. TOP OF DAM	Required RAISING
10.3"/6 hr	98.61+ 1650 CFS 100.61+	0.6' <u>+</u>
15.5"/6 hr ·	99.15+ 2500 CHS 101.51+	1.5'+

Adding an additional 1.5'+ to the top only of the remaining embankment

D.A. = 1,445 mi2

William H. O'Brien, III

B-64

(that portion across the valley) does not appear as an excessive requirement considering the downstream basin. This will produce a top width of 8'+ if the present slopes are maintained. Where the embankment meets original ground at either end, the filling can be terminated.

In summary, it is recommended that the following criteria be used for this dam.

- 1. Use a 15.5" rainfall/6 hr precipitation.
- 2. Add 100' of spillway to the East of existing spillway.
- 3. Top width of embankment to be 8'+.
- 4. Slopes to remain "as existing".
- 5. Remove brush and small trees from embankment.
- 6. Raise remaining embankment of dam (filled portion) 1.5'+.
- 7. Loam and seed top of embankment.

If you have any questions, please call.

Very truly yours,

MOZZOCHI ASSOCIATES

Bv John Luchs,

JLjr:ed [file]

y.

۰3 الا

# ISLAND BROOK DRAINAGE STUDY

## LAKE FOREST SPILLWAY TO POQUONOCK RIVER

CITY OF BRIDGEPORT, CONN.

SEELYE STEVENSON VALUE & KNECHT, INC. Consulting Engineers

2385 MAIN STREET STRATFORD, CONN.

DECEMBER, 1973

ዋ 88

#### REPORT OF

#### ISLAND BROOK

DRAINAGE STUDY

#### FROM

52

ف

i.

#### LAKE FOREST SPILLWAY

\_ **TO** \_

### POQUONOCK RIVER

. .

**B-**89

#### BY

#### SEELYE STEVENSON VALUE & KNECHT, INC. 2385 Main Street Stratford, Connecticut

DECEMBER, 1973

#### SEELYE STEVENSON VALUE & KNECHT, INC.

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Founded 1812

GIVIL \* HIGHWAYS \* STRUCTURAL \* MECHANICAL \* ELECTRICAL \* INDUSTRIAL

SS PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, N. Y. 10016 271 NORTH AVENUE NEW ROCHELLE, N. Y. 10801

#### CONNECTICUT OFFICE

TUTTLE BUILDING

2385 MAIN STREET

STRATFORD, CONN. 06497

December 17, 1973

Mayor Nicholas A.Panuzio City Hall Lyon Terrace Bridgeport, Connecticut

#### Re: ISLAND BROOK DRAINAGE STUDY

Dear Mayor Panuzio:

Pursuant to your authorization, we have performed a Drainage Study for Island Brook from the Spillway at Lake Forest to the Poquonock River.

Our analysis indicates hydraulic deficiencies in the spillways at Lake Forest and Charcoal Pond and at all the roadway culverts along the Brook with the exception of the Culvert under the Route 25 Expressway and North Avenue. It also indicates that walls, fills and other obstructions, limit the stream flow which could be accommodated by the channels without flooding.

Our study includes recommendations for culvert and channel improvements, alternate proposals for improvements, stream encroachment lines, construction priorities, and construction cost estimates. Also included is a proposed ordinance for flood plain zoning and a determination of availability of Federal or State Funding for the proposed improvements.

We wish to express our appreciation for the assistance extended to us during the course of our work by Mr. Robert Kalm, City Engineer, and members of his staff.

Respectfully submitted,

SEELYE STEVENSON VALUE & KNECHT, INC.

By:

Kraffmiller. P.E.

Ass't. Vice Pres, dent CUTL

B-90

R. W. Gunn, P.E. Sr. Vice President

SEK:rm

TABLE OF CONTENTS

. .

Ś

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	PAGE
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
PURPOSE	٦
METHOD .	1
GENERAL BACKGROUND	2
LOCATION MAP	3
DESIGN CRITERIA	6
DESIGN STORM, STORM DURATION, FLOOD OF RECORD	7
HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS	8 - 17
HYDRAULIC SUMMARY	18 - 21
ALTERNATE PROPOSALS	22 - 24
CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATES	<b>25 -</b> 36
CONSTRUCTION PRIORITIES	37 - 38
PLATE I	39
PLATE II	40

PLANS (ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY ENGINEER)

SHEET 1 - 11	RECOMMENDED PLAN - ALTERNATE NO. 1
SHEET 12	ALTERNATE NO. 2
SHEET 13-14	ALTERNATE NO. 3

#### PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to:

- Analyze the existing drainage structures and stream channels along Island Brook from Lake Forest to the Poquonock River.
- 2) Analyze the existing spillways at Lake Forest and Charcoal Pond
- 3) Make recommendations for improvement or replacement of existing culverts of channels so that the design flood may be conveyed without property damage or inconvenience to the residents of the area.
- Study alternate proposals so that the most economical and feasible solution is recommended.
- 5) Set stream encroachment lines so that existing adequate waterway areas and proposed channel improvements may be protected from construction that would cause constrictions and possible flooding.
- 6) Submit a proposed flood plain zoning regulation to establish stream encroachment lines
- 7) Present construction cost estimates for the recommended improvements and alternates considered
- 8) Present an order of construction priorities for the proposed improvements
- 9) Present our findings on the availability of Federal or State Funding for the proposed improvements

#### METHOD

40 Scale mapping was obtained by ground control survey and aerial photography flown April 21, 1973. The aerial data was supplemented by field survey measurements and sewer and street maps supplied by the City Engineer's office. Land use was cermined by site inspection, zoning maps and projections of current trends. Rainfall

data was obtained from records of the 0.3. weather bureau at pringeport Airport, Kannan Intensity Curves from the State of Connecticut, Department of Transportation, Bureau - Highways Drainage Manual and the U.S. Soil Conservation Publication - Hydrology Part I - Watershed Planning.

I state for factorized and a state factorized a state of state of state of the 
#### GENERAL BACKGROUND

Under this section of the Report, the factors contributing to development of assigned values in various flood flow formulas used will be discussed.

These factors are:

- The degree of imperviousness of the watershed area as determined by the current land use, zoning laws, projected land use and soil characteristics.
- 2) Slope and shape of the watershed
- 3) The area of the watershed
- 4) Retention features within the watershed

Island Brook originates in the Long Hill area of the Town of Trumbull, as the outlet of Ehrsham Pond. It flows in a southeasterly direction into Lake Forest, which was a Bridgeport Hydraulic Reservoir and is now owned by the Lake Forest Association. From Lake Forest, it again flows southeasterly through Charcoal Pond to the Poguonock River, which it enters approximately 500' south of the Island Brook Avenue Bridge over the Poquonock River.

The watershed area above LakeForest is approximately 940 acres and the total watershed area is approximately 1,900 acres. The surface area of Lake Forest is about 8% of the wateris approximately 76 acres. Since the area of Lake Forest is about 8% of the watershed area which contributes to the Lake, significant reduction of flood runoff is obtained by retention under all storm conditions.

2.









MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A





#### ND USE AND ZONING

The land use currently in the watershed area above Lake Forest is mostly medium density residential. Some small commercial development is also located within the area and there are some small parcels of undeveloped land.

Zoning maps indicate that the watershed area is zoned entirely A - Residential - single family housing on a minimum 1/2 acre lot, within the Town of Trumbull

The zoning within the City of Bridgeport in the watershed area above Lake Forest is also Residential A Zoned with some municipal park land. Residence A Zone in the City allows single family housing on 7,500 sq. ft. lots.

Between Lake Forest and North Avenue, the current land use within the watershed area is mostly medium density housing, some cemetery lands, some small undeveloped parcels and some high density residential apartments. The current land use conforms to the zoning for this area.

Land use in the watershed area below North Avenue is mostly light industrial and commercial with some high density housing. Zoning in this area is almost entirely light industrial with some commercial and a parcel of heavy industrial.

#### PROJECTED LAND USE

It is the object of the Report to recommend drainage facilities that will be adequate to accommodate flood runoff from the watershed as it is developed at the year 2000. The area above Lake Forest still contains some undeveloped land and some inland wet lands. This area is zoned as A residential in both Bridgeport and Trumbull as previously noted. It is doubtful that this zoning will continue, due to the pressures of housing needs and rising land values. The more likely development will be condominiums and garden apartments. The desire to protect the environment will have a minimal influence on development in this portion of the watershed area. It is projected that higher density housing will probably occur in this ea. Inland wet lands, which were a significant factor limiting runoff for this area 20 years ago have been, in the most part, filled and developed. Ehrsham Pond has been greatly reduced in area by filling for park and home site development. Legislation for the protection of wet lands has come too late for this watershed and the remaining small wet lands, if retained, have little value for retention.

It is, therefore, projected that runoff from this area will be increased.

Some areas of undeveloped land remain between Lake Forest and North Avenue. It is anticipated that future development of these lands will be residential apartments. It is also anticipated that some existing housing in this area will be replaced by residential apartments. Streets which are now mapped, but not constructed, will be completed with curbs and storm drains. These factors indicate that future runoff will be substantially increased in this area.

Below North Avenue, the current land use is such that the degree of imperviousness is very high. The street system and drainage is complete and there is little undeveloped land. These factors indicate that any future development will not increase the current runoff.

#### SOIL CHARACTERISTICS

The soils of this drainage basin, as classified by the Soil Conservation Service, are predominantly well and moderately well drained, permeable soils of the Charlton-Gloucester-Sutton Association. These are upland soils moderately permeable to depths of three to four feet. They have developed on very friable to firm glacial till.

**D** -

The soil is rated as being in the hydraulic Group B by the Soil Conservation Service. The grouping of soils by the Soil Conservation Service, however, assumes that the land will be used for agricultural purposes. The suburban type development of this area would indicate a greater imperviousness resulting in a hydraulic classification of the drainage basin into Group C.

The preliminary soil classification also indicates the presence of peat bogs and muck areas along the alignment of the Brook, along with some areas of rock outcrop. These are not extensive enough, however, to indicate any unusual construction problems.

#### SCOPE AND SHAPE OF THE WATERSHED

The shape of the watershed is generally long along the north to south direction of flow and narrow from east to west. The slopes north of Woodrow Avenue are steep, between Woodrow Avenue and Summit Street moderate, and below Summit \_\_\_\_\_\_reet they are gentle to flat. The shape and the slopes of the watershed indicate rapid runoff should be anticipated, particularly north of Woodrow Avenue and becoming slower downstream.

#### DESIGN CRITERIA

(

The analysis of the outflow from Lake Forest has been based on the method of flood routing described in Hydrology Part I - Watershed Planning, by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service. The routing process is further based on the Unit Hydrograph Theory.

Soil conditions in the watershed indicated the selection of soil Hydraulic Group C. Antecedent Condition II was selected, which is the average case for annual floods. Based on current and projected land uses, Curve No. 72 was used. Below Lake Forest, runoffs were determined by the Rational Method. The rmula is as follows:

Q = A C i

Where Q = the Peak Rate of storm water runoff in cubic feet per second (cfs)

A = Drainage area in acres C = A constant relating rainfall to runoff i = Rainfall intensity in inches per hour

The rainfall intensity varies with the return frequency of the design storm and the storm duration.

#### DESIGN STORM

Selection of the return frequency of the design storm is based on a judgment of cost of the improvements versus the possible inconvenience to residents, property damage, or loss of life. Many Cities and Towns follow the procedure of using a 5 year return frequency in rural areas, 10 years in surburban areas and 25 years in urban areas.

The State Highway Department designs all crossings of flowing water courses for a minimum 50 year return frequency storm. It is our recommendation, due to the possibility of severe inconvenience to local residents and the possibility of property damage that all culverts and channels along Island Brook be designed to adequately convey the runoff anticipated from a design storm of a return frequency of 25 years.

#### STORM DURATION

Rainfall intensity curves used with the Rational Formula indicate that long duration storms have less average intensity of rainfall than short duration storms.

å

have been analyzed for both short and long duration storms. The critical storm rations have been found to range from 20 minutes at the Douglas Street culvert to 6 hours at Lake Forest spillway.

#### FLOOD OF RECORD

The greatest 24 hour rainfall recorded in the history of the U.S. Weather Bureau Station at Bridgeport Airport was June 22, 1972 during Hurricane Agnes, which was recorded as 6.89". During this storm, the maximum 6 hour rainfall was 5.9" which is approximately equal to a 100 year return frequency storm. Climatogical data, published by the National Climatic Center shows 24 hour rainfalls during Hurrican Agnes included 11.88" at Dulles Airport in Virginia, 13.6" in the Blue Ridge Mountains of Central Virginia, 11.55" in Westminister, Maryland and 13" in Steuben County, Pennsylvania.

#### HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

#### LAKE FOREST

Due to the retention effect of Lake Forest, runoffs to the downstream structures are reduced by 50% to 75%. Plate No. 1 shows the reduction of flows during the period of record, June 22, 1972. Without retention, the outflow would be equal to the inflow. Plate 1 shows a maximum inflow of 1,240 cfs and maximum outflow of 440 cfs or a reduction of 65%.

The spillway at Lake Forest has been analyzed by other Engineers at the direction of the Water Resources Commission in the past.

During the preparation of the Report, previous engineering studies have been reviewed and an independent analysis of the spillway has been made. The design storm selected in previous studies is 15.5" of rain in a 6 hour period. In accordance with Soil Conservation Service Criteria, the dam classification is Class C. The classification is based on degree of potential hazard.

Class C dams are those dams which, if failure should occur, would cause large amounts of property damage and have a potential of causing loss of life. The Soil Conservation Service Criteria for a Class C dam is 10.3" in 6 hours for an emergency spillway and 25" in 6 hours for principal spillways. In accordance with the Soil Conservation Service Criteria, a minimum 6 hour precipitation of 25" should be used as the basis for developing the spillway design. A 6 hour precipitation of 25" is the probable maximum precipitation for coastal Connecticut and is a very conservative basis for design. The Water Resources Commission of the State Environmental Protection Agency has indicated that a 6 hour precipitation of 15.5" is acceptable for design. It is our opinion that this is a reasonable compromise.

Our analysis indicates that the storm of June 22, 1972, 5.9" rainfall in 6 hours, raised the level of the lake to Elev. 176.82 or approximately 11" below the top of dam. It also indicates that in order to accommodate a 15.5" rainfall . 6 hours with 18" of free-board, the spillway should be lengthened approximately 100' and the top of dam raised approximately 18".

The downstream structures have been analyzed for a 25 year return frequency storm. Plate II indicates the increased outflow from Lake Forest for various spillway lengths, including the 35' width existing spillway and 135' width spillway which has been proposed.

The proposed channel and culvert improvements described below are shown on plans sheets Nos. 1 to 11, which are on file at the Office of the City Engineer. These plans show existing topography and contours obtained by survey with the proposed improvements superimposed on this mapping. In addition, the boundaries of the area which will be innundated by the design flood are shown by a heavy dashed itne. These boundaries are based on completion of the culvert and channel improveants recommended in this report. Proposed stream encroachment lines which conform to these floot limits are also shown. These encroachment lines may be legally established by ordinance.

<u>.</u> 9 -
The mathmatical description of these stream encroachment lines is listed on ables on each sheet.

#### CHANNEL BETWEEN LAKE FOREST SPILLWAY AND GRIFFIN AVENUE

The channel between Lake Forest spillway and Griffin Avenue has a slope of 0.014 ft./ft., and the width varies from 20' to 25'. It is lined with stone masonry walls approximately 2' high. The channel is adequate for the design storm with the proposed 135' spillway at Lake Forest and will flow approximately 1.3' deep. Channel improvement is not required, but some energy dissipating structure should be included in the design of the Lake Forest spillway to limit erosion of the channel. CULVERT AT GRIFFIN AVENUE

The existing culvert at Griffin Avenue has a 10 foot width and has a height of 4 feet. It has stone masonry walls and concrete deck slab. The apparent condition of the culvert is good. The control elevation for flooding is the basement floor elevation of House #53 Griffin Avenue, Elevation 151.3. The elevation of the centerline of Griffin Avenue at the low point over the culvert is 154.7. Maximum flow at this culvert occurs during a 6 hour storm. With the existing spillway, the maximum runoff during the 25 year return frequency design storm is 163 cubic feet per second, causing a backwater elevation of 151.2.

If the Lake Forest spillway is lengthened to 135', the runoff would be increased to 340 cfs, and the backwater elevation increased to 152.5 with an improved headwall. In order to protect House #53 against damage, the channel wall adjacent to the house could be replaced with a higher wall, top elevation 153.0, extending upstream until the natural bank reaches elevation 153.0. The home owner has a catch basin in his driveway. A flap gate should be installed on the catch basin outlet pipe to prevent the flow from backing up the outlet and into the basement.

- 10 -

5

In order to construct the wall adjacent to the channel, a row of trees ould have to be removed. It would appear that removal of many attractive trees would be more detrimental to the property owner than the extremely rare basement flooding that may occur. The 10 year return frequency storm with a 135' spillway at Lake Forest would not cause flooding of the basement, provided the flap gate is installed.

#### CHARCOAL POND

The area of Charcoal Pond is approximately 3 acres. Since surface area is less than 1/2% of the watershed area contributing to the Pond, the retention effect is negligible. Our calculations indicate that the inflow is equal to the outflow under all storms studied. The calculations also indicate that if the spillway at Lake Forest is lengthened to 135', the spillway at Charcoal Pond must also be increased. In order to convey a precipitation of 15.5" in 6 hours, without overtopping the earth berm and allowing 12" of free-board, the spillway should be Tengthened 80' and the berm raised approximately 15".

## CHANNEL BETWEEN CHARCOAL POND AND PLATT STREET

The slope of the channel varies from .06 ft./ft. to.02 ft./ft. and varies in width from 8' to 14'. The channel is lined with stone masonry walls upstream from the Platt Street culvert for 100' and the remaining channel has natural banks. The channel is adequate for the design storm with a 135' spillway at Lake Forest and no channel work is required in this area.

#### CULVERT AT PLATT STREET

The existing culvert at Platt Street is a concrete box culvert with an opening 8 feet wide x 4-1/2 feet high. The low point on Platt Street near the culvert is at elevation 131.3. Adjacent to the stream at the upstream end of the culvert, the garage at House #738 Platt Street has a floor elevation of 129.7'.

-11-

The maximum backwater elevation during the design storm with the Lake orest spillway improved is 130.4'. In order to prevent flooding of the garage, the wall lining the brook at this site should be raised to elevation 131.5 and lengthened.

#### CHANNEL BETWEEN PLATT STREET AND VALLEY AVENUE

The existing channel is steep, slope varying between 0.05 ft./ft. and 0.03 ft./ft. with high banks and the width varies between 8' and 20'. The channel is adequate and the only improvement required is to accommodate the new culvert required at Valley Avenue.

## VALLEY AVENUE CULVERT

The existing culvert at Valley Avenue has a concrete deck stone masonry walls. The culvert opening is 10 feet wide x 3 feet high. nontrol elevation on Valley Avenue is 104.3' and all adjacent structures are at a higher 'evation. During the design storm with a 135' spillway at Lake Forest, the culvert is inadequate and the flood would overtop Valley Avenue. It is recommended that the culvert be replaced with a structure having a width of 10' and a height of 4' at a lower elevation than the existing culvert. Approximately 50' of channel improvement is required upstream and 250' downstream.

## CHANNEL BETWEEN VALLEY AVENUE AND CHOPSY HILL ROAD

The existing stream in this section has a great variation of slopes and widths. The natural channel is adequate since there are only some minor constrictions and the adjoining houses have been built back from the stream at fairly high elevations. The improvements required are at the existing Valley Avenue culvert and the entrance of the Chopsy Hill Road culvert.

#### CULVERT AT CHOPSY HILL ROAD - NEAR WOODROW AVENUE

The existing culvert at Chopsy Hill Road is a concrete box culvert 8' wide and 3-1/2' high. The control elevation for flooding is 62.5' at the centerline of Chopsy Hill Road. The flow during the design storm at the culvert is 398 cfs, which

#### CHANNEL BETWEEN CHOPSY HILL ROAD AND POND STREET

The flood plain of the existing stream in this section has been filled for the construction of Woodrow Avenue on the south and occupied by houses on the north. The stream cannot convey the design storm within the existing banks. An improved channel is required for the section, lowered approximately 2'. The proposed channel section has an 8' bottom width, 2:1 side slope on the north bank and 1:1 side slope on the south bank. Riprap is required on the south bank to stabilize the steep slope and protect the wall adjacent to Woodrow Avenue from erosion.

## CULVERT AT POND STREET

The existing culvert at Pond Street consists of two 54" reinforced concrete pipes. The limit of flooding is set by the basement floor elevation of House =627 Pond Street at elevation 59.8'. The invert of the existing culvert is 55.1'. The capacity of the existing culverts holding the backwater elevation below 59.8' is approximately 200 cfs. The flow during the design storm at this point is 398 cfs and it is recommended that the culvert be replaced. Approximately 50' downstream from the Pond Street culvert, a major tributary draining approximately 165 acres to the northeast enters the stream. The main channel makes an abrupt right angle turn and enters the culvert under Woodrow Avenue. The existing condition causes additional flooding. In order to efficiently convey the flow, it is recommended that the Pond Street culvert would be approximately 135' long, it is further recommended that a special entrance be designed for the culvert which would reduce the size of the livert to a 7' width with a 5' height of opening.

#### CULVERT FROM PITT STREET TO WOODROW AVENUE

Drainage from approximately 160 acres outlets in an open channel south of Pitt Street from an existing 42" RCP. The flow again enters a 42" RCP which is joined to a 54" RCP outletting south of Douglas Street. Between Douglas Street and Woodrow Avenue there is another short section of open channel. The culvert under Woodrow Avenue has a width of 7.8' and a height of 4'. Severe flooding is experienced in this area during storms of less intensity than the design storm. In order to alleviate flooding in the area, it is recommended that a junction chamber be constructed in Pitt Street and a box culvert with a width of 7' and a height of 4' be constructed from the chamber to the south side of Woodrow Avenue. It is further recommended that a common headwall for the Pond Street culvert and the Pitt Street to Woodrow Avenue culvert be provided.

#### CHANNEL FROM WOODROW AVENUE TO SAUNDERS AVENUE

The flood plain of the existing stream has been constricted in . this section by house construction and walls so that it cannot convey the design storm without overtopping the existing banks and causing property damage. It is recommended that a concrete channel be constructed 12' wide with 4' high walls from Woodrow Avenue to Saunders Avenue. The concrete channel is recommended to reduce the impact of land requirements on the adjacent property owners.

## SAUNDERS AVENUE CULVERT

The existing culvert has a width of 7.7' and a height of 5'. It is constructed with stone masonry walls and a concrete slab. Control for flooding is the first floor elevation of House #484 Saunders Avenue at elevation 55.8'. During the design storm, the backwater elevation would rise to approximately 58.5', overtopping Saunders Avenue and flooding the house. It is recommended that the culvert replaced with a box culvert having an opening 12 feet wide x 6 feet high at a lower elevation than the existing culvert.

#### CHANNEL BETWEEN SAUNDERS AVENUE AND CHOPSY HILL ROAD AT SUMMIT STREET

The existing stream has been severely constricted in this section by walls, culverts and house construction. Various encroachments reduce the capacity of the channel to less than 25% conveyance required during the design storm. Several alternate solutions were studied to provide an adequate waterway area in this section. The alternates will be discussed later in the Report. The recommended solution, Alternate No. 1, is as follows:

Provide an earth channel downstream from Saunders Avenue approximately
450' in length. The channel bottom width required would be 12' and with one on two side slopes.

2) Construct a special entrance at the end of the channel and approximately 280' of box culvert with an opening of 9 feet wide x 6 feet high to a junction chamber.

3) The junction chamber would provide a diversion of low water flow to the stream channel. The chamber would be designed to limit the flow to the existing channel to its safe capacity of approximately 180 cfs.

4) The remaining flow would be conveyed in a box culvert in Pond Street and Chopsy Hill Road with the outlet below Summit Street adjacent to the existing culvert. The box culvert would have an opening 7 feet wide x 5 feet high.

## CHANNEL BETWEEN SUMMIT STREET AND PRIVATE ROAD IN CEMETERY

The existing stream in this section is generally wide with a flat slope and only minor constrictions. Since the culvert invert at Summit Street has to be lowered approximately 2', a channel improvement is required for approximately 650', at which point the improvement will be transitioned into the existing natural stream. About 600' downstream from Saunders Avenue, the remains of an old abandoned dam restricts the channel. The channel improvement will include removing the obstruction. 2 recommended channel has a bottom width of 20', 1-1/2 to one side slopes. The velocity in the channel is such that riprap will be required on the slopes.

15

#### CULVERT AT PRIVATE ROAD IN CEMETERY

The existing culvert at the cemetery entrance is a stone masonry arch with a width of 8' and a height of 5'. The waterway area is insufficient to convey the runoff during the design storm and the roadway will be overtopped to a depth of approximately one foot. The flooding caused by this constriction does not affect any properties beyond the cemetery and the only damage anticipated due to the flooding would be a washout of the cemetery entrance road. In order to convey the runoff during the design storm without overtopping the roadway, a twin 12' x 6' box culvert would be required. Since the existing culvert is privately owned and no damage or inconvenience will be suffered outside of the cemetery property, replacement of the culvert is not recommended. If any contruction is proposed within the flooding limits shown on the plans, improvement of the culvert should be made by the owner.

#### CHANNEL BETWEEN CEMETERY ROAD AND CAPITOL AVENUE

The existing channel has been improved during the construction of Route 25. It is a uniform section with a bottom width of 15' and a 1 on 2 side slope, and a slope of 0.013 ft./ft. The depth of flow during the design storm is approximately 6', which is contained within the banks. The channel is adequate.

#### CULVERT FROM CAPITOL AVENUE TO NORTH AVENUE

The existing culvert is a twin 12' x 6' concrete box culvert approximately 1,000' in length. It was constructed as part of the Route 25 Expressway project. It has been designed for maximum high tide and the runoff from a 50 year return frequency storm. The culvert is adequate.

#### CHANNEL BETWEEN NORTH AVENUE AND THE POQUONOCK RIVER

The stream in this section is subject to tidal influence. Perigee high tide at Bridgeport is elevation 6.0 above mean sea level. The combination of the \_\_\_\_\_\_igee high tide and the design storm runoff causes overtopping the existing banks throughout most of the reach. Since the simultaneous occurrence of the extreme high tide and the design storm is a very remote possibility, we have based our recommendations on average high tide which is at elevation 3.4 above mean sea level. Under this - ondition, the channel would still require improvement to convey the design flow without overtopping the banks. A channel improvement having a 25' bottom width and 1 on 1-1/2 side slopes is recommended for approximately 360 feet in length.

- 17 -

•

# HYDRAULIC SUMMARY

		ALTERNATE 1	DESIGN	BACKWATER	DEPTH OF		
	LOCATION & DESCRIPTION	RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENT	FLOW CFS	HEAD ELEVATION	FLOW IN		VERT OUT
	Griffin Avenue Culvert	Headwall Modification	340	152.5	-	147.3	147.
	Platt Street Culvert	Concrete Wall	374	131.2	-	124.0	121.
	Valley Avenue Culvert	10' x 4' Concrete Box Culvert	384	103.4	-	97.4	97.1
	Valley Avenue Channel	10' Wide Earth Channel 1 on 2 Side Slopes	384	-	4.0	-	
P.Z.	<b>Ch</b> opsy Hill Road Culvert	10' x 4' Concrete Box Culvert	393	61.4	-	55.0	54.
	Chopsy Hill Road to Pond Street Channel	8' Wide Earth Channel	398	-	3.5	-	
	Pond Street Culvert	7' x 5' Concrete Box Culvert	398	58.6	-	52.0	51.(
	Pitt Street to Woodrow Avenue Culvert	7' x 4' Concrete Box Culvert	300	67.8	-	62.0	51. <b>Cr●</b> #
	Woodrow Avenue to Saunders Avenue	12' Wide Concrete Chann Vertical Sides	el 614		3.0	-	
	Saunders Avenue Culvert	12' x 6' Concrete Box Culvert	614	55.6	-	47.5	47. (۲۰۰۰)
	South of Saunders Avenue Channel	12' Wide Earth Channel 1 on 1.5 Side Slopes	620	-	4.5	-	
D. A	South of Saunders Avenue to Junction Chamber- Culvert	9' x 6' Concrete Box Culvert	620	52.0	-	43.3	42.
	Junction Chamber to Existing Channel	4' x 2.5' Concrete Box Culvert	180	47.5	-	42.5	35.
	Junction Chamber to Summit Street	7' x 5' Concrete Box Culvert	440	47.5	-	42.5	30.
	South of Summit Street	20' Wide Earth Channel 1 on 1.5 Side Slopes	790	-	5.0	-	
	Cemetery Road	None .	1050	22.0	-	12.7	12.

- 18 -

د*7*7

는 \_\_\_ 페 - -

1

\_

21

A 19

# HYDRAULIC SUMMARY

ALTERNATE 1 (Cont'd...)

ß	LOCATION & DESCRIPTION	RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENT	DESIGN FLOW CFS	BACKWATER HEAD ELEVATION	DEPTH OF FLOW IN CHANNEL	IN	INVERT
	<b>Capitol</b> Avenue to North <b>Avenue</b> Culvert	None	1050	14.5	-	7.4	1.8
	North Avenue to Poquonock River Channel	25' Wide Earth Cha 1 on 1-1/2 Side S1		-	6.0	-	-

- 19 -

3-110

# 

Ż

Ċ

1

HYDRAULIC SUMMARY

# ALTERNATE 2

# SAME AS ALTERNATE 1, EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS:

-	LOCATION & DESCRIPTION	RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENT	DESIGN FLOW CFS	BACKWATER HEAD ELEVATION	FLOW IN	IN IN	VERT OUT
•	South of Saunders Avenue to Summit Street Culvert		620	52.0	-	43.3	30.0

# HYDRAULIC SUMMARY

# ALTERNATE 3

# SAME AS ALTERNATE 1, EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS:

LOCATION & DESCRIPTION	RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENT		BACHMATER HEAD ELEVATION	FLCL IN			
Pond Street to Summit Street Culvert	7' x 5' Concrete Box Culvert in Pond St.	398	58.6	-	52.0	3	
Woodrow Avenue to Saunders Avenue Channel	Concrete Wall & Cement Plaster Existing Stone Masonry Wall		-	3.5	-	-	
Saunders Avenue Culvert	10' x 5' Concrete Box Culvert	320	55.6	-	48.5	<b>48.</b> C	
Saunders Avenue to Summit Street Channel	Concrete Wall	340	-	4.0	-	-	•

21

# TERMATE PROPOSALS

Three proposels have been studied to relieve flooding between Woodrow Avenue and Submit Street - Alternate No. 1 is described in the Hydraulic Analysis section under Channel between Saunders Avenue and Chopsy Hill Road at Summit Street. Alternate No. 1 is shown on Sheet Nos. 1 to 11, inclusive, of plans included with this report.

Alternate No. 2 is similar to Alternate No. 1, except that rather than construct a junction chamber and divert the majority of flow into a new culvert in Pond Street and Chopsy Hill Road, with low flows remaining in the existing channels and structures, a 9' x 6' culvert following the existing channel alignment approximately 1,030' in length is proposed. This is shown on Sheet No. 12 of plans included with this report.

Alternate No. 3 proposes two separate systems for drainage between Woodrow Avenue and Summit Street. A 7' x 5' culvert from the intersection of Pond Street and Woodrow Avenue to Chopsy Hill and Summit Street, approximately 1,930' in length, is proposed for the flow from Lake Forest and the western portion of the drainage area. The flow from Pitt Street and the eastern portion of the drainage area would be accommodated in the existing channels and culverts. In order to convey the flow in the existing channels and culverts, a channel constriction approximately 160' upstream from the Saunders Avenue culvert should be widened. The Saunders Avenue culvert should be replaced with a 10' x 5' concrete box culvert at a lower invert and additional concrete walls are required approximately 700' upstream from the Summit Street culvert. Alternate No. 3 also requires extensive sanitary sewer adjustments. Alternate No. 3 is shown on Sheet Nos. 13 and 14 of plans included in this report.

- 22 -

Of the three proposals studied, Alternate No. 1 is the most economical. In addition, the diversion into the existing channel of a safe controlled flow should be pleasing to the abutting property owners.

A large portion of the work has been located in the street right-of-way of Pond Street and Chopsy Hill Road, eliminating some of the need to obtain property agreements and minimizing disturbance to residents by construction in back yards. Between Woodrow Avenue and Saunders Avenue, an extensive channel improvement is required for Alternates No. 1 and No. 2. Construction in this area will require easements and will be disruptive to the adjacent property owners.

Alternate No. 2 was studied to investigate the potential savings in excavation and street repaving available by following the alignment of the existing channel. Since this alignment replaced the existing culverts and channel, it requires larger culvert than Alternate No. 1, 9' x 6' for Alternate No. 2 versus 7' x 5' for Alternate No. 1. Our Construction Cost Estimate indicates that the increased cost of the larger culvert exceeds the saving of excavation and paving costs, by approximately \$40,000.00.

The purpose of Alternate No. 3 was to develop a scheme that would eliminate the need for any work outside the existing street right-of-ways. It was determined that this objective could not be reached economically, but the work required along the existing channels was greatly reduced.

Alternate No. 3 requires a new concrete box culvert in the right-of-way of Pond Street and Chopsy Hill Road. Following this alignment is expensive since Pond Street and Chopsy Hill Road are on ledge rock in this area.

23

Pond Street crosses two low ridges between Woodrow Avenue and Summit Street. The topography requires that the proposed culvert be placed at a depth greater than minimum across the ridges further increasing the cost of Alternate No. 3. The alignment interferes with the sanitary sewer connections from the east, requiring an additional parallel sanitary sewer system to be constructed.

In view of the conditions noted, we recommend that Alternate No. 1 be selected for construction.

(in

# ISLAND BROOK

# CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NO. 1)

# SUMMARY

	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
	GRIFFIN AVENUE	Headwall Modification	\$ 5,000.00
2	PLATT STREET	Concrete Wall	18,000.00
• ·	VALLEY AVENUE	Culvert	40,000.00
•	VALLEY AVENUE	Channel Improvement	6,000.00
•	CHOPSY HILL RD. & WOODROW AVE.	Culvert	62,000.00
•	CHOPSY HILL RD. & WOODROW AVE.	Channel Improvement	4,000.00
	CHOPSY HILL ROAD TO POND STREET	Channel Improvement	8,000.00
	POND STREET	Culvert	87,000.00
,- ,- ,-	T STREET TO WOODROW AVENUE	Culvert	250,000.00
ć	WOODROW AVE. TO SAUNDERS AVE.	Channel Improvement	154,000.00
ŕ	SAUNDERS AVENUE	Culvert	54,000.00
	SOUTH OF SAUNDERS AVENUE	Channel Improvement	25,000.00
Ļ	SOUTH OF SAUNDERS AVE. TO SUMMIT STREET	Culvert	<b>600,0</b> 00.00
	SOUTH OF SUMMIT STREET	Channel Improvement	53,000.00
	NORTH AVE. TO POQUONOCK RIVER	Channel Improvement	89,000.00

25

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST OF PROJECT

\$1,455,000.00

## ISLAND BROOK

# CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNITE NO. 1)

# LOCATION - GRIFFIN AVENUE CULVERT

WORK REQUIRED: Improvement of Upstream Headwall and Install Flap Gate

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
Install Flap Gate	L.S.	200.00	L.S.	\$200.00
Structure Excavation - Earth	С.Ү.	6.00	12	72.00
Removal of Existing Masonry	C.Y.	20.00	8	160.00
Concrete	С.Ү.	200.00	18	3,600.00
Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	1,500	450.00
				\$4,482.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u> Contin	gency		518.00
	COST			\$5,000.00

# LOCATION - PLATT STREET CULVERT

-

12

WORK REQUIRED: Replace Existing Masonry Wall with New Concrete Wall

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
ucture Excavation - Earth	<u>C.Y.</u>	6.00	120	\$720.00
Scructure Excavation - Rock	С.Ү.	15.00	100	1,500.00
Removal of Existing Masonry	C.Y.	20.00	35	700.00
Concrete	. C.Y.	200.00	60	12,000.00
Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	5,000	1,500.00
Dampproofing	S.Y.	2.00	80	160.00
				\$16,580.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u> Conting	jency		1,420.00
	COST			\$18,000.00

#### LOCATION - VALLEY AVENUE CULVERT

WORK REQUIRED: Replace Existing Culvert with 10' x 4' Concrete Box Culvert - 50' Long

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
Structure Excavation - Earth	UNIT C.Y.	6.00	240	\$1,440.00
Structure Excavation - Rock	C.Y.	15.00	140	2,100.00
Concrete	C.Y.	200.00	85	17,000.00
Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	10,000	3,000.00
Dampproofing	S.Y.	2.00	150	300.00
Pervious Structure Backfill	C.Y.	8.00	130	1,040.00
Gravel Fill	С.Ү.	6.00	60	360.00
Paving	S.Y.	9.00	100	900.00
Relocate 8" Watermain	L.F.	20.00	40	800.00
ust Sanitary Sewer	L.S.	10,000.00	NEC.	10,000.00
$\sim$ ·				\$36,940.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u> Conti	ngency		3,060.00

\$40,000.00

AND BROOK - CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NO. 1) Cont'd....

LOCATION - VALLEY AVENUE CHANNEL

WORK REQUIRED: Lower and Widen Approximately 50' Upstream and 250' Downstream Earth Channel, 10' Bottom, 2:1 Side Slope

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMCUNT
<b>Channel</b> Excavation - Earth <b>Channel</b> Excavation - Rock <b>Modified</b> Rip Rap	C.Y. C.Y. TON	3.00 7.00 20.00	550 150 120	\$1,650.00 1,050.00 2,400.00 \$5,100.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u> Conting	lency		900.00
	COST			\$6,000.00

COST

## LOCATION - CHOPSY HILL ROAD AT WOODROW AVENUE CULVERT

WORK REQUIRED: Replace Existing Culvert with New 10' x 4' Concrete Box Culvert, 50' Long, and Extend Upstream Wingwall on North Side Approximately 100'

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
Structure Excavation - Earch	C.Y.	6.00		\$2,700.00
crete	C.Y.	200.00		30,000.00
Adminforcing Steel	LB.	0.30		6,000.00
Paving	S.Y.	9.00	70	630.00
Pervious Structure Backfill	C.Y.	8.00	150	1,200.00
Gravel Fill	C.Y.	6.00	60	360.00
Dampproofing	S.Y.	2.00		360.00
Asjust Sanitary Sewer	L.S,	15,000.00		15,000.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u> Contir	igency	\$	56,250.00 5,750.00

#### COST

\$62,000.00

# LOCATION - CHOPSY HILL ROAD AT WOODROW AVENUE CHANNEL

N.

WORK REQUIRED: Lower and Widen Upstream Channel, 10' Bottom Width, Length Approximately 130'

ITEM		UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMCUNT
Channel Excavation - Earth Intermediate Rip Rap	+ 10%+	C.Y. TONS	3.00 25.00	250 120	\$750.00 3,000.00 \$3,750.00 250.00
	COST	concin	igency.		\$4,000.00

<u>'AND BROOK - CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE</u> (ALTERNATE NO. 1) Cont'd.....

LOCATION - CHANNEL BETWEEN CHOPSY HILL ROAD AND POND STREET

WORK REQUIRED: Lower and Widen Existing Channel, 8' Bottom Width - Length Approximately 300'

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
Channel Excavation - Earth Modified Rip Rap	C.Y. TON	3.00 20.00	900 250	\$2,700.00 5,000.00 \$7,700.00
	+ 10%+ Cont	ingency		300.00
· .	COST		•	\$8,000.00

## LOCATION - POND STREET CULVERT

14

1

WORK REQUIRED: Replace Existing Culvert with 7' x 5' Concrete Box Culvert - Approximately 135' Long

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	
<b>Struct</b> ure Excavation - Earth <b>Struct</b> ure Excavation - Rock	C.Y. C.Y.	6.00 15.00	600 400	\$3,600.00 6,000.00	
crete	C.Y.	200.00	180	36 <b>,0</b> 00.00	
Reinforcing Stee]	LB. S.Y.	0.30 2.00	25,000 320	7,500.00 640.00	
Pervious Structure Backfill Gravel Fill	C.Y. C.Y.	8.00 6.00	800 130	6,400.00 780.00	
Paving	S.Y.	9.00	400	3,600.00	
Adjust Sanitary Sewer	L.S.	15,000.00	NEC.	<u>15,000.00</u> <b>\$79,</b> 520.00	
+ 10% <u>+</u> Contingency					

COST

\$87,000.00

÷,

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

\_

\_

 LAND BROOK - CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NO. 1) Cont'd....

LOCATION - PITT STREET TO WOODROW AVENUE CULVERT

WORK REQUIRED: Replace Existing Culverts and Open Channels with Junction Chamber in Pitt Street and 7' x 4' Concrete Box Culvert from Junction Chamber to Woodrow Avenue - Approximate Length 630'

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
Structure Excavation - Earth Structure Excavation - Rock Concrete Reinforcing Steel Dampproofing Pervious Structure Backfill Gravel Fill	C.Y. C.Y. C.Y. LB. S.Y. C.Y. C.Y.	6.00 15.00 200.00 0.30 2.00 8.00 6.00	1,900 500 680 105,000 1,200 1,400 600	\$11,400.00 7,500.00 136,000.00 31,500.00 2,400.00 11,200.00 3,600.00
Paving Topsoiling and Seeding Adjust Sanitary Sewer	S.Y. S.Y. L.S. + 10% <u>+</u> Conting	9.00 1.50 20,000.00	270 1,200 NEC.	2,430.00 1,800.00 20,000.00 \$227,830.00 22,170.00

COST

\$250,000.00

## LOCATION - WOODROW AVENUE TO SAUNDERS AVENUE CHANNEL

WORK REQUIRED: Improve Existing Open Channel with a 12' Wide Concrete Walled Channel Approximately 460'

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
Structure Excavation - Earth Structure Excavation - Rock Concrete Reinforcing Steel Pervious Structure Backfill Gravel Fill	C.Y. C.Y. C.Y. LB. C.Y. C.Y.	6.00 15.00 200.00 0.30 8.00 6.00	1,100 300 500 60,000 700 600	\$6,600.00 4,500.00 100,000.00 18,000.00 5,600.00 3,600.00
Topsoil and Seeding	S.Y. + 10%+ Continge	1.50 ency	1,000	1,500.00 \$139,800.00 14,200.00

COST

\$154,000.00

- 29 -

SLAND BROOK - CONSTRUCTION COST E	STIMATE	(ALTERNA	TE NO. 1) Co	ont'd	
ATION - SAUNDERS AVENUE CULVERT					
ORK REQUIRED: Replace Existing C Approximately 55'		h 12' x 6	5' Concrete B	Box Culvert	
ITEM tructure Excavation - Earth tructure Excavation - Rock oncrete einforcing Steel ampproofing ervious Structure Backfill ravel Fill aving djust Sanitary Sewer	+ 10%+	UNIT C.Y. C.Y. C.Y. LB. S.Y. C.Y. S.Y. L.S. Continger	UNIT PRICE 6.00 15.00 200.00 0.30 2.00 8.00 6.00 9.00 15,000.00		5,100.00 340.00 1,440.00
		continger	ic y		
	COST				\$54,000.00
OCATION - CHANNEL - SOUTH OF SAUN					
ORK REQUIRED: Deepen Existing Op	en Channel				
<u>ITEM</u> hannel Excavation - Earth ified Riprap		UNIT C.Y. TON	UNIT PRICE 3.00 20.00	<u>QUANTITY</u> 800 1,000	\$2,400.00 20,000.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u>	Continge	ncy		\$22,400.00 2,600.00
	COST				\$25,000.00
OCATION - CULVERT FROM SOUTH OF S	AUNDERS AV	ENUE TO	SUMMIT STREET		•
ORK REQUIRED: 250' of 9' x 6' Co 4' x 2'-6" Box Cul	oncrete Box vert, 710'	Culvert of 7' x	Junction Cha 5' Concrete	mber - 55' Box Culver	of
ITEM tructure Excavation - Earth tructure Excvation - Roch concrete einforcing Steel ampproofing ervious Structure Backfill ravel Fill aving rench Excavation - Earth rench Excavation - Rock 0" Sanitary Sewer		UNIT C.Y. C.Y. LB. S.Y. C.Y. S.Y. C.Y. C.Y. L.F.	UNIT PRICE 6.00 15.00 200.00 0.30 2.00 8.00 6.00 9.00 10.00 20.00 40.00	QUANTITY 3,800 2,700 1,550 230,000 2,400 3,750 1,000 2,100 500 1,000 450	69,000.00 4,800.00 30,000.00 6,000.00 18,900.00 5,000.00 20,000.00 18,000.00 \$545,000.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u>	<u>Conting</u>	ency		55,000.00
<u> </u>	COST				\$600,000.00
					-

"CLAND BROOK - CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NO. 1) Cont'd....

LOCATION - CHANNEL SOUTH OF SUMMIT STREET

2

.

.

Ś

Ì 1 WORK REQUIRED: Deepen Existing Channel Approximately 650' - 20' Minimum Bottom Width

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
<b>Channel</b> Excavation - Earth <b>Intermediate</b> Rip Rap	C.Y. Ton	3.00 25.00	2,100 1,700	\$6,300.00 <u>42,500.00</u> \$48,800.00
	+ 10% <u>+</u> Contingency	,		4,200.00
•	COST			\$53,000.00

# LOCATION - NORTH AVENUE TO POQUONOCK RIVER

Deepen and Widen Existing Channel Approximately 360' -WORK REQUIRED: 25' Minimum Bottom Width, add Concrete Wall 60' Long

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	
Channel Excavation - Earth Modified Riprap Structure Excavation Concrete Inforcing Steel	C.Y. TONS C.Y. C.Y. LB.	3.00 20.00 6.00 200.00 0.30	2,000 3,000 220 60 5,000	\$6,000.00 60,000.00 1,320.00 12,000.00 1,500.00	_
	\$80,820.00 8,180.00	-			
	COST			\$89,000.00	

# ISLAND BROOK

# CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NO. 2)

# <u>SUMMARY</u>

	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
	GRIFFIN AVENUE	Headwall Modification	\$ 5,000.00
ļ	PLATT STREET	Concrete Wall	18,000.00
•	VALLEY AVENUE	Culvert	40,000.00
- -	VALLEY AVENUE	Channel Improvement	6,000.00
2	CHOPSY HILL RD. & WOODROW AVE.	Culvert	62,000.00
	CHOPSY HILL RD. & WOODROW AVE.	Channel Improvement	4,000.00
•	CHOPSY HILL ROAD TO POND STREET	Channel Improvement	8,000.00
	PONDSTREET	Culvert	87,000.00
	T STREET TO WOODROW AVENUE	Culvert	250,000.00
	WOODROW AVE. TO SAUNDERS AVE.	Channel Improvement	154,000.00
(	SAUNDERS AVENUE	Culvert	54,000.00
	SOUTH OF SAUNDERS AVENUE	Channel Improvement	25,000.00
•	SOUTH OF SAUNDERS AVENUE TO SUMMIT STREET	Culvert	640,000.00
	SOUTH OF SUMMIT STREET	Channel Improvement	53,000.00
	NORTH AVE. TO POQUONOCK RIVER	Channel Improvement	89,000.00

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST OF PROJECT

(

z,

\$1,495,000.00

B-123

- 32 -

# ISLAND BROOK

# CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NC. 2)

# LOCATION - CULVERT FROM SOUTH OF SAUNDERS AVENUE TO SUMMIT STREET

WORK REQUIRED: 1,030' of 9' x 6' Concrete Box Culvert

ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	ANCULT
Structure Excavation - Earth	<u>C.Y.</u>	\$6.00	4,500	\$27,060.00
Structure Excavation - Rock	С.Ү.	15.00	<b>50</b> 0	<b>7,</b> 500.00
Concrete	C.Y.	200.00	1,900	<b>380,0</b> 00.00
Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	270,000	81,000.00
Dampproofing	S.Y.	2.00	2,800	5,600.00
Paving	S.Y.	9.00	1,100	<b>9,900.</b> 00
Trench Excavation - Earth	C.Y.	10.00	500	5,000.00
<b>Trench</b> Excavation - Rock	C.Y.	20.00	1,000	20,000.00
30" Sanitary Sewer	L.F.	40.00	450	18,000.00
Pervious Structure Backfill	C.Y.	8.00	2,100	16,800.00
Gravel Fill	C.Y.	6.00	1,200	7,200.00
			-	\$578,000.00
	+ 10%+ (	Contingency		62,000.00

COST

33

\$640,000.00

# SEELYE STEVENSON VALUE & KNEUDALING

		: .* . *	AMOUNT
•	GRIFFIN		\$ 5,000.00
	PLATT		18,000.00
_	ALL-S-AL S		40,000.00
	VALL		6,700.00
	Contraction and		62,000.00
	CHOPSE		4,000.00
	CHOPSY - 1	•	8,000.00
	WOODPCW A.EN.S		1,122,000.00
÷	PITT STREET TO A TO		250,000.00
	WoodRON AVE TO DE N	to tell stratect	14,000.00
	SAUNDERS AVENUE	Culter:	50,000.00
	SAUNDERS AVE. TO SUMMIT STREET	Channel Improvement	15,000.00
	SOUTH OF SUMMIT STREET	Channel Improvement	53,000.00
	NORTH AVE. TO POQUONOCK RIVER	Channel Improvement	89,000.00

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST OF PROJECT

\$1,736,000.00

- 34 -

#### SEELYE SIEVENSUN VALUE & KNEURI, ING.

\_\_\_\_\_

## ISLAND BROOK

## CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NO. 3)

#### LOCATION - SAUNDERS AVENUE TO SUMMIT STREET CHANNEL

WORK REQUIRED: Deepen Channel Downstream from Saunders Avenue Culvert, add 100' Concrete Walls

	ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
L	Channel Excavation - Earth	<u>C.Y.</u>	\$3.00	75	225.00
	Structure Excavation - Earth	C.Y.	6.00	200	1,200.00
••	Concrete	C.Y.	200.00	50	10,000.00
	Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	6,000	1,800.00
	Dampproofing	S.Y.	2.00	50	100.00
	Pervious Structure Backfill	C.Y.	8.00	60	480.00
					\$13,805.00
		+	1,195.00		
•		1	COST		\$15,000.00

#### LOCATION - WOODROW AVENUE TO SAUNDERS AVENUE CHANNEL

WORK REQUIRED: Face Existing Stone Wall with Cement Plaster, Approximtely 120' in Length, Replace 80' of Concrete Wall to Widen Channel

	UTEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
	Structure Excavation - Earth	<u>C.Y.</u>	\$6.00	150	900.00
e,	Concrete	C.Y.	200.00	40	8,000.00
	Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	5,000	1,500.00
		S.Y.	2.00	· 45	90.00
	Pervious Structure Backfill	C.Y.	8.00	50	400.00
•	Cement Facing	S.Y.	35.00	60	2,100.00
r	-				\$12,990.00
		+ 1	10% <u>+</u> Contingency	/	1,010.00
		(	COST		\$14,000.00

#### LOCATION - SAUNDERS AVENUE CULVERT

WORK REQUIRED: Replace Existing Culvert with 10' x 5' Concrete Box Culvert, Approximately 55' Long

	ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
	Structure Excavation - Earth	<u>C.Y.</u>	\$6.00	320	1,920.00
	Structure Excavation - Rock	C.Y.	15.00	70	1,050.00
	Concrete	C.Y.	200.00	100	20,000.00
	Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	15,000	4,500.00
	Dampproofing	S.Y.	2.00	150	300.00
	Pr vious Structure Backfill	C.Y.	8.00	160	1,280.00
R	G_rel Fill	C.Y.	6.00	70	420.00
	Paving	S.Y.	9.00	120	1,080.00
•••	Adjust Sanitary Sewer	L.S.	·15,000.00	NEC.	15,000.00
.`	••••••				\$45,550.00
		+	10%+ Contingen	су	4,450.00
	- :	35 -	COST		\$50,000.00
	n 🔿 👘 🖓 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘 👘	a 📲 🖌 👘 🖉 🖉 👘 🖉 🖉 🖉	· · · · · · · ·		Maria

AND BROOK - CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (ALTERNATE NO. 3) Cont'd.....

# LOCATION - WOODROW AVENUE TO SUMMIT STREET CULVERT

2

WORK REQUIRED: 7' x 5' Concrete Box Culvert, 1,930' Long

	ITEM	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
	Structure Excavation - Earth	<u>C.Y.</u>	\$6.00	2,800	\$16,800.00
	Structure Excavation - Rock	C.Y.	15.00	9,800	147,000.00
•	Concrete	C.Y.	200.00	2,400	480,000.00
	Reinforcing Steel	LB.	0.30	360,000	108,000.00
	Dampproofing	S.Y.	2.00	4,200	8,400.00
	Pervious Structure Backfill	C.Y.	8.00	9,000	72,000.00
	Gravel Fill	C.Y.	6.00	1,500	9,000.00
	Paving	S.Y.	9.00	4,000	36,000.00
	12" Sanitary Sewer	L.F.	20.00	600	12,000.00
•	18" Sanitary Sewer	L.F.	25.00	1,200	30,000.00
Ŧ	30" Sanitary Sewer	Ł.F.	40.00	450	18,000.00
	<b>Trench</b> Excavation - Earth	C.Y.	10.00	1,300	13,000.00
	<b>Trench</b> Excavation - Rock	C.Y.	20.00	3,500	70,000.00
					\$1,020,200.00
		+	10%+ Contingenc	y	101,800.00

COST

\$1,122,000.00

## CONSTRUCTION PRIORITIES

đ

The residents of the Island Brook area experience some inconvenience during most storms. The report indicates that all culverts except the recently constructed culvert under Route 25 and North Avenue require either replacement or some alteration to adequately convey the design flows. The report also shows that channel improvements are required in areas where various construction has constricted the flood plain. Reports by other Engineers have noted the need to enlarge the spillway at Lake Forest and our analysis confirms their findings and also shows that the spillway at Charcoal Pond requires improvement. The spillways are owned by private Associations, and it is the owner's responsibility to correct the deficiencies of the spillways.

Currently, the most severe flooding in the watershed is at Pitt Street, Douglas Street and Pond Street above Woodrow Avenue, and Chopsy Hill Road at Woodrow A ue. The flooding in these areas will be relieved by the construction of the Saunders Avenue culvert, the channel improvement between Woodrow Avenue and Saunders Avenue, the Pitt Street to Woodrow Avenue culvert, the Pond Street culvert, the channel improvement between Chopsy Hill Road and Pond Street and the Chopsy Hill Road culvert. If only this work is done, the area between Saunders Avenue and Summit Street, which now is flooded less severely than the area above Woodrow Avenue, will experience increased flooding. In addition to the above noted work, the culvert from south of Saunders Avenue to Summit Street and the channel improvement south of Summit Street is recommended to be included in the first priority of work.

The existing culverts at Griffin Avenue, Platt Street and Valley Avenue are adequate if the spillway at Lake Forest and Charcoal Pond are not improved. The structures and channel work at Valley Avenue could be scheduled concurrently wi' the spillway reconstructions.

- 37 -

The area between North Avenue and the Poquonock River currently experiences only minor flooding. The existing channel has been used as a disposal site. Shopping carts, tires, refrigerators and other miscellaneous litter in the channel contribute to the flooding. Removal of debris in the channel could be considered as a first priority item of work and the channel improvement as a later work item.

It is apparent from our studies that only 10% of the work could be deferred for future construction and still provide reasonable relief to the current flooding conditions in the Island Brook watershed. It is, therefore, recommended that all the work proposed be constructed as one project.











States and

• •

JE LALVE

# APPENDIX

# SECTION C: DETAIL PHOTOGRAPHS



**HERE HERE & HARDER BOTHER AND BOTHER B**


#### APPENDIX

### SECTION D: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

1

.

#### PRELIMINARY GUIDANCE

#### FOR ESTIMATING

· · · · ·

### MAXIMUM PROBABLE DISCHARGES

IN

PHASE I DAM SAFETY

INVESTIGATIONS

New England Division Corps of Engineers

٩

C

х. Т

March 1978

1-a

		NED RE	LE FLOOD INFLOWS SERVOIRS	
	Brotost			
	Project	2	<b>D.A.</b>	MPF
		(cfs)	(sq. m1.)	cfs/sq. mi.
1.		26,600	17.2	
2.		15,500	9.25	1,546
3.		158,000	97.2	1,675
4.		9,000	5.7	1,625
5.	Black Rock	35,000	20.4	1,580
4		•	•014	1,715
6.		20,700	12.0	1,725
7.		26,400	16.4	
8.		47,000	50.0	1,610
9.		61,000	55.0	940
10.	Conant Brook	11,900	7.8	1,109
••		• •		1,525
11.		160,000	162.0	0.97
12.	Littleville	98,000	52.3	987
13.	Colebrook River	165,000	118.0	1,870
	Mad River	30,000	18.2	1,400
15.	Sucker Brook	6,500	3.43	1,650 1,895
16.	Union Village			
17,	North Hartland	110,000	126.0	873
18.	North Springfield	199,000	220.0	904
19.	Ball Mountain	157,000	158.0	994
20.	Townshend	190,000	172.0	1,105
	- ownering	228,000	106.0(278 total)	820
21.	Surry Mountain	63,000	100.0	
22.	Otter Brook	45,000	100.0	630
23.	Birch Hill	88,500	47.0 175.0	957
24.	East Brinfield	73,900	67.5	505
25.	Westville	38,400		1,095
•	•		99.5(32 net)	1,200
26.	West Thompson	85,000	173.5(74 net)	1 150
27.	Hodges Village	35,600	31,1	1,150
28.	Buffunville	36,500	26.5	1,145
29.	Mansfield Hollow	125,000	159.0	1,377
30.	West Hill	26,000	28.0	786 928
31.	Franklin Falls			760
32.	Blackwater	210,000	1000.0	210
33.	Hopkinton	66,500	128.0	520
		135,000	426.0	316
34 .	Evaratt			
34. 35.	Everett MacDowell	68,000 36,300	64.0	1,062

.

.

•

1

D-2

MAXIMUN	PROBABLE	FLOWS
BASED	ON TWICE	THE
STANDARD	PROJECT	FLOUD
(Flat and	Coastal	Areas)

River	(cfs)	(sq. mi.)	(cfs/sq. mi.)
1. Pawtuxet River	19,000	200	190
2. Mill River (R.I.)	8,500	34	500
3. Peters River (R.I.)	3,200	13	490
4. Kettle Brook	8,000	30	530
5. Sudbury River.	11,700	86	270
6. Indian Brook (Hopk.)	1,000	5.9	340
7. Charles River.	6,000	184	65
8. Blackstone River.	43,000	416	200
9. Quinebaug River	55,000	331	330

D-3

[

.1

.

Ì



# ESTIMATING EFFECT OF SURCHARGE STORAGE ON MAXIMUM PROBABLE DISCHARGES



- STEP 1: Determine Peak Inflow (Qp1) from Guide Curves.
- STEP 2: a. Determine Surcharge Height To Pass "Qp1".
  - b. Determine Volume of Surcharge (STOR1) In Inches of Runoff.
  - c. Maximum Probable Flood Runoff In Ne -England equals Approx. 19'', Therefor-

$$Qp_2 = Qp_1 \times (1 - \frac{STOR_1}{19})$$

STEP 3: a. Determine Surcharge Height and "STOR2" To Pass "Qp2"

171

 b. Average "STOR1" and "STOR2" and Determine Average Surcharge and Resulting Peak Outflow "Qp3".

## RULE OF THUMB" GUIDANCE FOR ESTIMATING DOWNSTREAM DAM FAILURE HYDROGRAPHS



- **STEP I:** DETERMINE OR ESTIMATE RESERVOIR STORAGE (S) IN AC-FT AT TIME OF FAILURE.
- **STEP 2:** DETERMINE PEAK FAILURE OUTFLOW (Qp1).

(

$$Qp_1 = \frac{8}{27} W_b \sqrt{g} Y_0 \frac{3}{2}$$

W<sub>b</sub> = BREACH WIDTH - SUGGEST VALUE NOT GREATER THAN 40% OF DAM LENGTH ACROSS RIVER AT MID HEIGHT.

Y<sub>o</sub> = TOTAL HEIGHT FROM RIVER BED TO POOL LEVEL AT FAILURE.

**STEP 3:** USING USGS TOPO OR OTHER DATA, DEVELOP REPRESENTATIVE STAGE-DISCHARGE RATING FOR SELECTED DOWNSTREAM RIVER REACH.

**STEP 4:** ESTIMATE REACH OUTFLOW  $(Q_{p2})$  USING FOLLOWING ITERATION.

- A. APPLY  $Q_{p1}$  TO STAGE RATING, DETERMINE STAGE AND ACCOPMANYING VOLUME  $(V_1)$  IN REACH IN AC-FT. (NOTE: IF  $V_1$  EXCEEDS 1/2 OF S, SELECT SHORTER REACH.)
- B. DETERMINE TRIAL Qp2.

 $Qp_2(TRIAL) = Qp_1(1 - \frac{V}{5})$ 

- C. COMPUTE  $V_2$  USING  $Q_{p2}$  (TRIAL).
- D. AVERAGE  $V_1$  AND  $V_2$  AND COMPUTE  $Q_{p2}$ .

$$Qp_2 = Qp_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{s}\right)$$

**STEP 5:** FOR SUCCEEDING REACHES REPEAT STEPS 3 AND 4.

APRIL 1978

HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION LAKE FOREST DAM EAST BRIDGEEDORT, CONN (1) MAXIMIIN PROBABLE FLOOD - PEAK FLOOD RATE (A) WATERSHED CLASSIFIED AS "MOUNTAINOUS" TYPE THE MPF GUIDE CURVES FURNISHED BY THE ACE NEW BROGLAND DIV. OFFICE ARE SISED FOR THE DETERMINATION OF MPF	roject	D.SHEN CHecked By HULL Date 5/22/1978
HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION LAKE PORENT DAM TAST BRINGETORT, CONN (1) MAXIMIUM PROBABLE FLOOD - DEAK FLOOD RATE (3) WATERSHED CLASSIFIED AS "MOUNTAINDUS" TYPE THE MPF GUIDE CURVES FURNISHED BY THE ACE NEW BUGLAND DIV. OFFICE ARE USED FOR THE DETERMINATION OF MPF (b) WATERSHED AREA. DA= 1.445 SQ MI (J.W. CONE "INVESTIGATION OF 25LAND BANK DAM "4/1/66) CE. MEASURE CHOCKED DA= 1.46 SQ MI (c) FROM GUIDE CURVES, (EXTRAPLATION) NI PF Z 2.650 CFS/SQ MI (d) M.PF = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 · 1.45 = 3940 CFS 2.) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (d) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (STRAL) THE DAM IS CLASSIFIED AS "SNALL", HIGHT =8 TH (STRAL) (I) FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/0/1717 pro)	eld Book Ref.	D.SHEN Checked By HUL Date 5/22/1978 Other Refs. CF#27-531-GH Revisions
LAKE FOREST DAM TAST BRIDGETORT, CON (1) MAXIMUM PROBABLE FLOOD - DEAK FLOOD RATE (3) WATERSHED CLASSIFIED AS "MOUNTAMOUS" TYPE THE MPF GUIDE CURVES JURNISHAD BY THE ACE NEW BROCHAND DIV OFFICE ARE USED FOR THE DETERMINATION OF MPF (b) WATERSHED AREA DA 1.445 S& MI (J.W. COME "INVESTIGATION OF 25LAND BROK DAM "6/1/66) CE MEASURE CHECKED DA 1.46 S& MI TOR COMPLICATION, USE 1.45 S& MI (C) FROM GUIDE CURVE, (EXTRADULATION) MI RF Z 2,650 CTs/SE, MI (d) M.PF = PEAK INFLOW $Q = 2,650 \cdot 1.45 \pm 3,840$ CFS 2) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (b) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX)= 908 M-H (C) FROM INCLUSED AS "SAMU", HIGHT = B T (C) FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STORAGE (MAX) PIO)		
(1) $MAXIMII'M PROBABLE FLOOD - PEAK FLOOD RATE (3) WATERSHED CLASSIFIED AS "MOUNTAINOUS" TYPE THE MPF GUIDE CURVES FURNISHED BY THE ACE NEW ENGLAND DIV OFFICE ARE USED FOR THE DETERMINATION OF MPF (b) WATERSHED AREA DA 1.445 SO MI (J.W. COME "INVESTIGATION OF TSLAND BANK DAN" 4/1/66) CE MEASURE CHECKED DA 1.46 SO MI FOR COMPUTATION, USE 1.45 SO MI (c) FROM GUIDE CURVE, (ENTRADUATION) M^{1} P.F.Z. 2,650 CTs/SR. MI(d) M.P.F. = PEAK INFLOWQ = 2,650 * 1.45 = 3,840 CFS(a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCONDING TO ACERECOMMENDED GUIDELINES(b) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENTS STORAGE (MAX)= 908 M-H(c) STALL)(b) FROM INVENTORY OF DHAS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/0/17TE PIC)$		HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION
(1) [MAXIMIUM PROBABLE FLOOD - PEAK FLOOD RATE (4) WATERSHED CLASSIFIED AS "MOUNTAINDUS" TYPE THE MPF GUIDE CURVES FURNISHED BY THE ACE NEW BNGLAND DIV. OFFICE ARE JISZD FOR THZ DETERMINATION OF MPF (b) WATERSHED AREA. DA: 1.445 S& mi (J.W. Gove "INVESTIGATION OF ISLAND BANK DAY "6/1/66) CE MEASURE CHECKED DA: 1.46 S& mi (c) FROM GUIDE CURVES (EXTRAPOLATION) IMI PF Z 2,650 CTS/S& mi (d) M.PF = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 * 1.45 Z.3940 CFS (a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENTS STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (STMAL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SNALL", HIGHT = 8 TH (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DHAS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/0.1707 PICE	<b></b> , .	LAKE FOREST DAM EAST BRIDGEDORT, CONN
THE MPF GUIDE CLIRVES FURNISHED BY THE ACE NEW BRGLAND DIV OFFICE ARE SISED FOR THE DETERMINATION OF MPF (b) WATERSHED AREA. DA: 1.445 SQ MI (J.W. COME "INVESTIGATION OF ZSLAND BROKE DAM "G/ 1/66) CE MEASURE CHECKED DA: 1.46 SQ MI (c) FROM GUIDE CLARVE. (EXTRAPOLATION) IMI Q F Z 2.650 CFS/SQ. MI (d) M.PF = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 · 1.45 Z 3840 CFS 2.) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (A) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 K-H (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 K-H (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SMALL". HIGHT = 8 TH (INFROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/0/177F PIO)		(1) MAXIMUM PROBABLE FLOOD-DEAK FLOOD RATE
NEW BNGLAND DIV OFFICE ARE JISED FOR THE DETERMINATION OF MPF (b) WATERSHED AREA DA I.445 SQ MI (J.W. COME "INVESTIGATION OF ISLAND BANK DAM "6/1/66) CE MEASURE CHECKED DA = 1.46 SQ MI (C) FROM GUIDE CLAVE, (EXTRAPOLATION) INI PF = 2,650 cFs/SQ mi (C) MORT FROM GUIDE CLAVE, (EXTRAPOLATION) INI PF = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 + 1.45 = 3,840 CFS (C) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (C) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 K-H (STRALL) THE DAM IS CLASSIFIED AS "SMALL", HIGHT = 8 TH (STRALL) (INCFROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1770 PIO)	<b>*•</b> •• <b>•</b> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	(a) WATERSHED CLASSIFIED AS "MOUNTAINOUS" TYPE
DF ISLAND BROK IMN "6/1/66) CE MEASURE CHECKED DA = 1.46 S& mi FOR COMPLITATION, USE 1.45 SQ. MI (C, FROM GUIDE CLURVE. (EXTRAPOLATION) NI RF = 2,650 CFS/SQ. MI (d) M.PF = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 + 1.45 = 3,840 CFS (a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (STALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SNALL". HIGHT = 8 TH (STALL) (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1974 PIO)		NEW BNGLAND DIV. OFFICE ARE LISED FOR THE
TOR COMPLETATION, USE 1.45 SO. MI (C) FROM GLIPE CLURVE. (EXTRAPOLATION) NI D.F. Z. 2,650 CFS/SQ. MI (d) M.P.F. = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 · 1.45 = 3840 CFS (a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 ACH (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASSIFIED AS "SMALL". HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 PIO)		
141 P.F. Z.,650 CTS/SQ. MI (d) M.P.F = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 · 1.45 = 3840 CFS (2) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASSIFIED AS <u>"SMALL"</u> , HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (h(FROM I NVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/11718 P10)		
(d) M. P.F. = PEAK INFLOW Q = 2,650 * 1.45 = 3,840 CFS (2) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (A) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCOADING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 ACH (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 ACH (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SNALL". HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 PID)		(C) FROM GUIDE CURVE. (EXTRAPOLATION)
Q = 2,650 × 1.45 = 3,840 CFS 2. SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (A) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASSIFIED AS "SWALL". HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (h(FROM INVENTORY OF DAHS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1971 P10)		141 D.F = 2,650 CTS/SQ. mi
2) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF) (a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SMALL", HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 910)	• •••••••••	(d) M. P.F. = PEAK INFLOW
(a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 ACH (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 ACH (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SNALL", HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 PIO)	<b>)</b> 	Q = 2,650 · 1.45 = 3,840 CFS
(a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 K-H (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 K-H (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SNALL", HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 p10)		
RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 M-H (2) (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS <u>"SWALL"</u> , HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (I) (FROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 p10)		(2) SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF)
(L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 AC-H (L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 AC-H (SMALL) THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SMALL", HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (NCFROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 PIO)		(a) CLASSIFICATION OF DAM ACCORDING TO ACE
THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SMALL". HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (In FROM I NVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 p10)	, 	RECOMMENDED CTUIDELINES
THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SMALL". HIGHT = 8 TH (SMALL) (In FROM I NVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 p10)		(L) SIZE (IMPOUNDMENT) STORAGE (MAX) = 908 AC-H
(INCFROM INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 pla)		THE DAM IS CLASIFIED AS "SNALL", HIGH = 8 TH
		MINVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES - 3/10/1978 pla)

roject//\>/ 	DICTION OF NON-FEDERAL DANSIN NEW ENGLAND Sheet 2 of         D. SHEN       Checked By         Other Refs.       CE# 27-531-(1F)       Revisions
d Book Ref	Other Refs. <u>CE#27-531-()</u> Revisions
	HYDROLDGIC / HYDRAULE INSPECTION
	LAKE FAREST DAY EAST BRIDGEPERT, COMM
l.	(2) (cont'd) SpillwAY DESIGN FLOOD (SDF)
	(ii) HAZARD DOTENTIAL.
	THE DAN IS LOCATED WSTREAM OT URBAN DEVELOPED AREA OF BRIDGEPORT, THBABFORG, IT IS CLASSIFIED AS OF <u>HIGH</u> HAZARD DOTONIIAL
	(NO SDF
	ACCORDING TO ACE RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES
È.	FOR A DAM OF SMALL SIZE AND HIGH HAZAAD POTENFIAL SDF TO BE USED CAN VARY FROM Y2 MP7 TO MPF. THEREFORE,
- • • •	SDF = HPF = 3,840 CFS
	(3) EFFECT OF SURCHARGE STORAGE ON MAXIMUM PROBABLE DISCHARGES:
	(a) DEAK INFLOW (SDF = MPF)
	Qp1 = 3,840 CFS
	b) SURCHARGE HEIGHT TO PASS QP,
$\sim$	(i) ESTIMATE SURCHARGE ABOVE SPILLWAY CREST

•

.

	Engineers Inc. Consulting Engineers
Project	D SHEAL Charles By diff. Data 5/24/1978
omputed by	D. SHEN Checked By Date D
-	HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION
•	
× .	LAKE FONTST DAM, EAST BRIDGEPORT. CONN
6	
	(3) (CONT'D)- EFFECT OF SURCHANGE STORAGE ON
	MAXIMUM PROBABLE DISCHARGES.
4 	
•	(b) SURCHARGE HEIGHT TO PASS Gip,
	(I) ESTIMATE SURCHARGE ABOVE SPILLWAY CR37.
	REFER TO DATA IN J.W. CONE "INVESTIGATION OF ISLAND
ίς :	BROOK DAM " 6/ 1/ 1966 NEPORT.
	SPILLWAY DISCHARGE COBFFICIENT = 2.7 CBROAD CHES
	LENGTH OF WEIR = 35.5
	Q=(2.7)(35.5) H <sup>3/2</sup>
	-
	$H = \frac{Q}{(2.7.355)}^{2/3}$
ж 9	(2.7.355)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C Op, = 3,840 CFS
	/
₹ <b>\</b>	H, = 11.7'
	<u>مٰ</u>
	3.25 Ft PROM SPILLWAY CREST TO DAM CREST
. :	HENCE, THE DAM IS OVERTOPPED. (SPILLWAY ESTIMATED
	CAPACITY TO TOP IF DAM = 560 (FS)
<b>.</b>	(ii) FIND SURCHARGE HEIGHT H.
4. 4	
	DEPTH OF WATER ABOVE THE TOP OF THE
·	DAM H, -3,25
· · ·	FROM DEP TOPO. MAP (4/12/74), OVERTOPPING MAY OCCUR OVER A TOTAL
	LENGTH OF DAM OF I 1650' CH.S. INVENTORY OF DAMO OF WHICH
	PRESENTLY 450' ARE I 12' WIDE (TOP). EVABANKMENT AND THE REMAINING
anan sina an araa an araa	1200' MAVE BEEN WIDENED DIS BY FILL AS BACKYARDS FOR SEVERAL
	HONES BORDERING THE LAKE.

ç



HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULE INSPECTION LAKE FOREST DAN ERST BAIDGEPORT, CONV. (3) (CONT'A) EFFECT OF SURCHARGE STORAGE ON HANIMUL PROBABLE DISCHMODE: (b) SURCHARDE HEIGHT TO PASS AP, (ii) FIND SURCHARDE HEIGHT H, FDA SPILLAGE OVER EMBRUENENT ASS UNE C $\pm 2.7$ $A \equiv (2.7) (1650) (H_{1}-3,25)^{3/2}$ FOR DUER BANK SPILLAGE. AT ENSTERLY BUD ESTIMATE A BEAM WHEN RISES APPOINT MATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE (ENSTERLY END) $= \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_{1}-3,25)$ $ESTIMATE C \approx 2.6$ $M \equiv (2.6)(53.3)(H_{1}-3,25)^{5/2}$ AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BEAM WHEN RISES 5' IN SOO' DISTANCE MESTIMATE C $\approx 2.6$ $M \equiv (2.6)(53.3)(H_{1}-3,25)^{5/2}$ $M = (2.6)(55.3)(H_{1}-3,25)$ $\equiv 5' (M = 50' DISTANCE)$ $M = (2.6)(66.7) (H_{1}-3,25)$ $\equiv 5TIMATE C = 2.6$ $M \equiv (2.6)(66.7) (H_{1}-3,25)^{5/2}$		$TI+N  OF  NI-FEDERAL  DANS  IN  NEW \in AGGEPND  Sheet $
(3) (2017 (d) EFFECT OF SURLMARGE STORAGE ON HANIMUL PROBABLE DISCAMPOB (b) SURCHARGE DISCAMPOB (i)) FIND SURCHARGE HEIGHT H, TOK SPILLAGE OVER EMBANKNENT ASSUME C = 2.7 $Q = (2.7) (1650) (H_{1}-3,25)^{3/2}$ FOR DVER BANK SPILLAGE. AT EASTERLY END ESTIMATE A BEAM WHICH RISES APPPONIMATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVER BANK SPILLAGE. (EASTALY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_{1}-3,25)$ $= 53,3 (H_{1}-3,25)$ ESTIMATE C = 2.6 $Q = (2.6) (53,3) (H_{1}-3,25)^{5/2}$ AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BEAM WHICH RISES 5' IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVER BANK SPILLAGE. (WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BEAM WHICH RISES 5' IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVER BANK SPILLAGE (WESTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H_{1}-3,25)$ $= 56.7 (H_{1}-3,25)$ ESTIMATE C = 2%		
HANIMUL PROBABLE DISCHAROD: (b) SUNCHARDED HEIGHT TO PASS $\delta p_1$ (ii) FIND SURCHARDE HEIGHT H, TOK SPILLAGE OVER EMBANCHIENT ASS WHE C = 2.7 $R \equiv (2.7) (1650) (H_1-3,25)^{3/2}$ FOR DVER BANK SPILLAGE. AT EASTERLY END BETMATE A BERM WHICH RISES APPD WIMATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE. (EASTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_1 - 3, 25)$ ESTIMATE C = 2.6 AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BEAM WHICH RISES S' IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE. (EASTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_1 - 3, 25)$ ESTIMATE C = 2.6 AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BEAM WHICH RISES S' IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE. (WESTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ ESTIMATE C = 2.6	. a.	LAKE FORZST DAM EAST BRIDGEPORT, CONN.
(11) FIND SURCHARGE HEIGHT H, FOR SPILLAGE OVER EMBANCHANT ASS UNE $C \equiv 2.7$ $R \equiv (2.7) (1650) (H-3.25)^{3/2}$ FOR DVER BANK SPILLAGE. AT EASTERLY END ESTIMATE A BERM WHICH RISES APPDINIMATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE. (EASTERLY END) $= \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H, -3, 25)$ $\equiv 53, 3 (H, -3, 25)$ ESTIMATE $c \equiv 2.6$ AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BERM WHICH RISES S' IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE. (WESTERLY END) $= \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H, -3, 25)$ $\equiv 53, 3(H, -3, 25)$ $\equiv 51, 3(H, -3, 25)$ $\equiv 66, 7 (H, -3, 25)$	•	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		(b) SURCHARGE HEIGHT TO PASS Qp,
Assume $C \equiv 2.7$ $R \equiv (2.7) (1650) (H_1-3.25)^{3/2}$ FOR OVER BANK SPILLAGE. AT EASTORLY OND BETIMATE A BORH WHICH RISES APPRIXIMATELY 5' IN HOD'DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALONT LOBATH OF OVOR BANK SPILLAGE. (EASTORLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{440}{5}) \times (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 53, 3 (H_1 - 3, 25)$ ESTIMATE $C \equiv 2.6$ $R \equiv (2.6)(53.3)(H_1 - 3, 25)^{5/2}$ AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BORH WHICH RISES 5' IN Soo' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALONT LONGTH OF OVOR BANK SPILLAGE. (WESTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 56.7 (H_1 - 3, 25)$	• - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 	(II) FIND SURCHARGE HEIGHT H
$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} \equiv (2,7) (165 \circ) (1,-3,25)^{3/2} \\ & \text{FOR DVER BANK SPILLAGE.} \\ & \text{AT EASTERLY END. ESTIMATE A BERM WATCH RISES } \\ & \text{APPPINIMATELY 5' IN HOC'DISTANCE} \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE} \\ & (EASTERLY END) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (41, -3, 25) \\ & = 53, 3 \cdot (41, -3, 25) \\ & = 53, 3 \cdot (41, -3, 25) \\ & \text{ESTIMATE C 2 2.6} \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENDATE A BEAM WATCH RISES } \\ & \text{AT WESTERLY END. ESTIMATE A BEAM WATCH RISES } \\ & \text{S' IN Soo' DISTANCE} \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE} \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE} \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE} \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE } \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE } \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE } \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE } \\ & ASSUME EQUINALENT COMPLEX (MODE OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE ) \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT COMPLEX (MODE OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE ) \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT COMPLEX (MODE OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE ) \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT COMPLEX (MODE OF OVER SPILLAGE ) \\ & \text{ASSUME EQUINALENT SPILE (MODE OF O$	e manafannan (manafan an fe	FOR SPILLAGE OVER EMBANKNENT
FOR DUER BATUR SPILLAGE. AT EASTRALY END, ESTIMATE A BERM WHICH RISES APPROXIMATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE. (EASTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{4400}{5}) \times (44, -3, 25)$ = 53, 3 (4, -3, 25) ESTIMATE ( = 2.6 Q = (2.6)(53,3)(4, -3, 25) S'1 MT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BERM WHICH RISES S'IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE (WESTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (44, -3, 25)$ ESTIMATE ( = 2.6		
FOR DUER BATUR SPILLAGE. AT EASTRALY END, ESTIMATE A BERM WHICH RISES APPROXIMATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE. (EASTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{4400}{5}) \times (44, -3, 25)$ = 53, 3 (4, -3, 25) ESTIMATE ( = 2.6 Q = (2.6)(53,3)(4, -3, 25) S'1 MT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BERM WHICH RISES S'IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE (WESTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (44, -3, 25)$ ESTIMATE ( = 2.6	:	Q=(2,7)(1650)(H-3,25) <sup>2</sup>
APPPIXIMATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE (ERSTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_1 - 3, 25)$ = 53, 3 (H_1 - 3, 25) ESTIMATE ( $\gtrsim 2.6$ $Q \equiv (2.6)(53.3)(H_1 - 3, 25)^{5/2}$ AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BEAM WHICH PISES S' IN SOO' DISTANCE ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE (WESTERLY END) = $\frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ ESTIMATE ( = 26	,	
Assume Equivalent LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE $(Entitaly END) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 53, 3 \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $Estimate < z 2.6$ $Q = (2.6)(53, 3)(H_1 - 3, 25) = \frac{5}{2}$ $MT WESTERLY END Estimate A BEAM WHICH RISES$ $S' IN Soo' DISTANCE$ $Assume Equivalent LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE$ $(WESTERLY END) = \frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 66.7 < H_1 \cdot 3, 25$		
$(EARTHALY END) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 53, 3 (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $ESTIMATE < 2.6$ $Q = (2.6)(53, 3)(H_1 - 3, 25)^{5/2}$ $AT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BEAM WATCH RISES$ $S' IN Soo' DISTANCE$ $ASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE$ $(WESTERLY END) = \frac{2}{5} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 66.7 < H_1 \cdot 3, 25$		APPROXIMATELY 5' IN 400' DISTANCE
$(EKTERLY END) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 53, 3 (H_1 - 3, 25)$ ETTIMATE < 2 2.6 $Q \equiv (2.6)(53, 3)(H_1 - 3, 25)^{5/2}$ MT WESTERLY END, ESTIMATE A BERM WITCH RISES $S' IN SOO' DISTANCEASSUME EQUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLADE (WESTERLY END) = \frac{2}{5} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)= 66.7 (H_1 - 3, 25)= 5TIMATE = 2.6$		ASSUME EQUINALIZE LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLEAGE
$\frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	÷	$(EMTERLY ZND) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot (\frac{400}{5}) \times (4, -3, 25)$
$Q \equiv (2.6)(53.3)(H, -3, 25)^{5/2}$ $MT W = STERLY END, = STIMATE A BEAM WATCH PISES  S'IN SOO' DISTANCE  Assume = QUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE  (WESTERLY ENP) = \frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H, -3, 25)= 66.7 \leq H, \cdot 3, 25)ESTIMATE c = 2.6$		= 53,3 (H,-3,25)
$\frac{1}{5} \qquad		BTIMATE CZIG
$\frac{5' \text{ IN } 500' \text{ DISTANCE}}{\text{ASSUME E-QUIVALENT LENGTH OF OVERBANK SPILLAGE}}$ $\frac{WESTERLY END}{5} = \frac{2}{5} \times \left(\frac{500}{5}\right) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 66.7 < H_1 \cdot 3, 25)$ $ESTIMATE c = 2.6$	t t	Q = (2.6)(53.3)(H, -3,25) <sup>2/2</sup>
Assume Equivalent Length of OVERBANK SpillAGE $(Wisside LY ENP) = \frac{2}{3} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H, -3, 25)$ $= 66.7 < H, -3, 25)$ ESTIMATE $c = 2.6$		
$(Wisting END) = \frac{2}{3} * \left(\frac{500}{5}\right) \cdot (H_1 - 3, 25)$ $= 66.7 < H_1 - 3, 25)$ ESTIMATE $c = 2.6$	:	
ESTIMATE = 9%		$(W_{55774} U ENO) = \frac{2}{5} \times (\frac{500}{5}) \cdot (H, -3, 25)$
Q = (2, 6) (66.7) (H, -3, 25) 5/2		ESTIMATE = 2%
		Q = (2, 6) (66.7) (H, -3, 25) 5/2

NSPEC	TION OF NON-FEDENAL DANS IN NEW ENGLAND Sheet 5 of 6
By Ref	$ \underline{D, S HEN} $ Checked By $\underline{HEP} $ Date $\underline{5/25/1778}$ Other Refs. $\underline{CE\#27-53/-4-}$ Revisions
NU.,	
	HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION
	LAKE FORIST DAM EAST BRIDG & PORT, CONN
	(3) (CANTIA) EFFECT OF SURCHARGE STOPLATE ON
	MAXIMUTIL PNOBABLE DISCHAPLES
	(b) SURCHARGE HZIGHT TO PASS QP,
	(ii) FIND TRUE SURLHARGE HEIGHT H,
	HENCE, DISCHARGE WITH SURLMARGE H, AROUE 146.
	SPILLWAY CHEST IS
	* Q = (2.7) (35.5) H, 3/2 + (2.7) (1650)(H, -3.25)
	- ·
	+(2.6)(120)(H,-3.25) 3/2
	: For Bp1 = 3,840 CFS
	H1 = 4.0'
	THE TOP OF THE ENBANKMENT IS OVERTOPPED WITH
	A HEAD APPROXIMATELY ± 0.75°.
<b>.</b> .	(C) VOLUME OF SURCHARGE.
	ASSUME NORMAL POOL LEVEL 0.25 FT. ABOVE
	THE SPILLWAY CREST (3'ASSUMED FREE BOARD)
	AREA OF POOL = 71 AC. (J.W. CONE REPORT, A= 67 AC.) C.E. MERSURE 1=71 AC; SEELYE STEVEN SON VALUE & KENTCH INC
<b></b> .	"ISLAND BROOK DRAINAGE STUDY," 1973, A = 76 AC; WAC INVENTORY, A=71,
	FOR QP1 = 3,840 CFS AND H1 = 4.0'
	VOL. OF SURLHARGE
	71 x ( 4.0-0.25) = 266 Ac- ft
	* Q= 95.9H 3/2 + 4455 (H-3.25) 3/2 + 312 (H-3.25) 5/2
,	

•••••	Engineers Inc. Consulting Engineers	
4.5		● ●
ProjectPC	CTICN OF NON-FEDERAL DANS IN NEW ENGLAND Sheet 6 of 6	
Pield Book Ref	D. SHEN Checked By $UV$ Date $5/25/1778$ Other Refs. $CF \neq 27-53/-47H$ Revisions	
÷	·	
	HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION	
	LAKE FOREST DAM EAST BRIDGEPORT, CONN.	
<b>#</b>	LARE / URIS / DAM CAS / BRIDGERULT, CUNN.	•
	13) (CONT'D). EFFECT OF SURLAARGE STORAGE ON MPF's	
i i		
	(L) VOLUME OF SURLNALGE.	
	D.A = 1.45 SR. MI	
· · · · · ·		
	$S_1 = \frac{2.66}{1.44 \times 13.3} = 3.45$ "	
	(d) PEAK OUTFLOW FOR SURLHAKITE SI	
	(SEE QUIDELINES FOR ASSUMING A TRIANGULAR HYDROGRAPH	•
	AND MPF RUNOFF DF±19")	
	Qpz = 3,840 (1 - 3.45)	
	0p2 = 3,140 CFS	
۱ د ۲	H2 ₹ 3.9'	
and a second sec	S2 = 3,35", SAVE = 3,4"	
	(P) AESULTING PEAK OUTFLOW	
	$Rp_3 = 3,840 \left( 1 - \frac{3.4}{19} \right)$	
	· 093 = 3,150 45	
	$H_3 \equiv 3.9'$	
	(f) SUMMARY. PEAK INFLOW : QDI=MPF = 3,840 LFS	
	PEAK ONTFLOW: AP3 = 3,150 GTS AVERAGE SULLHARGE HEIGHT ± 3,9' ABOVE	
<b>1</b>	HVERAGE SULLHARGE HEIGHT ± 3.9' ABOVE	
	THE SPILLWAY CREST.	
* •		
Service of Sectors of Sec.		

τī.

	Engineers Inc. Consulting Engineers
	<u>Deter Strand</u> Checked By <u>Hun</u> Date <u>5/30/1475</u>
•	Other Refs. <u>(E#27-53/-CT H</u> Revisions
·•.	HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION
	LAKE FORTSY LAM, EAST BRIDGEPOKT, CONN
	DOWNSTRIAM FLOOD HAZARD
	IN ESTIMATE OF DOWNSTREAM DAM FAILURE HARARD
	(a) ESTIMATE OF RESERVOIR STORAGE AT TIME OF FAILURE. (SEE D. SHEN COMPS. 5/22/1978)
• .	(i) MAXIMUM STORAGE CAPACITY = 908 AC-H.
	(in HEIGHT OF EMBANILMENT ABOVE SPILLWAY = 3.257
	( Lili) MAX. POOL DEPTH AT JAM - FROM 1908 TOPOGRAPHY BELOW ISLAND BROOK DAN (LAK
	FOREST) TOP OF DAM ELEV ± 175 STREAM BED ELEV. ± 152 ± 23.
а с. а	Civ, ESTIMATED VOLUME OF STORAGE TO MAXIMUM POOL.
	USE AREA OF JOND = 71 AC YOL = - (71) (23) = 540 AL- 1+ < 908 Ac-++
	Use MAXIMUM STORAGE 908 AC-H.
	( ) ESTIMATED RESERVOIR STORAGER AT TIME OF FAILURE
	(TO A SURLHARGE NEIGHT OF 3.9 HA ABOVE THE SPILLWAY CREST, OR 0.65 HA ABOVE TOP OF THE DAM )
	: STORAOE S = 908+71(0.65) = 950 h- 11

<b>Cahn</b>	Engineers Inc. Consulting Engineers
Project <u>INSPE</u> Computed By Field Book Ref	$\frac{2}{D. SHEN} = Checked By = \frac{2}{CF - 27 - 53/-CT M} Sheet = 2 of 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 $
	HYDRULOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION
	LAKE FOREST DAM, EAST BRIDGEPORT, CONN
	DOWNSTREAM FLOOD HAZARD
Sec.	(1) ESTIMATE OF DONNSTREAM DAM FAILURE HAJARD
1 - 14 1	(D) DETERIMINE PEAK FAILURE OUTFLOW
	(), BREACH WIDTH: FROM TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS OF 1908 AND 1974
	A MID HEIGHT LENGTH OF LAKE FORFST DAM OF
	± 330 HA 25 ESTIMATED. HENCE, TAKE MAXIMUM BREACH WIDTH 70 BE APPROX. DH OF THE 330 H.
	MAX. BREACH W= 0.4×330 = 132'
	: TAKE W = 1301
	LITS TOTAL HEIGHT AT TIME OF FAILURE.
	YO = & +0.65 = 8.65' SAY YO = 9' (ITT) PEAK FAILURE OUTFLOW
S.	QP1 = 8 W1 19 4 2 = 5, 900 CFS
	(IV) DOWNSTREAM WAVE HEIGHT IMME DIATE DIS AT DAM SITE.
	$Y = 0.44 Y_0$ $\therefore \qquad Y = 0.44 (9) = \frac{4}{11} \frac{11}{11}$

# "Jahn Engineers Inc.

#### **Consulting Engineers**

Project TNSPECTION OF A	WAN FEDERAL DAMAS IN NEW ENGLAND	Sheet of
Computed By Klue	Checked By 2. SHGA/	Date 5/6/78
Field Book Ref	Other Refs. CE#27-531-6H	Revisions

HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC INSPECTION

LAKE FOREST DAMI, EAST BRIDGEPORT CT.

(A) MPF ESTIMATE FROM THE HIGH INTENSTY PAINFACL DERIOD OF A SMORT DURATION STOLY IN A SMALL WATERSHED

THIS PARALLEL COMPUTATION IS MADE CONSIDERING THAT FOR SHALL DRAINAGE AREAS, USE BY EXTRAPOLATION OF THE MPF GUIDE CURVES FURNISHED BY THE ACE NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, MAY GIVE PEAK KUN OFFS OF LESSER MAGNITUDE THAN THOSE WHICH COULD PROBABLY OCCUR.

ASSUME FOR LAKE FOREST A TIME OF CONCENTRATION OF ABOUT 1-HA. IN THE HIGH INTENSITY RAINFALL PERIOD OF A G-HR RAINFALL FOR ESTIMATING THE MAK. PROPAGLE RUN-DEF

a) GHR PAP AT LAKE FOREST : PAP = 24.5" (10 SQUI = PT. CANNER.)

(FROM USER "PESIGO OF SMAL PAUS" - FIG 1, P.29 BASED ON HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL REPORT N.º 33 - USWEATHOR BULENU / US CURIS OF ENGINEES)

b) ASSUME MOST INTENSE I-AR PERIOD RAINPALL = 51% OF THE TOTAL GHR RANFALL (USICE STX-USBR SOX-SCS 47%).

C) ASSUME PMF FOR THIS DA & TO% OF THE ABOVE PMP OR,

PMF = 8.8 "/He. .. Ep = 1.45 × 5.8 × 645.3 = 8200 CFS

"NOTE: THIS COMMESTONES TO USE OF ROTIONAL HETUS WITH CZ 0.70 TO 0.71

ann En	gineers Inc.	Cons	ulting Engineers	•
	OF , NUN-FEDERAL DAMS IN N	المديدة زم معتبه الموسول	Sheet 2 of 3	
	Checked By		Date 6/6/78	
d Book Ref	Other Refs	31-4H	Revisions	
				•
HYDROLDG	IC/HYDILAULIC JUSPECTION	,	1	
LAKE FOR	REST DAM (Cont'd)		<b></b>	
N			· · · · ·	
ZA) THE DA	MY IS CLASSIFIED AS SMALL	WITH HIGH	HAZAND POTENTAC	
	E AE ADA IN THA CO. PIA CIADENA	en · 11. Proz	TO PHE	
·: 307	FLECOLAMENDED BY GUIDELMA		10 141-	
ACTIN	E SDF = PMF = 8200 CFS (	PEAK JANES MA	<b>)</b>	
X C V A			)	
3A) EFFE	LT OF SUROMANCE STORAGE	ON MAR. PR	WURLLE DUCHARUG	30-2
,				
a)	FOR QP = 8200 OFS	( SE D.S. SH	EN 5,25/78 00005 p.s	ר <i>ב</i>
	•••			
	H, = 4.56', SAY 4.6'	( Boay CVER	TOPALO 54 - 1.3 )	2 <b>0</b> 71
57	VOL. OF SURCHARGE @ H, "	4.6	,	
	V,=71 (4.6-0.25)=	304 16 77	(DA=1.45 Sq 114)	<b>1</b>
		-		1
	: S, = <u>309</u> = 4.0	p <b>*</b>		
	1.45 4 53.3			
			, · ·	
•	ASSUMING THE MPF FLOOD L			
	APPROX. EQUAS TO 19"; A			
	OF THE ZAHR RO. , THE		WW CAN BE ESTIMA	TED ::
	AS FOLLOWS (SEE GAIDELIA	VES);	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	$\beta_{1} = \beta_{1} \left( 1 - \frac{S_{1}}{S_{1}} \right)$	1 10	7 × 0.53 = 15;5)	
	$\Theta_{P_2} = \Theta_{P_1} \left( 1 - \frac{S_1}{N_1 S} \right)$	( ' '		
	·: \$ = \$200 (1- 4) =	6100 CFS	Hz = 43'	
	2. 1.0	1	- • • • • •	
_	a sanata 🗩 isan ing tanggara da ng 🗩 na mgang 🔁 na kang ng kara			
The state of the s				

ann Engi	neers Inc.	Consulting Engine	
INSPECTIAN OF	NON-FEDERAL DAMS IN NEW	Date 6/6/78	
puted By	Checked By RHZN	Date 6/6/78	
Book Ref	Other Refs. CE # 27-53	revisions	
		4 <sup>-</sup>	
HUNDALLOCA	WYDRAULIC VIJSPECTION		
" Macconj"	TURAUR FRUSTER		
LAKE FORE	T. Dory (cutd)		
	- ·		
$34 - Con^{\dagger} d \in E_{1}$	FFECT OF SURCHANGE ON M	HAU. PROBABLE DISCHAILLE	•
/1	V2 = 71 (43-0.25) =	2 PD ACOT	
<i>d</i> )	Vz = 11 (45 - 0,25) - 1		
	: Sz = 3.7" SAVE =	385-1	
			•
C) LES	ULTING PEAK OUTFOND (	(4, ) AND AVE. SPACHANGE	(H2)
· ·		5	
	Q = 8200 (1 - 3.85)	= 6200 003	`. 
			1. 1. E
	H3= 4.32 SAY, 4.3'		
4) SUA	ana a La		
r / 000	PEAK JNFLOW	Sip = 1195 = 5200 055	
	PEOR DUTFION	Q1 = 6200 CM	
<b>-</b> .		Hy = 4.3' ( 13 ove SPIL. Ca	
		DAM OVERTOMEN ± 1.1	
		Autor T	
NOTE: These	way it thous have be	a perferred based	
upon	a dun breach with	La surchinged surter	,
surfa	ce cleve tion. In ac	or dance with hermal	<b></b>
		ions sere a contra tas	
		levation at the top of the contract at the top of	
		american Surgace at mercy a	
		tha surcharge. The differe	
	ase, is not substai		

### APPENDIX E

• • •

### INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN

C.

4

:

の一部である ある 一部の あるとある

### THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

į 5

E

5 1

VER/DATE 0940678 9C3 A z FLU R PRV/FLD אין דבאקדא איבדאינידאינידא איבדא ורבאקדא אובדאסדא איבאידא ۲ (3) DAY MO YA 15AUG78 REPORT DATE 153000 POPULATION ۲ • ۲ NAVIGATION LOCKS MAINTENANCE 2 # 0 LATITUDE LONGITUDE MORTH) (WEST) 4115.2 7512.5 FRON DAW a AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION € ۲ CONSTRUCTION BY -0151 852 NEO NUNE NAME OF IMPOUNDMENT ٠, 1 ۲ INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES 1 ۲ MEAREST DOWNSTREAM CITY-TOWN-VILLAGE 9 92-30 805 OPERATION Ē LAKE FOREST POWER CAPACITY ۲ BHIDGEPONT ລັ INSPECTION DATE 202 REGULATORY AGENCY H PRAU 2344778 87 ENGINEERING BY NAME G STOUDARC JR Θ REMARKS REMARKS ۲ 29 ۲ FOREST LAKE DAM CONSTRUCTION 32511 VOLUME OF DAM 1 PURPOSES s **RIVER OR STREAM** ۲ 3202 202 MAXINUM DISCHARGE POPULAR NAME 560 LARE FURENT ASSOCIATION Ξ α INSPECTION BY E YEAR COMPLETED ISLAND BHOOK 1099 CAMP ENGINEERS, INC COUNTY Θ **e** LEADY TYPE WUTH DAM 36 (A) OWNER  $\odot$ E LAKE FUNEST DESIGN SPILLWAY Э OF CT ĩ STATE DENTITY ON'SOM TATE COURTY DAT 9 4 Θ TYPE OF DAM 1650 001 ALC IPG Θ ECIONBASN Ê 01 07 Ē STATE 5 Э HAS. S,S 78 NED  $\odot$ 

