



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHAR1 NATIONAL HUREAU OF STANDARL'S DBS A

and the second second

11

.

564	PARK RIVER BASIN WEST HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT
AD-A142	HARTFORD RESERVOIR NO.3 DAM CT 00002
	PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT IONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
AJ NEV	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
JTG CLLE LOPY	W ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

1997 (1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION. CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM. MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF NEDED

MAY 3 0 1980

Honorable Ella T. Grasso Governor of the State of Connecticut State Capitol Hartford, Connecticut 06115 JUL 2 1984

Dear Governor Grasso:

Inclosed is a copy of the Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Protection, the cooperating agency for the State of Connecticut. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, Metropolitan District, Hartford, Connecticut 06101.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Protection for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely,

field TAB Moumounced Societtination

ST S GREAT

Incl As stated

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
CT 00002	
CT 00002 VID - / Las Tel	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Hartford Reservoir No.3 Dam; Park River Basin,	INSPECTION REPORT
Hartford, Connecticut;	INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS	12. REPORT DATE
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	April 1980
424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254	85
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (at this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED)
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if different is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nat	ional Dam Inspection Program;
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different & Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nat nowever, the official title of the program is: Nati Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of repor	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection o t.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different & Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nat nowever, the official title of the program is: Nati Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of repor	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection o t.
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nathowever, the official title of the program is: Nati Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Hartford Ct., Fark	rem Report) cional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection o t. */ RiverBasin
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nat however, the official title of the program is: Nati Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Hartford Ct., Fark	rem Report) cional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection o t. v) RiverBasin
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abserved in Block 20, 11 different f SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nat Nowever, the official title of the program is: Nati Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of repor KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Hartford Ct., Fark Hartford Reservoir No.3 Dam is a 105-year old earth ft. long with a maximum height of about 41 ft. The the power generation facilities located 100 ft. dow No.1 and for diversion to Hartford Water supply syst from Reservoir No.3 discharges through the spillway	rem Report) cional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t. r) RiverBasin r) e embankment approximately 500 e dam impounds water for use a enstream of Hartford Reservoir or eventual treatment and dis- tem. Normally, surplus water r and flows downstream to Rese
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Block 20, 11 different & SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, Nat however, the official title of the program is: Nati lon-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of repor KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and Identify by block number DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Hartford Ct., Fark	<pre>rem Report) cional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t. P RiverBasin P Hembankment approximately 500 Hembankment approximately 5</pre>

والمتحدث والمحادث

and a statistic and a second

e i a secondario de la companya de l

HARTFORD RESERVOIR NO. 3 DAM

CT 00002

PARK RIVER BASIN HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

ł I.

I

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

راجر زاجم

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No: Name of Dam: Town: County and State: Stream: Date of Inspection: CT 00002 Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam West Hartford Hartford County, Connecticut Unnamed Tributary of Spice Brook November 13, 1979

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is a 105-year old earth embankment approximately 500 feet long with a maximum height of about 41 feet. The dam impounds water for use at the power generation facilities located 100 feet downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 1 and for diversion to Hartford Reservoir No. 5 for eventual treatment and distribution in the City of Hartford water supply system. Normally, surplus water from Reservoir No. 3 discharges through the spillway and flows downstream to Reservoir No. 1. During periods of high demand, water may be diverted to Reservoir No. 5 by means of a 20-inch diameter pipe and an open channel at the northern end of the reservoir.

The watershed for Hartford Reservoir No. 3 encompasses a 0.5-square mile area of forested, mountainous land. The normal pool reservoir surface area is approximately 28 acres, with a corresponding storage capacity of about 338 acre-feet. The maximum storage capacity of the reservoir is 487 acre-feet. Due to the 41-foot height of the dam, Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is classified in the "Intermediate" size category. The potential hazard area that would be damaged by floodwaters in the event of a breaching of the dam is located about 2 miles downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam. A dam failure would result in excessive property damage and the possible loss of more than a few lives at the downstream hazard area. Therefore, the dam is classified in the "High" hazard potential category. The recommended test flood for an "Intermediate" size, "High" hazard dam is the full Probable Maximum Flood (PMF).

The test flood peak inflow to Hartford Reservoir No. 3 was computed as 1,370 cfs. The routed test flood outflow of 1,235 cfs overtops the embankment by 0.2 feet. The spillway is capable of discharging 946 cfs prior to overtopping of the embankment, which is about 77 percent of the routed test flood outflow. The spillway is capable of discharging one-half of the PMF with approximately 1.7 feet of freeboard.

On the date of the inspection, Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam generally appeared to be in fair condition. However, several deficiencies were observed during the inspection. A wet spot, apparently resulting from seepage through the embankment, extends along the downstream toe of the dam for a 50-foot distance. In addition, a section of the slope has failed above the wet area, leaving a one-foot high scarp approximately six feet above the downstream toe. Due to this condition, the dam is considered to be in poor condition. Animal burrow holes were also observed in the downstream face of the dam. Riprap has been displaced from the upstream slope and several trees are growing from the upstream face of the embankment.

Within one year after receipt of this Phase I inspection report, a qualified registered professional engineer should be retained by the Owner to: (1) investigate the source of the seepage at the downstream toe and recommend a method of seepage control; (2) perform slope stability analyses to assess the need for stabilizing the embankment; (3) direct the removal of trees from the upstream face of the dam and from the vicinity of the downstream toe; and (4) design and direct the installation of upstream controls for the high and low level outlet pipes.

In addition, the Owner should implement the following operation and maintenance procedures: (1) replace the missing riprap on the upstream face of the embankment; (2) backfill the animal burrows in the downstream face of the dam; (3) develop a formal surveilance and flood warning plan; and (4) institute a program of annual periodic technical inspection. Within 90 days, the Owner should begin to monitor the area of slope failure at the downstream toe for further movement and continue monitoring until the condition is corrected.

O'BRIEN & GERE ENGINEERS, INC.

Jøhn J. Vice President New York Registration No. 050794

OF NEM Dа

20 April 1980

This Phase I Inspection Report on Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

may M.V.

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

iland (I. K).

BICHARD DIBUONO, MEMBER Water Control Branch Engineering Division

man Britte

ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, CHAIRMAN Geotechnical Engineering Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

DE B. FRYAR

<u>,</u>

Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of theses guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation: however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does <u>not</u> include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
Letter of Transmittal	
Brief Assessment	
Review Board Page	
Preface	i
Table of Contents	ii-iv
Overview Photo	v
Location Map	vi

REPORT

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

.

; ; ;

1.1	General	
	a. Authority	1-1
	b. Purpose of Inspection	1-1
1.2	Description of Project	
	a. Location	1-1
	b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	1-2
	c. Size Classification	1-2
	d. Hazard Classification	1-2
	e. Ownership	1-3
	f. Operator	1-3
	g. Purpose of Dam	1-3
	h. Design and Construction History	1-3
	i. Normal Operating Procedures	1-3
1.3	Pertinent Data	
	a. Drainage Area	1-3
	b. Discharge at Damsite	1-4
	c. Elevation	1-5
	d. Reservoir Length	1-5
	e. Storage	1-5
	f. Reservoir Surface Area	1-5
	g. Dam Data	1-5
	h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel	1-6
	i. Spillways	1-6
	j. Regulating Outlets	1-6

-

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Con't)

SEC	TION		PAGE
2.	ENC	GINEERING DATA	
	2.1	Design	2-1
	2.2	Construction	2-1
	2.3	Operation	2-1
	2.4	Evaluation a. Availability b. Adequacy c. Validity	2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1
3. \	VISUA	L INSPECTION	
	3.1	Findings a. General b. Dam c. Appurtenant Structures d. Reservoir Area e. Downstream Channel	3-1 3-1 3-1 3-1 3-1
	3.2	Evaluation	3-2
4.	OPE	RATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	
	4.1	Operational Procedures a. General b. Description of Any Warning System in Effect	4-1 4-1 4-1
	4.2	Maintenance Procedures a. General b. Operating Facilities	4-1 4-1 4-1
	4.3	Evaluation	4-1
5.	EVA	LUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES	
	5.1	General	5-1
	5.2	Design Data	5-1
	5,3	Experience Data	5-1
	5.4	Test Flood Analysis	5-1
	5,5	Dam Failure Analysis	5-2

Ì

T

CONTRACTOR ON

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Con't)

<u>SEC</u>	TION		PAGE
6.	EVA	LUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
	6.1	Visual Observation	6-1
	6.2	Design and Construction Data	6-1
	6.3	Post-Construction Changes	6-1
	6.4	Seismic Stability	6-1
7.	ASSE	ESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	
	7.1	Dam Assessment a. Condition b. Adequacy of Information c. Urgency	7-1 7-1 7-1 7-1
	7.2	Recommendations	7-1
	7.3	Remedial Measures a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures	7-1 7-1
	7.4	Alternatives	7-2
		APPENDICES	
APPI	ENDI>	A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1 to A-6
APPI	ENDI>	K B - ENGINEERING DATA	B-1 to B-13
APPI	ENDI>	K C - PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1 to C-6
APPI	ENDI>	CD - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1 to D-23
APPI	ENDI	KE - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL	

INVENTORY OF DAMS

ومعرشوه كالمرجم ويتري

<u> 1889</u>

1

: I

I

T

•••

1.1

I T

Conditions.



UPSTREAM FACE OF THE DAM AS VIEWED FROM THE LEFT ABUTMENT. (11/13/79)



DOWNSTREAM FACE OF THE DAM AS VIEWED FROM THE RIGHT ABUTMENT. (11/13/79)

۷



Vİ

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT HARTFORD RESERVOIR NO. 3 DAM

SECTION 1

PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>. The National Dam Inspection Act (Public Law 92-367), passed by Congress on August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate the National Program for Inspection of Dams throughout the United States. Responsibility for supervising inspection of dams in the New England Region has been assigned to the New England Division of the Army Corps of Engineers.

O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected non-federal dams in the State of Connecticut. Authorization and Notice to Proceed were issued to O'Brien & Gere by a letter dated November 6, 1979 and signed by Col. William E. Hodgson, Jr. Contract No. DACW 33-80-C-0014 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of performing technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams is to:

1. Identify conditions which threaten public safety and make the Owner aware of any deficiencies to permit him to correct them in a timely manner.

2. Encourage and prepare the State to initiate an effective dam safety program for non-federal dams as soon as possible.

3. Update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 <u>Description of Project</u> (Information with regard to this dam was obtained from the Hartford Metropolitan District)

a. Location. Hartford Reservoir No. 3 is located on an unnamed tributary of Spice Brook in the Town of West Hartford, Connecticut. To illustrate the location, portions of two USGS maps entitled "Avon, Conn." and "New Britain, Conn." have been included as Figure 1 on page vi of this report. USGS reference coordinates for this site are N $41^{\circ}45.2$ ' and W $72^{\circ}47.5$ '.

Outflow from Reservoir No. 3 normally flows through an open channel to Hartford Reservoir No. 1, located approximately 1.1 miles to the southeast of Reservoir No. 3. Discharge from Hartford Reservoir No. 1 flows into Spice Brook which outlets into Trout Brook about 4,000 feet downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 1. Trout Brook discharges into the South Branch of Park River about 8 miles downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 1.

1-1

The initial flood impact area consists of several residences located approximately 2,000 feet downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 1 Dam. Many other residential flood impact areas are located in the ensuing miles along Trout Brook.

b. <u>Description of Dam and Appurtenances</u>. Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is located at the southern end of the impoundment and consists of an earth embankment, approximately 500 feet long with a maximum height of 41 feet. The embankment has the following major features:

1. The upstream face of the embankment is built on a slope of approximately 1.5H:1V and it is protected with small stone riprap from an unknown depth below the normal pool elevation to about 2 feet above the normal pool surface. The remaining portion of the upstream face above the riprap protection is covered with grass.

2. The crest of the dam is approximately 24 feet wide and it is 4.8 feet above the spillway crest elevation. A 15-foot wide paved roadway, lined with large boulders on both sides, has been constructed along the entire length of the dam crest.

3. The downstream embankment face is grass-covered and built on a slope of approximately 2.5H:1V.

A section drawing and several photos of the features described above have been included in Appendix B and Appendix C, respectively.

The primary spillway is located approximately 700 feet north of the dam on the eastern shore of the reservoir. No control device exists at the spillway inlet; however, a very shallow weir extends across the 25-foot wide spillway channel, approximately 100 feet downstream of the reservoir.

Outlet works are available at the site which may be used to lower or drain the reservoir or provide a means for discharging water to an open channel for flow to Hartford Reservoir No. 5. Section 1.3b.1 presents details of the outlet works.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam has a maximum height of 41 feet and a maximum storage capacity of 487 acre-feet. Due to the 41-foot height of the dam, Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is classified in the "Intermediate" size category for dams greater than 40 feet high but less than 100 feet high.

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. The initial downstream damage area consists of several homes located approximately 2,000 feet downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 1 Dam. The sill elevation of the lowest houses at this location was estimated to be 2 feet above the channel banks of the stream. The failure analysis indicated that a breach of Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam with the reservoir surface at the top of the dam would result in a flow depth of 4.1 feet above the channel banks, or 2.1 feet above the sill elevation of the lowest houses at the downstream damage area. A flood of this magnitude would cause

1-2

excessive property damage and the possible loss of more than a few lives at this location. In addition, several other residential areas are located further downstream and could also be subjected to damage. The depth of flow at the hazard center immediately prior to failure was computed to be 1.8 feet below the low sill elevation with the reservoir surface at the top of the dam. Therefore, a significant increase in hazard to loss of life downstream would result from a failure of the dam. Due to the conditions described above, Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is classified in the "High" hazard potential category.

e. <u>Ownership</u>. The dam is owned by the Metropolitan District; 555 Main Street; P.O. Box 800; Hartford, Connecticut; 06101. Telephone 203-278-7850.

f. <u>Operator</u>. Mr. Richard Allen, purification Engineer for the Hartford Metropolitan District, is responsible for operation of the West Hartford reservoir system.

g. <u>Purpose of Dam</u>. The dam was constructed in 1875 to impound water for the City of Hartford water distribution system. It is still used for water supply purposes as a reserve for Hartford Reservoir No. 5. The impounded water also is used at the power generation facilities located 100 feet downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 1 Dam.

h. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. The dam was originally constructed in 1875. Since that time, there have been no major construction modifications of the dam. However, certain modifications to areas surrounding the reservoir have been made or are planned.

In 1964, the access road located along the northeastern corner of the reservoir was raised and a new 20-inch diameter outlet pipe was installed, approximately 6 feet below spillway crest elevation, to facilitate the transfer of water to Reservoir No. 5. A drawing, illustrating the dike installation and the installation of the new outlet, has been included in Appendix B.

Improvements to the primary spillway channel have also been designed and should be constructed in the near future. To date, only clearing operations have been performed. A sketch of the proposed widening has been included in Appendix B.

i. <u>Normal Operating Procedures</u>. According to Mr. Richard Allen, water from Reservoir No. 3 is occasionally diverted to Reservoir No. 5 for eventual treatment and use in the City water distribution system. Discharges are controlled at an outlet chamber, located at the northeastern corner of the reservoir, by adjusting the elevation of stop logs and/or operating a 20-inch sluice gate.

During periods of unusually high runoff, maintenance personnel from the Metropolitan District open values on the high and low level discharge pipes to help draw down the pool elevation. However, due to the relatively small size of the discharge pipes, the Owner does not feel that such operations accomplish a great deal other than to exercise the values.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. <u>Drainage Area</u>. The area draining to Hartford Reservoir No. 3 encompasses 0.5 square miles of primarily mountainous, forested land to the west of the reservoir. The watershed topography rages from Elevation 800 along the Talcott Mountain Range to Elevation 391.2 at the reservoir normal pool elevation. There has been no residential development within the drainage area.

b. Discharge at Damsite.

1. Outlet Works. Two outlet systems are available for Hartford Reservoir No. 3. The first is a 20-inch pipe, located at the northeastern end of the reservoir, which diverts water through an open channel to Hartford Reservoir No. 5. The sluice gate for this 20-inch diameter pipe is only operated during periods of high demand (summer months). The discharge capacity of this diversion pipe is estimated to be about 30 cfs with the reservoir surface at normal pool Elev. 391.2. The second is a high and low level pipe system which passes through the embankment. The low level pipe is 20 inches in diameter (reducing to 12 inches in diameter at its discharge point) and has an estimated discharge capacity of 22 cfs with the reservoir surface at normal pool (Elev. 391.2). The high level pipe is 16 inches in diameter with an estimated normal pool discharge capacity of 16 cfs. Discharge estimates were obtained from a 1956 Metropolitan District Report (see page B-9).

2. <u>Maximum Known Flood</u>. The flood of record at Hartford, Connecticut occurred over a three-day period in August, 1955 during Hurricane Diane. However, no records of maximum discharges or pool elevations are available for this site.

3. Ungated Spillway Capacity at Top of Dam. The spillway discharge capacity with the reservoir surface at the top of dam Flevation 396.0 is 946 cfs.

4. Ungated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation. The spillway discharge capacity with the reservoir surface at the test flood Elevation 396.2 is 1,006 cfs.

5. Gated Spillway Capacity at Normal Pool Elevation. Not Applicable.

6. Gated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation. Not Applicable.

7. Total Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation. The spillway discharge capacity with the reservoir surface at the test flood Elevation 396.2 is 1,006 cfs.

8. Total Project Discharge at Top of Dam. The total project discharge with the reservoir surface at the top of dam Elevation 396.0, including flow through the outlet works, is approximately 1,020 cfs.

9. Total Project Discharge at Test Flood Elevation. The total project discharge with the reservoir surface at the test flood Elevation 396.2 is approximately 1,310 cfs.

	c.	E١	ev	ati	ion.	(NG	VD))
--	----	----	----	-----	------	-----	-----	---

I

I

ĮI

Ţ

t

ĮI

	Streambed at Toe of Dam	355
	Bottom of Cutoff	Unknown
	Maximum Tailwater	N/A
	Recreation Pool	391.2
	Full Flood Control Pool	N/A
	Spillway Crest	391.2
	Design Surcharge (Original Design)	Unknown
		396.0
	Top of Dam	396.2
	Test Flood Surcharge	270.2
. <u>R</u>	eservoir Length. (Feet)	
	Normal Pool	2620
	Flood Control Pool	N/A
	Spillway Crest Pool	2620
		2700
	Top of Dam Pool	2720
	Test Flood Pool	2720
e. <u>St</u>	orage. (Acre-Feet)	
	Normal Pool	338
	Flood Control Pool	N/A
		338
	Spillway Crest Pool	487
	Top of Dam Pool	
	Test Flood Pool	493
f, <u>R</u> e	eservoir Surface Area. (Acres)	
	Normal Pool	28
	Flood Control Pool	N/A
	Spillway Crest Pool	28
	Top of Dam Pool	34
	•	34
	Test Flood Pool	54
. <u>D</u>	am Data.	
	Туре	Earth Embankment
	Length	500 feet
		41 feet
	Height	
	Top Width	25 feet
	Side Slopes (upstream)	1.5H:1V
	(downstream)	2.5H:1V
	Zoning	Unknown
	Impervious Core	Unknown
	Cutoff	Unknown
	Grout Curtain	Unknown

S.L

- h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel.
- i. Spillway.

and the second se

Type Length of Weir Crest Elevation Gates Upstream Channel Downstream Channel

j. Regulating Outlets.

1. Low Level Outlet Invert Elevation Size

> Description Control Mechanisim

- 2. <u>High Level Outlet</u> Invert Elevation Size Description Control Mechanisim
- 3. <u>Diversion Outlet</u> Invert Elevation Size Description Control Mechanism

Open channel with concrete weir 25 feet 391.2 None None To be improved per Drawings B-2 and B-3, Appendix B

> 354.6 20-inch diameter reducing to 12-inch diameter at discharge point Cast Iron Pipe Gate Valve

> > 382.5 16-inch diameter Cast Iron Pipe Gate Valve

> > 378[±] 20-inch diameter Cast Iron Pipe Sluice Gate

None

SECTION 2

ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

According to Mr. Peter Revill, Chief Design Engineer for the Hartford Metropolitan District, none of the original design information with respect to the construction of Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is available. Design information for the construction of dikes and installation of the 20-inch outlet at the northeastern corner of the reservoir (1964), is available from the Metropolitan District. A drawing of the modifications is included in Appendix B.

2.2 Construction

According to Mr. Revill, original construction information for Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is not available.

2.3 Operation

Under normal operating conditions, the pool elevation is at the spillway crest. During periods of high demand, water may be diverted to reservior No. 5 for eventual treatment and pumping to the City of Hartford water distribution system. Spillway overflow is routed to Reservoir No. 1 to be used for the generation of hydroelectric power. In anticipation of heavy precipitation and/or sustained snowmelt, valves at the dam may be opened to help lower the pool elevation. Further operating information is presented in Section 4.

2.4 Evaluation

a. <u>Availability</u>. Information obtained from the Metropolitan District has been included in Appendix B.

b. <u>Adequacy</u>. Sufficient information has been obtained during the field investigation, from available drawings, and through telephone conversations with Metropolitan District personnel, to conduct a Phase I dam evaluation.

c. <u>Validity</u>. It appears that the information obtained from the Metropolitan District is valid except for the 2.1-foot elevation difference between Hartford Metropolitan District datum and NGVD.

SECTION 3

VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. <u>General</u>. Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam was inspected on November 13, 1979. At the time of the inspection, the pool was at the spillway crest elevation, approximately 4.8 feet below the top of the dam. Underwater areas were not inspected. A checklist of observations and comments made during the field inspection is included as Appendix A of this report.

b. <u>Dam</u>. The dam consists of an earth embankment, approximately 500 feet long with a maximum height of 41 feet. The upstream face of the dam is on a slope of approximately 1.5H:1V. Riprap has been displaced in several locations above the pool surface. In addition, a few small trees are growing from the upstream face and the abutments.

A soft, wet area extends along the downstream toe of the dam for a distance of about 50 feet in the vicinity of the longitudinal center of the embankment. A one-foot vertical drop in the downstream face of the dam was observed about 6 feet above this saturated portion of the toe. A number of animal burrow holes were also observed in the downstream face of the dam.

Photos of conditions observed at the site have been included in Appendix C.

c. Appurtenant Structures. The spillway section appears to be in satisfactory condition. Improvements to the spillway outlet channel have been proposed which would widen and straighten the channel for a distance of 630 feet downstream of the weir.

Service boxes, which provide access to the high and low level outlet valves, are visible on the downstream face of the dam. The high level outlet valve is located near the left abutment, while the low level outlet valve is located approximately 180 feet to the right of the left abutment. The valves appear to be in good condition.

An outlet chamber houses the sluice gate for the diversion pipe which transfers water from Reservoir No. 3 to Reservoir No. 5. Access to this chamber is provided through two metal hinged doors as pictured on page C-3. The gate and outlet chamber appear to be in good condition.

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. The reservoir slopes are heavily wooded and mountainous to the west of the reservoir. No signs of reservoir slope instability or excessive siltation were observed on the date of the inspection.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. The spillway outlet channel directs discharge for an approximate distance of 6,000 feet to Hartford Reservoir No. I. Discharge from the high and low level outlet pipes is also directed into the channel and flows into Reservoir No. I. This downstream channel has recently been cleared of major obstructions to flow, and plans have been made to improve the channel by widening it and removing high spots along the channel invert.

3-1

3.2 Evaluation

The wet area at the downstream toe of the dam appears to be a result of seepage through the embankment. In addition, the vertical drop in the downstream face of the dam appears to be a slope failure through the toe of the slope. Both of these conditions could potentially deteriorate into serious structural problems and should be remedied.

The upstream slope is relatively steep and the stability of the slope should be investigated. The root systems of the trees growing from the upstream face of the dam and in the vicinity of the downstream toe also present hazards to the structural integrity of the embankment. High winds could uproot the trees and dislodge portions of the embankment while the roots create potential seepage paths through the dam.

The control mechanisms for the high and low level outlet pipes are located at the downstream toe of the dam. Therefore, the pipes through the embankment are constantly under pressure and represent a potential danger to the dam.

Recommendations and remedial measures are discussed in Section 7.

SECTION 4

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 Operational Procedures

a. <u>General</u>. Mr. Richard Allen, Purification Engineer for the Hartford Metropolitan District, is responsible for operation of the West Hartford reservoir system. According to Mr. Allen, Reservoir No. 3 is a reserve water supply reservoir and is generally used for water supply only during the summer months when demand exceeds the downstream supply. When such a demand exists, a sluice gate located at the northeastern corner of the reservoir is opened and water flows through the 20-inch diameter diversion pipe and through an open channel to Reservoir No. 5. Ultimately, the water is transferred to the filtration plant, treated, and pumped to the City of Hartford water distribution system.

Normally, surplus water overflows the spillway crest and is routed through the outlet channel to Reservoir No. 1 for use in the generation of hydroelectric power. In anticipation of large quantities of runoff, maintenance personnel will open two outlet valves to help lower the pool elevation.

b. <u>Description of Any Warning System In effect</u>. Currently, no formal warning system is in effect at this site. According to the Owner's representative, Mr. Peter Revill, a maintenance foreman monitors pool levels during periods of unusually high runoff.

4.2 Maintenance Procedures

a. <u>General</u>. According to the Owner's representative, the Metropolitan District employs a maintenance crew, headed by Mr. Rudy Wegscherder, who operate and maintain the West Hartford reservoir system. Maintenance of the grounds is performed on a routine basis.

b. <u>Operating Facilities</u>. According to the Owner's representative, gate valves at the dam and the sluice gate located at the northeastern corner of the reservoir, are kept in good operating condition. The outlet valves were last operated in April, 1979.

4.3 Evaluation

In general, maintenance of the dam and appurtenant structures is considered adequate. However, periodic technical inspections should be performed in order to detect such deficiencies as displaced riprap, slope failures at the toe, animal burrows, and seepage. Also, trees and brush should not be permitted to grow on the face of the embankment.

4-1

SECTION 5

EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES

5.1 General

The drainage area for Hartford Reservoir No. 3 encompasses 0.5 square miles of primarily mountainous, forested land to the west of the reservoir. The watershed topography ranges from Elevation 800 along the Talcott Mountain Range to Elevation 391.2 at the reservoir normal pool elevation. There has been no residential development within the drainage area.

5.2 Design Data

According to the Owner's representative, hydraulic and hydrologic data from the original design of the dam is not available. Proposed improvements to the spillway outlet channel have been designed based upon the peak rate of runoff anticipated during a 34-hour, 18.25-inch rainfall.

5.3 Experience Data

The flood of record in Hartford occurred in August, 1955, as a result of rain which fell over a three-day period during Hurricane Diane. According to the Owner's representative, corresponding pool level records for Reservoir No. 3 are not available.

5.4 Test Flood Analysis

The recommended test flood for an "Intermediate" size, "High" hazard dam is the full Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Hydraulic and hydrologic calculations were performed with the assistance of the HEC-1-DB computer program. The flood hydrographs were constructed from Snyder unit hydrographs using average coefficients, an initial infiltration of zero, and a constrant loss rate of 0.05 inches per hour. The Hop Brook Adjustment Factor was used to reduce the Probable Maximum Precipitation based upon the size of the drainage area.

Stage-discharge and stage-storage relationships were developed for Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam and input to the computer for the purpose of routing the test flood through the reservoir. The water surface elevation of the reservoir was assumed to be at the spillway crest at the beginning of the hypothetical storm event. The peak inflow and outflow rates for the test flood at Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam were computed to be 1,370 cfs and 1,235 cfs, respectively. The peak outflow corresponds to a reservoir stage of 5.0 feet above the spillway crest, or 0.2 feet above the top of the dam. The spillway discharge capacity is 946 cfs, which is about 77 percent of the routed test flood outflow. The spillway is capable of discharging one-half of the PMF with approximately 1.7 feet of freeboard.

5.5 Dam Failure Analysis

Failure of the embankment was simulated by the HEC-1-DB computer program assuming a 200-foot wide by 36-foot deep breach with vertical side slopes developing within 2 hours. Two failure conditions were assumed; with the reservoir surface at the top of dam elevation and with the reservoir surface at the spillway crest elevation. The resulting outflow for each condition was rooted through Hartford Reservoir No. 1 and downstream to the potential damage center, located 2,000 feet downstream of Hartford Reservoir No. 1 Dam. The flow at the damage center immediately prior to failure of the embankment was 1.) computed by routing the spillway discharge downstream for the reservoir surface at top of dam case and 2.) was assumed to be equivalent to the flow observed during the visual inspection for the reservoir surface at spillway crest case. These flows were compared to the breach flows to assess the increase in hazard that would result from a failure of the embankment. The approximate channel cross-section at this point is shown on page D-5.

The failure analysis indicated that a breaching of the dam with the reservoir surface at the top of the dam would result in a stream depth of 6.1 feet, or 4.1 feet above the channel banks, with a corresponding flow of 3,550 cfs at the damage area. The estimated sill elevation of the lowest houses in this area is 2 feet above the channel banks. Therefore, the breach flood would inundate the houses with 2.1 feet of water causing excessive property damage and the possible loss of more than a few lives. With the reservoir surface at the spillway crest, a breach flood would result in a stream depth of 4.8 feet and a corresponding flow of 2,100 cfs. This flood would also cause major property damage, but it is unlikely that any lives would be lost. The stream depth and quantity of flow at the hazard center immediately prior to failure of the dam were computed to be 2.2 feet and 360 cfs, respectively, with the reservoir surface at the top of the dam. A stream depth of 0.5 feet and flow of 35 cfc were estimated with the reservoir surface at the spillway crest. Therefore, a breach of the dam would result in a significant increase in downstream damage in both cases and in hazard to loss of life for the reservoir surface at top of dam case.

The maximum breach discharge from Hartford Reservoir No. 3 is approximately 5,600 cfs with the reservoir surface at the top of the dam and 4,650 cfs with the reservoir surface at the spillway crest elevation. The resulting peak discharge from Hartford Reservoir No. 1 for the two cases was computed to be 3,550 cfs and 2,110 cfs, respectively. The spillway system at Hartford Reservoir No. 1 is capable of discharging the maximum breach flood for both cases without overtopping of the dam.

SECTION 6

EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Visual Observations

During the visual inspection, several indications of structural deficiencies were observed. The saturated toe of the downstream face of the dam appears to be the result of a seepage problem which has already caused a limited failure of the slope. The steepness of the upstream slope and the displaced riprap are conditions which indicate that the upstream face of the dam may not be stable. The tree roots and the animal burrow holes also pose potential hazards to the stability of the structure by creating seepage paths through the embankment. Photos of the dam are included in Appendix C.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

According to the Owner's representative, no design or construction data is available for Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam.

6.3 Post Construction Changes

No structural modifications have been performed subsequent to the original construction of the dam in 1875. However, spillway outlet channel improvements have been proposed.

6.4 Seismic Stability

Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 on the Seismic Zone Map of Contiguous States. A dam located in Seismic Zone 1 need not be evaluated for seismic stability, according to the Recommended Guidelines for Phase I Dam Inspections.

SECTION 7

ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS & REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. <u>Condition</u>. Based upon the visual inspection, Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam generally appears to be in fair condition. However, due to seepage and stability problems which appear to exist in the vicinity of the downstream toe, the dam is considered to be in poor condition. The upstream face of the dam appears to be in fair condition. However, the steepness of the slope and the displaced riprap indicate that the stability of the slope may not be adequate and should be investigated. Trees on the upstream face and near the downstream toe and animal burrow holes in the downstream face also pose potential hazards to the structure. These conditions are discussed in further detail in Sections 3 and 6.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u>. Sufficient information has been obtained through field observations, from data supplied by the Metropolitan District, and through telephone conversations with Metropolitan District personnel to conduct a Phase I Dam Evaluation.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. The recommendations and remedial measures described in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 should be implemented within one year from the date of receipt of this report, except as noted below.

7.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that the Owner retain the services of a qualified registered professional engineer for the following purposes:

1. To investigate the source of the seepage at the downstream toe and recommend a method of seepage control.

2. To perform slope stability analyses to assess the need for stabilizing the embankment.

3. To direct the removal of trees from the upstream face of the dam and from the vicinity of the downstream toe.

4. To design and direct the installation of upstream controls for the high and low level outlet pipes.

7.3 Remedial Measures

a. <u>Operation and Maintenance Procedures</u>. The following operation and maintenance procedures should be implemented by the Owner:

l. Replace the missing riprap on the upstream face of the embankment as required.

2. Backfill the animal burrows in the downstream face of the dam.

kala di Popula

- 3. Develop a formal surveillance and flood warning plan.
- 4. Institute a program of annual periodic technical inspection.
- 5. Operate the gates periodically throughout the year.

6. Within 90 days, the Owner should begin to monitor the area of slope failure at the downstream toe for further movement and continue monitoring until the condition is corrected.

7.4 Alternatives

No valid alternatives to the recommendations and remedial measures described above are considered feasible for this site.

APPENDIX A

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

- +-- C------

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST

INSPECTION TEAM ORGANIZATION

Project:	Hartford Reserveir 16. 2 Dam
National I.D. #:	CT 00002
Location:	Hartford, Connecticut
Type of Dam:	Earth Embankinent
Inspection Date(s):	November 13, 1979
	Overca: ti, low 60's
	391 2 MSL

Inspection Team

Leonard Beck Steven Snider Alan Hanscom Rodney Georges O'Brien & Gere O'Brien & Gere O'Brien & Gere Bryant & Associates Structures Foundations & Materials Structures Hydrology/Hydraulics

*Mr. John J. Williams, Vice-President, O'Brien & Gere has visited the site but not necessarily in conjunction with the inspection team.

Owner's Representative

Mr. Peter Revill, Chief Design Engineer:

Metropolitan District; 555 Main Street;

P.O. Box 800 ; Hartford, Conn. 06101

S. C. B. Property

A-1

VISUAL INSPEC	TION CHECK LIST
Project: Hartford Reser	voir No. 3 Dann
National I.D. #: <u>CT 00002</u>	
Date(s): <u>November 13, 1</u>	979
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
DAM EMBANKMENT	
Crest Elevation	396.0 ±
Current Pool Elevation	391.2 I
Maximum Impoundment to Date	Curkinow m
Surface Cracks	None Observed
Pavement Condition	Good
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None Observed
Lateral Movement	" "
Vertical Alignment	No Misalignment Observe
Horizontal Alignment	" " "
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Trees growing @ abut men
Indications of Movements of Structural Items on Slopes	None Obscrved
Trespassing on Slopes	Negligible
Vegetation on Slopes	u/s slope - tew trees, wee d/s slope - grass & weeds
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	Undulations & 1-ft scarp
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	near toe of d/s slope Several riprap stores displaced on 1.5:1 slope

-

6

オンデンアイド

VISUAL INSPECTION	ON CHECK LIST
Project: Hartford Reserv	Oir No. 3 Dam
National I.D. #: <u>CT 00002</u>	
Date(s): Norember 13,	979
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
DAM EMBANKMENT (Con't)	
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	Sloughing & 1-fe scarp formation
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	No flow observed - but very wet
Piping or Boils	None.
Foundation Drainage Features	Unknown
Toe Drains	None
Instrumentation System	None
Miscellaneous	Animal burrows obser
A.3	

VISUAL INSPECTI	ON CHECK LIST
Project: Hartford Reserv	oir No. 3 Dam
National I.D. 11: <u>CT 00002</u>	
	79
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
DUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	
a. Approach Channel	
General Condition	Clear of major debris
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	Insignificant
Trees Overhanging Channel	"
Floor of Approach Channel	Clear
b. Weir and Training Walls	None
General Condition of Concrete	NA
Rust or Staining	NA
Spalling	NA
Any Visible Reinforcing	NA
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	NA
Drain Holes	NA
c. Discharge Channel	
General Condition	Flat slope, narrow N/
	some restrictions:

	VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST		
	Project: Hartford Reservoir No. 3 Dam		
-	National I.D. #: <u>CT 00002</u>		
	Date(s): <u>November 13, 1979</u>		
I	AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
I	OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS (Con't)		
	Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	Not Significant	
	Trees Overhanging Channel	Tew	
	Floor of Channel	Very rough Brush & stones	
	Other Obstructions	Brush & stones	
,			
-			
•			
ı			
			
•			
i j			
2 1 7			
4			
i i	A-5		
3-r			

Ŀ.

the second second

والمراد والمتعالية فستشفر والمراجع والمراجع

į

١
logs opprox. 8" about	VISUAL INSPECTI	ON CHECK LIST
Date(s): Maxamber 13, 1879 AREA EVALUATED CONDITIONS OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE - SLUICE GATE + a. Approach Channel STRUCTURE a. Approach Channel STRUCTURE Bottom Conditions " Submerged Bottom Conditions " Rock Slides or Falls Unknown Log Boom None Observed Debris None Observed Dotation of Concrete Lining Submerged b. Intake Structure Very Good Condition of Concrete Very Good Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of structure		
AREA EVALUATED CONDITIONS OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE - SLUICE GATE +		
OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE - SLUICE GATE + a. Approach Channel STRUCTURE Slope Conditions Submerged Bottom Conditions " Rock Slides or Falls Unknown Log Boom None Debris None Condition of Concrete Lining Submerged b. Intake Structure Condition of Concrete Very Good Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of structure Stop Logs and Slots Subpervex. 8 * ober	Date(s): November 13, 19	79
INTAKE STRUCTURE - SLUICE GATE + a. Approach Channel STRUCTURE Slope Conditions " Bottom Conditions " Rock Slides or Falls Unknown Log Boom None Debris None Condition of Concrete Lining Submerged Drains or Weep Holes None b. Intake Structure Very Condition of Concrete Very Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of structure Vogs opprox.	AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
 Approach Chainer Slope Conditions Bottom Conditions Rock Slides or Falls Log Boom Debris Condition of Concrete Lining Drains or Weep Holes Intake Structure Condition of Concrete Very Good Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of st bogs approx. 8" above 		
Bottom Conditions " Rock Slides or Falls Unknown Log Boom None Debris None Oberis None Condition of Concrete Lining Submerged Drains or Weep Holes None b. Intake Structure Very Condition of Concrete Very Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of st Jogs opprox. 8" 2604	a. Approach Channel STRUCTURE	
Rock Slides or FallsUnknownLog BoomNoneDebrisNoneDebrisNoneCondition of Concrete LiningSubmergedDrains or Weep HolesNoneb. Intake StructureVeryCondition of ConcreteVeryStop Logs and SlotsGood, elevation of st logs	Slope Conditions	Submerged
Log Boom None None Debris None Observed Condition of Concrete Lining Submerged Drains or Weep Holes None Observed b. Intake Structure Condition of Concrete Very Good Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of st logs approx. 8" about	Bottom Conditions	"
DebrisNoneObservedCondition of Concrete LiningSubmergedDrains or Weep HolesNoneObservedb. Intake StructureCondition of ConcreteVeryStop Logs and SlotsGood, elevation of st logsOpprox.8" abox	Rock Slides or Falls	Unknown
Condition of Concrete LiningSubmergedDrains or Weep HolesNone Observedb. Intake StructureVery GoodCondition of ConcreteVery GoodStop Logs and SlotsGood, elevation of stJogs approx. 8" a bar	Log Boom	None
Drains or Weep Holes b. Intake Structure Condition of Concrete Stop Logs and Slots Cood, elevation of st logs opprox. 8" a box	Debris	None Observed
b. Intake Structure Condition of Concrete Stop Logs and Slots Cood, elevation of st logs opprox. 8" a box	Condition of Concrete Lining	Submerged
Condition of Concrete Very Good Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of st logs opprox. 8" a box	Drains or Weep Holes	None Observed
Stop Logs and Slots Good, elevation of st logs opprox. 8" above	b. Intake Structure	
logs opprox. 8" about	Condition of Concrete	Very Good
	Stop Logs and Slots	Good, elevation of st logs approx. 8" abov pool.

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA

1.0

2

HARTFORD RESERV	IOIR NO. 3 DAM	SHEET	θv	DATE	JUB NO
	APPENDIX B				
E	NGINEERING DA	<u>TA</u>			
7	ABLE OF CONTEN	<u>TS</u>			
SITE PLAN TVPICAL SECTION OF PROPOSED SPILLWAY DIKES PLAN & PROF HARTFORD RESERVON RESERVOIR OUTLET WEIR DETAILS, DAM D CHANNEL RESERVOIR S RESERVOIR 5 DAM & S RESERVOIR 5 DAM & S	CHANNEL IMPROV FILE 1964 IRS NO.1, 3 & 5 PEA SYSTEM INFORMATION ATA & BLOW-OFF DA B TO 5, LOCALITY PLA SPWY. GENERAL & LOC SPWY. TYPICAL SECT	TINEN W TA N, PRC LALITY TONS	IT DATA DFILE & SE V PLANIS 1964	ECTIDNS 1964	B B
RESERVOIR 5 DAM & <u>NOTE</u> : INFOR	MATION INCLUDED				B
	DED BY THE CITY OF AN DISTRICT. UNLE				Υ.
ELEVA	TIONS REFER TO ME	TROPO	LITAN	-	

- Call Sin

DISTRICT DATUM.

I

T

1

į







1 . B. Martin

the set for the

and the second second





Ī

ľ

O'BRIEN&GERE ENGINEERS INC		SHIET 1/2	BY	DATE	IOB NO		
NE DAM INSPECT	IONS	12			2060.0		
HA	RTFORD RE.	SER	VOIRS	13	<u> : 5</u>		
	PERTINEN	17	DATA				
	HART	FOR	D RE. 3	SERVO	IR NO		
I. GENERAL :			•••••••••••••••		5		
Main River	Trout Broo	k f	5. Brai	rch Pa	ork Rive		
	Power pond	<u> </u>	Reserv		Water s		
Use	Waste Pool	Wa	ter Su		Estanci		
When Built		1875		1884			
Comments	Improved 1967	In	proved	1964	Improved		
I. ELEVATION'S &	DATUMS :						
USGS Flow Line	256.5		391.2	,	319.7		
MDC: Flow Lines	258.6'		393.3		.3.21.8		
Const: Flow Line	~ 59.0		393.7		: دورې		
Const. Bottory	225.0'		3.57.0		3030		
II. CAPACITY (MG)) :	1					
Available for Stored Use	13.2		96		68		
Below Avail Level	5.5		50		15		
I. MISCELLANCOUS	······						
Flow Line Prea (40)	J 7		28		-رو. مرور ب		
Maximum Dipith (te)	3- 1.	-+	36		19		
Water shed three (m. ")	7.3	+	0.6		1.4.		

۰.

1

- sin particular and the second states and

ALC DAM THE DEATH		SHEET BY LIATE	DM BUL
NE DAM INSPECTIC	<i>w</i> S	/2	2060.00
400	TFORD RES	ERVOIRS 1, 3	
	CHTINENT L	DATA (Cont.)	
	HARTFOD	D RESERVOIN	No:
-	/	3	5
I. MISCELLANEOUS	(CONT.)		
Ave. Annual Rainfall	44.3" (4	61. 4 Max. 4	28.9 Min.)
Ave. Annual Remott	NA	1.9 Bi	licon Gallons
Design Fld. Runiff	1964 improv	contents: 18 1/4	" , m 34 hour
I. SPILLWAY INF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Length (teet)	45	23	62
Design Flow Head (zeet)	8.3*	3.9*	2.5
Design Flow (cfs)	4,000	400*	700
Freeboard Above		***	

* With Emergency Spillway.

7



Form 5-E 7-1953 8-9 he Water Bureau of e Metropolitan District Subject WEST HARTFORD RESERVOIRS-File No. Office of the Manager ₩.3 Reservoir Acc. No. H-2771. Computer T.E.M. Checked by JP Date June 195 23 ΠΞΠ ELEVATION BRIDGE ELEV. 1"= 20' 1"=10' Elen 393.7 (01d Recervoir Datum) Approach channel 2'-6" 40'± Bridge is approximately 60' I long and 25' wide Estone with invert at sill level t sill CTION Scale DETAILS DAM DATA: Present minimum freeboard is 2.2' at dike east of reserver at northerly end and 3.0' on dom proper. Maximum height of dam is 45' (based on downstream toe) Top width is 23' Downstream slope is I on 2± Earth dam with "puddle" core down to rock Inv.rt El:1's (Based on approx. computation) and reservoir at Elev. 393.7) from BLOW-OFF DATA Acc H- 2771 #29/4 - 20" Pipe will discharge 22 tofs. (by Brook into Res. #1) 354.6 # 30/1 - 16" 16±c.f.s.(" 11 11) 382.5' 11 11 11 11 31 £ c.f. s. (" " " Res. #5) 378. t # 40/2 - 20" 11 // * computations are noted very conservative. APPROVED SUBMITTED BY Millianc Dorenbaum Wralken Chief Designing Engineer acular Deputy Manager and Chief Engineer eph Merritt & Ca. 12110 195L Albaneme



Ţ 1.





B-12

ļ

be det many ser



APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS

Plan yes

- 4

l

.....

Ŧ

APPENDIX C SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS OF PROJECT

LOCAT	ION PLAN	Page <u>No.</u>
Site	Plan	А
Regio	onal Plan	В
РНОТО	JGRAPHS	
No.		Page No.
1.	Trees and vegetative cover on the upstream face of dam.	٦
2. 3.	Sloughing along downstream face of the dam. Typical rodent hole in the downstream face of the dam.	1 2
4. 5. 6.	Seepage at the downstream toe of the dam. Bridge over spillway for Reservoir 3. Enclosure for gate system which controls diver-	2 3 3
7.	sion discharge to Reservoir 5. Potential damage area about 2 miles downstream from the dam.	4
8.	Potential damage area about 2.5 miles downstream from the dam.	4
9.	Potential damage area about 3.4 miles downstream from the dam.	5
10.	Potential damage area about 3.6 miles downstream from the dam.	5
11.	Potential damage area about 3.6 miles downstream from the dam.	6
12.	Potential damage area about 3.6 miles downstream from the dam.	6

1.5

No. of Concession, Name







1. TREES AND VEGETATIVE COVER ON THE UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM. (11/13/79)



2. SLOUGHING ALONG DOWNSTREAM FACE OF THE DAM. (11/13/79)

C-1



3. TYPICAL RODENT HOLE IN THE DOWNSTREAM FACE OF THE DAM. (11/13/79)

ł



4. SEEPAGE AT THE DOWNSTREAM TOE OF THE DAM. (11/13/79)

C-2

3. 2 ml



5. BRIDGE OVER SPILLWAY FOR RESERVOIR 3. (11/13/79)



6. ENCLOSURE FOR GATE SYSTEM WHICH CONTROLS DIVERSION DISCHARGE TO RESERVOIR 5. (11/13/79)



7. POTENTIAL DAMAGE AREA ABOUT 2 MILES DOWNSTREAM FROM THE DAM. (11/13/79)



8. POTENTIAL DAMAGE AREA ABOUT 2.5 MILES DOWNSTREAM FROM THE DAM. (11/13/79)



9. POTENTIAL DAMAGE AREA ABOUT 3.4 MILES DOWNSTREAM FROM THE DAM. (11/13/79)



10. POTENTIAL DAMAGE AREA ABOUT 3.6 MILES DOWNSTREAM FROM THE DAM. (11/13/79)



11. POTENTIAL DAMAGE AREA ABOUT 3.6 MILES DOWNSTREAM FROM THE DAM. (11/13/79)



12. POTENTIAL DAMAGE AREA ABOUT 3.6 MILES DOWNSTREAM FROM THE DAM. (11/13/79)

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

11

A CARL

O'BRIEN&GERE ENGINEERS, INC.

11

HARTFORD RESERVOIR NO. 3 DAM	
APPENDIX D	
HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC COMPLITATIONS	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP, FIG. 1, SHOWING INITIAL FLOOD IMPACT AREA	D-1
TE COMPLITATIONS, PMP DATA & STAGE-STORAGE DUTA	D-2
ELEV. VIEW OF DAM & SPILLWAY & STAGE-DISCHARGE DATA	D-3
STAGE - STORAGE & STAGE - DISCHARGE CURVES	D-4
CHANNEL X-SEC. IMINEDIATELY UPSTREAM OF HARTFORD RES. 1 FROM RES. 3	D-5
CHANNEL X-SEC. AT THE INITIAL HAZARD AREA	D-5
ELEV. VIEW OF DAM & SPILLWAY & STAGE DISCHARGE DATA (HARTFORD RES. +1) D-6
HEC-1 DAM SAFETY VERSION CONIPLITER OUTPUT, WITHOUT BREACH D-7	ь D- 10
HEC-I DAMI SAFETY VERSION CONTPLITER OUTPUT, BREACH AIVALYSIS	
WITH RESERVIDIR SURFACE AT THE TOP OF THE DAM D-11+	6D-17
HEC-1 DAM SAFETY VERSION COMPLITER OLITPUT, BREACH ANALYSIS	
WITH RESERVOIR SURFACE AT THE SPILLWAY CREST D-18 +0	<i>D-23</i>

State To

2.00



Carlos Sector

1 1

AND DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OF T

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BRYANT ASSOCIATES, INC. 648 Beacon Street BOSTON. MASSACHUSETTS 02215 (617) 247-1800	JOB SHELT NO D-2 CALCULATED BY R.G. CHECKED BY R.E SCALE	DATE 1/80
,	HARTFORD RESERVOIR D	AM # 3 H \$ H	
		= 3.89 SQUARE M	- 0.58 5q.Mc 14E5
	SNYDER HYDROGRAPH COEFF	ICIENTS	
	$C_{t} = 2.0$	Cp = 0.5	
	TP <u>COMPUTATIONS</u>		
	L = 1.21 Mi.	Lca =	0.40 Mi.
	$T_P = C_e \times (L \times L C_a)$	· 3	
	Tp = 2 x (1.21 x0.4	9 ^{.3} ~	60 Hours
	<u>PMP DATA</u> FROM HM5 # 33 THE 24 P	HOUR 200 Sq.ML.	INDEX ZAINFALL IS 21.5
	6hr % OF INDEX FOR THIS 12hr % " " " 24hr % " " "	" ==	= /// = /24 = /33
	STAGE STORAGE		
	ELEV. (NGVD)	AREA (AC.)	STOBAGE (Ac.Ff.) (COMPUTED BY HEC-1 PROGRAM)
	355	0	0
	NORML POOL - 391.2	28	338
	400	40	636
			• · · ·

FORM 204-1 Available hom (Ave.ers/Inc. Groton, Mess 014)

في والمعام المناصف المناطقة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة ا

2060.001 JÓB BRYANT ASSOCIATES, INC. D - ZZ P-3 648 Beacon Street BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02215 (617) 247-1800 SHEET NO 0 1/80 P.G. CALCULATED BY DATE z/80 **12** · 8. DB DATE SCALE - FROM HARTFORD MDC QUAD SHEET, ADJUSTED FOR DAM AND SUPPOUNDING AREAS; Q= CLH^{1,5} FOR OCHEC; Q=,65x180VZg(H-3)¹ 56,000 2 255 720 2,831 4,890 9,687 16,483 33,790 946 CFS 0 S M FOR H76 00 2.1 FEET TO USGS DATUM DAM LENGTH EL.398' CH 2.0 500 **G** W STIMPTITY NEET 1,526 4,356 12,320 H≩H 22,633 いたい 0 Q. 0 \emptyset 0-040 400 40 40 40 40 40 # HARTFORD RESERVOIR DAM j U EL 399 396 DISCHARGE EL 396 18,848 817,9 CFS. 3,294 6, 200 ч О 30, 428 508 0 N= O @ CURRESPONDING CREST *w* 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 2.0 1.2 オゼ AN ALLEN STAGE 86213 0 ٩ ٩ EL. 39(c=2.8 EL.400 S N 2,622 2,939 9 946 1,323 255 120 1,596 CFS. 2,349 6 196'1. 0 SPILWAY DRIDGE C= 3.D <u>0</u> 60 6.8 7.8 10.8 12.8 8.8 4.8 πĽ EL. 402 004 THE MAN AND A DECEMBER OF 9=CLH^{1.5} 35 399.0 3**96.0** 397.2 398,0 400.0 402.0 404.0 393.2 395.2 EL 397. 2 ELEVATION 391.2 EL 391.2 404 4 NGVD t

FORM 204-1 Available from ///E/JS/Inc., Groton, Mass 01450

11

the second s

O'BRIEN&GERE ENGINEERS, INC.







ानन-		1		[2] 2]4] 8	नवासः		: <u>EISI</u>		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			12121712		ELFIF IS	
	0 4 1	1.0				02.0 404.0 13790 56000					-				
ERVOIN NO. 3 GRAM NGINEERS	0	•• • • • 1	0 0.05	-		400.U 16483									
IS OF MANIFOND RES UAM INSPECTION PRO ISION - COMPS OF E	b	L. 4.	94,6 151			1944 1642									
HIDHILUGIC ANALYS NATIONAL (NEW ENGLAND DIV	- -	4 4 1 MAHIFUMU 40	0.58 111 1	2 1	DIFLOW FROM HARD	071 071									
	340 - 5			х -1.60 U. х -1.1 т -0.1	1	2.195	5-19t								
- ~ ~	**	- T J	11		5	51	22	2							
	AI HIDMULUGIC ANALYSIS OF HAHIFOHD RESERVOIM NO. 3 AZ NATIONAL UAM INSPECTION PROGNAM A3 NEW ENGLAND DIVISION - COHPS OF ENGINERS	I AI MTUMULUGIC ANALISIS OF NAHIFOND RESERVOIM NO. 3 2 A2 NATIONAL UAM INSPECTION PROGRAM 3 NEW ENGLAND DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS 4 3.00 0 5 0 0	I a1 mtumulugic analysis of namifoud reservoim No. 3 2 a2 New Notional Uam Inspection Program 3 a2 New Notional Uam Inspection Program 4 Juu Notision - comps of Engineers 5 1 1 6 1 0 7 1 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 7 1 1	I AI MTUMULUGIC ANALTSIS OF MAHFOND RESERVOIM NO. 3 AZ NATIONAL UAM INSPECTION PROGRAM A3 NEW ENGLAND DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS A1 JU J A1 J J A1 J J A1 J J A1 JU JU A1 JU JU A1 JU JU A2 JU JU A3 JU JU A4 JU JU A4 JU JU A4 JU <td>I AI MTUMULUGIC ANALTSIS OF HAHFOHD RESERVOIM NO. 3 AZ NEW ENGLAND DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS A 300 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 A 300 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 A 1 5 1 0 0 0 0 -4 0 A 3 1 15 0 0 0 -4 0 A 1 2 1 1 0 0 -4 0 A 1 4 1 1 0 0 -4 0 A 1 4 1 1 0 -4 0 -4 0 A 1 4 1 1 1 1 0 -4 0 A 1 1 0 3 -4 0 <td< td=""><td>1 a1 mriumuluuic analrsis of nawrown veeton veeton 2 a2 wee narions uan inspectron program 3 wee narions uan inspectron program 4 Juu u 5 v 1 1 5 u 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>Image: Section Provided and /td><td>Image: Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 4 Second Second Second Second No. 4 Second Second Second Second Second No. 4 Second /td><td>Image: Second Mark Siz of Mark Ford Reserved Mo. 3 Mark Uucic Ant. Siz of Mark Ford Reserved Mo. 3 AZ National Unation Processes National Unation Processes AZ Net Foldant Division - 6000AR 0 0 - 0 A J J 0 0 0 0 - 0 A J J J 0 0 0 0 - 0 J J J J J J J 0 0 0 - 0 J<td>1 1 $mtDmtDotf$ $taxt F55$ $taxt F1004$ $taxt F$</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td<></td>	I AI MTUMULUGIC ANALTSIS OF HAHFOHD RESERVOIM NO. 3 AZ NEW ENGLAND DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS A 300 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 A 300 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 A 1 5 1 0 0 0 0 -4 0 A 3 1 15 0 0 0 -4 0 A 1 2 1 1 0 0 -4 0 A 1 4 1 1 0 0 -4 0 A 1 4 1 1 0 -4 0 -4 0 A 1 4 1 1 1 1 0 -4 0 A 1 1 0 3 -4 0 <td< td=""><td>1 a1 mriumuluuic analrsis of nawrown veeton veeton 2 a2 wee narions uan inspectron program 3 wee narions uan inspectron program 4 Juu u 5 v 1 1 5 u 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>Image: Section Provided and /td><td>Image: Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 4 Second Second Second Second No. 4 Second Second Second Second Second No. 4 Second /td><td>Image: Second Mark Siz of Mark Ford Reserved Mo. 3 Mark Uucic Ant. Siz of Mark Ford Reserved Mo. 3 AZ National Unation Processes National Unation Processes AZ Net Foldant Division - 6000AR 0 0 - 0 A J J 0 0 0 0 - 0 A J J J 0 0 0 0 - 0 J J J J J J J 0 0 0 - 0 J<td>1 1 $mtDmtDotf$ $taxt F55$ $taxt F1004$ $taxt F$</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td<>	1 a1 mriumuluuic analrsis of nawrown veeton veeton 2 a2 wee narions uan inspectron program 3 wee narions uan inspectron program 4 Juu u 5 v 1 1 5 u 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 v 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Image: Section Provided and	Image: Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 3 Second Second Second No. 4 Second Second Second Second No. 4 Second Second Second Second Second No. 4 Second	Image: Second Mark Siz of Mark Ford Reserved Mo. 3 Mark Uucic Ant. Siz of Mark Ford Reserved Mo. 3 AZ National Unation Processes National Unation Processes AZ Net Foldant Division - 6000AR 0 0 - 0 A J J 0 0 0 0 - 0 A J J J 0 0 0 0 - 0 J J J J J J J 0 0 0 - 0 J <td>1 1 $mtDmtDotf$ $taxt F55$ $taxt F1004$ $taxt F$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1 1 $mtDmtDotf$ $taxt F55$ $taxt F1004$ $taxt F$					

	1 ["tu tu.e4.25.																		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																			
Not Not <td>UMULUGIC AVALYSIS OF MARTFUND RESERVOIR NO. NATIUNAL DAM INSPECTION PROGNAM NEW ENGLANU DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS</td>	UMULUGIC AVALYSIS OF MARTFUND RESERVOIR NO. NATIUNAL DAM INSPECTION PROGNAM NEW ENGLANU DIVISION - COMPS OF ENGINEERS																		
Unic unic <thuic< th=""> unic unic</thuic<>	JOH SPECIFICATION NHR MHIN IDAY IHH IHIN HETHC IPLI IPAT J 15 J 144 IHIN HETHC IPLI IPAT																		
Multi-Plan, Antriss To de perfeneto Multi-Plan, Antriss To de perfeneto 90 1.00 90 1.00 Mutto Son (MM) Sun-area unor companyanto HYDROGAMM Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto HYDROGAMM Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto HYDROGAMM Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-area unor companyanto HYDROGAMM Sun-area unor companyanto Sun-are	JUPER NAT LHUPT TRACE																		
HYDROGARY Loover Sub-Jack Junger Committed Human Sub-Jack Junger Committed HYDROGARY Loover Sub-Jack Junger Committed Sub-Jack Junger Committed Intur I und Intur I und Istur I und Istur I und Intur I und Istur I und Istur I und Istur I und Intuin Iund Istur I und Istur I und Istur I und Intuin Iund Istur I und Istur I und Istur I und Istur I und Intuin Iund Istur I und Intuin Istur I und Intuin Istur I und Intund Istur I und Istu	MULIT-PLAN ANALYSES IN HE PERFORMED OF PMF -> WIUS= .20 .30 .40 .50 .60 .70 .80 .90																		
HYOROGGAPH SURFAGE	••••••••																		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HYDROGRAPH SUH-AMEA 4UNDEF ELOP NENT SUH-AMEA 4UNDEF																		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ISTAU ICOM ITAPE JPLF JPHT INAME ISTAGE Had-3 0 0 0 0 0 0																		
Suff PHE [B GK1 RAB RTE RAB	итовлая и по																		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PHECIP Data PHECIP Data SPFF PHS PHS PHS SPFF PHS PHS PHS PHS SPFF PHS PHS PHS PHS 0.00 21.50 11.00 124.00 0.00 0.00																		
Iv= UNIT HIDERADH Data $Vr=1.00$ $Cr=.50$ $Vr=0$ $Sfr(u=-1.70$ $HECESTION$ Data $Vr(1)$ $Vr=-1.70$ $HECESTION$ $Vr=-1.70$ $HECESTION$ $Vr=-100$ $Vr=-1.70$ $HECESTION$ $Vr=-100$ $Vr=-1.70$ $Vr=-100$ $Vr=-100$ $Vr=-1.7$	1 51-14- 12 LUSS ЛАТА 1 51-14- 12 EHAIN STRIK STRIL CNSTL ALSMX 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00																		
Mr[1 = -1.70 $MECESTON$ Data $MTOM* 2.00$ $Mr[1 = -1.70$ $MCSN=10$ $MTOM* 2.00$ $Mr[1 = -1.70$ $Mr[1 = -1.10$ $Mr[1.2, -1.12, -1.00]$ $Mr[1 = -1.70$ $Mr[1 = -1.12,$	UNIT HT()HAPH DATA																		
UNTERVISION TO COLOR 100 (000) COL 100 (000) <td>RECESSION DATA -1.70 JHCSN=10 HTIUH= 2.00</td>	RECESSION DATA -1.70 JHCSN=10 HTIUH= 2.00																		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	JATT HYDRAPH - 1. EMI-JF-PERTOU (34) [NATES. LAGE 1.51 НА(45, 20 - 50 - VOL = 1.00 23. 44. 44. 44. 94. 94. 113. 118. 118. 112. 55. 50. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 55																		
	26 29 17 15 14 12 11 10 7 6 5 4 4 3 3 2 2 2 2 1 1 1																		
				ວອາສາຊາ	404.00 BE SECONDE DISCHARGE DATA		নাবারা	ज्ञान्त्र स्थित जन्म	<u>=</u>	11:1:1:1: 	TEISIEI		्राग्रस	হাচারা		 	वनासन		
-------	---	--------------------------------	--	--	--	---	--------------------------	---------------------------	---	--	---------------------------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	---	--
		UPLT JPHT INAME) Т 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	X 15K 510RA 15 X 06A 0 X0A - 361 15	00 397.20 399.00 399.00 400.00 400.00 402.00	946.NU ZH31.00 4890.00 9687.00 16483.00 33790.00 56000.00	STAKE - STOPAKE DATA		EXPW ELEVL COUL CAREA EXPL 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	рам DATA PEL COUD EAPD DAMMID 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.				KOUTED DUTFLOWS FROM	9	Freezel Contract Stat			
*****	Ĩ	F К U Ч Н А К Т F I C U Н P		1212V	Ì	0.00 255.00 720.00	rfack Autha - 0. 24. 40.	ELEVATION= 355. 341. 400.	LLAY CREST ELEVATION -> 391.2 0.0 0.0	TOPEL TOPEL	UNIFELING IS LAN. AT TIME 14.25 MUUNS	PEAK NUTFLOW 15 246. AT 114E 14.45 HUUHS	PEAK QUIFLUA IS ADT. AT TIME 19.00 HUUHS	UUTFLIM IS 221. AT TIME 14.00 HUNS	UNIFLUM 15 632. AT TIME 18.75 HOUMS	CHUCH 15 TAL. AT TIME 19.75 HUCH	PEAN WITFLIM IS NO4. AT TIME 14.75 MOUNS	PEAR OUTFLUA IS 1034. AT TIME 14.50 HUUMS	



.....

														;		•			
OF DAM)														•		• • •		- ·	
															·	••	• •	•••	
BREACH (with RESERVOIR SURFACE AT TOP TREAT DAMOE CENTER		•			404.0 56000				254.5		270.0	38018			170				
RYOIR S		1			402.0 33790				17.		264.0	20832			190				
TH RESERVO	AM NU. 3	c			400.0 16483	 			254.5		-1 265.0				170				
ACH (WITH M DAMOE	HTINMLUGIC AMALYSIS OF HATTOND RESERVOIN DAY NO.	VGINEERS			-396 349.0 4687				-1 -025 162	-	-256.5 265.3		-		180				
3 DAM BREAD	r Ponceri T	tPS OF E			39A.0 4440		345		6000 260	565		THOM			2000				
70 000	IN PUT	10N - C0		40 RESER	397.2 2431		396 396	3 TA PES.	255 144	285 18 1	260.5	.u211		CENTER-1	0/1 0/1		e e		
HARTFORD RESERVOIR	AVAL YSIS	NI 01VIS		F404 MANTFORD RESERVOIN NO	396.1) 946		n ni	н 5. . 1	254.5 262	REEHVUIR	1 259.5	121 68 270		ТО НА ZARO CENTER 1 1	041				
RTFORD RE DUTFLOW	HOLUGIC	ה היילא ט	-	FLUM	195.2	004	36U 350	US	0.0H	255 255 440-1 404 FHUM	د. ادم			11145	0.79 120 270				
T	•	2	-	HOUTED OUT	343.2	391.2	10.	ANNEL P.	0.04 265	жер 1-08н 110 лит	251.5	131 75 56.855	02840	CHANNEL HOU	- 20-0 191				
(AGF 1HEC-1) JUL1 1978		394		-	5.102 U		0.12	-	5	1			2.245 2.245 1	њ -	۲. ۲. ۲.	6 6			
i û l		E I	5-5	* * *		***	ae	(¥ >)	1 4 5	÷ v ⊽	-53	¥	÷÷ ×	z ~ 5	:==:	×			
<u>есстерессосство в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в </u>														}					

I

.

۱. ۱

										402.00 404.00 STAGE-DISCHARGE	2.00 56000.00) FOR H.R.# 3	· · ·	· · · ·	··· - ·		UNITED COMPUTING SYSTEMS, INC. ()	CLYOIR SURFACE	
		Z 0				년 IAUTO 0 0 0		а 0	F	404 00 405	16493.00 33790.00		•		-		AMEDIATELY WITH RESERVOIR AT TOP OF DAM	J BREACH OLDENISLONS - NO
	1 DA4 NO. 3 45	19LT 19RT NSTAN 0 -4 0	0			JPRT INAME ISTAGE U 1 0		1614 0 0	1-15K 51044 15PRA1	00.445 00.446	4490.U0 9587.00			C & HF & F X PL 0.0 0.0	UAWV [1]	FAILED 396.00		1 BAEN
	HARTEORD RESERVOIR DAY NO. Cttorphorsay - Cours of Engineers	SPECIFICATION HH IMIN HETRC 0 0 0 0 NI LHOPT TRACE U 0 0 0	SES TO HE PEHFORMFO TTO= 1 LHTID= 1		HYDRDGRAPH HOUTING	ITANE JPLT	ALL PLANS HAVE SAME HOUTING DATA	0	445KK X X 4	1a7.20	00.15P5	STAGE - STORAGE DATA	ř. + 3	0*0 0*0 0*0 1000 0*0 0*0	0.04 0.01A CUDD EXPLO	CH DATA TFAIL 2.00		RGE DAY HUEACH DATA
	HTURD OUT ANALYSIS OF VATIONAL DAW TWSE	1047 10 1047 1 0 10424			OUTELON FH JH HANTERDAR HOUTTR	ICUAN IECON	שטחן ארר הראני	1 0.0.0	0 (- 0 (-	335.20 356.00	771.00 940.00	••• 37AGE- 5	5	20°0 ()°0 ()°0 ()°0 ()°0 ()°0 ()°0 ()°0 (10461	- :		H DISCHA
FLIND MTUNUGARM PACKAGF (HFC-1) Dam Safety Ukw510m UNL F 197A Lest Montficating 25 FF3 79 Num Tated Us/UT/RU T1450 00.50.23.	нтіла) Мен	5) 57 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177	n, u tins	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	BUNIED ONTELON	1514) 6-(:++		0.00 0.00 0.00	1 Sal Sy	<u> </u>	7, 011,245	24°.		2.191.50 CHEL So			ω	Mumine ~
Н НТОМОЮЧАНИ РАСКАСЕ АFE TV VFИSION U U TT MONTETCATINY 70 TATED US/VT/NU TATED US/VT/NU TIAEO 09.50.23.		C.7 0 0 0	אס וארנטמ							141.20	1, U 1,	aCt a46a= 11.	ĩ	SPILLING CREST ELEVATION	P	2	85513794 <u>75411325777</u> 0070000 PEAK NUIFLINE <u>5505.</u> AT TIM	

And a state of the second second

ROUTING FLOWS NTO HARTFORD RESERVOIR # 1 CHA	сниман. сниман. сниман.						*******	:	•	********			_	
antista Castie F	Снячи, г.			нтрелени	ATDRAGHANN HUNTLING	Ing							 י ר]	
antista Castie F	0*0 0*0	41011-4C	CHANTEL RUUTING FROM RES.	• 3 TH RFS.	- 2. 1								^	
anten Iteria	0°0 \$\$076	1-51	ICUMP	1ECON	I TAPE	JPLT	JPR L	I VAME I	ISTAGE	IAUTO 0				
antista Arbisto	0*0 \$\$070			ALL PLANS HAVE SAMF	S HAVE S	дығ								
antista Artista		CL.055 0.403	AV6 9.4U	1 1 1 1 1	ิษกูปT1215 ⊓ăTă ⊮65 15A≜6 1 1	0 1 d01	0 141		L STR 0				c	
ANDIAL REPLACATOR		45125 1	1510L 0	۲۹G ۱	A45KK	× 000 * 0	15K 0.000	5104A 1	ISPRAT 0					
12324 (1380 12324 (1380	1040 - 1	ELive 256.5	ELMAX 256.0	4LNTN .0	5FL	CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS	CHARA	CTERIST	ICS				". 	
C.H.155、そにすけのV C.H.H.D.F.K.S514.FLEVで514.FL U.00 245.00 H4.00 252.UV 144.00 140.NU 254.00 210.01 252.01 245.00	104 CTNR01"ATES 245.00 A4.00 258.00 210.00	F5+-5T&+EC U 262.0V 1 262.0V	FEEV-514-1 144-00 10 245-00	FLEVFTC 260.00 266.00	162.00	256.50	174.00	254.50		EL CRUSS-	CHANNEL CROSS-SECTION AT UPSTREAM T	nestherm		
Stowars n.n. 30.a1	48.8E	T 4	2.2A	3.90 54.94	-	5.A8 71.U4	16.4 16.4	11 11	11.17	14.46	18.62	24.07	STAGET STORAGE AND	RAGE ANI
011FLU# 0.00 2394.05	NV.65 16.16 OE		62.40 3752.94	176.03		317.51 5563.94	501.48 6665.09	731.49 7905.89	731.49 905.89	00.1111 9293.46	1394.50 10434.84	1851.64	STAGE DISCHARGE	HARGE
STarif 254.50	1257.04 44.545	ĺ	257.5U 262.5D	253, NU 263, DU		258.50 263.50	259.00	264	254.50	265.00 265.00	260.50	261.00 266.00	CHANNEL BETWEEN	ETWEEN
FLU+ 239+,05	16.1502		45.40	175.03		317.51 5563.94	501.44 6655.09	7905.R9	4 0 8 4	1011.00 9293.46	1394.50 10834.84	1851.68	RESERVOIRS # 1	7 # 7
MAXIMUM STACF 19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STREAM	STREAM ELEVATIO	ION AT	M AT H.R. # 1	1 PUE	73 BR	DUE TO BREACH OUTFLOW	Monalo			1)	

1.1.1

	FLOWS ROVTED	TED OUTERS		HYDROGRA							
ALL PLARS ALL ALL PLARS ALL		151	I ICUMP	1			INAME ISTAC				
Name Cost Cost <thcost< th=""> Cost Cost</thcost<>					HAVE SAME NG DATA						6
STAIL LAIL ANSTOL	K	}		- 14E5	1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 -dmdl 1d	ls	ТR			6
STACE CSN:50 CSN:50		11.21		1		1	-257.	-1			Ċ.
Int. 10 395.00 127.00 1120.00 1804.00 6129.00 8526.00 20432.00 34 21. 32. AB. STAGE-STORAGE DATA 8526.00 20432.00 34 251. 372. AB. FOR H.R. 4 1 251. 270. 60 H.R. 4 1 251. 270. 60 H.R. 4 1 251. 270. 60 0.0 0.0 0.0 250.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 250.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 271.1 1000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 271.1 1.11 1.11 0.10 0.0 0.0 0.0 271.1 1.11 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		<u>557.55</u>	258.50	254.50	260-50				268.00	270.005	NGE-DISCHARGE DATA
21. 13. An. STAGE-STOCAGE DATA 744. 337. RUP. FOR. H.R. # 1 257. 270. 270. 74. 4 257. 270. 270. 74. 4 1 255. 270. 270. 74. 4 1 255. 270. 70. 6 4. R. # 1 1 255.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 00 DM ELEVATION 2005 5 ± 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 01 Itue 1.17 HILLY 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 01 Itue 1.17 HILLY 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 01 Itue 1.17 HILLY 0.17 HILLY 0.18 HILLY 0.10 0.0 0.0 01 Itue 1.17 HILLY 0.18 HILLY 0.18 HILLY 0.18 HILLY 0.18 HILLY	0 u " 0	140.00	346.00	727.00	1120.09			8526.00	20432.00	34018.00	FOR H.R. # 1
1 5 - 1 -		27.	•ct		CTAGE - 110	RAGE DAT	4				ר
1 5 - 1 -	CAPECTIY= 15.	7.44		~	FOR H.	R. # 1	-				^
1 5 - 1 -		257.	250.	210.)							-
5 - 1 -	PILLWAY CREST ELEVATION -	C46L					Í) 7
14 .02E	β Ω	of him fe	evanon	104FL	9() I						. 555° 41.010
) 10. PE		r 1145 1	SHUILH 11.								17E ST. 5. 4
	1.946	T TER	IN DISCHI	NAGE FRI	M H.R. #	1 DUE T	0 H.R. + 3	BREACH ON	UTFLOW		

İ.

E

1

			-	-		0	IST. 27 STACE - STORAGE AND	9531.51 STAGE - PISCHARGE DATA	CHANNEL	-1	-
i				-	IEMS, INC.	AT HAZAR	12.151	51.1629-	190.00	16:1660	
				•	UNITED COMPUTING SYSTEMS, INC.	- SECTION	24,96	-7290.94 50090.16		-7290-94 50090.16	MELOW
* * * * * * *		IAUTO 0	•		DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS	CHANNEL CROSS- SECTION AT HAZARD AREA	19.16	-5394.56 43285.11			
•		ME ISTAGE	S S S	ЛЧА Т5РНАТ -1. 0	NEL CHAR		U:	37124.56	186.84	- 3421.33	AT DAMAGE CENTER DUE TO H.R.A 3 BREACH O
*		JPHT INAME 0 1	0 dnal	0.000 -1.	LEAN CHAN	00:01 00:00-00:001	02°16	2549.57	- 175:24	-2549.67 31540.21	DUE TO H
:	וווד ראות מוודר אות	E .JPLT 0 0	E SA'4E A TA E TOPT I O	x 000	2 DOWNSTR	.00 179.00	6.56 18.85	1457.50 24423.11 3			GE CENTER
***	ארושריוסא איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי	IECON LIAPE	all Plans wave Same Routing Data Thes Tsame I	LAG 0.000	лин	ETC 2.00	9,49 67,12	72423,78 2	-173-16 143.64	5 H1 62242	ON AT DAMA
	TU HAZAH	I CUAL	A VG					54 22*24EHT	11.511	121214 14152-22 25	> STREAM ELEVATION
****	CHANNEL HOUTING	15 TAU HAZA (1)	600°0 0°0	t SelStr	17.16 17.13 	10,000 00000000000000000000000000000000		77.43 149/4.34 14	171:05	H VE-N/641	J STRUA
		l	1		11:(55.67104 5004	1	0.40 12072.40 14	170.00 1A0.53	1.2072.340 14	1.41 - 21
	PLOUS ROUTED TO	AREA			115014 C	550rD	Stnaks	טוודר <u>ומ</u>	STAGE		46XTAIN STARF 15

) , , ,

 \frown

E

I

T

i

-1

[]

344

11

. : SUMMARY UF DAM SAFETY ANALYSTS SPILLWAY CREST 391.20 338. 0. 14[1]AC VACUE 396.00 487. HARFORD RESERVOIR # 3 DAM BREACH FLOOD ROUTING RESULTS i

					SPTITUEN PRES		TOP DF NAM		
	•	ELEVAT ON STOUAGE	*19+ 00*96E		391.20		396.00		
		101FC0#		946.	•0		340· + 20	-> SPILLWAY DISCHARGE CAPACITY FOR H.R. # 3	±3
	417 [1] 1]F PMF	4871404 855544054 8.5.5664	DEPTH DEPTH UVEH DAM		ULTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVEH TOP HUURS	TIME OF MAX DUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE Hours	
SPILLUAY OVERELOW	0.00	345.89	UU *0	487.	22842 ·	PEAK BRE	0.00 .54 PEAK BREACH DISCHARGE	6 0.00	
Rowind Reduct	<u></u>	ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE 346.90	1AL VALUE 346,70	SPILLWAY CREST 391.20	51 TOP 3	TOP OF 0AM 396.00		
		DUTFL04	ēč	4H7. 946.	-34. 0.		487. 946.		
	PALLO NF	44X14174 45524V014	MAX [MIM ()EP FH	MARTHUM STUMAGE	MAKIMIM OUTFLOW	DURATION DVEH TOP	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW	TIME OF Failure	
	- brit		יועני אפער	AC-FT	CFS	HOUPS	H00H	Saudh	
	6.00	16.746	0.00	497.	946.	0.00	0.00	0.01	
			Ĭ	PLAN 1	STATION D	i)S-A			
			MATIU	MAX [M()4	STAGE.FT	TIME HOURS			
	CHA	CHANNEL BETWEEN RESERVOIRS # 1 AND	ده الم	5281.	4°E45 .	- 15			
	# ~~	# 3 WITH FLOOD	i	5 NV S	STATION	•			
				441414	HUM X MUM	11 mE			

Ĵ C C ົ ł ; ł 80. 759.8 **H**44. 0.0 う

Ċ

1

Jul 1

44X1MUM STAGE+FT

MARTHIN FLOW.CFS

HATTU

1.100

1.1

D- 16

UNITED COMPUTING SYSTEMS, INC.

i

,

.

٠

ł

.

ţ

	FLEVALION STIJHABE	1411114C VALUE 256.50 294.		5016647-64657 256.50 284.		TOP OF DAM 255.30 619.	
			•	• •		Sen Sen	SPILLWAY DISCHARGE CAPACITY FOR H.R. # 1
Smd SU SU	788 497 4 HESEAVOIN **5*ELEV	44X1#7.1 UEPTH UVEH DAM		RAX FHUN OUTELON CFS	DURATION OVEH TOP HOURS	TTME OF MAX OUTFLOW Hours	TT4E DF FAILURE HOURS
PALLINGY DISCHARGE ROUTING	263.27	0.00	522.	3550.	FRIX DI	PENK DIZMARGE DVE	TO 4.4.4 3 BURACH
THROUGH H.E. S. C.	FLEVATION	INITIAL VAL 256.50	-UF	5P1LLWAY CREST 256.50	ST T0P	TOP OF DAM 265.30	
	51)H SUE	12	ZR4. 0.	- 584.		619. 6129.	
carin ne	44.8.1%)# 46.56.440 [H 46.55.57.57	41411 112474 11454	MAX [WIN STONASE AT-ET	HAX [HUM OUTFLOW	OURATION OVER TOP BOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HINNES	TIME OF Alluqe Alluqe
00 •	254,35	0.00	117.	359.	00.0	1.75	00"0
BOCACH FLOOD ROUTED TU DI	DOWNSTREAM HAZARD APEA POLAN	ARD ACEA POL	AN L	STATION HAZAHD	¥	2	TO H.R.# 3 SPILLWAY DISCHARGE
		01144	MAX 1 MUM FLUW . CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE .FT	T INE		
		0.00	1	176.1 PEAK FLOW	+	DAMAGE AREA	DUE TO H.R. # 3 BREACH
SPRUMAY OVERFLOW ROVIED TO DOWN	DOWLSTRAM HARAD AREA -PPLAN	AREA -PPI	2 44	STATION HAZAYD			
		HAT10	FL04+CFS	STAGF .FT	HOURS		
		u 0 .n	- Fr	2:211	51.1		
			5	PEAK FLOW		AT DAMAGE AGEA DUE	15 TO
				H.A. #		SPILLING DISCHARGE	
D							
- 17						r	

i

v.**t**.t. 404.0 55000 270.0 170 256.5 402.0 10100 264.0 190 174 400.0 256.0 8526 170 256.5 7 7 MAUTENNO NESENVULA NO HOHULWIE ANALYSE UF NAUTEND WESENVULA NU Natiunal Uam Inspection prugham HE* Englanu Division - Chaps of Engineens -391.2 399.0 9687 .025 162 -256.5 265.3 6129 180 --~ DAMAGE AREA η 2 261.9 1404 394°D 6000 260 266 2004-172 341.2 HESEMVUL 3 HOUTING THHOUGH RESERVOIR 1 I на!)-3 Ruileu iutflum Fhum намffuri) не5енч)[н CHANNEL RUUTING FRUM HES. 3 IN HES. 1 U HALAHU CLATEN 5.746 5.195 255 144 144 260.5 170 OUTFLOW RONTED TO INPUT 146.U 259.5 221 68 270 292 N 140 120 121 210 5.546 151 04 04 80°0 254.5 2002 100 10**.** 2222 144 Channel - -2.646 85 5010E 201 21 131 UND 2 NH 1 HAU-1 **************************** FLORIN HYDHIGHARM PACKAGE (HEC-1) Dam Saffy Vension Last Munification 25 FER / V essessessessessessessessessessessesses 2.146 396.0 200 40°0 0 225 0.02 n 0 355 5 255.4 ŧ 265.3 1 1 - let = = 4 čã \$5 :: ç 4 ¥ 4 ĩ٠ ~ ~ ~ 2 2 ริว ٠ . 7 * ž 24 Y \$ エニキニニキるっなのとものっものっすみのよいのもののまっいよ =21 D-18 ŵr:

HARTFORD RESERVOIR # 3 DAM BREACH (WITH RESERVOIR SURFACE AT SPILLWAY CREST)

• • •			194, 1912-192 194, 1912-192	าสมุญคา	-	1			**************************************	वालान् स्व			BECINS SUBLACE BELINE	¢
PEARG-AFBAARAPA-PARABAR-TAPEAFF 1)am Safely Vension July 1978 Last mo()ficiation Zn Fen 19 ************************************	 HYUMOLUGIC AVALYSIS OF MARTENHU MESEHVOIR NO. 3 Natiuval Ham Inspectium undenati nea englanu Division - cuups of engineems	VI VI VI VI VI VI VI VI 300 U S U 0 0 0 0 0 300 U S U 0 0 0 0 0 300 U S U 0 0 0 0 0 300 U S U 0 0 0 0 0	MULII-PLAN ANALYSES TO HE PEHEDRMED NO INFLOW - DUTIOS 0.00 NPLANS 1 NPTIOS 1 LATIOS 1		ROUTED OUTFLOW FROM MANTFURD RESERVOIR NO 3	ISTAU ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPHT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO MAU-3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ULUSS CLUSS AVG [MES [SAME]UPT]UMP . LSTM U.O U.UUU 0.UU]] 1 0 0 0 0	NSTPS NSTUL LAG A454K X TSK STORA ISPRAT 1 U 0 U.0UU U.00U 0.00U -3911	341.20 343.20 400.00 400.00 347.20 347.20 347.20 399.00 400.00 402.00 A. A. SUPFACE AYFA= 0. 28. 40. CAPACITY= 0. 334. 610. STAGE-STORAGE DATA CLEARTON= 746 40. 4.3 FOR H.R. 4.3	CHEL SHA	1)44 (ATA 1)44 (ATA	HEVALLON	נאויטיא לו. זאון ו	



and the second second

-

21466 - DISCHARCE DATA ** ** * * * 270,00 34018.00 259,00 20432.00 265-00 8526.00 IAUTO 0 ISTAGE 0 LSTR 0 -150441--6129.00 -245,30 0°0 51044--257. INAME - MUHUM DUCHARGE FRAM RUTED BREACH OUTFLAW CAREA 0.0 1804.00 0.000 1 HQL 0 amd I UAM DATA Cour Expu Damwid 4.0 0.0 0 STAGE-STORAGE DATA 0.0 0.0 FOR H. R. 4 1 1 חטר 0 001 0 00000 1120.00 -240 59 HADWING DOLLARD HIM FIND 0°0 0°0 0°0 0°0 RUUTING DATA IMES ISAME I J J RESERVOIR 3 MOUTING THHOUGH RESERVOIR 1 0.000.0 ITAPE 0 11514 454.455-121.01 5#J IECON 0 68. 270. TOP OF DAM ELEVATION- 205-3 0°0 AvG U.U 1 LCUML 1015 2112. AT TIME 1.50 HUUHS 35. 346.04 260. 444442à 01 #dS ISTAG HAD-1 CLU55 9.000 5414 २.१८ २.१८ १ 21. č57. 140.00 0.0 0.0 . 225. SPILLUAY CREST ELEVATION 0.00 MOTTON THAUGH SUMFACE ANEAS PEAK NUTFLU4 IS ELEVATIONS -CaMaciTY-FLUA 3191 0-2 111 राजनेत्र नेत्र स

2

........

See.

]

E

T

i E

E I [

1.1

C. Istali		जनाचा	- 	121213	 	112121	arear 	(E 1561	STAGE - STORAGE AND STAGE - DISCHARCE DATA 9531.51 FOR THE DOWLSTREAM 57567.05	ٺ ۔	15.1529
				-			S-SECTION ARCA	24.88 136.95	7290,94 1,002	178.42 178.95	1290.94
****		IAUTO 0				CHARACTERISTICS	CHANNEL CROSS-SECTION AT HALARD AREA	19.16 120.74	1[************************************	177.37	5394,56 +32851+1
		INAME ISTAGE 1 0	L578 0	STORA ISPRAT -1. 0		CHANNEL CHAR	170.00 { CHA	14.2n 105.66	3421.3J 6.1245	176.32	3421.33
		1 841 0	0 dhdl	15K 0.00U		DOWNSTREAM CHA	00*061 00	10.00	12.0421E	175.26	2549.67
•	4045146	ITAPE JPLT 0 0	1544E 10PT	а <mark>м</mark> 5кк 0.000 1.000		hen	140.00 170.041	6.56 78.45	02.7221 02.1220 111	12.01	1557.50
	<u>— нұралдадың алығты</u> арі) сечтер 1	IECON ITAPE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	LAG A.		HLNTH SEL 2000. 02500	172.00 196.00	3.49 51.12	66.55A 87.62525	173.16 173 .50	422.1J
* * * * * * * * *	IING TU HAZARI)	15144 1CUMP 42420 1	0.00 0.00 CLUSS AVG	HAT NSTOL		VI ELMAA .0 190.0	140.00 370.00	24.92 52.92	+1.156 +1.156	11.471	0003 151 152 151 152 151 152 151 152 152 152
•	CHANTIEL HOUTING	15141) (1447) 1447)	חים חיים מרחצצ כרו	5				*/* */*	6 H & P / 6 H & P / 1 4 4 1 M & J 4	1/1.05 1#1:5#	2
* * * * *	ROUTING TO CUNTER					(2) NF1 (1) ND (2) NF1 (1) ND	u.00 172.00 270.00	0.00 34.65	0° 00 12072,40	170,01	0°00
	BREACH FLOOD R					~C	E	STOHAGE	001ffL04	STAGE	FLOA

1

I

1

Ę

E

Ľ



IJ

||

APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

f.

NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

The War

-1

