

1.0	45 2.8 50 36 3.2 62 13.2	2.5 2.2	
		2.0 1.8	Ľ.
1.25	1.4	1.6	j

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

1

	REPORT DOCUM	INTATION PAG	E	0	
14 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		15. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
UNCLASSIFIED					
28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/A	VAILABILITY O	FREPORT	
		Approved for	r public re	lease; distr	ibution
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		unlimited.			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR- 84-0345			
6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	65. OFFICE SYMBOL	74. NAME OF MONT	TORING ORGAN	ZATION	
Georgia Institute of	(If applicable)	Air Force Of	ffice of Sc	ientific Res	earch
Technology					
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)			(e)	
School of Electrical Engineer	ring	Directorate	of Mathema	tical & Info	rmation
Atlanta GA 30332	-	Sciences, Bo	olling AFB	DC 20332	
Se. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	86. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			MBER
AFOSR	NM	DAAG29-81-K-(0024		
Bc. ADD RESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUI	NDING NOS.		
Bolling AFB DC 20332	Bolling AFB DC 20332		PROJECT NO. 2304	TASK NO. A9	WORK UNIT
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)					
MORPHOLOGICAL SELECTION REPRES 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Petros A. Maragos and Ronald	ENTATION AND COD	NG OF BINARY	IMAGES	L	<u> </u>
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME	COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT			DUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION				<u>_</u>	
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on reverse if n	ecessory and ident	ify by block number,)
FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.	- Dees / -	1 1994			
			•		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary (I identify by block numbe				
This paper presents a prelimi code a binary or a grey-tone image. An image can be uniqu ted by dilating these compone components possess a lower en scheme can be used to achieve mation rate than originally r	nary study on us image by parts of ely decomposed in nts. Since, for tropy than the of representation of equired.	ing Mathematic f its skeleton nto skeleton c a certain cat riginal image, or transmissic	cal Morphol a, a thinne components, cegory of in a run-len on of the in	ogy to repre d version of and then re magery, the gth or entro mage at a lo	sent and the construc- skeleton py coding wer infor- 2 0 1984
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTR		21. ABSTRACT SEC	URITY CLASSIN	CATI	A
ONCLASSIFIED/ONCLIMITED & SAME AS AP					
22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Joseph Bram	(include Area Ca (202) 767- 4	UMBER Moj 1939	22C. OFFICE SYMBOL		

To be presented at the 1984 International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing.

AFOSR-TR- 84-0347

NORPHOLOGICAL STELETON REPRESENTATION AND CODING OF BINARY IMAGES

1

Petros A. Maragos and Ronald W. Schafer

School of Electrical Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a preliminary study on using Mathematical Morphology to represent and code a binary or a grey-tone image by parts of its skeleton, a thinned version of the image. An image can be uniquely decomposed into skeleton components, and then reconstructed by dilating these components. Since, for a certain category of imagery, the skeleton components possess a lower entropy than the original image, a rumlength or entropy coding scheme can be used to achieve representation or transmission of the image at a lower information rate than originally required.

INTRODUCTION TO NATHINATICAL MORPHOLOGY

Mathematical Morphology, as a method for image analysis, was introduced by Matheron and Serra [1]. Its purpose is the quantitative description of geometrical structures. To extract information from an image object, Morphology "hits" it first with a "structuring element." The interaction with the structuring element transforms the object and reduces it to a sort of caricature which is more expressive than the actual initial phenomenon.

The most fundamental morphological transformations are erosion and dilation: Let X denote a set in the continuous or digital 2-D Euclidean space representing a binary analog or digital image object. Then X^{C} (complement of X) denotes the image background. Let B be the structuring element, which is another set with a simple geometrical shape, and denote by B_{χ} the translate of B whose center is situated at the point x. <u>Erosion</u> of X by B is the set of all points x such that B_{χ} is included in X (see Fig. 1). Symbolically,

$$\mathbf{X} \bigcirc \mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{X}\}$$
(1)

<u>Dilation</u> of X by B is the set of all points x such that B_{x} "hits" X; i.e. has a non-empty intersection with X. Symbolically,

X (→ B={x: B () X (2)

Fig. 1 shows the erosion and dilation of a set X by a disk B. This figure illustrates that erosion is a shrinking operation and dilation is an expanding operation. Brosion and dilation are dual operations w.r. to complementation: Broding X is equivalent to taking the complement of the dilation of X^C . If we erode X by B and then dilate the set $X \bigcirc B$ by B, we do not recover X. We reconstitute only a part of X which is simpler and has less details. It may be considered as that part which is most essential morphologically. We call this new set the <u>opening</u> of X w.r. to B:

ADA142250

 $X_{g} = (X \bigcirc B) \bigoplus B$ (3)

The opening is the domain swept out by all the translates of γ_i which are included in X. This operation smooths the contours of X, cuts the narrow isthmuses, suppresses the small islands and the sharp capes of X.

Although the above operations appear superficially simple, we can perform an enormous variety of image processing and image understanding tasks just by combining erosions and dilations, as is well developed in [1].

SERIETOR REPRESENTATION OF BURARY DUMES

The skeleton is a topologically equivalent thinned version of the image. It can be obtained from morphological transformations which emphasize features of the object associated with its connectivity. In the 2-D continuous space it is defined as follows: Let $R_{\rm s}$ denote the disk of radius r centered at the point x. Let $s_{\rm r}(X)$ denote the set of the centers of the disks $rR_{\rm s}$ such that: i) $rR_{\rm s}$ is the maximum disk centered at x and contained in the object X, and ii) the disk $rR_{\rm s}$ intersects the boundary of X at two or more different places. Then, the skeleton S(X)of X is defined as the set of the centers of the maximum disks inscribable in X, and is a caricature containing information about the shape, size and orientation of X. Some examples of skeletons are shown in Fig. 2. The skeleton S(X) can be obtained from the set union of $s_{\rm r}(X)$ (Lantuejoul $\{21\}\}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{U} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{U} \left[(\mathbf{x} \bigcirc \mathbf{r} \mathbf{B}) / (\mathbf{x} \bigcirc \mathbf{r} \mathbf{B})_{d\mathbf{r} \mathbf{B}} \right] (4) \\ & \mathbf{z} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Approved for public release ; distribution unlimited.

Sec. Car

84 06 18 159

The stand and the second states of the second

where "U" ("/") represents set union (difference), and dr is the infinitesimal small radius.

Although the skeleton is not a well-digitslizable notion, Serra [1] gives an algorithm for the skeleton of digital binary images sampled on a hexagonal grid.

Our research was focused on three areas: obtaining algorithms for skeletonizing digital binary images on a rectangular grid; using parts of the skeleton to code the image; and extending the above ideas to grey-tone images.

Let R denote the unit-size square of a rectangular grid (see Fig. 3) which is a square of 3×3 pixels, and let nR denote the square R magnified n times which gives a square of $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ pixels. Then a digital algorithm for S(X)of a rectangularly sampled image object X is

$$\begin{array}{c} n_{\text{Bax}} & n_{\text{Bax}} \\ s(x) = \nabla s_n(x) = \nabla \left[x \bigcirc nR \right) / \left(x \bigcirc nR \right)_R \right] (5) \\ n=0 & n=0 \end{array}$$

Eq. (5) says that the skeleton subsets $s_n(X)$ form a partition of S(X). Thus, S(X) is obtained by successively eroding X by nR, and then keeping from every eroded set $(X \odot nR)$ those parts only which consist of angular points and lines without thickness; these parts are the only ones remaining after the set difference between $(X \odot nR)$ and its opening $(X \odot nR)_R$. The maximum size n_{max} indicates the square of maximum size after which a further erosion erodes X down to the empty set.

Now, the image X can be <u>exactly</u> reconstructed by dilating the subsets of its skeleton by squares of corresponding size and taking their union:

$$X = U \left[s_{n}(X) \bigoplus bR \right]$$
 (6)

Eqs. (5) and (6) imply that the datum of the image (set X) is equivalent to that of its skeleton S(X) together with the size "n" of the maximum square associated with each point of S(X). In Fig. 4, proceeding from left to right columns, we show an example of an image object X and its erosions (X \bigcirc nR), the openings of these erosions, the skeleton subsets $s_n(X)$, the dilated subsets, the composition of the skeleton S(X) as the union of the skeleton subsets, and finally the reconstruction of X as the union of the dilated skeleton subsets.

SHELFTON THACK CODDING

According to Shannon's theory of discrete source coding [3] we consider the digitised images as sample functions of a 2-D stochastic process characterised by joint probability distributions of all orders. In practice we measure histograms instead of probability distributions. Consider a 1-D or 2-D block of consecutive pixels x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N , where x_i can be either 1 or 0 according to whether x_i belongs to the image object X or its background X^C respectively. Let $p(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N)$ be the Nth-order joint probability of these N pixels. Then the Nth-order joint entropy (in bits/pixel) of the binary image XUX^C is defined as

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{X}) = -(1/\mathbf{H}) + \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{H}}} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{H}}} p(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{H}})$$
$$+ \log_{2} p(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{H}})$$
(7)

As is well known, $H_{\rm H}$ is a nonincreasing function of N and the limit as N + = is the entropy of the stochastic source. If we consider the 2^H different blocks of N pixels each as our messages, we can employ Huffman coding or other suboptimum coding procedures [4] to achieve transmission rates very close to these Nth-order entropies. Thus, hereafter we will be referring to these Nth-order joint entropies of binary images as their achievable transmission rates.

Since every skeleton subset $s_n(X)$ is a much thinner binary image than X, then its Nth-order entropy, denoted by $B_M(s_n)$, will be much lower than $B_M(X)$. And there might be cases where

$$\frac{D_{max}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n}(s_{n}) \ll H_{n}(X)$$
(8)

Thus, to transmit $s_n(X)$ we need approximately $E_N(s_n)$ bits/pixel. In addition to the sum of all $E_N(s_n)$ we need information about " n_{max} ," which can be taken into account with the trivial amount of $\log_2(N/2)$ bits, for a binary image of N=N pixels.

When (8) holds, we can transmit all the skeleton subsets of X independently at a total rate less than the entropy of the original image, and fully reconstruct X without error as Eq. (6) indicates.

A further reduction in information rate can be achieved by using not all but only some of the skeleton subsets to reconstruct openings (smoothed versions) of the original image:

$$X_{kR} = \bigcup_{\substack{n=k \\ n=k}}^{n_{RRX}} [s_n(X) \bigoplus nR]$$
(9)

That is, if in the union of the skeleton subsets we omit the first k subsets $(n=0,1,\ldots,k-1)$, we reconstruct the opening of X w.r. to kR. The larger the k, the fewer subsets we transmit, the more we reduce the information rate, but the smoother is the version X that we reconstruct. As shown in the example of Fig 4, for N=4, the original image X has an entropy of 0.34 bits/pixel. If we use all the skeleton subsets we

3

reconstruct X perfectly at a rate of approximately 0.18 bits/pixel. If we desire to reconstruct only the openings $X_{\rm R}$ or $X_{\rm 2R}$, we cmit the first one or two skeleton subsets and thus we need approximately 0.16 or 0.14 bits/pixel respectively. Table 1 illustrates that more informatively.

21522 1 Hth-Order Intropies (bits/pixel) of a Skeleton Reconstructed Image and Its Openings.

	N	1	2	4	8
Image					
x		0. 47	0.22	0.18	0.15
¥.,		0.22	0.19	0.16	0,13
X.,		0.20	0.17	0.14	0.10
X _{3R}		0.07	0.06	0.05	0.03

The first-, second-, fourth- and eighth-order entropies of the original binary image without skeleton encoding are 0.79, 0.50, 0.34 and 0.23 respectively. Thus, as shown in Table 1, the sum of the entropies of all or some of the skeleton subsets is smaller than the entropies of the original unencoded image.

SELLETCH OF GRET-TONE DUAGES

In grey-tone Worphology [1] the binary erosions and dilations are replaced by "min" and "max" operators respectively. Consider a nonnegative bounded function f(i,j) representing the intensity of a sampled grey-tone image. Let $0 \le i(i,j)$ G for every integer pair (i,j) in the image support. All the zero-valued image samples will belong by convention to the background of the image object. Brosion or dilation of the function f by the 2-D structuring element R is defined [1] as

$$[f \odot R](i,j) = \min[f(r,s): (r,s) \in R_{\{i,j\}}]$$
 (10a)

$$[f \bigoplus r](i,j) = \max[f(r,s): (r,s) \in \mathbb{R}_{(i,j)}]$$
(10b)

where $R_{(1, j)}$ denotes the square R centered at the pixel (1, j). The opening f_R of f w.r. to R is defined as an erosion followed by dilation.

We provide now a digital algorithm for the skeleton S(f) of f which will be the nonnegative function:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{n=0}^{n} \mathbf{s}_{n}(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{n=0}^{n} \left[(\mathbf{f} \odot \mathbf{n} \mathbf{k}) - (\mathbf{f} \odot \mathbf{n} \mathbf{k})_{\mathbf{R}} \right] \\ \end{array}$$

Eq. (11) is a direct transposition of Eq. (5) where we replaced the binary set union/difference by an algebraic addition/subtraction. Because the opening is an anti-extensive operation $(f_{\rm p} < f)$, the skeleton subfunctions in the brackets

of (11) will be nonnegative functions. Similarly as in Eq. (6) or (9), the function f or its openings can be reconstructed by summing algebraically all or some of the skeleton subfunctions $s_n(f)$ dilated by nR.

The implications and the coding efficiency of the skeleton of the image function f in terms of entropy considerations are still under investigation.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study indicate that a digital binary image can be uniquely decomposed into its skeleton and the maximum inscribable squares, and uniquely reconstructed from its skeleton. The skeleton provides useful information about the shape, size and orientation of an inage. For certain categories of images the total entropy of the skeleton subsets is lower than the entropy of the original images. Original 1 bit/pixel test images of irregularly and regularly shaped objects were reconstructed without error by their full skeleton at information rates of ~0.20 bits/pixel. Smoothed versions of these images required rates of only =0.15 bits/pixel. Finally, by using min/max operations instead of binary erosions/dilations, these ideas can be extended to grey-tone images.

- J. Serra, "Image Analysis and Mathematical Norphology," Acad. Press, New York, 1982.
- [2] Ch. Lantuejoel," Skeletonization in Quantitative Metallography," in Issues of Digital Image Proc. (R. M. Haralick and J. C. Simon, eds.) series E: Applied Science, No. 34, 1980.
- [3] R. G. Gallager, "Information Theory and Reliable Communication," J. Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1968.
- [4] T. S. Huang, "Coding of Two-Tone Images," IEEE Trans. on Commun., Vol. CON-25, No. 11, Nov. 1977, pp. 1406-1424.

. .

And A Start of



Figure 1 - Erosion and Dilation of a set X by B (after [2]).

S(X)

XnR



Figure 2 - Examples of Skeletons (after [1]).

Х

1



DILATION





Figure 4 - Step by step decomposition and reconstruction of an image object X by the components of its skeleton S(X) :

(a) size -n - of the structuring square nR

- (b) eroded sets (XOnR) (c) openings of the eroded sets $(X - nR)_R$
- (d) skeleton subsets $s_n(X)$ (e) dilated skeleton subsets $s_n(X) \oplus nR$ (f) set union of skeleton subsets $s_k(X)$ for
- k = 7, 6, , n-1, n (g) set union of dilated subsets $s_k(X) \oplus kR$
- for k = 7, 6, ..., n-1, n, which gives the opening X_{nR}
- (h) sum of the entropies $H_{4}(s_{k})$ of the subsets $s_{k}(X)$, $k=7,\ldots,n$, which are required to reconstruct the opening XnR of the original object X

= 0			• • •	•••	-□		0.18
1			••••		+ □ -{ -		0.16
2		•••	·····	∴ □	+ -		0.14
3	•••	•••	·• ··	• •			0.05
4	• •	•	• •	••	••		0.03
5	•	•.			-		0.01
6		-			-		0.01
7	' -		-		-		0.01
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)

DATE ILME