

AD-A142 229

INTERIM TECHNICAL REPORT GRANT AFOSR-82-0135 15 MARCH
1983 TO 14 MARCH 19..(U) MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECH
CAMBRIDGE LAB FOR INFORMATION AND D..

1/ 1


UNCLASSIFIED

S K MITTER ET AL. MAY 84 LIDS-IR-1374

F/G 12/1

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

AD-A142 229

ION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEOLE		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR- 84-0473	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) LIDS-IR-1374	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Office of Scientific Research		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Massachusetts Institute of Technology	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Directorate of Mathematical & Information Sciences, Bolling AFB DC 20332	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Laboratory for Information & Decision Systems (Bldg 35), Cambridge MA 02139	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR-82-0135		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NM	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F PROJECT NO. 2304 TASK NO. A1 WORK UNIT NO.	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Bolling AFB DC 20332		11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) INTERIM TECHNICAL REPORT, GRANT AFOSR-82-0135, MARCH 15, 1983 TO MARCH 14, 1984.	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) S.K. Mitter and B. Levy			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim	13b. TIME COVERED FROM 15/3/83 TO 14/3/84	14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) MAY 84	15. PAGE COUNT 12
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION			
17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) This interim report describes the research carried out by Professors Sanjoy K. Mitter and Bernard Levy and Mr. Yehuda Avniel and Mr. Saul Gelfand during the time period 15 March 83 to 14 March 1984, with support extended by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR-82-0135. The principal investigator was Professor Sanjoy Mitter. The research was carried out on the following main topics: (1) Linear and Nonlinear Filtering and Related Scattering and Inverse Scattering Problems; (2) Stochastic Control with Partial Observations. Technical details of the research may be found in the reports, theses, and papers cited in the references. A list of publications supported wholly or partially by this grant is included at the end of the report.			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> OTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. John Burns		22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (202) 767- 5028	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL A

DD FORM 1473, 83 AP

EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE

84 06 19 044

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC
ELECTE

JUN 19 1984

AFOSR-TR- 84 - 0473

May 1984

LIDS-IR-1374

An Interim Technical Report of Research

Under Grant AFOSR 82-0135

for the period

March 15, 1983 to March 14, 1984

Accession For	
DTIC GRAFI	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	Special

A-1

Submitted to:

Dr. John Burns
Directorate of Mathematical and Information Sciences
Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC)
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, D.C. 20332

DTIC
ELECTE
S JUN 19 1984 D
A

By:

Professors Sanjoy K. Mitter and Bernard Levy
Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Approved for public release:
distribution unlimited.

84 06 19 044

Ø

ABSTRACT

This interim report describes the research carried out by Professors Sanjoy K. Mitter and Bernard Levy and Mr. Yehuda Avniel and Mr. Saul Gelfand during the time period March 15, 1983 to March 14, 1984, with support extended by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AF-AFOSR 82-0135A.

The principal investigator was Professor Sanjoy Mitter. The contract monitor was Dr. J. Burns of the AFOSR Directorate of Mathematical and Information Sciences.

→ Research was carried out on the following main topics:

1. Linear and Nonlinear Filtering and related Scattering and Inverse Scattering Problems, *ad*
2. Stochastic Control with Partial Observations.

Technical details of the research may be found in the reports, theses, and papers cited in the references. A list of publications supported wholly or partially by this grant is included at the end of this report. ↙

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFOSR)
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DTIC
This technical report has been reviewed and is
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12.
Distribution is unlimited.
MATTHEW J. KERPNER
Chief, Technical Information Division

1. Introduction

Two fundamental aspects of stochastic system theory are filtering and stochastic control theory. Indeed, the two aspects are interrelated in the sense that stochastic control in the presence of incomplete and uncertain information about the state of the system. This is the domain of filtering theory. Moreover, the problem of parameter identification could be considered as a special case of nonlinear filtering and the problem of optimal adaptive control (suitably formulated) can be considered as a special case of stochastic control with partial uncertain observations. One must remark that this necessitates taking a Bayesian point of view.

Stochastic Calculus of Variations could be considered as a special case of Stochastic Control. This is well known in the deterministic situation and indeed the two fields could be considered equivalent, namely, by appropriate transformation one can pass from one formulation to the other. This is, however, not so in a stochastic setting where careful distinction needs to be made between "open-loop control" (pre-programmed control) and "feedback control" (control based on past history of the observations).

This report is concerned with fundamental aspects of filtering theory and stochastic control. The main themes of this research have been described in the

comprehensive proposal submitted to the Air Force Office of Scientific Research in September 1981. One of the new directions proposed was to develop a Scattering and Inverse Scattering Framework for estimation problems for random fields. Considerable progress has been made in the direction during the current period of the grant.

It should also be mentioned that the work proposed here is potentially of great benefit to the U.S. Air Force. Increasingly, it is being recognized that ad hoc techniques using linearization and perturbation methods are unsatisfactory and nonlinear theory is ripe for applications. The Kalman filter has played an important role in guidance and control of aerospace vehicles. However, the extended Kalman filter which is used to handle nonlinear situations is not understood from a scientific point of view and often given rise to incurable convergence difficulties. We have made some progress towards alleviating this situation. In particular, we have greatly enhanced understanding about the derivation and functioning of the Extended Kalman Filter.

The control of future aircrafts, large space structures, and aerospace vehicles is a problem of continuing importance from the point of view of designing adaptive systems that operate reliably over

a wide operating envelope. Similarly, the control of advanced jet engines, whose dynamic characteristics change rapidly with operating conditions, pose difficult problems if one wishes to design a control system which accomplishes commanded thrust level changes rapidly, while maintaining fan and compressor stability margins. It would appear that an adequate theory of stochastic control will be essential to solve these problems. Due to the tremendous increase in computing power available and decrease in costs in memory size the problem of dealing with nonlinearities is no longer the insurmountable obstacle it was.

2. Research Progress

3.1 Nonlinear Filtering

A long-standing open problem in filtering theory has been to obtain a derivation of the Extended Kalman Filter and explain its qualitative behavior. The Extended Kalman Filter is widely used in aerospace systems and is known to function very well in many situations, but is also known to exhibit divergence phenomenon in the presence of modelling errors. In the paper [1], first steps towards a rigorous derivation of Extended Kalman Filter as well as explaining its qualitative properties were taken. Further work on this problem has been done, and a detailed paper jointly with Wendell Fleming of Brown University is now in preparation. To obtain this result, we use the

stochastic control interpretation of nonlinear filtering described and in the joint paper with Wendell Fleming [2], the Morse Lemma with parameters [3], and the work of Malliavin on Stochastic Jacobi fields [4].

It was suggested that the Stochastic Control Interpretation of Nonlinear Filtering would provide the means for obtaining bounds for nonlinear filtering. A first step in this direction has been taken in the paper mentioned above. Specifically, the analog of the Fisher Information Matrix has been defined. This paper also shows how the nonlinear filtering problem is related to the identification problem. We are now considering examples related to the phase-lock loop to understand better lower bounds on performance that can be obtained using these ideas.

An important open problem for the last few years has been the construction of robust filters using pathwise nonlinear filtering for observations which are unbounded. This problem has been settled in the negative by Sussmann based on preliminary work by Mitter. More specifically, we have shown by example that the normalization constant becomes infinity for certain "physical" observation paths. This suggests that what is needed, is, constructing the normalized conditioned density by examining the robust versions of the numerator and denominator of the Kallianpur-Striebel Formula after "cut-offs" have been introduced. One then has to remove the cut-off in an appropriate way. This is a familiar procedure in Statistical Mechanics

but some key monotonicity properties seem to be absent in the problem under investigation.

For further progress on the qualitative behavior on nonlinear filters, it is necessary to understand the small-time and large-time behaviors of these filters. Mathematically, this problem is related to the small-time behavior of conditional diffusions and to large deviation theory. For diffusion processes there now exists a large body of theory developed by Donsker-Varadhan and Ventcel-Freidlin (cf. Bismut [loc. cit] for a review of these ideas). What is needed is a generalization of these ideas to conditional diffusion processes, and we have begun investigations on this problem.

3.2 Linear Estimation, Scattering Theory and Inverse Scattering Theory

We have done research on

- (i) The relationship between Estimation Theory and Scattering Theory with a view to obtaining a better understanding of filter synthesis and approximation theory for linear filters and
- (ii) The relationship between Estimation Theory and Inverse Scattering Theory with a view to understanding estimation for random fields.

3.2.1 Filtering Theory and Scattering Theory

We have made considerable progress in illuminating the relationship between the work of Adamjan-Arov and

Krein on Scattering Theory and the Theory of Hankel Operators. This work has important consequences for the following problems of stochastic systems theory:

- a) Stochastic Realization Theory
- b) Approximation of Stochastic Systems
- c) Approximation of Filters

Moreover, the concept of a scattering function (in the sense of Adamjan-Arov and Krein) provides a unifying framework for the study of deterministic and stochastic linear systems, both in finite and infinite dimensions. The details of this work will be available in the doctoral dissertation of Y. Avniel and in forthcoming papers by Mitter and Avniel. A preliminary report will be presented in the AMS Summer Course on Linear Algebra and Systems Theory in July 1984.

3.2.2 Estimation Theory and Inverse Scattering Theory

Over the past year, our research has focused on the study of the relations existing between linear estimation theory and inverse scattering theory, and on the development of new inverse scattering techniques of a differential type. We have also studied the application of these techniques to inverse problems such as the inverse seismic or inverse resistivity problem of geophysics, or such as the problem of reconstructing a minimum-phase filter from its phase or magnitude. The results of this investigation are reported in [1]-[9], and will only be reviewed briefly here.

In the area of linear estimation and its relation to inverse scattering theory, it was shown in [1]-[2] that the problem of estimating a one or two-dimensional isotropic random field from noisy observations can be formulated as an inverse scattering problem, where given the spectral density $r(\lambda)$ of the observations $y(\cdot)$, the objective is to construct a second-order differential operator whose spectral density is also $r(\lambda)$. The differential operators that we have considered are the Schrödinger operator $\underline{\Delta} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ and the operator

$$\underline{W} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d}{dx} & r(x) \\ -r(x) & \frac{d}{dx} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is associated to two-component wave systems of the type appearing in the analysis of transmission lines. Then, we showed that the problem of reconstructing $\underline{\Delta}$ or \underline{W} from the spectral function $r(\lambda)$ is identical to the original random fields estimation problem. By exploiting the structure of the Gelfand-Levitan or Marchenko equations which appear in the reconstruction process, we were able to obtain some efficient algorithms for computing the optimum estimation filter. These algorithms generalize the so-called Levinson recursions which in one dimension solve the prediction problem over a finite interval. The fast

algorithm that we have obtained for estimating isotropic two-dimensional random fields is the first to be derived in dimension higher than one. We have been able recently to use this algorithm to construct maximum entropy spectral estimator of two-dimensional (2-D) random fields. This method for constructing 2-D maximum entropy spectral estimator is considerably more efficient than existing techniques, which had to rely on iterative techniques.

In addition, we are also currently studying the implications of our estimation results and of their links with inverse scattering theory for the design of optimum estimation filters with lattice architectures which reflect the two-component wave model which was selected. The advantage of lattice architectures is that they are modular, stable, and have been shown to be highly insensitive to noise. The existence of such filter implementations in one dimension is known, but our work also applies to higher dimensions.

In the area of inverse scattering theory, in [3], [6], we have obtained a new class of differential inverse scattering methods which operate on a layer stripping principle and reconstruct a scattering medium recursively, layer by layer. These inverse scattering methods generalize an algorithm introduced by Schur in 1917 for testing the boundedness of a function which is analytic inside the unit circle. These recursions also arise when we want to factor a

symmetric Toeplitz operator in causal times anticausal form, or when we want to compute the Cholesky factorization of a symmetric Toeplitz matrix. Because of their recursive nature, these algorithms are easier to implement than traditional inverse scattering methods (introduced by Gelfand and Levitan, Kay and Moses, Krein, Marchenko, Faddeev...) which rely on the solution of integral equations. The differential inverse scattering methods that we propose have been related to these integral equations in [3], where an extremely simple derivation of all integral methods which is based on causality is given.

An overview of the applications of differential inverse scattering techniques to transmission line analysis, inverse problems of geophysics, linear estimation theory and even the reconstruction of lossy systems is given in [6]. In [4] the Schur algorithm was used to solve the inverse seismic problem for a one-dimensional layered medium described by acoustic equations. By probing the medium obliquely with plane waves at two different angles, and by running two distinct sets of recursions corresponding to these two experiments, it was shown that both the density $p(x)$ and velocity $c(x)$ of the medium can be reconstructed as functions of depth. The point source case (i.e. the case when the probing wave is a spherical wave) was also considered, and it was shown that the data can be transformed into the data for oblique incidence

experiments by slant-stacking, i.e. by performing Radon transforms. These results were generalized in [5] to the case when the medium which is probed is described by the equations of elasticity, in which case the problem becomes harder since both pressure (P) and stress (S) waves coexist and can be converted into one another. By generalizing the layer-stripping approach of [3], [6] to this system (which is a differential system of order 4) we were able to reconstruct the density $\rho(x)$ and the Lamé parameters $\lambda(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ as functions of depth.

Preliminary numerical results which apply these reconstruction techniques to synthetic data seem promising. This was somewhat expected since the Schur algorithm is known to be numerically stable. We are presently studying the impact of noise on our reconstruction techniques, as well as developing several methods which rely on taking more data and using least-squares methods to reduce the influence of noise. In addition, since our results hold when the medium is probed by plane waves at angles beyond the critical angle of incidence, we are studying the impact of turning points on our reconstruction methods, and more specifically whether the waves transmitted back to the surface beyond the turning point can be used in our reconstruction procedure, in addition to the reflected (scattered) waves which we are presently using. A full account of all these results will be given in [9].

Recently, we have also considered inverse scattering problems for which the reflection coefficient is rational. Several reconstruction methods for this problem were developed in the past, but these methods are relatively inefficient since they rely on solving systems of linear equations, or computing determinants for every reconstructed value. Instead, by using the analogy with linear estimation theory, in [7] we were able to obtain a reconstruction procedure which relies on a state-space model of the reflection coefficient, and which is similar to the Kalman filter of linear filtering theory. The advantage of this procedure is that it is recursive, and requires fewer operations than existing methods.

The problems mentioned above are not the only ones for which layer-stripping ideas can be used. In [6], it was shown that the Schur algorithm could be generalized to deal with the case when the medium that we want to reconstruct is lossy. In this case, it is necessary to use both transmission and reflection data, and two coupled Schur algorithms need to be used. This procedure was illustrated for the reconstruction of lossy transmission lines. In [8], we studied the inverse resistivity problem of the earth, which arises when the earth is probed by injecting some direct current, and when the potential on the surface of the earth is measured. The main feature of this problem is that it is not an inverse scattering

problem since the equation for the earth 's potential is elliptic. Nevertheless, a mapping procedure can be used to transform this problem into an equivalent inverse scattering problem. This mapping procedure can be interpreted in terms of Maxwell's method of images which models the inhomogeneities of the earth's resistivity by the introduction of fictitious current sources inside the earth. We are also investigating the application of this method to the study of the inverse seismic problem where the earth is probed obliquely by plane waves at two fixed frequencies, and for all lateral wavenumbers. The results that we have obtained will be described in [9].

Finally, it should be mentioned that 1-D inverse problems are quite simple when compared to real world inverse problems which are usually three-dimensional. We are now starting some work on 3-D inverse problems of geophysics, which relies on combining wave migration techniques with Born, or WKBJ approximation techniques of inverse scattering theory.

4. New Research Initiated during this Grant Period

We have begun research on image processing and image understanding using the theory of Markov Random Fields. The objective is to develop a theory of stochastic geometry which will be useful in recognition of geometric shapes present in a noisy image.

REFERENCES

Section 3.1

1. S.K. Mitter, "Approximations for Nonlinear Filtering," to appear in Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Nonlinear Stochastic Systems, Portugal, May 1982, to be published by D. Reidel, Holland.
2. W.H. Fleming and S.K. Mitter, "Optimal Control and Nonlinear Filtering for Nondegenerate Diffusion Processes," to appear in Stochastics, 1982.
3. L. Hormander, "Fourier Integral Operators, I," Acta Mathematica, 127, 79-183, 1971.
4. J.M. Bismut, "Large Deviations and the Malliavin Calculus," Technical Report, University of Paris, Orsay.

Section 3.2

See References 1-9 under Publications.

PUBLICATIONS

1. B.C. Levy and J.N. Tsitsiklis, "Linear Estimation of Stationary Stochastic Processes, Vibrating Strings, and Inverse Scattering," Report LIDS-P-1155, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA, Nov. 1982; submitted to IEEE Trans. Informat. Theory.

2. B.C. Levy and J.N. Tsitsiklis, "An Inverse Scattering Procedure for the Linear Estimation of Two-Dimensional Isotropic Random Fields," Report LIDS-P-1192, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA, May 1983; submitted to IEEE Trans. Informat. Theory.
3. A.M. Bruckstein, B.C. Levy and T. Kailath, "Differential Methods in Inverse Scattering," Report LIDS-P-1313, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA, August 1983; accepted for publication in SIAM J. Applied Math.
4. A.E. Yagle and B.C. Levy, "Application of the Schur Algorithm to the Inverse Problem for a Layered Acoustic Medium," Report LIDS-P-1299, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA, June 1983. accepted for publication in J. Acoust. Soc. America.
5. A.E. Yagle and B.C. Levy, "A Layer-Stripping Solution of the Inverse Problem for a One-Dimensional Elastic Medium," Report LIDS-P-1329, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA, Sept. 1983; accepted for publication in Geophysics.
6. A.E. Yagle and B.C. Levy, "The Schur Algorithm and its Applications," Report LIDS-P-1362, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA,

Feb. 1984; submitted to Acta Applicandae Mathematica.

7. B.C. Levy, "A Kalman Filter Solution of the Inverse Scattering Problem with a Rational Reflection Coefficient," Report LIDS-P-1365, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA, March 1984; submitted to Systems and Control Letters.
8. B.C. Levy, "Fast Algorithms for Reconstructing the Earth Resistivity from Direct Current Measurements," in preparation.
9. A.E. Yagle, "Layer Stripping Solutions of Inverse Seismic Problems," Ph.D. thesis, Department of Elec. Eng. and Computer Science, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA; expected date of completion: August 1984.
10. S.K. Mitter and S.K. Young, "Integration with Respect to Operator-Valued Measures with Applications to Quantum Estimation Theory," to appear in Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata.
11. Y. Avniel and S.K. Mitter, "Scattering Theory and Estimation Theory," to appear.

CONFERENCE PAPERS AND INVITED LECTURES (Bernard Levy)

1. "A Fast Algorithm for the Reconstruction of a Minimum Phase Filter from its Phase," SIAM 1983 National Meeting, Denver, Colorado, June 1983.
2. "An Inverse Scattering Procedure for the Linear Estimation of 2-D Isotropic Random Fields," Univ. of California, Berkeley, June 1983.
3. "Inverse Spectral/Scattering Methods in Linear Estimation," Mathematics Workshop on Computational Methods in Ill Posed and Inverse Problems, Cornell University, NY, July 1983.
4. "Inverse Scattering Methods and Their Applications in Signal Processing and Linear Estimation," (6 Lectures), University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, August-Sept., 1983.
5. "Inverse Scattering Methods for Linear Estimation," 22nd IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Antonio, Texas, December 1983.
6. "A Layer Stripping Solution of the Inverse Problem for a One-Dimensional Elastic Medium" (paper coauthored with A.E. Yagle), International Conference on Inverse Problems of Acoustic and Elastic Waves, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, June 1984.

CONFERENCE PAPERS AND INVITED LECTURES (S.K. Mitter)

1. "Stochastic Variational Problems," Berkeley-Ames Conference on Nonlinear Problems in Control and Fluid Dynamics, Berkeley, California, May 31-June 10, 1983.
2. Lectures on Pathwise Nonlinear Filtering, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy, June 1983.
3. "Une Contre-exemple dans le Filtrage Trajectorielle," Institute National de Recherche en Informatique et Automatique, Sofia-Antipolis, France, June 27, 1983.
4. Two Lectures on Estimation Theory and Mathematical Physics, Third International Seminar on Mathematical Theory of Dynamical Systems and Microphysics, Udine, Italy, September 4-9, 1983.
5. "A Counterexample in Pathwise Nonlinear Filtering," Italian National Meeting on Filtering and Stochastic Control, Padova, Italy, September 12-15, 1983.
6. "The Approximation Problem for Nonlinear Filtering," SIAM National Meeting, Norfolk, Virginia, November 6-8, 1983.

DATE
FILME