

AD-A142 136


THEORETICAL METHODS IN THE CALCULATION OF THE  
BREMSSTRAHLUNG RECOIL FORCE IN A NONEQUILIBRIUM  
BEAM-PLASMA SYSTEM(U) HARRY DIAMOND LABS ADELPHI MD  
H E BRANDT MAY 84 HDL-TR-2009


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 12/1

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A142 136



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER HDL-TR-2009	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A142136	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Theoretical Methods in the Calculation of the Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) Howard E. Brandt		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Harry Diamond Laboratories 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Program Ele: 61101A	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command Alexandria, VA 22333		12. REPORT DATE May 1984	
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 48	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES HDL Project: A10225 DRCMS Code: 61110191A0011 DA Project: 1L161101A91A			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Radiation	Plasma physics	Bremsstrahlung	A
Microwaves	Relativistic electron beams	Beam-plasma systems	
Plasma turbulence	Radiative instability	Nonlinear plasma physics	
Relativistic plasma			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
<p>The reduction of the lowest order nonlinear bremsstrahlung recoil force on the bare charge of an unperturbed relativistic test particle in a nonequilibrium beam-plasma system is derived from first principles. The purpose is to identify many of the important physical assumptions and mathematical techniques involved in Tsytovich's theory of nonlinear bremsstrahlung and radiative instability.</p>			



## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a nonequilibrium beam-plasma system, the lowest-order Born approximation for the nonlinear force  $\vec{F}_\alpha^{(1)}$  on the bare charge of an unperturbed relativistic test particle is given by<sup>1-3</sup>

$$\vec{F}_\alpha^{(1)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int d\vec{k} \vec{k} \frac{\vec{v}_\alpha \cdot \vec{E}_k}{\omega + i\delta} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) . \quad (1)$$

Here  $\alpha$  is a label designating the species of the test particle of charge  $e_\alpha$  and velocity  $\vec{v}_\alpha$ ,  $t$  is the time,  $\vec{k}$  is the wave vector, and  $\omega$  is the frequency corresponding to the Fourier component  $\vec{E}_k$  of the total field,  $\delta(x)$  is the one-dimensional Dirac delta function,  $\delta$  is a small imaginary part, and  $d\vec{k} \equiv d^3\vec{k} d\omega$ . Equation (1) differs from equation (7) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> by an additional factor of  $(2\pi)^{-3}$  appearing in the latter because of the different Fourier transform convention chosen there.<sup>2</sup> If the part of the contribution to the force, equation (1), which is associated with recoil of the unperturbed bare test particle due to bremsstrahlung, is averaged over the random phase of the bremsstrahlung field, it reduces to

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle = \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1a)} \rangle + \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1b)} \rangle , \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1a)} \rangle &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} e_\alpha^2 e_\beta^2 \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}_\beta d\omega d^3\vec{k} d^3\vec{k}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\vec{k}}{\omega^2 (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)^4} \\ &\times |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 |e_{kj}^\sigma \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k, -k) G_{ip}(-k) v_{\alpha p}|^2 \\ &\times (\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \cdot \vec{v}_\beta f_{p\beta}^{R(0)} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha + (\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

is defined and calculated in this report, and  $\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1b)} \rangle$  is defined by equations (25), (26), and (47) below but calculated in another report.\* The quantity  $\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1b)} \rangle$  is not mentioned by Akopyan and Tsytovich,<sup>1</sup> but it is nonvanishing and makes an important contribution to nonlinear bremsstrahlung.

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>2</sup>H. E. Brandt, *Nonlinear Force on an Unpolarized Relativistic Test Particle to Second-Order in the Total Field in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1995 (August 1983).

<sup>3</sup>H. E. Brandt, *Nonlinear Dynamic Polarization Force on a Relativistic Test Particle in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1994 (September 1983).

\*H. E. Brandt, *Collective Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force on the Bare Charge of an Unperturbed Test Particle in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, 1983, (to be published).

The quantity  $\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1a)} \rangle$  given by equation (3) corresponds to equation (27) of Akopyan and Tsytovich.<sup>1</sup> Their equation (27) is expressed in Gaussian units, whereas equation (3) above is in MKS units. This results in an additional overall factor of  $(4\pi)^2$  in Akopyan and Tsytovich.<sup>1</sup> Also there is an additional factor of  $(2\pi)^{-6}$  in their work because of different Fourier transform conventions. However, their equation (27) also differs from equation (3) here in that they omit an overall factor of 2. Also, the arguments  $k$  and  $-\kappa$  of  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k, -\kappa)$  in equation (3) appear incorrectly interchanged in equation (27) of Akopyan and Tsytovich.<sup>1</sup> As shown below, these disparities are evidently due to minor errors in their work.

In equation (3),  $\beta$  designates the species of the other particle with velocity  $\vec{v}_\beta$ , momentum  $\vec{p}_\beta$ , and background distribution  $f_{p\beta}^{R(0)}$  involved in the bremsstrahlung process. Also,  $\vec{k}$  is the momentum transfer from the test particle and  $E_k^{\sigma(0)}$  is the amplitude of the lowest order stochastic bremsstrahlung field with polarization  $\vec{e}_k^\sigma$  and mode  $\sigma$ . The matrix  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k, -\kappa)$  in equation (3) is defined by<sup>1-3</sup>

$$\Lambda_{ij}^{(\alpha)}(k_1, k) = \frac{e_\alpha}{\gamma_\alpha m_\alpha} \left( \delta_{ij} + \frac{v_{\alpha i} k_j - v_{\alpha j} k_i}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - i\delta} - \frac{v_{\alpha i} v_{\alpha j} \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 - \frac{\omega \omega_1}{c^2} \right)}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - i\delta)^2} \right). \quad (4)$$

The quantity  $G_{mn}(k)$  in equation (3) is the linear photon Green's function, whose inverse is given by<sup>4</sup>

$$G_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu_0 (\omega + i\delta)^2} (k_i k_j - k^2 \delta_{ij}) + \epsilon_{ij}. \quad (5)$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>2</sup>H. E. Brandt, *Nonlinear Force on an Unpolarized Relativistic Test Particle to Second-Order in the Total Field in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1995 (August 1983).

<sup>3</sup>H. E. Brandt, *Nonlinear Dynamic Polarization Force on a Relativistic Test Particle in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1994 (September 1983).

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).



For a spatially isotropic system, the dielectric permittivity tensor  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is given by<sup>4-7</sup>

$$\epsilon_{ij}(\vec{k}, \omega) = \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \right) \epsilon_t(\vec{k}, \omega) + \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \epsilon_l(\vec{k}, \omega) , \quad (6)$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  and  $\epsilon_l$  are the transverse and longitudinal permittivity, respectively. In this case the linear photon Green's function is given by<sup>4-6</sup>

$$G_{ij} = \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2 \epsilon_l} + \frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon_t (\omega + i\delta)^2 - \frac{k^2}{\mu_0}} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \right) , \quad (7)$$

and the transverse and longitudinal permittivities are given by

$$\epsilon_t = \epsilon_0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\omega + i\delta)^2} \sum_s \frac{e_s^2}{k^2} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_s}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ k^2 v_{sm} + \frac{k^2 v_s^2 - \omega \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} k_m \right] \frac{\partial f_{ps}^{R(0)}}{\partial p_{sm}} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\epsilon_l = \epsilon_0 + \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta} \sum_s \frac{e_s^2}{k^2} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_s}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s f_{ps}^{R(0)} , \quad (9)$$

respectively. Here  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  are the permittivity and permeability, respectively, of the vacuum. Each species  $s$  of particles present in the system makes a contribution to equations (8) and (9). If the distribution function depends only on energy, then using the expression  $\epsilon_s$  for the relativistic energy of a particle of species  $s$ , namely,

$$\epsilon_s = (p_s^2 c^2 + m_s^2 c^4)^{1/2} , \quad (10)$$

allows equations (8) and (9) to be easily rewritten in terms of  $\partial f_{ps}^{R(0)} / \partial \epsilon_s$ .<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).

<sup>5</sup>A. I. Akhiezer, I. A. Akhiezer, R. V. Polovin, A. G. Sitenko, and K. N. Stepanov, *Plasma Electrodynamics, Vol. 1, Linear Theory*, Pergamon Press (1975), 206.

<sup>6</sup>V. N. Tsytovich, *Nonlinear Effects in Plasma*, Plenum Press, New York (1970), 314.

<sup>7</sup>V. N. Tsytovich, *Theory of Turbulent Plasma (Consultants Bureau)*, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York (1977), 63-65.

In the present work, equations (2) and (3) are derived from first principles for the purpose of identifying some of the important physical assumptions and mathematical techniques involved in Tsytovich's theory of nonlinear bremsstrahlung and radiative instability in relativistic nonequilibrium beam-plasma systems (see also Selected Bibliography).<sup>1</sup> This will facilitate understanding and illustrate the procedures for reducing other components of the total nonlinear recoil force on a test particle due to bremsstrahlung emission.<sup>1</sup>

The present work, together with other related work by the author (see also Selected Bibliography),<sup>2-4</sup> is important for ongoing work in calculating collective radiative processes and conditions for the occurrence of radiative instability in relativistic nonequilibrium beam-plasma systems.

## 2. REDUCTION OF A COMPONENT OF THE BREMSSTRAHLUNG RECOIL FORCE

In this section, equation (3) is to be derived by reducing that part of equation (1) which corresponds to bremsstrahlung recoil. Also the quantity  $\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1b)} \rangle$  appearing in equation (2) will be defined. It has been shown by using the balance equations that the general form for the collective bremsstrahlung recoil force on a test particle participating in induced bremsstrahlung in a nonequilibrium beam-plasma system is given by<sup>1,8</sup>

$$\vec{F}_\alpha^\sigma = -4\pi^3 \int \frac{d^3\vec{k} d\omega d^3\vec{k}' d^3\vec{p}_\beta}{(2\pi)^9} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (\omega^2 \epsilon^\sigma(\vec{k}, \omega)) \vec{k} (\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) \cdot (\vec{v}_{p_\beta} f_{p_\beta}) \quad (11)$$

$$\times |E_{\vec{k}}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 v_{p_\alpha, p_\beta}^g(\vec{k}, \vec{k}') \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha + (\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) .$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>2</sup>H. E. Brandt, *Nonlinear Force on an Unpolarized Relativistic Test Particle to Second-Order in the Total Field in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1995 (August 1983).

<sup>3</sup>H. E. Brandt, *Nonlinear Dynamic Polarization Force on a Relativistic Test Particle in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1994 (September 1983).

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).

<sup>8</sup>H. E. Brandt, *On the Form of the Collective Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories HDL-TR-2026 (January 1984).

Here the dielectric constant  $\epsilon^\sigma(\vec{k}, \omega)$  for the bremsstrahlung mode  $\sigma$  is defined in terms of the dielectric permittivity tensor  $\epsilon_{ij}(\vec{k}, \omega)$  and the unit electric polarization vector  $\vec{e}_k^\sigma$  by<sup>4, 6, 8, \*</sup>

$$\epsilon^\sigma(\vec{k}, \omega) = e_{ki}^{\sigma*} \epsilon_{ij} e_{kj}^\sigma + \epsilon_0 \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_k^\sigma) (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_k^{\sigma*}) . \quad (12)$$

Also in equation (11),  $E_k^{\sigma(0)}$  is the zeroth-order amplitude of the stochastic bremsstrahlung field,<sup>4</sup> and  $V_{p\alpha, p\beta}^\sigma(\vec{k}, \vec{k})$  is the bremsstrahlung transition probability with the delta function factored out, expressing conservation of energy. It is clear that  $V_{p\alpha, p\beta}^\sigma(\vec{k}, \vec{k})$  must be at least first order in the regular part of the field. In reducing equation (1), only those contributions which are of the same form as equation (11) are to be included, since only they correspond to bremsstrahlung.

The total field  $\vec{E}_k$  appearing in equation (1) and associated with the bremsstrahlung process involving the test particle is given by<sup>1, 4</sup>

$$\vec{E}_k = \vec{E}_k^{\sigma(0)} + \vec{E}_k^{(1)} + \vec{E}_k^{(2)} + \vec{E}_{dpk}^{(1)} + \vec{E}_{dpk}^{(2)} . \quad (13)$$

Here  $\vec{E}_k^{\sigma(0)}$  is the zeroth-order bremsstrahlung field

$$\vec{E}_k^{\sigma(0)} = E_k^{\sigma(0)} \vec{e}_k^\sigma , \quad (14)$$

where  $\sigma$  designates the mode and  $\vec{e}_k^\sigma$  is the polarization vector. If the bremsstrahlung field is to be real, then

$$\vec{E}_k^{\sigma(0)} = \vec{E}_{-k}^{\sigma(0)*} . \quad (15)$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).

<sup>6</sup>V. N. Tsytovich, *Nonlinear Effects in Plasma*, Plenum Press, New York (1970), 314.

<sup>8</sup>H. E. Brandt, *On the Form of the Collective Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-2026 (January 1984)

\*H. E. Brandt, *Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force on the Third-Order Nonlinear Dynamic Polarization Charge of a Relativistic Test Particle*, preprint, Harry Diamond Laboratories, 1983 (to be published).

The fields  $\vec{E}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\vec{E}_k^{(2)}$  in equation (13) are the self fields arising directly from the relativistic test particle's own motion, namely,<sup>4</sup>

$$\vec{E}_{kn}^{(1)} = \frac{-ie_\alpha}{(2\pi)^3(\omega + i\delta)} v_{\alpha n} G_{nm}(k) \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\vec{E}_{kn}^{(2)} = \frac{-e_\alpha}{(2\pi)^3(\omega + i\delta)} G_{nm}(k) \quad (17)$$

$$\times \int \frac{dk_1}{\omega_1 - i\delta} E_{k_1 j}^* \Lambda_{mj}^{(\alpha)*}(k_1, k) \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) .$$

The fields  $\vec{E}_{dpk}^{(1)}$  and  $\vec{E}_{dpk}^{(2)}$  in equation (13) are the increasing order fields produced by the dynamic polarization current induced by the test particle and are given by<sup>4</sup>

$$\vec{E}_{dpkn}^{(1)} = \frac{i}{2(\omega + i\delta)} G_{nm}(k) \sum_s e_s \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)} \delta(k + k_1 + k_2) \quad (18)$$

$$\times [S_{mj}^{(s)}(k, -k_1, -k_2) + S_{mj}^{(s)}(k, -k_2, -k_1)] E_{k_1 j}^* E_{k_2 \ell}^*$$

and

$$\vec{E}_{dpkn}^{(2)} = \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} G_{nm}(k) \sum_s e_s \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \quad (19)$$

$$\times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{mi}^{(s)}(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) E_{k_1 i}^* E_{k_2 j}^* E_{k_3 \ell}^* ,$$

respectively. The symmetrization in equation (19) appearing in earlier work<sup>1,4</sup> has been removed. The delta functions appearing in equations (18) and (19) are four-dimensional, namely,

$$\delta(k) \equiv \delta^3(\vec{k}) \delta(\omega) . \quad (20)$$

The second-order nonlinear conductivity tensor  $S_{ij}^{(s)}(k, k_1, k_2)$  appearing in equation (18) is given by

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, *Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996* (September 1983).

$$S_{ijl}^{(s)}(k, k_1, k_2) = e_s^2 \int \frac{d^3 p_s}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_{si}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} \left[ (\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sj}} \right. \\ \left. + v_j k_{1m} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sm}} \right] \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sl}} + \frac{v_{sl}}{\omega_2 - \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} k_{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sn}} \right] f_{ps}^{R(0)}. \quad (21)$$

The third-order nonlinear conductivity tensor  $\Sigma_{ijlm}^{(s)}(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)$  is given by

$$\Sigma_{ijlm}^{(s)}(k, k_1, k_2, k_3) \\ = -ie_s^3 \int \frac{d^3 p_s}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_{si}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} \\ \times [\delta_{jn}(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_s) + k_{1n} v_{sj}] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sn}} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_1 - (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} \\ \times [\delta_{lu}(\omega_2 - \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_s) + k_{2u} v_{sl}] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{su}} \frac{1}{\omega_3 - \vec{k}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} \\ \times [\delta_{mq}(\omega_3 - \vec{k}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_s) + k_{3q} v_{sm}] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sq}} f_{ps}^{R(0)}. \quad (22)$$

Letting  $B\vec{F}_\alpha^{(1)}$  denote the operation of including only that part of  $\vec{F}_\alpha^{(1)}$  which is of the bremsstrahlung form given by equation (11), we obtain

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle = B \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{(1)} \rangle. \quad (23)$$

Here  $\langle \rangle$  denotes the ensemble average over the statistical phase distribution of the bremsstrahlung, which to the needed order is assumed to be random.<sup>4</sup> Substituting equations (1) and (13) in equation (23) gives

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^5 \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_n, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_n = B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha \cdot \langle \vec{E}_k^n \rangle \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \quad (25)$$

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).

and

$$\{\vec{E}_k^n, n = 1, 2, \dots, 5\} = \{\vec{E}_k^{\sigma(0)}, \vec{E}_k^{(1)}, \vec{E}_k^{(2)}, \vec{E}_{dpk}^{(1)}, \vec{E}_{dpk}^{(2)}\} . \quad (26)$$

To the needed order, the stochastic properties of the bremsstrahlung field are approximated by<sup>1,4</sup>

$$\langle E_{ki}^{\sigma(0)} \rangle = 0 \quad (27)$$

and

$$\langle E_{ki}^{\sigma(0)} E_{kj}^{\sigma(0)} \rangle = e_{ki}^{\sigma} e_{kj}^{\sigma*} |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \delta(k + k_1) . \quad (28)$$

Substituting equations (26) and (27) in equation (25) for  $n = 1$  produces

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_1 = 0 . \quad (29)$$

If we substitute equations (26) and (16) in equation (25) for  $n = 2$ , it is evident that the resulting expression is not of the required form given by equation (11). For example it does not contain  $|E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2$ ; therefore the B operation gives zero, thus:

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_2 = 0 . \quad (30)$$

Next substituting equations (26) and (17) in equation (25) for  $n = 3$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_3 = & B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} v_{\alpha n} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \left[ \frac{-e_\alpha G_{nm}(k)}{(2\pi)^3 (\omega + i\delta)} \right] \\ & \times \int \frac{dk_1}{\omega_1 - i\delta} \langle E_{k_1 j}^* \rangle \Lambda_{mj}^{(\alpha)*}(k_1, k) \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, *Harry Diamond Laboratories*, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).

Furthermore, substituting equation (13) in equation (31) and using equation (26) then

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_3 = \sum_{n=1}^5 \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{3n} , \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{3n} = & B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} v_{\alpha n} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \left[ \frac{-e_\alpha G_{nm}(k)}{(2\pi)^3 (\omega + i\delta)} \right] \\ & \times \int \frac{dk_1}{\omega_1 - i\delta} \langle E_{k_1 j}^{n*} \rangle \Lambda_{mj}^{(\alpha)*}(k_1, k) \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) . \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Substituting equations (26) and (27) in equation (33) for  $n = 1$  produces

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{31} = 0 . \quad (34)$$

Next substituting equations (26) and (16) in equation (33) for  $n = 2$ , we obtain an expression which is clearly not of the bremsstrahlung form given by equation (11), and therefore the B operation yields zero, thus:

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{32} = 0 . \quad (35)$$

Next substituting equations (26) and (17) in equation (33) for  $n = 3$ , we obtain a higher order Born term which is also not of the bremsstrahlung form given by equation (11), and therefore

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{33} = 0 . \quad (36)$$

For consistency with the Born approximation, only those terms which are first or second order in the regular part of the field are needed.<sup>1</sup>

So that in the limit of  $t \rightarrow \infty$  the integrals in equation (25) or equation (33) do not vanish, it is important to note here that the following combination of delta functions is needed in the integrand:

$$\delta^2(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{2\pi} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) . \quad (37)$$

In this way the  $t^{-1}$  factor is canceled. Equation (37) is obtained as follows. The well-known integral representation of the delta function is

$$\delta(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} . \quad (38)$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma, Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

Therefore

$$\delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \frac{dt'}{2\pi} e^{-i(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v})t'} \quad (39)$$

Then using equation (39) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) &= \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \frac{dt'}{2\pi} e^{-i(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)t'} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \frac{dt'}{2\pi} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) e^{-i(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)t'} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \frac{dt'}{2\pi} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{2\pi} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Thus in evaluating equation (31) one has an integrand containing the factor

$$F = \frac{1}{t} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \langle E_{\vec{k}_1 j}^* \rangle \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \quad (41)$$

or

$$F = \frac{1}{t} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \langle E_{\vec{k}_1 j}^* \rangle \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \quad ; \quad (42)$$

or substituting equation (13) in equation (42) produces

$$F = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n=1}^5 \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \langle E_{\vec{k}_1 j}^{n*} \rangle \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \quad . \quad (43)$$

Because of equation (37), another factor of  $\delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)$  is needed to give a nonvanishing limit. For  $n = 2$  in equation (43), one picks up a factor of  $\delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)$  by equation (16); however, the remaining integrand does not contain the factor  $|E_{\vec{k}}^{\sigma(0)}|^2$  necessary to be of the bremsstrahlung form given by equation (11). An iteration of equation (17) in equation (43) can give the quadratic delta function, but the remaining integrand is higher order. By analogous reasoning

$$\langle F_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{34} = 0 \quad , \quad (44)$$

$$\langle F_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{35} = 0 \quad . \quad (45)$$

Therefore, substituting equations (34) to (36), (44), and (45) in equation (32) produces



$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_3 = 0 \quad . \quad (46)$$

The quantity  $\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_4$  is nonvanishing and is calculated in a separate report.\* Here the result of that calculation will be designated  $\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1b)} \rangle$ . Thus

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_4 \equiv \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1b)} \rangle \quad . \quad (47)$$

In the present report we emphasize the calculation of  $\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1a)} \rangle$  in equation (2).

Substituting equations (26) and (19) in equation (25) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_5 &= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) v_{\alpha n} \\ &\times \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} G_{nm}(k) \int_S e_s \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\ &\times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Gamma_{mijl}^{(s)}(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \langle E_{k_1 i}^* E_{k_2 j}^* E_{k_3 l}^* \rangle \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

One has, using equation (13) to the required order in equation (48),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_{k_1 i} E_{k_2 j} E_{k_3 l} \rangle &= \langle (E_{k_1 i}^{\sigma(0)} + E_{k_1 i}^{(1)} + E_{k_1 i}^{(2)} + E_{dpki}^{(1)} + E_{dpki}^{(2)}) \\ &\times (E_{k_2 j}^{\sigma(0)} + E_{k_2 j}^{(1)} + E_{k_2 j}^{(2)} + E_{dpkj}^{(1)} + E_{dpkj}^{(2)}) \\ &\times (E_{k_3 l}^{\sigma(0)} + E_{k_3 l}^{(1)} + E_{k_3 l}^{(2)} + E_{dpkl}^{(1)} + E_{dpkl}^{(2)}) \rangle \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

If equation (49) is substituted in equation (48), the only possible nonvanishing contributions to the required order are given by

---

\*H. E. Brandt, *Collective Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force on the Bare Charge of an Unperturbed Test Particle in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, 1983 (to be published as HDL technical report).

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_5$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) v_{\alpha p} \\
&\times \left( \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} \right) G_{pm}(k) \sum_S e_S \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\
&\times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{mij\ell}^{(s)}(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} E_{k_3\ell}^{(1)*} \langle E_{k_1i}^{\sigma(0)*} E_{k_2j}^{\sigma(0)*} \rangle \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{1}{2} E_{k_2j}^{(1)*} \langle E_{k_1i}^{\sigma(0)*} E_{k_3\ell}^{\sigma(0)*} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} E_{k_1i}^{(1)*} \langle E_{k_2j}^{\sigma(0)*} E_{k_3\ell}^{\sigma(0)*} \rangle \right\} . \quad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

The factors of 1/2 in the three terms in the braces arise from the fact that equation (50) is effectively a self force; the field  $E_{kn}^{(1)}$  in equation (50) and given by equation (16) is a field produced by the test particle  $\alpha$ , and through the dynamic polarization that it induces it produces a force which acts back on the same test particle. Equation (50) may be rewritten as

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_5 = \sum_{n=1}^3 \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{5n} , \quad (51)$$

where

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) v_{\alpha p} \\
&\times \left( \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} \right) G_{pm}(k) \sum_S e_S \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\
&\times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{mij\ell}^{(s)}(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \frac{1}{2} E_{k_3\ell}^{(1)*} \langle E_{k_1i}^{\sigma(0)*} E_{k_2j}^{\sigma(0)*} \rangle \quad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) v_{\alpha p} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} \right) G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\vec{s}} e_{\vec{s}} \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\
&\quad \times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{\text{mij}}^{(\vec{s})} \ell(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \frac{1}{2} E_{k_2 j}^{(1)*} \langle E_{k_1 i}^{\sigma(0)*} E_{k_3 \ell}^{\sigma(0)*} \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

and

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) v_{\alpha p} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} \right) G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\vec{s}} e_{\vec{s}} \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\
&\quad \times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{\text{mij}}^{(\vec{s})} \ell(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \frac{1}{2} E_{k_1 i}^{(1)*} \langle E_{k_2 j}^{\sigma(0)*} E_{k_3 \ell}^{\sigma(0)*} \rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Substituting equations (28) and (16) in equation (52) produces

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\
&\quad \times v_{\alpha p} \left( \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} \right) G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\vec{s}} e_{\vec{s}} \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\
&\quad \times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{\text{mij}}^{(\vec{s})} \ell(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{ie_\alpha}{(2\pi)^3 (\omega_3 - i\delta)} \right] v_{\alpha n} G_{\ell n}^*(k_3) \delta(\omega_3 - \vec{k}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) e_{k_1 i}^{\sigma*} e_{k_1 j}^\sigma |E_{k_1}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\
&\quad \times \delta(k_1 + k_2) .
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Integrating equation (55) first over  $k_2$ , using the property of the delta function  $\delta(k_1 + k_2)$  and effectively setting  $k_2 = -k_1$  (that is,  $\vec{k}_2 = -\vec{k}_1$ ,  $\omega_2 = -\omega_1$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1)} \rangle_{51} &= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{8\pi^2 t} \int \frac{dk \, dk_1 \, dk_3 \, \vec{k} \delta(k + k_3) \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)}{(\omega + i\delta)^2 (\omega_1 - i\delta) (\omega_1 + i\delta) (\omega_3 - i\delta)^2} \\
&\times v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{mi}}^{(\text{S})} \ell(k, -k_1, k_1, -k_3) \\
&\times v_{\text{on}} G_{\text{ln}}^*(k_3) \delta(\omega_3 - \vec{k}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) e_{k_1 i}^{\sigma*} e_{k_1 j}^\sigma |E_{k_1}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Next integrating equation (56) over  $k_3$  produces

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1)} \rangle_{51} &= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{8\pi^2 t} \int \frac{dk \, dk_1}{(\omega + i\delta)^4 \omega_1^2} \vec{k} \delta^2(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\
&\times v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{mi}}^{(\text{S})} \ell(k, -k_1, k_1, k) v_{\text{on}} G_{\text{ln}}^*(-k) \\
&\times e_{k_1 i}^{\sigma*} e_{k_1 j}^\sigma |E_{k_1}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

One also has

$$G_{\text{nm}}^*(-k) = G_{\text{nm}}(k) . \tag{58}$$

Equation (58) holds since<sup>4</sup>

$$E_{kn} = - \frac{i}{\omega + i\delta} G_{\text{nm}}(k) j_{km} \tag{59}$$

and by the reality of the current and field

$$E_{-kn}^* = E_{kn} \tag{60}$$

and

$$j_{-kn}^* = j_{kn} . \tag{61}$$

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).

Taking the complex conjugate of equation (59), replacing  $k$  by  $-k$ , and substituting equations (60) and (61) produces

$$E_{kn} = \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} G_{nm}^*(-k) j_{km} \quad (62)$$

Comparing equations (59) and (62) shows that equation (58) follows. Next substituting equations (37) and (58) in equation (57), and noting that the  $t$ 's cancel, we find that the limit is trivial and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1)} \rangle_{51} &= B \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \int \frac{dk dk_1 \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)}{(\omega + i\delta)^4 \omega_1^2} \\ &\times v_{\alpha p} G_{pm}(k) \sum_S e_S \Sigma_{mlj}^{(S)} \ell(k, -k_1, k_1, k) v_{\alpha n} G_{ln}(k) \\ &\times e_{k_1 i}^{\sigma*} e_{k_1 j}^\sigma |E_{k_1}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \quad (63) \end{aligned}$$

Changing variables  $\{k, k_1\}$  to  $\{k_1, k\}$  and  $\{i, j, \ell\}$  to  $\{\ell, i, j\}$  in equation (63) produces

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1)} \rangle_{51} &= B \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \sum_S e_S \int \frac{dk dk_1}{\omega^2 (\omega_1 + i\delta)^4} \vec{k}_1 |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\ &\times v_{\alpha p} G_{pm}(k_1) v_{\alpha n} G_{jn}(k_1) e_{k\ell}^{\sigma*} e_{ki}^\sigma \\ &\times \Sigma_{m\ell ij}^{(S)}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \quad (64) \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute equations (28) and (16) in equation (53), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1)} \rangle_{52} &= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\ &\times v_{\alpha p} \left( \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} \right) G_{pm}(k) \sum_S e_S \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\ &\times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{mij\ell}^{(S)}(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{ie_\alpha}{(2\pi)^3 (\omega_2 - i\delta)} \right] \\ &\times v_{\alpha n} G_{jn}^*(k_2) \delta(\omega_2 - \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) e_{k_1 i}^{\sigma*} e_{k_1 \ell}^\sigma |E_{k_1}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \delta(k_1 + k_3) \quad (65) \end{aligned}$$

If we integrate over  $k_3$  and  $k_2$ , equation (65) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{52} &= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{8\pi^2 t} \right) \int \frac{dk \, dk_1 \, \vec{k}}{(\omega + i\delta)^4 \omega_1^2} \\
&\times \delta^2(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{mi}j\ell}^{(\text{S})}(k, -k_1, k, k_1) \\
&\times v_{\text{an}} G_{\text{jn}}^*(-k) e_{k_1 i}^{\sigma*} e_{k_1 \ell}^\sigma |E_{k_1}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Next substituting equations (37) and (58) in equation (66) produces

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{52} &= B \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \int \frac{dk \, dk_1 \, \vec{k}}{(\omega + i\delta)^4 \omega_1^2} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\
&\times v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{mi}j\ell}^{(\text{S})}(k, -k_1, k, k_1) v_{\text{an}} G_{\text{jn}}(k) \\
&\times e_{k_1 i}^{\sigma*} e_{k_1 \ell}^\sigma |E_{k_1}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Changing variables  $\{k_1, k\}$  to  $\{k, k_1\}$  and  $\{i, \ell\}$  to  $\{\ell, i\}$  in equation (67), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{52} &= B \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \int \frac{dk \, dk_1 \, \vec{k}_1}{\omega^2 (\omega_1 + i\delta)^4} |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\
&\times v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k_1) v_{\text{an}} G_{\text{jn}}(k_1) e_{k\ell}^{\sigma*} e_{ki}^\sigma \\
&\times \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{m}\ell j i}^{(\text{S})}(k_1, -k, k_1, k) \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) .
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Next, substituting equations (28) and (16) in equation (54), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{53} &= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{t} e_\alpha \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} \vec{k} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\
&\times v_{\text{op}} \left( \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} \right) G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \int \frac{dk_1 \, dk_2 \, dk_3}{(\omega_1 - i\delta)(\omega_2 - i\delta)(\omega_3 - i\delta)} \\
&\times \delta(k + k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \Sigma_{\text{mi}j\ell}^{(\text{S})}(k, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3) \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{i e_\alpha}{(2\pi)^3 (\omega_1 - i\delta)} \right] v_{\text{an}} G_{\text{in}}^*(k_1) \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\
&\times e_{k_2 j}^{\sigma*} e_{k_2 \ell}^\sigma |E_{k_2}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \delta(k_2 + k_3) .
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

If we integrate over  $k_3$  and  $k_1$ , equation (69) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{53} &= B \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{8\pi^2 t} \right) \int \frac{dk \, dk_2 \, \vec{k}}{(\omega + i\delta)^4 \omega_2^2} \\ &\times \delta^2(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{mi}j}^{(\text{S})} \ell(k, k, -k_2, k_2) \\ &\times v_{\text{om}} G_{\text{in}}^*(-k) e_{k_2 j}^{\sigma*} e_{k_2 \ell}^\sigma |E_{k_2}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Next substituting equations (37) and (58) in equation (70) produces

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{53} &= B \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \int \frac{dk \, dk_2 \, \vec{k}}{(\omega + i\delta)^4 \omega_2^2} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\ &\times v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k) \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{mi}j}^{(\text{S})} \ell(k, k, -k_2, k_2) \\ &\times v_{\text{om}} G_{\text{in}}(k) e_{k_2 j}^{\sigma*} e_{k_2 \ell}^\sigma |E_{k_2}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Changing variables  $\{k, k_2\}$  to  $\{k_1, k\}$  and  $\{i, j, \ell\}$  to  $\{j, \ell, i\}$  in equation (71) produces

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1)} \rangle_{53} &= B \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \int \frac{dk \, dk_1 \, \vec{k}_1}{\omega^2 (\omega_1 + i\delta)^4} |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\ &\times v_{\text{op}} G_{\text{pm}}(k_1) v_{\text{om}} G_{\text{jn}}(k_1) e_{k \ell}^{\sigma*} e_{k i}^\sigma \\ &\times \sum_{\text{S}} e_{\text{S}} \Sigma_{\text{mj} \ell i}^{(\text{S})} (k_1, k_1, -k, k) \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) . \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

However, equation (72) is not of the bremsstrahlung form given by equation (11); in particular, it does not contain the delta function factor  $\delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha + (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)$  in the integrand. Such a factor could only appear through the third-order nonlinear conductivity tensor appearing in equation (72). According to equation (22), the factor  $[\omega - \omega_1 - (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\text{S} + i\delta]^{-1}$  appears in  $\Sigma_{\text{ij} \ell m}^{(\text{S})}(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ , and it can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_1 - (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\text{S} + i\delta} &= P \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_1 - (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\text{S}} \\ &- i\pi \delta(\omega - \omega_1 - (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\text{S}) , \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

and the imaginary part contains a delta function; however, in equation (72) it is  $\Sigma_{mjli}^{(s)}(k_1, k_1, -k, k)$  which appears, so that the delta function becomes  $-i\pi\delta(0)$ , which is not of the required form. Thus the B operation in equation (72) yields zero, and therefore

$$\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1)} \rangle_{53} = 0 \quad (74)$$

Next substituting equations (64), (68), and (74) in equation (51) and the result together with equations (29), (30), (46), and (47) in equation (24), and comparing with equation (2), then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}(1a)} \rangle = & B \left( \frac{-e_\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \sum_s e_s \int \frac{dk \, dk_1 \, \vec{k}_1}{\omega^2 (\omega_1 + i\delta)^4} |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\ & \times v_{\alpha p} G_{pm}(k_1) v_{\alpha n} G_{jn}(k_1) e_{k\ell}^{\sigma*} e_{ki}^\sigma \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\ & \times \left[ \Sigma_{mlij}^{(s)}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) + \Sigma_{m\ell ji}^{(s)}(k_1, -k, k_1, k) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

It remains to be shown in this report that equation (75) reduces to equation (3).

Evidently equation (75) should agree with equation (24) of Akopyan and Tsytovich.<sup>1</sup> Clearly there is a typographical error in the latter since a factor of  $e_\alpha$  has been omitted. Also a factor of (-2) and complex conjugation signs on the conductivity tensor have apparently been omitted as shown below. Their equation (24)<sup>1</sup> contains an additional overall factor of  $(4\pi)^2(2\pi)^{-6}$  due to the use there of Gaussian instead of MKS units and differing Fourier transform convention.

As was already noted elsewhere,<sup>4</sup> extra factors of  $(4\pi)$ ,  $(2\pi)^{-6}$ , and  $(2\pi)^{-3}$  evidently enter through  $E_{dpkn}^{(2)}$  in equation (19), due respectively to the use of Gaussian units, differing Fourier transform convention, and differing normalization of the background distribution  $f_{ps}^{R(0)}$  in equation (21). However the normalization in equation (24) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> is apparently the same as that here. Otherwise an additional factor of  $(2\pi)^{-3}$  was omitted there in equation (24). A factor of  $(2\pi)^3$  arises if the normalization is restored. An additional factor of  $(4\pi)(2\pi)^3$  enters through  $E_{kn}^{(1)}$  in equation (16) due to the use of Gaussian units and differing Fourier transform convention. As already noted, an additional factor of  $(2\pi)^{-3}$  enters through equation (1) due to the differing Fourier transform convention. These factors combine to

$$[(4\pi)(2\pi)^{-6}(2\pi)^{-3}][(2\pi)^3][4\pi(2\pi)^3][(2\pi)^{-3}] = (4\pi)^2(2\pi)^{-6} \quad .$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung In a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, *Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996* (September 1983).



Because the same definition of  $E_k^{\sigma(0)}$  in equation (28) here is used in equation (13) there, the differing Fourier transform convention is compensated for. Thus equation (75) is in essential agreement with equation (24) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> up to the omission of a factor of  $(-2e_\alpha)$  and a complex conjugation sign on the conductivity tensor. This follows since the  $\delta$  in  $\omega_1 + i\delta$  may clearly be ignored, and

$$\Sigma_{mlj}^{(s)}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) = -iT_{mlj}^{(s)*}(k_1, k, -k, -k_1) \quad (76)$$

Also if the background is assumed to be nondissipative to the needed order then it follows that

$$(\omega_1 + i\delta)^{-1}G_{nj}(k_1) = [(\omega_1 + i\delta)^{-1}G_{jn}(k_1)]^* \quad (77)$$

which is true under certain conditions to be discussed below. Also for a spatially isotropic system the Green's function is symmetric in its indices according to equation (7). It should, however, be noted by comparing equation (14) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> with equation (21) of Brandt<sup>4</sup> that the indices of  $G_{ij}$  are interchanged in Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> in the definition of the Green's function. It also follows that if one ignores the zero frequency resonance denominator, then equation (77) may be used provided the contribution of the imaginary part of the photonic Green's function is negligible to the needed order. Evidently the complex conjugation on the conductivity in equation (24) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> has been omitted. It is required if their equation (25) is to be consistent with the fact that the first and second complex denominators in their equation (21) are  $(\omega - (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s) - i\delta)$  and  $(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_s - i\delta)$ , respectively.<sup>4</sup> In equation (76) the tensor  $T_{ijlm}^{(s)}(k, k_1, k_2, k_3)$  is given by<sup>1,4</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ijlm}^{(s)}(k, k_1, k_2, k_3) = e_s^3 \int \frac{d^3 p_s}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_{si}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s - i\delta} & \left[ (\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sj}} \right. \\ & + v_{sj}(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{ps}) \left. \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_s - i\delta} \left[ (\omega_2 - \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sl}} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + v_{sl}(\vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_{ps}) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{sm}} + \frac{v_{sm}}{\omega_3 - \vec{k}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} (\vec{k}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_{ps}) \right] \right\} f_{ps}^{R(0)} \quad (78) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung In a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, *Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996* (September 1983).

It was concluded elsewhere<sup>4</sup> that for consistency, negative imaginary parts must be implicit in the first two denominators of equation (21) of Akopyan and Tsytovich.<sup>1</sup> By equation (22) here it is evident that

$$\Sigma_{ij}^{(S)} \ell_m(k_1, -k, k, k_1) = -\Sigma_{ij}^{(S)*} \ell_m(-k_1, k, -k, -k_1) . \quad (79)$$

Also from equations (22) and (78) it follows that

$$\Sigma_{ij}^{(S)} \ell_m(-k_1, k, -k, -k_1) = -iT_{ij}^{(S)} \ell_m(k_1, k, -k, -k_1) . \quad (80)$$

If we substitute equation (80) in equation (79), then equation (76) follows.

Equation (77) holds approximately if there is negligible linear absorption of energy by the background beam-plasma. To see this one notes that the energy  $\epsilon_{abs}^{(0)}$  absorbed linearly by the background beam-plasma is given by

$$\epsilon_{abs}^{(0)} = \int d^3\vec{r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r}, t) , \quad (81)$$

where the Fourier transform of the field is given by equation (21) in an earlier report,<sup>4</sup> namely

$$E_{ki} = \frac{-i}{\omega + i\delta} G_{ij}(k) j_{kj} . \quad (82)$$

In equation (82)  $G_{ij}$  is the linear photon Green's function of the background beam-plasma. Rewriting equation (81) in terms of the Fourier transformed quantities one obtains

$$\epsilon_{abs}^{(0)} = (2\pi)^4 \int dk E_{ki} \cdot j_{-ki} . \quad (83)$$

Next substituting equation (82) in equation (83) produces

$$\epsilon_{abs}^{(0)} = -i(2\pi)^4 \int \frac{dk}{\omega + i\delta} G_{ij}(k) j_{kj} j_{-ki} . \quad (84)$$

If the current  $\vec{j}$  is to be real, then

$$\vec{j}_{-k} = \vec{j}_k^* . \quad (85)$$

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>4</sup>H. E. Brandt, *The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung In a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System*, *Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996* (September 1983).

Substituting equation (85) in equation (84) results in

$$\epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)} = -i(2\pi)^4 \int dk \left( \frac{G_{ij}(k)}{\omega + i\delta} \right) j_{kj} j_{ki}^* \quad (86)$$

Also, clearly, since the energy must be real, then

$$\epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)*} = \epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)} \quad (87)$$

Then using equation (87), we find

$$\epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)} + \epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)*} \right) \quad (88)$$

But using equation (86)

$$\epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)*} = i(2\pi)^4 \int \frac{dk}{\omega - i\delta} G_{ij}^*(k) j_{kj}^* j_{ki} \quad (89)$$

Or, if we rename dummy indices  $\{i, j\}$  as  $\{j, i\}$ , equation (89) becomes

$$\epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)*} = -i(2\pi)^4 \int dk \left( \frac{-G_{ji}(k)}{\omega + i\delta} \right)^* j_{kj}^* j_{ki} \quad (90)$$

Then substituting equations (86) and (90) in equation (88), we get

$$\epsilon_{\text{abs}}^{(0)} = -\frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^4 \int dk \left[ \left( \frac{G_{ij}(k)}{\omega + i\delta} \right) - \left( \frac{G_{ji}(k)}{\omega + i\delta} \right)^* \right] j_{ki} j_{kj} \quad (91)$$

If linear absorption by the background is to be vanishing for arbitrary current  $j_k$ , then equation (91) must be vanishing, and therefore

$$\frac{G_{ij}(k)}{\omega + i\delta} = \left( \frac{G_{ji}(k)}{\omega + i\delta} \right)^* \quad (92)$$

in which case equation (77) immediately follows. It is to be stressed that equation (77) is at best an approximation since the background will not be completely linearly nondissipative. In summary then, equation (75) is in essential agreement with equation (24) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> except for a typographical error of omission of a factor of  $-2e_\alpha$  in the latter; also Akopyan and Tsytovich there implicitly assume principal values with respect to single-wave particle resonance denominators as well as the approximate nondissipation relation, equation (77). However it is to be stressed that equation (75) is independent of these assumptions.

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

Proceeding with the further reduction of equation (75), one first defines

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_{mlij}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) \equiv & B \int_S e_s [\Sigma_{mlij}^{(s)}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) \\ & + \Sigma_{mlji}^{(s)}(k_1, -k, k_1, k)] . \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Again the B operation selects only the part leading to the bremsstrahlung form given by equation (11). Using equation (93) in equation (75) produces

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_{\alpha}^{\text{grad}(1a)} \rangle = & B \left( \frac{-e_{\alpha}^2}{16\pi^3} \right) \int \frac{dk \, dk_1 \, k_1^{\rightarrow}}{\omega^2 (\omega_1 + i\delta)^4} |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\ & \times v_{\alpha p} G_{pm}(k_1) v_{\alpha n} G_{jn}(k_1) e_{k\ell}^{\sigma*} e_{ki}^{\sigma} \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\alpha}) \\ & \times \bar{\Sigma}_{mlij}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) . \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

If we substitute equation (73) in equation (22), then equation (93) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_{mlij}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) \\ = & B(-i) \int_S e_s^4 \int \frac{d^3 p_s^{\rightarrow}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_{sm}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} \\ & \times [(-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s) \nabla_{ps\ell} + v_{s\ell} (-\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{ps})] \left[ P \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_s} \right. \\ & \left. - i\pi \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_s) \right] \left\{ [(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s) \nabla_{psi} + v_{si} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{ps})] \right. \\ & \times \left[ \nabla_{psj} + \frac{v_{sj}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{ps}) \right] \\ & \left. + [(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_s) \nabla_{psj} + v_{sj} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{ps})] \right. \\ & \left. \times \left[ \nabla_{psi} + \frac{v_{si}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_s + i\delta} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{ps} \right] \right\} f_{ps}^{R(0)} . \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

If we compare equations (94) and (95) with equation (11), it is evident that only the part of equation (95) proportional to  $\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta})$  can lead to the bremsstrahlung form given by equation (11), which is proportional to  $\delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\alpha} + (\vec{k} - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta})$ . Note that because of the factor  $\delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\alpha})$  in equation (94) one can set  $\omega_1 = \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\alpha}$  in  $\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta})$  and also rename the integration variable  $\vec{k}_1$  as  $-\vec{k}$  to obtain  $\delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\alpha} + (\vec{k} - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta})$ . Therefore the B operation in equation (95) selects, out of the sum over s, only the term s =  $\beta$ , and also only that part proportional to  $\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta})$ . Therefore equation (95) becomes

$$\bar{\Sigma}_{mlij} = B(-i)e_{\beta}^4 \int \frac{d^3 p_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_{\beta m}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} [(-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta l} + v_{\beta l} (-\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta})] (-i\pi) D_{ij}(k, k_1, \vec{p}_{\beta}) f_{p\beta}^{R(0)}, \quad (96)$$

where the operator  $D_{ij}(k, k_1, \vec{p}_{\beta})$  is defined by

$$D_{ij}(k, k_1, \vec{p}_{\beta}) \equiv \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \left\{ [(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta i} + v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta})] \left[ \nabla_{p\beta j} + \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \right] + [(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta j} + v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta})] \left[ \nabla_{p\beta i} + \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \right] \right\}. \quad (97)$$

Simplifying equations (96) and (97) produces

$$\bar{\Sigma}_{mlij} = B\pi e_{\beta}^4 \int \frac{d^3 p_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_{\beta m}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} [(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta l} + v_{\beta l} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}] D_{ij} f_{p\beta}^{R(0)} \quad (98)$$

and

$$D_{ij} = \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \left\{ (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_{\beta i} \partial p_{\beta j}} + (\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_{\beta j} \partial p_{\beta i}} + (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta i} \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) + v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta j} + v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) + (\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta j} \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) + v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) \nabla_{p\beta i} + v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta}) \right\}, \quad (99)$$

where the arguments of  $D_{ij}(k, k_1, \vec{p}_{\beta})$  are suppressed. If we use the property of the delta function in equation (99) to replace  $\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}$  by  $-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}$ , the first two terms cancel and equation (99) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left[ (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \nabla_{P\beta i} \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \right. \\
& + v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \nabla_{P\beta j} + v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \\
& - (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \nabla_{P\beta j} \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \\
& \left. + v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \nabla_{P\beta i} + v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Using the properties of the derivative operator and using the delta function, one may rewrite equation (100) as

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left\{ (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left[ \nabla_{P\beta i} \left( \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \right. \\
& - v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \nabla_{P\beta i} + v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \nabla_{P\beta j} + v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \left( \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \\
& - (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left[ \nabla_{P\beta j} \left( \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} - v_{\beta i} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \nabla_{P\beta j} \\
& \left. + v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \nabla_{P\beta i} + v_{\beta j} (\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta}) \right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

In equation (101) the second and seventh terms cancel and the third and sixth terms cancel. Further simplifying equation (101) produces

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left\{ (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left[ \nabla_{P\beta i} \left( \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \right. \\
& - (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left[ \nabla_{P\beta j} \left( \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \\
& + v_{\beta i} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \left( \frac{v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \\
& \left. + v_{\beta j} \left[ \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \left( \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} - 2\pi i \delta (\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

where equation (73) has been used in obtaining the last term. The last term may however be dropped since it does not lead to the bremsstrahlung form, equation (11), and therefore it will not contribute to equation (94). In particular, because of the factor  $\delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)$ , the needed factor  $\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)$  becomes  $\delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)$ . Also, if we replace  $\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta$  by  $-\omega_1 + \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta$  in the first and  $\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta$  by  $-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta$  in the third term, equation (102) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left\{ \left( -\nabla_{P\beta i} v_{\beta j} \right. \right. \\
& - (\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) v_{\beta j} \left[ \nabla_{P\beta i} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \\
& - (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left[ \nabla_{P\beta j} \left( \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \\
& + v_{\beta i} \left( \left( \frac{1}{-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} v_{\beta j}) + v_{\beta j} \left[ \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \right) \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \\
& \left. + v_{\beta j} \left[ \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \left( \frac{v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \right] \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \right\} . \tag{103}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relativistic relation

$$v_{\beta i} = p_{\beta i} c^2 [p_\beta^2 c^2 + (m_\beta c^2)^2]^{-1/2} \tag{104}$$

produces

$$\nabla_{P\beta j} v_{\beta i} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) , \tag{105}$$

where

$$\gamma_\beta = \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{p_\beta}{m_\beta c} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} . \tag{106}$$

Performing the differentiations in equation (103) using equation (105) produces

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left\{ \left[ -\frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) \right. \right. \\
& - \left( \frac{k_{1\ell} v_{\beta j}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \left( \delta_{\ell i} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta \ell}}{c^2} \right) + \left( \frac{v_{\beta i} k_{\ell}}{-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \\
& \times \left( \delta_{\ell j} - \frac{v_{\beta \ell} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) + \left. \frac{v_{\beta i} k_{1\ell} k_m v_{\beta j}}{(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)^2 \gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \left( \delta_{\ell m} - \frac{v_{\beta \ell} v_{\beta m}}{c^2} \right) \right] \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \\
& + \left[ -\frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) - \frac{v_{\beta i} k_{\ell}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \left( \delta_{\ell j} - \frac{v_{\beta \ell} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{v_{\beta j} k_{1\ell}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \left( \delta_{i\ell} - \frac{v_{\beta \ell} v_{\beta i}}{c^2} \right) + \left. \frac{v_{\beta j} v_{\beta i} k_{1\ell} k_m \left( \delta_{m\ell} - \frac{v_{\beta m} v_{\beta \ell}}{c^2} \right)}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)^2 \gamma_{\beta m\beta}} \right] \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} \right\} . \tag{107}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the delta function to replace  $\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta$  by  $-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta$  in the denominator, we can rewrite equation (107) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}(-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)} \left[ \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \right. \right. \\
 & - v_{\beta j} \left( k_{1i} - \frac{\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta v_{\beta i}}{c^2} \right) + v_{\beta i} \left( k_j - \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{v_{\beta j} v_{\beta i}}{-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 - \frac{(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)}{c^2} \right) \right] \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \right. \\
 & - \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)} \left[ \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) + v_{\beta i} \left( k_j - \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - v_{\beta j} \left( k_{1i} - \frac{\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta v_{\beta i}}{c^2} \right) - \frac{v_{\beta j} v_{\beta i}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 - \frac{(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)}{c^2} \right) \right] \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \right\} .
 \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

When terms are combined, equation (108) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \left\{ - \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) (\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \right. \\
 & - \frac{v_{\beta j} k_{1i}}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}(-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)} \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} - \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta} c^2} v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j} \left( \frac{\vec{k}_1}{-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\vec{k}}{-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta} \right) \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} + \frac{v_{\beta i} k_j}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}} \left( \frac{\vec{k}_1}{-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} + \frac{\vec{k}}{-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta} \right) \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \\
 & + \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}} \left( \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{k} - \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta}{c^2} \right) \left( \frac{\vec{k}_1}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta)^2} + \frac{\vec{k}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)^2} \right) \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \\
 & + \frac{v_{\beta j} k_{1i}}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \\
 & \left. - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j} \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta} c^2} \left( \frac{\vec{k}_1}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta} + \frac{\vec{k}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta} \right) \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} \right\} .
 \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$



Further simplifying equation (109) produces

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}} \left\{ - \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \right) (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)^2 \right. \\
& + \left[ v_{\beta j} k_{1i} - v_{\beta i} k_{1j} + \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} \vec{v}_\beta \cdot (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \right] (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \\
& + v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j} \left( \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{k} - \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta}{c^2} \right) \left. \right\} \\
& \times \left[ \frac{\vec{k}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)^2} + \frac{\vec{k}_1}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta)^2} \right] \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} .
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

Equation (110) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & -\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}} \left\{ (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)^2 \delta_{ij} \right. \\
& + (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) (v_{\beta i} k_{1j} - v_{\beta j} k_{1i}) \\
& - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} [(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)^2 + \vec{v}_\beta \cdot (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \\
& + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 c^2 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta] \left. \right\} \left[ \frac{\vec{k}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)^2} + \frac{\vec{k}_1}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta)^2} \right] \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} .
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

Further simplifying equation (111) produces

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & -\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}} \left\{ (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)^2 \delta_{ij} \right. \\
& + (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) (v_{\beta i} k_{1j} - v_{\beta j} k_{1i}) - \frac{v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j}}{c^2} [\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 c^2 \\
& + \omega(\omega - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)] \left. \right\} \left[ \frac{\vec{k}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)^2} + \frac{\vec{k}_1}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta)^2} \right] \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} .
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

Using the delta function to replace  $(\omega - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)$  by  $(-\omega_1)$  in equation (112), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} = & -\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta m \beta}} \left[ (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)^2 \delta_{ij} \right. \\
& + (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) (v_{\beta i} k_{1j} - v_{\beta j} k_{1i}) - v_{\beta i} v_{\beta j} \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 - \frac{\omega \omega_1}{c^2} \right) \left. \right] \\
& \times \left[ \frac{\vec{k}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)^2} + \frac{\vec{k}_1}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta - i\delta)^2} \right] \cdot \vec{v}_{P\beta} .
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

Substituting equation (4) in equation (113) produces

$$D_{ij} = -\frac{1}{e_{\beta}} \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \\ \times [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{P\beta} . \quad (114)$$

Next substituting equation (114) in equation (98) results in

$$\bar{\Sigma}_{mlij} = -B\pi e_{\beta}^3 \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_{\beta m}}{\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} + i\delta} [(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \vec{\nabla}_{P\beta\ell} \\ + v_{\beta\ell} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{P\beta}] \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \\ \times [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{P\beta} f_{P\beta}^{R(0)} . \quad (115)$$

Integrating equation (115) by parts, then (since the background distribution  $f_{P\beta}^{R(0)}$  is vanishing at infinity), one obtains

$$\bar{\Sigma}_{mlij} = B\pi e_{\beta}^3 \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ -\vec{\nabla}_{P\beta\ell} \left[ \frac{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) v_{\beta m}}{-\omega_1 + \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \right] \right. \\ \left. - \vec{\nabla}_{P\beta n} \left[ \frac{v_{\beta m} v_{\beta\ell} k_n}{-\omega_1 + \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \right] \right\} \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \\ \times [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{P\beta} f_{P\beta}^{R(0)} . \quad (116)$$

Performing the derivatives in equation (116) using equation (105), and then using the delta function to replace  $\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}$  by  $-\omega + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}$  in the denominators, we obtain

$$\bar{\Sigma}_{mlij} = B\pi e_{\beta}^3 \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta^m \beta}} \left( \delta_{m\ell} - \frac{v_{\beta m} v_{\beta\ell}}{c^2} \right) + \frac{k_n}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta^m \beta}} \right. \\ \times \left( \delta_{n\ell} - \frac{v_{\beta n} v_{\beta\ell}}{c^2} \right) v_{\beta m} + \frac{1}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} k_{1n} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta^m \beta}} \left( \delta_{n\ell} - \frac{v_{\beta n} v_{\beta\ell}}{c^2} \right) v_{\beta m} \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta^m \beta}} \left( \delta_{mn} - \frac{v_{\beta m} v_{\beta n}}{c^2} \right) v_{\beta\ell} k_n - \frac{v_{\beta m}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \right. \\ \times \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta^m \beta}} \left( \delta_{n\ell} - \frac{v_{\beta n} v_{\beta\ell}}{c^2} \right) k_n + \frac{v_{\beta m} v_{\beta\ell} k_n k_{1r}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta)^2} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\beta^m \beta}} \left( \delta_{nr} - \frac{v_{\beta n} v_{\beta r}}{c^2} \right) \\ \left. \times \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{P\beta} f_{P\beta}^{R(0)} . \quad (117)$$

Combining terms in equation (117) produces

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_{mlj} = & B\pi e_{\beta}^3 \int \frac{d^3 p_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{V_{\beta m \beta}} \left[ -\delta_{ml} - \frac{k_m v_{\beta l} - k_{1l} v_{\beta m}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{v_{\beta m} v_{\beta l}}{c^2} \left( 1 + \frac{(\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} + \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 c^2}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta)^2} - \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta)^2} \right) \right] \\ & \times \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} f_{P\beta}^{R(0)} . \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

Further simplifying equation (118), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_{mlj} = & B\pi e_{\beta}^3 \int \frac{d^3 p_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{V_{\beta m \beta}} \left[ -\delta_{ml} - \frac{k_m v_{\beta l} - k_{1l} v_{\beta m}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{v_{\beta m} v_{\beta l}}{c^2 (\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta)^2} [\omega(\omega - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 c^2] \right] \\ & \times \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} \\ & + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} f_{P\beta}^{R(0)} . \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

If we use the delta function in equation (119) to replace  $(\omega - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta})$  by  $(-\omega_1)$ , then after rearranging terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_{mlj} = & -B\pi e_{\beta}^3 \int \frac{d^3 p_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{V_{\beta m \beta}} \left[ \delta_{lm} + \frac{v_{\beta l} k_m - v_{\beta m} k_{1l}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{v_{\beta l} v_{\beta m}}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} - i\delta)^2} \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_1 - \frac{\omega \omega_1}{c^2} \right) \right] \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \\ & \times [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} f_{P\beta}^{R(0)} . \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

Next substituting equation (4) in equation (120) produces

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_{mlj} = & -B\pi e_{\beta}^2 \int \frac{d^3 p_{\beta}}{(2\pi)^3} \Lambda_{lm}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta}) \\ & \times [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_{\beta} f_{P\beta}^{R(0)} . \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Equation (121) is to be compared with equation (26) of Akopyan and Tsytovich.<sup>1</sup> Since the B operation projects out  $s = \beta$  as discussed above, equation (93) may be written

$$\bar{\Sigma}_{m\ell ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) = \text{Be}_\beta[\Sigma_{m\ell ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) + \Sigma_{m\ell ji}^{(\beta)}(k_1, -k, k_1, k)] \quad (122)$$

Comparing equations (121) and (122), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & B[\Sigma_{m\ell ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, -k, k, k_1) + \Sigma_{m\ell ji}^{(\beta)}(k_1, -k, k_1, k)] \\ &= -B\pi e_\beta \int \frac{d^3 p_\beta}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \\ & \quad \times \Lambda_{\ell m}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k} + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_{p_\beta} f_{p_\beta}^{R(0)} \quad (123) \end{aligned}$$

By equation (4) it follows that<sup>2</sup>

$$\delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \Lambda_{\ell m}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) = \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \Lambda_{m\ell}^{(\beta)*}(k, k_1) \quad (124)$$

Substituting equations (76) and (124) in equation (123), dividing by  $i$ , and taking the complex conjugate, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & B[T_{m\ell ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k, -k, -k_1) + T_{m\ell ji}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k, -k_1, -k)] \\ &= Bi\pi e_\beta \int \frac{d^3 p_\beta}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \Lambda_{m\ell}^{(\beta)}(k, k_1) [\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \vec{k} \\ & \quad + \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_{p_\beta} f_{p_\beta}^{R(0)} \quad (125) \end{aligned}$$

If one ignores single-wave particle resonances, the  $i\delta$  in equation (4) may be ignored and  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k)$  becomes effectively real and

$$P_1 \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) = P_1 \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) \quad (126)$$

where  $P_1$  denotes the operation of taking principal values with respect to Cerenkov resonance denominators of the form  $(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta + i\delta)$ . The principal part of  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k)$  is real. The nonprincipal part is proportional to  $\delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)$  or  $\delta'(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\beta)$  according to equations (4) and (73). Therefore it will not yield the correct bremsstrahlung form and may be dropped. Operating on both sides of equation (125) with  $P_1$  and using equation (126), we obtain

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

<sup>2</sup>H. E. Brandt, *Nonlinear Force on an Unpolarized Relativistic Test Particle to Second-Order in the Total Force in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System*, *Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1995* (August 1983).

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_1 B [T_{m\ell ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k, -k, -k_1) + T_{m\ell ji}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k, -k_1, -k)] \\
& = P_1 B i \pi e_\beta \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_\beta}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \\
& \quad \times \Lambda_{m\ell}^{(\beta)}(k, k_1) \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta f_{p\beta}^{R(0)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

Equation (127) agrees with equation (26) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> except for  $k$  appearing interchanged with  $k_1$  in  $\Lambda_{m\ell}^{(\beta)}$  and  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}$ . This is apparently an error in the cited work.<sup>1</sup> The operation  $P_1 B$  is implicit there and the  $i$  is absent since the imaginary part has been taken (the coefficient of  $i$ ) and the right-hand side of equation (127) here is purely imaginary.

Next substituting equation (121) in equation (94) results in

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1a)} \rangle & = B \frac{e_\alpha^2 e_\beta^2}{16\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_\beta}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{dk dk_1}{\omega^2 (\omega_1 + i\delta)^4} \\
& \quad \times \vec{k}_1 |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) \\
& \quad \times v_{\alpha p} G_{pm}(k_1) \Lambda_{\ell m}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) e_{k\ell}^{\sigma*} [e_{ki}^\sigma \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)*}(k_1, k) G_{jn}(k_1) v_{\alpha n} \vec{k} \\
& \quad + e_{ki}^\sigma \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k_1, k) G_{jn}(k_1) v_{\alpha n} \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_\beta f_{p\beta}^{R(0)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

Using equations (92) and (124) in equation (128) produces

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{grad}(1a)} \rangle & = B \frac{e_\alpha^2 e_\beta^2}{16\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_\beta}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{dk dk_1}{\omega^2 (\omega_1 + i\delta)^2 \omega_1^2} \vec{k}_1 |E_k^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\
& \quad \times \delta(\omega + \omega_1 - (\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \delta(\omega_1 - \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha) [e_{k\ell}^\sigma \Lambda_{m\ell}^{(\beta)}(k, k_1) G_{mp}(k_1) v_{\alpha p}]^* \\
& \quad \times [e_{ki}^\sigma \Lambda_{ji}^{(\beta)}(k, k_1) G_{jn}(k_1) v_{\alpha n} \vec{k} + e_{ki}^\sigma \Lambda_{ji}^{(\beta)*}(k, k_1) G_{jn}(k_1) v_{\alpha n} \vec{k}_1] \cdot \vec{v}_\beta f_{p\beta}^{R(0)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

Integrating over  $\omega_1$ , letting  $\vec{k}_1 = -\vec{k}$ , and denoting

$$\kappa = (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha, \vec{k}) , \tag{130}$$

then we find that equation (129) becomes

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}}(1a) \rangle = & -B \frac{e_\alpha^2 e_\beta^2}{16\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_\beta}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\omega d^3 \vec{k} d^3 \vec{\kappa}}{\omega^2 (\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - i\delta)^2 (\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)^2} |E_{\vec{k}}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\
& \times \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha + (\vec{k} - \vec{\kappa}) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) [e_{\vec{k}\ell}^\sigma \Lambda_{m\ell}^{(\beta)}(k, -\kappa) G_{mp}(-\kappa) v_{\alpha p}]^* \\
& \times [e_{\vec{k}i}^\sigma \Lambda_{ji}^{(\beta)}(k, -\kappa) G_{jn}(-\kappa) v_{\alpha n} \vec{k} \\
& - e_{\vec{k}i}^\sigma \Lambda_{ji}^{(\beta)*}(k, -\kappa) G_{jn}(-\kappa) v_{\alpha n} \vec{k}] \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} f_{p\beta}^{R(0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

If Cerenkov resonance is ignored, equation (126) applies. This is justified since only the principal part of  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k, -\kappa)$  leads to the bremsstrahlung form, and the principal part is real. Also, ignoring the  $i\delta$  in  $(\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha - i\delta)^{-1}$ , dropping the unneeded B operation, and making the change of indices from  $\{i, j, n, p\}$  to  $\{j, i, p, n\}$ , we find that equation (131) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \vec{F}_\alpha^{\text{rad}}(1a) \rangle = & \frac{e_\alpha^2 e_\beta^2}{16\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_\beta}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\omega d^3 \vec{k} d^3 \vec{\kappa}}{\omega^2 (\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha)^4} |E_{\vec{k}}^{\sigma(0)}|^2 \\
& \times \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_\alpha + (\vec{k} - \vec{\kappa}) \cdot \vec{v}_\beta) \\
& \times |e_{\vec{k}j}^\sigma \Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(k, -\kappa) G_{ip}(-\kappa) v_{\alpha p}|^2 (\vec{k} - \vec{\kappa}) \cdot \vec{v}_{p\beta} f_{p\beta}^{R(0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

Equation (132) is in fact equation (3), as was to be shown. It is to be stressed that to obtain this expression it was necessary to assume that the background is approximately nonabsorptive and spatially isotropic. However, these assumptions are not involved in equation (128). As already stated in the introduction, equation (27) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> is apparently in error; namely, a factor of 2 is omitted there and the arguments of  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}(-\kappa, k)$  are interchanged. The additional factors of  $(4\pi)^2 (2\pi)^{-6}$  there are apparently due to the use of Gaussian units and different Fourier transform conventions, as already discussed in equation (75). Also the Green's function used there is symmetric.

### 3. CONCLUSION

A number of important physical assumptions and mathematical techniques have been identified which are involved in Tsytovich's theory of nonlinear bremsstrahlung and radiative instability in relativistic nonequilibrium beam-plasma systems. In particular, the stochastic average of the lowest-order Born approximation for the nonlinear force on the bare charge of a relativistic test particle, equation (1), has been reduced to the form given by equations (2), (3), (47), (25), and (26). In the result of Akopyan and Tsytovich,<sup>1</sup> their equation (27) which corresponds to equation (3) here, a factor of 2 is evidently omitted and the arguments of  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}$  are incorrectly interchanged. Equation (3) has been shown to hold provided the background is approximately nonabsorptive and spatially isotropic. However, the form given by equation (128) holds without these assumptions. Also, an important expression, equation (123), was obtained involving the third-order nonlinear conductivity tensor. Using this expression it was shown that in equation (26) of Akopyan and Tsytovich<sup>1</sup> the arguments of  $\Lambda_{ij}^{(\beta)}$  are again incorrectly interchanged.

The present work will facilitate better understanding of the methods necessary to reduce the other components of the total nonlinear force due to bremsstrahlung emission.<sup>1</sup> The latter is needed to determine the nonlinear bremsstrahlung probability and the conditions for the occurrence of a bremsstrahlung radiative instability in nonequilibrium relativistic beam-plasma systems.

---

<sup>1</sup>A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, *Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma*, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Plasma, *Fiz. Plazmy*, 1 (1975), 673 [*Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 1 (1975), 371].
2. H. E. Brandt, Nonlinear Force on an Unpolarized Relativistic Test Particle to Second-Order in the Total Field in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1995 (August 1983).
3. H. E. Brandt, Nonlinear Dynamic Polarization Force on a Relativistic Test Particle in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1994 (September 1983).
4. H. E. Brandt, The Total Field in Collective Bremsstrahlung in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1996 (September 1983).
5. A. I. Akhiezer, I. A. Akhiezer, R. V. Polovin, A. G. Sitenko, K. N. Stepanov, *Plasma Electrodynamics, Vol. 1, Linear Theory*, Pergamon Press (1975), 206.
6. V. N. Tsytovich, *Nonlinear Effects in Plasma*, Plenum Press, New York (1970), 314.
7. V. N. Tsytovich, *Theory of Turbulent Plasma (Consultants Bureau)*, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York (1977), 63-65.
8. H. E. Brandt, On the Form of the Collective Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-2026 (January 1984).



## SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Other Work of Akopyan and Tsytovich

V. N. Tsytovich, Bremsstrahlung of a Relativistic Plasma, Tr. Fiz. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR 66 (1973), 191 [Proc. (TRUDY) of P. N. Lebedev Physics Inst., 66 (1975), 199].

A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, Transition Bremsstrahlung of Relativistic Particles, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 71 (1976), 166 [Sov. Phys. JETP, 44 (1976), 87].

A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, Bremsstrahlung of Relativistic Electrons in a Plasma in a Strong Magnetic Field, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 72 (1977), 1824 [Sov. Phys. JETP, 45 (1977), 957].

A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, Bremsstrahlung of Relativistic Electrons in Plasmas, Proc. of XIIIth International Conference on Phenomena in Ionized Gases, Physical Society of German Democratic Republic (1977), 917.

A. V. Akopyan and V. N. Tsytovich, Bremsstrahlung Instability of Relativistic Electrons in a Plasma, Astrofizika, 13 (1977), 717 [Astrophysics, 13 (1977), 423].

V. Tsytovich, Collective Effects in Bremsstrahlung of Fast Particles in Plasmas, Comments, Plasma Phys. Conf. Fusion, 4 (1978), 73.

### Other Work of Brandt

H. E. Brandt, Symmetries of the Nonlinear Conductivity for a Relativistic Turbulent Plasma, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1927 (March 1981).

H. E. Brandt, Exact Symmetry of the Second-Order Nonlinear Conductivity for a Relativistic Turbulent Plasma, Phys. Fluids, 24 (1981), 1760.

H. E. Brandt, Comment on Exact Symmetry of the Second-Order Nonlinear Conductivity for a Relativistic Turbulent Plasma, Phys. Fluids, 25 (1982), 1922.

H. E. Brandt, Second-Order Nonlinear Conductivity Tensor for an Unmagnetized Relativistic Turbulent Plasma, in Plasma Astrophysics Course and Workshop, organized by the International School of Plasma Physics, 27 August to 7 September 1981, Varenna (Como), Italy (European Space Agency ESA SP-161, November 1981) (also to be published by Pergamon), p 361.

H. E. Brandt, On the Nonlinear Conductivity Tensor for an Unmagnetized Relativistic Turbulent Plasma, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1970 (February 1982).

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY (Cont'd)

Other Work of Brandt

H. E. Brandt, The Gluckstern-Hull Formula for Electron-Nucleus Bremsstrahlung, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1884 (May 1980).

H. E. Brandt, Symmetry of the Complete Second-Order Nonlinear Conductivity Tensor for an Unmagnetized Relativistic Turbulent Plasma, J. Math. Phys., 24 (1983), 1332, 2250.

H. E. Brandt, On the Form of the Collective Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force in a Nonequilibrium Relativistic Beam-Plasma System, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-2026 (January 1984).

Other Work of Brandt in Preparation

H. E. Brandt, Collective Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force on the Bare Charge of an Unperturbed Test Particle in a Nonequilibrium Beam-Plasma System, Harry Diamond Laboratories, 1983 (to be published as HDL technical report).

H. E. Brandt, Bremsstrahlung Recoil Force on the Third-Order Nonlinear Dynamic Polarization Charge of a Relativistic Test Particle, Harry Diamond Laboratories, 1982 (to be published as HDL technical report).

Other related work prepared in preprint form will be published later and is available from the author.

DISTRIBUTION

ADMINISTRATOR  
DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER  
ATTN DTIC-DDA (12 COPIES)  
CAMERON STATION, BUILDING 5  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MATERIEL DEVELOPMENT & READINESS  
COMMAND  
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

DIRECTOR  
DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS  
AGENCY  
ATTN R. GULLICKSON  
ATTN L. C. MARQUET  
ATTN R. SEPUCHA  
1400 WILSON BLVD  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209

DIRECTOR  
DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20305

DIRECTOR  
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
ATTN D. SPOHN  
ATTN DT-1, NUCLEAR & APPLIED  
SCIENCES DIV  
ATTN ELECTRONIC WARFARE BRANCH  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE  
FOR RESEARCH & ENGINEERING  
ATTN DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY  
(RESEARCH & ADVANCED TECH)  
ATTN D. L. LAMBERSON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF  
DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH & ENGINEERING  
DIR ENERGY TECHNOLOGY OFFICE  
THE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

DIRECTOR  
DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY  
ATTN DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY  
ATTN G. BAKER  
ATTN RAEV, ELECTRONIC VULNERABILITY  
ATTN J. Z. FARBER  
ATTN G. K. SOPER  
ATTN V. VAN LINT  
WASHINGTON, DC 20305

DIRECTOR  
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
ATTN TECHNICAL LIBRARY  
ATTN F. BEDARD  
FT MEADE, MD 20755

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (RDA)  
ATTN DEP FOR SCI & TECH  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

OFFICE, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF  
FOR OPERATIONS & PLANS  
DEPT OF THE ARMY  
ATTN DAMO-SSN, NUCLEAR DIV  
WASHINGTON, DC 20310

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF  
FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT,  
& ACQUISITION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
ATTN DIRECTOR OF ARMY RESEARCH,  
M. E. LASSER  
ATTN DAMA-CSS-N, NUCLEAR TEAM  
ATTN DAMA-ARZ-O, F. D. VERDERAME  
WASHINGTON, DC 20310

COMMANDER  
US ARMY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND  
ATTN STEAP-TL, TECH LIB  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005

COMMANDER  
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE  
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY CENTER  
ATTN D. SCHENK  
PO BOX 1500  
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35807

DIRECTOR  
US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN DRDAR-TSB-S (STINFO)  
ATTN D. ECCLESHALL  
ATTN C. HOLLANDSWORTH  
ATTN R. KREMENS  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005

US ARMY ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY &  
DEVICES LABORATORY  
ATTN DELET-DD  
ATTN N. WILSON  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

US ARMY ERADCOM  
ATTN C. M. DESANTIS  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

COMMANDER  
US ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE &  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER  
FEDERAL OFFICE BLDG

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

US ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE &  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER (CONT'D)  
ATTN DRXST-SD, SCIENCES DIV  
ATTN T. CALDWELL  
220 7TH STREET, NE  
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901

DIRECTOR  
US ARMY MATERIEL SYSTEMS  
ANALYSIS ACTIVITY  
ATTN DRXSY-MP  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MATERIALS & MECHANICS  
RESEARCH CENTER  
ATTN DRXMR-H, BALLISTIC MISSILE  
DEF MATLS PROG OFC  
WATERTOWN, MA 02172

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND  
ATTN DRDMI-TR, PHYSICAL SCIENCES DIR  
REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35809

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MISSILE & MUNITIONS  
CENTER & SCHOOL  
ATTN ATSK-CTD-F  
REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35809

ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE (DURHAM)  
PO BOX 12211  
ATTN H. ROBL  
ATTN R. LONTZ  
ATTN B. D. GUENTHER  
ATTN TECH LIBRARY  
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709

COMMANDER  
US ARMY RSCH & STD GP (EUR)  
ATTN CHIEF, PHYSICS & MATH BRANCH  
FPO NEW YORK 09510

NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND  
ATTN LCDR G. BATES, PMS-405  
WASHINGTON, DC 20361

NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CENTER  
ATTN M. KOONTZ  
4301 SUITLAND RD  
SUITLAND, MD 20390

NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND  
ATTN T. HORWATH  
2211 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
ATTN C. ROBERSON  
ATTN W. J. CONDELL  
800 N. QUINCY ST  
ARLINGTON, VA 22217

SUPERINTENDENT  
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
ATTN LIBRARY, CODE 2124  
MONTEREY, CA 93940

DIRECTOR  
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN 2600, TECHNICAL INFO DIV  
ATTN 5540, LASER PHYSICS  
ATTN 6000, MATL & RADIATION SCI & TE  
ATTN B. RIPIN  
ATTN L. A. COSBY  
ATTN E. E. KEMPE  
ATTN J. T. SCHRIEMPF  
ATTN R. F. WENZEL  
ATTN R. HETTCHE  
ATTN J. GOLDEN  
ATTN V. L. GRANATSTEIN  
ATTN M. A. REED  
ATTN R. K. PARKER  
ATTN P. A. SPRANGLE  
ATTN S. GOLD  
ATTN C. A. KAPETANAKOS  
ATTN S. AHN  
ATTN T. P. COFFEY  
ATTN R. JACKSON  
ATTN I. M. VITKOVITSKY  
ATTN M. FRIEDMAN  
ATTN J. PASOUR  
ATTN G. COOPERSTEIN  
WASHINGTON, DC 20375

COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER  
ATTN V. PUGLIELLI  
ATTN DX-21, LIBRARY DIV  
DAHLGREN, VA 22448

COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER  
ATTN J. Y. CHOE  
ATTN F. SAZAMA  
ATTN H. UHM  
ATTN V. KENYON  
ATTN E. NOLTING  
ATTN WA-13, HIGH-ENERGY LASER BR  
ATTN WA-50, NUCLEAR WEAPONS  
EFFECTS DIV  
ATTN WR, RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY DEPT  
ATTN WR-40, RADIATION DIV  
ATTN E-43, TECHNICAL LIB  
WHITE OAK, MD 20910

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

COMMANDER  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER  
ATTN 315, LASER/INFRARED SYS DIV  
ATTN 381, PHYSICS DIV  
CHINA LAKE, CA 93555

HQ USAF/SAMI  
WASHINGTON, DC 20330

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE  
(RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)  
WASHINGTON, DC 20330

DIRECTOR  
AF OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH  
BOLLING AFB  
ATTN NP, DIR OF PHYSICS  
ATTN M. A. STROSCIO  
ATTN R. BARKER  
WASHINGTON, DC 20332

COMMANDER  
AF WEAPONS LAB, AFSC  
ATTN J. GENEROSA  
ATTN A. H. GUENTHER  
ATTN W. E. PAGE  
ATTN LR, LASER DEV DIV  
KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87117

WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB  
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION/ETD  
ATTN J. BUTLER  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
ATTN R. PETTIS  
ATTN D. B. NEWMAN  
PO BOX 1925  
WASHINGTON, DC 20013

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS  
ATTN LIBRARY  
ATTN CENTER FOR RADIATION RESEARCH  
ATTN C. TEAGUE  
ATTN E. MARX  
WASHINGTON, DC 20234

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
ATTN O. P. MANLEY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20585

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION  
ATTN R. RAMATY  
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
GREENBELT, MD 20771

ADMINISTRATOR  
NASA HEADQUARTERS  
WASHINGTON, DC 20546

DIRECTOR  
NASA  
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
ATTN 250, TECH INFO DIV  
GREENBELT, MD 20771

AMES LABORATORY (ERDA)  
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY  
ATTN NUCLEAR SCIENCE CATEGORY  
AMES, IA 50011

ASTRONOMICAL INSTITUTE--ZONNENBURG 2  
ATTN M. KUPERUS  
3512 NL UTRECHT, THE NETHERLANDS

THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
DEPT OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS  
ATTN D. B. MELROSE  
PO BOX 4  
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2600  
AUSTRALIA

B. K. DYNAMICS  
ATTN R. J. LINZ  
15825 SHADY GROVE RD  
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850

BOEING AEROSPACE  
ATTN H. T. BUSHER  
PO BOX 3999 MS 8R-10  
SEATTLE, WA 98124

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY  
ASSOCIATED UNIVERSITIES, INC  
ATTN PHYSICS DEPT  
UPTON, LONG ISLAND, NY 11973

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
THEORETICAL ASTROPHYSICS  
ATTN K. THORNE  
130-33  
PASADENA, CA 91125

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPT OF APPL PHYS & INFORMATION  
SCIENCE  
ATTN H. ALFVEN  
LA JOLLA, CA 92093

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
JET PROPULSION LABORATORY  
ATTN J. C. HUBBARD  
IRVINE, CA 92717

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT  
ATTN C. K. BIRDSALL  
BERKELEY, CA 94720

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
PHYSICS DEPT  
ATTN A. N. KAUFMAN  
BERKELEY, CA 94720

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
APPLIED SCIENCES  
ATTN J. DEGROOT  
DAVIS, CA 95616

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE  
DEPT OF PHYSICS  
ATTN G. BENFORD  
ATTN N. ROSTOKER  
ATTN M. MAYER  
IRVINE, CA 92717

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
DEPT OF PHYSICS  
ATTN K. NOZAKI  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY  
INSTITUTE OF ASTRONOMY  
MADINGLEY ROAD  
ATTN M. REES  
CAMBRIDGE CB 3 0 HA, ENGLAND

CHALMERS UNIV OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST OF ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD  
THEORY  
ATTN H. WILHELMSSON  
S-41296 GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
LAB FOR ASTROPHYSICS & SPACE RESEARCH  
ATTN E. PARKER  
CHICAGO, IL 60637

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO  
DEPT OF ASTROGEOPHYSICS  
ATTN M. GOLDMAN  
ATTN D. SMITH  
BOULDER, CO 80309

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY  
ATTN S. JOHNSTON  
216 MUDD BLDG  
NEW YORK, NY 10027

CORNELL UNIVERSITY  
ATTN R. LOVELACE  
ATTN R. N. SUDAN  
ATTN J. NATION  
ATTN D. HAMMER

CORNELL UNIVERSITY (CONT'D)  
ATTN H. FLEISHMANN  
ITHACA, NY 14853

DARTMOUTH COLLEGE  
PHYSICS DEPT  
ATTN J. E. WALSH  
HANOVER, NH 03755

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT  
OF COLUMBIA  
VAN NESS CAMPUS  
DEPT OF PHYSICS  
ATTN M. J. SMITH  
4200 CONNECTICUT AVE, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20008

ENGINEERING SOCIETIES LIBRARY  
345 EAST 47TH STREET  
ATTN ACQUISITIONS DEPARTMENT  
NEW YORK, NY 10017

GENERAL DYNAMICS  
ATTN K. H. BROWN  
PO BOX 2507 MZ 44-21  
POMONA, CA 91769

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT  
URBANA--CHAMPAIGN  
DEPT OF PHYSICS  
ATTN N. IWAMOTO  
URBANA, IL 61801

IRT CORP  
ATTN F. CHILTON  
PO BOX 85317  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138

ISTITUTO DI FISICA DELL' UNIVERSITA  
VIA CELORIA 16  
ATTN P. CALDIROLA  
ATTN C. PAIZIS  
ATTN E. SINDONI  
20133 MILANO, ITALY

ISTITUTO DI FISICA DELL' UNIVERSITA  
ATTN A. CAVALIERE  
ATTN R. RUFFINI  
ROME, ITALY

ISTITUTO DI FISICA GENERALE  
DELL' UNIVERSITA  
CORSO M. D'AZEGLIO  
ATTN A. FERRARI  
46 TORINO, ITALY

JAYCOR  
ATTN E. CONRAD  
205 S. WHITING ST  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

KAMAN SCIENCES CORP  
ATTN T. A. TUMOLILLO  
1500 GARDEN OF THE GODS  
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80907

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LAB  
ATTN A. FALTENS  
ATTN A. M. SESSLER  
ATTN D. KEEPE  
BERKELEY, CA 94720

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
ATTN L. MARTIN  
ATTN P. WHEELER  
ATTN H. S. CABAYAN  
ATTN R. BRIGGS  
ATTN E. K. MILLER  
ATTN R. ZIOLKOWSKI  
ATTN R. SCARPETTI  
ATTN R. MINICH  
ATTN S. BURKHART  
ATTN G. VOGTLIN  
ATTN V. W. SLIVINSKY  
ATTN S. L. YU  
ATTN G. CRAIG  
ATTN R. ALVAREZ  
ATTN G. LASCHE  
ATTN J. H. YEE  
ATTN H. W. MELDNER  
ATTN J. S. PETTIBONE  
ATTN A. J. POGGIO  
ATTN M. LOYD  
PO BOX 808  
LIVERMORE, CA 94550

CHIEF  
LIVERMORE DIVISION, FIELD COMMAND, DNA  
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY  
PO BOX 808  
LIVERMORE, CA 94550

LOS ALAMOS SCI LAB  
ATTN S. COLGATE  
ATTN D. F. DUBOIS  
ATTN D. FORSLAND  
ATTN C. M. FOWLER  
ATTN B. WARNER  
ATTN J. LANDT  
ATTN R. HOEBERLING  
ATTN T. R. KING  
ATTN A. KADISH  
ATTN K. LEE  
ATTN R. W. FREYMAN  
ATTN L. M. DUNCAN  
ATTN D. B. HENDERSON  
ATTN L. E. THODE  
ATTN H. A. DAVIS  
ATTN T. KWAN  
PO BOX 1663  
LOS ALAMOS, NM 87545

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND  
DEPT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING  
ATTN M. REISER  
ATTN W. DESTLER  
ATTN C. D. STRIFFLER  
ATTN M. T. RHEE  
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND  
DEPT OF PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY  
ATTN H. R. GRIEM  
ATTN E. OTT  
ATTN C. GREBOGI  
ATTN K. PAPADOPOULOS  
ATTN J. WEBER  
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE  
OF TECHNOLOGY  
PLASMA FUSION CENTER  
ATTN G. BEKEFI  
ATTN J. BELCHER  
ATTN T. CHANG  
ATTN B. COPPI  
ATTN R. DAVIDSON  
ATTN S. OLBERT  
ATTN B. ROSSI  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

MAX PLANCK INST, FUR AERONOMIE  
3411 KATLENBG  
ATTN J. AXFORD  
ATTN E. MARSCH  
LINDAU 3, WEST GERMANY

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS  
& ASTROPHYSICS  
ATTN M. NORMAN  
ATTN J. TRUMPER  
GARCHING BEI MUNICH, WEST GERMANY

MAXWELL LABORATORIES  
ATTN J. S. PEARLMAN  
8835 BALBOA AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

MISSION RESEARCH CORP  
ATTN B. GODFREY  
ATTN D. J. SULLIVAN  
ATTN M. BOLLEN  
ATTN C. LONGMIRE  
ATTN D. VOSS  
1720 RANDOLPH RD, SE  
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87106

UNIVERSITY OF NAGOYA  
DEPT OF PHYSICS  
ATTN S. HAYAKAWA  
NAGOYA, JAPAN

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL  
DIVISION OF PHYSICS  
ATTN P. JAANIMAGI  
OTTOWA, ONTARIO  
CANADA

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION  
DIVISION OF ASTRONOMICAL SCIENCES  
ATTN M. AIZENMAN  
1800 G STREET, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20550

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY  
PO BOX Y  
ATTN A. C. ENGLAND  
OAK RIDGE, TN 37830

OCCIDENTAL RESEARCH CORP  
ATTN D. B. CHANG  
2100 SE MAIN  
IRVINE, CA 92713

OXFORD UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT THEORETICAL PHYSICS  
1 KEBLE RD  
ATTN D. TER HAAR  
OXFORD OX1 3NP, ENGLAND

OXFORD UNIVERSITY  
ASTROPHYSICS DEPARTMENT  
SOUTH PARK ROAD  
ATTN A. HALL  
OXFORD--OXI 3 RQ, ENGLAND

OXFORD UNIVERSITY  
DEPT OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE  
PARKS ROAD  
ATTN L. M. WICKENS  
ATTN J. E. ALLEN  
OXFORD, UNITED KINGDOM

PHYSICS INTERNATIONAL  
ATTN B. A. LIPPMANN  
ATTN R. D. GENUARIO  
2700 MERCER ST  
SAN LEANDRO, CA 94577

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY  
ASTROPHYSICAL SCIENCES  
PETON HALL  
ATTN R. KULSRUD  
PRINCETON, NJ 08540

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY  
PLASMAPHYSICS LABORATORY  
ATTN R. WHITE  
PRINCETON, NJ 08540

QUEEN MARY COLLEGE  
DEPT OF APPLIED MATH  
MILE END ROAD  
ATTN D. BURGESS  
LONDON E1 4NS, ENGLAND

RUHR UNIVERSITY  
ATTN W. SEBOLD  
ATTN W. ZWINGMANN  
4630 BOCHUM 1, WEST GERMANY

INSTITUTE OF EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS  
RUHR-UNIVERSITY  
POSTFACH 2148  
ATTN H. KUNZE  
436 BOCHUM, WEST GERMANY

SACHS/FREEMAN ASSOC  
ATTN R. A. MAHAFFEY  
19300 GALLANT FOX  
SUITE 214  
BOWIE, MD 20715

SANDIA LABORATORIES  
ATTN R. B. MILLER  
ATTN J. POWELL  
ATTN W. BALLARD  
ATTN C. EKDAHL  
ATTN W. D. BROWN  
ATTN S. HUMPHRIES, JR.  
ATTN G. ROHWEIN  
ATTN K. PRESTWICH  
4244 SANDIA LABS  
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87115

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS, INC  
ATTN E. P. CORNET  
ATTN A. DROBOT  
ATTN E. KANE  
1710 GOODRIDGE DR  
PO BOX 1303  
MCLEAN, VA 22012

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS, INC  
ATTN F. CHILTON  
1200 PROSPECT ST  
PO BOX 2351  
LA JOLLA, CA 92038

SERVICE DE CHEMIE PHYSIQUELL  
ATTN R. BALESCU  
CAMPUS PLAINE U.L.B.  
CODE POSTAL n° 231  
BOULEVARD DU TRIOMPHE  
10 50 BRUXELLES, BELGIUM

SPECOLA VATICANA  
ATTN W. STOEGER, S. J.  
I-00120 CITTA DEL VATICANO  
ITALY



DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

SRI INTERNATIONAL  
ATTN G. AUGUST  
ATTN C. L. RINO  
333 RAVENSWOOD AVE  
MENLO PARK, CA 94025

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
INST PLASMA RES  
ATTN P. A. STURROCK  
STANFORD, CA 94305

STERRERWACHT-LEIDEN  
ATTN C. A. NORMAN  
ATTN P. ALLAN  
2300 RA LEIDEN, THE NETHERLANDS

TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY  
DEPT OF PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY  
ATTN G. TAUBER  
TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING  
CUMMINGS RESEARCH PARK  
ATTN MELVIN L. PRICE, MS-44  
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35807

INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL MECHANICS-  
RUG  
KRIJGSLAAN 271-S9  
ATTN F. VERHEEST  
B-9000 GENT, BELGIUM

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE  
DEPT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING  
ATTN I. ALEXEFF  
ATTN J. R. ROTH  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37916

UMEA UNIVERSITY  
DEPT OF PLASMA PHYSICS  
ATTN J. LARSSON  
ATTN L. STENFLO  
S-90187 UMEA  
SWEDEN

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
DEPT OF PHYSICS  
ATTN M. BAKER  
SEATTLE, WA 98195

WEIZMANN INSTITUE  
DEPT OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS  
ATTN AMRI WANDEL  
REHOVOT, ISRAEL

WESTERN RESEARCH CORP  
ATTN R. O. HUNTER  
225 BROADWAY, SUITE 1600  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

US ARMY ELECTRONICS RESEARCH  
& DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
ATTN COMMANDER, DRDEL-CG  
ATTN TECHNICAL DIRECTOR, DRDEL-CT  
ATTN PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE, DRDEL-IN

HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES  
ATTN D/TSO/DIVISION DIRECTORS  
ATTN RECORD COPY, 81200  
ATTN HDL LIBRARY, 81100 (3 COPIES)  
ATTN HDL LIBRARY (WOODBIDGE), 81100  
ATTN TECHNICAL REPORTS BRANCH, 81300  
ATTN LEGAL OFFICE, 97000  
ATTN ELBAUM, S., 97100  
ATTN CHAIRMAN, EDITORIAL COMMITTEE  
ATTN ZABLUDOWSKI, B., 47400  
ATTN CHIEF, 21000  
ATTN CHIEF, 21100  
ATTN CHIEF, 21200  
ATTN CHIEF, 21300  
ATTN CHIEF, 21400  
ATTN CHIEF, 21500  
ATTN CHIEF, 22000  
ATTN CHIEF, 22100  
ATTN CHIEF, 22300  
ATTN CHIEF, 22800  
ATTN CHIEF, 22900  
ATTN CHIEF, 20240  
ATTN CHIEF, 11000  
ATTN CHIEF, 13000  
ATTN CHIEF, 13200  
ATTN CHIEF, 13300  
ATTN CHIEF, 15200  
ATTN BROWN, E., 00211  
ATTN SINDORIS, A., 00211  
ATTN GERLACH, H., 11100  
ATTN LIBELO, L., 11200  
ATTN LOKERSON, D., 11400  
ATTN CROWNE, F., 13200  
ATTN DROPKIN, H., 13200  
ATTN LEAVITT, R., 13200  
ATTN MORRISON, C., 13200  
ATTN SATTLER, J., 13200  
ATTN KULPA, S., 13300  
ATTN SILVERSTEIN, J., 13300  
ATTN FAZI, C., 13500  
ATTN LOMONACO, S., 15200  
ATTN CORRIGAN, J., 20240  
ATTN FARRAR, F., 21100  
ATTN GARVER, R., 21100  
ATTN TATUM, J., 21100  
ATTN MERKEL, G., 21300  
ATTN MCLEAN, B., 22300  
ATTN OLDHAM, T., 22300  
ATTN BLACKBURN, J., 22800  
ATTN GILBERT, R., 22800  
ATTN KLEBERS, J., 22800  
ATTN VANDERWALL, J., 22800  
ATTN BROMBORSKY, A., 22900

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

ATTN DAVIS, D., 22900  
ATTN GRAYBILL, S., 22900  
ATTN HUTTLIN, G. A., 22900  
ATTN KEHS, A., 22900  
ATTN KERRIS, K., 22900  
ATTN LAMB, R., 22900  
ATTN LINDSAY, D., 22900  
ATTN LITZ, M., 22900  
ATTN RUTH, B., 22900  
ATTN STEWART, A., 22900  
ATTN SOLN, J., 22900  
ATTN WHITTAKER, D., 22900  
ATTN DEHART, J., 47500  
ATTN CARRIGAN, J., 20240  
ATTN ELBAUM, S., 97100  
ATTN BRANDT, H. E., 22300  
(60 COPIES)

END

FILMED

7-84

DITTO