

AFIT/GNE/PH/84M-9

()

•

A RAIN SCAVENGING MODEL FOR PREDICTING LOW YIELD AIRBURST WEAPON FALLOUT FOR OPERATIONAL TYPE STUDIES

THESIS

Curtis R. Krieser Captain, USA

AFIT/GNE/PH/84M-9



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AFIT/GNE/PH/84M-9

A RAIN SCAVENGING MODEL FOR PREFICTING LOW YIELD AIRBURST WEAPON FALLOUT FOR OPERATIONAL TYPE STUDIES

### THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering of the Air Force Institute of Technology Air University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Nuclear Engineering

Curtis R. Krieser

Captain, USA

March 1984

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Preface

The purpose of this study project was to develop a simple method of predicting fallout from a low yield, nuclear airburst that would be useful to a tactical ground commander. Simple calculation methods exist for tactical use; however, none are utilized for airbursts. A computer model was developed based on low yield test data. The method produces maximum dose rate and infinite dose curves enabling a commander to quickly identify radiation hazard areas.

I thank LCDR James H. Gogolin and Capt Arthur T. Hopkins for their contributions to the lively art of fallout conversation and providing constructive criticism during this study. I particularly want to express my appreciation and thanks to Dr. Charles J. Bridgman for his guidance and sage advice in insuring that the cart always stayed behind the horse. Lastly, I want to thank my wife, Elsa, who always made sure that there was a light still flickering at the end of the tunnel.

Curtis R. Krieser

RE: Classified Reference, Distribution Unlimited No change per Dr. C. J. Bridgman, AFIT/ENP

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DIIC TAB Unannounced Bv Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Special Dist

# Table of Contents

í,

Ô

.

Page
Preface
List of Figures
List of Tables
Abstract
I. Introduction
II. Types of <i>callout Models</i>
Numerical Model (DELFIC)
III. The Stabilized Nuclear Cloud
Background
IV. The Airburst Scavenging Model
Description
V. Results
Base Case28Parameter Variations29Summary29
VI. Conclusions and Recommendations
Conclusions
Appendix A: Conversion of the Ground Activity Distribution per Unit Area to a Dose Rate
Appendix B: Calculation of Atmospheric Dynamic Viscosity 46

iii

	Page	
. •	Appendix C: The Airburst Scavenging Model Program 47	
	Appendix D: Infinite Dose Calculations	
	Bibliography	
	Vita	
Ö		
•		
• -	iv	

# List of Figures

Figu	are	Page
1.	Fallout Contour From a Constant Wind	4
2.	g(t) vs Time for a 1.0 Kiloton Surface Burst	6
3.	Cumulative Number-Size Distribution for a Surface Burst	8
4.	Cumulative Number Size Distribution for an Airburst	9
5.	Empirical Fit to DASA 1251 Data	12
6.	g(t) vs Time for a 1.0 Kiloton Airburst	14
7.	Cumulative Activity-Size Distribution	18
8.	Distribution of Activity with Altitude	23
9.	Distribution of Activity with Altitude	24
10.	Peak Grounded Activity	27
11.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 1.0 Kiloton Burst	30
12.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.9 Kiloton Burst	31
13.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.8 Kiloton Burst	32
14.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.7 Kiloton Burst	33
15.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.6 Kiloton Burst	34
16.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.5 Kiloton Burst	35
17.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.4 Kiloton Burst	36
18.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.3 Kiloton Burst	37
19.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.2 Kiloton Burst	38
iite		
	v	

Fi	gu	re
----	----	----

57

Ţ,

Pa	ge	

20.	Maximum Dose Rate + Sigma-x Distance From a 0.1 Kiloton Burst	)
21.	Point Kernal Integration Geometry	2
22.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 1.0 Kiloton Airburst	5
23.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.9 Kiloton Airburst	7
24.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.8 Kiloton Airburst	3
25.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.7 Kiloton Airburst	•
26.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.6 Kiloton Airburst	)
27.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.5 Kiloton Airburst	L
28.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.4 Kiloton Airburst	2
29.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.3 Kiloton Airburst	3
30.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a 0.2 Kiloton Airburst	1
31.	Maximum Infinite Dose and Sigma-x Distance From a	5

# List of Tables

Table	Pag	е
Ι.	Visible Cloud Data Extracted From DASA 1251 1	1
11.	Air Burst Number-Size Distributions 1	6
III.	Activity-Size Groups	0
.v.	Base Case Parameters	8

Ø

vii

#### Abstract

A method was developed that enables a tactical ground commander to predict gamma radiation dose rates and infinite doses produced by the rain scavenging of low-yield nuclear airburst clouds. A ground activity distribution per unit area at time t, A(x,y,t), is computed using a distribution of activity in the cloud per meter of altitude, A(z,t). To find the maximum activity grounded, it is assumed 100 percent of the cloud activity is instantaneously deposited on the ground by the mechanism of rain scavenging. This maximum A(x,y,t) is then converted to a maximum dose rate, D(x,y,t), from which maximum infinite doses are computed. Maximum dose rate and infinite dose curves vs cloud washout time (after cloud stabilization) are presented for 10 weapon yields ranging from 1.0 kiloton to 0.1 kiloton. It is shown that the radiation hazard levels are insignificant tactical threats at times greater than 36 hours after cloud stabilization. A RAIN SCAVENGING MODEL FOR PREDICTING LOW YIELD AIRBURST WEAPON FALLOUT FOR OPERATIONAL TYPE STUDIES

## I. Introduction

The ability to predict fallout from the atmospheric detonation of low yield nuclear weapons plays an important role in tactical planning and operations. A tactical ground commander must have the capability o predict radiological contamination hazard areas resulting from the friendly or unfriendly employment of nuclear weapons. These hazard a are capable of producing mass casualties. Since actions must be taken to minimize the casualty producing effects of these hazard areas to friendly forces, the tactical ground commander must be able to predict the location and intensity of the hazard.

Currently a method exists that allows ground commanders to predict fallout hazard areas for all types of nuclear weapon bursts except an airburst (Ref 5). When an airburst is employed no tactically significant fallout will occur because airburst fallout particles are too small to be deposited locally on the battlefield. However, if a rain (or snow) cloud interacts with the nuclear fallout cloud (scavenging the cloud), then tactically significant fallout could result. A simple method useful to a tactical ground commander for predicting low yield weapon fallout due to rain scavenging does not currently exist (Ref 6:B-12).

This thesis develops a simple rain scavenging fallout model for the tactical commander. For the purpose of this thesis, low yields will be defined as being less than or equal to 1 kiloton. Section II provides an introduction to the two principle types of fallout models currently in use. Section III explains the concept of the stabilized cloud and describes a low yield cloud model based on empirical weapons test data. Section IV describes the rain scavenging model for airbursts. Section V contains the results of this model and presents a method which a tactical ground commander can use in assessing the radiological hazard to his troops.

## II. Types of Fallout Models

This section describes the two types of fallout models presently in use. The first type is the numerical model represented by the Department of Defense Land Fallout Interpretive Code (DELFIC) (Ref 12). The second type is the analytical model represented by both the Pentagon Weapon Systems Evaluation Group (WSEG) and Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) codes (Refs 14, 3).

### Numerical Model (DELFIC)

DELFIC is a full physics computer code developed for use as a research tool (Ref 12:2). DELFIC models the fallout process by computing the space-time history of fallout particles as they are transported through the atmosphere and down toward the earth's surface. These fallout particles are represented by pancake shaped wafers containing distinct particle size groups. The fallout pattern on the ground at a given time is computed by superpositioning the ground positions of those wafers that have reached the ground in that given time. Because DELFIC must carry out a large number of calculations, it is a slow running code and very expensive to use. To alleviate this problem, faster running analytical codes have evolved.

#### Analytical models (WSEG and AFIT)

The WSEG and AFIT codes, unlike DELFIC, model the fallout particle deposition by smearing the stabilized radioactive cloud on the ground as it falls. The resulting fallout pattern on the ground is illustrated by the shaded area in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Fallout Contour From a Constant Wind (Ref 10:2) This smearing of the fallout cloud on the ground surface produces an activity distribution per unit area, A(x,y,t), that can be found from

$$A(x,y,t) = A_{t}(t) \int_{a}^{t} f(x,y,t)g(t)dt$$
(1)

where  $A_t(t)$  represents the total activity in the cloud at time t and g(t) is the fractional arrival rate of activity on the ground (Ref 3:207).

The function f(x,y,t) represents the horizontal distribution of activity in the cloud. It is a dual normal function with a standard deviation in both the downwind or x direction and the crosswind or y direction (Ref 3:208). These standard deviations are functions of the fallout cloud arrival time on the ground,  $t = x/v_x$ . The normalized horizontal activity distribution is represented by

$$f(x,y,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{x}(t)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{x-v_{x}t}{\sigma_{x}(t)} \right]^{2} \right\}$$
$$\cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{y}(t)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{y}{\sigma_{y}(t)} \right]^{2} \right\}$$
(2)

where  $v_x$  is assumed to be a constant wind velocity in the x direction.

Equation (1) is the fundamental equation used to compute fallout footprints from smearing codes. The function g(t) is the key element of this equation. An example of a surface burst g(t) calculation is illustrated in Figure 2 (Ref 3,12 ). The activity on the ground per unit area can then be converted to a dose rate in rads per hour at a detector 3 feet off the ground

$$\hat{D}(x,y,t) = C A(x,y,t)$$
(3)

where D(x,y,t) is the dose rate and C is a constant having units of rads per hour per unit activity per unit area (Ref 3:207). The derivation of the constant C can be found in Appendix A.



: . .

#### III. The Stabilized Nuclear Cloud

## Background

The detonation of a nuclear weapon produces a very hot cloud of radioactive weapon debris and incandescent air called the fireball. This fireball rises, expands, and cools mainly by radiation convection mixing of hot and cool air. When thermodynamic equilibrium is reached with the ambient atmosphere, the cloud ceases to rise and becomes stabilized.

In a surface burst, large amounts of surface material are lofted into the cloud and vaporized. As the fireball cools, the radioactive constituents become mixed and incorporated into the surface debris by condensation. The resulting particles then fall to the earth. Figure 3 illustrates the DELFIC number-size distribution of particles resulting from a surface burst. In an airburst, where the fireball does not intersect the ground, there are no large amounts of surface debris lofted into the cloud. As a result, the particles are much smaller and less widely distributed in size as shown in Figure 4. These particles descend to the earth at a much slower rate than particles produced by a surface burst (Ref 8:36).

#### Cloud Center Height

The WSEG and AFIT smearing codes allow fallout particles to begin their descent from an altitude that corresponds to the initial stabilized cloud center height. This stabilized cloud center height is modeled using an empirical function of the form



 $\mathbf{O}$ 





. . . .

Ó

Sec.



 $HC = 44.0 + 6.1 \ln Y - .205(\ln Y + 2.42) | \ln Y + 2.42 | (4)$ 

where Y is the weapon yield in megatons and HC is the cloud center height in kilofaet (Ref 3:213). This relation, when applied to yields of 1 kiloton or less, produces questionable results as is pointed out in the WSEG documentation (Ref 14:31). For example, a weapon having a 0.2 kiloton yield is predicted to have a stabilized cloud center height of -0.33 kilofeet.

A remedy to this dilemma was to compile visible cloud data from 22 low yield airburst tests conducted between 1945 and 1962. This data was originally compiled by the old Defense Atomic Support Agency (DASA) and contained in its 1251 series of reports (Ref 9). The data is summarized in Table I. A polynomial least squares fit of the data was performed to obtain a cloud center height relation as a function of yield. It was assumed, as in the WSEG model, that the center of the radioactive cloud is located at the same altitude as the bottom of the visible cloud (Ref 14:24). The resulting fit is a polynomial of fourth degree having the form

> $HC = 8.30476298 + .63632921 \ln Y - .39763749 (ln Y)^{2}$ - .01364081 (ln Y)<sup>3</sup> + .00560846 (ln Y)<sup>4</sup> (5)

where Y is the weapon yield in kilotons and HC is the stabilized cloud center height in kilofeet. Unless otherwise stated, Y will have the dimensions of kilotons. Figure 5 illustrates this functional fit along with the data extracted from DASA 1251. It should be noted that equation (5) provides best results for yields ranging between 0.01 kilotons

TEST NAME	YIELD (Kt)	SITE ELEVATION (ft)	HEIGHT OF BURST (ft)	CLOUD BOTTOM HEIGHT (ft MSL)
Buster Jangle-Able Tumbler Snapper-Baker Upshot Knothole-Ruth Upshot Knothole-Ray Teapot-Wasp Teapot-Moth Teapot-Post Plumbbob-Franklin Plumbbob-Wheeler Plumbob-LaPlace Hardtack II-Eddy Hardtack II-Hidalgo Hardtack II-Hidalgo Hardtack II-Hidalgo Hardtack II-Hamilton Hardtack II-Amilton	.1 1.0 .2 .2 1.0 2.0 2.0 .14 .197 1.0 .083 2.0 .083 2.0 .077 .079 .0012 .09	4169.17 4193.0 4000.0 4026.0 4026.0 4026.0 4230.0 4026.0 4230.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0 4186.0	100.0 100.0 304.69 100.0 762.0 300.0 300.0 300.0 500.0 500.0 500.0 1500.0 377.0 100.0 50.0 72.5	6788.8 1888.8 18788.8 7788.8 14588.8 15988.8 12888.8 14888.8 14888.8 14888.8 14888.8 14888.8 14888.8 7588.8 1888.8 1888.8 1888.8 1888.8
Hardtack II-Wrangell Hardtack II-Catron Hardtack II-Sanford Hardtack II-Debaca Hardtack II-Humboldt Hardtack II-Santo Ec	.115 .Ø21 4.9 2.2 .ØØ78	3077.0 4026.0 3077.0 4186.0 4029.0	1500.0 72.5 1500.0 1500.0 25.0	7808.8 5888.8 12588.8 18888.8 6888.8

Table I

Visible Cloud Data Extracted From DASA 1251

and 1.0 kiloton. Improvements to the fit can be achieved by utilizing more test data. However, the availability of test data is limited as recorded visible cloud data was found to be incomplete.

O



2

Ø

433

e de se



## IV. The Airburst Scavenging Model

As mentioned earlier, the key element in computing grounded fallout activity in smearing codes is the function g(t). An illustration of g(t) for an airburst is shown in Figure 6. This g(t) might be compared to Figure 2 for a surface burst in Section II. It can be seen that the airburst, with its smaller particles, leads to a steady fall over months instead of hours. This would occur in a quiescent atmosphere. In actuality, the atmosphere is very turbulent. Airburst particles may experience downdrafts, updrafts, etc. that may preclude their being grounded. The only mechanism left for grounding of the activity is scavenging of the radioactive cloud by rain (or snow). The conclusion to be drawn here is that viscous fall of very small particles is a poor model for predicting airburst fallout. Instead, rain scavenging might be a more appropriate model. This section describes the computer model that predicts the maximum dose rate due to rain scavenging that can be encountered for an airburst.

## Description

The rain scavenging model computes the initial stabilized cloud as described in Section III. The spatial distribution of activity in the cloud in curies/m<sup>3</sup> is described by

$$A(x,y,z,t_{a}) = A(z,t_{a}) f(x) f(y)$$
 (6)

where f(x) and f(y) are normalized Gaussian distributions in the x and y directions and  $A(z,t_s)$  is the vertical distribution of cloud



[\_\_

in curies/m at stabilization time  $t_s$ . At later times, the cloud is displaced in the x direction by a constant wind of velocity  $v_x$ . It is assumed that there is no y component of wind velocity. The spatial distribution of activity is then given by

$$A(x,y,z,t) = A(z,t) f(x) f(y)$$
 (7)

If it is assumed a rain cloud washes out the radioactive cloud at time t by instantaneously depositing 100 percent of the activity onto the ground, then the horizontal distribution of activity on the ground per unit area is described by

$$A(x,y,t) = \left[ \int_{z}^{0} A(z,t)dz \right] f(x) f(y)$$
(8)

The upper limit on the integral is taken to be zero since the integration is carried out from the top of the cloud z (at time t) to the ground.

## Particle Number-Size and Activity-Size Distributions

Section III stated that airburst particles are much smaller than surface burst particles. Airburst particles are assumed to be spherical in shape and obey a lognormal distribution. The number-size distribution as a function of particle radius is expressed as

$$N(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \beta_{o}r} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\ln r - \alpha_{o}}{\beta_{o}} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(9)

where r is the particle radius in microns. The shaping parameters,  $\alpha_o$  and  $\beta_o,$  are given by

Table I
---------

DISTRIBUTION NAME	GEOMETRIC STANDARD DEVIATION	GEOMETRIC MEAN PARTICLE RADIUS (microns)
Norment	2.0	.075
В	1.63	. 105
с	1.77	.06
D-Sample 1	1.66	. 10
D-Sample 2	1.67	.09
E	2.25	.055
F	1.85	.043
G	2.16	.0325
н	1.92	.0385

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

1.1

## Air Burst Number-Size Distributions

$$\alpha_{\circ} = \ln(r)$$
(10)

$$\beta_{\circ} = \ln(\sigma) \tag{11}$$

where  $r_{.5}$  is the geometric mean particle radius in microns and  $\sigma$  is the geometric standard deviation of the distribution (Ref 2). Airburst number-size distributions have been found by Norment (Ref 13:46) and Nathans (Ref 11:7567). The parameters for these distributions are shown in Table II. The distribution proposed by Norment with  $\alpha_{\circ} = \ln(.075)$  and  $\beta_{\circ} = \ln(2.0)$  will be used for all calculations made in this thesis.

The activity-size distribution is expressed as a weighted sum of two lognormal distributions

$$A(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}} + (1 - \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}) \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{s}}$$
(12)

where  $A_v$  is the volumetric activity-size distribution,  $A_s$  is the surface activity-size distribution, and  $f_v$  is the volumetric fractionation ratio of total activity (Ref 3:210). The distributions  $A_v$  and  $A_s$  are proportional to the third and second moments of the particle size distribution respectively. Since the nth moment of a lognormal is also a lognormal distribution with the same value for  $\beta_o$ , the values of  $\alpha_n$  can be computed from the relationship given by Aitcheson and Brown (Ref 2:12).

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + n\beta_0^2 \tag{13}$$

Russell (Ref 16:18) has suggested that smaller particles, typical of those found in airbursts, are often volumetrically distributed in activity. Taking this suggestion and assuming that activity in airburst particles is totally distributed volumetrically,  $f_v = 1.0$ , equation (12) simplifies to

$$A(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\beta_{o}r} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ln r - \alpha_{3}}{\beta_{o}}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(14)

where  $\alpha_3 = \ln(.317)$  and  $\beta_0 = \ln(2.0)$ . This is the activity-size distribution that will be used in this thesis. The cumulative activity-size distribution is shown in Figure 7.



 $\mathbf{O}$ 

Figure 7. Cumulative Activity-Size Distribution

## Calculation of A(z,t)

The vertical distribution of cloud activity per meter of altitude is computed using a method developed by Bridgman and Hickman (Ref 4:2) and is expressed as

$$A(z,t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} A(z,r,t) dr$$
 (15)

where A(z,r,t) is the specific activity in curies per vertical meter of altitude per micron of radial size at time t. The integral in equation (15) can be replaced by a summation over a set number of discrete activitysize groups, each group containing an equal percentage of the total cloud activity at time t, where it is assumed each group contains monosized particles of mean radius  $r_i$ .

Since the activity-size distribution shown in Figure 7 has a small range of particle sizes, it can be divided into 10 discrete size groups,  $A_i(t)$ , each having 1/10 of the total cloud activity. Table III shows the values of the mean particle radii for each of these activity-size groups. Equation (15) can now be expressed as

$$A(z,t) = .10A_t \sum_{i=1}^{10} f_i(z,t)$$
 (16)

where  $A_t$  is the total cloud activity and  $f_i(z,t)$  is the normalized vertical activity distribution of each size group per meter of altitude at any given time t. The total cloud activity can be expressed using the

# Table III

# Activity-Size Groups

GROUP	MEAN PARTICLE RADIUS (microns)
1	. 10
2	.16
3	. 20
4	. 24
5	. 29
6	. 35
7	. 41
8	. 51
9	. 65
10	. 99

Way-Wigner decay formula

$$A_{t} = A_{1} Y t^{-1 \cdot 2} (FF)$$
 (17)

where  $A_1$  is the gamma activity remaining per kiloton of fission yield one hour after weapon detonation, Y is the weapon yield in kilotons, and FF is the weapon fission fraction. Unless otherwise stated, FF will be assumed to be 1.0. The value for  $A_1$  is taken to be 530 gamma megacuries per kiloton of fission (Ref 8:453). Since tissue dose rate is of interest, only the gamma activity is included in the calculation. The vertical distribution of cloud activity in curies/m is now expressed as

$$A(z,t) = 530 \times 10^5 Y t^{-1.2} \sum_{i=1}^{10} f_i(z,t)$$
(18)

The values of  $f_i(z,t)$  are computed by assuming each size group to be initially situated at the stabilized cloud center height, HC. Each size group distribution is then allowed to fall through the atmosphere as a fixed body until it impacts on the ground, compressing much like an accordian.

#### Fall Mechanics

The fall velocity of each activity-size group can be computed directly using Stoke's Law fall mechanics. Stoke's Law can be used since the mean particle radius of each group is considerably less than 10 microns. Stoke's Law for free falling spheres in a viscous medium, namely the atmosphere, is

$$6 \pi \mu vr = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3} \rho g$$
 (19)

where  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity of the atmosphere in kg/m-sec, v is the particle fall velocity in m/sec, r is the particle radius in m,  $\rho$ is the particle density in kg/m<sup>3</sup>, and g is the gravitational acceleration constant which has been set to 9.8 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. The value for  $\mu$  is altitude dependent. A method for calculating the dynamic viscosity utilizes the US Standard Atmosphere (Ref 17) and is shown in Appendix B. Solving for velocity gives

$$v = \frac{2r^2 \rho g}{9 \mu}$$
(20)

By replacing v in equation (20) with  $\Delta z / \Delta t$ , the altitude of each size group can be computed at different times t from

$$z_{i}(t) = HC - \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{i}^{j} \Delta t^{j}$$
 (21)

where

$$t = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta t^{j}$$
 (22)

The vertical distribution of cloud activity in curies/m can now be calculated from

$$A(z,t) = 530 \times 10^5 \text{ Yt}^{-1.2} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_z} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z - z_i(t)}{\sigma_z} \right)^2 \right]$$
(23)

where  $\sigma_z = 0.18$  HC , the standard deviation about the size group center height altitude as specified in WSEG (Ref 14:51).

#### Dose Rate Calculation

Equation (23) was evaluated at several different times. The profiles are shown in Figures 8 and 9. It can be seen that for times up to 5 days after cloud stabilization, the total cloud activity three standard deviations,  $\sigma_z$ , below the distribution center height still has not



(enstem) BOUTITUA



fallen below 500 m altitude. This indicates that an immersion dose due to an airburst is not a significant threat on the ground. Since all the cloud activity still resides in the air, equation (8) can be reduced to

$$A(x,y,t) = 530 \times 10^5 \text{ Yt}^{-1.2} f(x) f(y)$$
(24)

Thus, equation (24) gives the ground distribution of activity per unit area due to total cloud washout. A worst-case scenerio will allow calculation of the maximum ground distribution of activity. By letting

 $x = v_t$  and choosing y = 0, y lying on the fallout footprint hotline, the maximum activity grounded can be computed. The distributions in the x direction and y direction are now simplified to

$$f(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_x}$$
(25)

$$f(0,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_y}$$
 (26)

These distributions are functions of time because the standard deviations  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  are functions of time. Values for  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  are computed in meters using the WSEG formulae (Ref 14:50).

 $(\bullet)$ 

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbf{o}}^{2} \left(1 + \frac{8t^{\star}}{T_{\mathbf{c}}}\right) + \left(\sigma_{\mathbf{z}} S_{\mathbf{x}} t\right)}$$
(27)

$$\sigma_{y}(t) = \sqrt{\sigma_{o}^{2} \left(1 + \frac{8t^{*}}{T_{c}}\right) + \left(\sigma_{z} S_{y} t\right)}$$
(28)

where  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  represent wind shear in the x and y directions respectively. Wind shear is expressed in units of km/hr-km. By using the assumption of a constant wind in only the x direction and a wind shear only in the y direction, equation (27) simplifies to

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbf{o}}^{2} \left(1 + \frac{8t^{*}}{T_{\mathbf{c}}}\right)}$$
(29)

The value for t\* is limited to a maximum value of three hours. This limitation is made because the second term in equations (27) and (28) represents the toroidal growth of the cloud. This toroidal growth is assumed to cease after three hours (Ref 4:7). Values for  $\sigma_o$  are computed in meters using the yield dependent relationship expressed in WSEG (Ref 14:51)

$$\sigma_{o} = 1609 \exp \left[ 0.70 + \frac{1}{3} (\ln Y) - \frac{3.25}{4.0 + (\ln Y + 5.4)^{2}} \right] (30)$$

where Y is the weapon yield in megatons. The WSEG time constant,  $T_c$ , is computed in hours using WSEG's own low yield correction (Ref 15:1)

$$T_{c} = \left[ 12 \left( \frac{HC}{60} \right) - 2.5 \left( \frac{HC}{60} \right)^{2} \right] \cdot \left\{ 1 - .5 \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{HC}{25} \right)^{2} \right] \right\}_{(31)}$$

where HC is the stabilized cloud center height in kilofeet.

The maximum dose rate can now be computed using the peak grounded activity. This peak is illustrated in Figure 10. The dose rate is computed by multiplying this peak grounded activity by a constant as




shown in Appendix A. The dose rate is then given by

0.

$$D(x,o,t) = 14.4A(x,0,t)$$
 (32)

51.51

The dose rate can also be expressed in terms of a unit time reference dose, UTRD, rate. This is the dose rate one hour after weapon detonation. The UTRD rate is expressed as

$$UTRD = D(x, 0, t)t^{1.2}$$
(33)

The UTRD can now be used to compute the accumulated dose to infinity. The dose to infinity is given by

DOSE = UTRD 
$$\int_{t}^{\infty} t^{-1/2} dt$$
 (34)

where t represents the arrival time of the grounded activity.

### V. <u>Results</u>

#### Base Case

A base case was established as a comparison standard. Yield was set at 1.0 kiloton using the Norment particle number-size distribution,  $\alpha_o = \ln (.075)$  and  $\beta_o = \ln (2.0)$ . Fallout particle density was chosen to be 5500 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Ref 11:7565). All base case parameters are summarized in Table IV. The cloud washout time after stabilization was allowed to vary from 1 to 36 hours. The x coordinate of the cloud center point was set to a value  $v_x$ t and then varied by factors of  $\sigma_x$ ,  $2\sigma_x$ , and  $3\sigma_x$ . Since the f(x) distribution is a normal Gaussian, the dose rate and dose to infinity values are symmetric about the cloud center point in the x direction. The results of dose rate calculations for ten different yields are summarized and graphically displayed in Figures 11 through 20. Infinite dose calculations for these same yields are shown Figures 22 through 31 and can be found in Appendix D.

### Table IV

#### Base Case Parameters

YIELD = 1.0 KILOTON				
WIND SHEAR IN THE Y DIRECTION = 1.0 KM PER KM PER HOUR				
DOWNWIND VELOCITY = 4.0 METERS PER SECOND				
<b>VOLUMETRIC FRACTIONATION RATIO = 1.00</b>				
PARTICLE MASS DENSITY = 5500. KILOGRAMS PER CUBIC METER				
ALPHAO = LN(.075) = -2.590 BETAO = $LN(2.0) = .693$				
ALPHA2 = LN(.196) = -1.629 ALPHA3 = LN(.317) = -1.149				

### Parameter Variations

Several airburst particle number-size distributions shown in Table II were input into the rain scavenging model. The resulting dose rates and infinite doses reflect no change from the base case. This result is not surprising because of the very small radii of the particles. The particles will remain aloft for many days until scavenged from the cloud, regardless of the number-size distribution.

A change in particle mass density did not affect the dose rates or infinite doses. Again, this result is not surprising for the same reason stated above.

Downwind velocity was changed over a wind range of values. As expected, dose rates and infinite doses did not change. Changes in downwind velocity only displace the cloud center point to different locations.

Different values for wind shear in the y direction were inserted into the model. The dose rates and infinite doses calculated did change. As expected, a decrease in the wind shear results in an increase in the dose rate. As wind shear decreases, less cloud activity is displaced in the crosswind direction from the cloud center point on the ground allowing more activity to be deposited during scavenging.

#### Summary

The rain scavenging model provides a simple tool useful in predicting low yield, airburst fallout. A tactical ground commander need only know local wind data, weapon yield, ground zero, and time of actual or anticipated rain. With this information, maximum dose rates and doses at locations upwind and downwind from the center of grounded activity can be determined.





÷¢,





Ò.

(~4/@PP~) BIAR BOOG MUMIXAM



T

(-4/@PD-) BIAR BOOD MUMIXAM



K



•

Ċ

d'an

(AA/@bay) BIAR BROD MUMIXAM



•.•.

WAXIMUM DOSE RATE (-44+/-



.



WAXIMUM DOSE RATE (rade/hr)

### VI. Conclusions and Recommendations

#### Conclusions

Based on the results of this study, the following conclusions can be drawn.

a. Utility of the model is limited to weapon yields ranging between
0.01 kilotons to 1.0 kilotons. This results from the limited low yield
visible cloud data available from atmospheric tests.

b. Fractional arrival rate of activity function, g(t), presently used in smearing codes, is a poor model for predicting airburst fallout.

c. The immersion dose resulting from an airburst is not significant as a ground threat.

d. Airburst fallout is only tactically significant through the mechanism of cloud scavenging up to 36 hours after cloud stabilization.

### Recommendations

The rain scavenging model provides a useful airburst fallout prediction tool; however, there is room for improvement. The following recommendations would be appropriate for future study and are hereby offered.

a. Compile a larger cloud data base with the intent of developing a single yield dependent cloud center height relation useful from subkiloton to megaton yields.

b. Incorporate a raindrop size distribution into the model and begin the fallout deposition process at the time rainfall begins. The intent is to compute a raindrop activity deposition function, g(t).

c. Develop a method of predicting and using a "mean particle attachment rate" of fallout particles to raindrops. This could be used to modify the raindrop g(t) function.

# Appendix A

# Conversion of the Ground Activity

### Distribution per Unit Area to a

### Dose Rate

The ground distribution of activity per unit area, A(x,y,t) in curies/m<sup>2</sup>, can be converted to a dose rate in Rads/hr at a detector 3 feet off the ground. This is accomplished by doing a point kernal integration of the gamma ray sources within the fallout field grounded about a position having coordinates x and y at a given time t (Ref 3:207). The geometry used for this integration is illustrated in Figure 21.



Ö

Figure 21. Point Kernal Integration Geometry

The gamma ray sources are represented as point emitters located at points of equal differential area dA. It is assumed that the spatial variation in A(x,y,t) away from the detector is small in terms of gamma mean free paths. By making this assumption, the spatial variation in A(x,y,t) can be ignored and the integration taken to infinity. Additionally, the gamma ray photons under go absorption by air molecules, are spherically attenuated as distance away from the detector increases, and are attenuated by one other absorbing media, namely the detector. The exposure rate at ground position x, y and at time t can now be represented by

$$\dot{D}(x,y,t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} A(x,y,t) \left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\rho}\right) C_{1}\left(\frac{C_{2}}{C_{3}}\right) \frac{e^{-\mu_{t}}t^{s}}{4\pi r^{2}} dA \qquad (A-1)$$

where

 $\dot{D}(x,y,t) = \text{Exposure rate at time t (roentgens/hr)}$  A(x,y,t) = Ground distribution of activity (curies/m<sup>2</sup>)  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu}{\rho} \\ \frac{a}{\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \text{Mass absorption coefficient for air (m<sup>2</sup>/kg)}$   $\mu_t = \text{Total absorption coefficient of the detector (m<sup>-1</sup>)}$  s = Distance from point emitter to detector (m)  $C_1 = 3.7 \times 10^{10} \text{ disintegrations/sec-curie}$   $C_2 = 1.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ joules/disintegration}$   $C_3 = 0.00877 \text{ joules/kg-roentgen}$ 

By substituting dA = 2  $\pi$  rdr , assuming the average gamma ray photon energy to be 1 MeV, and using  $\left(\frac{\mu_a}{\rho}\right) = 0.0028 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$  (Ref 7:713), equation (A-1) reduces to

$$D(x,y,t) = 3.4A(x,y,t) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu_{t}}s}{s^{2}} r dr$$
 (A-2)

where the dimensions on the constant are in roentgens per hour per unit activity per unit area.

The above integral can be simplified further. The geometry in Figure 21 yields:

$$s^2 = (1m)^2 + r^2$$
 (A-3)

Differentiating both sides, substituting into the integral, and redefining integration limits gives:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu}t^{s}}{s^{2}} r dr = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu}t^{s}}{s} ds \qquad (A-4)$$

Now, let:

and

$$\frac{ds}{s} = \frac{dz}{z}$$
 (A-6)

Substituting into equation (A-4) and simplifying gives:

 $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu_{t}s}}{s^{2}} r dr = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z}}{z} dz \qquad (A-7)$ 

The integral on the right hand side of equation (A-7) is now in the form of an Exponential Integral of the First Kind,  $E_1$  ( $\mu_t$ ). For air, the value of  $\mu_t$  is taken to be 0.0082 m<sup>-1</sup> (Ref 7:689). Substituting and using an approximation for  $E_1$  (.0082) from Abramowitz and Stegun (Ref 1:231) gives

$$\int_{.0082}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z}}{z} dz \approx 4.23$$
 (A-8)

Substituting this result into equation (A-2) yields:

$$\dot{D}(x,y,t) = 14.4A(x,y,t)$$
 (A-9)

This gives the exposure rate in roentgens per hour.

When computing the dose rate in rads per hour, the detector would be human tissue rather than air. Since human tissue is comprised mainly of water, the  $\mu_t$  value of water can be used as an approximation. The value of the constant  $C_3$  also changes to 0.01 joules/rad. The end result is approximately the same as equation (A-9). Equation (A-9) can then be used to convert a ground activity distribution per unit area to a dose rate in rads per hour.

# Appendix B

### Calculation of Atmospheric Dynamic Viscosity

The US Standard Atmosphere (Ref 17) provides empirical relations of atmospheric properties as functions of altitude. These relations have been fit to atmospheric data at various levels in the atmosphere.

The largest weapon yield utilized in this thesis is 1 kiloton. The cloud center height predicted by equation (5) for 1 kiloton is 2531 m. For calculating the dynamic viscosity of the atmosphere, it is only necessary to use the relations given for altitudes up to 11000 m.

The US Standard Atmosphere defines the dynamic viscosity as

$$\mu(T) = \frac{1.458 \times 10^{-6} T^{1.5}}{T + 110.4}$$
(B-1)

where T is the ambient temperature of the atmosphere in °K and  $\mu$  is in kg/m-sec (Ref 17:19). The ambient atmospheric temperature in °K is expressed as a function of altitude by

$$T(z) = 288.15 - .0065z$$
 (B-2)

where z is the altitude in m (Ref 1%:10). By substituting equation (B-2) into equation (B-1), the dynamic viscosity of the atmosphere is computed directly from any given altitude up to 11000 m.

Appendix C

# The Airburst Scavenging Model Program

The airburst scavenging model was written in Standard FORTRAN 77 and executed at the Air Force Institute of Technology computer facility on a DEC VAX-11/780 computer. This appendix contains the following:

- I. Program Variable Listing
- II. Listing of the Source Program

# I. Program Variable Definitions

- 2. ALPHA2 = Parameter of the surface activity-size distribution: logarithm of the median particle radius.
- 3. ALPHA3 = Parameter of the volumetric activity-size distribution: logarithm of the median particle radius.
- 4. AXYT = Ground distribution of activity per unit area (curies/m<sup>2</sup>).
- 5. BETA0 = Logarithmic slope of the particle number-size distribution.
- 6. CODE = An integer code used for computing different doses: Code 0 for dose to infinity, code 1 for dose to 24 hours, code 2 for dose to 4 hours.
- 7. DDOT = Dose rate (Rads/hr).
- 8. DELTAT = Time step parameter set to 1.0 hours. It is used in the Stoke's Law fall mechanics.
- 9. DELTAZ = Distance fallout particles fall during time DELTAT (m).
- 10. EXPO = Dummy variable representing the exponential argument in the variable SIGO.
- 11. EXPOFX = Dummy variable representing the exponential argument in the variable FX.
- 12. EXPOFY = Dummy variable representing the exponential argument in the variable FY.
- 13. DOSE = Gamma radiation dose (Rads).

14. FV = Volumetric fractionation ratio.

- 15. FX = Normalized Gaussian distribution in the x direction.
- 16. FY = Normalized Gaussian distribution in the y direction.
- 17. GA = Acceleration due to gravity  $(9.80 \text{ m/sec}^2)$ .
- 18. HC = Stabilized cloud center height (m).

19. MU = Dynamic viscosity (kg/m-sec).

20.	NUMBER	=	Dummy variable representing the squared value of SIGY.
21.	PI	=	The mathematical constant $\pi$ .
22.	RHOP	=	Mass density of fallout particles (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ).
23.	RMEAN	=	Vector specifying the values of mean particle radii in each of the 10 equal activity-size groups (microns).
24.	SHEARX	=	Wind shear in the x direction (km/hr-km).
25.	SHEARY	=	Wind shear in the y direction (km/hr-km).
26.	SIGO	=	Standard deviation of horizontal cloud radius (m).
27.	SIGX	=	Cloud standard deviation in the x direction (m).
28.	SIGY	=	Cloud standard deviation in the y direction (m).
29.	SIGZ	=	Cloud standard deviation in the vertical direction (m).
30.	тс	=	WSEG time constant (hours).
31.	TEMP	E	Ambient atmospheric temperature (°K).
32.	TIME	=	Time of cloud washout (hours).
33.	TSTAR	=	WSEG toroidal growth time of cloud (hours).
34.	UTRD	=	Unit time reference dose rate (Rads/hr).
35.	v	=	Fallout particle fall velocity (m/sec).
36.	vx	÷	Wind velocity in the x-direction (m/sec).
37.	VY	=	Wind velocity in the y-direction (m/sec).
38.	xo	=	Cloud center position in the x-direction (m).
39.	x	=	Distance east/west of XO (m).
40.	YO	=	Cloud center position in the y-direction (m).
41.	Y	=	Distance north/south of YO (m).
42.	YIELD	=	Weapon yield (kilotons).
43.	ZC	=	Two-dimensional array of particle size group center altitudes at different times of fall.

 i si fi

하는 우리 모드레트 A MARIANA MARIANA 에 A MARIANA 에 A MARIANA 에 에 A MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA 우리 모드레트 A MARIANA MARIA

49

O

••••

1

II. Listing of the Source Program

Ö

AN STATISTICS

**	***************************************
*	RAINOUT MODEL
* * * * * * * * * * * *	This program computes the maximum dose rate. in Rads per hour, produced by a nuclear air burst. The nuclear cloud is washed out by a simulated rain storm at a specified time t of cloud washout. The activity grounded as a result of the radioactive cloud washout, A(x,y,t), is used to compute the dose rate. This program will accomo- date changes in the following input parameters: particle size dist- ribution, weapon yield (for yields ranging from .01 to 1.0 kilotons), fractionation ratio, fallout particle density (in kilograms per cubic meter), wind velocity (in meters per second), and wind shear (in kilometers per hour per kilometer of atmospheric altitude).
	REAL PI,ALPHAØ,BETAØ,FV,RHOP,GA.RMEAN(1Ø).YIELD,HC,ALPHA2,ALPHA3 REAL TEMP,MU,DELTAZ,VPRINT,XØ,YØ,AXYT,DDOT(4),DOSE(4) REAL V,SIGMAZ(1Ø).DELTAT,ZC(1Ø,Ø:5Ø),TIME(Ø:5Ø),UTRD(4) REAL TC.SIGZ,SIGØ,EXPO,SIGX.TSTAR,SIGY.NUMBER,SHEARX,SHEARY,VX,VY REAL X(4).Y,FX,FY.EXPOFX,EXPOFY INTEGER 1,J,K.CODE,K1
С	INPUT OF ALL PROBLEM PARAMETERS.
	PARAMETER       (PI=3.14159, ALPHAØ=LOG(.Ø75), BETAØ=LOG(2.Ø), FV=1.Ø,         +       RHOP=55ØØ.Ø, GA=9.8Ø, YIELD=Ø.1, VX=4.Ø, VY=Ø.Ø,         +       SHEARX=1Ø.Ø, SHEARY=1.Ø, Y=Ø.Ø, CODE=Ø)
	OPEN (3.FILE='dosedata') REWIND 3
с	SPECIFY THE MEAN PARTICLE RADII FOR THE TEN EQUAL ACTIVITY GROUPS.
•	CALL MEAN (ALPHAØ, BETAØ, ALPHA2, ALPHA3, RMEAN)
L	<pre>COMPUTE THE ALTITUDE OF THE STABILIZED CLOUD CENTER IN METERS. HC=(16Ø9./5.28)*(8.3Ø476298+.63632921*LOG(YIELD)39763749*LOG(YIE +LD)*LOG(YIELD)Ø1364Ø81*LOG(YIELD)**3+.ØØ56Ø846*LOG(YIELD)**4) TC=(12.*(HC/6Ø.)*(5.28/16Ø9.)-2.5*(HC/6Ø.)*(5.28/16Ø9.)*(5.28/16Ø9 + .))*(1.Ø5*EXP((HC/25.)*(5.28/16Ø9.)*(5.28/16Ø9.)*) SIGZ=.18*HC EXPO=.7+(1./3.)*LOG(YIELD/1ØØØ.)-(3.25/(3.+(LOG'YIELD/1ØØØ.)+5.4)</pre>
	+ *(LOG(YIELD/1000.)+5.4))) SIG0=1609.*EXP(EXPO) PRINT 1, YIELD
	PRINT 2, HC PRINT 2, HC 2 FORMAT (/,"STABILIZED CLOUD CENTER HEIGHT = ",F5.Ø," METERS") PRINT 25, TC 25 FORMAT (/,"TC ≈ ",F5.2," HOURS") PRINT 26, SIGØ
	26 FORMAT (/, "SIGMAØ = ", F5.Ø," METERS") PRINT 27, SIGZ 27 FORMAT (/ "SIGMAZ = " F4.Ø" " METERS")
	PRINT 28, VX 28 FORMAT (/, "DOWNWIND VELOCITY = ".F4.1," METERS PER SECOND") PRINT 3, FV

15.55.55.55

1947

Ò

51

3 FORMAT (/,"VOLUMETRIC FRACTIONATION RATIO = ",F4.2) PRINT 35, RHOP 35 FORMAT (/,"PARTICLE MASS DENSITY = ",F5.Ø," KILOGRAMS PER CUBIC ME +TER") PRINT 4, ALPHAØ,BETAØ 4 FORMAT (/,"ALPHAØ = LN(.Ø75) = ",F6.3,"  $BETA\emptyset = LN(2.\emptyset) = "$ +F5.3) PRINT 5, ALPHA2, ALPHA3 5 FORMAT (/, "ALPHA2 = LN(.196) = " F6.3." ALPHA3 = LN(.317) = "+,F6.3,//) COMPUTE THE ALTITUDE FOR THE CENTER OF EACH PARTICLE SIZE GROUP. С DO 7 I=1,1Ø  $ZC(I, \emptyset) = HC$  $SIGMAZ(I) = .18 \times ZC(I, \emptyset)$ 7 CONTINUE COMPUTE THE FALL TIMES FOR EACH SIZE GROUP CENTER USING STOKE'S LAW C FALL MECHANICS. c  $TIME(\emptyset) = \emptyset.\emptyset$ DELTAT=1.Ø DO 13 J=1,36 TIME(J)=TIME(J-1)+DELTAT DO 12 I=1,1Ø DELTAT=DELTAT\*36ØØ.Ø TEMP=288.15-.ØØ65\*ZC(I,J-1) MU=(1.458E-6\*TEMP\*\*1.5)/(TEMP+11Ø.4) V=(2.Ø/9.Ø)\*((RMEAN(I)\*1E-6)\*\*2\*RHOP\*GA)/MU DELTAZ=V\*DELTAT ZC(1,J)=ZC(1,J-1)-DELTAZ IF(ZC(I,J).LT.Ø.Ø)THEN  $ZC(I,J) = \emptyset.\emptyset$ GO TO 105 END IF 1Ø5 DELTAT=DELTAT/36ØØ.Ø VPRINT=V\*100.0 CONTINUE 12 **13 CONTINUE** COMPUTE THE VALUE OF THE DOSE RATE IN R/hr. С IF (CODE.EQ.Ø) THEN PRINT\* PRINT\*, 'DOWNWIND SIGMAX TIME OF DOSE + TO' PRINT\*. DISTANCE DISTANCE WASH OUT DOSE RATE INFI +NITY PRINT\*. (Km) (Km) (hours) (Rads/hr) (Ra +ds)' PRINT\*,' ' GO TO 200 ELSE IF (CODE.EQ.1) THEN PRINT\*. PRINT\*, DOWNWIND SIGMAX TIME OF D +OSE TO' PRINT\*. 'DISTANCE DISTANCE WASH OUT DOSE RATE 2 +4 HOURS' PRINT\*,' (Km) (Km) (Rads/hr) (hours) +(Rads)' PRINT\*.' ' GO TO 200 ELSE

```
PRINT*, '
PRINT*, 'DOWNWIND
                                                                                                   SIGMAX
                                                                                                                                            TIME OF
          +DOSE TO'
                                PRINT*, 'DISTANCE
                                                                                                DISTANCE
                                                                                                                                            WASH OUT
                                                                                                                                                                               DOSE RATE
          +4 HOURS'
                               PRINT*.' (Km)
                                                                                                       (Km)
                                                                                                                                                                               (Rads/hr)
                                                                                                                                                (hours)
          +(Rads)'
                               PRINT*.' '
                               GO TO 200
            END IF
200 DO 17 J=1,36
IF(TIME(J).LE.3.0)THEN
                                TSTAR=TIME(J)
                                GO TO 135
                      END IF
                       TSTAR=3.Ø
135
                       SIGX=SIGØ*SQRT(1.Ø+8.Ø*TSTAR/TC)
                       NUMBER=SIGØ*SIGØ*(1.Ø+8.Ø*TSTAR/TC)+(SIGZ*SHEARY*TIME(J))*
                      (SIGZ*SHEARY*TIME(J))
SIGY≈SQRT(NUMBER)
                      XØ=VX*TIME(J)*36ØØ.Ø
                       YØ=VY*TIME(J)*36ØØ.Ø
                      DO 14 K=1,4
                      X(K) = X\emptyset + (K-1) * SIGX
EXPOFX=-.5*((X(K)-XØ)/SIGX)*((X(K)-XØ)/SIGX)
                      EXPORY=-.5*((X(K)-XØ)/SIGX)*((X(K)-XØ)/S
EXPORY=-.5*((Y-YØ)/SIGY)*((Y-YØ)/SIGY)
FX=(1.0/(SQRT(2.0*PI)*SIGX))*EXP(EXPOFX)
                      FY=(1.Ø/(SQRT(2.Ø*PI)*SIGY))*EXP(EXPOFY)
                       AXYT=(53Ø.E6*YIELD*TIME(J)**(-1.2))*FX*FY
                       DDOT(K)=14.4*AXYT
                       UTRD(K)=DDOT(K)*TIME(J)**1.2
                       IF(CODE.EQ.Ø)THEN
                            DOSE(K)=5.0*UTRD(K)*TIME(J)**(-.2)
GC TO 14
                             ELSE IF (CODE.EQ.1) THEN
                                                      DOSE(K)=5.Ø*UTRD(K)*(TIME(J)**(-.2)-(TIME(J)+24.Ø)**
                                                                                (-.2))
                                                       GO TO 14
                                                                                      ELSE
                                                       DOSE(K)=5.Ø*UTRD(K)*(TIME(J)**(-.2)-(TIME(J)+4.Ø)**
                                                                                 (-.2))
                                                       GO TO 14
                      END IF
                      CONTINUE
   14
                      SIGX=SIGX/1000.0
WRITE (3,136,10STAT=K1,ERR=17) TIME(J),DDOT(1),DDOT(2),DDOT(3),
DDOT(4),DOSE(1),DOSE(2),DOSE(3),DOSE(4),SIGX
FORMAT (F4.1,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6,1X,E11.6
136
                      E11.6.1X,E11.6.1X,E11.6.1X,F8.2)
PRINT 15, X,SIGX,TIME(J).DDOT.DOSE
FORMAT (F8.3,4X,F8.3,9X,F4.1.7X,F7.2,5X,F8.2)
   15
    17 CONTINUE
             ENDFILE (3)
             CLOSE (3)
             END
                                                       SUBROUTINE FOR MEAN PARTICLE RADII
```

Appendix D

ዾዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀ

a a fa a fa fa fa fa fa f

٢

Infinite Dose Calculations

and the second secon



1. Ø KILOTON AIRBURST

C



 $\langle \gamma_i \rangle$ 

.



クラククロス 二人 かんかん たいしん いたい ひょうかん かたい かたい かたい たいしょう アイト



G.

WAXIMUM INFINITE DOSE (rade)





Ò

, ,

. .



- 11日 たたたたたたり 不いたい いたい 不可能な いたい (1997)

Ś

. .

( PP-4) 3500 BLINIANI WOWIXAM



(enstamolix) X-AMDIS

WVXIWNW INLINILE DORE (~9\*)

Ø.4 KILOTON AIRBURST

Ø

S Marine

and a she was the

62

C. Salar


È

(PP-) 3500 BLINIANI WOWIXVW

63

Sec. 1. 180



-----

Õ

WVXIWNW INFINITE DOSE (~dd)

64



Ø. 1 KILOTON AIRBURST

Ö

65

## Bibliography 1. Abramowitz, Milton and Irene Stegun (Editors). Handbook of Mathematical Functions (AMS 55). Washington DC: US National Bureau of Standards, 1970. 2. Aitchison, John and J. A. C. Brown. The Lognormal Distribution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1957. 3. Bridgman, Charles J. and Winfield S. Bigelow. "A New Fallout Prediction Model, " Health Physics, 43 (2): 205-218 (August 1982). 4. Bridgman, Charles J. and MAJ Burl E. Hickman. "Aircraft Penetrations of Radioactive Clouds." Unpublished draft. School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright Patterson AFB OH, 1983. 5. Department of the Army. Fallout Prediction. FM 3-22. Washington: HQ USA, 30 October 1973. 6. Department of the Army. Staff Officer's Field Manual Nuclear Weapons Employment Doctrine and Procedures. FM 101-31-1. Washington: HQ USA, 21 March 1977. 7. Evans, R. D. The Atomic Nucleus. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1955. 8. Glasstone, Samuel and Philip J. Dolan. The Effects of Nuclear Weapons (Third Edition). Washington DC: United States Department of Defense, United States Energy Research and Development Administration, March 1977. 9. Hawthorne, Howard A. (Editor). Compilation of Local Fallout Data From Test Detonations 1945-1962 Extracted From DASA 1251. Volume I-Continental US Tests, Report Number DNA 1251-1-EX, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington DC, May 1979 (AD-A079 309). 10. Hopkins, Capt Arthur T. <u>A Two Step Method To Treat Variable Winds</u> in Fallout Smearing Codes. MS Thesis, GNE/PH/82M-10. School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, March 1982 (AD-A115 514). 11. Nathans, M. W. and others. "Particle Size Distributions in Clouds From Nuclear Airbursts," Journal of Geophysical Research, 75 (36): 7559-7572 (December 1970). 12. Norment, Hillyer G. Department of Defense Land Fallout Prediction System. Volume I-System Description, Report Number DASA-1800-I, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Washington DC, June 1966 (AD 483 897).

- 13. Norment, Hillyer G. <u>A Precipitation Scavaging Model for Studies of Tactical Nuclear Operations</u>. <u>Volume I-Theory and Preliminary Results</u>. Report Number DNA 3661F-1, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington DC, June 1975 (AD-A014 960).
- 14. Pugh, George E. and Robert J. Galiano. <u>An Analytic Model of Close-In Deposition of Fallout for Use In Operational Type Studies</u>. WSEG Research Memorandum No. 10, Washington DC: Weapon Systems Evaluation Group, The Pentagon, October 1959 (AD 261 752).
- 15. ----. <u>Revision of Fallout Parameters for Low Yield Detonations</u>. Supplement to WSEG Research Memorandum No. 10, Washington DC: Weapon Systems Evaluation Group, The Pentagon, October 1961 (AD-061 536).
- 16. Russell, Irving. <u>Critique of Land Fallout Models (U)</u>. Report Number \* AFWL-TR-65-76, US Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB NM, February 1966, (SECRET RESTRICTED DATA) (AD 371 832).
- 17. <u>US Standard Atmosphere</u>, <u>1976</u>. Washington DC: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, United States Air Force, October 1976.

Curtis Randall Krieser was born in the son of R. **Example 1** and **Example** He graduated from Homewood-Flossmoor High School in 1970. In December 1974, he graduated from the University of Illinois with a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering Physics and was commissioned a second lieutenant in the United States Army through the ROTC program. He attended the Ordnance Officer's Basic Course at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, the Missile Maintenance Officer's Course at Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, and the Airborne Course at Fort Benning, Georgia. In October 1975 he was assigned to the 525th Ordnance Company in Siegelsbach, West Germany. He returned to Redstone Arsenal in September 1979 to attend the Ordnance Officer's Advanced Course. In May 1930 he was assigned to Fort Bliss, Texas where he commanded the Maintenance Battery, 2d Battalion (Nike Hercules) 52d Air Defense Artillery. He entered the Air Force Institute of Technology Nuclear Engineering Program in August 1982. He and his wife the have and d

VITA

٢

(Ö)

Permanent address:

			REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAG	E		
REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED				16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
			Approved for public release;				
B. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			distribution unlimited.				
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			
AFIT	/GNE/PH/8	4M-9					
Scho	of performing	NG ORGANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AFIT/EN	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION			
c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)				75. ADDRESS (City.	State and ZIP Co	ie)	
Air Force Institute of Technology				10. RODRESS (City, State and Zir Code)			
Wrig	ht-Patter	son AFB, Ohio	45433				
A NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING Rb. OFFICE SYMBOL				9. PROCUREMENT		ENTIFICATION N	
ORGANIZATION (1/ applicable)							
R. ADDRESS (City, Sidle and ZIF (Ode)				PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK WORK UNI	
				ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.
1 71716/	Include Securit	by Classification )		-			
See	Box 19						
2. PERSON	AL AUTHOR	(S)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	
Curt	<u>is R. Kri</u>	eser Capt US	A		BT (V- M- D-		
MS Thesis FROM TO				1984 March 70			
6. SUPPLE	MENTARYNO	TATION				<b>±</b> '	·
7. COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS			18 SUBJECT TERMS (	Continue on reverse if n	ecessory and ident	ify by block numbe	
FIELD	COSATI CODES Tal. SOBJECT   ELD GROUP SUB. GR. Fallout   IS C2 Mathematical		Fallout, Airl	burst, Nuclear	Weapon Deb	oris, Rainfa	all,
15			Mathematical	Models, Tacti	cal Nuclear	Weapons	
-			nd identific by black numb				
		Un reverse li necessary a	na identify by block humb	er)	Amproved for A	ublic releases IAW	AFR 190-17,
Titl	e: A RAI	N SCAVENGING M	ODEL FOR PREDIC	TING	TYNE WOL	WER	
LOW YIELD AIRBURST WEAPON FALLOUT F				FOR Dean for Research and Professional Development Air Force Institute of Technology (ATC)			
	OPERA	TIONAL TYPE ST	ODIES		Wright-Patterson	AFB OH 45433	2 A. XV
Thes	is Chairm	an: Dr. Charl	es J. Bridgman				1 May 0 F
			-				
			_				
O. DISTRI	BUTION/AVAI	LABILITY OF ABSTRA	ACT	21. ABSTRACT SEC	OWILL CEMPOLE	CATION	
0. DISTRI	IED/UNLIMIT	LABILITY OF ABSTR		21. ABSTRACT SEC	IED	CATION	
NCLASSIF	IED/UNLIMIT	LABILITY OF ABSTRA	ACT	21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSIF		22c. OFFICE SY	MBOL
O. DISTRI	ELED/UNLIMIT OF RESPONSI Charles J	LABILITY OF ABSTRA		21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSIF	IED	22c. OFFICE SY	MBOL

C. C.C.C.

EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE.

A. A. A.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

A method was developed that enables a tactical ground commander to predict gamma radiation dose rates and infinite doses produced by the rain scavenging of low-yield nuclear airburst clouds. A ground activity distribution per unit area at time t, A(x,y,t), is computed using a distribution of activity in the cloud per meter of altitude, A(z,t). To find the maximum activity grounded, it is assumed 100 percent of the cloud activity is instantaneously deposited on the ground by the mechanism of rain scavenging. This maximum A(x,y,t) is then converted to a maximum dose rate, D(x,y,t), from which maximum infinite doses are computed. Maximum dose rate and infinite dose curves vs cloud washout time (after cloud stabilization) are presented for 10 weapon yields ranging from 1.0 kiloton to 0.1 kiloton. It is shown that the radiation hazard levels are insignificant tactical threats at times greater than 36 hours after cloud stabilization.

