

C



1

NITRAMINE PROPELLANT CRACK TIP IGNITION AND CRACK PROPAGATION OF A BURNING SAMPLE UNDER RAPID PRESSURIZATION

SUMMARY REPORT

Sponsored by Office of Naval Research Power Program Arlington, Virginia Contract No. N00014-79-C-0762

Prepared by K. K. Kuo, J. G. Siefert, J. Mantzaras, W. H. Hsieh, and F. Koo

October 1983

DTIC FILE COPY

20000803082

Reproduced From Best Available Copy



THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY Collegø of Engineering Department of Mechanical Engineering University Park, Pennsylvania

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

84

05

	REPURT DUCUM	ENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION N AD · A141246	0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	TITLE (and Sublitta) NITRAMINE PROPELLANT CR CRACK PROPAGATION OF A	ACK TIP IGNITION AND BURNING SAMPLE UNDER	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Sept. 1, 1982 - Aug. 31, 19 5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	RAPID PRESSURIZATION		
7.	AUTHOR(*) K. K. Kuo, J. G. Siefer W. H. Hsieh, and F. Koo	rt, J. Mantzaras,	•. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+) NOO014-79-C-0762
).	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME Department of Mechanica The Pennsylvania State University Park, PA 16	AND ADDRESS Il Engineering University 802	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
١.	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND	ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
	Office of Naval Researc	h	Nov. 1983
	Power Program		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4.	Arlington, Va. 22217 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADD	DRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
			Unclassified
		. · · · ·	SCHEDULE
	Approved for public rel	ease; distribution unlimi	
7.	Approved for public rel	ease; distribution unlimi	ted Tem Rejert)
7.	Approved for public rel	ease; distribution unlimi	ted
7.	Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ease; distribution unlimi	.t.ed
7.	Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ease; distribution unlimi	teđ
7.	Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ease; distribution unlimi	teđ
7.	Approved for public rel JISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a) (he SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ease; distribution unlimi	:ed
7.	Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ease; distribution unlimi ebetract entered in Block 20, if different f - If necessery and identify by block numbe	::ed 'em Repert)
7. 1. 1 P	Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side Ignition, Deflagration- Composite Propellant, I Microstructure Damage, Nitramine Propellants ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side	ease; distribution unlimi obstract entered in Block 20, if different is if necessery and identify by block number to-Detonation Transition, gnition Delay, Stagnation Crack Branching, Finite E If necessery and identify by block number	<pre>ted tem Report; r/ Crack Propagation, AP- Point Heat Transfer, lement Stress Analysis, </pre>
7. 8.	Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde Ignition, Deflagration- Composite Propellant, I Microstructure Damage, Nitramine Propellants ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde (see next page)	ease; distribution unlimi abetract entered in Block 20, if different is a if necessery and identify by block number to-Detonation Transition, gnition Delay, Stagnation Crack Branching, Finite E If necessery and identify by block number	:ed Tran Report Trans Report Transfer, T
7. 9. 1 1 1 1	Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde Ignition, Deflagration- Composite Propellant, I Microstructure Damage, Nitramine Propellants ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde (see next page)	ease; distribution unlimi abetract entered in Block 20, if different is a if necessery and identify by block number to-Detonation Transition, gnition Delay, Stagnation Crack Branching, Finite E If necessery and identify by block number	<pre>:ed ''' ''' Crack Propagation, AP- Point Heat Transfer, lement Stress Analysis,</pre>

n

5

日本であるため、私になっていたの。日本のためのなどのなどのというで

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

ABSTRACT

11

Two major tasks performed during the report period of investigation were: (i) crack tip ignition phenomena under rapid pressurization and (ii) crack propagation in burning solid propellants.

Ignition of nitramine composite propellants located at the tip of an inert crack was investigated both experimentally and theoretically. A theoretical model is proposed for the ignition composite of nitramine Specific features considered in the model include: a) detailed propellants. chemical kinetics information for the ignition of nitramine composite propellants, b) two-dimensional (axisymmetric) geometry for the composite propellant, and c) rapid pressurization of the gas phase simulating actual rocket motor ignition conditions. The ignition process was also observed by simultaneously using a high-speed movie camera, a fast-response photodiode system, and a near-infrared photodiode system. Many nitramine propellants showed momentary ignition followed by extinction. It is found that the time required for the onset of gas evolution of nitramine propellants decreases as the pressurization rate is increased. Under the same operating conditions, nitramine-based composite propellants are harder to ignite than AP-based propellants. Nitramine propellants with HMX fillers are slightly more difficult to ignite than RDX-based propellants due to higher thermal diffusivity and activation energy for decomposition.

Mechanism of crack propagation in a burning composite propellant subjected to rapid pressurization (up to 30 GPa/s) was investigated experimentally. A visual record of the event was made using a high-speed movie camera. The effect of pressurization rate on crack propagation velocity, the mass burning rate, and time variation of crack shape was studied. Experimental results indicate that the mass burning rate and the propagation velocity of the luminous front increases as the pressurization rate is increased. For high pressurization rates in the order of 10 GPa/s, the luminous crack tip contour undergoes a highly discernible transition process that a triangular contour develops into a mushroom-shaped region. The evolution of the mushroom-shaped region is believed to be caused by gas penetration and flame propagation into micropores resulting from crack branching. The mass burning rate deduced from a mass balance in the chamber using measured chamber p-t trace is nearly two orders of magnitude higher than that based upon steady-state burning This substantial increase in the mass burning rate is believed to be rate. caused by the additional burning surface area created by microstructure damage. Dynamic burning effect could also be a contributing factor. The observed crack propagation phenomena and enhanced mass burning rate may play an important part in the DDT process.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

NITRAMINE PROPELLANT CRACK TIP IGNITION AND CRACK PROPAGATION OF A BURNING SAMPLE UNDER RAPID PRESSURIZATION

SUMMARY REPORT

Sponsored by

Office of Naval Research Power Program Arlington, Virginia

Contract No. N00014-79-C-0762

Prepared by

Kenneth K. Kuo John G. Siefert John Mantzaras W. H. Hsieh Francis Koo

Department of Mechanical Engineering The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



ど
[]
\Box
ades
/or [

accession For

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research has been sponsored by the Power Program of the Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia, under the Contract No. N00014-79-C-0762. Dr. Richard S. Miller served as the technical monitor and program manager for this contract. His support of this research investigation is greatly appreciated. We would like to acknowledge the encouragement of Mr. Tom Boggs of NWC for investigating nitramine propellant ignition. The chemical kinetics information provided by Dr. M. A. Schroeder of the Ballistic Research Laboratories and Mr. K. J. Kraeutle of Naval Weapons Center is greatly appreciated. Thanks are due to Mr. Norm Gerri of BRL for providing us with nitramine propellants for our ignition studies. Thanks are also due to Mr. G. Klingenberg of Ernst-Mach-Institut for his suggestions in using near in-The assistance of Mr. E. Andiroglu, Mr. T. Yu and Mr. John frared sensors. Hoke is highly appreciated. Thanks are due to Mrs. Mary Jane Coleman for typing this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page	No.
	ABST	TRACT • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ii
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	ív
-	LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	vii
	LIST	r of tables	ix
	Nome	ENCLATURE	x
I.	INTE	RODUCTION	1
II.	INVE	ESTIGATION OF COMPOSITE PROPELLANT IGNITION MODEL ••••••	4
	2.1	Brief Introduction of Nitramine Propellant Ignition	4
	2 2	Theoretical Approach a second second second second	5
		2.2.1 Decemination of Develop1 Model	5
	·		ر د
		2.2.2 Governing Equations • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Ð
		2.2.3 Initial and Boundary Conditions	9
		2.2.4 Chemical Kinetics of Nitramine Composite Propellants. •	11
	2.3	Experimental Approach • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15
		2.3.1 Test Apparatus	15
		2.3.2 Fropellants Used in Experiments	25
	2.4	Results and Discussion	25
III.	MECH	ANISM OF CRACK PROPAGATION IN BURNING SOLID PROPELLANTS • • • •	38
	3.1	Motivation and Objectives	38
	3.2	Setup of Crack Propagation Test Rigs	39
	3.3	Sequence of Events	49
	3.4	Data Acquisition System	49
	3 5	Experimental Populate	50
	7 4	Enjes Element Anglusia	20
	3.0		σð
	3.7	Apparent Versus Steady-State Mass Burning Rates	75

v

Page No.

	3.8 Designed Modifications for Achieving Interrupted Burning During Crack Propagation	78
IV.	SUMMARY AND CONCUSIONS	82
v.	REFERENCES • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	85

1.00

Decision of

vi

LIST OF FIGURES

		Pac	je No.
Fig.	Heterogeneous Structure of Nitramine Composite Propellant	ş.	7
Fig. :	Statistically Averaged Element and Finite Difference Grid Pattern	• •	7
Fig. :	Schematic Diagram of the Test Section for the Ignition Experiments	••	20
Fig.	Photograph of the Assembled Test Rig	•••	21
Fig. !	Detailed Schematic Diagram of the Setup of Near Infrared Photodiodes	••	23
Fig. (A Typical Set of Time Correlated Data of a Test Firing with Two Different HMX-Based Composite Propellants	••	29
Fig. '	Onset of Gas Evolution Time as a Function of Pressurization Fate for Two HMX-Based Composite Propellants	••	31
Fig. (Comparison of the Dependence of Onset of Gas Evolution on Pressurization Rate for Various Types of Composite Propellants	••	33
Fig. 9	High Speed Photographs of Ignition Process of Nitramine Composite Propellants	• •	35
Fig.]	Ø Schematic Diagram of Crack Propagation Chamber	••	41
Fig.	1 Photograph of the Main Chamber and Its Window Retainer .	••	42
Fig. 1	2 Photograph of Window Assembly and Retainer	••	43
Fig.]	3 Photograph of the Propellant Sample Clamped Between Plexiglass Tamplets	•••	46
Fig.]	4 Photograph of a Propellant Sample Installed in the Crack Propagation Chamber	• •	47
Fig. 1	5 Photograph of the Assembled Test Rig	••	48
Fig.]	6 Experimental Data of Crack Propagation Test Firing No. DNCP2-14 (Traning Rate = 16,000 pps)	• •	51
Fig. 1	7 Experimental Data of Crack Propagation Test Firing No. DNCP2-15 (Framing Rate = 16,000 pps)	••	52
Fig. 1	8 Experimental Data of Crack Propagation Test Firing No. DNCP2-13 (Framing Rate = 32,000 pps)	• •	53
Fig. 1	9 Motion Pictures for DNCP2-14 Firing Test • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	56

			Page No.
Fig.	2Ø	Motion Pictures for DNCP2-15 Firing Test • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	57
Fig.	2 1a	Measured Crack Tip Displacement vs. Chamber Pressure for Crack Samples with the Same Initial Geometries	61
Fig.	21b	Measured Crack Tip Displacement vs. Chamber Pressure for Crack Samples with Different Initial Geometries and Constant Pressurization Rate	63
Fig.	22	The Combined Effect of Pressurization Rate and Sample Geometry on Crack Propagation Velocity	65
Fig.	23	Calculated Mechanical Displacement and Measured Luminous Front at the Crack Tip	70
Fig.	24a	Isopleths for Deviatoric Stresses	73
Fig.	24b	Maximum Deviatoric Stresses vs. Corresponding Strain for Crack Tip Elements at a Pressure of 5.17 MPa	74
Fig.	25	Effect of Pressurization Rate on the Ratio of Apparent to Steady-State Mass Burning Rate during Crack Propagation	77
Fig.	26	Modified Test Rig for Sample Recovery	79
Fig.	27	Triggering Sequency in Reference to the p-t Trace of the Test Chamber	80

viii

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1	Kinetic Scheme and Data for Ignition of HMX/CTPB Composite Propellants	
Table	2	Kinetic Scheme and Data for Ignition of RDX/CTPB Composite Propellants	
Table	3	Kinetic Scheme and Data for Ignition of HMX/HIPB Composite Propellants	
Table	4	Thermal Properties of Three Types of Nitramine Composite Propellants and their Products	
Table	5	Properties of Nitramine Propellant Fillers and Binders 27	

2

Page No.

NOMENCLATURE

ŝ

At	area of exit nozzle, m ²
c,c _p	specific heat, kJ/kg.K
D	binary mass diffusion coefficient, m ² /s
E	activation energy, J/kmole
G	external radiation heat flux, kW/m ²
k	thermal conductivity, kW/m.K
k _i	rate constants for reaction i
L	thickness of oxidizer pellet, m
m _b	apparent mass burning rate of propellant, kg/s
^m b,ss	steady-state mass buring rate of propellant, kg/s
min	mass flow rate into the chamber, kg/s
mout	mass flow rate out of the chamber, kg/s
P	pressure, MPa
• q"	heat flux (energy per unit time per unit area), kW/m^2
ď ",	heat generation rate, kW/m ³
Q _{py}	heat of pyrolysis per unit mass, kJ/Kg
r	radial distance from center of statistically averaged
	element, m
r _b	burning rate, m/s
R	gas constant, kJ/Kg.K
R _u	universal gas constant, kJ/kmole.K
R ₁ , R ₂	radii of outer surfaces of oxidizer particle and fuel binder,
	respectively, m
S	displacement, m
t	time, s

THE TREASURE AND A LEADER AND A LOCAL AND

57

x

Т	temperature, K
v _c	crack propagation velocity, m/s
v _z	gas phase velocity in z direction, m/s
W	average molecular weight, kg/kmole
¥.	mass fraction of species j in gas phase
2	distance from initial (t=0) position of interface; positive
	in gas phase, m
Z	pre-exponential factor, 1/s or m ³ /kmole.s or kg/m ² .s
a	radiation absorptivity of interface
β	in-depth radiation absorption coefficient, 1/m
Ŷ	ratio of specific heats
ρ	density, kg/m ³
τ	transmissivity of solid phase
ů"	mass production rate per unit area, kg/m ²
ω",	mass production rate per unit volume, kg/m ³
Subscripts	
1,2,3,4,5	various reactions or species
c or ch	chamber
F	fuel
g	gas-phase
i	initial value or species i
Ох	oxidizer
Ox-F	oxidizer-fuel interface
ру	pyrolysis
S	solid phase
s-g	solid-gas interface

xi

I. INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes progress made during the period September 1, 1982 to August 31, 1983, under the project entitled "Ignition of Solid Propellants and Propagation of Burning Propellant Cracks" (Contract No. N00014-79-C-0762).

The overall objective of this investigation is to achieve better understanding of the ignition mechanism under transient pressure loading conditions and to investigate propagation of burning solid propellant cracks. Specific objectives of this study are:

- to observe the ignition phenomena of nitramine composite propellants, and to measure ignition delay under various operating conditions;
- to compare ignition delays of different types of propellant samples under identical flow conditions;
- 3. to study the effect of binder and oxidizer ingredients on ignition by comparing the ignition processes of propellants with different ingredients;
- to propose a theoretical model for the ignition of nitramine propellants, and to gain a deeper understanding of the ignition process;
- 5. to observe crack propagation phenomena in burning solid propellanc samples;

6. to study the mechanism of crack propagation and branching;

7. to determine the effect of pressurization rate and sample geometry on crack propagation velocity; and

2

「人人人」はシンシンシンと言語なシンシンという問題というという。

8. to evaluate the ratio of effective mass burning rate to the steady-state mass burning rate of the sample under various operating conditions.

The following is a list of papers published during the past year under the support of this contract.

- "Ignition of Composite Propellants in a Stagration Region Under Rapid Pressure Loading," <u>Nineteenth International Symposium on Combustion</u>, The Combustion Institute, 1982, pp. 757-767 by M. Kumar, J. E. Wills, A. K. Kulkarni, and K. K. Kuo.
- *Ignition of Nitramine Propellants Under Rapid Pressurization,* AIAA/SAE/ASME 19th Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Paper 83-1194, Seattle, Washington, June 27-29, 1983 by S. Yu, W. H. Hsieh and K. K. Kuo.
- 3. "Mechanism of Crack Propagation in Burning Solid Propellants," 1983 JANNAF Propulsion Systems Hazards Meeting, Los Alamos, NM, July 13-15, 1983 by K. K. Kuo, J. G. Siefert, M. Kumar, W. H. Hsieh, and E. Andiroglu.
- 4. "Crack Propagation in Burning Solid Propellants," The Proceedings of the Ninth International Colloquium on the Dynamics of Explosions and Reactive Systems, Poitiers, France, July 3-8, 1983 by J. G. Siefert and K. K. Kuo.
- "Nitramine Propellant Ignition Under Rapid Gas Loading Conditions," 20th JANNAF Combustion Meeting, Monterey, California, Oct. 17-20, 1983 by S. Yu, W. H. Hsieh, and K. K. Kuo.

Besides the above publications, research results obtained from this project were also presented at the following workshops.

3

この一個人であると、「などのから」というという。

いたたいたいとう

- "Ignition of Nitramine-LOVA Propellants," presented at the JANNAF Workshop on Characteristics of Nitramine-LOVA Gun Propellants held at NOS, Indian Head, MD, June 14-15, 1983 by K. K. Kuo, S. Yu, and W. H. Hsieh.
- "Crack Propagation in Burning Solid Propellants," presented in ONR Workshop on Dynamic Deformation, Fracture and Transient Combustion, held at Chestertown, MD, July 28-29, 1983 by K. K. Kuo.

II. INVESTIGATION OF COMPOSITE PROPELLANT IGNITION MODEL

2.1 Brief Introduction of Nitramine Propellant Ignition

A fundamental understanding of the ignition process of nitramine solid propellants is important to the design of rocket motors and artillery propulsion systems. Most of the solid propellant ignition studies were performed on AP-based composite propellants and homogeneous propellants. A detailed review of literature on solid propellant ignition was conducted by Price et al.,¹ and more recently by Hermance², and Kulkarni, Kumar, and Kuo.³ Ignition of traditional AP-based composite solid propellants located at the tip of an inert crack was investigated both experimentally and theoretically by Kuo and coworkers.⁴⁻⁷ A comprehensive model⁷ and numerical solutions for ignition of AP-based composite solid propellants under rapid pressurization rate were presented. The rapid pressurization situation is typical of ignition transients in rocket motors and the onset of deflagration-to-detonation transition (DDT) in confined, damaged propellant grains.

The use of nitramine oxidizer in composite propellants has become of great interest because it offers many advantages in rocket and gun propulsion. High specific impulse is obtained due to the combination of high energy and low molecular weight of product gases.^{8,9} The excellent thermal stability of HMX and RDX makes nitramine composite propellants the best candidate for Low Vulnerability Ammunition (LOVA) propellants.^{10,11} In addition, replacement of the currently used AP oxidizer by HMX or RDX oxidizer er eliminates HCl in the exhaust gases and reduces secondary smoke due to nucleation of moisture to form droplets.

In the ignition of nitramine propellants, experimental work conducted by DeLuca, Caveny, Ohlemiller, and Summerfield^{12,13} showed that HMX composite propellants are more difficult to ignite by arc-image furnace or CO_2 laser than AP-based composite propellants and noncatalyzed double-base propellants. Similar results obtained by Birk and Caveny¹⁴ also showed that HMX composite propellants are more difficult to ignite under transient flow conditions than single-base, double-base, and triple-base propellants. In spite of these studies, the ignition process of nitramine propellants under rapid pressurization situation has not been studied thoroughly.

2.2 Theoretical Approach

2.2.1 Description of Physical Model

The physical processes that lead to ignition are as follows. Not combustion product gases from the igniter or main chamber flow into the crack cavity and pressurize it. This causes the pressure of the gases adjacent to the propellant surface to increase rapidly. As the process continues, energy is transferred from the hot gases to the propellant. Following a period of inert heating, the propellant starts to decompose. The fuel and oxidizer species evolved from the surface diffuse and mix with the surrounding gases. In the gas phase, oxidizer species react with the fuel species; at the same time, surface and/or subsurface reactions in the solid phase continue. When the net heat evolved from chemical reactions overcomes the heat losses, the temperatures start to rise. Eventually, ignition characterized by a high rate of chemical reactions and heat release, is achieved.

The physical model considers an oxidizer particle embedded in a fuel binder matrix. In an actual composite propellant, oxidizer particles of

random size (within a certain range) are distributed in a fuel binder. Figure 1 shows typical side cross-section and top views of a typical unimodel composite propellant. Following Ref. 7 in the mathematical simulation of this type of propellant, the shape of the oxidizer particles is approximated by a cylindrical pellet of radius R_1 , and thickness L, enveloped by a fuel binder of radius R_2 as shown in Fig. 2. A two-dimensional geometry closely represents a composite propellant, while being mathematically tractable. The gas-phase pressure-time (P-t) relationship is assumed to be known either from a measured P-t trace, or a prescribed P-t information simulating a given operating condition. Because pressure is not usually extremely high during the ignition interval, the perfect gas law can be used as the equation of state.

2.2.2 Governing Equations

For the coordinates shown in Fig. 2, heat equations for the oxidizer and fuel binder in the solid phase are

Exidizer:
$$\rho_{Ox,s}c_{Ox,s}\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(k_{Ox,s}\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(k_{Ox,s}r\frac{\partial T}{\partial r})$$

+ ġ"'' 0x,s

Ч**г**.я

Fuel:
$$\rho_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{s}}\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{s}}\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial z}\right) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{F},\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{r}\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right)$$

where

「「「いいい」というというというというというというというという」

いたたたたの言葉というためためであるのないののででというとなっていたが

(3)

(2)

(1)



.1

7

Fig. 1 Heterogeneous Structure of Nitramine Composite Propellants

たいいん



Fig. 2 Statistically Averaged Element and Finite Difference Grid Pattern

$$\int_{0}^{-\beta} 0x^{z} = \beta_{0x} I_{z}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}$$

The functional form of pyrolysis heat absorption term in Eq. (5) is the same as that used by Ohlemiller and Summerfield.¹⁵ The expression for $\dot{q}_{F,S}^{''}$ is similar to that of q"'.

The gas-phase conservation equations are

Concinuity Eq:
$$\frac{\partial \rho_g}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho_g v_z)}{\partial z} = 0$$
 (6)

Energy Eq:
$$c_p \rho_g \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho_g c_p v_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (k_g \frac{\partial T}{\partial z})$$

 $+ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (k_g r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}) + \dot{q}_g^{\prime\prime\prime}$ (7)

and

「たたち」と、アンドンと「「「アンドンドンド」」の「「「「たんたち」」

シャンド・シャン 御子 とんたい

Species Eq: $\rho_g \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial t} + \rho_g v_z \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho_g p \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial z})$ $+\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\rho_{g}\mathcal{D}r\frac{\partial Y_{1}}{\partial r}\right)+\dot{u}_{1}^{""}$

where j = 1, 2, 3, or 4 stands for the gas-phase species: oxidizer-rich gases (called Oxidizer), NO2, IO, and fuel-rich gases (called Fuel). The symbol IO stands for intermediate oxidizer to be defined in a The inhomogeneous terms q and ω are obtained by using later section. chemical kinetics information described in a later section.

Since the region of interest in the gas phase during the ignition process is very small (in the order of 1 mm), local pressure in this region is considered to be spatially uniform. However, the pressure is allowed to vary with respect to time. Therefore, the gas-phase momentum equations are replaced by the measured

R

(4)

(8)

$$P = P(t)$$

The equation of state for the gas phase is

$$P_g = \frac{PW}{R_u T}$$
(10)

2.2.3 Initial and Boundary Conditions

The initial condition for the solid phase (both fuel and oxidizer) is

at
$$t = 0$$
 : $T(0,r,z) = T_{i}$ (11)

The boundary conditions for the solid phase are as follows. The temperature far from the interface is the same as the propellant initial temperature, i.e.

at
$$z + -\infty$$
: $T = T_{z}$ (12)

Temperature continuity at various interfaces requires

$$T |_{r = R_{1}^{+}} = T |_{r = R_{1}^{-}} \text{ and } T |_{z = -L^{-}} = T |_{z = -L^{+}}$$
 (13)

On symmetric surfaces, adiabatic conditions are

on
$$r = 0$$
 : $\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0$ (14)

and on $r = R_2$: $\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0$

At solid-gas and oxidizer-fuel interfaces, heat flux balance gives

at
$$z = z_{s-g}$$
 : $k_s \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} | z_{s-g} = k_g \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} | z_{s-g} + \alpha_{s-g}G + \beta_{s-g}G +$

(9)

(15)

$$r_{b_{s}}\rho_{s}T(c_{p_{s}} - c_{pg}) + \dot{q}''_{s-g}$$
 (16)

where q''_{s-q} is the net heat generation at the surface.

at
$$z = -L$$
 : $k_{F,s} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\Big|_{-L} = k_{Ox,s} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\Big|_{-L} + +$
 $\alpha_{Ox-F} \sigma_{Ox} Ge^{-\beta_{Ox} L}$
(17)
at $r = R_1$ and $-L < z < 0$: $-k_{F,s} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\Big|_{R_1} + =$
 $-k_{Ox,s} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\Big|_{R_1} -$
(18)

Initial conditions for the gas-phase equations (for j=1,2,3,4) are:

at
$$t = 0$$
 : $v_2(0,r,z) = 0$; $T(0,r,z) = T_{g,i}$; $Y_j(0,r,z) = Y_{j,i}$
(19)

Boundary conditions for the gas phase are as follows. The symmetry conditions at the centerline and outer boundary give

$$pn r = 0 : \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0 ; \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial r} = 0$$
 (20)

and on
$$r = R_2$$
 : $\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0$; $\frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial r} = 0$ (21)

Far away from the surface

$$z \rightarrow \infty$$
 : $\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = 0$; $\frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial z} = 0$ (22)

The temperature continuity at the interface gives

on
$$z = z_{s-g}$$
 : $T = T |_{z_{s-g^+}}$ (23)

The overall and individual species mass-flux balance at the solid-gas interface is given respectively by

$$oa z = z_{s-g} : \rho_{g} v_{z} = \rho_{s} r_{b}$$
(24)

and
$$\rho_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}\Big|_{\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{g}^{-}}} = \rho_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}\Big|_{\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{g}^{+}}} - \rho_{\mathbf{g}}\mathcal{D}\frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} - \dot{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{j}}''$$
 (25)

-r

関われていたという

いたたいたちとう

SALAS SA

This set of partial differential equations is being solved numerically and the solution will be presented in the future.

2.2.4 Chemical Kinetics of Nitramine Composite Propellants

It is generally known that the decomposition of nitramine dominates the overall chemical process in deflagration of nitramine composite propellants. A proper starting point in understanding the chemical kinetics of combustion of nitramine composite propellants is to investigate the pure nitramine decomposition. Due to their complexity and special characteristics, the decomposition kinetics of nitramines have been investigated extensively Recently, Schroeder¹⁶⁻¹⁸, Boggs¹⁹, and during the last thirty years. McCarty²⁰ have published comprehensive review articles on the kinetics of nitramine. Schroeder and Boggs studied the subject from an organic chemist's point of view while McCarty approached it from a combustion engineer's point of view. Primary N-NO, cleavage is regarded as the important initial decomposition step,²¹ but there appears to be some evidence supporting two other possible initial steps in the thermal decomposition of HMX and RDX: these are unimolecular elimination reaction giving HONO and C=N double bond in the ring instead of CH_N_NO;²² and unimolecular C-N bond cleavage.²³ Brill and Karpowicz²⁴ suggested that the initial rate controlling step for decomposition in the condensed phase involves mainly the disruption of the strong intermolecular electro-static forces between HMX molecules, and also between the HMX molecules and its decomposition products. Their postulation is based upon a comparison of Arrhenius data for phase transformation with data reported for the condensed phase decomposition process. The activation energies of the

phase transitions reflect the energy needed to disrupt electro-static forces in the crystal lattice of HMX.

Follow-up reaction steps can be divided into two categories: unimolecular and bimolecular. It appears that stepwise loss of $CH_2NNO_2^{23}$ may be an important unimolecular follow-up reaction, and that bimolecular reactions of radical or products formed in the decomposition with unreacted HMX or RDX molecules is also important. Suryanarayana et al.23 proposed a concerted intramolecular condensed phase decomposition mechanism initiated by C-N bond fission. Gosgrove and Owen^{25,26} suggested a gas phase mechanism initiated by N-N bond fission. Goshgarian²⁷ measured detailed concentration and temperature profiles for RDX and HMX by using mass spectrometry. The presence of NO, under both molten and deflagration condition was indicated, verifying the N-N cleavage is the important initial step for decomposition, and the NO_2 is reactant of the secondary reactions in the vapor phase. Unfortunately, most investigations of decomposition kinetics of nitramines were conducted at low-temperature and low-pressure situations which may not simulate deflagration of these substances in rocket motors. Detailed mechanisms obtained by organic chemists are too complex to apply to actual highly transient ignition situations.

For kinetic mechanism in a combustion model, Ben-Reuven and Caveny²⁸ proposed a comprehensive kinetic model for HMX as the monopropellant, by considering a reacting molten layer coupled to the gas field through conservation conditions satisfied by all chemical species and enthalpy. The primary initial overall reaction used in Ben-Reuven and Caveny's gas-phase model of deflagration of HMX is assumed to be similar to RDX, and is represented by

$$HMX_{(g)} = \frac{4}{3NO_2} + \frac{4}{3NO_2} + \frac{2N_2}{3NO_2} + \frac{4}{2CH_2O}$$
(26)

Since nitrogen dioxide reacts quite rapidly with formaldehyde, the overall consecutive reaction in the gas phase is represented by

$$7NO_2 + 5CH_2O - 7NO + 3CO + 2CO_2 + 5H_2O$$
 (27)

In the liquid phase, the overall reaction step was represented by

$$HMX_{(1)} - 4CH_20 + 3N_20 + NO + 1/2N_2$$
(28)

For RDX, a similar kinetic scheme was used by Ben-Reuven and Summerfield²⁹ and is represented by:

$$RDX_{(1)} \longrightarrow 3CH_2 0 + 9/4N_2 0 + 3/4N_0 + 3/8N_2$$
 (29)

$$RDX_{(g)} \longrightarrow NO_2 + N_2O + 3CH_2O + 3/2N_2$$
 (30)

The secondary overall reaction is also the oxidization of CH_2^0 by NO_2^- and is not repeated here.

In the ignition and combustion study of nitramine composite propellants, most attention in kinetic mechanism has been devoted to nitramine fillers; very little attention has been given to the binder. However, in order to gain deeper understanding of the ignition process and to improve the predictability of theoretical model, it is necessary to consider the role of the binder in the ignition and combustion process. Experimental results of Kubota et al.³⁰ revealed that flame structure, combustion mechanism, and burning rate of the HMX composite propellant are strongly influenced by the type of binders used. When a high-oxygen content binder (e.g., HTPS, HTPE, or HTPA) was used, flame structures became homogeneous because of the formation of a homogeneously mixed HMX/binder molten layer on the burning surface. On the other hand, when a low oxygen content binder such as HTPB was used, a large amount of carbonaceous material was formed on the burning surface, making the structures of the burning surface and the gas phase highly heterogeneous. The decomposition reactions of polymeric binder are extremely complicated and are not yet fully understood. The primary decomposition products are possibly aldehydes, hydrocarbons, and hydrogen. The gaseous mixture of the decomposed gases of the binder is ejected into the gas phase from the burning surface of propellant and mixed again with gases decomposed from nitramine oxidizers. The mixture exhibits rapid exothermic reactions which include many parallel and successive reactions.

シストレンス いたたたた 自動 アクラン シング 加速 アフランダイン 計算 たんたんちょう おきろう

In modeling the kinetics of nitramine composite propellant under highly transient ignition conditions, the basic idea follows the overall reaction representation of Ben-Reuven and Caveny in order to avoid complexity of detailed kinetic mechanism. However, heterogeneous structure rather than homogeneous molten layer is still considered valid, before onset of steady deflagration of nitramine composite propellants. This is consistent with the experimental test conditions which are highly transient with the enormous heating rate at the propellant surface. A chemical reaction mechanism of the ignition of nitramine composite propellant is proposed. It consists of the following reactions:

(a) following the vaporization of nitramine crystals, primary gas-phase reaction occurs due to decomposition of HMX (or RDX) to form NO_2 and Intermediate Oxidizers (IO) which consists of other species than NO_2

in Eq. (26) or (30);

- (b) reaction of IO species with NO₂ to form oxidizer gases (this reaction)
 is considered to be the secondary gas-phase reaction);
- (c) decomposition and pyrolysis of binder into fuel gas;
- (d) oxidation of fuel gases by oxidizer gases to form final product gases; and
- (e) heterogeneous reaction between oxidizer gases and solid fuel binder on the propellant surface to form final product gas.

The proposed simplified kinetic schemes and data for three types of nitramine composite propellants considered in this paper are given in Tables 1-3. The kinetic data for reaction (d) in these three tables are based upon the representative species which have the highest concentrations in oxidizer and fuel gases. In most cases, fuel gases are represented by CH_4 , and oxidizer gases are represented by NO and N_2O .³¹ Kinetic data of reactions (e) are largely unavailable. Representative data may be obtained in the future for major species involved in heterogeneous reactions.

2.3 Experimental Approach

2.3.1 Test Apparatus

The main test chamber with the test configuration was designed to be pressurized by high-temperature and high-pressure gases generated in a solidpropellant driving motor. Pressurization rates of the test chamber closely simulate conditions during the ignition transient of actual rocket motors.

Kinetic Data Reaction	Pre- exponential Factor	Activation Energy	Heat of Reaction	Source
(a) HMX → NO ₂ + 7.67 IO	1x10 ^{16.4} 1/s	1.931x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-5.166x10 ⁸ J/kmole	Ben-Reuven Caveny (1979)
(b) NO ₂ + 7.67 IO → 9.62 OX	lx10 ⁶ m ³ /kmole-s	0.802x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-1.923x10 ⁸ J/kmole	Ben-Reuven Caveny (1979)
(c) CTPB → F	128 kg/m ² -s	0.4389x10 ⁸ J/kmole	1.59x10 ⁶ J/kg	Cohen Fleming Derr (1974)
(d) 4.361 OX + F → 8.668 P	1x10 ¹⁶ m ³ /kmole-s	0.4x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-7.4x10 ⁷ J/kmole	Drummond* (1969)
(e) OX + CTPB→1.99 P			•••	

Table 1. Kinetic Scheme and Data for Ignition of HMX/CTPB Composite Propellants

* Kinetic data are based on the representative species which have the highest concentration in oxidizer and fuel gases.

Kinetic Data Reaction	Pre- exponential Factor	Activation Energy	Heat of Reaction	Source
(a) RDX → NO ₂ + 5.5 IO	1x10 ^{15.5} 1/s	1.73x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-3.97x10 ⁸ J/kmole	Ben-Reuven Caveny Summerfield Vichnevetsky (1976)
(b) $NO_2 + 5.5 IO \rightarrow 6.14 OX$	1x10 ⁹ m ³ /kmole-s	0.79x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-1.923x10 ⁸ J/kmole	Ben-Reuven Caveny Vichnevetsky Summerfield (1976)
(c) CTPB→ F	128 kg/m ² -s	0.4389x10 ⁸ J/kmole	1.59x10 ⁶ J/kg	Cohen Fleming Derr (1974)
(d) 3.71 OX + F→ 8.668 P	0,95x10 ¹⁶ m ³ /kmole-s	0.4x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-7.2x10 ⁷ J/kmole	Drummond* (1969)
(e) OX + CTPB→ 2.34 P				

Table 2. Kinetic Scheme and Data for Ignition of RDX/CTPB Composite Propellants

* Kinetic data are based on the representative species which have the highest concentration in oxidizer and fuel gases.

Kinetic Data Reaction	Pre- exponential Factor	Activation Energy	Heat of Reaction	Source
(a) HMX → NO ₂ + 7.67 IO	1x10 ^{16.4} 1/s	1.931x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-5.166x10 ⁸ J/kmole	Ben-Reuven Caveny (1979)
(b) NO ₂ + 7.67 IO - 9.62 OX	1x10 ⁶ m ³ /kmole-s	0.802x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-1.923x10 ⁸ J/kmole	Ben-Reuven Caveny (1979)
(c) HTPB → F	2990 kg/m ² -s	0.7064x10 ⁸ J/kmole	1.81x10 ⁶ J/kg	Cohen Fleming Derr (1974)
(d) 4.290 OX + F → 8.53 P	0.9x10 ¹⁶ m ³ /kmole-s	0.39x10 ⁸ J/kmole	-7.3x10 ⁷ J/kmole	Drummond* (1969)
(e) OX + HTPB → 1.99 P	****			.

Table 3. Kinetic Scheme and Data for Ignition of HMX/HTPB Composite Propellants

*Kinetic data are based on t'e representative species which have the highest concentration in oxidizer and fuel gases.

High pressurization rates obtained in this setup can also simulate those conditions encountered during onset of DDT. Using this test rig, it is possible to obtain pressures up to 50 MPa (~7000 psi), and pressurization rates up to 150 GPa/s (~1.5 x 10^6 atm/s).

いいい 単い いいいい たいいい

ALL VILLE

A schematic diagram of the test section is shown in Fig. 3. A crack-like cavity, formed between a transite slab and a plexiglass window, is situated normal to the flow direction of gases in the main chamber. The gap width of the crack is determined by the recessed depth of the transite slab in the test configuration. Because the main motivation of this work is to study the effect of propellant ingredients, the gap width was held constant, and equal to 1.27 mm. The cavity length for the test configuration was 161 mm. As shown in Fig. 3, two propellant test samples are located at the tip of the cavity. Two pressure transducer ports are provided in the crack cavity: one near the test sample shown in Fig. 3, and the other at the crack entrance. The ignition event is observed through a set of transparent plexiglass windows. The window assembly is held in place by a window retainer, which forms the front half of the chamber shown in Fig. 4. With the exception of the exit nozzle through which gases are discharged into the atmosphere, the chamber is completely sealed during tests. For safe operation, the chamber is also equipped with a safety head, which houses a bursting diaphragm; the diaphragm ruptures at a prespecified plessure of 70 MPa (~ 10,000 psi). The driving motor system used in this study is a small solid-propellant motor initiated by an electric primer. Details of the driving motor system can be found in Ref. 32. The high-temperature combustion product gases generated in this motor flow through a multiperforated nozzle into the main test chamber, and pressurize the chamber at high loading rate.







Fig. 4 Photograph of the Assembled Test Rig

Figure 4 shows the assembled test chamber. The driving motor is located immediately below the base mounting plate, and hence cannot be seen in this photograph. Exhaust gases from the driving motor flow vertically upward into the main flow channel located at the left end of the test chamber. The test chamber has two window openings. In order to have a close-up view of the ignition process near the right most portion of the longer window, a light pipe and a prism were used to give light signals at the onset of the hot gas flow in the test chamber. By so doing, the event of onset of hot gases (signified by a bright circular spot) and the ignition process can be photographed simultaneously on the high-speed film. Before most test firings, a nitrogen feedline is turned on to purge the chamber, eliminating oxygen in the test chamber during initial ignition. Purged gases leave the test chamber through the exhaust pipe mounted vertically on the left side of the test chamber. The purpose of driving oxygen from the system is to reduce the complexity of the ignition process so that oxygen will not participate in the ignition and combustion processes.

Special features of the test configuration are near-infrared photodiodes used to sense infrared radiation from surfaces of propellant samples, and the sample holder configuration is designed to provide a clear view of the ignition event. The near-infrared photodiode is the product of Texas Instruments Company (T. I. No. 1N2175), with photocurrent rise time about 2 μ s and spectral response range from 0.42 μ m to 1.12 μ m. As shown in Fig. 5, two near-infrared photodiodes are installed into the chamber. Infrared light emitted from the burning surface of the propellant sample passes through a fiber optic rod and a glass rod window, and is sensed by the near-infrared photodiode. To measure the sensitivity of the near-infrared photodiode in



ر. ر

「「「「ない」」というでは、「「「ないないない」」」」「「ない」」」」」」

response to gas-phase radiation from propellant flames, separate tests were conducted. It was found that the gas-phase radiation cannot be detected by the near-infrared photodiode; only the near-infrared light from the burning solid surface can be monitored. This implies that the signal recorded by the sensor represents the surface temperature rise rather than the arrival of hot gases. Based upon the distinct feature of the near-infrared sensor, this test configuration was used in most of the test firings. An external photodiode system, consisting of photographic lens mounted on an optical bed, a fast-response photodiode, and an amplifier, was also used in the test configuration.

The data acquisition system used in the present study is very similar to that described in the paper by Siefert and Kuo.³² This system is comprised of (1) pressure measuring system, (2) near-infrared photodiode (or ionization pin) setup, (3) high-speed photography system, (4) photodiode system, and (5) transient waveform recording system. Piezoelectric quartz transducers with a rise time of 1.5 μ s and natural frequency of 300 kHz were used to measure the pressure. The near-infrared photodiode system, photodiode system, and a 16 mm Hycam movie camera were used in most of the tests, and the time interval between consecutive pictures is about 60 μ s. At ignition, it is expected that the near-infrared photodiode signal will begin to rise abruptly, and that a bright light will appear at the propellant surface on the film. Therefore, to determine the onset of ignition, the following procedure, in which the film is read in conjunction with the photodiode system, is adopted:

(1) the picture that shows the first occurrence of bright light is defined as t_{film} ,
- (2) the corresponding point is located on the photodiode signal, and
- (3) the point of abrupt rise in the photodiode signal within 30 μ s of t_{film} is taken as the actual time for onset of ignition.

2.3.2 Propellants Used in Experiments

Three types of nitramine propellants (1, 2, and 3) were used in experimental study. Propellant 1 is HMX-based composite propellant with 79% HMX and 21% CTPB binder mix. Propellant 2 is RDX-based composite propellant with 79% RDX and 21% CTPB binder mix. The comparison of propellant 1 and 2 gives the effect of nitramine filler. Propellant 3 is HMX-based composite propellant with 80% HMX and 20% HTPB binder mix. The binder effect can also be studied by comparing the results of propellant 1 and 3. Several important thermal properties of propellants and their products are tabulated in Table 4. Some of the physical properties of HMX, RDX, HTPB, and CTPB are tabulated in Table 5.

2.4 Results and Discussion

Figure 6 shows a typical set of time correlated data which includes trigger pulse (common time signal), pressure at the crack entrance (G2), pressure at the crack tip (G3), near-infrared photodiode signals (NI1 and NI2) for propellant samples HMX/CTPB and HMX/HTPB, and photodiode signal in the region near HMX/CTPB sample. Trigger pulse is generated by a lightemitting diode (LED) driver unit, and is used to correlate time between data obtained from high-speed movie films and transient waveform recording devices. When hot gases from the driving motor reach the crack entrance, pressure at the entrance increases rapidly. The first discernible pressure

Propellant Types Properties	(1) <u>HMX</u> CTPB	(2) RDX CTPB	(3) <u>HMX</u> HTPB
Density (kg/m ³)	1,650	1,570	1,580
Thermal Diffusivity (m ² /s)	0.1152×10^{-6}	0.096×10^{-6}	0.11×10^{-6}
Flame Temperature (K)	2,379	2,387	2,363
Ratio of Specific Heat, γ	1.27	1.27	1.27
Av. Mol. Wt. of Product Gases (kg/kmole)	19.59	19.58	19.33

Table 4. Thermal Properties of Three Types of Nitramine Composite Propellants and Their Products

.

Ingredients Properties	HMX	RDX	нтрв	СТРВ
c (J/kg-K)	1797.0	1864.0	1814.0	1768.0
k (W/m-K)	0.3762	0.2918	0.1840	0.2236
ρ (kg/m ³)	1910.0	1820.0	920.0	930.0
α (m ² /s)	0.11×10^{-6}	0.086 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.11 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.136×10^{-6}

Table 5. Properties of Nitramine Propellant Fillers and Binders

rise at the tip occurs some time later than the corresponding pressure rise at the crack entrance. The rising portion of the pressure trace at the crack tip is quite linear, and the averaged pressurization rate at the tip can be obtained easily. In order to measure the time required for the onset of gas evolution, the first discernible pressure rise at the tip is chosen as the time equal to zero. Shortly after the pressure rise at the tip, the nearinfrared photodiode signals for the propellant samples show abrupt rises, es-These rises correspond to the increase of the surface pecially for NI1. temperatures of propellant samples. After analyzing the motion picture films, the onsets of gas evolution of two propellant samples are marked as two black dots on the near-infrared photodiode signals. As shown in Fig. 6, the onset of gas evolution occurs during the uprising portion of the nearinfrared photodiode signal, rather than the peak of the near-infrared photodiode signal. The external photodiode signal (PD) for detecting light intensity near the HMX/CTPB propellant sample also shows a similar rise with NI1.

The consistency between the two independent measuring systems is helpful in determining the time of onset of gas evolution. In this particular case, the HMX/CTPB propellant begins to gasify slightly sconer than the HMX/HTPB propellant. After momentary ignition, both propellant samples were extinguished; thus the two black dots in Fig. 6 can be regarded only as onset of gas evolution, and not as onset of ignition. After the strong pulse, nearinfrared photodiode signals decrease, due to the extinguishing of propellant samples, and in part to the coating on the end of the fiber optic by product gases from the driving motor and pyrolyzed gases from propellant samples.



いいこ 植シンシン



Figure 7 shows the experimental data of onset of gas evolution of two HMX-based propellant samples as a function of the pressurization rate. These two propellants have nearly the same weight percentage of HMX, but with different binder mixes (CTPB and HTPB). Although a few tests using these two propellants under identical operating conditions suggest that the time required for onset of gas evolution is slightly shorter for HMX/CTPB propellant, there is no absolute indication that this is true for all circumstances.

Data shown in Fig. 7 were compiled from a number of test firings, and could be considered more or less one family and fitted by a single curve. One possible reason for this is that the low percentage of weight fraction of binder (-20%) does not make the binder effect very pronounced. It is quite obvious that the time required for onset of gas evolution decreases with the increase of pressurization rate. It should be noted that none of these data corresponds to sustained ignition; hence the curve can only be regarded as the boundary of onset of gas evolution. One major reason for the fact that the tests did not sustain ignition is the short action time of the solid propellant driving motor (less than 50 ms).

The weak effect of binder may be explained by the following consideration. As it is noted above that in several test firings conducted with HMX/CTPB and HMX/HTPB as samples in the same test firing, under the same operating situation, the time required for onset of gas evolution of HMX/HTPB was slightly longer than that of HMX/CTPB. Table 5 shows that the thermal diffusivity of CTPB is larger than that of HTPB, which implies that under the same heating condition the surface temperature of HTPB will rise faster than





that of CTPB. However, using Table 1 and 3, it can be seen that the activation energy of the pyrolysis of CTPB is less than that of HTPB, which implies that the energy barrier of pyrolysis of CTPB is lower than that of HTPB. Although the thermal diffusivity effect and activation energy effect work in opposite directions, the experimental data show that the onset gas evolution of HMX/CTPB is earlier than that of HMX/HTPB. Thus, it is reasonable to conclude that activation energy of pyrolysis of the binder is more important than the thermal diffusivity effect.

1...1

Figure 8 shows the comparison of the times required for gas evolution of RDX/CTPB propellant (dashed line) and HMX-based composite propellants (shown as solid line transferred from Fig. 7). On the same figure, the ignition delay of AP-based composite propellant is also plotted. In this figure, all data of AP-based composite propellants correspond to sustained burning after ignition, while only one datum of RDX/CTPB (marked by *) at the lowest dp/dt corresponds to sustained burning. It is well known, that the difference between the time required for gas evolution and ignition is a function of heating rate. This difference is very pronounced for nitramine propellants. The time difference becomes smaller at low heating rates (or dp/dt). Thus, it is not surprising to obtain sustained burning of RDX/CTPB at low dp/dt. It is shown in this comparison that the ease of ignitability increases in the following order: HMX-based, RDX-based, and AP-based composite propellants. From Tables 1 and 2, one can see that the activation energy of decomposition of RDX is lower than that of HMX. Also, Table 4 shows that the thermal diffusivity of RDX/CTPB propellant is lower than that of HMX/CTPB. Therefore, RDX/CTPB is easier to reach onset of gas evolution than HMX/CTPB. Obvious divergences of three boundary curves of HMX-based, RDX-based, and AP-based





composite propellants can be observed at low dp/dt, while these divergences tend to diminish at high dp/dt. The observed convergence of these boundaries at high pressurization rates is mostly due to the high heating rates which effectively reduce the influence of both thermal diffusivity and chemical kinetics.

Figure 9 shows a series of photographs developed from a high-speed movie film obtained in a test firing using the test configuration. This photograph sequence is helpful in illustrating the ignition event. The crack tip is located on the right hand side of the photograph. Hot gases generated in driving motor travel from left to right. At the lower left corner of each photograph, there is a prism which shows the onset of light through a light pipe (see Fig. 4) upon the arrival of the hot gases at the crack entrance. In this test firing, ROX/CTPB propellant sample is installed at the lower portion and HMX/CTPB propellant sample is located at the upper portion. The crack tip region is pressurized at a rate of 16 GPa/s. The time period between consecutive pictures is about 65.6 µs. Picture No. 1 shows a dim dot of light on the prism indicating the arrival of hot gases at the crack entrance. After the reflection of the compression wave at the crack tip, a bright region due to further reactions of hot gases is initiated at the crack tip (Picture No. 2). The reaction front then propagates to the left at a velocity of 88 m/s relative to the stationary coordinate. This reaction front propagation causes the expansion of the bright gas region in Pictures 3-8. As time goes on, the luminous zone is reduced; this is probably due to the continuous chamber pressurization by product gases from the driving motor. The incoming gases driven by the stronger pressure gradient, compress the gas-phase reaction region and reduce the size of the luminous zone. The re-



Fig. 9 High Speed Photographs of Ignition Process of Nitramine Composite Propellants

35

duction of luminous zone is also partly caused by the local pressure increase which shortens the reaction time. These phenomena are shown in Pictures 8-15. In Picture 11, the surface of RDX/CTPB propellant sample starts to show some brightness, which indicates the onset of gas evclution of RDX/CTPB propellant, while the surface of HMX/CTPB propellant is still dark. [It should be noted that Pictures 8, 9, and 10 also have some bright lines near the crack tip; however, these bright lines are due to the reflection of light from metal surfaces of the sample holder.] Picture 12 shows that HMX/CTPB sample begins to gasify. The HMX/CTPB sample remains ignited until Picture 23 and then extinguishs thereafter. The RDX/CTPB sample is nearly extinguished as shown in Picture 23 and it becomes definitely ignited again as shown in Picture 24. This ignition was sustained throughout the test firing. In the later phase, more bright gases from the driving motor enter the crack Due to the increase in luminosity, and slight gas penetration cavity. between the plexiglass window and protruded surfaces of the transite base plate, the screw heads on both sides of the channel become visible as black circles. It is interesting to note that the last ten pictures in Fig. 9 showed some burning particles above the RDX/CTPB surface. These particles could either come from the burning propellant sample due to ejection of micro-mechanical fractured pieces or from the driving motor system. At the present time, the source has not been fully identified, and this phenomenon is subjected for further investigation.

シント ひょうじょう こう たくく

第二、シングンシン 第二、アン・チャング

1

The momentary-ignition (gas evolution processes followed by extinction) phenomenon of HMX/CTPB propellant can be explained as follows. When heating rate is very high, a thin thermal layer near the propellant surface is generated. If the burning rate of this hot thin layer of the propellant is higher

than the rate of thermal wave penetration, as this hot layer burns out, a new thermal layer may not have a chance to be established for sustained burning. Thus, propellant sample is extinguished after the first thermal layer is burned out. The chemical kinetic characteristics for solid propellants also have significant influence on the momentary ignition phenomenon. Since RDX/CTPB propellant requires lower activation energy than HMX/CTPB, it is easier to establish self-sustained ignition as shown in Pictures 24 onwards.

37

III. MECHANISM OF CRACK PROPAGATION IN BURNING SOLID PROPELLANT

3.1 Motivation and Objectives

Increase in burning surface area caused by propagating cracks can affect the actual performance of a rocket motor and in the extreme could lead to deflagration-to-detonation transition (DDT) or transition to detonation due to some unresolved mechanisms (XDT). The study of propagating cracks in solid propellants under closely simulated motor operating conditions is therefore important in understanding the off design operation and detonation initiation phenomena in solid propellants.

One of the major objectives of this research program is to gain deeper understanding of the mechanism of solid propellant grain fracture during rocket motor firing. This subject is of special interest to investigators of rocket motor grain integrity. Numerous studies have been conducted to investigate crack propagation phenomena by employing only solid-mechanics analyses and experimental procedures. However, very little effort has been made on crack propagation of solid propellants under burning conditions. It is, therefore, quite obvious that the study of crack propagation in burning solid propellants would be most realistic and instrumental in understanding the mechanism of grain fracture due to crack propagation under rocket motor operating conditions.

Specific objectives of this investigation were:

1. To develop an experimental technique to study crack propagation in a composite propellant under burning conditions;

- to determine crack propagation velocities in solid propellant samples under burning conditions;
- 3. to observe any abnormalities of the propagating crack;

- 4. to study the effects of chamber pressure, pressurization rate, and crack sample geometry on crack propagation velocity;
- to analyze the stress and strain state at the crack tip by utilizing a finite element analysis;
- 6. to compare the observed mass burning rate with that calculated from steady-state burning rate law;
- 7. to modify the existing test rig so that the burning can be interrupted and the sample can be recovered; and
- 8. to postulate and identify the major mechanism of dynamic crack propagation.

3.2 Setup of Crack Propagation Test Rigs

Two windowed test chambers, one small and one large, have been designed and constructed for observing the crack propagation phenomena in burning solid propellant samples. The small test rig was adapted from the chamber used for tip ignition studies. The schematic diagram and a part of the results obtained from this chamber were included in the last annual report. In order to study the crack propagation phenomena under more suitable conditions, a number of modifications were incorporated into the design of a larger test rig. A schematic diagram of this test rig is shown in Fig. 10.

Specific features and improvements of the second test rig are:

- a) increased chamber width;
- b) increased chamber depth;
- c) stronger window retainer design;
- d) more complete instrumentation and data acquisition; and
- e) improved safety characteristics.

With the increased chamber width, the sample geometry becomes more flexible and the influence of reflected compression waves from chamber walls is significantly reduced. Also, the crack propagation time period is made long enough to allow more detailed observations of the physical process. By increasing the chamber depth, possible leaks between the driving motor assembly and the main chamber are eliminated. In addition, thicker plexiglass windows can be used to strengthen the window assembly so that the possibility of flame spreading between the propellant sample and sacrificial window is substantially reduced.

A photograph of the main chamber and window retainer is shown in Fig. 11. The window assembly consisting of a 1/2" thick sacrificial window and a 3" thick main window are shown together with the window retainer in Fig. 12.

In the large test chamber, two window openings (a circular and a rectangular one) were made in the window retainer. The purpose of designing two



Fig. 10 Schematic Diagram of Crack Propagation Chamber



Fig. 11 Photograph of the Main Chamber and Its Window Retainer



viewing openings instead of a single long one is to avoid window buckling and retainer plate warpage. Through the circular opening, one could observe the onset of the combustion product gases entering the test chamber from the driving motor.

In the large test rig, more pressure transducers were used for recording p-t traces during test firings. A maximum of six piezoelectric transducers can be used simultaneously. One of these transducers could be mounted on the driving motor and the rest mounted on the main chamber at the crack entrance, initial crack tip region, the center of the sample beyond the initial crack tip, the upper cavity, and the lower cavity (see Fig. 10).

Two ports for mounting break wires or thermocouples in the main chamber were designed and constructed to detect the arrival of the hot gases penetrating the propellant sample at the crack tip. Ports were made in the free volume regions of the chamber for optical illumination of the sample surfaces which are not initially in contact with the combustion gases. This is useful for obtaining information of the instantaneous boundaries of the propellant sample in the free volume region. These two illumination ports can also be used for other purposes as well; for example, one could mount transducers, photodiodes, break wires, or thermocouples so that measurements can be made in the free volume regions or the upper part of the propellant sample. An additional port for collecting combustion product gas samples was also machined in the main chamber to obtain species concentration at a specified time period during crack propagation.

An assembly of safety head, consisting of a specified bursting pressure diaphragm and a retainer is installed near the entrance of the main test

chamber (see Fig. 10). This setup keeps the chamber safe in case of overpressurization. It is also advantageous for obtaining higher rates of depressurization, since this can help the recovery of the propellant sample by quenching the burning surfaces.

第二人 いいいいいいい ビジョーフレンシン

5.0.0

CITAL C

In sample preparation, a propellant block is first machined to the desired dimensions. It is then clamped in between two plexiglass tamplets for trimming into a final configuration (see Fig. 13). A 3-mm deep slit is made by a sharp razor blade at the crack tip to help the initiation of the crack growth under rapid pressurization.

It is important to note that shortly after the flame has reached the tip of the narrow slit, the subsequent crack propagation beyond the original tip of the slit will have natural crack geometry rather than the artificial geometry of the initial slit. Also, the triangular opening of the propellant sample at the entrance is to facilitate pressure loading on the slanted surfaces. The wide triangular opening should not be regarded as a part of the initial crack tip contour. The essential part of crack geometry is the prefabricated slit. The type of the propellant used was AP/HTPB (73/27) with an average AP particle size of 200 m.

Figure 14 shows a closeup view of the propellant installed in the test chamber. The sample is held in its position by three brass retainers. The plexiglass window assembly is designed to compress the sample between the rear wall of the chamber and the window to avoid any leakage.

A photograph of the assembled test rig is shown in Fig. 15. In this setup the driving motor is mounted below the horizontal metal plate and







Fig. 14 Photograph of a Propellant Sample Installed in the Crack Propagation Chamber



cannot be seen in this picture. The product gases from the driving motor flow upward to the main test chamber and a portion of the hot gases enters the crack cavity.

In order to secure the test rig, several angle irons are used to bolt the test chamber tightly to the test frame. The exhausted gases leave the chamber through a long vertical pipeline.

3.3 Sequence of Events

During a test firing, the propellant sample cavity is pressurized by high temperature and high pressure gases produced from the small driving motor. The above-mentioned propellant sample configuration permits significant displacements of the crack walls, so that high stresses and strains can occur at the tip region leading to crack propagation. An electric primer is used to ignite the boost propellant and the igniter charge. The combustion product gases from the driving motor flow through a multi-perforated nozzle into the main chamber and enter into the crack cavity. The propellant surfaces in the cavity become ignited shortly after the penetration of the hot gases. The gases generated from the burning crack surface may not be able to leave the cavity area immediately, therefore causing local pressurization, mechanical deformation, and crack propagation and branching. The initial pressurization of the crack cavity is controlled by the mass and energy fluxes of the product gases coming from the driving motor. The pressurization rates generated from the driving motor ranged between 1 and 35 GPa/s, while the maximum observed pressure in the test chamber was 80 MPa.

3.4 Data Acquisition System

The data acquisition system consists of two major parts: a) a pressure recording system and b) an ignition event recording system. Pressure measurements are taken at six locations described previously. The pressure signal from a transducer is sent to a charge amplifier and the amplified signal is recorded on a transient waveform recorder and a high-speed magnetic tape recorder. A high-speed 16-mm motion picture camera is used to film the ignition event in the chamber. The maximum framing rate of the camera is 44,000 pictures per second. The detailed discussion of the data acquisition system was presented in the last annual report and hence it is not repeated in this report.

3.5 Experimental Results

In order to study the effect of pressurization rate and sample geometry on crack propagation processes, other variables such as propellant type, initial temperature, initial angle of the triangular cavity, were held constant in this investigation. Three sets of p-t traces obtained in test firing No. DNCF2-14, DNCF2-15, and DNCF2-13 under initial pressurization rates of 10.4, 8.3 and 33.4 GPa/s are shown in Figs. 16, 17, and 18, respectively.

Figure 16 shows the set of p-t traces with the medium pressurization rate at the crack tip. These traces were recorded at two different sampling rates (2.5 µs for the initial uprising portion of 7.5 ms and 2.5 ms per sample for the remaining portion) resulting in an abrupt change in pressure traces near pressure spikes. The rapid pressure decay after the peak pressure is due to the rupture of the bursting diaphragm. As can be seen from Fig. 16, the first discernible pressure rise at the sample center G4 (which is beyond the initial crack tip) occurs later than that at the crack tip G3.

ም በደግሞ የአካላት ሲቆጣት







第二人 たいたい 二日 しょうちょう しょう 見たたたたたた

いいたのう

0000000

(FRAMING RATE = 32,000 PPS)

However, the pressure and the pressurization rate of G4 is higher than those of G3. This higher pressure and pressurization rate at G4 is believed to be caused by large specific surface area generated by microstructure damage of the propellant during crack propagation and branching as seen from the highspeed film to be discussed in a later section.

The pressure-time traces in Fig. 12, corresponding to the lower initial pressurization rate, show that the maximum pressure near the center of the sample is lower than that at the tip. After the initial time period of 3 ms, the pressurization rates at G4, G3, and G2 become almost the same. Comparing Fig. 17 (low initial pressurization rate) with Fig. 16 (medium initial pressurization rate) it can be seen that the pressurization rate is increasing in the downstream direction for the experiment with the higher initial pressuri-zation rate.

Figure 18 reveals an important phenomenon occuring in the firing test with the highest initial pressurization rate at G3. The pressure at the center of the sample rose so abruptly and became saturated in a very short time. From this figure, one can expect that the center of the sample must be burning severely in order to have such high gasification and pressurization rates. Comparing p-t traces of Fig. 18 with those of Figs. 16 and 17, it can be observed that the response of G4 is steeper for higher initial pressurization rate at G3. One explanation for this observation is the increased degree of damage near the crack tip region due to higher pressure loading. This damage can contribute significantly to provide a larger burning surface area and consequently increase the local mass burning rate.

In these tests, the fuse-wire signals shown on Figs. 16, 17, and 18 were not helpful in giving information for the arrival of crack front. This is due to the fact that fuse wires were mounted too close to the tip where large deformation occurred. New locations for fuse wires in the upper part of the propellant sample are planned for future firing tests.

The crack propagation event was recorded by means of high-speed photography. Two typical film records corresponding to test firings DNCP2-14 and DNCP2-15 are shown in Figs. 19 and 20, respectively. As one can see from these films, hot gases from the driving motor illuminated the crack cavity and outlined the crack walls. In these figures, each vertical column of light is the outlined crack at a different time. The event proceeds from left to right, with a time interval of 59 µs between consecutive pictures. The event shown in Fig. 19 is described as follows.

Picture	Time, us	Observations and Interpretation
1	Ø	Hot igniter gas starts to enter the triangular
		cavity.

2-5

A typical mode I crack propagation occurs with the crack tip displacement in the axial direction. Near the crack tip a small triangle is formed indicating the existence of only one crack. The dark horizontal line is due to the view blockage of the window retainer material between the two viewing ports.

6--7

295-354

59-236

A dramatic change takes place in the propagation mechanism. Two spherical regions







Fig. 20 Motion Pictures for DNCP2-15 Firing Test

are developing near the tip and a significant enlargement of the luminous volume occurs. This shows that branching starts at two different places located near the shoulders of the luminous volume and propagates outward.

8-10

413-531

590

A mushroom-shaped luminous region is developing near the tip of the crack. In the meantime, the crack walls became almost parallel, and the crack tip itself loses its definite triangular shape and became a jagged horizontal line. One explanation for the mushroom-shaped region and the corresponding change in crack geometry is the microstructure damage which permits the penetration of hot gases into the damaged region near the crack tip.

11

A reduction of the mushroom-shaped region can be observed. The severe burning near the burning near the crack tip can cause mechanical compression of the material ahead of the jagged horizontal front. This compression may lead to the close up of some micropores, and hence reduce the luminosity of the mushroom-shaped region.



いいいし きょうたんたたた

いたいためでものできたのであるという

The continued burning and gas penetration at the tip front enlarges the luminous zone.

والمرجبة المحادثة المراجبة والمتعاصية ومعتمد فوجيا فالعوما المراجر خراجي والمراج

Some pores of the burning propellant are extended far enough to allow the penetration of the flame to the free volume regions, causing illumination of the outer boundary surfaces of the sample. Large mechanical deformation of the outer boundaries is observed.

15-21

826-1180

Severe burning is taking place near the center of the test sample. The mechanical deformation becames so large that the free volumes almost disappear. The mushroom-shaped region continues to enlarge at the center of the sample. The total mass burning rate increases significantly with time.

Figure 20 shows the crack propagation event under a lower initial pressurization rate at G3. The general sequence of the event is similar to that of Fig. 19. The major differences between the two events are:

 The bifercation of the spherical regions starts much later for the lower pressurization case. The spherical regions are not as luminous as those shown in Fig. 19.

59

 The development of a mushroom-shaped region also occurs at a later time.

シュート 日本 アイ・シューシン

- The flame penetration through the sample into the free volume regions also occurs at a later time.
- 4) Opposed to Fig. 19, unsymmetric mushroom-shaped regions are observed. This is probably caused by the close up of some micropores due to uneven mechanical deformation.

The relationship between vertical crack-tip displacement and chamber pressure was achieved by combining the pressure-time traces and the dis-Examination of this relationship placement vs. time observations. illustrates the influence of the pressurization rate and crack sample geometry on crack propagation velocity. Displacement vs. pressure curves for three tests having the same initial sample geometry, but different pressurization rates, are shown in Fig. 21a. A linear approximation was used for the data points obtained in each test. It is obvious from this figure that the tests resulted in three linear relationships between displacement and pressure, and that the slopes of the displacement vs. pressure curves decrease with increasing pressurization rate. Considering that only pressurization rate and sample geometry were varied, the relationship between the slopes of the displacement-pressure curves and these parameters can be generally written as:

$$\frac{ds}{dp} = F(\frac{dp}{dt}, \text{ geometry})$$
(31)




• • • •

• • • •

For the tests shown in Fig. 21a, where the geometry was held constant, the above expression reduces to the following:

$$\frac{ds}{dp} = f(\frac{dp}{dt})$$
(32)

This function was approximated by a power law, whose coefficients were determined by a linear approximation of the log-log plot of ds/dp versus dp/dt for the tests with the fixed geometry. The function was found to be closely approximated by the following relationship

$$\frac{ds}{dp} = 0.086 \left(\frac{dp}{dt}\right)^{-0.363}$$
(33)

The above equation was used to derive the following experimental correlation relating crack propagation velocity and pressurization rate:

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = V_{c} (m/s) = 0.086 \left[\frac{dp}{dt} (MPa/s) \right]$$
(34)

It can be seen that the derived correlation is in agreement with the aforementioned observation that the crack propagation velocity increases as the chamber pressurization rate becomes higher.

To examine the influence of different propellant sample geometries on observed crack propagatoin velocities, three crack-tip displacement vs. pressure curves are plotted in Fig. 21b. During the three separate tests with different sample geometry, the pressurization rate was held constant, approximately 15,000 MPa/s. The sample geometry differed in the taper angle of the external surfaces of the propellant sample, which changes the free volume regions of the test chamber. The sample with the maximum free volume corresponds to the curve with the highest ds/dp shown in Fig. 21b. Considering the fact that these tests were conducted under the same average pressurization





rate, it can be easily found that the steeper the slope of the curve in Fig. 21b, the higher the average crack propagation velocity.

Combined data obtained from several tests with different geometries and pressurization rates are shown in Fig. 22. The solid line is the correlation given by Eq. (34), and the dashed lines represent the similar relationships at different taper angles. Since the data points of these curves are limited, the main usefulness of Fig. 22 is to display the qualitative trends of the effect of dp/dt and taper angle on crack propagation velocity.

In order to explain the crack propagation velocity dependence on pressurization rate and sample geometry, two common propagation mechanisms were examined. The first mechanism considers that the crack is propagating at its terminal velocity, V_t , which is approximately equal to one-third of the speed of sound in the propellant as suggested by Swanson.³³ As the pressurization rate is increasing, the dynamic and relaxation moduli of the viscoelastic propellant are also increasing. This results in a higher terminal velocity in the propellant, according to the following formula:

$$V_{t} = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{E/\rho_{s}}$$
(35)

where E is the dynamic modulus and ρ_s is the density of the propellant sample. Over the range of pressurization rates tested, changes in moduli are approximately 10%; therefore, these small changes in moduli do not result in significant increase in crack propagation velocity as measured in this work. This observation has been made by Gent and Marteny³⁴ in their work with natural rubbers under rapid loading rates. The first mechanism does not consider any changes in the crack propagation velocity due to different sample geome-





tries.

The second crack propagation mechanism to be discussed considers that the velocity of the running crack is limited by the speed of the deforming crack contour. In order to have crack propagation, a sufficient stress state must be maintained at the crack tip. For geometries tested in this study, the large deformation of the crack cavity observed from the high-speed films is believed to provide the high stress distribution near the crack tip. The crack tip front shown by the luminous contour seems to be limited by the rate of deformation of the crack cavity walls. However, actual crack tip propagation velocity may exceed the observed velocity obtained from the displacement of the luminous front. The microstructural damage of the propellant ahead of the luminous front may not be seen from high-speed films. Some of the micro cracks are submerged beneath the surface, and also there is a time lag for the gas to penetrate these micro cracks.

The quasistatic application of this mechanism would predict that the crack propagation velocity is proportional to the first power of pressurization rate. This is because of the fact that a given static pressure load would correspond to a certain displacement of the crack contour. This should result in the three curves in Fig. 21a coalescing into one. However, the experimental results of Fig. 21a show a significant dependence of ds/dp on dp/dt. The changes of slope at different pressurization rates shown in this figure are believed to be caused by the delay of the sample leg displacement at higher loading rates due to the effect of inertia during the acceleration of the sample mass.

From the above, one can deduce that the dynamic application of this mechanism would show weaker dependence of $V_{\rm C}$ on dp/dt than the quasistatic one. The exponential coefficient of dp/dt in the dynamic application would be lower than one which is in agreement with the experimental results of Eq. (34).

Similarly, the changes in slope at different geometries shown in Fig. 22 are believed to be caused by the decrease in resistance of the sample leg displacement for larger taper angles. This implies that the greater the free volume, the quicker the response of the propellant sample to the pressure loading and consequently the higher the crack propagation velocities. The approximate magnitudes and the tendencies of the curves in Fig. 21a and 21b were simulated by solving analytically a very simplified model consisting of a Voigt-Kelvin parallel spring-damper element in series with a point mass. The coefficients for the system were approximated from results of the static finite element analysis and sample geometry. The results of the above simple analysis produce qualitatively the same changes in ds/dp, due to the different loading rates and geometries.

It is believed that at much higher pressurization rates than those tested in this study, higher crack propagation velocity would be reached. Under these conditions, the influence of sample geometry would be less significant and the first crack propagation mechanism would be important.

Swanson³³ and Gent and Marteny³⁴ measured crack propagation velocities in inert propellant (Solithane 113) and rubber, respectively. Swanson reported the highest observed velocities of approximately 40 m/s, while Gent and Marteny³⁴ measured highest velocities of 80 m/s in specimens with no pre-

imposed strains in the crack direction. It is interesting to note that their measured highest velocity is in the same order of magnitude as the observed luminous front propagation velocity in burning solid propellants. The actual crack propagation velocity can be substantially higher than that of the luminous front for reasons stated above.

3.6 Finite Element Analysis

An analytical stress analysis of the propellant sample was performed using a finite element techique. The objectives of this analysis were: a) to understand now the sample would deform in a cold environment under uniform internal pressure loading; b) to predict the way in which the propellant sample fractures; and c) to estimate the contribution of mechanical deformation in the overall measured crack tip displacement.

The propellant sample geometry modeled was similar to that described in Section 3.2. A finite radius of 1.78 mm was selected at the crack tip in order to use a manageable number of mesh elements to study the local stress and strain distributions. The finite radius approximation reduces the accuracy of the solution near the tip. However, the solution is useful to have a qualitative representation of the mechanical deformation of the propellant sample near the crack tip.

The employed finite element grid was generated by using an interactive mesh generation program and the grid pattern was shown in the last annual report. The material properties assumed for the model where an elastic modulus, E, equal to 55 MPa and Poisson's ratio, v, equal to 0.5. Even though elastic behavior was considered, the viscoelastic character of the sample was approximated by substituting the relaxation modulus for the elastic modulus. According to the correspondence principle, the selection of the relaxation modulus was based upon the test pressurization rate and initial temperature of the propellant. This is a commonly used procedure in solid propellant grain deformation analysis.

A static analysis of the described model was solved with a nonlinear finite element code (ABAQUS).³⁵ The program permitted incompressible material behavior and large deformations which were needed in order to solve the problem. The obtained results are discussed in terms of the following three subject areas of interest: a) displacement of the crack tip along the crack centerline; b) contour deformation; and c) stress and strain distribution rear the crack tip.

a) Crack Tip Displacement

In terms of crack tip displacement, the pressure loading on the inner crack walls resulted in a mechanical displacement along the crack centerline. The calculated vertical displacement was due to the fact that the free boundaries of the sample allowed for horizontal expansion. The displacements of the crack tip node were determined for several pressurization rates, and the calculated results indicated the nonlinearity of the problem. The results of mechanical displacement vs. pressure can be easily converted to displacement vs. time data, assuming that the pressure-time relationship is given and the quasisteady assumption is valid.

Two mechanical displacement curves obtained in this way are shown in Fig. 23; one corresponding to a low pressurization rate of 3,000 MPa/s and



Fig. 23 Calculated Mechanical Displacement and Measured Luminous Front at the Crack Tip

• . • .

the other to a high pressurization rate of 30,000 MPa/s. In order to determine the contribution of the mechanical deformation to the overall measured luminous front displacement at the crack tip, the experimentally observed displacements under these two pressurization rates were plotted in the same figure. In the low dp/dt case, it can be seen that the mechanical displacement was considerably smaller than the overall displacement. On the other hand, in the high dp/or case, a more significant contribution of the mechanical deformation the overall luminous front displacement at the crack tip can be observed. The conclusion is that the higher the pressurization rate, the larger the contribution of the mechanical deformation to the overall luminous front displacement at the crack tip. In order to determine the accuracy of the above results, it is necessary to examine the quasisteady assumption made in the finite element analysis. A simple lumped-mass analysis demonstrates that time lags due to inertia effects are of the same order of magnitude as the duration of the experiment. Therefore, the higher the lcading rate, the larger the errors due to the quasisteady assumption. In addition, the static solution gives an upper boundary of the displacement at a given time, since the inertia effect causes actual displacement to lag behind the calculated value.

b) Contour Deformation

when fracture at the crack tip is not allowed, the mechanical deformation at the crack tip tends to slightly round the original triangular shape of the crack inner wall. However, the calculated crack tip deformation is small, as shown in Fig. 23, when compared with the observed high rates of contour changes from experiments. This implies that the crack propagation

must be caused by fracture. Finite element solution showed that the outer surface of the sample deforms towards the chamber wall as anticipated. However, if no crack propagation is allowed, the finite element solution will show a small amount of deformation of the propellant sample legs toward the chamber wall. If one or more cracks were propagating the leg would be free to deform out towards the chamber wall, creating abrupt changes in the crack cavity contour.

c) Strams and Strain States Near the Crack Tip

In orde, to understand the mode of failure of the propellant sample, a typical propellant grain failure criterion should be used. An experimentally derived failure envelope, based upon the maximum principal deviatoric stress and the corresponding strain, is a common criterion for determining a loading-rate independent failure of solid propellant grains subject to internal pressurization. According to this criterion, the propellant will begin to crack at the surface near a point where the stress and strain states exceed the boundary of the envelope. The two important characteristics of failure criterion are: 1) failure is dependent upon deviatoric stress and not upon hoop or maximum principal stress; and 2) the criterion is based upon the level of the combined state of stress and strain.

The deviatoric stress contours near the crack tip region are shown in Fig. 24a. This figure clearly shows that the maximum deviatoric stress concentration is near the shoulder of the crack tip radius. Four locations on the crack radius are indicated on the figure as points A, B, C, and D. A plot of the maximum deviatoric stress vs. the corresponding strains for these four points is shown in Fig. 24b. It can be seen that the maximum deviatoric



Fig. 24a Isopleths for Deviatoric Stresses





stress occurs at D, on the shoulder of the crack tip, not at the tip zenith. In addition, the maximum strain occurs at C. This implies that, for the geometry and load conditions modeled by the finite element analysis, the most likely area for failure would be in the vicinity of C and D, and not at the crack tip. The symmetry of the model implies that the failure at the shoulder could create two running cracks. In this case, the two cracks would be extremely close together at the onset of failure, because of the small radius of the crack tip. The consideration of dual fracture helps to explain the transition to the two spherical regions and then to a square-shaped geometry as observed on the film during high pressurization rate tests. A multiple fracture of this type would cause dramatic displacement of the crack cavity walls toward the chamber wall.

3.7 Apparent Versus Steady-State Mass Burning Rates

An estimation of the apparent mass burning rate during the crack propagaton can give valuable information about the degree of fracture due to crack propagation and branching, since direct measurement of the burning rate under these conditions is very difficult. One method of obtaining the apparent burning rate is by using the average pressurization rate and initial crack geometry as given in the following. According to mass continuity for the gases in the chamber, we have

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \dot{m}_{b} + \dot{m}_{in} - \dot{m}_{out}$$
(36)

After a short initial pressurization period, \dot{m}_{in} becomes small and can be neglected. The flow at the exit nozzle can be considered choked because of

high chamber pressure. Using these assumptions together with the perfect gas law, Eq. (36) becomes:

$$\dot{m}_{b} = \left[\Gamma \frac{P_{c}A_{t}}{\sqrt{R T_{c}}} + \frac{V_{ch}}{RT_{c}} \frac{dP_{c}}{dt} \right] / (1 - \frac{\rho_{c}}{\rho_{s}})$$
(37)

where dP_c/dt represents the average chamber pressurization rate. In the above simplified analysis, both T_c and V_{ch} were treated as known constants.

Figure 25 shows the ratio of the computed apparent mass burning rate (\dot{m}_{b}) to the steady-state mass burning rate $(\dot{m}_{b,ss})$ evaluated at the average chamber pressure during crack propagation. It is useful to note that the apparent mass burning rates are about two orders of magnitude higher than the steady-state mass burning rate. The ratio increases for higher pressurization rates. Also, this ratio becomes higher for test chamber having larger free volume for sample deformation.

This apparent increase in the mass burning rate is believed to be mainly caused by the propellant fracture and microstructure damage. This is also supported by the luminous mushroom-shaped region observed above the crack tip. The dynamic (transient) burning effect³⁶ would also contribute to the total mass burning rate. The relative contribution of these two effects is difficult to determine. This greatly enhanced mass generation rate in highly confined regions could contribute significantly to the onset of detonation.



10.00

Fig. 25 Effect of Pressurization Rate on the Ratio of Apparent to Steady-State Mass Burning Rate during Crack Propagation

3.8 Designed Modifications for Achieving Interrupted Burning During Crack Propagation

A test rig modification is being performed in order to recover the propellant crack sample from interrupted crack propagation experiments. The propellant sample recovery is important in obtaining direct evidence of the microstructure damage and in achieving better understanding of the crack propagation and branching mechanisms under burning conditions. Careful considerations were given to the test rig modification, so that the sample can be extinguished at a prescribed pressure level by rapid depressurization followed with inert gas injection.

A schematic diagram of the modified test rig and the control circuit for depressurization and inert gas injection is shown in Fig. 26. The procedure to achieve the propellant sample quenching is as follows:

- 1. As soon as the pressure in the chamber reaches a prescribed value p_1 (shown in Fig. 27), a trigger device will activate the primer located in the second igniter assembly at time t_1 .
- 2. The product gases from the second igniter assembly (see Fig. 25) will pressurize the free space between the two bursting diaphragms and rupture them at time t_1 ' to cause rapid depressurization of the test chamber.
- 3. Immediate injection of inert gases at time t₂' upon a time delay of (t₂'-t₂), where t₂ is the time when the inert gas inlet valve was activated. The time distance t between t₂ and t₁ can be preselected and adjusted on a timer.



Fig. 26 Modified Test Rig for Sample Recovery

79





4. The inert gas injected into the chamber will quench the inner surfaces of the test chamber and further interrupt the burning of the propellant sample.

The recovered propellant sample will be examined in detail under a microscope to determine the degree of damage encountered during the burning. The recovered sample will be extremely useful for understanding the crack propagation and branching mechanism. One preliminary attempt was made towards this direction without using the above-mentioned circuit; and a small portion of the propellant was recovered. It is believed that larger recovered samples will be obtained after the test rig modification is completed.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Some important observations and conclusions from the tip ignition study are summarized as follows:

- (1) Ignition of nitramine composite propellants was studied at rapid pressurization environment. For each test firing, two different propellant samples can be tested simultaneously under the same flow conditions. Three independent data acquisition systems were used for detecting the onset of gas evolution. These systems include the external photodiode system, near-infrared photodiode system, and high-speed filming system. Consistent results were obtained from these systems in determining the onset of gas evolution.
- (2) A theoretical model with chemical kinetic is proposed for the ignition of nitramine composite propellants. These kinetic mechanisms and data are helpful in the interpretation of experimental results.
- (3) The time required for the onset of gas evolution of nitramine composite propellants decreases drastically as the pressurization rate is increased.
- (4) Nitramine composite propellants are much more difficult to ignite as compared to the conventional AP-based composite propellants.
- (5) With the same binder HMX-based composite propellants were found to be more difficult to gasify than PDX-based composite propellants. This is probably due to the fact that both the thermal diffusivity and activation energy for decomposition of RDX are lower than those

of HMX-based composite propellants.

(6) Two HMX-based composite propellants with different binders (CTPB and HTPB) did not show significant differences in the time required for the onset of gas evolution. This is probably due to the fact that the thermal diffusivity effect and the chemical kinetic effect of decomposition of these two binders tend to cancel each other.

Several important observations and conclusions obtained from the crack propagation studies are summarized below.

- Luminous front propagtaion velocities of 20 to 80 m/s were observed in a burning propellant crack sample.
- (2) For high pressurization rates, the luminous crack tip contour undergoes a highly discernible transition process that a triangular contour develops into a mushroom-shaped region. The evolution of the mushroom-shaped region is believed to be caused by gas penetration and flame propagation into micropores resulting from crack branching.
- (3) An experimental correlation, showing strong dependence of crack propagation velocity on pressurization rate and crack sample geometry, has been developed.
- (4) The apparent mass burning rate, deduced from the measured p-t traces, was found to be approximately two orders of magnitude higher than the steady-state mass burning rate. This implies that the propellant structure damage due to crack propagation and branching can

significantly increase the burning surface area. This may cause the onset of detonation in strongly confined environments.

.

V. REFERENCES

- 1. Price, E. W., Bradley, H. H. Jr., Dehority, G. L., and Ibiricu, M. M., "Theory of Ignition of Solid Propellants," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4 Sept. 1966, pp. 1153-1181.
- 2. Hermance, C. E., "Solid Propellant Ignition Theories and Experiments," Contributing Chapter for AIAA Progress Series Volume Fundamentals of Combustion of Solid Propellants, edited by Kuo and Summerfield.

- Kulkarni, A. K., Kumar, M., and Kuo, K. K., "Review of Solid Propellant Ignition Studies," AIAA paper 80-1210, also summarized in <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 20, Feb. 1982, p. 243.
- 4. Wills, J. E., Kumar, M., Kulkarni, A. K., and Kuo, K. K., "Abrupt Ignition of AP-Based Composite Propellant Under Severe Gas Loading Conditions," <u>1982</u> JANNAF Propulsion Systems Hazards Meeting, Apr. 1982.
- 5. Kumar, M. and Kuo, K. K., "Ignition of Solid Propellant at Crack Tip nder Rapid Pressurization," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 18, Jul. 1980, pp. 825-833.
- Kumar, M., Wills, J. E., Kulkarni, A. K., and Kuo, K. K., "Ignition of Composite Propellants in a Stagnation Region Under Rapid Pressure Loading," <u>19th</u> <u>Symposium (International)</u> on <u>Combustion</u>, Aug. 1982, pp. 757-767.
- Kumar, M., Wills, J. E., Kulkarni, A. K., and Kuo, K. K., "A Model for AP-Based Composite Propellant Ignition Including Gas-Phase and Subsurface Reactions," AIAA Paper No. 82-1109, presented at AIAA/SAE/ASME 18th Joint Propulsion Conference, June 21-23, 1982 (accepted for publication in AIAA Journal).
- 8. Kubota, N., "Survey of Rocket Propellants and Their Combustion Characters," Contributing Chapter for AIAA Progress Series Volume <u>Fundamentals</u> of <u>Combustion</u> of <u>Solid</u> <u>Propellants</u>, edited by Kuo and Summerfield.
- 9. Kubota, N., "Combustion Mechanisms of Nitramine Composite Propellants," 18th Symposium (International) on Combustion, 1981, pp. 187-194.
- 10. Wise, S. and Rochio, J. J., "Binder Requirements for Low Vulnerability Propellants," 18th JANNAF Combustion Meeting, Vol. 2, 1981, pp. 305-320.
- 11. Wise, S., Rochio, J. J., and Reeves, H. J., "The Ignitability of Composite Nitramine Propellants," <u>17th JANNAF Combustion Meeting</u>, Nov. 1980, pp. 457-475.
- 12. DeLuca, L., Caveny, L. H., Ohlemiller, T. J., and Summerfield, M., "Radiative Ignition of Double base Propellants: I. Some Formulation Effects," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 14, Jul. 1976, pp. 940-946.
- 13. DeLuca, L., Ohlemiller, T. J., Caveny, L. H., and Summerfield, M., "Radiative Ignition of Double Base Propellants: II. Pre-ignition Events and

Source Effects," AIAA Journal, Vol. 8, Aug. 1976, pp. 1111-1117.

- 14. Birk, A., and Caveny, L. H., "Ignition of Solid Propellants Under Transient Flew Conditions," <u>16th</u> <u>JANNAF</u> Combustion <u>Meeting</u>, CPIA Publ. 308, 1979, pp. 514-540.
- Ohlemiller, T. J. and Summerfield, C. E., "Gas Phase Ignition Theory of a Heterogeneous Solid Propellant," <u>Combustion Science and Technology</u>, Vol. 4, 1957, pp. 191-196.
- 16. Schreeder, M. A., "Critical Analysis of Nitramine Decomposition Results: Some Comments on Chemical Mechanisms," <u>16th JANNAF Combustion Meeting</u>, CPIA Publication 308, Vol. II, Dec. 1979, pp. 17-34.
- Schroeder, M. A., "Critical Analysis of Nitramine Decomposition Data: Activation Energies and Frequency Factors of RDX and HMX Decomposition," <u>17th JANNAF</u> Combustion Meeting, CPIA Publ. 329, Vol. 2, Nov. 1980, pp. 493-508.
- 18. Schroeder, M. A., "Critical Analysis of Nitramine Decomposition Data: Product Distributions from HMX and RDX Decomposition," <u>18th JANNAF</u> <u>Combustion Meeting</u>, CPIA Publ. 347, Vol. 2, Oct. 1981, pp. 395-411.
- 19. Boggs, T. L., "The Thermal Behavior of Cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine (RDX) and Cyclotetramethylene tetranitramine (HMX)," contributing chapter for AIAA Progress Series Volume, <u>Fundamentals</u> of <u>Combustion</u> of <u>Solid</u> Progellants, edited by Kuo and Summerfield.
- 27. McCarty, K. P., "HMX propellant Combustion Studies: Phase I, Literature Search and Data Assessment," AFRPL-TR-76-59, Dec. 1976.
- 21. Shaw, R. and Walker, F. E., "Estimated Kinetics and Thermochemistry of Some Initial Unimolecular Reactions in the Thermal Decomposition of 1, 3, 5, 7,-tetranitro-1, 3, 5, 7,-tetrazacycloctane in the Gas Phase," J. Phys. Chem., Vol. 81, 1977, p. 2572.
- 22. McMillen, D. F., Barket, J. R., Lewis, K. E., Trevor, P. L., and Golden, D. M., "Mechanisms of Nitramine Decomposition: Very low Pressure Pyrolysis of HMX and Dimethynitramine," <u>SRI Project PYU5787</u>, Jun. 1979.
- 23. Suryanarayana and R. J., Graybush, "Thermal Decomposition of 1, 3, 5, 7-tetranitro-1, 3, 5, 7-tetrazacyclooctane (HMX): A Mass Spectrometric Study of the Products from -HMX," Gr. X-S. 24-591, Proc. 39th Congress on Ind. Chem., Brussels, Belgium, 1966.
- 24. Karpowicw, R. J., and Brill, T. B., "The -transformation of HMX: Its Thermal Analysis and Relationship to Propellants," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 20, No. 11, 1982, p. 2586.
- 25. Cosgrove, J. D., and Own, A. J., "The Thermal Decomposition of 1, 3, 5-Trinitrohexahydro 1, 3, 5-Triazine (RDX) Part I: The Products and Physical Parameters," <u>Corbustion and Flame</u>, Vol. 22, 1974, p. 13.

26. Cosgrove, J. D., and Own, A. J., "The Thermal Decomposition of 1, 3, 5-Trinitrohexahydro 1, 3, 5-Triazine (RDX) Part II: The Products and Physical Parameters," <u>Combustion and Flame</u>, Vol. 22, 1974, p. 19.

- 27. Coshgarian, B. B., "The Thermal Decomposition of Cyclotrimethylenetrunitramine (RDX) and Cyclotetramethylenetetranitramine (HMX)," AFRPL-TR-78-76, Oct. 1978, (AD-B032 275L).
- 28. BenReuven, M. and Caveny, L. H., "Nitramine Flame Chemistry and Deflagration Interpreted in Terms of a Flame Model," <u>15th AIAA Propulsion</u> Conference, No. 79-1133, Jun. 1979.
- 29. BenReuven, M. and Summerfield, M., "Combustion of Nitramine Propellants," ARBRL-CR-00507, Mar. 1983.
- 30. Kubota, N., "Physicochemical Processes of HMX Propellant Combustion," 19th Symposium (International) on Combustion, 1982, pp. 777-785.
- 31. Drummond, L. J., "Shock Induced Reactions of Methane with Nitrous and Nitric Oxides," Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, Vol. 42, Feb. 1969, pp. 285-289.
- 32. Siefert, J. G. and Kuo, K. K., "Experimental Observations of Crack Propagation in Burning Solid Propellants," <u>19th</u> <u>JANNAF</u> <u>Combustion</u> <u>Meeting</u>, CPIA Publication No. 366, Vol. I, Oct. 1982, pp. 407-416.
- 33. Swanson, S. R., "An Experimental Study of Dynamic Crack Propagation in a Filled Rubber," 16th Ann. Meeting Soc. Eng. Sci., Northwestern University, September 5-7, 1979.
- 34. Gent, A. N. and Marteny, P., "The Effect of Strain Upon the Velocity of Sound and the Velocity of Free Retraction for Natural Rubber," Technical Report No. 16 to ONR, May 1982.
- 35. ABAQUS Finite Element Program, Version 3-21-81, Hibbitt, Karlson, and Sorensen, Inc.
- 36. Kuo, K. K. and Coates, G. R., "Review of Dynamic Burning of Solid Propellants in Gun and Rocket Propulsion Systems," 16th Symposium (International) on Combustion, 1177-1191 (1976).

No. Copies

1

10

1

1

1

1

1

1

Dr. L.V. Schmidt Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E, and S) Room 5E 731 Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350

DYN

Dr. A.L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380

Dr. Richard S. Miller Office of Naval Research Code 413 Arlington, VA 22217

Mr. David Siegel Office of Naval Research Code 260 Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. R.J. Marcus Office of Naval Research Western Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91106

Dr. Larry Peebles Office of Naval Research East Central Regional Office 666 Summer Street, Bldg. 114-D Boston, MA 02210

Dr. Phillip A. Miller Office of Naval Research San Francisco Area Office One Hallidie Plaza, Suite 601 San Francisco, CA 94102

Mr. Otto K. Heiney AFATL - DLDL Elgin AFB, FL 32542

Mr. R. Geisler ATTN: MKP/MS24 AFRPL Edwards AFB, CA 93523 No. Copies

1.

1

Dr. F. Roberto Code AFRPL MKPA Edwards AFB, CA 93523

Dr. L.H. Caveny Air Force Office of Scientific Research Directorate of Aerospace Sciences Bolling Air Force Base Washington, D.C. 20332

Mr. Donald L. Ball Air Force Office of Scientific Research Directorate of Chemical Sciences Bolling Air Force Base Washington, D.C. 20332

Dr. John S. Wilkes, Jr. 1 FJSRL/NC USAF Academy, CO 80840

Dr. R.L. Lou 1 Aerojet Strategic Propulsion Co. P.O. Box 15699C Sacramento, CA 95813

Dr. V.J. Keenan Anal-Syn Lab Inc. P.O. Box 547 Paolt, PA 19301

Dr. Philip Howe 1 Army Ballistic Research Labs ARRADCOM Code DRDAR-BLT Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Mr. L.A. Watermeier 1 Army Ballistic Research Labs ARRADCOM Code DRDAR-BLI Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Dr. W.W. Wharton Attn: DRSMI-RKL Commander U.S. Army Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, AL 35893 1000

83

6/31

No. Copies

1

1

1

12

1

1

Dr. R.G. Rhoades Commander Army Missile Command DRSMI-R Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Dr. W.D. Stephens Atlantic Research Corp. Pine Ridge Plant 7511 Wellington Rd. Gainesville, VA 22065

Dr. A.W. Barrows Ballistic Research Laboratory USA ARRADCOM DRDAR-BLP Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Dr. C.M. Frey Chemical Systems Division P.O. Box 358 Sunnyvale, CA 94086

Professor F. Rodriguez Cornell University School of Chemical Engineering Olin Hall, Ithaca, N.Y. 14853

Defense Technical Information Center DTIC-DDA-2 Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Rocco C. Musso Hercules Aerospace Division Hercules Incorporated Alleghany Ballistic Lab P.O. Box 210 Washington, D.C. 21502

Dr. Ronald L. Simmons Hercules Inc. Eglin AFATL/DLDL Eglin AFB, FL 32542 Dr. E.H. Debutts Hercules Inc. Baccus Works P.O. Box 98 Magna, UT 84044

Dr. James H. Thacher Hercules Inc. Magna Baccus Works P.O. Box 98 Magna, UT 84044

Mr. Theordore M. Gilliland 1 Johns Hopkins University APL Chemical Propulsion Info. Agency Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20810

Dr. R. McGuire Lawrence Livermore Laboratory University of California Code L-324 Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. Jack Linsk Lockheed Missiles & Space Co. P.O. Box 504 Code Org. 83-10, 81dg. 154 Sunnyvale, CA 94C88 Dr. B.G. Craig Los Alamos National Lab P.O. Box 1663 NSP/DOD, MS-245 Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. R.L. Rabie WX-2, MS-952 Los Alamos National Lab. P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos NM 37545

EDS Alamose Scientific Lab. P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545

No. Copies

1

1

•

6/81

89

DYN.

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Mr. R. Brown Naval Air Systems Command

Naval Air Systems Command Code 330 Washington, D.C. 20361

Dr. H. Rosenwasser Naval Air Systems Command AIR-310C Washington, D.C. 20360

Mr. B. Sobers Naval Air Systems Command Code 03P25 Washington, D.C. 20360

Dr. L.R. Rothstein Assistant Director Naval Explosives Dev. Engineering Dept. Naval Weapons Station Yorktown, VA 23591

Dr. Lionel Dickinson Naval Explosive Ordnance Disposal Tech. Center Code D Indian Head, MD 20640

Mr. C.L. Adams Naval Ordnance Station Code PM4 Indian Head, MD 20640

Mr. S. Mitchell Naval Ordnance Station Code 5253 Indian Head, MD 20640

Dr. William Tolles Dean of Research Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940

Naval Research Lab. Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20375 No. Copies

90

6/31

Dr. J. Schnur 1 Naval Research Lab. Code 6510 Washington, D.C. 20375

Mr. R. Beauregard 1 Naval Sea Systems Command SEA 64E Washington, D.C. 20362

Mr. G. Edwards Naval Sea Systems Command Code 62R3 Washington, D.C. 20362

Mr. John Boyle 1 Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, PA 19112

Dr. H.G. Adolph 1 Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R11 White Oak Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. T.D. Austin 1 Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R16 Indian Head, MD 20640

Dr. T. Hall Code R-11 Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, MD 20910

Mr. G.L. Mackenzie 1 Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R101 Indian Head, MD 20640

Dr. K.F. Mueller I Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R11 White Oak Silver Spring, MD 20910

DYN

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Mr. J. Murrin Naval Sea Systems Command Code 62R2 Washington, D.C. 20362

Dr. D.J. Pastine Naval Surface Weapons Cneter Code RC4 White Oak Silver Spring, MD 20910

Mr. L. Roslund Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R122 White Oak, Silver Spring MD 20910

Mr. M. Stosz Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R121 White Oak Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. E. Zimmet Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R13 White Oak Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. D. R. Derr Naval Weapons Center Code 388 China Lake, CA 93555

Mr. Lee N. Gilbert Naval Weapons Center Code 3205 China Lake, CA 93555

Dr. E. Martin Naval Weapons Center Code 3858 China Lake, CA 93555

Mr. R. McCarten Naval Weapons Center Code 3272 China Lake, CA 93555 No. Copies

1

1

1

7

6/81

Dr. A. Nielsen Naval Weapons Center Code 385 China Lake, CA 93555

Dr. R. Reed, Jr. Naval Weapons Center Code 388 China Lake, CA 93555

Dr. L. Smith Naval Weapons Center Code 3205 China Lake, CA 93555

Dr. B. Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 5042 Crane, Indiana 47522

Dr. A. Faulstich 1 Chief of Naval Technology MAT Code 0716 Washington, D.C. 20360

LCDR J. Walker 1 Chier of Naval Material Office of Naval Technology MAT, Code 0712 Washington, D.C. 20360

Mr. Joe McCartney 1 Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152

Dr. S. Yamamoto 1 Marine Sciences Division Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 91232

Dr. G. Bosmajian 1 Applied Chemistry Division Naval Ship Research & Development Center Annapolis, MD 21401

Dr. H. Shuey 1 Rohn and Haas Company Huntsville, Alabama 35301 91

DYN

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

No. Copies

1

1

1.

1

1

1

1

Dr. J.F. Kincaid Strategic Systems Project Office Department of the Navy Room 901 Washington, D.C. 20376

Strategic Systems Project Office 1 Propulsion Unit Code SP2731 Department of the Navy Wahsington, D.C. 20376

Mr. E. L. Throckmorton Strategic Systems Project Office Department of the Navy Room 1048 Washington, D.C. 20376

Dr. D.A. Flanigan Thiokol Huntsville Division Huntsville, Alabama 35807

Mr. G.F. Mangum Thiokol Corporation Huntsville Division Huntsville, Alabama 35807

Mr. E.S. Sutton Thiokol Corporation Elkton Division P.O. Box 241 Elkton, MD 21921

Dr. G. Thompson Thiokol Wasatch Division MS 240 P.O. Box 524 Brigham City, UT 84302

Dr. T.F. Davidson Technical Director Thiokol Corporation Government Systems Group P.O. Box 9258 Odgen, Utah 84409 Dr. C.W. Vriesen Thiokol Elkton Division P.O. Box 241 Elkton, MD 21921

Dr. J.C. Hinshaw Thiokol Wasatch Division P.O. Box 524 Brigham City, Utah 83402

U.S. Army Research Office Chemical & Biological Sciences Division P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709

Dr. R.F. Walker USA ARRADCOM DRDAR-LCE Dover, NJ 07801

Dr. T. Sinden Munitions Directorate Propellants and Explosives Defence Equipment Staff British Embassy 3100 Massachusetts Ave. Washington, D.C. 20008

LTC B. Loving AFROL/LK Edwards AFB, CA 93523

Professor Alan N. Gent Institute of Polymer Science University of Akron Akron, OH 44325

Mr. J.M. Frankle 1 Army Ballistic Research Labs ARRADCOM Code DRDAR-BLI Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

92

6/81

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

No. Copies

1

1

1

Dr. Ingo W. May Army Ballistic Research Labs ARRADCOM Code DRDAR-BLI Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Professor N.W. Tschoegl California Institute of Tech Dept. of Chemical Engineering Pasadena, CA 91125

Professor M.D. Nicol University of California Dept. of Chemistry 405 Hilgard Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor A. G. Evans University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor T. Litovitz Catholic Univ. of America Physics Department 520 Michigan Ave., N.E. Washington, D.C. 20017

Professor W. G. Knauss Graduate Aeronautical Lab California Institute of Tech. Pasadena, CA 91125

Professor Edward Price Georgia Institute of Tech. School of Aerospace Engin. Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Dr. Kenneth O. Hartman Hercules Aerospace Division Hercules Incorporated P.J. Box 210 Cumberland, MD 21502

Dr. Thor L. Smith IBM Research Lab D42.282 San Jose, CA 95193 Dr. J, P, Marshall 1 Dept. 52-35, Bldg. 204/2 Lockheed Missile & Space Co. 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304

Ms. Joan L. Janney Los Alamos National Lab Mail Stop 920 Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. J. M. Walsh Los Alamos Scientific Lab Los Alamos, NM 87545

Professor R, W. Armstrong 1 Univ. of Maryland Department of Mechanical Eng. College Park, MD 20742

Prof. Richard A. Reinhardt Naval Postgraduate School Physics & Chemistry Dept. Monterey, CA 93940

Dr. R. Bernecker 1 Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R13 White Oak, Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. M, J. Kamlet Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R11 White Oak, Silver Spring, MD 20910

Professor J. D. Achenbach Northwestern University Dept. of Civil Engineering Evanston, IL 60201

Dr. N. L. Basdekas Office of Naval Research Mechanics Program, Code 432 Arlington, VA 22217

Professor Kenneth Kuo 1 Pennsylvania State Univ. Dept. of Mechanical Engineering University Park, PA 16802

No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

No. Copies

94

6/81

Dr. S. Sheffield Sandia Laboratories Division 2513 P.O. Box 5800 Albuguergue, NM 87185

Dr. M. Farber Space Sciences, Inc. 135 Maple Avenue Monrovia, CA 91016

Dr. Y. M. Gupta SRI International 333 Ravenswood AVenue Menlo Park, CA 94025

Mr. M. Hill SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025

Professor Richard A. Schapery Texas A&M Univ. Dept of Civil Engineering College Station, TX 77843

Dr. Stephen Swanson Univ. of Utah Dept. of Mech. & Industrial Engineering MEB 3008 Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Mr. J. D. Byrd Thiokol Corp. Huntsville Huntsville Div. Huntsville, AL 35807

Professor G. D. Duvall Washington State University Dept. of Physics Pullman, WA 99163

Prof. T. Dickinson Washington State University Dept. of Physics Pullman, WA 99163

DYN