



۲*

.....

. -

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

雦

<u>م</u>

A	N.* EO	ARD-TR-84=	(3)		P	ág.
					e	
Gran	nt Number	: AFOSR-82	-0316			
DEF: OF (eots and Jlfrasont	MATERIALS C 13 SIGNALS.	HARACTERIZ	AFION BY	SY ننم آنه	Ij
Carl Inst Tori	los Valde tituto Na rejón de	ecantos acional de T Ardoz, Madr	écnica Aer id, SPAIN.	oespacia	l (INFA)
30 (October 1	.983				
fire	st Year F	Report, 1 Ja	nuary 1983	- 30 5e	ptember	1983
	Â	Approved for Distribution	public re unlimited	lease;		
Prep AIR Boll and	pared for FORCE OF ling AFB,	r: FFIJE OF BCI , D.C. 20332	entific re	Blarch	NA A	E
EURO	OPEAN OF ion, Engl	FICE OF AERO Land.	SPACE RESE	LARCH AND) DEVELO	Phent
			84	08	28	078
	Gran DEF OF T Car: Inst Tor 30 (Fir AIR Bol: and EUR Lond	A N.º EO Grant Number DEFECTS AND OF ULFRASON Carlos Valde Instituto Na Torrejón de 30 October 1 First Year 1 First Year 1 A Prepared for AIR FORCE OF Bolling AFB and EUROPEAN OFF London, Engl	 A. N.* EOARD-IR-RAFT Grant Number: AFOSR-82- DEFECTS AND MATERIALS COF ULPRASONIC SIGNALS. Carlos Valdecantos Instituto Nacional de T Forrejón de Ardoz, Madr 30 October 1983 First Year Report, 1 Ja: Approved for Distribution Prepared for: AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCI Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332 and EUROPEAN OFFICE OF AERO London, England. 	 A. N. EDARD-TR-RATT(3) Grant Number: AFOSR-82-0316 DEFEORS AND MATERIALS ORARAOTERIZ OF ULFRASONIC MATERIALS ORARAOTERIZ OF ULFRASONIC MATERIALS. Carlos Valdecantos Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aer Forrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, SPAIN. 30 October 1983 First Year Report, 1 January 1983 Approved for public re Distribution unlimited Prepared for: AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RE Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332 and EUROFEAN OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESE London, England. 	 M. EOARD-TR-BATTY (3) Grant Number: AFOSR-82-0316 DEFEORS AND MARERIALS CHARACTERIZATION BY OF ULPRASCHID SIGMALS. Carlos Valdecantos Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacia Forrejón de Ardoz, Nadrid, SPAIN. 30 October 1983 First Year Report, 1 January 1983 - 30 Se Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited Prepared for: AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332 and EUROPEAN OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESEARCH AND London, England. 	A N. EDARD-TR-RATTY (3) Grant Number: AFO3R-82-0316 DEFEORS AND MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION BY ATALYS OF ULPRASCHIC SIGNALS. Carlos Valdecantos Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial (INTA Forrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, SPAIN. 30 October 1983 First Year Report, 1 January 1983 - 30 September Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited Frepared for: AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332 and SUROFEAN OFFICE OF AEROSPACE RESEARCH AND DEVELO London, England.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER EDARI - TR-84 - 1 (3) 2. GOVT ACCESSIC	N NOU 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBE
4. TITLE (and Substite)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CO
- DEFECTS AND ANTERIALS CHARACTERIATIC	First Year Report
AMALDID CI CLIMACHIC DIGHALD.	. PERFORMING ONG. REPORT NU
7. AUTHOR()	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER
CARLOS VALDECANDOS	AFCGR - 82 - 0316
PEPFORNING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
JIAL - INTA - Opt. 2str. Mat. 2struct	2 a -
Jorrejón de Ardez, Hadrid, SFAIN	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	30th-Cct1983
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSIL different from Controlling Of	Hee) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report
	-
	ISA. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNER
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetrast entered in Block 20, if differ	ant brin Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (al the abstract antered in Block 20, if differ	ant brain Roport)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (af the abetress entered in Block 20, if differ	ant brien Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (at the abotress entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ent fran Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (al the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ant irea. Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (af the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block m	ant brin Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block m Wondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc	The testing; quantita
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a) the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block m Hondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Nateria tic attenuation; Sorn inversion 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block me Nondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Nateria tic attenuation; Born inversion. 	The testing; Quantita ls characterization; A
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block me Nondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Nateria tic attenuation; Born inversion. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block me 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block me Nondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Nateria tic attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block me ? Recent techniques in defects and mate neviewed and some of them releated to 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the decrease entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block me Nondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Nateria tic attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block me 'Recent techniques in defects and mate reviewed and some of them selected to Ultrasonic Lab. Previous work include 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization be implemented at INT s design and manufactu
 17. DISTRICUTION STATEMENT (of the electrons entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block me Nondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Materia tic attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block me > Recent techniques in defects and mate reviewed and some of them selected to Ultrasonic Lab. Previous work include of several items (ultrasonic test bed 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization; A be implemented at INT s design and manufactu , facsimile recorder)a
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the detress entered in Block 20, if different is supercentered is supercentered in Block 20, if different is supercentered is a supercentered in Block 20, if different is supercentered is a supercentered is supercentered. 	tive testing; Quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization; A be implemented at INT s design and manufactu , facsimile recorder)a ntation. Main effort i repare software and to
 17. DISTRICUTION STATEMENT (of the desired microd in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on review ofde if necessary and identify by block milling some state in the selected to attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on review ofde if necessary and identify by block milling attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on review ofde if necessary and identify by block milling attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on review ofde if necessary and identify by block milling attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on review of the if necessary and identify by block milling attenue of the selected to Ultrasonic Lab. Previous work include of several items (ultrasonic test bed well as familiarization with instrume this first year has been devoted to p it via elementary experiments on mate 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization; A be implemented at INT s design and manufactu , facsimile recorder)a ntation. Main effort i repare software and to rials characterization
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the decrease entered in Block 20, if differ 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on review ofde if necessary and identify by block in Nondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Nateria tic attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on review ofde if necessary and identify by block in the selected to Ultrasonic Lab. Previous work include of several items (ultrasonic test bed well as familiarization with instrume this first year has been devoted to p it via elementary experiments on mate 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization; A be implemented at INT s design and manufactu , facsimile recorder)a ntation. Hain effort i repare software and to rials characterization
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different is supplementary notes 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WOADS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple in the supervision in the supervision is attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block multiple attenuation; Born inversion) attenue this first year has been devoted to p it via elementary experiments on mate 20. FORM [1473]: SOFTION OF I NOV 63 (5 OBSOLETE 	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization; A be implemented at INF s design and manufactu , facsimile recorder)a ntation. Hain effort i repare software and to rials characterization
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrons entered in Block 20, if elfer 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WOADS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block me Nondestructive evaluation; Nondestruc Ultrasonics; Signal analysis; Materia tic attenuation; Born inversion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block me 'Recent techniques in defects and mater reviewed and some of them selected to Ultrasonic Lab. Previous work include of several items (ultrasonic test bed well as familiarization with instrume this first year has been devoted to p it via elementary experiments on mate 20. MORTAL (Continue of the of the selector of the selecto	tive testing; quantita ls characterization; A rials characterization; A rials characterization be implemented at INF s design and manufactu , facsimile recorder)a ntation. Main effort i repare software and to rials characterization

-

INTA	N.•	Pág.
	PABLE OF CONTENTS	
1	General	1
2	Equipment	5
4	Software	4
5	Experimental results 2	6
6	References 35	5
Ance	Constant P	
•	3	
	•	
A-		

INTA	N.°	Pág.
	PREFACE	
Th La ra gr	e present work has been performed at the Ul boratory of the Department of Structures an l Materials of INTA as a first part of a tw am.	trasonics d Structu- o year pro-
Th tr se al wi	e first period is mainly devoted to setting umentation, technique and software to be us cond year. It also includes the necessary 1 the research personnel involved to become th new techniques and instrumentation.	up the ins- ed in the period for familiar
Fh Ae EO	is work has been funded in part by European rospace Research and Development under gran ARD-82-0316.	Office of t number
Th Al Ga me	e author wishes to achnowledge the effort o varez in preparing the software as well as llego del Pozo in designing and making auxi nt.	f J. Miguel that of E. liary equip-
1		

ļ

INTA

1 - <u>General</u>

The work reported here was programmed as a part of a wider research project of the Ultrasonic Laboratory of the Structures and Structural Materials Department of the INTA. An ultrasonic spectroscopy research program was formerly developed under the sponsorship of Spanish Government. Analog techniques used in this project soon proved to be unsuitable to perform adequate reflector characterization and, therefore, it was decided to implement the necessary instrumentation to digitize and computer process the signal.

In November 1981 a proposal was submitted to ECARD including several goals to be specified later. The proposal was approved and EOARD gave some useful recommendations for the best development of the work and in order to coordinate with other works already in progress in other Laboratories in the United States. With this aim, a trip was programmed to attend the Annual DARPA/AFML Review of Progress in Quantitative Nondestructive Evaluation to be held in La Jolla, California, and to visit Ames Laboratory of Iowa State University and Rockwell International Science Center (Thousand Oaks, California). A visit to NASA Lewis Research Center (Columbus, Ohio) was also suggested but, because of unknown reasons, it was not possible to arrange this visit. In any case, the information obtained during this trip, which took place in August 1982, as well as those from several "Proceedings of the DARPA/AFML Review of Progress in Quantitative NDE" supplied by London Office of the EOARD have been of major help in defining the objectives and selection test techniques.

One of the aims more clearly defined was the defect characterization subject that absorbs the largest portion of the scientific work carried out under DARPA/AFML

1.8 henerate del IN

sponsorship since 1973. Ultrasonic test quantification, in particular, is one of the main objectives of this project which tends to reduce the numerous sources of uncertainity found in conventional tests. Therefore, it was decided to dedicate a part of the work to defect characterization and, after consulting available bibliography, two different techniques seemed to be the most adequate: - Comparison of spectral amplitude as a function of the direction of incident beam. It is an empirical method first proposed by E. Jomany (1). - Unidimensional Born inversion. There are many papers available on this technique. We have taken as reference that of R.K. Elsley (2). On the other hand the development of some technique suitable for materials characterization to determine elastic mechanical and fracture parameters was considered. The great interest of this objective is generally recognised, due to the high cost of the destructive mechanical tests necessary to make measurements of yield point, (σ_4) or fracture toughness (K_{Ic}, J_{Ic}) . The Mechanical lest Laboratory of the Department of Structures and Structural Materials of INTA is developing a programme to study the fracture toughness as a function of heat treatment of several national low alloy steels. This gives additional interest to our nondestructive characterization objective and facilities to get suitable samples available. Materials characterization by ultrasonics is based on

the measurements of the acoustic velocity and attenuation as a function of frequency. When results are applied to suitable equations yield point and toughness can be evaluated. Even considering the limited validity of current

INTA

N.*

INTA	N.º Pá	1. 3
	equations, mainly because its empirical characters seems undoubtfull the usefulness of the works of and his group, NASA Lewis Research Center, (Col Ohio) in view of the test systematization and s	eter, it of A. Vary Lumbus, searching
	a suitable model to explain interaction betwees waves and inhomogeneities or dislocations press solids.	n elastic ent in
	Work to be carried out in our Laboratories will ly dedicated to check the validity of Vary's en equations (3) for materials other than those for references (maraging steel and titanium alloy)	l be main- npirical ound in
	LETELENCES (maraging soler and producting arroy)	•
	The definite structure of the works was stabli	shed in
	the following way:	
	<u>First year:</u>	
	- Review of bibliography.	
	- Familiarization with computer and digital mentation.	instru-
	- Design and fabrication of a precision aut	omatic
	system for inmersion testing.	
	- Software for defect characterization.	
	- Soltware for materials characterization.	
	- Preliminary experimental work.	ment.
	- restan and rationation of autoritaly educe	
	Second year:	
	- Setting up the immersion test system and characterization tests.	defect
	- Tests for software functionability.	
	- Complete programme of experimental tests.	

It can be said that first year will be devoted to prepare equipment and software and the second year to carry

INTA	N.*	Pág. 4
	out the greater part of the	e experiments.
	Because of several administ	trative difficulties it has
	not been possible to comple first year.	ete all the objectives of t

	N.*		·	Pág. 5
2 - 3	Theoretical base	<u>s</u>		
2.1 -	Defect charact	erization		}
•	simple principle	. It consist	s in analyzi	nas a very ng the fre
(cy spectrum of t	wo echos comi	ng from diff	erent test
i t	that the response	e will be con	is a sphere stant as the	test angl
7	varies. Then, i.	f frequency as	nplitude fro	m one angl
1	represented vers a diagonal of th	us that obtain e first coord	ned from the inate quadra	other ang nt will be
t	ained unless son	me uncontroll	ed influence	s have gon
1	into the work.			
C	on the other hand	d, the curve	will become	deformed a
e t	apart from the di the spheric shap	iagonal as th e. In this w	e reflector av some info	moves away rmation ab
1	reflector shape i	may be derive	i. Results	of applyin
t	cechnique to two	spheroidal r	eflectors ar	e shown, i
	_			
		\square	\bigcap	
			\ \	/
	\wedge		\setminus	/ ^
8 V	\sum	- 03		$\int \int \int \partial h$
Au : 60		44=60		
Au:60		44=60		
44-60		44-60		
44-60	4κ = 0	09=P4	Acc = 0	
A4 - 60	$4_{\alpha}=0$ a) spheroid 30	eniaxes b	$A_{\alpha=0}$) spheroid s	emiax ⁹ s a
Au - 60	a) spheroid 30 a:0.4mm Fig. 1. Spectral	eniaxes b b:C.2mm amplitude as	$A_{\alpha=0}$) spheroid s a function	emiax ⁹ s a b of beam di

I	N	T	A		N.
---	---	---	---	--	----

Born approximation, in which the inversion algorithm is based, is a theory useful to explain the weak scattering that occurs when the difference of the acoustic impedance between the reflector and the host is low. It derives a relatively simple mathematical model which has proved to be useful in order to systematize experimental data. The inversion algorithm coming from this theory have shown to be adequate even when not strictly weak scattering occurs. Then, it is not surprising to find a large number of works related with either the theoretical exploration or experimental checking of this new tool.

Pág. Ó

ł

In its direct formulation, Born's approximation makes easier the calculation of \tilde{R} , which is the signal in the time domain and that will be received by the transducer after the interaction of the reflector with an infinitely short pulse (Dirac's step function). Firstly the respective incident pulse and observation direction must be defined.

 \overline{A} is also known as impulse response and it can be shown that it is proportional to the second derivative of the area function A(s) of the reflector's transversal section projected onto a plane perpendicular to the direction defined by addition of incidence and observation versors.

The inversion problem may be divided in two parts. The first one is to determine the characteristic function, $\gamma(\vec{r})$, of the reflector. That is to say its shape, because $\gamma(\vec{r})$ is defined as a function that is equal to 1 inside the reflector and zero outside. The second part of the problem is to find parameters of the material in particular Z (acoustic impedance) and β (density).

If a sufficient n uber of data are available for scatte-

ring in several incident directions, $\widehat{\ell_i}$, the characteristic function is given by the equation:

 $\gamma(\vec{r}) = const \int d^2 \hat{c}_i R\left(t = \frac{2\hat{\epsilon}_i}{v}, \vec{r}, \hat{\epsilon}_i, -\hat{\epsilon}_i\right) \quad (1)$

Pác. 7

where R is the impulse response which is in turn a function of the time. Equation (1) is formulated for backscattered energy and in consequence the observation direction \hat{e}_{o} is equal to $-\hat{e}_{i}$. If the additional simplification introduced by the spherical symmetry of the reflector is taken into account, equation (1) becomes:

$$f(\vec{r}) = (cnst) \frac{1}{2r t^{n}} \int_{-2r/r}^{2r/r} \mathcal{R}\left[t; \vec{E}_{r} - \vec{E}_{r}\right]$$
(2)

where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{e}}$ is arbitrary due to spherical symmetry.

In the frequency domain the characteristic function takes the form:

$$f = \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{7} \pi r \pi r \pi r (\pi r)$$
(3)

where $\lambda(\neg d)$ is the frequency spectrum or, that is the same, the Fourier's transform of the impulse response R (t).

If a single measurement of R(t) is taken, then unidirectional Born inversion can be obtained which gives the reflector radius in the test direction as a distance om its center (time origin) to the j one perpendicular the incident direction and tange... the reflector rface. In order that this technique may produce accepoble results it is necessary that some minimum conditions INTA N.º Pág. E be fulfilled because, in certain cases, may become cri-

- Sufficient bandwith of the incident pulse.

- Adequate signal to noise ratio.

tical:

THI INT

7.6

Born inversion gives good results in the medium range of frequencies such that:

0.52 Kr 22

where $k=2\pi \lambda$; λ :wave length; r: reflector radius

If sufficient information is not available in the low frequency range (k.r minimum too high), the estimate value of r is lower than actual value and if high frequency data are missing (kr maximum too low) then r is overestimated. A wide band transducer should have, to be useful for this technique, a range at k of 10:1.

It has been shown in experiments with spheroidal cavities that signal to noise ratio must be kept over OdB level if estimate radius error is intended to maintain below 20% for a confidence level of 95% (2).

N	Т	A		į	
---	---	---	--	---	--

N.º

ł

Pág. 9

2.2 - Materials characterization

Experiments whose theoretical basis is described in (3) and (4) have clearly shown that there are some relationship between acoustic characteristics of a material (acoustic velocity and attenuation) and its fracture toughness. This relation seems to be fairly intuitive because of the dynamic behavior of ultrasonic testing in which the material is forced to transmit elastic stresses through it.

Foughness is measured in classical destructive test by performing a tension (or similar) test in a precra-ked specimen. However recent studies have shown (5) that the pressure of a sharp crack is not essential in the determination of fracture toughness and is further suggested that the links between the strength properties and the ultrasonic factors are the material microstructural parameters, in particular, these are the size of the second-phase particles and the spacing between them.

It seems to be demonstrated that crack extension proceeds when the length of heavily deformed region surrounding the crack tip is comparable to the length between cracked particles (Fig. 2).

This condition may be expressed by:

$$f_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \ell$$
 (4)

where δc is the critical crack opening displacement and is related to the other fracture toughness parameters by the following equation:

 $G_{TC} = C_{TC} = \left[(1 - \nu^{2}) = \right] \kappa_{TC}^{2} = 2 (1 - \nu^{2}) c_{C} c_{T}^{2}$ (5)



INTA N.º

where Ξ , \mathcal{V} and σy are Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio and yield stress, respectively.

It is, consequently, easy to show that, at least within the scope of linear elastic fracture mechanics, the following applies:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\overline{y}}}{2E} \bullet \left(\frac{\kappa_{zc}}{\sigma_{\overline{y}}}\right)^{2} \simeq \ell \tag{6}$$

Páo.

11

which establishes a clear relationship between microstructural and fracture parameters.

The purpose of the paper in reference (5) is to attempt to provide a basis for a definite relationship between ultrasonic factors and the fracture toughness reasonally acceptable from the point of view of fracture mechanics.

A quantitie R is defined as:

$$R = \frac{\delta}{l+2\delta} \ln \frac{|\overline{\sigma_{zz}}|}{|\overline{\sigma_{zz}}|} \tag{7}$$

where σ_{22}^{a} represents the stress intensity field associated with the incident wave and σ_{22} the stress at any point of a medium containing two plate paralels inhomogeneities of thickness δ and distance ℓ between them.

Now, R must be plotted versus ℓ with δ as a parameter and is possible to show that R varies in a noticeably linear way for ℓ smaller than 10,4m and for a wave number $k\delta = 2\pi$. But, when $k\delta$ differs from 2π , the proporcionality of R is not observed. Then it is necessary to perform the experiments at a frequency such that wave length is equal to δ which is the condition expressed by the relation: $k\delta = 2\pi$.

INTA N.º Pág. 12
From the general equation of attenuation:

$$\alpha = c \omega^m$$
; $(\omega = 2\pi f)$ (8)
it is a simple matter to show that:

 $\beta = \frac{d\alpha}{d\omega} = C m \omega^{m-1} = \frac{m\alpha}{\omega} = m \frac{\alpha}{2\pi f}$

when the wave length is equal to δ :

$$\beta_{\delta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m \cdot \alpha_{\delta} \cdot \delta}{v}$$

or:

$$\frac{v \cdot \beta_{\delta}}{m} = \frac{\alpha_{\delta} \cdot \delta}{2\pi}$$

.

which can be combined with the expression (6) to obtain:

$$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_{S}} \cdot \frac{\sigma^{3}}{m} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \frac{\sigma_{\gamma}}{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{\kappa_{zc}}{\sigma_{\gamma}}\right)^{2} \tag{9}$$

an expression which suggests the possibility of determining $\frac{\kappa_{rc}}{\tau_{\gamma}}$ from attenuation measurements.

INTA	N.*	Pág. 13
2 2 1	Macaurananta of constitute volocity	
2.2.1	The first store a receipt system concerns	the limi
	lar to that described in the reference wi	ll be used.
	The equipment will also permit the digital	reading of
	the time interval in order to implement d.	ata acquisi-
	tion by the computer. This technique give	es a precision
	of the elastic constants and of the fract	re toughness.
	At the time of writing this report we have	e not received
	based, so that it is neither possible to	nclude any
	experimental results nor to evaluate poss	ible errors.
	Fig. 3 shows the block diagram of equipments	ent we will
		X
	×934	
	74/4 70/5 70/5	
	Kert. sut	
	Y	
	Ultrazonic P-R	
	Trans. C	
	Fig. 3. Acoustic velocity measurements.	

	INTA	N.°	Pág. 14
1			
	2 2 2	Ittonuction moncurements	
	£•2•2 -	The technique proposed by E. Panadakis (7)	using 5.10.
ł		20 and 50 MHz commercial wideband transduce	ers with
}		water buffer for low and intermediate frequ	lencies and
		quartz buffer for 50 Maz.	
		Echos of interest, usually first and second	backwall
		echos, are FFT processed to calculate its p	ower spec-
		handbook data for acoustic impedances. The	amplitude
1		relation curve is computed according the te	chnique pro-
		posed by A. Vary (8) and given by the expre	ssion:
		$\alpha(f) = \frac{\ell_n(R/BB)}{2}$	(10)
		1° 20	(10)
		where:	nfaga buffan
		sample.	Flace Surrer-
		BB: amplitude relation at a given freq	uency for
		two echos.	
		e: sample thickness.	
		By an adequate regression technique it is p calculate the values of c and m in the equa	ossible to ation:
		d=cf ^m	
		/	(11)
		The diffraction correction is computed for cies (usually below 15 MHz) and calculation	low frequen-
		meter β to be used in equation (9) are per	formed.
E		,	

. . .

х-

i



ł

INTA	N.•	Pág. 15
3.1 -	 <u>Automatically controlled ultraspric inmers</u> bed (SFIGE). 	<u>sion test</u>
	SET one the Shanish initials for the sta	ten which
	was defined at the starting of the program	as a major
	goal between equipment objectives because	it is funda-
	mental for high precission and reproductib	oility tests
	to be performed.	
	Its fabrication has been subcontracted to	SUZFECAR,
	S.L. and design will be done by INTA's and	1 SUZPECAR'S
	engineering personnel. Design and fabrica	ation will be
	under control and supervision of Ultrasoni	ic Laborato-
	ry's personnel. To this time minor detail	ls of mecha-
	nical and control design are being defined	1 and, 11 ready for
	setting up tests by the end of the year.	
	A summary description of the main characte	eristics of
	the system is followed.	
	Inmersion tank is 1000 x 800 x 600 mm of u	useful dimen-
	sions. Mechanic searching system is forme	ed by three
	orthogonal axes driven by dc motors with s	servocontro-
	lled speed and digital position control by	y means of
	Hewlett Fackard alsos of 500 alv/turn. Al	LI CAREE II-
	being longitudinal clearance consequently	about zero in
	any of the three directions. Sliding of m	novable parts
	is always through ball bush in one of the	supports and
	a free ball bearing in the other. This as	ssembly was
	already experimented in a system built som	ne years ago
	and has shown to be quite satisfactory be	cause its
	nearly null clearance and very low [F10010	J# •
	Z axis has, additionally, the possibility	to perform
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

• -

•

i





ΙΝΤΑ	N.°	Pág. 19
	The software for controlling the motors wi in the EPROM memory of the microcomputer written in assembly language to make it as possible.	ill be resident r and will be s compact as
	The master terminal is the 4052 computer a king program to control all the test bed obe written (9).	and a multitas- operations will
	The program will allow:	
	1 - Send commands to the microcomputer a of these commands would be request : coordinates of the transducer, halt commands.	AIM65. Examples for current or continue
,	2 - Allows the microcomputer AIM65 to so master terminal, to the disc memory (error messages, confirmation of dat transducer coordinates).	end data to the or to the scree: ta was received,
	3 - Store the control blocks for specif:	ic scan profiles
	4 - Allows to 4052 to send commands to a oscilloscope to digitize the signal	the 7854 digital •
	5 - Allows to the AIM-65 to accept digi- to store this data into the disk men the tape memory.	tized data and mory or into
	6 - Stored data will be analyzed and if value is exceeded, coordinates of st be stored.	a threshold uch point will

INTA	N.*	Pág. 20
3.2 - <u>I</u>	Iltrasonic pulser-receiver	
] (((s 1	There are a Panametric 5600 pulser-receiver (fig. 7a) up to 100 MHZ bandwith and a Metr (fig. 7b) including MP 215 Pulser, MR101Rec stepless Gate and MP 702 Gated Peak Detecto up to 20 MHz. Fhere is also a 20 MHz pulse and gate unit (fig.7c) built by J.P. Weight Department of Fhysics of the City Universit	r-gate, rotek system reiver, MG701 or to be used r-receiver from the ry, London.
3.3 - 3	Signal acquisition and display	
2 (1 2	Fo digitize signals we will use a Tektronix oscilloscope (fig. 7d) able to capture rependent of the solution o	c 7854 digital etitive sig- units are
	7A15A Linear Amplifier (80MHz bandwidth 7A16A " " (225MHz " 7B53A Time base 7B50A " " 7L12 Analog spectrum analyzer (100 KH)	1)) z to 1300 MHz)
- - -	In the next few weeks we hope to receive the counter timer 7D15 to be used mainly in the neasurement system.	ne digital e velocity
1	For analog signals it is also available a 1 7704 oscilloscope (fig. 7e).	lektronix
	There is a project to convert an analog X-M into a facsimile recorder to be able to dis type signals. As shown in fig. 8, the outp of a peak detector (VI) is feed into the F IC6 (L13914). The low level induced in som inverted and used to saturate the transisto	f recorder splay 3-scan out voltage 5 pin of me output is or which gives



-



	N.°	Pág. 23
3 /1	Suctor control and citral analy	cic
	It is based upon a Pektronix 40	515 52 minicomputer (fiz. 7
	with 54% RAM memory and two ROM	packs R07, R08 to be
	used in signal analysis process	•
	As peripherals we have a digita	l plotter Hewlett-Packs
	747CA (fig. 7g) and a matrix pr	inter FACIT 4510 (fig.7
	Major functions of control are	given in paragraph 3.1.

Τ.

INTA	N.*	Pág. 24
4 -	Software	
	In this first stage, software to acquire, a display signals has been implemented in ord	analyze and ler to cha-
	racterize materials.	
	Jurrent program permits to perform any of t operations:	the following
	- Select the number of points per wavef used in signal acquisition.	form to be
	- Acquire signals.	
	- Store signals in tape file.	
	- Fourier transform to frequency domain and phase.	n. Amplitude
	- Fime and frequency domain signal cond	litioning.
	- First and second derivatives to select limits.	t frequency
	- Amplitude relation curve.	
	- Calculate the attenuation coefficient tion of the frequency.	as a func-
	- Output to the plotter of any curve.	
	Two main difficulties have been found in ma software. One is related with the algorith FFT which, as is implemented in the ROM peo	uking the im to perform
	useful for ultrasonic applications. That i	s because
	frequency window width is inverse to that o	of time win-
	dow so that as greater the time definition	of the sig-
	solutions are intended. First one is to ut	ilize the
	oscilloscope to make a signal conditioning	by means of
	the instruction HXFD (Horizontal expand) whic	h, if a para-
:	meter less than one is used, a real compres	sion is per-

-4/002.5 Impronta del INTA

• •

•

•••

I

INTA	N.°	Pág. 25
	formed keeping almost every accident of	the wave.
	is useful for low and intermediate frequ	encies when
	signals are not too short in time domain	, so compre
	is not too high. But this technique int	he order o
	MHz or above being then unuseful for mat	erials cha
	terization.	
	Another possible way to solve this probl	em is to i
	ment a routine for Discrete Fourier Tran	sform which
	mits to select starting and ending irequ	encies as
	time domain signal. In this way the spe	ctra calcu
	in the next paragraph have been made. T	he only di
	culty with this algorithm is that the ti	me to perf
	all the calculations is very long.	
	The third way is to implement a chirp Z-	transform
	the FFT algorithm. This solution will p	robably of
	the best combination of resolution and t	ime. We b
	to have this algorithm implemented in ou	r program
	the next few weeks.	
	The other difficulty before mentioned is	related w
	the software to drive the digital plotte	r. Hewlet
	Packard 7470A is a very cheap instrument	although
	quality/price ratio is very high and was	selected
	of some tudget limitations. Unfortunate	ly, low co
	hardware often comes together with high	cost (time
	soltware, and that is the major reason b	ecause com
	even defect, has been impossible to be a	ompleted o
	time.	tan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan k

• • •

Pág. 25 INTA N.º 5 - <u>experimental results</u> Experiments have been carried out on steel samples of chemical composition: С Hin si ₽ S 0.16% 1.45% C.27% C.C2% 0.04% Two different heat treatments have been considered and their respective microstructures are shown in fig. 9. a) as rolled b) quenched Hardness: 25 HRC Hardness: 46 HRC Fig. 9. Microstructure of tested samples.

Complete of 70 to 50 to	and ano
finished.	i dink bil
A Panametrics 50MHz central frequency transc	iucer wit
fused quartz buffer rod was used and medium	motor ca
oil (SAL (C) as a coupling medium. To ensure contact pressure and reproductible coupling	conditio
a simple device was built (fig. 10).	
Fig. 11 shows a typical oscillogramme observ	red with
A-scan when a rolled sample is tested.	
Po acquire signals sweep of time base must ?	ce <u>ruch</u> f
ter in order to improve resolution. Fig. 12	2 is the
Bl in fig. 11 but which 10 nsec/div instead	of lys/a
This is a good image to be acquired but jitt	ter is a
little disturbing as shown oscillogramme in	fig. 13,
where three signals are averaged to improve	sharpnes
of the digitized signal.	
We have not still studied the influence of ;	jitter on
frequency spectrum but it seems not to be ve	ery stror
as can be shown by comparing with spectrum :	such as t
displayed in fig. 15a; fig. 15b is the calcu	ilated sp
trum of the signal in fig. 13 and fig. 15c i	is the ca
lated spectrum for the smoothed signal of it	lg. 14.





1 ...



ł

INTA	\	N.*	Pág. 31
	r: si	s of echos 31 and 32 in both as rolled a	nd quenched
	sa	mples. Testing technique are intended t	o be similar
	to) that of A. Vary in reference (8). The :	esults are
	SI	own in iig. 16.	
	Ti	me domain signals (fig. 16 a,d) are the	result of ave-
	28 21	iging 10 waves samples in order to avoid	jitter effects.
	pi	rposes.	isy to compare
	ਤੇ	sults of Discrete Sourier Pransform (DFT)) are shown in
	fi	.g. 16 b,e). The difference between both	samples is
	ea	sy to see in a qualitative way by compari	ing the high
	I1	equency band of the spectra.	
	Pe	make this difference quantitative first	and second
	de	rivatives of the spectra are calculated a	and limits of
	va de	lidity are defined between the first maximum of second	derivative.
	A	new DFT is performed between the limits	ncreasing the
	re	solution relative to other spectra and the lation (B./B.) curve is computed. Now up	ie amplitude
	bou	nds for reflection coefficient are defined	ed using hand-
	ъс	ook data for acoustic impedances (10):	-
		Sample 45.10 ⁶ Kg/m ² (stee1)	² •\$
		Coupling agent 1.5 10 ⁶ " (motor oil)	
		Buffer 14'5.10 ⁶ ' (fused silica)	
	Ŀ	ower limit is $R=0.51$ and represents the pu	ire buffer-
	58	mple interface. Upper limit is R=0.93 as	nd comes from
	C	msidering the pure oil-sample interface.	The actual
	ä	coefficient must obviously be between the	e limits

ş

·* 1



• -- •

. .

-

ł

1

1

- ----

INTA N.º Pág. 35

and there are some different ways to determine its value. To do that we have chosen the technique described by Vary which consist in select as actual value of R the one that gives the best fitting between real and calculated attenuation curve. Starting with R=0.9, the equation:

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{2 \cdot 3}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
(12)

is solved for alpha at each frequency and from the curve obtained, values of c and m in the equation:

$$\alpha = C f^{m}$$
 (13)

ſ

+ -----

are derived by a simple regression technique. Then fitting of curve from equation (13) with that of amplitude relation is calculated. A new value of R is then intended (i.e. R = 0.8) and all the process is repeated until a best value of fitting is obtained.

Results obtained by this technique are the following:

 As rolled
 _uenched

 R
 0,6515
 0,730

 C
 6,59.10⁻⁷
 2,78.10⁻⁴

 m
 4,061
 2,0529

 fitting
 0,99996
 0,99990

The values of c are in good agreement with that reported in the literature (11), (12). Attenuation versus frequency curve is shown in fig. 16 c and f.

A different way to calculate the attenuation function is to solve for x and alpha the pair of equations: $3t = -z^2 e^{-2x^2}$

dul INTA

N.*	Pág. 3-
This technique is similar to that proposed (7) and is also reported by N. Grayeli eta will be implemented in our computer in the as a part of second year effort.	l by Papadakis al. (11) and e next future
Conclusions and recommendations	
- Preliminary work to implement a computer sonic characterization program has been and results for the exponent of the free well with that of other authors.	r based ultra- carried out uency agrees
- Nevertheless a great deal of work must h in order to:	be developed
- Study repeatability.	
- Study sources of error. - Complete software to make it as ver	satile as
- Collect experimental data from frac samples.	ture toughness

ţ

INTA	N.	e	Pág. 35	
	References			
	1.	E. Domany. "Proceedings DARPA/AFY	ш" 1978 р. 44	
	2.	R.K. Elsley. "Proceedings DARPA/AP	WL" 1981	
	5.	p. 207. A. Vary. Mat. Eval. V 36:no. 7, 1978.	5- 55-	
	4.	A. Vary. ASTM STP 677, 1979, p. 563.	2	
	5.	L.S. Fu. Eng. Fracture Mechanics, V 18	3, no. 1, 1983	
	-	p. 59.		
	5.	S.H. Wang, L.T. Kabacoff et al. Net. 1	Prans. Vol.	
	7.	 E. Papadakis, K.A. Fowler v L.C. Lynn 	orth. J.A.S.A	
	, -	Vol. 53, no. 5, 1973, p. 1336.	orva, vereber	
	8.	A. Vary. NASA Tech. Mem. 79180, Abril	1979, 11 pp.	
	9.	R.C. Addison, R.B. Houston, et al. "Pr	oceedings	
	10	DARPA/AFML" 1980, p. 590.		
	10.	2nd ed. Springer Verlag Berlin 1977.	sting of Mater	
	11.	N. Grayeli et al. "Proceedings DAS	PA/AFTI	
		1980, p. 429.		
	12.	K. Goebbels. Res. Fech. in NDT, vol. I	V "Structure	
		Analysis by Scattered Ultrasonic Radia	tion". Acade-	
		mic Press, 1980, p. 87.		

