



AD A1 397 27 Accession No. 1. ARCIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER Quantitative Evaluation of Healing of Soft Tissue Manuscript for Publi Laser or a Scalpel - VUTNON'O Paul S. Grover, Jeffrey O. Hollinger, and Jay D. Shulman - PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS J. S. Army Institute of Dental Research Valter Reed Army Medical Center Valter Reed Army Medical Research & Development Command GRD-RMS Ort Detrick, Maryland 21701 - ONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mic Report) - ONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mic Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mic Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - AFR 2 1982 - AFR 2 1982 - AFR 2 1982 - AFR 2 1982 - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electred in Block 20, 11 different from Report) - AFR 2 1982 - AFR 2	AD A T ELO PO A	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
Quantitative Evaluation of Healing of Soft Tissue Wounds Treated Either by a Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Laser or a Scalpel • TYPE OF REPORT & PERFORM SOCOV Manuscript for Publi • PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER(5) • Autmon(5) Paul S. Grover, Jeffrey O. Hollinger, and Jay D. Shulman • CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(5) • CONTRACT OR GRANT ALUMEENCO Jashington, DC 20012 • CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(5) • S. Army Institute of Dental Research falter Reed Army Medical Center Jashington, DC 20012 • CONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • S. Army Medical Research & Development Command GRD-RMS • Development Command GRD-RMS • ONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • Controling OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • Report Date 12 • Controlog OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • Controling OF Pages • Controling OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) • Security CLASS (of the report) • Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Black 20, If different from Report) • Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Black 20, If different from Report) • APR 2 1934 • Supple EMENTARY NOTES • Distribution Statement and the interest of In Black 20, If different from Report) • APR 2 1934 • North Winder • APR 2 1934 • Distribution Statement and the interest of Information from Report) • APR 2 1934 • Distribution Statement ande II necessary and Identify by black number)	AD $\Delta I X Y 7 9 7$	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Quantitative Evaluation of Healing of Soft Tissue Wounds Treated Either by a Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Laser or a Scalpel Manuscript for Publi • PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER • PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER • CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • S. Army Medical Center Vashington, DC 20012 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WONTOLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME A ADDRESS • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME A ADDRESS • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME A ADDRESS • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME A ADDR		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
Laser or a Scalpel AUTHOR(#) Paul S. Grover, Jeffrey O. Hollinger, and Jay D. Shulman PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS S. Army Institute of Dental Research Vashington, DC 20012 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) NISCLASSIFIED IS. DECLASSIFIED IS. DECLASSIFIED SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NDE REY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and identify by block number) REY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and identify by block number)	Quantitative Evaluation of Healing of Soft Tiss Wounds Treated Either by a Carbon Dioxide (CO.)	Manuscript for Public
AUTHOR(s) Paul S. Grover, Jeffrey O. Hollinger, and Jay D. Shulman PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS J. S. Army Institute of Dental Research Valter Reed Army Medical Center Valter Reed Army Medical Center Valter Reed Army Medical Center Valter Reed Army Medical Research & Development Command GRD-RNS Ort Detrick, Maryland 21701 V:CLASSIFIED V:CLASSIFI	Laser or a Scalpel	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBE
Pail S. Grover, Jerrrey O. Hollinger, and Jay D. Shulman PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS J. S. ATTRy Institute of Dental Research Malter Reed Army Medical Center Maington, DC 20012 . CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS . MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 12. REPORT DATE . MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) . MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) . DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Response) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstrect infored in Block 20, If different from Report) APR 2 1984 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES One KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Identify by block number)	AUTHOR(*)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS J. S. ATMY Institute of Dental Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Vashington, DC 20012 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS J. S. ATMY Medical Research & Development Command GRD-RMS Ort Detrick, Maryland 21701 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) his document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution S. UNISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, II different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, II different from Report) AFR 2 1982 SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, II different from Report) AFR 2 1982 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide If necessary and identify by block number)	Jay D. Shulman	
A DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) AFR 2 1934 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessary and identify by block number) KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessary and identify by block number)	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 1. S. Army Medical Research & Development Command GRD-RMS Ort Detrick, Maryland 21701 1. NUMBER OF PAGES 12. REPORT DATE 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12. NUMBER OF PAGES 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of dile report) 15.	Valter Reed Army Medical Center Vashington, DC 20012	61102 3516102B510 04 09
SGRD-RMS Sort Detrick, Maryland 21701 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) UNCLASS IF IED 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASS IF IED 15. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution . DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) APR 2 1982 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DISTRIBUTION on reverse elde II necessary and Identify by block number)	. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS J. S. Army Medical Research & Development Command	12. REPORT DATE
12 12 13. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASS IFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN 14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 10. Statement has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution. 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report) 12 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report) 11. Statement has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution. 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report) 11. Statement for the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report) 11. Statement for the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report) 11. Statement for the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different from Report)	GRD-RMS	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADH 15. DECLASSIFICA	MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSII different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 155. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 155. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 155. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING		UNCLASSIFIED
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) his document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution s unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) APR 2 1984 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES one KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde If necessary and identify by block number)		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DIRE KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number) Light it		
The Art WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number)		APR 2 1984
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number)	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
J. CART.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	A
	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ONE KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number	A A
	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DNC KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe	m M M
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) he surgeon's scalpel is the instrument of choice for excisional, incisional nd debridement procedures. The CM, laser can be employed for similar pur- oses; however, additional applications include hemostasis, sterilization, ndoscopy, preparation of recipient sites for flaps or grafts, and generatio f granulation tissue. Two disadvantages that are associated with the use o he CM, laser are an increase in post treatment infection following some typ f incisional surgery and a delay in the healing of tissue.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DNC KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number 17. 1	entrie A
	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DINE KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number he surgeon's scalpel is the instrument of choice nd debridement procedures. The CM, laser can be oses; however, additional applications include h ndoscopy, preparation of recipient sites for fla f granulation tissue. Two disadvantages that ar he CM, laser are an increase in post treatment i f inclisional surgery and a delay in the healing	or for excisional, incisional, employed for similar pur- nemostasis, sterilization, ups or grafts, and generation be associated with the use of infection following some types of tissue.

Quantitative Evaluation of Healing of Soft Tissue Wounds Treated Either by a Carbon Dioxide (CO_2) Laser or a Scalpel

Paul S. Grover, Major, Dental Corps Jeffrey O. Hollinger, Lieutenant Colonel, Dental Corps Jay D. Shulman, Lieutenant Colonel, Dental Corps

> Department of the Army United States Army Institute of Dental Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20307

MILITARY DISCLAIMER

Commercial materials and equipment are identified in this report to specify the investigative procedures. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement or that the materials and equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose. Furthermore, the opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and are not to be construed as those of the U.S. Army Medical Department.

> This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

INTRODUCTION

The surgeon's scalpel is the instrument of choice for excisional, incisional, and debridement procedures. The CO₂ laser can be employed for similar purposes; however, additional applications include hemostasis, sterilization, endoscopy, preparation of recipient sites for flaps or grafts, and generation of granulation tissue. Two disadvantages that are associated with the use of the CO₂ laser are an increase in post treatment infection following some types of incisional surgery and a delay in the healing of tissue. The purpose of this study was to quantify some elements of the early phase of soft tissue wound repair. Surgical sites that were assessed had been treated with a CO₂ laser, a scalpel, or had been left untreated. The objective of the evaluation was to quantify inflammatory cells at the wound sites and to determine if there was any significant difference in the healing response.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Ketamine (3.5 mg/gm body weight) and Rumpkin (0.5 mg/gm body weight) were administered intramuscularly to 45 adult, random sex, Walter Reed rats. The lateral aspects of the right and left hindquarters were shaved and scrubbed for five minutes with Betadine[™]. A sterile number 15 scalpel blade was notched 5 mm inferior to the blade's tip to ensure a standard depth of incision. A sterile template guide was placed over the prepared hindquarters of the rat, and two parallel incisions, 5 mm apart and 2.5 cm in length were prepared. The soft tissue delineated by the parallel incisions was crushed

with a sterile, curved Kelly hemostat by using five pinching actions. Following the preparation of the wounds in the 45 animals, they were divided evenly into three treatment groups: laser, knife, and control. Each animal was returned to its individually marked cage and was given food and water *ad libitum*.

After 24 hours, anesthetic was administered to all animals and treatment was rendered according to the following format:

- Control: Wounds were surgically closed with 000 monofilament sutures.
- 2. Scalpel: Wounds were debrided with a number 15 scalpel blade and closure was accomplished using 000 monofilament sutures.
- 3. Laser: Wounds were debrided with a CO₂ laser and closure was accomplished using 000 monofilament sutures.

Following treatment, animals were returned to their individually designated cages and they were given food and water ad libitum. At time intervals of one, five, and fourteen days post-treatment, five animals from each group were euthanatized by administering an overdose of sodium pentathol intraperitioneally. A gross assessment of the wound sites was made at the time of necropsy. The same template that had been used to prepare the standard wounds was oriented over each experimental wound site. A soft tissue dermatome was placed in the center of the template and a standard volume of tissue was excised. Tissue specimens were immediately placed into properly labeled vials of neutral buffered formalin for fixation. This was followed by dehydration in graded alcohols,

parafin embedding, sectioning at six to eight micromillimeters, and hematoxylin and eosin staining. The sections of tissue were evaluated by conventional brightfield microscopy and histomorphometrically using an image analysis system.

The histomorphometric quantitation of the early phase of healing was accomplished by making linear area measurements of zones of primarily acute inflammatory cells (equivalent to irregularly defined polygons). A Zeiss Ph3 Planapo 63/1.4 oil objective and a checkerboard greticule in a 10X ocular were used to define the field to be measured (Fig.1). The field had to occupy at least 60 percent of the greticule if it was to be selected for quantitative measurement. A light emitting diode (LED) in a manual cursor combined with a digitizer tablet and drawing tube were used to outline areas of inflammatory cells that were within the greticule boundaries. The microscopic slides prepared from each rat enabled 100 fields to be evaluated for inflammatory cell areas (for each animal). A meandering pattern of measuring was followed to ensure for complete and accurate slide analysis. There were 4,500 histomorphometric measurements made and stored on a floppy disc. From these measurements, the mean area of inflammatory cells for each animal and then for each group at each temporal level was computed. A one-way, balanced analysis of variance model was used to explore the relationship between surgical technique (scalpel, laser, control) and soft tissue wound healing using the group mean value for each temporal level. Pairwise comparisons between the treatments were made for each of the sacrifice days (1, 5, 14).

The Bonferoni (1) procedure was used with an *a priori* testwise significance level of 0.017 (0.05/3). All data analysis was performed using the GLM procedure of the Statistical Analysis System (2).

RESULTS

Gross Evaluation

Control wounds at day one had a slightly more erythematous and puckered appearance than either scalpel or laser groups. At day five, scalpel treated wounds had the crispest looking wound margins. Erythema was more apparent at day five in the laser treated animals than it had been at day one. By the fourteenth day, scalpel-debrided crush wounds seemed to be healing in a normal fashion with margins tightly coapted and no erythema or exudate evident. The control group displayed erythematous zones associated with their wounds; wound margins were bunched up and puckered, and some necrotic tissue was apparent. The laser treated wounds displayed more acceptable juxtaposition of margins than controls; however, erythema and puckering were evident.

Histologic Evaluation

All treatment groups displayed an abundance of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNL's) at the first day (Fig.2). By day five the scalpel treated wounds displayed an increasing quantity of macrophages and far fewer PMNL's. Control wounds demonstrated ample numbers of PMNL's and some macrophages (Fig.3). There was an abundance of the acute inflammatory cells (PMNL's) still present in the CO₂ laser treated wound sites at five days (Fig.4). By day fourteen, there were macrophages still being observed in the scalpel group, with both fibroblasts and collagen in

greater abundance than at day five. Macrophages were more plentiful at day fourteen than at day five for the laser group; however, PMNL's continued to be numerous. Control wounds still displayed a high level of PMNL's; but more macrophages were observed than at day five. Typical of all the histologic slides of the laser treated group was the presence of numerous carbon inclusions (Figs.5&6).

Histomorphometric Evaluation

Zones of acute inflammatory cells that were measured for all treatment groups were tabulated (Table I). The mean area of inflammatory cells that were derived from 500 measurements per treatment at day one displayed no significant statistical difference between treatment groups (Table II). By day five, the mean area of inflammatory cells for the scalpel group was statistically different from either the control or laser treated groups. Similarly, the laser treated group was statistically different from either the control or scalpel groups. In a quantitative sense, the mean total zones of acute inflammatory cells for the scalpel treated group was less than the control, which was less than the laser treated groups. By day fourteen, the mean total inflammatory zones of the scalpel and laser treated groups were statistically equivalent. However, they were statistically different from the control group. Quantitatively, the scalpel and the control groups were virtually equivalent in terms of the mean total area of inflammatory cells. Both the control and scalpel treated groups had a significant diminution in the mean total area of inflammatory cells. The laser treated group, in contrast, displayed a marked increase in the mean

total area of inflammatory cells from day five to day fourteen. Furthermore, the area of inflammatory cells for the laser treated group at fourteen days greatly exceeded the histomorphometrically derived values for the scalpel or control groups (Table I).

DISCUSSION

Hayes (1971) reviewed the healing of cutaneous wounds. He categorized cutaneous healing into six phases, acknowledging that from a clinical perspective, phases often overlapped. The phases are inflammation, epithelialization, vascularization, contraction, collagen synthesis, and late remodeling of scars. It was the purpose of our study to evaluate the effects of two modes of wound therapy by quantitating elements characteristic of the first phase of cutaneous wound repair. Polymorphonuclear leukocytes predominate in the first few days following injury. Macrophages become abundant usually by the fifth day and predominate at the scene of injury while the reparative sequence is completed. Normal host defense mechanisms to infection from contaminating agents (i.e., bacteria, foreign bodies) are bimodal, and the cellular proliferation of phagocytic PMNL's is part of one of these modes. Because these phagocytic cells are relatively short-lived (half-life in the circulation is six hours), their presence at a wound site is indicative of a persistent challenge. The PMNL's were most abundant in the laser treated tissue. It was this tissue that also was most retarded in its healing response to injury. This observation concurs with that of others. Hunt and Dunphy (1979) have mentioned that experimental wounds

produced from a laser are about ten times more susceptible to infection than those produced by electrosurgery. Furthermore, Hunt and Dunphy (1979) maintain that the infection potentiating effect of the laser militates against its application for incisional surgical procedures.

Quantitation of the elements of wound repair associated with Hayes' first phase provided unequivocal evidence implicating the laser as an instrument responsible for delaying cutaneous repair. Carbonization of soft tissue produced inflammation inducing inclusions that were additional impediments to normal cutaneous regeneration A significant contribution provided by this study was the demonstration and use of a quantitative technique employing an Image Analysis System (IAS) to confirm histopathological observations. The benefits provided by such an objective assessment could provide a foundation for further quantitation of wound healing studies.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The use of CO_2 laser for debridement of cutaneous crush wounds significantly delays normal healing.

2. An IAS technique has been developed that provides a method for objectively quantitating tissue wound repair.

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals" as promulgated by the Committee on the Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care, of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council.

REQUESTS FOR REPRINTS TO:

LTC Jeffrey O. Hollinger, DC Division of Research Sciences U. S. Army Institute of Dental Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20307

TABLE I

COLO SYNYYXX - COLOS

S. C.

· . .

HISTOMORPHOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

DAY	TREATMENT	LONES OF INFLAMMATORY CELLS (MEAN, in mm ²)*	STANDARD DEVIATION
1	control	26,588	±1189
1	scalpel	27,530	±1231
1	laser	26,678	±1193
5	control	25,813	±1154
5	scalpel	23,305	±1042
5	laser	27,893	±1247
14	control	21,778	±974
14	scalpel	22,138	±990
14	laser	30,175	±1349

*Mean was Derived from a Total of 500 Measurements (N)

TABLE II

PAIRWISE COMPARISON MATRIX*

DAY	TREATMENT	COMPARISON		
		Control	<u>Scalpel</u>	Laser
1	Control	-	0.139	0.887
1	Scalpel		-	0.181
1	Laser			-
5	Control	-	0.0001	0.001
5	Scalpel		-	0.0001
5	Laser			-
14	Control	-	0.586	0.0001
14	Scalpel		-	0.0001
14	Laser		0.0001	-

*Testing Hypothesis That Means Are Equal

LEGENDS

Figure 1. Schematic of Image Analysis System and the Histologic Field.

Figure 2. Typical histological appearance of a wound at day one. Numerous PMNL's are present. (40X).

Figure 3. The histological appearance of the control wound site at day five still demonstrates numerous PMNL's and some macrophages (100X).

Figure 4. A CO_2 laser treated wound at day five had numerous PMNL's still present. (40X).

Figure 5. The histological sections of the CO_2 laser treated wound sites typically displayed both carbon inclusions and surface carbonization. (40X)

Figure 6. The histological sections of the CO_2 laser treated wound sites typically displayed both carbon inclusions and surface carbonization. (100X).









FIGURE 3.









1X