

AND THE EFFECTS OF GX, Gy, GZ FORCES ON CONE MESOPIC VISION

DAVID A. TIPTON

AD A1 39498

UTC FILE COPY

OCTOBER 1983

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited



NOTICES

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Please do not request copies of this report from Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory Additional copies may be purchased from:

> National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22161

Federal Government agencies and their contractors registered with Defense Technical Information Center should direct requests for comes of this report to:

> Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandría, Virginia 22314

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL

AFAMRL-TR-83-047

The voluntary informed consent of the subjects uses z this research was obtained as required by Air Force Regulation 159-3.

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANHER :

WILLIAN L. MELDE Special Assistant Biodynamics and Bioengineering Division Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory

REPORT DOCUMENT	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM				
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
AFAMRITR-83-047	AN-1129 115	18			
4. TITLE (and Subtrite)	AJLITION FI	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
THE EFFECTS OF Gx, Gy, AND Gz MESOPIC VISION	FORCES ON CONE	TECHNICAL REPORT			
AESOPIC VISION	,	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER			
7. AUTHOR(S)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)			
David A. Timpton					
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
AFAMRL, Biodynamics & Bioengin		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
AMD, AFSC, Wright-Fatterson Al	FB OH 45433	62202F, 7231-17-11			
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRE	ESS	12. REPORT DATE			
		October 1983			
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES			
4 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	il different from Controlling Office)	60 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)			
	-				
		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
6. DISTHIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	•			
	for public release, ion unlimited				
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract	t entered in Block 20, If different tro	n Report)			
		•			
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
FAMRL Monitor: R.E. Van Patt thesis submitted in partial laster of Science in Aerospace	fulfillment of the req Medicine.	255-5742 uirements for the degree of			
KEY WURDS (Continue on reverse side if nece	eseary and identify by block number)				
cceleration Tolerance	Night Vision				
olerances (Physiology)					
ision	a da anti-				
1510n ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece he effects of Gx, Gy, and Gz ; brachold voluce	acceleration forces on	cone-type mesopic vision			
are examined.	An experiment has be	en conducted ou the Dynamia I			
nvironment Simulator, a three tion environment in a simulat	-axis human centrifuge	, to reproduce an acceler-			
tion environment in a simulation vironments studied were level	ha of +1Gz, +1Cm +1Cm	situation. Acceleration			
2.009 in combination vich +16	Z. A Visual task was r	performed which decompletes			
0/50 visual acuity illumination	on threshold values.	The tor environment to			
		The Be chivillonment 18			

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (cont)

known to cause profound visual symptoms at relatively high levels.7 In this study, lower +Gz values were chosen to examine the more subtle_effects which occur in low luminance situations. The visual effects of the Gy environment have not been previously explored. The +Gx environment is not felt to have visual effects at low levels. "Physiological parameters recorded were PaO, by ear oximetry, heart rate, and visual acuity threshold values. / There were eleven male subjects, all members of the United States Air Force. Their ages ranged from 25 to 39 years (mean ± SD, 28.9 ± 4.1), weights from 140 to 200 pounds (174 ± 8.2 lbs.), and heights from 66.5 to 74 inches (70.8 ± 2.6 ins.). Dresults were zero means obtained by self pairing with +1Gz controls. Analysis was done by two tailed t-test. Results showed no significant shift if luminance threshold values at +1Gy or +1.4Gz; significant increase in luminance threshold at the .05 level for +1Gx; and significant increase in luminance threshold at the .01 level for +2.00z, +3.0Gz, and +2.0Gy in combination with +1G2. Recults will be discussed with respect to individual variation, daily variation, wearing of glasses, cardiovascular effects, effects of head movement, and pulmonary effects. .,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

			Page
ACKNO	DWLEDGMENT	•	ix
Chapt	:¢1.		
I.	OEJECTIVE	•	1
II.	INTRODUCTION	•	1
III.	BACK AOUND	•	2
	Vision	•	2
	Visual Acuity	•	7
	Night Vision and Dark Adaptation	•	11
	Acceleration and Vision	•	18
IV.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	•	21
	Experimental Plan	•	12
	Centrifuge	•	22
	Subjects	•	23
	Performance Task	•	24
	Acceleration Profile	•	24
	Monitoring Equipment	•	26
	Statistics \ldots	•	26
v.	RESULTS	•	29
VI.	DISCUSSION	•	30
	Individual Variation	•	30
	Daily Variation	•	33
	Glasses	•	33

1

į

1.1.1.1.1

Cardiovascular Effects						
Heart Rate						
Blood pressure						
Cardiovascular conditioning						
Retinal blood supply						
Head Movement						
Pulmonary Effects						
Arterial oxygen partial pressure						
Hyperventilation						
Luminance						
VII. CONCLUSIONS						
APPENDIXES						
A. GLOSSARY						
Bibliography						

<u>-</u> ا

Å

LIST OF FIGURES

-**-** -

1

Figur	e	Page
1.	Diagrammatic Section Through The Eye	3
2.	A Schematic Cross-Section of the Retina	5
3.	A) Snellen E	9
	B) Landolt C	9
4.	Typical Dark Adaptation Curve	14
5.	Acceleration Profile	28





and the second second

iii

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Fage
1.	Output Average Voltage and Corresponding Luminance and Contrast Levels	25
2.	Example Randomization Schedule - All G Values are +	27
3.	Summary of Zero Means	31
4.	Summary of Statistical Analysis	32



iv

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank all the members of the Acceleration Branch and the Integrated Visual Image Technology Section of the Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. Their aid was invaluable in completing this project.

I would especially like to thank Adolph Marko, John Frazier, Dave Ratino, Lee Task, and Bill Slusher who spent a lot of time making sure my project would go smoothly.

144

かいいうみん

I. OBJECTIVE

The objective of this investigation is to quantify changes from baseline of cone-type <u>mesopic vision</u>* threshold values in a simulated low cockpit illumination environment as a function of acceleration forces in a centrifuge.

II. INTRODUCTION

The advent of new offensive and defensive weapon systems is associated with challenging acceleration environments for the pilot. These new systems have accentuated the importance of night combat missions, with their associated aerial combat maneuvers, combined with the development of attitude independent acceleration along all aircraft axes.

The importance of the myriad aspects of night vision is rapidly becoming evident. Its many facets include night time target identification, ¹⁻¹² minimal cockpit illumination, ¹²⁻¹⁸ dark adaptation, ^{13,16,18-28} bright light recovery, ^{22,27,29,30} color cockpit lighting, ^{12-14,16-18,31,32} night vision enhancement systems ^{11,33-35} and night vision testing. ^{3,15,36-38} While these fields are being explored in the static environment, the documented effects in any axis of acceleration on night vision are virtually unknown. This paper will combine the aspect of mesopic vision threshold and linear sustained acceleration *Whenever a glossary word first appears in the text, it will be underlined.

along the Gx, Gy, and Gz axes.

The literature on <u>scotopic</u> and mesopic <u>vision</u> has been concentrated muinly on static studies. There has been little work on the effects of acceleration on these important visual parameters. Some work was done by White, $^{39-42}$ but this involved only one subject and can therefore not be considered statistically representative of a target population. There has been virtually no other work done in this field.

The effects of Gz acceleration on various physiological parameters have been studied. ${}^{36,43-58}$ <u>Photopic vision</u> has been one of the most extensively studied in the aeromedical literature, both because of the importance of vision in the acceleration environment and because it is presently the single most reliable method of determining Gz tolerance. 48

There has been some visual work in the Gx environment, 46,55,57 but to date, this work tends to indicate that man reaches his physiological endpoints before seriously affecting his photopic vision.

The Gy environment is a relatively new field of study. Previous technology was such that air crew members were unlikely to encounter such an environment, therefore, research in this area was felt to be nonproductive. However, with the advent of the Advanced Fighter Technology Integration F-16 (AFTI/F-16), sustained acceleration along the lateral axis is now possible. It becomes apparent that an examination of the affected physiological parameters is required.

III. BACKGROUND

VISION

The eye ^{21,23,59-67} is a lens system (See Figure 1); its primary purpose is to focus the incident light onto the retina. The retina con-





tains receptor cells (rods and cones) which when stimulated by light send signals to the brain. These signals are subsequently interpreted as vision.

The eye is organized in such a way that the signals are partially processed before they reach the brain. This is accomplished via auxiliary transmission cells (See Figure 2). The rods and cones synapse with bipolar cells which subsequently synapse with ganglion cells. The axons of the ganglion cells form the optic nerve, which carries the signals to the brain. Also within this system are two other cells, horizontal cells, which are responsible for horizontal processing between bipolar cells, and amacrine cells, which are responsible for horizontal processing between ganglion cells. This cross connection of cells is responsible for certain low level processing and helps the visual system interpret certain stimuli such as movement, low light level stimuli, spurious nerve impulses and low contrast visual stimuli.

The rods and cones are high energy dependent cells with primarily aerobic metabolism. As a result, they require a richly oxygenated blood supply. In fact, the retina has the highest oxygen demand and the lewest deprivation tolerance of any human structure. ⁶⁶ In order for the blood supply to meet the needs of these cells, and yet not be a colored filter through which the unprocessed light must pass, it is located behind the retina in the <u>choroid</u>.

The blood supply of the rods and cones is optimized by their placement immediately in front of the choroid. They are, therefore, the most posterior located cells in the retina. The unprocessed light stimulus must pass through the inner most layers of the retina, consisting of the bipolar, horizontal, ganglion and amacrine cells mentioned earlier,

SCHEMATIC STRUCTURE



PHOTORECEPTORS (RCDS & CONES)

PIGMENT

EPETHELIUM

HORIZONTAL CELLS

OUTER SYNAPTIC Layer

BIPOLAR CELLS

AMACRINE CELLS

INNER SYNAPTIC LAYER

GANGLION CELLS

OPTIC NERVE FIBRES

Figure 2. A SCHEMATIC CROSS-SECTION OF THE RETINA (FROM <u>MEDICAL</u> <u>OPTHALMOLOGY</u>, C. V. MOSBY COMPANY, PUBLISHERS) before it can be received by the rods and cones. The resultant scattering of light has a significant effect on visual acuity. As will be seen later, the eye partially circumvents this problem.

The rods and cones are different in their anatomic, biochemical, and physiological functions. ^{23,59,60,63,67} Their major similarity is that they both contain a visual pigment which consists of a chemical combination of vitamin A and an opsin. When a photom of the correct wavelength strikes this visual pigment, it breaks down into its two components. This chemical reaction releases a quantum amount of energy. If enough quanta of energy are released, i.e., if enough photons stimulate enough molecules of visual pigment, the nerve cell is stimulated, and a "light" signal is sent to the brain for interpretation. After this reaction occurs the vitamin A and the opsin are recombined, via an energy dependent process, into the original visual pigment. At this point, however, the systems diverge.

In the rods, the visual pigment is rhodopsin. The rhodopsin is sensitive to photons of wavelength between 400 and 640 nm. They are insensitive to any red light of greater than 640 nm. The cones have three different visual pigments, called chromopsins. These pigments are sensitive to light spectra with peaks in either the red, green, or blue region. When photons of the appropriate wavelength strike the chromopsin, the chemical reaction mentioned above occurs. A cone may have all three types of chromopsins, but one type tends to predominate in any single cone. The brain can thus interpret color as signals from a pattern of cones. 67

In the retina, the distribution of the rods and cones differ. In the periphery, the rods dominate. As one moves more centrally, the relative number of cones increases. In the macula, the visual center of the eye, there is virtually only cones. There are other anatomic differences as well. The cones are packed more densely and are smaller in diameter than the rods. There are even differences emong the cones, with the more central ones being smaller and more densely packed than the peripheral ones. As will be seen later, this aids in visual acuity. The other major anatomic difference is synaptic ratio with the bipolar cells. ⁶⁴ The centrally located cones synapse 1:1 with the bipolar cells, and are ultimately represented individually in the cortex. As one moves more peripherally, a larger number of primary receptor cells synapse with each bipolar cell. Finally, when one reaches the periphery, there may be as many as seven rods synapsing with each bipolar cell. This synaptic ratio plays an important part in both night vision and visual acuity.

VISUAL ACUITY

Visual acuity can be defined as the minimal separable distance between two targets that can be perceived, ²³ that is, the minimum resolvable threshold is the ability to perceive two adjacent and 3imultaneously observed objects as being separate. ⁶⁴

Measurement of visual acuity is based on work done by Snellen. ⁶⁸ His work is based on the emperical observation made by Robert Hooke in 1705 that in order for the normal eye to discern two stars, the stars must subtend a minimum angle of one <u>minute of arc</u>. ⁶⁹ The resultant 20/20 visual acuity was thus defined by Snellen as the acuity required to perceive two targets that were separated by one minute of arc. A visual acuity of 20/40 would be the acuity required to perceive two tar-

of the two targets, in minutes of arc, would be the reciprocal of the visual acuity. The actual definition of 20/n visual acuity, as given by Snellen, is the acuity at which two objects, which subtend an arc of one minute at n feet, can be perceived as separate at 20 feet. He telt that a distance of twenty feet was necessary to remove interfering factors such as accommodation.

Other methods of denoting visual acuity are Smellen fraction and Smellen-Sterling visual efficiency. The Smellen fraction is merely the visual acuity interpreted as a fraction, i.e., 20/20 visual acuity is a 1.0 Smellen fraction, 20/40 is 0.5, 20/200 is 0.1, and so forth. The Smellen-Sterling visual efficiency ⁶⁴ is defined as:

 $E = 0.836 (1/S - 1) \times 100$

where E = visual efficiency in percentile

and S = The Snellen fraction

For a visual acuity of 20/20, E = 100%, for 20/40, E = 83.6%, for 20/20, E = 19.9% and so forth.

The standard method of measuring visual acuity is with the Snellen E or the Landolt C. The Snellen E occupies a five by five matrix, where unity is the subtended arc to be measured. In the Snellen E, each line (the back and the three arms), subtends one unit of arc being measured, and the distance between the arms (i.e., each of the two empty spaces) subtends one unit of arc (See Figure 3A). The Landolt C also occupies a five by five matrix. It is an almost complete circle, the width of the stroke of the letter subtending one unit of arc. The opening, forming the C, also subtends one unit of arc (See Figure 3B).

The visual acuity peross the retina varies; it is a function of a number of different factors. The primary factor is the rod and cone



.

.

Figure 3. A) SNELLEN E. B) LANDOLT C

distribution. Cones have better visual acuity than rods. This is because they are smaller, more densely packed, and have a lower synaptic ratio with the bipolar cells (the cones in the center of the macula having a 1:1 synaptic ratio). These features allow small stimuli with minimal separated distances to activate two cones with an unstimulated cone between. Furthermore, the 1:1 synaptic ratio allows the brain to identify the specific area the stimulus activated. The best possible theoretical detail resolution, with the smallest, most densely packed cones in the center of the macula, is 30 <u>seconds of arc</u>, which corresponds to 20/10 visual acuity. The rods, being larger, less densely packed, and multiply represented on bipolar cells, have very poorly defined resolution capabilities. The best possible rod vision is 20/200, which corresponds to a 20% visual efficiency.

The other major retinal factor in visual acuity is the layer of secondary neuronal cells through which the light must pass before striking the rods and cones. This scatters the light somewhat, and significantly reduces the acuity. To partially eliminate this factor, there is an area at the center of the macula called the fovea where these secondary neurons fold back allowing this one small area (about 200 microns in diameter) to have a clear, unobstructed pathway of light. Only in the fovea is visual acuity of 20/20 or better possible. At 1° from the foves, maximum acuity is 20/30, 20/70-100 at 5°, and 20/100-160 at 10°.

There are four other major factors which affect visual acuity. 59-05,67 The first is the optics of the non-diseased eye. This would include refractive errors, abnormal eyeball shape, transmission properties of the eye, <u>optical aberrations</u>, pupillary diameter, and so forth. These various factors can combine to give a wide range of aculty capa-

bility to a population.

The second factor is disease or injury states of the eye. This could include neuronal disease (eg., optic atrophy), ocular muscle disease, corneal or lenticular opacifications, vitreous inclusion bodies, retinal detachments, abnormalities of blood supply (eg., arteriosclerotic disease), <u>retinopathies</u> (eg., diabetic retinopathy and hypertensive retinopathy), thrombi, abnormalities of rods or cones, and many others.

The third is environmental (endogenous and exogenous) factors, and would include hypoxia, carbon monoxide poisoning, metabolic poisons, and similar factors which could adversely affect the oxygen sensitive receptor cells in the eye.

The final aspect affecting visual acuity is physical factors related to the stimulus variable. These would include target size and context, illumination, light wavelength, <u>contrast</u>, exposure or viewing time, air clarity, distances to target, relative angular velocity of target ⁷⁰⁻⁷³ (with respect to viewer), and so forth. All these factors together combine to significantly affect visual acuity. All of these factors, of course, require intact cerebral visual functions. NIGHT VISION AND DARK ADAPTATION

Vision can be subdivided into three categories: photopic, mesopic and scotopic. $^{59-65,67}$ Photopic vision occurs in the approximate range 10^0 to 10^5 <u>millilamberts</u>, mesopic vision in the range 10^{-3} to 10^0 millilamberts, and scotopic vision in the range 10^{-6} to 10^{-3} millilamberts. Photopic vision consists of pure cone function, scotopic of pure rod function. Mesopic is the range of overlap in which both rods and cones are functioning. It is important to realize that in the mesopic range, there are two distinctly different processes going on, one a rod process,

the other a cone process. In other words, rods have a functioning range of 10^{-6} to 10^{0} millilemberts. Below 10^{-6} millilemberts, there is not enough ambient light to stimulate the receptors. Above 10^{0} millilemberts all of the rhodopsin is bleached out, resulting in a continual "on" signal from the rod. This continual "on" signal is subsequently ignored by the brain. ⁶⁷ (This is the phenomenon responsible for the fading out of a dim light fixed on the retina. This is why one must use scanning technique during scotopic conditions). The cone has a functioning range of 10^{-3} to 10^{5} millilemberts, these limits being determined similarly to the rod limits, with the major exception of no fade out phenomenon in the cones. The overlap of the two is what we conveniently call mesopic vision, but, in reality, it is two distinct functions.

There are a number of theoretical reasons for the greater light sensitivity of rods. First, the rhodopsin tends to be more easily broken down, and, thus, more easily stimulated than the chromopsins. Second, the rhodopsin is affected by a much wider wavelength band than the chromopsins, thus making stimuli likely to set off a much larger number of rods than cones. The third reason is related to the synaptic ratio of rods to bipolar cells. When light intensities are low, small numbers of chemical reactions might be going on in the rods and cones, but not enough to fire off the nerve. However, if the rods are slightly stimulated, the summation of several low level stimuli may be enough to excite a bipolar cell, thus sending a "light" signal to the brain.

It is clear that under extremely low luminance conditions (i.e., scotopic conditions) the importance of the rods dominates. There are, however, some major drawbacks with the rod system. These include lack of visual acuity (20/200 at best), lack of color vision ⁷⁴ and discrimi-

nation, lack of size and distance judgment, and ambiguity as to exact direction of stimulus (due to multiple rod synapses on each bipolar cell). As the light intensity increases, and the mesopic range is entered, the particular system utilized depends on task requirements (i.e., high acuity tasks, color discrimination tasks, etc., would involve the cone system; movement iracking tasks and light identification tasks would involve the rod system). The ability of the cones to respond to visual acuity tasks would be a function of the luminance level. In mesopic and photopic conditions, visual acuity increases in almost direct proportion to the logarithm of the luminance 23 ; however, under scotopic conditions, visual acuity is extremely insensitive and increases only slowly as luminance levels are taised from threshold. In scotopic conditions, the macula, which consists of only cones, becomes a functional blind spot.

Dark adaptation $^{13,16,18-28}$ is a process by which the eye adjusts from a high luminance setting to a low luminance setting. The exact mechanisms behind dark adaptation are unclear, but they are known to include physical, neuronal, and biochemical aspects such as pupillary dilitation, a resetting of neuron sensitivity, and a regeneration of visual pigment respectively. 20,23,64 The dark adaptation luminance threshold curve is a biphasic asymptotic curve (See Figure 4). The first phase of the curve is a rapid, but limited, cone dark adaptation limb. It requires 7-10 minutes, and approaches a limit in the mesopic range. The second limb of the curve is due to rod function which requires 30-45 minutes to effectively reach its limit which is in the scotopic range. As previously noted, the rods are relatively insensitive to red light. Approximately 20-30 minutes of the dark adaptation of the rods

13

いたのないななないのでのないないない





can be achieved by wearing red goggles, or being exposed to only red light. ^{12-14,16-18,31,32,59.60} These methods have been employed in pilot ready rooms to help eliminate some of the time necessary to achieve full dark adaptation in an emergency situation. The actual luminance limit of cone cr rod visual function is very variable in its time rates of adaptation, and in its absolute final threshold level among individuals and in the same individual at different times. There is, however, a greater variability in rod than in cone function at final threshold. Furthermore, tests have shown that mesopic and scotopic visual ability are not well correlated. That is, a person's inability to perform low luminance rod tasks (in the scotopic range) do not necessarily preclude his ability to perform low luminance cone tasks (in the mesopic range), and vice versa.

There are a number of conditions which affect night vision and dark adaptation. Some affect rods more, some cones more, and some both equally or indiscriminately. Some effects are deleterious, some beneficial. A number of these are outlined below (from reference 23 and/or 64 unless otherwise noted).

- A. Genetic factors there are familial, non-environmental aspects of night vision ability.
- B. Somatic Factors
 - A number of dioptric factors are associated with night vision ability. They include refractive powers, <u>accommodation</u>, pupillary diameter, <u>phorias</u>, and problems of eye fixation.
 - Age the major correlation is with lenticular opacification and loss of accommodation. There are also

the statistical associations of chronic disease states such as diabetes and hypertension and their associated retinopathies.

- 3. Variations in vitamin A metabolism
 - a. Vitamin A malnutrition inadequate intake,
 gastrointestinal losses
 - b. Vitamin A transport or storage deficiencies liver disease, protein malnutrition, tuberculosis
- Disease states retinitis pigmentosa, albinism, corneal opacities, lenticular opacities, optic atrophy, <u>macular degeneration</u>, arteriosclerotic retinopathy, diabetes retinopathy, hypertensive retinopathy
- 5. Pharmacology
 - a. mydriatics will enhance night vision.
 - b. caffeine enhances night vision.⁷⁵
 - c. amphetamines questionably enhance night vision(they are known to improve associated motor tasks),
 - d. miotic drugs inhibit night vision.
 - e. ethanol raises threshold levels.
 - f. phenothiazines and antimalarials can cause retinopathies and adversely affect night vision.
 - g. <u>psychotropic</u> drugs and tranquilizers inhibit night vision.
 - h. metabolic poisons drugs that cause CNS hypoxia, regional blood flow restrictions to the brain, or changes in the oxidative process have adverse effects on night vision threshold.

- C. Behavioral aspects motivation, experience, training, and stress all have variable effects on night vision.
- D. Environmental Factors
 - a. climate rain, fog, haze, etc., can affect night
 vision capabilities.
 - b. preadaptation exposure prolonged exposures to high luminance situations prolongs rates of adaptation and increases final adaptive threshold.
 - c. Oxygen levels night vision is probably the most hypoxia sensitive system in the body. ^{23,64,76-79} In the laboratory, rods have been shown to be significantly affected at alveolar oxygen tensions of seventy five millimeters of mercury. Cones are much less affected, requiring alveolar oxygen tensions of forty millimeter of mercury before deleterious effects could be demonstrated.
 - Altitude this is primarily a function of lowered
 alveolar oxygen tensions.
 - e. Carbon dioxide air levels as low as 1.5% have been shown to decrease night vision sensitivity.⁸⁰
 - f. carbon monoxide the deleterious effect is primarily due to displacement of oxygen.
 - g. cyanide poisons cellular respiration and inhibits night vision.
 - h. ozone decreases visual acuity and possibly affects
 night vision.
 - i. Hypotension reduces effective blood supply to eye

and decreases visual acuity and night vision.⁸¹ This overview of potential factors that affect night vision is by no means exhaustive, but it highlights many of the major factors. ACCELERATION AND VISION

There are a number of different types of acceleration, including impact type acceleration, deceleration, linear sustained acceleration, and <u>angular acceleration</u>. This paper will consider only linear sustained acceleration.

Linear sustained acceleration is the inertial reaction to a resultant unidirectional force with time duration above approximately 0.2 seconds. ⁵² It is important to differentiate t¹ inertial physiological acceleration, denoted G, from the true displacement acceleration, denoted g. The physiological acceleration represents the inertial reactive force and is in the opposite direction o^r the true displacement acceleration. The G forces can be divided into three planes, x, y, and z. The various directions and terminologies are summarized below. ⁵⁴

Direction of Resultant Inertial Force	Vernacular Discriptive	Standard Terminology
Head to foot	Eyeballs down	+Gz
Foot to head	Eyeballs up	-Gz
Chest to back	Eyeballs in	+Gx
Back to chest	Eyeballs out	-Gx
Right to left	Eyeballs left	+Gy
Left to right	Eyeballs right	-Gy
	Inertial Force Head to foot Foot to head Chest to back Back to chest Right to left	Inertial ForceDiscriptiveHead to footEyeballs downFoot to headEyeballs upChest to backEyeballs inBack to chestEyeballs outRight to leftEyeballs leftLeft to rightEyeballs right

The physiological effects of acceleration are myriad. 36,39-58,82Only the effects pertaining to vision will be examined. It is important to remember that G-tolerance levels depend upon direction, duration, and

magnitude of the G vector. ⁵⁵ Other important factors are rate of G onset and decay, endpoint of tolerance selected, environmental conditions present, anti-G mechanisms used, body positioning, and the motivation, conditioning, and training of the subjects. Furthermore, there is significant individual variation in G tolerance. The many works done on physiological effects of acceleration and on G-tolerance are often not standardized; this must be taken into account when interpreting G values and their application to specific situations.

The vast majority of work has been done on +Gz acceleration. The c'assic +Gz effects on acceleration are grey-out, the inability to see a light stimulus in the peripheral field of view, blackout, a complete loss of vision, and unconsciousness (not a visual symptom). These physiological symptoms are a function of blood pressure, pulse pressure, heart-brain distance, and intraocular pressure.

The normal intraocular tension is about twenty-one millimeters of mercury. The central retinal artery (CRA) pressure must be greater than this to supply blood to the eye. The CRA pressure is the difference between the systemic blood pressure and the hydrostatic pressure exerted by the heart-brain distance. The blood supplied to the CRA enters the fundus of the eye in the area of the optic disc and flows peripherally into ever decreasing areas of pressure. The blood flow can be effectively reduced by four methods: reducing blood pressure (hypotensive states), increasing intraocular pressure (eg., glaucome), increasing heart-brain distance (standing erect), or increasing the hydrostatic pressure on the column of blood (hypergravic states). As the relative pressure of the CRA is reduced, the flow pressure across the retira is reduced. Since the periphery of the eye has the lowest arterial pres-

sure, it is the first to be affected. When this occurs, grey-out begins. The subject, if the situation continues, will get a progressive, fairly concentric, narrowing of the visual field. Finally, if the relative pressure is reduced far enough, the subject experiences central visual loss, blackout.

The actual mechanism of visual loss is not lack of blood flow, per se, but the loss of oxygen and metabolites supplied by the blood. There is, therefore, a five to six second lag period between loss of blood supply and loss of visual ability. Also, the visual loss phenomenon is not a purely on and off situation, but one of gradually reduced sensitivity as the absolute blood flow is reduced. This is indicated by studies which show that lower G levels achieve grey-out and blackout when lower luminance levels are used; as the luminance levels are increased, higher G levels are necessary to cause grey-out and blackout. There is a point reached, however, when, upon total loss of blood flow, no light stimulus evokes a visual response. These luminance factors must be taken into account when values indicating levels of grey-out or blackout are used.

The visual effect of -Gz is primarily a phenomenon called "red out". Its basis is contraversial; it is apparently due either to blood engorgement of the anatomical structures of the eye, or to displacement of the lower lid over the cornea (this latter theory is presently favored). This causes the subject to perceive his surroundings through a progressively darker red hue until, finally, the whole visual field is obliterated by red out.

The visual effects of -Gx (transverse acceleration) are physical, related to corneal tearing and displacement of anatomic structures, such

20

as the lens. The primary symptomatic tolerance limits of the Gx environment are related to muscular inadequacy, which is reached before significant alteration of visual physiology occurs.

Exploration of the Gy environment is relatively new; only recently have technological advances in aerodynamic hardware made such a study rewarding in a practical sense. To date, no specific measurements of visual physiology have been undertaken in the Gy environment. In a related development, however, recent work has shown a significant reduction in arterial oxygen saturation, probably secondary to regional shifts in pulmonary ventilation and perfusion. ⁸³ This relative hypoxia could, theoretically, significantly affect the highly oxygen sensitive visual system. As the relative oxygen requirements of the visual system have been shown to be related to luminance levels, the scotopic and mesopic visual ranges may be the most significantly affected.

IV. MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL PLAN

The experiment was designed to explore any shift in visual threshold $\pm 20/50$ acuity in various linear sustained acceleration environments. Visual acuity of 20/50 simulates normal aircraft instrument lettering size. ⁸⁴ The 20/50 visual acuity requirement effectively reduces the task to a measurement of cone only function.

This would simulate a situation in which a pilot was relying on his instruments during night flight. In order to maintain maximum out of aircraft night vision capabilities and to risk minimum detection probability in a combat situation, the pilot will usually decrease the luminance levels on the dials or readouts to virtual minimum for readability. If a higher G environment is suddenly encountered, either via combat or evasive maneuvers, the pilot may lose sight of his instruments if there is a true shift in visual threshold levels.

The +Gz environment is known to cause profound visual symptoms at relatively high levels; in this study, lower Gz values (+3Gz maximum) were chosen to examine the more subtle effects which might occur with the low luminance levels used.

The -Gx environment causes relatively few visual effects, it was therefore felt that studies in this field would be relatively unproductive. A +1Gx static test is performed for control purposes.

The visual effects of the Gy environment are virtually u_{1k} hown. They are examined in this paper up to +2Gy.

In this paper, no studies are done in the -Gz or -Gy environment. The -Gz environment has no significant physiologic visual symptoms: it was felt that such studies would have been unproductive at this point. While some recent work indicates there are differences between the +Gy and the -Gy environment, 83 it was felt that they were similar enough to examine only one of these in this initial study. CENTRIFUGE

All G exposures were conducted on the Dynamic Environment Simulator (DES) located at the United States Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. The centrifuge has a radius of twenty feet. It is capable of motion in all three axes and is able to simulate the three G vectors independently or combined. The profiles are controlled and duplicated using a Scl 32/77 digital computer. The subjects were seated in the cab in an ACES-II Seat (Advanced Concept Ejection Seat) with a seat back angle of thirty degrees. Shoul-

der restraints are mounted on either side of the seat to provide lateral support and restrict lateral movement.

SUBJECTS

Eleven male human subjects were used. All are members of the United State Air Force and part of a volunteer group for centrifuge acceleration experiments. All are well adapted to the DES acceleration. The voluntary informed consent of the subjects used in this research was obtained in accordance with AFR 169-3 and Wright State University regulations concerning research involving human subjects. All of the subjects had passed a flight physical exam. Their ages ranged from 25 to 39 years (mean $\frac{1}{2}$ SD, 23.9 $\frac{1}{2}$ 4.1 years), and they weighed 140 to 200 pounds (174.6 $\frac{1}{2}$ 18.2 lb.). Their heights were 66.5 to 74 inches (70.8 $\frac{1}{2}$ 2.6 ins.). Three of them wore only glasses, none wore only contacts, and none wore glasses and contacts. Eight wore neither glasses nor contacts. All subjects had 20/20 vision, or vision corrected to 20/20. Each subject wore the standard USAF flight suit; the subjects did not wear anti-G suits.

Because of the potential affects of alrohol, tobacco, medications, and caffeine on night vision, subjects were asked to refrain from the use of these products for eight hours prior to the experimental run. As glucose loading has also been shown to have an affect on this parameter, light breakfasts of toast, danish, or cereal, and milk or juice was advised.

The subjects were partially pre-dark adapted with dark red goggles, which filtered out all wavelength less than 610 nm. During this time, they were suited up, appropriate monitoring equipment was fitted, and the subject was placed in the cab. After this, the lights in the cab and the DES room were turned off. This resulted in an ambient luminance of .01 millilamberts, which approximates normal night cockpit luminance. At this time, the subject removed his goggles and underwent an additional ten minutes of dark adaptation before experimental runs began. PERFORMANCE TASK

A lxl 1/8 inch black and white 510 line video monitor was mounted in the cab 44 inches from the subject. A Snellen E was projected in one of four attitudes (prongs up, prongs right, prongs down, prongs left) by an Apple II Plus computer. The Snellen E total size was 4.06 millimeters, which, at 44 inches, subtends an arc of 2.5 minutes in a 5x5 matrix and produces a 20/50 visual acuity measurement. The luminance of the letter ranged from 0.00037 to 0.154 millilamberts (produced oy a control voltage of 2.00 to 4.35 volts); it increased in 40 discrete steps over 36 seconds. The control voltage was continuously recorded and averaged every 1 second over the 36 second profile. This resulted in a reproducible average control voltage and a corresponding luminance (See Table 1). When the subject could identify the direction of the prongs of the E, he would make the appropriate movement on a force stick controller. The E direction was completely randomized within and between subjects.

ACCELERATION PROFILE

The subject first performed twelve static runs at +1Gz. In this group of tasks, the subject had the E direction pseudorandomized with three each in the four possible directions (the subject was told the E was completely randomized so he would not try to discern a pattern). The purpose of these runs was to eliminate a learning curve which might result during the actual runs. It was felt that the subject had already

TABLE 1

Output Average Voltage and Corresponding Luminance

4

and Contrast Levels

Second	Voltage	Luminance F	(millilamberts) Background	Contrast(%)	Log Luminance
1	2.00	.00037	.00037	0	-3.430
2	2.07	.00037	.00037	0	-3.430
3	2.13	.00037	.00037	0	-3.430
4	2.20	.00037	.00037	0	-3.430
	2.27	.00037	.00037	0	-3.430
5 _6	2.33	.00037	.00037	0	-3.430
7	2.42	.00037	.00037	0	-3.430
8	2.48	.C0046	.00037	11.0	-3.333
9	2.55	.00093	.00046	33.3	-3.032
10	2.62	.00121	.00046	44.4	-2.918
11	2.68	.00167	.00074	38.5	-2.777
12	2.75	.00232			-2.634
13	2.83	.00455			-2.342
14	2.90	.00827	.00084	81.6	-2.083
15	2.97	.0177			-1.753
16	3.03	.0344			-1.464
17	3.10	.0641	.00139	95.7	-1.193
18	3.17	.0994			-1.003
19	3.25	.125			-0.902
_20	<u>3.32</u>	.138	00167 _	97.6	
21	3.38	.140			-0.853
22	3.45	.144			-0.842
23	3.52	.145	.00167	97.7	-0.839
24	3.58	.146			-0.836
25	3.67	.146			-0.836
26	3.73	.147	.00177	97.6	. 0.833
27	3.80	.148			-0.831
28	3.87	.149			-0.828
29	3.93	.149	.00177	97.7	-0.828
30	4.00	.150			-0.825
31	4.08	.151		07.7	-0.820
32	4.15	.152	.00177	97.7	-0.817
33	4.22	.153			-0.815
34	4.28	.153	001.97	07 (-0.815
35	4.35	.154	.00186	97.6	-0.812

All responses were between control voltages 2.42 and 3.32. In this range, the log luminance was linearly correlated with voltage with slope = 3.163, y-intercept = -11.18 and correlation coefficient, r^2 = .9923. This is an ideal condition because in mesopic conditions, visual acuity increases in almost direct proportion to the logarithm of the luminance.(23)

learned any subtle differences in E direction by the end of these twelve static runs. Each run lasted 36 seconds with 5 seconds between runs.

The subject next performed the task during three static control runs at 1 Gx, 1 Gy, and 1 Gz (cab rotated 60° back, 90° left, and upright, respectively). The order of these runs was randomized. Following this, the subject was brought to +1.4Gz baseline and the performance task was again completed. Each run lasted 36 seconds with 90 seconds between runs. The 90 seconds was considered adequate to re-dark adapt.

The experimental r.ns consisted of nine profiles, three each at +2Gz, +3Gz, and +2Gy at +1Gz. The nine runs were completely randomized within and between subjects. Each run lasted 36 seconds with 90 seconds between runs. The 90 second re-dark adaptation period during the experimental runs was at basel be, 1.4 Gz. (See Table 2 for example of randomized plan of acceleration exposures and E attitudes).

The 36 second runs were preceded by 10 seconds of acceleration to the exposure level and followed by 10 seconds of deceleration to the 1.4 Gz baseline (See Figure 5). Each subject ran two days with at least one days rest between runs.

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

All subjects were instrumented with standard EKG leads and ear oximeter with head mount strap (Hewlett-Packard Model 47201A). Heart rate and oximeter read-out of PaO₂ were continuously recorded and averaged every 1 seconds over the 36 second profile. These values were printed at the end of every run by the Sel 32/77.

STATISTICS

For the training data, analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed separately on data obtained from the ear oximeter (PaO₂), heart rate

Subject A Day 1 Day 2			Subject B Day 1 Day 2				
G-profile	E direction	G-profile	E direction	G-profile	E direction	G-profile	E direction
1Gz	Up	1Gz	ΰp	1Gz	Left	1Gz	Down
lGz	Up	1Gz	Down	1Gz	Up	1Gz	Left
16z	Left	1Gz	Left	1Gz	Left	1Gz	Down
lGz	Up	1G <i>z</i>	Down	1Gz	Right	1Gz	Left
lGz	Pown	1Gz	Down	1Gz	Down	lGz	Kight
1Gz	Left	1G <i>z</i>	Left	1G z	Up	1Gz	Left
lGz	Right	1Gz	Left	lGz	Left	1Gz	Up
16z	Down	1G z	Right	lGz	Down	1Gz	Up
lGz	Right	1Gz	Right	1Gz	Down	lGz	Right
1G <i>z</i>	Right	1Gz	Right	1Gz	Up	1Gz	Right
lGz	Left	1Gz	Ŭр	1Gz	Right	1Cz	Down
1Gz	Down	1Gz	Up	1G2	Right	lGz	Up
1Gx	UP	1Gy	Right	1Gz	Left	1Gx	Right
1Gz	Up	1Gz	Ŭp	1Gy	Right	1Gv	Left
1G)	Up	lCx	Left	1Gx	Right	lGz	Right
1.407	Right	1.4Gz	Up	1.4Gz	Right	1.4Cz	Left
262	Down	3Gz	Right	3Gz	Up	3G z	Left
2Gv	Left	2Gz	Up	2Gy	Up	2Gy	Right
2Cy	Down	3Cz	Down	2Gz	Left	3G z	Down
3G2	Left	2Gy	Down	2Cz	Lp	3G z	Right
3G2	Right	2Gy	Up	2Gz	Down	2Gz	Right
205	Left	2Cy	Right	2Cy	Left	2Gy	Down
202	Up	2Cz	Down	ЗGz	Right	2Gv	Right
30z	Up	3G z	Left	3C z	Left	2Gz	Up
267	t'p	2Gz	Down	2Cy	Down	2Gz	Right

TABLE 2

ł

ţ

Example Randomization Schedule - All G Values are +


â

Figure 5. ACCELERATION PROFILE

28

;

(HR) response, and log luminance (LUM) task response. (Note: analysis was done versus log luminance because in the mesopic range visual acuity is directly proportional to the log of the luminance.)

The experimental data was analyzed by comparison of means with self paired two tailed t-test, with nine degrees of freedom, at a significance level of 0.05.

V. RESULTS

Each subject was exposed to seven different G combinations (+1Gz, +1Gy, +1Gx, +1.4Gz, +2.0Gz, +3.0Gz, and +2.0Gy at +1.0Gz), for each of two days. Analysis of the training data (at +1Gz) indicated there was a statistically significant difference between luminance response and E direction. This was due to properties of the CRT which slightly altered the shape of the E depending upon its attitude (prongs up, prongs right, prongs down, prongs left). To eliminate this effect, each individual's pre-exposure control value of the same E direction was used as his own zero point and the subsequent levels were either + or - from this point. This was done by taking all the +1Gz runs of the subject on any particular day (training and experimental). The subject's best results (i.e., his most trained state with the least extraneous influences) in each of the four E directions were taken as the coutrol values for that subject for that day. Zero means were the.. determined using the control matched for E direction.

Subject 1 did not perform training data; he therefore, did not have adequate control data for further zero mean analysis. He was eliminated from the study.

initial analysis revealed there was no statistically significant

difference between day 1 and day 2 between any of the parameters at any G level involved. Therefore, the two days of data were combined for further analysis. The next step revealed no difference between glass wearers and non-glass wearers, so these groups could be combined.

Analysis models included the following test hypothesis:

Ho: There is no difference in the parameter being examined (PaO₂, HR, or LUM) between the G-level being examined and the +1Gz control level.

The resultant zero means are summarized in Table 3, the statistical analysis of the experimental data is summarized in Table 4.

VI. DISCUSSION

The prime concern of this work is examination of the luminance changes, however, a number of other factors which may affect these parameters must first be examined. Some of these effects can be eliminated, effectively simplifying our resultant model.

A. INDIVIDUAL VARIATION

Mesopic and scotopic vision is frequently subject to large individual variation with respect to absolute threshold values. This experiment was no exception with the mesopic threshold for 20/50 visual acuity ranging from .00037 to .138 millilamberts. To eliminate this factor, zero means were used. Each subject was his own control each day, therefore, the individual variation was for the most part eliminated.

Furthermore, in a real fighter arcraft situation, the crew member can set the luminance levels on the dials and readouts to his own virtual minimum for readability. Thus, it is the shift in threshold, not the actual threshold, which one is concerned with.

TUDE 2	TA	BLE	3
--------	----	-----	---

Summary of Zero Me	summary.	0 E	Zero	Means
--------------------	----------	-----	------	-------

G-level	HR	Pa02	LUM
+1Gv	+0.915 SE = 1.124	+0.160 SE = 0.131	+0.145 (1.396) SE = 0.131
+]Cv	-1.595 SE = 1.857	+0.0885 SE = 0.157	+0.3195 (2.087) SE = C.131
+1.4Gz	+1.855 SE = 1.331	+0.301 SE = 0.121	+0.122 (1.324) SE = 0.072
+2.0Gz	+5.045 SE = 1.423	-0.5525 SE = 0.162	+0.300 (1.995) SE = 0.064
+3.0Gz	+18.59 SE = 4.677	-0.7795 SE = 0.198	+0.442 (2.767) SE = 0.071
+2.0Gy at +1:0Gz	+5.07 SE = 0.731	-1.4395 SE = 0.306	+0.3295 (2.136) SE = 0.063

HR = Heart rate (beats per minute)

 PaO_2 = Arterial oxygen partial pressure (millimeters of mercury) LUM = Log luminance (log millilamberts) - the number in parentheses

is the multiplicative factor in absolute luminance

SE = Standard error

4

١

140 A 140 7 -

	-		
G-level	IJR	Pa02	LUM
+1Gy	NS	NS	NS
+1Gx	MS	NS	p<.05 increased luminance at G
+1.2Gz	NS	p<.05 increased Pa0 ₂ at G	NS
+2.0Cz	p<.01 ircreased MR ar G	r<.01 decreased PaO ₂ at G	p<.01 increased luminance at G
+1.0R2	p:.01 increased HR at G	p<.01 decreased PaO ₂ at G	p<.01 increased luminance at G
+2.0Gy £t +1.0Gz	ח<.)1 increased HR at G	p<.01 decreased Pa0 ₂ at G	p<.01 increased luminance at G

TABLE 4

Summary of Statistical Analysis

NS = Not statistically significant

HR = Heart rate (beats par mipute)

Pa0_ = Arterial oxygen partial pressure (millimeters of mercury)

LUM = Log Austance (log millilamberts)

This experiment not only simulates this aspect of the real situation, it also effectively eliminates the individual vertation as a variable.

B. DAILY VARIATION

Not only is there a mesopic and scotopic variation between individuals, but there is also variation in the same individual on different days. This experiment demonstrated this expected night vision phenomenon. Again, this variable was eliminated by zero means and can be ignored. As described in the results section, statistical analysis of zero means between day 1 and 2 showed no significant difference at the .05 level.

C. GLASSES

The wearing of glasses could feasibly affect the experiment for three reasons. First, the addition of an additional lens system could reflect enough light to shift threshold values (typical glasses reflect 4-8% of the incident light). Second, the abnormal distortion of the eyeglasses during G could move the focus point far enough from the retina to grossly distors the image. Third, the pothology associated with the need to wear glasses could theoretically be affected by G exposure.

Statistical analysis of zero means of glass wearers versus nonglass wearers showed no significant difference at the .05 level. For the purpose of this experiment, analysic eliminates plasses as a variable. Whether the wearing of plasses actually affects absolute mesopic vision threshold values is an interesting quistion. However, to tell whether any differences noted were truly due to wearing glasses or merchy to individual variations would require much larger sample

33

And the second second

sizes.

D. CARDIOVASCULAR EFFECTS

1. Heart Rate

As would be expected from the literature, the static environments of +1Gy and +1Gx showed no significant changes in the heart rate. The challenging +2.0Gz, +3.0Gz and +2.0Gy at +1.0Gz all showed very significant changes in heart rate (p<.01). The +1.4Gz showed no significant change in the heart rate. This, I feel, is due to the conditioning and G experience of these subjects. A novice population would no doubt show a change at this G level.

The blood supply to the eye is probably the major determining factor of mesopic vision threshold. Heart rate, of course, is a major determinant of the absoluce amount of blood that reaches the eye. The significant rise in heart rate would tend, if anything, to partially overcome any shift in luminance threshold values. Shifts that do occur would be inspite of the changes in heart rate.

2. Blood pressure

This very important determinant of cerebral perfusion could unfortunately not be performed in this experiment. The time necessary for the available automatic blood pressure cuffs to give a reading was much longer than the time from initiation of an experimental run to a correct task response. Blood pressure readings, therefore, would not necessarily have been representative of the blood pressure at time of response.

If rapid, near instantaneous, non-invasive blood pressure monitoring devices were available, they would be a very valuable aid in future experiments of this type.

3. Cardiovascular conditioning

The subjects were all young, healthy, G-experienced males. They performed the appropriate M-1 or L-1 maneuver whenever necessary. They were trained in methods of increasing G-tolerance, and they all had been uneventfully exposed to considerably higher G levels. These factors would have tended to negate any real difference in luminance threshold shifts. Any results obtained, therefore, would be more powerful.

4. Retinal blood supply

Three of the major determinants of retinal blood supply have already been discussed, heart rate, blood pressure, and cardiovascular conditioning. A fourth factor, G level, is the experimental condition. Literature $^{36,43-58}$ amply supports decreased retinal blood supply with increasing +Gz, due, primarily, to blood shift to the lower extremities. The Gy environment is much less well understood, though blood shift is probably much less a factor. It would be interesting to examine individual eyes during +Gy and -Gy situations to determine if blood shift does indeed play a role.

A fifth major determinant of retinal blood supply would be cardiac output. It would be academically quite interesting to factor out the role of cardiac output in luminance threshold shifts; but, to date, non-invasive devices to measure this important parameter which could be readily adapted to use in the centrifuge have not been perfected adequately for use in this experiment.

A final major determinant to retinal blood supply is cerebral perfusion. The parameter which could most easily affect cerebral perfusion in this experiment is minute ventilation (specifically, hyperventilation) and the associated PaCO₂ level. This will be discussed under pulmonary effects.

E. HEAD MOVEMENT

Movement of the head caused by movement of the cab could feasibly distort the subjects ability to focus the E, and, thus, shift the luminance threshold value. This, however, is unlikely. First, the cab is very steady and the subjects report very little sensation of movement. Second, the study did demonstrate a luminance threshold shift at +lGx (a static condition with no head movement), while there was no shift at +l./Gz (a dynamic condition in which head movement was possible).

F. PULMONARY EFFECTS

1. Arterial oxygen partial pressure

There was no significant static charges in PaO_2 at +1Gy or +1Gx, as expected. Also as expected were statistically significant drops in PaO_2 during C runs at +2.0Gz, +3.0Gz and +2.0Gy at +1.0Gz. The changes in PaO_2 at +Gz are due, primarily, to accentuation of normal +1Gz ventilation-perfusion mismatch. The changes at Gy are less well explored, but have been consistently reported by Popplow ⁸³ and others. It is thought to be due to a lateral shift of pulmonary fluids and gasses forming a lateral ventilation-perfusion mismatch.

Less easy to explain is the statistically significant increase in PaO_2 at +1.4Gz. There are three possible explanations for this rise. The first is a real rise in PaO_2 . This is highly likely and easily explained by the alveolar oxygen equation:

 $P_A O_2 = F_1 O_2 (P_B - P_{H20}) - \frac{P_A CO_2}{R}$

 $P_A O_2$ = alveolar partial pressure of oxygen

 $F_{\tau}0_2$ = fractional content of oxygen (about 21%)

 P_B = barometric pressure (about 760 mm Hg) P_{H20} = partial pressure of water (47 mm Hg at 37⁰C) P_ACO_2 = alveolar partial pressure of carbon dioxide R = respiratory quotient (about 0.8)

Assuming F_1O_2 , P_B , P_{H2O} , and R are constant, P_AO_2 would be negative-'y proportional to P_ACO_2 , which is a function of ventilation. To raise the P_AO_2 from the normal 100 mm Hg to 102 mm Hg, one must drop the P_ACO_2 a mere 1.6 mm Hg. In this experiment, the PaO_2 was raised a mere 0.301 nm Hg. While it is true that the increased ventilation-perfusion mismatch which occurs at G would blunt this rise in PaO_2 caused by hyperventilation, perhaps at +1.4Gz it was not a great enough mismatch to totally overcome the effects.

A second explanation for the rise in $Pa0_2$ could be a false reading due to an idiosyncracy of the ear oximeter at G. While possible, this is unlikely as the accuracy of the ear oximeter under various conditions has been verified by Saunders, ⁸⁵ Cissik,⁸⁶ and others.

The third possible cause for a statistically significant rise in PaC_2 is a type I error, that is, erroneously rejecting a null hypothesis that is really true. Whenever one deals with statistics, this is a possibility which must be taken into account. The t value in this case was quite near the level of significance; this cause, therefore, cannot easily be ruled out.

The most important aspect of examination of $Pa0_2$ in this instance, however, is not statistical significance, but rather, physiological significance. By examination of Table 3, one clearly sees that the absolute shifts of $Pa0_2$ were quite small. Virtually never did the $Pa0_2$ fall below 90 mm Hg in this experiment. At these levels, one would be unlikely to see any real effects. Rods are not significantly affected until the PaO_2 drops into the seventies. Cones are even less sensitive, possibly not affected until the PaO_2 drops into the forties. Hypoxia, therefore, is an unlikely cause of significant luminance threshold shifts.

2. Hyperventilation

There are two aspects of hyperventilation which can affect luminance threshold values. The first is the increased $P_A O_2$ associated with a decreased $P_A CO_2$. This, however, is unlikely to cause any significant physiological impairment. The other aspect, as alluded to in the section on cardiovascular effects, is the cerebrovascular constriction, and resultant decrease in retinal blood supply, associated with a decreased $P_A CO_2$.

Measuring a person's P_ACO_2 , however, would not necessarily provide us with significant data. An individual's P_ACO_2 cannot necessarily be directly correlated to the relative decrease in retinal blood flow caused by cerebrovascular constriction. More useful information could potentially be obtained from retinal plethysmography. While this could not differentiate the effects of blood pressure, cardiac output, or cerebrovascular constriction, it could provide a correlate between retinal blood flow and mesopic luminance threshold shifts. Equipment compatable with this centrifuge experiment was not available to us at the time we were running. However, future experimentation could certainly benefit from its use.

G. LUMINANCE (See Tables 3 and 4)

There was no statistically significant change in mesopic luminance threshold at +1Gy or +1.4Gz. There was a statistically significant

increase in mesopic luminance threshold at +1Gx, +2.0Gz, +3.0Gz, and +2.0Gy at +1.0Gz; +1Gx at the .05 level, the others at the .01 level. These findings are both statistically and physiologically significant.

The changes at dynamic G are not due to individual variation, daily variation, glasses, or head movement, as previously explained. Heart rate and cardiovascular conditioning, if anything, would lower the threshold. The drop in arterial partial pressure of oxygen was physiologically insignificant. The significant factors are therefore most likely related to changes in retinal blood supply associated with lowered blood pressure, blood shifts to the lower extremities, reduction in cardiac output, and/or cerebrovascular constriction associated with hyperventilation.

In the +2.0Gz and +3.0Gz environment, the most likely phenomenon is blood shift to the lower extremities, though the other three can certainly not be ruled out. More work is necessary. Measuring luminance associations with blood pressure, fluid shifts, cardiac output, and retinal plethysmography would be necessary before this could be definitively worked out.

In the +2.0Gy environment, the situation is less clear. While the results leave little doubt of significance with p<.Cl, the cause cannot be determined without further work. Blood shift is probably not the answer here, though, as previously mentioned, testing of individual eyes could certainly be rewarding in the study of Gy physiology. It is more likely that reduced blood pressure, reduced cardiac output and/or cerebrovascular constriction is the cause of luminance threshold shifts.

The resultant significance in the +1Gx environment cannot easily be explained. Hypotension, blood shift, or reduced cardiac output are

unlikely in a static environment. While hyperventilation and resultant cerebrovascular constriction is possible, the resultant rise in PaO_2 one would expect to see is not evident. A more likely explanation is some human idiosyncracy in viewing the screen or responding to the task that was present in this G environment. Possibly a larger sample size would have eliminated this aspect of variation. Again, the t value was very close to the level of significance, and a type I error is possible.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

There seems to be a real and significant rise in mesopic luminance threshold at +Gz and +Gy. More work needs to be done to define the exact physiologic parameters associated with these changes. Possible fields of productive research would include blood pressure monitoring, measuring fluid shifts, measurement of cardiac output, retinal plethysmography, measurement of P_ACO_2 or $PaCO_2$ levels, and individual eye testing during +Gy and -Gy exposures.

The results of this work indicate that in a combat situation, the pilot could easily be exposed to a G load in which his mesopic luminance threshold undergoes a significant shift. If such low G levels, at the relatively high visual acuity level of 20/50, are so adversely affected, much higher G levels, or more stringent acuity requirements, would be expected to show an even greater shift. These factors must be taken into account when design engineers develop the lighting for aircraft. Automatic increases in luminance should be built in which adjust to the G load.

In addition to the work done in this experiment, and the potential future work suggested above, other areas of interest which should be

addressed include the effects of prolonged G load on luminance threshold values, threshold shifts during "recovery" from G-load, shifts associated with combined vector G loading, and the association between luminance threshold shifts and contrast sensitivity.

Clearly, much work remains before this field is fully explored and developed. The importance of this work, from a crew safety standpoint, accentuates the need for further study in this field.

APPEND1X A

Glossary

accomodation - The automatic adjustment of the eye for seeing at

different distances effected chiefly by changes in the convexity of the crystalline lens.

albinism - An inherited deficiency or absence of pigment in the skin, hair, and eyes due to tyrosene abnormality in production of melanin.

angular acceleration - The rate of change of direction of motion with respect to time.

candle - The primary measure of luminance. It equals one-sixtieth of the luminous intensity coming from one square centimeter of platinum at its freezing point.

choroid - The middle, vascular tunic of the eye lying between the retina and the sclera.

contrast - Comparison of the luminance of an object (Lo) with respect to the background luminance (L_B) where percent contrast equals $\frac{Lo - L_B}{Lo + L_B}$

deceleration - The rate of decrease of velocity with respect to time. impact acceleration - Linear acceleration or deceleration of duration

less than 1.0 seconds (time frame may vary depending on the source referred to).

macular degeneration - Atrophy of the macula of the retina. mesopic vision - The luminance range, approximately 10^{-3} to 10^{0} millilamberts, which involves both rod and cone function. rillilamberts - A measurement of luminance. It is equal to $\frac{1}{1000\pi}$ $\frac{\text{candles}}{\text{cm}^2}$. (see candle in glossary)

minute of arc - One-sixtieth of a degree, which, in turn, is one-three hundred and sixtieth of a circle.

miotics - Drugs which cause contraction of the pupil.

mydriatics - Drugs which cause dilitation of the rupil.

optical aberrations - Failure of rays from a point source of light to form a perfect image on the retina after traversing the optical system of the eve.

optic atrophy - Degeneration of the nerve fibers of the optic nerve, usually most evident at the optic disc.

phorias - The relative directions assumed by the eyes during binocular fixation of a given object in the absence of an adequate fusion stimulus.

photopic vision - The luminance range, approximately 10⁰ to 10⁵ millilamberts, which involves only cone function.

psychotropics - Drugs which affect the psyche, used in the treatment of mental illnesses.

retinitis pigmentosa - Progressive atrophy of the retinal neuroepithelium with pigmentary infiltration of the inner layers.

retinopathy - Noninflammatory degenerative disease of the retina.

second of arc - One-sixtieth of a minute of arc.

scotopic vision - The luminance range, approximately 10⁻⁶ to 10^{..3} millilamberts, which involves only rod function.

BIBLIUGRAPHY

- Arend, L.E., Jr., and R.V. Lange. 1980. Narrow-band spatial mechanisms in apparent contrast matching, Vision Research, Vol. 20, pp 143-147, Pergamon Press Ltd.
- Chisum, G.T. and P.E. Morway. 1979. Visual accomedation responses in a virtual image environment. NADG-79213-60. Naval Air Development Center. Warminster, Pennsylvania.
- Ginsburg, A.P. 1980. Proposed new vision standards for the 1980's and beyond: contrast sensitivity. Air Force Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Ginsburg, A.P. 1980. Specifying relevant spatial information for image evaluation display design: an explanation of how we see certain objects. Soc. Info. Display (SID). Vol. 21(3). pp 210-227.
- Ginsburg, A.P. 1981. Perceptual capabilities, ambiguities, and artifacts in man and machine. Soc. Photo-Opt. Instr. Engin. (SPIE) Vol. 283. 3-D Machine Perception. pp 78-82.
- Krenderink, J.J. and A.J. van Dorrn. 1980. Spatial summation for complex bar patterns. Vision Research. Vol. 20. pp 169-176. Pergamon Press. New York.
- Luria, S.M., J.S. Kinney and C.L. Schlichting. 1980. Effects of astigmatism on visual performance through periscopes at night. NSMRL keport 929, Naval Medical Research and Development Command, Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory, Groton, Connecticut.
- Mercier, A., G. Pardriel, and T.C.D. Whiteside. 1967. Problems of vision in low level flight. AGARD graph 107. North Atlantic Treaty Organization.
- Owens, D.A. 1980. A comparison of accomodative responsiveness and contrast densitivity for sinusoidal gratings. Vision Research. Vol. 20. pp 159-167. Pergamon Press. New York.
- JO. Patel, A.S. 1966. Spatial resolution by the human visual system. The effect of mean retinal illuminance. Journal of the Optical Society of America. Vol. 55. No. 5.
- 11. van Meeteren, A. and F.W. Zonneveld, 1971. Object recognition in aided and valided night vision. Report No. IZF 1971-7. National Defense Research Organization. The Notherlands.

ex sheet was

- 12. Wulfeck. J.W., et al. 1958. Vision in military aviation. WADC "ech. Report 58-399. Wright Patterson Air Force Ease, Chio.
- Carr, R.M. 1966. The effects of color-coding indicator on dark adaptation. NObsr-93138. Raytheon Company, Submarine Signal Division, Portsmouth, Rhode Island.
- Delgado, J.G. 1975. Vigual performance under monochromatic illumination and sectophe vision conditions. Army Material Command, Texathana, Texas.
- JJ. Gramar, C.B. 1966. Vision and Visual Perception. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
- 16. Poston, A. M. 1974. A literature review of cockpit lighting. Tech Mem 10-74. U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory. Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland.
- Reynolus H.N. and W.F. Grether. 1968. Effects of color of instrument lighting on absolute and acuity thresholds with exposure to a simulated instrument panel. Aerospace Medicine. 39(12):1304-1309.
- 18. Whiteside, T.C.D. and A. Mercier. 1969. The effects of red and white instrument lighting on the dark adaptation index. Flying Personnel Research committee. Ministry of Defense. England.
- 19. Blouin, G.K. 1981. Dark adaptation of rated air force officers using electroluminescent versus incandescent light sources, LSSR 56-81, School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Brown, J.L., C.H. Graham, H. Leibowitz, H.B. Ranken. 1952. Luminance thresholds for the resolution of visual detail during dark adaptation. WADC. Tech Report 52-12, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Brown, J.L. A. Kirschenstein, F. Shupp. 1960. Contributions of rods and cones to visual thresholds during dark adaptation, N-ONR-55139. Office of Naval Research, Washington, D.C.
- 22. Donaldcon, E. 1981 Preliminary Investigation of Variation in Some Dark Adaptation Acpets of Possible Relevance to Military Helicopter Aircrew. Master's Thesis. Wright State University. Dayton, Ohio.
- 23. Fisher, K.D., and C.J. Carr. 1970. A study of individual variability in dark adaptation and night vision in man. Tech Report Life Sciences Division. U.S. Army. Washington, D.C.
- 24. Granda, A.M., and W.R. Biersforf. 1966. The spectral sensitivity of the human electroretinogram during the temporal course of darkadaptation. Vision Res. Vol. 5. pp 507-516. Pergamon Press. New York.

- 25. Maffei, L., and R.E. Poppele. 1967. A neural component in dark adaptation. Arch. Ital. Biol. 105:201-208.
- Mertens, H.W. 1966. A homogenous field for light adaptation. Federal Aviation Administration. Civil Aeromedical Institute. Oklahoma City.
- Poppele, R.E., and J. Maffei. 1967. Retinal responses with different background light and psychophysical correlation. University of Pisa. Department of Physiology. Pisa, Italy.
- Shiply, T. 1966. Dark-adaptation and summed visual potentials. Clinical Electroretinography. Pergamon Press. New York. pp 263-273.
- 29. Chisum, G.T. 1974. The electrical response of the eye at verying intervals following an adapting flash exposure. Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, Pennsylvania.
- Chisum, G.T. 1976. The effect of pre-adapting spectral stimuli on visual response. Report NADC-76085-40. Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, Pennsylvania.
- Bauer, R.W. 1968. Night Flight Vision I. Research problems and methods, U.S. Army Tech. Mem. 12-68, U.S. Army Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland.
- 32. Bauer, R.W. 1968. Night Flight Vision II. Psychophysical comparisons of three colors of cockpit lighting. U.S. Army Tech Mem 13-68. U.S. Army Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland.
- 33. Johnson, J., E. Tipton, D. Newman, J. Wood, and G. Intanc. 1972. Visionics night vision goggle study. U.S. Army Electronics Command. Night Vision Laboratory. Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.
- 34. Johnson, R.M., A. Hyman, and P.A. Gade. 1980. Helicopter electrooptical system display requirements: The effects of CRT display size and luminance on dark adaptation of helicopter pilots. U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences. Alexandria, Virginia.
- 35. Schnitzler, A.D. 1971. Low light level performance of visual systems. Advanced research Projects Agency. Arlington, Virginia.
- 36. Duane, T.D. 1954. Observation on the fundus ocali during blackout. Arch. Ophtho?. 51, 343-355.
- 37. Omarzu, C.A. 1968. Some tests of night and day vision. Tech Report 7919-32-T. University of Michigan. Ann Arbor.
- 38. Shipley, T. 1967. Human electroretimography as a guage of visual performance. Bascon Palmer Eye Institute. University of Miami School of Medicine. Miami, Florida.

- 39. White, W.J. 1958. Acceleration and vision. WADG Tech Rept 38-333, USAF, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- 40. White, W.J. 1960. Variations in absolute visual threshold during acceleration stress. WADC Tech. Report 60-34. USAF, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- White, W.J. and N.B. Riley. 1956. The effect of positive acceleration (G) on the valation between illumination and dial reading.
 G. Finch and F. Cameron (Eds.) Symposium on Air Force Human Engineering. Personnel and Training Research. ARDC Tech Report 50-8. Air Research and Development Command. Baltimore, Maryland.
- White, W.J., and M.B. Riley, 1958. The effects of positive acceleration on the relation between illumination and instrument reading. WADC Tech Rept 58-332, USAF, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Chio.
- 43. Brown, J.L., and R.E. Burke. 1957. The effect of positive acceleracion on visual reaction time. Project NM17-01-12.1, U.S. Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville, Pennsylvania.
- 44. Cochran, L.B., P.W. Gard, M.E. Norsworthy. 1954. Variation in human C tolerance to positive acceleration. Report 001 059. U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine, Fansacola, Florida.
- 45. Gillespie, K.W. 1953. Physiology of Flight. AF Manual 160-30, Chapter 11. Reactions From Prolonged Acceleration. Department of the Air Force. Washington, D.C.
- 46. Grether, W.F. 1971. Acceleration and human performances. Aerospace Medicine. 43(11):1157-1161.
- Haines, R.F. 1973. Effects of prolonged bedrest and + Gz accelerarica upon peripheral visual response time. Aerospace Medicine. 44(4):425-432.
- Howard, P. 1965. The physiology of positive acceleration. J.A. Gillies (Ed.) <u>A Textbook of Aviation Physiology</u>. Pergamon Press. New York.
- 49. Lambert, E.H. 1945. The physiological basis of blackout as it occurs in aviators. Fed. Proc. 4:43.
- 50. Reddy, B.R.S., K. Rai, V.K. Gupla, A.K. Ali. 1981. Graduated dynamic end point system 'GRADEPS' for assessment of visual field contraction during + Gz acceleration. Aviation Medicine (J. Aero. Med Soc. India). Vol. 25. June. pp 7-10.
- 51. Rositanc, S.A. 1980. Objective measurement of human tolerance to + Gz acceleration stress. NASA Tech. Mem. 81166. Ames Research Center. Moffett Field, California.

- S2: Soth, F.M., W.G. Teichner, R.L. Craig. 1968. Acceleration. E.M. Soth (Ed.) Compendium of Numan Responses to the Acrospace Environment, NASA CR-1205.
- Sharp, G.R. 1978. Protection against long duration acceleration.
 Bhenin and J. Ernsting (Eds.) <u>Aviation Medicine</u>, Tri-Med Books Ltd., London.
- 54. Sharp, G.R. and J. Ernsting. 1978. The effects of long duration acceleration. G. Dhenin and J. Ernsting (Eds.) <u>Aviation Medicine</u>. Tri-Med Books Ltd., London.
- 55. Voge, V.M. 1980. Acceleration forces on the human subject. Aviat. Space Environ. Med. 51:970-980.
- 5b. Ward, B. 1968. Electroretinogram during 100 percent + Gz gradient acceleration in dogs. Aerospace Medicine. 39(5):468-474.
- 57. White, W.J. 1961. Visual performance under gravitational stress. O.H. Gauer and G.D. Zuidema (Eds.) <u>Gravitational Stress in</u> Aerospace <u>Medicine</u>. Little Brown. Boston.
- 58. Zarriello, J.J., M.E. Norsworthy and H.R. Bower. 1958. A study of early grayout threshold as an indicator of human tolerance to positive radial acceleratory force. Project NM 11-02-11. U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine. Pensacola, Florida.
- 59. Brennan, D.H. 1978. Vision in flight. G. Dhenin and J. Ernsting (Eds.) <u>Aviation Medicine</u>. Tri-Med Books Ltd., London.
- 60. Culver, J.F. Aerospace Ophthalmology. Hugh W. Randel (Ed.). <u>Aero-</u> space Medicine. Williams and Wilkins Co. Baltimore, Maryland.
- 61. Haines, R.F., J.M. Dawson, T. Galvan, and L.M. Reid. 1975. Response time to colored stimuli in the full visual field. NASA Tech Note D-7927. Ames Research Center. Moffett Field, California.
- 62. Katzenellenbogen, E.W. 1907. Die Zentrale und Periphere Sehscharfe des Hell-und Dunkeladeptierten Auges. Psychologische Studien. Verlag von Wilheld Engelmann. Vol 3. Leipzig. pp 272-293.
- 63. Newman, M. 1975. Visual acuity. R.A. Moses (Ed.) <u>Adler's Physiology</u> of the Eye - <u>Clinical Applications</u>, C.V. Mosby Company. St. Louis.
- 64. Schapero, Max. Amblyopia. Chilton Book Company, Radnor, Pennsylvania.
- 65. Selwyn, E.W.H. 1948. The photographic and visual resolving power of Lenses. The Photographic Journal. Vol. 88B. pp 6-12.
- 66. Ohlbaum, M.K. 1969. The effects of hypoxia on certain aspects of visual performance. American Journal of Optometry and Archives of American Academy of Optometry. Vol. 40. No. 4. April. pp 235-249.

- 67. Wertenbaker, L. (Ed.) 1981. The Eye: Window of the World. U.S. News Books, Washington, D.C.
- 68. Snellen, H. 1897. Un the methods of determining the acuity civision. <u>Diseases of the Eye</u>. Norris and Oliver Lippincott. Philadelphia.
- Marano, J.A. 1980. Historical perspective on visual acuity tests. Aviat. Space Fnviron. Med. 51st Annual Scientific Meeting (Preprint).
- Crawford, W.A. 1960. The perception of moving objects. Institute of Aviation Medicine. FPRC/Memo. 150. Royal Air Force, Farnborough, England.
- Goodson, J.E., and J.W. Miller. 1959. Dynamic visual acuity in an applied setting. Project NM 17 01 99. U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine. Pensacola, Florida.
- 72. Kozyr'kova, M.G. 1969. Study of the dynamic acuity of vision. Problemy Fiziologicheskoy Optiki, Vol 15. pp 138-141.
- 73. Ludvigh, E., and J.W. Miller. 1955. The effect on dynamic visual acuity of practice at one angular velocity on the subsequent performance at a second angular velocity. Report NM 001 110 501.09. U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine. Pensacola, Florida.
- 74. Weitzman, D.O. 1977. A survey of some human factor problems in night operations. Res. Mem. 77-4. U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- 75. Morrison, T.R., and G.M. Long. 1977. The effects of caffeine on human dark adaptation. Report NAMRL-1235. Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. Pensacola, Florida.
- 76. Ernsting, J., and G.R. Sharp. 1978. Hypoxia and hyperventilation.
 G. Dhenin and J. Ernsting (Eds.) <u>Aviation Medicine</u>. Tri-Med Books Ltd.
- 77. Kobrick, J.L. 1970. Effects of hypoxia and acetazolamide on color sensitivity zones in the visual field, J. Appl. Physiol. 28(6): 741-747.
- Miller, E.G. 1958. Effect of breathing 10ⁿ percent oxygen at atmospheric pressure upon the visual field and visual acuity. Project NM 12 Ol 11. U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine, Pensacola, Florida.
- 79. Smith, W.L. 1965. Recovery rates with descent from hypoxia-induced peripheral visual field Joss. AGAKD CP-2. Bureau of Medicine and Surgery. U.S. Department of the Navy. Washington, D.C.

- 80. Weitzman, D.O., J.S. Kinney and S.M. Luria. 1969. Effect on vision of repeated exposure to carbon dioxide. SMRL Report 566. Naval Medical Research and Development Command. Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory. Groton, Connecticut.
- Nicholson, A.N., W.D. MacNamara, and R.G. Burland. 1968. Responsiveness of the cortex and visual pathways during transient hypotension. Electroencep. Clin. Neurophysiol. 25:330-337.
- 82. Veghte, J.H. and T.H. Repasky. 1981. Physiological responses to lateral acceleration. Aviat. Space Environ. Med. 51st Annual Scientific Meeting. (Preprint).
- Popplow, J.R. 1982. Human Performance and Cardiopulmonary Effects of Combined Lateral and Vertical Acceleration. Master's Thesis. Wright State University. Dayton, Chio.
- 84. Grether, W.F. and C.A. Baker. 1972. Visual presentation of information. H.P. Van Cott and R.G. Kinkade (Eds.), <u>Human Engineering</u> <u>Guide to Equipment Design</u>. American Institutes for Research. Washington, D.C.
- 55. Saunders, N.A., A.C.P. Powles, A.S. Rebuck. 1976. Ear oximetry: Accuracy and practibility in the assessment of arterial oxygenation. Am. Rev. Resp. Dis. 113:745-749.
- 86. Cissik, J.H., C.C. Yockey, R.B. Byrd. 1981. Evaluation of the Hewlett-Packard ear oximeter for use during routine air transport of patients. Aviat. Space Environ. Med. 52:312-314.