



AFGL-TR-83-0226 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PAPERS, NO. 850



EDMUND A. MURPHY KATHRYN G. SCHARR JOSEPH P. NOONAN

22 August 1983

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



AERONOMY DIVISION PROJECT 6687
AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY

HANSCOM AFB, MASSACHUSETTS 01731

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND, USAF



84 02 15 031

FILE COPY

E

This report has been reviewed by the ESD Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication

a planes

ROCCO S. NARCISI Branch Chief

FOR THE COMMANDER

F.J. Stergis

C. G. STERGIS Division Director

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Centor. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

If your address has changed, or if you wish to be removed from the mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify AFGL/DAA, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
AFGL-TR-83-0226	J. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
TERRAIN VARIABLES IMPROVE MODELING OF RICHARDSON NUMBERS LESS THAN UNITY	Scientific. Interim.
IN THE LOWER ATMOSPHERE	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER ERP, No. 850
7. AUTHOR(*) Edmund A. Murphy Kathryn G. Scharr	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER()
Joseph P. Noonan [*]	
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LKD)	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Massachusetts 01731	66870509
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
AIF FORCE GEOPHYSICS Laboratory (LKD) Hanscom AFB	13. NUMBER OF PACES
Massachusetts 01731	14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	150. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, il different fr	l. om Report)
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in	l. Sm Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observent entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Bedford Research Associates, Bedford, MA 017	l. om Report) 30
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, il different fr 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ³ Bedford Research Associates, Bedford, MA 017 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Terrain effects Turbulence Richardson numbers Terrophe ne	1. om Report) 30
 ISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited IT. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Bedford Research Associates, Bedford, MA 017 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Terrain effects Turbulence Richardson numbers Troposphere 	l. om Report) 30
 Is. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited IT. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Bedford Research Associates, Bedford, MA 017 IV WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Terrain effects Turbulence Richardson numbers Troposphere Occurrences of turbulence based on a critical using data from nine rawinsonde stations for the years of the stations were selected since they could be divided Two of the stations in each group are located in the third in the Western Mountain Region. Results from the indicate station terrain 	Richardson number, obtained ars 1971-1975, are compare lower atmosphere. The nine into three groups by latitude. Midwestern Plains and the m these comparisons led to n type for inclusion in an
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES * Bedford Research Associate's, Bedford, MA 017 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number Terrain effects Turbulence Richardson numbers Troposphere * Occurrences of turbulence based on a critical using data from nine rawinsonde stations for the ye to show evidence of mountain induced effects in the stations were selected since they could be divided Two of the stations in each group are located in the hird in the Western Mountain Region. Results fro the icreation of a variable to indicate station terrain existing regression model. The improved model e	Richardson number, obtained ars 1971-1975, are compare lower atmosphere. The nine into three groups by latitude. Midwestern Plains and the m these comparisons led to n type for inclusion in an mploying stepwise multiple

And which and the second second second second second second second second second second

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered) 20. (Contd) 1 \mathbf{F} regression techniques uses terrain, location, and seasonal information. Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

A STATE A

and the mither with the part of the

1. 1. 1. X. P. W.

Sec. Berner

というという

ĺ



Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	5
2.	EVIDENCE OF MOUNTAIN INDUCED DISTURBANCES - A COMPARISON OF DATA SETS	6
3.	TERRAIN EFFECTS ANALYSIS - STATISTICAL APPROACH	7
	 3.1 Classification 3.2 Creation of Terrain Variables 3.3 Determination of Effects 	11 12 1 2
4.	CONCLUSIONS	14

Red Lever

Ì

a stand and a server

ないなななない

ないないの

Illustrations

1a.	Mean Seasonal Occurrences of Turbulence (based on Ri _c [≤] 1.0) for Two Plain Stations Near 48° N Latitude	8
1b.	Comparison of Mean Seasonal Occurrences of a Plains Station in Figure 1a With a Mountain Station Near 48° N Latitude	8
2a.	Mean Seasonal Occurrences of Turbulence (based on Ri _c < 1.0) for Two Plains Stations Near 38° N Latitude	9
2b.	Comparison of Mean Seasonal Occurrences of a Plains Station in Figure 2a With a Mountain Station Near 38° N Latitude	9
3a.	Mean Seasonal Occurrences of Turbulence (based on Ri [≤] 1.0) for a Plains Station (Flint, MI) and a Coastal Station (Chatham MA) Near 42° N Latitude	10
	(Changemany stars, trout an 14 factored	10

Illustrations

771

7.	(based on $Ri_c \le 1.0$) Against Model Predictions (Murphy et al ²) Without Terrain Variables and Improvements With Terrain Variables Added	13
		Tables

. . . .

1

and the second contract and the second se

ACCURA I NUMBER

H. R. N.

1.	Two-Way Analysis of Variance Results	11
2.	Station Categories	12

4

Terrain Variables Improve Modeling of Richardson Numbers Less Than Unity in the Lower Atmosphere

1. INTRODUCTION

An attempt is made to develop regression terms and quantify the effects of mountain induced disturbances in the lower atmosphere. This information will be used to improve an existing model for predicting the occurrences of turbulence in the lower atmosphere. Murphy and Scharr^1 and Murphy et al² contain further explanation of the technique for determining turbulence estimates and the present model for predicting occurrences.

The gradient Richardson number, used as the dependent variable in the regression analysis, is

$$\operatorname{Ri} = \frac{(g/T) [dT/dZ + \Gamma_d]}{(\partial V_x/\partial Z)^2 + (\partial V_y/\partial Z)^2}$$

where g is the acceleration of gravity, T is the absolute temperature, dT/dZ is the vertical temperature gradient, Γ_d is the dry adiabatic lapse rate (9.7°K/km) and the denominator is the square of the vertical shear of the horizontal wind.

(Received for publication 16 August 1983)

 Murphy, E.A., D'Agostino, R.B., and Noonan, J.P. (1982) Patterns in the Occurrences of Richardson Number Less Than Unity in the Lower Atmosphere, J. Appl. Meteorol. 21:321-333.

^{1.} Murphy, E.A., and Scharr, K.G. (1981) Modeling Turbulence in the Lower Atmosphere Using Richardson's Criterion, AFGL-TR-81-0349, AD A115244.

Rawinsonde measurements of wind and temperature as a function of altitude are used to determine Richardson numbers. The Richardson number values as a function of altitude are calculated at 1-km levels from 2 km to 30 km for each of two daily height profiles of wind and temperature. This provides from 100 to approximately 180 values of Ri for each altitude bin per season. The percent occurrence of Ri ≤ 1.0 is obtained by taking the ratio of the number of occurrences of Ri ≤ 1.0 to the total number of measurements per season. The likelihood of occurrence of turbulence is then based on the relative frequency of occurrence of a critical Richardson number (Ri_c = 1.0).

The present model for predicting turbulence has been established for the following ranges: lower range (2-7 km), middle range (8-13 km) and upper range (14-19 km). Model variables include location type (latitude, longitude, altitude), transformations of them $(lat^i, long^j, alt^k; i, j=0, 1, 2, ; k=0, 1, 2, 3)$, cross products terms $(lat^i \times long^j \times alt^k)$ and seasonal indicators. The dependent variable, an indicator of turbulence, is based on percent occurrences of the Richardson number less than unity. By simply using location and seasonal information we have been able to explain a substantial amount of the variation in the turbulence indicator (38% in the lower range, 61% in the middle range, and 42% in the upper range). This has been done for a sample 21 stations chosen representative of the continental United States. Also, another important result of that study is that yearly variations in the occurrences of Ri_c are found to be small (less than 1% of the total variation). Evidence of mountain induced disturbances are presented and improvements are made in the model through the use of terms to quantify terrain aspects.

2. EVIDENCE OF MOUNTAIN INDUCED DISTURBANCES – COMPARISON OF DATA SETS

A qualitative analysis performed on many of the data reporting stations (81 in the continental United States) revealed that the height profiles of percent occurrences of $Ri \leq 1.0$ for stations in the proximity of mountain ranges are markedly different from those stations in the plains areas of the United States. This was noted from visual observation of microfiche computer plots.

Three sets of data are used to describe mountain induced disturbances in the atmosphere. Each set consists of three stations at nearly the same latitude. In each set, two measuring stations are in the midwestern plains and one is in the western mountain region. The one exception to this is Chatham, Massachusetts, which is considered here a plains station. Only nine stations from the 81 stations in the continental United States are suitably located to provide this kind of comparison. In the first set, Figure 1, the three stations used for comparison are within

approximately 2 degrees of latitude. The two plains stations, Sault St. Marie, Michigan and International Falls, Minnesota are plotted together in Figure 1a. The degree of closeness of fit for the two profiles of percent occurrence of $Ri \le 1$ are evident. This is true for all four seasons. Note that the profiles for each season are for the five year averages (1971-1975). Each of the years compared separately are also close. This is true since, as was previously stated, the yearly contribution to variation in percent occurrence of turbulence (using Ri_c as an indicator) was found by regression to be less than one percent. The higher percent occurrences for the mountain site, Great Falls, Montana, shown in Figure 1b, continues up to approximately 15 km. In fact, percent occurrences are consistently higher in all of the five years from 1971-1975.

The two plains stations of the second set, Topeka, Kansas and Dayton, Ohio are plotted together in Figure 2a. The mountain station for this set is Denver, ('olorado, which is plotted for comparison along with Topeka, Kansas, in Figure 2b. Here again there is fairly good agreement between the two plains stations, whereas there are differences as high as 25% between the plains and mountain stations. These differences are found as high as 8 km. The three stations in this set are located within a degree of latitude.

In the third set, the three stations are separated by just over one degree in latitude. The plains stations, Chatham, Massachusetts, and Flint, Michigan, are plotted together in Figure 3a and are remarkably close. In comparison, the percent occurrences of the mountain station, North Platte, Nebraska (Figure 3b) are seen to be markedly higher than the plains station, Flint, Michigan. This is true up to a height of 5 km.

3. TERRAIN EFFECTS ANALYSIS – STATISTICAL APPROACH

Appropriate statistical techniques were employed to determine significance and to develop the improved model. Using the 21 stations that determined the original model, the following points are considered in an effort to quantify the terrain effects:

- (a) Classification of terrain features,
- (b) Creation of terrain type variables,
- (c) Determination of effects.



N1X*

Figure 1a. Mean Seasonal Occurrences of Turbulence (based on $Ri_C \leq 1.0$) for Two Plain Stations Near 48° N Latitude



Figure 1b. Comparison of Mean Seasonal Occurrences of a Plains Station in Figure 1a With a Mountain Station Near 48°N Latitude

ることの

200



Figure 2a. Mean Seasonal Occurrences of Turbulence (based on $Ri_c \le 1.0$) for Two Plains Stations Near 38° N Latitude



Figure 2b. Comparison of Mean Seasonal Occurrences of a Plains Station in Figure 2a With a Mountain Station Near 38° N Latitude

9

 \sim



Figure 3a. Mean Seasonal Occurrences of Turbulence (based on $Ri_c \le 1.0$) for a Plains Station (Flint, Ml) and a Coastal Station (Chatham, MA) Near 42° N Latitude



Figure 3b. Comparison of Mean Seasonal Occurrences of the Plains Station in Figure 3a With a Mountain Station Near 42° N Latitude

3.1 Classification

It is apparent from a topographic map of the United States that there are three types of general terrain. The coastal sealevel areas, the central plains section and the mountain regions. Classification of a station terrain type was determined by examining the topography within a radius of 160 km of the station location. To determine if terrain effects were significant, a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed for each altitude bin.³ The range of the mean differences in turbulence within each altitude bin for the different terrain groups was of interest. This statistical test examines mean differences between groups using the test statistic F. Results of the two-way ANOVA on the 2-7 km and 8-13 km bins are presented (Table 1). Examination of the F-statistic reveals that there are overall significant differences (p << 0.001) between the defined terrain groups (Table 2).

2-7 km	df	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall
Terrain	2,108	10.91 [*] (7.41) ^{**}	4.99 (7.41)	28.28 (7.41)	24.54 (7.41)
Alt. Level	5,108	4.78 (4.48)	9.87 (4.48)	5.50 (4.48)	4.77 (4.48)
8-13 km					
Terrain	2,108	30.42 (7.41)	36.78 (7.41)	6.72 (7.41)	15.38 (7.41)
Alt. Level	5,108	28.17 (4.48)	28.17 (4.48)	28.27 (4.48)	58.38 (4.48)

Table 1. Two-Way Analysis of Variance Results

Two-way analysis of variance is used to test the hypothesis of the existence of mean differences between groups. A significant difference between groups, at the α level, is denoted by $F_{calculated} > F_{critical}^{[\alpha]}$. Examination of this table reveals that there are significant differences between the three categories for both the 2-7 and 8-13 km bins.

*Calculated F-value (** Critical F-value for p = 0.001).

 Draper, N. R., and Smith, H. (1981) <u>Applied Regression Analysis</u>, 709 pp., John Wiley, New York.

Table 2. Station Categories

	Sealevel	Plains	Mountain
	Brownsville, TX	Dayton, OH	Denver, CO
	Chatham, MA	Flint, MI	Great Falls, MN
	Miami, FL	Glasgow, MT	Medford, OR
	Portland, ME	Green Bay, WI	Salem, OR
	Washington, D.C.	Greensboro, NC	Spokane, WA
	Waycross, GA	International Falls, MN	Winslow, AZ
i		North Platte, NE	
		Sault Ste. Marie, MI	
		Topeka, KS	

3.2 Creation of Terrain Variables

2000000 20000000 [

In order to include the effects of terrain in the model, two variables were created to indicate the type of terrain:

Sealevel	1 if station is in sealevel category
	0 otherwise
	1 if station is in mountain category
Mountain	0 otherwise

A plains station would then be indicated by sealevel = 0 and mountain = 0. A multiple stepwise least squares regression procedure was employed to determine the significance of terrain differences on turbulence. The set of independent variables which are candidates for entry into the turbulence model include the previous terms from the existing model plus sealevel and mountain terms. Based on the ANOVA results, the regions 2-7 km and 8-13 km were selected for modeling.

3.3 Determination of Effects

In the 2-7 km range, the multiple correlation coefficient squared (R^2) increased by nearly 10% over the model without terrain indicators. Thus a significant improvement in the turbulence model is represented.

There was a negligible contribution to turbulence explained in the 8-13 km model using the terrain indicator variables. This was true even though the ANOVA test in that range determined significant differences between terrain groups. The explanation for this is that turbulence variations due to differing terrain types occur at varying altitudes in the 8-13 km range thus accounting for the ANOVA results. Because there is no sustained effect at any given altitude, the terrain variables do not add significant information to explain turbulence in the 8-13 km bins. Occurrences of turbulence estimates, based on a critical Richardson number of 1.0, are shown in comparison to model predicted values in Figure 4. These results from three representative stations, two in the mountain and one in the plains region, clearly demonstrate the improvements in the model with the added terrain terms.

145 M 100

Werner Marson

1. 8. 48. 30



X- ACTUAL $\bigcirc-$ PREDICTED WITH TERRAIN VARIABLE $\bigtriangleup-$ PREDICTED WITHOUT TERRAIN VARIABLE

Figure 4. Comparison of Actual Mean Seasonal Occurrences of Turbulence (based on $\operatorname{Ri}_{c} \leq 1.0$) Against Model Predictions (Murphy et al²) Without Terrain Variables and Improvements With Terrain Variables Added

4. CONCLUSIONS

ないたちという

212 VOUDDUC

a. An independent variable quantifying terrain characteristics in the general region of station location improves turbulence estimates in the 2-7 km altitude range. The accuracy of the estimates are increased by 10% over the model without terrain indicators.

b. Turbulence estimates above 8 km altitude are not significantly improved by knowing the type of terrain.

c. There is evidence to suggest that a more localized effect is present. The average heights of the mountains within a radius of approximately 160 km of a station appears, from a qualitative inspection, to be related to the degree of activity in the 2-7 km altitude region. This, however, is difficult to quantify and further efforts will be applied in this direction.

