



. MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

.

AD A 137257

ADF 300 370

### MEMORANDUM REPORT ARBRL-MR-03327

### DYNAMIC COMPACTION OF CERAMIC MATERIALS

Gerald L. Moss Ralph F. Benck Paul H. Netherwood, Jr. John'R. Stratton



December 1983



FILE COPY

E

US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

### 84 01 13 131

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indersement of any commercial product.

CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dave )				
	Sntered)			
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	BEPC	RE COMPLETING	FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIEN	T'S CATALOG NUME	ER
MEMORANDUM REPORT ARBRL-MR-03327	AD-A137257		·	
TITLE (and Subtilio)		5. TYPE OF	REPORT & PERIOD	COVERED
DYNAMIC COMPACTION OF CERAMIC MATER	IALS	Memoran	dum Report	
		S. PERFORM	- Sep 82	
AUTHOR()		B. CONTRAC	T OR GRANT NUMBE	
Gerald L. Moss, Ralph F. Benck,				
Paul H. Netherwood, Jr., John R. S	Stratton			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRA	MELEMENT, PROJEC	T. TASK
US Army Ballistic Research Laborato	ry, ARDC	Proi. F	WORK UNIT NUMBER	<b>δ</b> 1 Δ
ATTN: DRSMC-BLT(A)		DA Proi	. No. 1L16110	1A91A
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		AMCMS C	ode 61110191A	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US ATTLY AMCCOM. ARDC.		12. REPORT	DATE	
Ballistic Research Laboratory. ATTN	: DRSMC-BLA-S(A)	December	C 1983	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005			25	
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURIT	Y CLASS. (of this rep	ort)
		linei	accified	
		15e, DECLA	SSIFICATION/DOWNG	RADING
		SCHED	JLE	
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)				
Approved for public release; dist;	ribution unlimite	ed.	Accession Fo	<u>г</u>
			NTIS GRANT	
			DTIC TAB	
			Unannounced	Ē
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered i	n Block 20, if different fro	n Report)	Justificatio	
			P	
			Distribution	1
			Aun17ak4744	/ <u>.</u> <u>.</u> W Dada-
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				vid for
	1		Dist   Speci	.al
		01, 3 W.		
		· · o /	1 1 1	
			Δι	
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	d identify by block number)		A ·	
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aldo if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction. Pow	d identify by block number) vder Consolidatio	on, Deton	A . ation Velocity	
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow	d identify by block number) wder Consolidatio	on, Deton	A . ation Velocity	Y
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow	d identify by block number) wder Consolidatio	on, Deton	A '	ý
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pov	d Identify by block number) wder Consolidatio	on, Deton	A ' ation Velocity	<i>y</i>
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow	d identify by block number) vder Consolidatio	on, Deton	ation Velocit	y 
<ul> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow</li> <li>AUSTRACT (Continue on reverse othe Wineseesary and Programs relative to the exploring</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) vder Consolidatio	on, Deton	A I ation Velocity	y 10
<ul> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow</li> <li>ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse odd N necessary and Progress relative to the explosive materials is described. The compared</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) wder Consolidation identify by block number) e compaction of h action technique	bet X	A I ation Velocity 5050 lic and ceram	ic
<ul> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow</li> <li>ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse of # necessary and Progress relative to the explosive materials is described. The compacing and initial computations of compacing</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) wder Consolidation identify by block number) e compaction of h action technique ction with a two-	bet X bet x not metal , explosi dimensio	ation Velocity 5050 lic and ceram ve characteri nal Lagrangian	y ic zation
<ul> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow</li> <li>AUSTRACT (Continue on reverse adds # necessary and Progress relative to the explosive materials is described. The compact and initial computations of compact finite-difference computer code TI</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) wder Consolidation (identify by block number) e compaction of h action technique ction with a two- ROTT are described	bet X bet X not metal , explosi -dimensio ed. Comp	ation Velocity 5050 lic and ceram ve characterin nal Lagrangian uted results	ic zation n are
<ul> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow</li> <li>AUSTRACT (Continue on reverse side N messessary and Progress relative to the explosive materials is described. The compact and initial computations of compact finite-difference computer code TI shown to indicate how sample, continue.</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) wder Consolidation (identify by block number) e compaction of h action technique ction with a two- ROTT are described tainer, explosive	bet X bet X not metal , explosi -dimensio ed. Comp e and unl	ation Velocity 6050 lic and ceram ve characteri nal Lagrangian uted results oading waves	ic zation n are influ-
<ul> <li>REY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse oth # necessary and Progress relative to the explosive materials is described. The compact and initial computations of compact finite-difference computer code TI shown to indicate how sample, cont ence the compaction process.</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) wder Consolidation (dentify by block number) e compaction of h action technique ction with a two- ROTT are described tainer, explosive	bet X bet X not metal , explosi dimensio ed. Comp e and unl	A ation Velocity 6050 lic and ceram ve characterin nal Lagrangian uted results oading waves	ic zation n are influ-
<ul> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Pow</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side # necessary and Progress relative to the explosive materials is described. The compact and initial computations of compact finite-difference computer code TI shown to indicate how sample, contence the compaction process.</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) wder Consolidation (identify by block number) e compaction of h action technique ction with a two- ROTT are described tainer, explosive	bet X bet X not metal , explosi dimensio ed. Comp e and unl	ation Velocity 6050 lic and ceram ve characterit nal Lagrangian uted results oading waves	ic zation n are influ-
<ul> <li>REY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Explosives, Powder Compaction, Power</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse oth # necessary and Progress relative to the explosive materials is described. The compact and initial computations of compact finite-difference computer code TI shown to indicate how sample, cont ence the compaction process.</li> <li>IV 1000 1473 Eprnom of 1 Nov 65 is ceeded.</li> </ul>	d identify by block number) wder Consolidation (identify by block number) e compaction of h action technique ction with a two- ROTT are described tainer, explosive RTE	bet X bet X not metal , explosi dimensio ed. Comp e and unl	A ation Velocity 6050 lic and ceram ve characterin nal Lagrangian uted results oading waves	ic zation n are influ-

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

																									Page
	LIST OF ILLUS	STRATIONS	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
I.	INTRODUCTION		•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
II.	PROCEDURE		•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
111.	RESULTS			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
IV.	CONCLUSIONS.	• • • •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
	REFERENCES .		•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	21
	DISTRIBUTION	LIST		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	23

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

FIG.	NO.		Page
1		Schematic of experimental technique used to determine explosive detonation rates	. 13
2		Barrier to protect equipment from explosive blast	. 14
3		Gage resistance versus time for the determination of the detonation rate of amatol (1.27 cm thick, 0.94 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	. 15
4		A comparison of detonation rate measurements for amatol and DETASHEET	. 16
5		Computed compaction of a plate of porous copper $(1.90 \text{ cm thick}, 2.6 \text{ g/cm}^3)$ between plates of amatol $(3.99 \text{ cm thick})$ detonated at the left. The porous copper was bounded on both sides by plates of solid copper $(0.635 \text{ cm thick})$ , and this was separated from the explosive with an insulator (HASH 60, 0.635 cm thick).	. 17
6		Computed compaction of porous aluminum to illustrate the effect of a container on the stress histories and compaction of the powder.	
		Vertical lines indicate boundaries between materials. The Al powder is in the center in both figures. Fig. 6a shows the powder compressed directly between plates of PETN. Explosive products were not allowed to penetrate the powder. Fig. 6b shows the compression when there is a Mo layer between the PETN and the powder.	
		The graphs show stress distributions at one time after the start of detonation (Fig. 6a $17.6 \mu \sec$ ; Fig. 6b $18.3 \mu \sec$ ). Initiation was along a plane at the bottom, and detonation sweeps from the bottom to the top.	
		Dotted horizontal lines indicate vertical locations where pressures (solid lines) are plotted as a function of hori- zontal distance through the explosively loaded systems. Each horizontal line locates zero on the vertical pressure scale for the vertical location it (dotted horizontal line) also identifies	. 18
7		Computed compaction of porous Cu (29 percent of theoretical density) illustrating less than complete compaction. This is indicated by the low pressures (unresolvable in the figure) attained in the powdered Cu. The Cu is bounded on both sides with plates of solid Cu (0.635 cm thick), and these were loaded symmetrically with amatol (3.99 cm thick) explosions along the other sides of the solid Cu. The amatol was initiated from the bottom end of the system as shown above. The dotted and solid lines have the same meaning as described under Fig. 6	. 19

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT'D)

### FIG. NO.

Page

. · · · ·

### I. INTRODUCTION

There appear to be numerous worthwhile reasons to advance dynamic loading techniques for the processing and compaction of materials. For example, there is the potential for (1) reducing the cost of sintered and, especially, hotpressed materials, (2) preparing void-free high-melting-point materials, (3) consolidating pure materials that must be alloyed for practical sintering, and (4) retaining special properties, phases, defects or degrees of crystallinity during powder compaction. Dynamic compaction can be accomplished by a variety of techniques, but the objectives of this program are to accomplish the following:

A. Explosively compact hot metallic and ceramic powders.

B. Explosively enhance the sinterability of ceramic powders.

C. Investigate material properties only attainable with dynamic compaction.

In the following, progress will be described relative to the first objective. The second objective is being pursued for us by Prof. Palmour, and the third objective will be pursued as the explosively processed and compacted materials are obtained.

### II. PROCEDURE

Dynamic loading with line initiated explosives is being used in an attempt to form planar compacts. In this configuration, the detonation wave propagates from one end of the sample to the other, and the problems are to attain uniformly compacted flat samples and, then, to recover them intact.

A wide range of loading conditions is possible with the different types of explosives available. Depending on the explosive, the detonation characteristics can be a function of the thickness, density and confinement used. Several explosives have been obtained for experimental versatility. These are listed in Table I along with the Chapman-Jouguet (CJ) pressures and detonation rates for select thicknesses and densities.

Explosive characterizations were requested from the manufacturers, but only limited information was obtained. Detonation velocities were furnished for the AMATOL (CIL), ammonium nitrate-sodium nitrate mixture (IRECO) and the ammonium nitrate-waxed PETN mixture (Trojan), although the Trojan SWP-9 mixture has not been received. The detonation velocities for the remaining explosives

in Table I were obtained from published reports.<sup>1-5</sup> Nothing about the detonation pressures was obtainable from the manufacturers, and our only alternative was to estimate them from the detonation velocities for our pretest considerations. These estimates were made with an equation that gives the CJ pressure  $P_{CL}$  as

$$P_{CJ} = \frac{\rho_o D^2}{1 + \gamma} , \qquad (1)$$

where  $\gamma$  is approximately 3,  $\rho_0$  is the initial explosive density and D is the detonation velocity. The pressures listed in Table I were determined with Eq. 1.

In general, there is an interest in attaining a steady detonation process to cause uniform compaction and, thereby, avoid subsequent cracking. However, local detonation irregularities can arise from inhomogeneities in an explosive, and the method of initiation can influence detonation over an appreciable region bounding the initiation site. We had little prior knowledge about these details so continuous detonation velocity and pressure measurements are being made to furnish information critical to the compaction process.

Pressures are to be recorded with Manganin piezoresistive gages, and detonation velocities are being measured with a wire-in-tube resistance gage described by Ribovich et al.<sup>6</sup> A schematic of the measuring technique is shown in Fig. 1.

A special furnace with molybdenum disilicide heating elements has been ordered for working temperatures up to 1600°C. This is a high-cost item that must be protected from explosive blast during compaction. Either the furnace

- <sup>1</sup>B. M. Dobratz, "LLNL Explosives Handbook. Properties of Chemical Explosives and Explosive Simulants," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report No. UCRL-52997, March 1981.
- <sup>2</sup>L. Penn, F. Helm, M. Finger, and E. Lee, "Determination of Equation of State Parameters for Four Types of Explosives," Lawrence Livermore Laboratory Report No. UCRL-51892, August 1975.
- <sup>3</sup>B. Crossland and J. A. Cave, <u>Proceedings, 5th International Conference on</u> <u>High Energy Rate Fabrication</u>, University of Denver Research Institute, Colorado, 1975, pp 4.9.0-4.9.11.
- <sup>4</sup>M. A. Cook, E. B. Mayfield, and W. S. Partridge, "Reaction Rates of Ammonium Nitrate in Detonation," J. Phys. Chem., <u>59</u>, 1955, pp 675-680.
- <sup>5</sup>H. C. Hoenig, E. L. Lee, M. Finger, and J. E. Kurrle, "Equation of State of Detonation Products," <u>Proceedings, 5th Symposium (International) on Detona-</u> <u>tion</u>, Ed. S. J. Jacobs and R. Roberts, Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C., 1970, pp 503-512.
- <sup>6</sup>J. Ribovich, R. W. Watson, and F. C. Gibson, "Instrumented Card-Gap Test," AIAA J., <u>6</u>, 1968, pp 1260-1263.

or the explosion can be isolated, but there is some advantage in protectively containing the furnace and letting the explosive products expand freely. This is the approach being pursued, and a photograph of the protective barrier for the furnace is shown in Fig. 2. This barrier is a 1.68 m (5.5 ft) outside diameter by 3.66 m (12 ft) long steel cylinder with 15.2 cm (6 in) thick walls. The plan is to heat the powders within this cylinder and, then, move them with a remotely operated transfer device to a compaction site far enough from the cylinder to avoid damage to the furnace, but near enough for the compaction to be completed while the powder is still hot.

Computations of the compaction are underway to supplement the experimental work and assist with the interpretation of results. These are being conducted with the two-dimensional Lagrangian finite-difference computer code TROTT.<sup>7</sup> The pressure in the solid P is assumed to be given by the Mie-Grüneisen equation of state

$$P_{s} = C_{\mu} + D_{\mu}^{2} + S_{\mu}^{3} + r_{\rho}(E - E_{H}), \qquad (2)$$

where  $\rho$  is the density,  $\mu = \rho/\rho_0 - 1$ ,  $\rho_0$  is the initial density, E is the internal energy at density  $\rho$ ,  $E_H$  is the internal energy along the Hugoniot and  $\Gamma$  is the Grüneisen ratio. C, D and S are the constant coefficients of the Hugoniot.

Plastic deformation of solids is based on the Reuss incremental plasticity relations, and the effective plastic strain  $\Delta \bar{\epsilon}^p$  is given by

$$\Delta \bar{\varepsilon}^{P} = (\bar{\sigma}^{N} - \bar{\sigma})/3G , \qquad (3)$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the effective stress equated to the yield criterion Y, G is the shear modulus and  $\bar{\sigma}^N$  is a term with the same form as the effective stress  $\bar{\sigma}$ , but formed from the nominal stress  $\sigma'_{ij}^N$  that would occur if the strain were entirely elastic. The elastic strain follows from the deviator stress  $\sigma'_{ij}$  given by

$$\sigma'_{ij} = \sigma'_{ij} \cdot \frac{N}{\sigma^N}, \frac{Y}{\sigma^N} , \qquad (4)$$

and the elastic stress-strain relation.

Compaction is treated by fitting the consolidation curve with a series of four parabolic segments.<sup>8</sup> Within each segment, the curve is defined by the pressures at each end of the segment and by an increment  $\Delta P$  which is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>L. Seaman and D. R. Curran, "TROTT Computer Program for Two-Dimensional Stress Wave Propagation," Ballistic Research Laboratory Contract Report No. ARBRL-CR-00428, April 1980 (Unclassified) (AD A085786).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Lynn Seaman, Robert E. Tokheim, and Donald R. Curran, "Computational Representation of Constitutive Relations for Porous Materials," Defense Nuclear Agency Contract Report No. DNA 3412F, May 1974 (Unclassified).

pressure difference between the straight line connecting the end points and the parabola measured midway between the specific volumes at the limits of the segment. Consolidation is specified by the critical pressure P<sub>c</sub> at which this

occurs, and the corresponding pressure is determined with the Mie-Grüneisen equation.

### III. RESULTS

In preliminary tests of the detonation-velocity gage, high-frequency noise was superimposed on the records of the gradual voltage decay corresponding to the propagation of the detonation wave along the length of the resistance wire. The cause of this noise is still unknown, but it would tend to mask other effects such as a slope change as the detonation proceeded from initiation to a steady state. To avoid the noise, signals are processed with a 100 kHz lowband-pass filter. A record obtained with the filter is shown in Fig. 3. The slope of this record changes intermittently throughout the entire recording interval, and there is no run-in time that is clearly distinguishable from these intermittent changes. Apparently, the detonation of the DETASHEET initiator was either insufficiently different from the AMATOL for this to be a distinguishable effect or the run-in time was too short to resolve. However, records of the detonation rate of AMATOL and DETASHEET are clearly different as shown in Fig. 4. This indicates the recording technique is sensitive to the characteristics of the particular explosive being tested.

There is, however, still some uncertainty about the detonation-velocity measurements. Repetitive tests and measurements have resulted in inconsistent results. The values recorded for AMATOL are 3.32, 2.71 and 3.69 mm/ $\mu$ sec for explosive thicknesses of 1.27, 2.00 and 2.54 cm, respectively. The reason for the low value of 2.71 mm/ $\mu$ sec is unknown, and further tests are under way to clarify the situation.

The computer computations have been particularly enlightening and give a clear impression of how the loading geometry, initial sample density and the pressure pulse affect compaction. An example is shown in Fig. 5 of a computation that illustrates the treatment of a two-dimensional flow of several materials and interfaces. The compaction is of copper powder (29 percent of theoretical density  $\rho_t$ ) in a solid copper container insulated at the top and bottom with a layer of  $Al_2O_3$ -SiO<sub>2</sub> (HASH 60). The compression is symmetrical and between two plates of AMATOL that were initiated at the left along a vertical plane perpendicular to the figure. With this configuration the detonation waves move from left to right. A wedge type collision zone is a well known characteristic of this type of loading, and the cell distortion makes this evident in Fig. 5.

An indication of the influence of a powder container during compaction is shown in Fig. 6. In the compaction shown at the left, the explosive was in direct contact with the porous aluminum, but the explosive products were not allowed to penetrate the interface. In contrast, the computation shown at the right is for the same situation as at the left except the explosive and powder are separated by a layer of molybdenum. In both cases, the stresses at the cell boundary, which are identified with the horizontal lines, are plotted vertically with zero stress located at the cell boundary. Without the container, noticeable stresses develop in the powder, but when there is a layer of molybdenum separating the explosive from the powder, only low stresses develop in the powder and they occur much further behind the detonation front than when there is no container. These results imply that the container can influence compaction substantially.

The initial density of the powder is similarly shown to be a governing factor during compaction. For example, no stresses are computed to develop in 29 percent dense copper loaded symmetrically between 4 cm plates of AMATOL as shown in Fig. 7. In contrast, intense stresses are computed to develop in 60 percent dense copper when compressed with only 2 cm of AMATOL (Fig. 8).

Densities are also computed throughout the course of compaction, and in keeping track of these, it was found that when intense stresses fail to develop in the powder, the compaction is incomplete. Presumably, stresses equal to the flow stress are attained since considerable consolidation occurs even though theoretical density is not achieved.

Finally, 60 percent dense copper powder was actually compacted symmetrically with 2 cm of AMATOL on each side. The recovered sample was considerably cracked, at least in part, by unloading waves, but a final density greater than 97 percent of theoretical density was attained. The computations suggested that theoretical density should have been attained, and since it essentially was, it is concluded that the computations can be effectively used to get an idea of what actually happens during dynamic compaction.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

Preliminary results suggest the following about the AMATOL, resistancewire detonation-rate gage and the compaction process.

1. The measured detonation velocity of AMATOL, 2.54 cm thick and compressed to a density of  $1.02 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , is 3.69 mm/usec. This is in approximate agreement with the velocity of 3.65 mm/usec reported by the manufacturer.

2. Repetitive detonation velocity measurements with the resistancewire gage have been erratic and indicate that refinements in the measuring technique, or an alternative method, may be desirable.

3. Computations indicate that powder density, explosive thickness, powder container and unloading waves have pronounced effects on the compaction process and the product formed.

EXPLOSIVES
io
PROPERTIES
Ι.
TABLE

TYPE	COMPOSITION	SOURCE	DENSITY	THICKNESS OR DIAMETER	DETONATION VELOCITY	C-J PRESSURE*
AMATOL	80% Ammonium Nitrate 20% TNT	CIL	1.02	N/A	3.65	3.4
ANFO	94% Ammonium Nitrate 6% Fuel Oil	duPont	0.82	3.0 40.6	1.6 5.3	0.54 5.97
ANFO	88% Ammonium Nitrate 12% Fuel Oil	Laboratory Mixture	0.0	3.0 4.0 8.6	1.25 1.55 2.05 2.10	0.35 0.54 0.79 0.99
AN-TNT	50% Ammonium Nitrate 50% TNT	Labora tory Mixture	1.0	1.3 2.5 5.0 24.6	1.82 2.99 3.79 4.71	0.83 2.24 3.59 5.50
SWP-9	Ammonium Nitrate, Waxed PETN	Trojan	1.04	1.27 3.18	1.68 2.44	0.73 1.55
DBA- 10HV	Ammonium Nitrate Sodium Nitrate	IRECO	1.25	1.25 2.54 3.79 7.62	2.33 3.40 3.89 4.20	1.65 3.61 4.73 5.51
PETN	PETN SuperFine	duPont	0.25 0.48 0.99 1.53 1.76	4.45 3.81 2.54 5.08	2.83 3.60 7.48 8.28	0.7** 2.4 8.7 33.8 33.8

\*P<sub>CJ</sub> =  $\frac{\rho_0 D^2}{\gamma + 1}$ ,  $\gamma = 3$ 

14 A.

\*\*P<sub>CJ</sub> values from Reference 5







Figure 1. Schematic of experimental technique used to determine explosive detonation rates.



Figure 2. Barrier to protect equipment from explosive blast.

## AMATOL DETONATION RATE GAGE RESISTANCE VS TIME



TIME ,  $10 \,\mu s \,/\,cm$ 

Gage resistance versus time for the determination of the detonation rate of amatol (1.27 cm thick, 0.94 g/cm<sup>5</sup>). Figure 3.

# EXPLOSIVE DETONATION RATES GAGE RESISTANCE VS TIME



Figure 4. A comparison of detonation rate measurements for amatol and DETASHEET.





Figure 5. Computed compaction of a plate of porous copper  $(1.90 \text{ cm thick}, 2.6 \text{ g/cm}^3)$  between plates of amatol (3.99 cm thick) detonated at the left. The porous copper was bounded on both sides by plates of solid copper (0.635 cm thick), and this was separated from the explosive with an insulator (HASH 60, 0.635 cm thick).



Computed compaction of porous aluminum to illustrate the effect of a container on the stress histories and compaction of the powder. Figure 6.

Vertical lines indicate boundaries between materials. The Al powder is in the center in both figures. Fig. 6a shows the powder compressed directly between plates of PETN. Explosive products were not allowed to penetrate the powder. Fig. 6b shows the compression when there is a Mo layer between the PETN and the powder. The graphs show stress distributions at one time after the start of detonation (Fig. 6a -- 17.6 µsec; Fig. 6b -- 18.3 µsec). Initiation was along a plane at the bottom, and detonation sweeps from the bottom to the top.

lines) are plotted as a function of horizontal distance through the explosively scale for the vertical location it (dotted horizontal line) also identifies. loaded systems. Each horizontal line locates zero on the vertical pressure Dotted horizontal lines indicate vertical locations where pressures (solid

2



Figure 7. Computed compaction of porous Cu (29 percent of theoretical density) illustrating less than complete compaction. This is indicated by the low pressures (unresolvable in the figure) attained in the powdered Cu. The Cu is bounded on both sides with plates of solid Cu (0.635 cm thick), and these were loaded symmetrically with amatol (3.99 cm thick) explosions along the other sides of the solid Cu. The amatol was initiated from the bottom end of the system as shown above. The dotted and solid lines have the same meaning as described under Fig. 6.

٠.



Figure 8. Computed compaction of porous Cu (60 percent of theoretical density). While only incomplete compaction was attained in the computation illustrated in Fig. 7, complete compaction is indicated above with the high pressures developed in the compressed powder. Even though only 2.0 cm of amatol was detonated on each side of the container - powder system in this case, total compaction was attained because the initial powder density was higher than for the computation illustrated in Fig. 7. The dotted and solid lines have the same meaning as described under Fig. 6.

### REFERENCES

- B. M. Dobratz, "LLNL Explosives Handbook. Properties of Chemical Explosives and Explosive Simulants," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report No. UCRL-52997, March 1981.
- L. Penn, F. Helm, M. Finger, and E. Lee, "Determination of Equation of State Parameters for Four Types of Explosives," Lawrence Livermore Laboratory Report No. UCRL-51892, August 1975.
- 3. B. Crossland and J. A. Cave, <u>Proceedings, 5th International Conference on</u> <u>High Energy Rate Fabrication</u>, University of Denver Research Institute, Colorado, 1975, pp 4.9.0-4.9.11.
- 4. M. A. Cook, E. B. Mayfield, and W. S. Partridge, "Reaction Rates of Ammonium Nitrate in Detonation," J. Phys. Chem., 59, 1955, pp 675-680.
- H. C. Hoenig, E. L. Lee, M. Finger, and J. E. Kurrle, "Equation of State of Detonation Products," Proceedings, 5th Symposium (International) on Detonation, Ed. S. J. Jacobs and R. Roberts, Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C., 1970, pp 503-512.
- 6. J. Ribovich, R. W. Watson, and F. C. Gibson, "Instrumented Card-Gap Test," AIAA J., 6, 1968, pp 1260-1263.
- L. Seaman and D. R. Curran, "TROTT Computer Program for Two-Dimensional Stress Wave Propagation," Ballistic Research Laboratory Contract Report No. ARBRL-CR-00428, April 1980 (Unclassified) (AD A085766).
- 8. Lynn Seaman, Robert E. Tokheim, and Donald R. Curran, "Computational Representation of Constitutive Relations for Porous Materials," Defense Nuclear Agency Contract Report No. DNA 3412F, May 1974 (Unclassified).

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization	No. of <u>Copies</u>	
12	Commander Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station	3	Command US Army and D ATTN:
	Alexandria, VA 22314		Dover,
3	Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: Tech Info Dr. Edward C. Van Reuth	1	Directo US Army Benet W ATTN:
	Dr. Steve Fishman 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	1	Waterv1 Command
1	Commander US Army Command and General Staff College ATTN: Archives Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027	ı	US Army Readi ATTN: Rock Is
1	Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D)	1	US Army Waterv1
	Department of the Army Washington, DC 20310	1	Command US Army and D
1	Commander US Army War College ATTN: Lib Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013		ATTN: 4300 Go St. Lou
1	Commander US Military Academy ATTN: Library West Point, NY 10996	1	Directo US Army and D Ames Re Moffett
1	Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: DRCDMD-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1	Command US Army and D ATTN: Fort Mo
1	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TDC (Dr. D. Gyorog) Dover, NJ 07801	1	Command US Army and D Technic ATTN: Fort Mo
	2	23	

Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TSS E. Bloore Dover, NJ 07801

Organization

- 1 Director US Army ARRADCOM Benet Weapons Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189
- 1 Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L Rock Island, IL 61299
- 1 Commander US Army Watervliet Arsenal Watervliet, NY 12189
- Commander
   US Army Aviation Research
   and Development Command
   ATTN: DRSAV-E
   4300 Goodfellow Blvd
   St. Louis, MO 63120
- l Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035
- l Commander US Army Communications Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSEL-ATDD Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
- Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization	No. of Copies	Organization
1	Commander US Army Harry Diamond Laboratory ATTN: DELHD-TA-L 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783	1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL White Sands Missile Range NM 88002
1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-R Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898	1	Office of Naval Research ATTN: Code 402 Dept of the Navy, 800 N. Quincy St Arlington, VA 22217
2	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-YDL Dr. Raymond Conrad Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898	1	Commander Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 2020, Tech Lib Washington, DC 20375
2	Commander US Army Mobility Equipment Research & Development Cmd	1	AFWL/SUL Kirtland AFB, NM 87117
	ATTN: DRDME-WC DRSME-RZT Fort Belvoir, VA 22060	1	Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories Air Force Systems Command Materials Laboratory
1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive	2	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
	Command ATTN: DRDTA-TSL Warren, MI 48090	2	The Johns Hopkins University ATTN: Prof. R. B. Pond, Sr. Prof. R. Green 34th and Charles Streets
1	Commander US Army Materials and		Baltimore, MD 21218

2 Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905

Watertown, MA 02172
1 Commander US Army Research Office P. 0. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709

ATTN: DRXMR-ATL

2 Commander US Army Research and Standardization Group (Europe) ATTN: Dr. B. Steverding Dr. F. Rothwarf Box 65 FPO NY 09510

Mechanics Research Center

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

### pies Organization

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: DRXSY-D DRXSY-MP, H. Cohen

Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: DRSTE-TO-F

Dir, USACSL, EA ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-PA DRDAR-CLN DRDAR-CLJ-L

the set of the set of the set of the

-

نغجي الم

2.00

### USER EVALUATION OF REPORT

Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below; tear out this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and place in the mail. Your comments will provide us with information for improving future reports.

1. BRL Report Number

2. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which report will be used.)

3. How, specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data or procedure, management procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

4. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours/contract dollars saved, operating costs avoided, efficiencies achieved, etc.? If so, please elaborate.

5. General Comments (Indicate what you think should be changed to make this report and future reports of this type more responsive to your needs, more usable, improve readability, etc.)

6. If you would like to be contacted by the personnel who prepared this report to raise specific questions or discuss the topic, please fill in the following information.

Namo	•	
name	٠	

Telephone Number:

Organization Address:\_\_\_\_\_

- --- FOLD HERE ----

Director US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: DRSMC-BLA-S (A) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300



NO POSTAGE NECESSARY

IF MAILED

IN THE UNITED STATES

. <u>s</u>

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Director US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: DR SMC-BLA-S (A) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

FOLD HERE -

### DATE ILME