STUDIES OF ELECTRON-BEAM-INDUCED DECOMPOSITION OF BENZENE

Semiannual Technical Report No. 3

December 1983

By: D. J. Eckstrom, J. S. Dickinson, and R. T. Rewick

Sponsored by:

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209

Monitored by:

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217



ARPA Order No. 4128 Contract No. N00014-81-C-0208 Effective Date: 15 January 1981 Expiration Date: 31 May 1984 Principal Investigator: D. J. Eckstrom (415) 859-4398

SRI Project PYU 2690 MP Report No. 83-196

FILE

SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menio Park, California 94025 (415) 326-6200 TWX: 910-373-2046 Telex: 334 486 This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

01

84

26



(50)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
PORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION D	D. D. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUNDER
ILE (and Substitio)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
STUDIES OF RECTRON-BRAM-INDUCED	Technical Report No. 3
DECOMPOSITION OF RENZENE	
	MP Report No. 83-196
THOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
. J. Eckstrom, J. S. Dickinson,	N00014-81-C-0208
d R. T. Rewick	
FORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK
RI International	
33 Ravenswood Avenue	
nlo Park, CA 94025	
efense Advanced Research Projects Agency	December 20, 1983
00 Wilson Boulevard	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
lington, VA 22209	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
iitoring Agency NAME & ADDRESS(ii different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY GLASS (of this report)
IICE OI NEVEL RESERICH O North Ouiser Street	UNCLASSIILED
lington WA 22217	154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
	NA
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	mited.
STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Spproved for public release; distribution unli STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Nock 20, if different i	mited.
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) pproved for public release; distribution unli TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Nock 20, if different i	mited.
REBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) proved for public release; distribution unli REBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Rieck 20, if different i PLEMENTARY NOTES	mited.
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) OPTOVED for public release; distribution unli TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different i PLEMENTARY NOTES	mited.
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) OPTOVED for public release; distribution unli TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Rieck 20, if different i PLEMENTARY NOTES	mited. Tree Report)
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) oproved for public release; distribution unli tribution STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Hock 20, if different i PLEMENTARY NOTES WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number warged particle beam, electron-induced chemis	mited. Tree Reports r) try, benzene
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) pproved for public release; distribution unli tribution STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different i "PLEMENTARY NOTES "WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number barged particle beam, electron-induced chemis TRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number tperiments have been performed using a Febetr	mited. Tom Report) try, benzene o try for 706 electron beam to
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) pproved for public release; distribution unli TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Nock 20, if different i PPLEMENTARY HOTES Y WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number harged particle beam, electron-induced chemis STRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number periments have been performed using a Febetr tudy the problems that may occur when benzene lectron accelerator. We particularly address	mited. The Report) Try, benzene on 706 electron beam to is introduced into an the problem of electron-
TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) pproved for public release; distribution unli TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Nock 20, if different i PPLEMENTARY NOTES PPLEMENTARY NOTES ANDROS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Nock number harged particle beam, electron-induced chemis STRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number harged particle beam, electron-induced chemis STRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number harged particle beam, electron-induced chemis speriments have been performed using a Febetr tudy the problems that may occur when benzene lectron accelerator. We particularly address nduced fragmentation and polymerization into	mited. The Report Try, benzene o try, benzene o try, benzene o try for an to is introduced into an the problem of electron- nonvolatile species that
RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) proved for public release; distribution unli RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observe: entered in Mock 20, if different i PLEMENTARY NOTES VOROS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Mock number arged particle beam, electron-induced chemis PRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number periments have been performed using a Febetr udy the problems that may occur when benzene actron accelerator. We particularly address duced fragmentation and polymerization into posit on surfaces, leading to the possibilit	mited. The Report try, benzene o on 706 electron beam to is introduced into an the problem of electron- nonvolatile species that y of surface breakdown in
RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) proved for public release; distribution unli RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Nock 20, if different i "LEMENTARY NOTES WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block mamber arged particle beam, electron-induced chemis "RACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block mamber periments have been performed using a Febetr udy the problems that may occur when benzene actron accelerator. We particularly address duced fragmentation and polymerization into posit on surfaces, leading to the possibilit celerating gaps	mited. The Report Try, benzene on 706 electron beam to is introduced into an the problem of electron- nonvolatile species that y of surface breakdown in
RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) proved for public release; distribution unli RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Meck 20, if different i v. EMENTARY NOTES WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Meck masks arged particle beam, electron-induced chemis RACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block masks priments have been performed using a Febetr udy the problems that may occur when benzene actron accelerator. We particularly address fuced fragmentation and polymerization into posit on surfaces, leading to the possibilit celerating gaps.	mited. The Report Try, benzene of on 706 electron beam to is introduced into an the problem of electron- nonvolatile species that y of surface breakdown in
ANDUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) proved for public release; distribution unli ANDUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Nock 20, 11 different i PLEMENTARY NOTES WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number arged particle beam, electron-induced chemis RACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number periments have been performed using a Febetr udy the problems that may occur when benzene actron accelerator. We particularly address duced fragmentation and polymerization into posit on surfaces, leading to the possibilit celerating gaps	mited. The Report try, benzene o on 706 electron beam to is introduced into an the problem of electron- nonvolatile species that y of surface breakdown in

10to the minus 16th power Sq. Cm. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered) 20. ABSTRACT (Continued) Sour results show that the amounts of fragmentation and deposition are quite small for a finite number of e-beam pulses, but that problems could arise after extended periods of use. As a conservative design guideline, we estimate that the fragmentation_cross section for high energy electrons on benzene is approximately 10-16 cm2 and that 10% of these collisions lead to surface deposits, 10 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

CONTENTS

I	INTRODUCT ION
II	EXPER IMENTS
III	RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS5
IV	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
	REFERENCES12

F IGURES

1. Schematic of Experimental Setup

2. Infrared Absorption Spectrum of Surface Deposits

TABLE

1. Test Conditions for Benzene Decomposition Study

I INTRODUCTION

Past and current experiments on the experimental test accelerator (ETA) facility at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) are showing the efficacy of beam conditioning by laser-assisted ion-focus regime (IFR) propagation.¹ In these experiments, a low pressure of gas (0.1-10 μ m) is introduced into the propagation region. The gas is chosen to be one that can be easily photoionized; experiments to date use benzene (C_6H_6), which has a large two-photon photoionization cross section at 248 nm. The photoionization driver is a KrF laser. Operationally, the laser is pulsed shortly before the e-beam is fired, causing preionization of a channel in the propagation region. The radial electric field in the nose of the electron beam expels the slow moving photoelectrons, leaving behind the positively charged ion column, which then exerts an electrostatic focusing force on the electron beam.

The magnitude of the two-photon ionization cross section of benzene at 248 nm is enhanced because the one-photon transition to an intermediate state is resonant with an allowed absorption. The intermediate real level is apparently a dissociating state, so excitation of benzene with 248 nm radiation leads to fragmentation,² presumably into lighter molecular weight, volatile species. However, black deposits have also been observed on windows where the laser beam enters the cell,^{1,3} indicating that polymerization and/or decomposition to a carbonaceous material takes place. The importance of surface catalysis in the latter process is not known.

In addition to the fragmentation induced by uv radiation, it might be anticipated that significant chemical reactions could be induced by high energy electrons. In either case, if carbonaceous material is deposited on the surfaces of the insulators in the accelerat – gaps, it could lead to the buildup of a conducting layer that would cause surface breakdown across the gaps. In this study, we are addressing the problem of electron-beaminduced decomposition of benzene by performing chemical and optical

analyses of the residual gas and of surface deposits in a benzene-filled cell subjected to Febetron 706 e-beam pulses.

II EXPERIMENTS

A schematic of the experimental arrangement is shown in Figure 1. The aluminum cell (12-in. 0.D. by 18-in. long) was thoroughly cleaned with acetone before each series of test shots. The cell was evacuated to 15 µm pressure before filling with reagent-grade benzene. Liquid benzene was put in a stainless steel reservoir and was purified by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles before use.

In the first test series, the value between the reservoir and the test cell was open during all the shots. In the second series, N_2 was used and the value was closed. In the third and fourth series, the cell was filled to the room-temperature vapor pressure of benzene (55 ± 2 torr depending on T), and the value was closed. Series 1 through 3 consisted of 100 Febetron pulses each, whereas series 4 was 250 shots. The test conditions are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

Series	Gas	P _{initial} (torr)	P _{final} (torr)	No. of Pulses
1	Benzene	70	(a)	100
2	N ₂	70	66	100
3	Benzene	.57	54	100
4	Benzene	53	47	250

TEST CONDITIONS FOR BENZENE DECOMPOSITION STUDY

(a) Valve to reservoir open during test.

Test surfaces consisting of three NaCl flats and three glass wash dishes were placed in the cell in the positions shown in Figure 1. It was intended that gas-phase products of the electron-induced reactions would condense on these surfaces, but some electrons impinged directly on the surfaces (causing intense color-center formation and fracturing of the NaCl windows). We cannot distinguish between condensation products and products formed directly on the surface.

The Febetron 706 e-beam source used in these experiments produces a 3-ns pulse of 600 keV electrons with a total current of ~5000 A in a beam that is initially ~2 cm in diameter. The total charge is therefore ~15 μ C/pulse. For comparison, ETA pulses are 6000 A for 20 ns, for a charge of ~120 μ C/pulse. However, we used benzene pressures of about 50 torr, whereas the pressure in the ETA experiments is $\leq 1 \mu m$. Thus, in terms of products formed, each Febetron pulse corresponds to approximately 6000 ETA pulses.



FIGURE 1 EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT FOR BENZENE DISSOCIATION STUDIES

III RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

After the first series of 100 pulses into 70 torr of benzene, we observed a marked black deposit on the surfaces of the test cell nearest the e-beam source. The deposits were easily removed by wiping with Kimwipes wetted with acetone and were completely consistent with the decomposition of benzene. The cell surfaces farthest from the e-beam remained very clean. As a check on this observation, a series of 100 shots into N_2 was undertaken. In this test, all the surfaces remained clean. At that point, our fears about benzene decomposition seemed to be confirmed. However, after the third series of 100 shots into 57 torr of benzene and the fourth series of 250 shots into 53 torr of benzene, we <u>did not</u> observe the black deposits.

We can suggest two possible explanations for this anomaly. First, these experiments were the first time that the new test cell was used. Even though it was thoroughly cleaned with acetone before the tests, it is possible that some machining oils or other impurities remained on or in the surface and that electron bombardment led to the black surface deposits. The second (and more likely) possibility is that in the first test series the valve to the benzene reservoir was left open. This could have led to benzene condensation on the cell surfaces, and electron bombardment of the liquid could have led to carbon formation. In either case, we did not observe black deposits on the aluminum surfaces in the last two test series, which more closely represent ETA conditions.

To further characterize the surface deposits, we measured the transmission loss of various optical components in the cell. The cell was fitted with three Homosil windows mounted in flanges at the horizontal midplane (window surfaces vertical). These windows were not cleaned between the test series. At the completion of all tests, the transmission through all three windows in series was measured over the 400-700 nm range. The windows were then cleaned with acetome and the measurement was repeated. No change in transmission was found, indicating that no

absorbing material deposited on these vertical surfaces during the entire test sequence.

We performed the same sequence of transmission measurements on the three wash glasses that were placed horizontally in the cell (Figure 1) during the third series of tests (100 shots into benzene at 57 torr). In this case, we found that removable deposits caused a 15% reduction of transmission when the three glasses were measured in series. Thus, even though the aluminum surfaces appeared clean in that set of shots, measurable deposits were found on the wash glasses.

If we assume that each surface was coated with a deposit that absorbed 5% at 500 nm, we can use the optical constants for carbonaceous materials from Reference 4 to determine that each deposit was ~0.0003 µm thick. Pure carbon has a density of ~2 g/cm³, so such a layer would weigh $6 \times 10^{-7} \text{g/cm}^2$. This corresponds to the decomposition of 5×10^{15} benzene molecules for each cm² of surface coated. If we assume uniform deposition on the entire cell surface of 6000 cm², the total decomposition would amount to 3×10^{19} molecules. Since the total number in the cell was approximately 6×10^{22} molecules, the assume decomposition would amount to 0.05%. In terms of pressure, this would be 0.03 torr.

We measured the change in pressure during the tests, with the results shown in Table 1. For the third series, the change was 3 torr, or 100 times the amount required to account for the measured window absorption. The discrepancy could be due to the assumption that the window deposits are nearly γ is carbon. The higher the hydrogen content, the lower the absorption coefficient.⁴ Thus the coefficient used to estimate the thickness of the deposit could be too large; i.e., the more polymeric the material, the thicker the deposit would have to be to produce the observed window absorption. Another possibility is that volatile polymers are formed that reduce the total number of molecules and thus the pressure. However, no real significance should be attached to the pressure change measurement since we also measured a 4-torr decrease in the N₂ test series, where no chemical changes should occur.

In an effort to identify the nature of the surface deposits, we measured the infrared absorption spectrum of the NaCl windows. Because the absorption features were quite weak, the spectra for the samples from individual windows showed only absorption at 2850 and 2940 cm⁻¹.

We then used the NaCl windows from the third test sequence (100 shots into 57 torr benzene) to measure the spectrum of the three windows in series. The results, shown in Figure 2, allow identification of additional absorption features in the 6 to 12 μ m region. These absorption features are characteristic of aliphatic hydrocarbons, although even the enhanced spectrum of Figure 2 is not detailed enough to allow a more specific identification. Thus, the polymeric deposits have a different structure from the aromatic benzene.

In an attempt to obtain a denser sample of the deposit, we ran the fourth sequence of 250 shots into benzene at 53 torr. At the completion of the test, the entire surface of the cell was wiped with a swab of glass wool soaked in acetone. The swab was then washed with cyclohexane, and the resulting solution was drained into the cell holder of a freshly pressed KBr pellet. The solution was dried at 85°C for 1 hour, and the IR spectrum of the pellet plus residue was measured. Unfortunately, no absorption features were observed, and we can only speculate that the material observed as deposits in the previous tests evaporated at the elevated drying temperature used.

We also examined the gas-phase products of the electron-bombarded benzene by gas chromatography (GC). At the completion of the third sequence (100 shots into 57 torr benzene), samples of the residual gas were withdrawn by syringe from the cell and injected into the GC. Very small components of more volatile species were detected, but nothing less volatile than benzene could be observed. In all tests, the benzene peak constituted 97.9% to 99.5% of the total. Thus, if the detector sensitivity to different species is fairly uniform, we can conclude that there is small but measurable (~ 1%) residual fractionation of the benzene to lighter molecular weight stable species.





IV DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our tests of 100 Febetron 706 shots into ~55 torr of benzene were equivalent in total charge times density of benzene to approximately 10^5 shots of ETA into 0.5 μ m benzene (28 hours at 1 Hz). The present tests differ from the ETA case, however, because the Febetron beam is not collimated and so electrons strike the walls. We cannot distinguish between effects occurring in the gas-phase and those that occur on the surfaces.

On the basis of our observations, it would appear that the effects of the electron bombardment of benzene are relatively minor. The gas chromatography analysis indicates the presence of gas-phase products of about 1% decomposition, whereas the optical transmission measurements indicate that surface deposits could account for up to 0.05% decomposition. We will now show that these levels suggest that the fragmentation of benzene by electron collision, although small, is in fact consistent with expectations.

The total number of dissociation collisions per shot, C, can be written

$C = \phi N \sigma L$,

where ϕ is the electron flux per shot (~10¹⁴), N is the benzene density (~2 x 10¹⁸/cm³), σ is the dissociation cross section, and L is the electron path length. To take into account multiple scattering on the electron trajectories, we will assume that L \approx 100 cm, which is approximately twice the cell length.

We have not located data on values of σ for benzene, but McDaniel et al.⁵ report values for ethane (C_2H_6) for the energy range $15 \leq \varepsilon \leq 600$ eV. The peak value is 7.6 x 10^{-16} cm² at 80 eV. An extrapolation of these values to 600 KeV using the Born approximate ($\sigma \alpha$ 1/E) gives a predicted value of

 $\sigma_{dissociation} \leq 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$. Using the above parameters for our experiments, we calculate C = 2 x 10^{16} fragments/shot, or 2 x 10^{18} per 100 shots. This corresponds to only 0.003% dissociation. Although the uncertainties in both our gas-phase and surface fragmentation measurements are large, it seems quite certain that the levels exceed the calculated value. Thus, we conclude that benzene is irreversibly fragmented by high energy electron impact with a cross section exceeding 10^{-18} cm^2 .

For design purposes, it is probably conservative to estimate that the dissociation cross section of benzene by high energy electrons is on the order of 10^{-16} cm² and that 10% of the dissociation leads to surface deposits.

The electrical conductivity, σ , of a material is related to its real and imaginary indices of refraction $(\hat{N} = N_1 - i N_2)$ by $N_1^2 N_2 = 2 \pi \sigma/\omega$. From Reference 4, we find that $N_1 \approx 1.8$ and $N_2 \approx 0.5$ at $\lambda = 1 \mu m$. This gives $\sigma \approx 5 \times 10^{14} s^{-1} \approx 5 \times 10^4$ mho/m. If we assume an accelerating gap voltage of 250 kV/m along the surface and a surface layer thickness of 3 x $10^{-3} \mu m$, this conductivity would lead to surface currents of 0.4 A per cm of width.

This amount by itself is probably negligible, but there could be a breakdown problem if the surface conductivity led to a redistribution and therefore to a concentration of field strength above breakdown levels, or if concentrated currents caused an explosive evaporation of the surface material at some points. Thus, decomposition of benzene with surface deposition of the heavy polymeric products could lead to accelerator problems after extended periods of use.

Note that the fragmentation caused by laser photodissociation will be in addition to the electron bombardment effects reported here.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. E. Martin, LLNL (private communication).
- 2. S. Rockwood, J. P. Reilly, K. Hohla, and K. L. Kompa, Optics Comm. 28, 175 (1979).
- 3. W. K. Bischel, SRI International (private communication).
- 4. P. J. Foster and C. R. Howarth, Carbon <u>6</u>, 719 (1968).
- 5. E. W. McDaniel et al., "Compilation of Atomic and Molecular Data Relevant to Gas Lasers," Volume VIII, Technical Report RH-81-4, U.S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama (December 1980).