



2.2

- Ares

ANNAN SUBSCIEVE SUBSCIEVE SUBSCIEVE

.

A. C.

5 () (**)** ()

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



SOURCE REGION EMP -COUPLING TO LONG LINES ∞ 40- A I 36

JAYCOR P. O. Box 30281 Santa Barbara, California 93130

1 June 1981

Final Report for Period 3 March 1980—1 June 1981

CONTRACT No. DNA 001-80-C-0173

that is the same of the

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; **DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.**

THIS WORK WAS SPONSORED BY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY UNDER RDT&E RMSS CODE B323080464 X99QAXVD40103 H2590D.

Prepared for

Director DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY Washington, DC 20305



83 0.912 066 Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return to sender.

PLEASE NOTIFY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY, ATTN: STTI, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20305, IF YOUR ADDRESS IS INCORRECT, IF YOU WISH TO BE DELETED FROM THE DISTRIBUTION LIST, OR IF THE ADDRESSEE IS NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY YOUR ORGANIZATION.



| | REPORT DOCUMENTATION PA | GE | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| • | DNA 6269F | GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| 4 . | SOURCE REGION EMP COUPLING TO LONG | LINES | 5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report for Period 3 Mar 1980—1 Jun 1981 6 PERFORMING ORG REPORT NUMBER |
| . | | | J200-81-321/2193 |
| • | D.F. Higgins K.S. Smith T.A. Tumollilo J.P. Wondra W.A. Radasky | | DNA 001-80-C-0173 |
|). | PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS | | 10 PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |
| | JAYCOR P.O. Box 30281 Santa Barbara, California 93130 | | Subtask X99QAXVD401-03 |
| 1. | CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS | | 12 REPORT DATE |
| | Defense Nuclear Agency | | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES |
| | Washington, D.C. 20305 | | 32 |
| 4 | MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If dillerent fr | om Controlling Office) | 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) |
| | | | UNCLASSIFIED |
| | | | |
| 16 | DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approval for public release, distr | ibution unlimi | N/A SINCE UNCLASSIFIED |
| 16 | DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approval for public release, distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in | bution unlimi Block 20, 11 dillerent fro | TSe DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A SINCE UNCLASSIFIED ted. |
| 16 | DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approval for public release, distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work was sponsored by the Def RDT&E RMSS Code B323080464 X99QAXV | Fibution unlimi Block 20, 11 different fro Gense Nuclear A D40103 H2590D. | TSe DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A SINCE UNCLASSIFIED ted. |
| 16 | DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approval for public release, distr DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work was sponsored by the Def RDT&E RMSS Code B323080464 X99QAXV KEY WORDS (Continue on teverse side three essets and the Source Region EMP Long Line EMP Coupling Transmission Line Models Finite-Difference Techniques | Pibution unlimi Block 20, 11 different fro Gense Nuclear A 1040103 H2590D. | TSe DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A SINCE UNCLASSIFIED ted. |

· · ·

 . .

. .

SUMMARY

and supposed another analogies and an analogie supposed analogie supposed because supposed there are a

The results discussed in this paper can be summarized as follows:

- Early time (less than a microsecond) response of aerial lines are apparently highly dependent upon details of the timedependent air conductivity. Transmission line results do not agree well with finite-difference calculations for this case.
- 2. For buried cables, early-time results from both transmission line and finite-difference calculations seem to be in fair agreement. This is probably due to partial isolation of the line from the Compton current driver by the conducting ground.
- 3. Late-time transmission line calculations (for both aerial and buried cables) indicate that large currents may flow at late times. This large current is due to the predicted slow decay of the radial electric field generated by a nuclear burst, combined with a decreasing line impedance as fields diffuse into the ground. As a result, large currents can persist for hundreds of microseconds.
- 4. Quasi-static analytic solutions for certain cases have also been given. These expressions point out the importance of assumed loads at the end of the line. (A low impedance at each end can result in very large late-time currents.) Such solutions also provide checks of the late-time accuracy of transmission line calculations.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.4

and the first

225

ないとうと

| SUMMARY | 1 |
|---|----|
| LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS | 3 |
| INTRODUCTION | 5 |
| EARLY-TIME FINITE-DIFFERENCE CALCULATIONS | 5 |
| TRANSMISSION LINE CALCULATIONS | 12 |
| REFERENCES | 24 |

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

and all the model as a second second second

and the second second

| FIGURE | | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | Geometry for SREMP coupling to a wire over a ground plane | 6 |
| 2 | The normalized Compton current time history f(t) and the peak Compton current density vs. axial position | 8 |
| 3 | Peak value of the cable current vs. axial position and time variation of the current on the cable at a few axial positions (arrows on time histories indicate window times at that z location) | 10 |
| 4 | Conductivity vs. axial position near the cable and between cable and ground | 11 |
| 5 | Currents on a buried cable at early times as calculated by FDTD code | 13 |
| 6 | Early-time current waveforms as a function of position on an arial cable as predicted by a transmission line calculation. Geometry is the same as in Figure l | 17 |
| 7 | Currents on a buried cable at early times as calculated by transmission line techniques | 19 |
| 8 | Current at the center of a 2000 meter long insulated cable (current at other locations along cable is virtually identical) | 22 |
| 9 | Currents on a 2000 meter buried cable in good electrical contact with the ground driven by a distributed source | 23 |

ada di kumun kanana makanan kanana kananan kananan kananan mananan mananan mukanan muhanan kananan kanan mana

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

ALL AND A

1.65 6 64 66

INTRODUCTION

Same and the second

こくいく

It has been recognized for some time that nuclear bursts near or at the air-ground interface can create Compton current densities over a substantial volume. This EMP source region can result in large electromagnetic transients that radiate to far distances. As a result, complex EMP phenomenology codes have been written to calculate the electromagnetic fields.¹⁻³ Another concern, however, is the coupling of such fields to conductors that may exist inside the source region. Note that such conductors may include telephone or power lines which will not survive the nuclear blast, but are electrically connected to systems outside the source region. A large transient may thus be transmitted to a system of interest, even though the conductor is destroyed. Calculations of source region coupling to long lines are greatly complicated by the complex three-dimensional geometry, the diversity of length scales (i.e., line cross-sectional dimensions vs. length), and the non-linear air conductivity effects. Many previous source region coupling calculations⁴⁻⁷ have used time-domain transmission line models driven by the output of two-dimensional EMP phenomenology codes. In recent years, however, three-dimensional, finite-difference codes⁸ have been developed that can be used to calculate at least the early-time response of long conductors inside the nuclear source region. Such techniques include various non-linear effects that are missing in transmission line models. This report presents a comparison of such coupling calculations.

EARLY-TIME FINITE-DIFFERENCE CALCULATIONS

Source region coupling is basically an extension of surface burst EMP generation theory. Compton currents are used as drivers for finitedifference approximations of the two time-dependent Maxwell equations, while the air ionization rate (which is proportional to the Compton current at sea level air densities) is used in simplified air chemistry reaction-rate equations to calculate the time-dependent electron and ion densities. These densities are then combined with field-dependent expressions for the mobility to obtain a time-varying conductivity, which is used in Maxwell's equations. Details of this theory have been discussed elsewhere,¹ and will thus not be repeated here. Note, however, that such calculations are non-linear primarily due to the fielddependent mobility.

and a start of the second

「ないというない」

Unfortunately, adding even a radial-directed wire to a surface burst EMP calculation destroys the usually assumed 2-D symmetry and greatly increases the numerical complexity. At early times (less than about a microsecond), however, the high air conductivity makes the problem primarily a local one in that the Compton currents in a small volume around the conductors generate the fields which are responsible for currents on the conductors. In this time regime, 3-D finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) calculations are possible, although special techniques are required to reduce computer costs to reasonable values.

As an example, the PRES-3D computer $code^8$ was used to calculate SREMP coupling to the aerial wire geometry shown in Figure 1. The weapon





is assumed to be detonated approximately 350 m away from the cable and on the axis of the cable. The cable length was selected to be long enough to allow observation of current propagation, but was limited by computer cost considerations. The cable was placed 10 meters above a perfectly conducting ground. The gamma-flux was assumed to be parallel to the cable. At a point z meters from the weapon and t seconds after detonation, the Compton current $J_z(z,t)$ and air ionization rate S(z,t) in the vicinity of the cable were prescribed as

$$J_z(z,t) = 3.4 \times 10^{10} \frac{\exp(-z/320)}{z^2} f(t-z/c) (A/m^2)$$
, (1)

$$S(z,t) = 8.2 \times 10^{22} J(z,t)$$
 (ion pairs/m³/s)⁹. (2)

The time history, f(t), and the peak Compton current versus axial position are displayed in Figure 2. The rise time of the current density and the cable dimensions force grid sizes for the finite-difference solution to be on the order of 10 cm to 1 m. For a cable about 1 km in length, many millions of such points would be required to solve the finite-difference equations in the entire volume. This is not practical on existing computers. If the cylindrical wire is perfectly conducting, any induced fields will be propagated along the wire axis with a velocity determined solely by the external medium. Thus, to examine the prompt fields on the wire, it is not necessary to model the entire cable for all times, but only a small fraction of the cable length which encompasses the Compton current pulse and which moves along the wire with the pulse.

3557 1 JA



AND THE ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AND

ションション

Figure 2. The normalized Compton current time history f(t) and the peak Compton current density vs. axial position.

One thus has a "moving window" simulation volume which propagates down the wire at the velocity of the driver. Such a technique enables one to include many of the advantages of a retarded time calculation in a real time computer code. Also, using this method, and one plane of symmetry through the wire, reduces the number of grid points to a manageable level.

For this problem, the moving simulation volume was 75 m along the axis of the wire. This problem has a plane of symmetry in a vertical

plane through the wire center, which reduced the number of required mesh points by a factor of 2. The zoning along the y axis was carried out to 31 m from wire center. Along x (vertical), there was 10 m between wire and ground and 21 m between the wire and the top of the simulation volume. All boundaries of the simulation volume had perfectly conducting boundary conditions. The use of this boundary condition for the ground was intentional to allow compa 'sons between this calculation and other models. It is expected that the highly conducting air during the gamma pulse will not allow the other artificial boundary conditions to affect the results for our short timeframe of interest in this calculation. The moving simulation volume used 67,000 zones. The zoning along the z axis necessary to resolve the propagating source was a constant 1.5 m. Along the x and y axes, the zoning was ll cm in the vicinity of the wire and was gradually extended to several meters at the extreme of the simulation volume.

AND AND ADDRESS OF

The Compton currents were defined at the mesh points using the analytical formula of Equation (1). The ionization rate of Equation (2) was used as the source term for the three-species air-chemistry equations. The solutions between the field equations and the air-chemistry equations were done self-consistently--i.e., the air-chemistry parameters and conductivity are field-dependent and, similarly, the field solutions depend strongly on the conductivity.

To anticipate some of the results of the simulation, it was observed that neither the perfectly conducting boundary conditions nor the large cells at the end points appeared to have any influence on the current carried by the wire. This is no doubt due to the very long path that any EM wave must transverse through an ionized conducting medium from a boundary to the wire. Figure 3 displays the peak value of the cable current as a function of axial position along the wire. Time histories of the current at several points along the wire are also displayed in this Figure. The current waveform rises rapidly (~40 nsec), then tends to be relatively constant for about 100 nsec, and then starts to decay.

NOVOY OZDAWANI USONOWA WZWARANA YNOYYYYA W

Y



Figure 3. Peak value of the cable current vs. axial position and time variation of the current on the cable at a few axial positions (arrows on time histories indicate window times at that z location).

Figure 4 displays the peak value of the air conductivity versus axial position for a point near the cable and a point between the cable and ground. Note that the conductivity is significantly higher near the cable because, as we approach the cable (or the ground), the boundary conditions require that the tangential electric field be zero on the cable and ground. The lower field values near the ground and the wire give much higher electron mobilities and, hence, a higher conductivity. (Zero water vapor content was assumed in these calculations.)



Figure 4. Conductivity vs. axial position near the cable and between cable and ground.

Note that the aerial cable of this calculation is 10 centimeters in diameter and is uninsulated. The high conductivity near its surface thus makes it possible for large currents to flow on or off the cable. The situation might be even more complicated if the wire were very thin because large perpendicular electric fields would exist at the surface of the wire, perhaps reducing the conductivity there. At a few centimeters from the surface, however, fields would be small, giving an enhanced conductivity. In any case, the air conductivity in any plane perpendicular to the axis of the long conductor is apparently not uniform (a fact often assumed in simpler models).

As a second example, finite-difference time-domain techniques were used to calculate the response of an insulated, buried cable. The cable was 10 meters in length and was buried one meter below the ground-air The ground conductivity was assumed to be 10^{-3} mho/m and the interface. The conducting cable was 1/4 inch in dielectric constant was $6\varepsilon_0$. diameter, and, for these calculations the source term was a prescribed electric field along the air-ground interface above the cable. The time history of this field was triangular, rising to a peak of 100 kV/m in 5 nsec, and then returning to zero at 300 nsec. The starting time of this prescribed surface field was phased at the speed of light along the cable. Some sample results for this example are shown in Figure 5. As before, calculations are limited to early times by the expenses of computer time.

TRANSMISSION LINE CALCULATIONS

CARACTER CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL

Transmission line modeling 10-13 is a fairly well established method for calculating EMP coupling to long conductors that are outside of the nuclear source region. Such methods are also used for coupling inside the source region, but the adequacy of such methods is rather uncertain under such conditions (especially at early times).

Transmission line techniques have the great advantage of being capable of calculating late-time response of very long conductors at a reasonable cost. Such late-time response calculations are believed to be very important because EMP generation models indicate that substantial late-time electric fields are produced by a surface-level nuclear burst. The apparent result is very large amounts of late-time (~l millisecond) EM energy coupled to long lines.

£ '



Ş



٠.

The time-dependent transmission line equations can be written 10 as

$$L \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + RI = V_{D} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}$$
(3)

and

$$C \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + GV = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial z}$$
(4)

where I and V are the current and voltage along the line; L, C, R and G are respectively the inductance, capacitance, resistance, and conductance per-unit-length of the line and V_D is the per-unit-length voltage source driver. The driver, V_D , is usually assumed equal to the electrical field along the wire if the wire was absent (i.e., the incident electric field).

Note that in many special cases, one can obtain analytic solutions for the current and voltages along the line. Numerical solutions are also straightforward and with implicit-differencing techniques, solutions can be obtained over long time periods. The primary problem in using transmission line theory is thus determining the proper expressions for four line parameters L, C, R and G.

In some cases, appropriate line parameters are well known.¹¹ If one assumes an aerial conductor of diameter d at a height h above a perfectly conducting ground plane, then

$$L = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{2h}{d}\right) \tag{5}$$

and

$$C = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_0}{\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{2h}{d}\right)}$$
(6)

while if the region between the wire and the ground has a uniform conductivity, σ , then

$$G = \frac{2\pi\sigma}{\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{2h}{d}\right)} \qquad (7)$$

For this example, currents will flow in one direction along the aerial wire and return through the ground plane, with some leakage through the intervening conducting media. For a perfectly conducting ground, the resistance per unit length is zero.

One approach is to simply use these expressions with a time-dependent conductivity in Equation (7), thus giving a time-dependent conductance. The conductivity to be used might be that calculated by a 2-D EMP code which does not include the wire. (Such a calculation also provides the per unit length voltage source, $V_{\rm D}$.)

sectors a restance procession (sectors)

Such an approach does not include the fact that at early times in the source region, air conductivities become large enough to isolate an aerial conductor from the local ground. Return currents will then flow through the conducting air, rather than on the ground plane.

An empirical method for attempting to include this effect⁶ is to define a time-dependent skin depth in the air, $\delta(t)$, where

$$\delta(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\mu_0 \sigma(t)}} \qquad (8)$$

When $\delta(t) < h$, it can be assumed that return currents flow through the air within a distance δ of the wire.

One then uses the coaxial formulas¹¹

$$L = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \ell_n \left(\frac{2\delta(t)}{d}\right)$$
(9)

$$C = \frac{2\pi\epsilon_{o}}{\ln\left(\frac{2\delta(t)}{d}\right)}$$
(10)

$$G = \frac{2\pi\sigma(t)}{\ell_n\left(\frac{2\delta(t)}{d}\right)}$$
(11)

$$R = \frac{1}{\sigma(t)\pi\delta^2(t)} \qquad (12)$$

On the other hand, when the skin depth becomes larger than the wire height above the ground, the previous expressions for the line parameters are applicable.

Some representative results of a transmission line calculation using these expressions are shown in Figure 6. In this case, the assumed geometry is identical to that previously used in the finite-difference calculations (see Figure 1) while the time-dependent source term, V_D , and conductivity, $\sigma(t)$ used in the transmission line calculation were taken from the finite-difference results (at a point distant from the aerial conductor).



100.0

Figure 6. Early-time current waveforms as a function of position on an aerial cable as predicted by a transmission line calculation. Geometry is the same as in Figure 1.

A comparison of these transmission line results with those previously obtained using finite-difference techniques shows little agreement. Transmission line currents rise relatively slowly but continually over the time period calculated, compared to the early time peaks seen in the finite-difference calculations. However, one would somewhat expect the transmission line calculations to be incorrect at early times because many approximations and assumptions inherent to such models are clearly invalid. For example, the air conductivity in any plane perpendicular to the conductor was assumed to be independent of location, an assumption that is demonstrated to be incorrect by the finite-difference results of Figure 4. Transmission line techniques may be more applicable for a buried conductor, however, where the local conductivity is fairly uniform and does not vary with time. Fields generated by Compton currents in the air diffuse into the ground to drive the cable, while return currents must also flow through the conducting soil. Again one can use the concept of a time-dependent skin-depth

$$\delta_{g}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\mu_{o}\sigma_{g}}}$$
(13)

where σ_g is the ground conductivity. As before, this results in timedependent transmission line parameters (see Equations (9) through (12)). However, L, C and G have only a logarithmic time dependence, while the resistance per unit length, R, is approximated by

$$R \simeq \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_g \delta_g^2(t)} = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi t} \qquad (14)$$

The resistance of the current return path through the ground thus decreases linearly with time.

PARAMA AND PARAMA AND PARAMA AND PARAMA AND PARAMA PARAMA SAMAGA AND PARAMA

Results of a transmission line model of coupling to a 10 meter long cable buried one meter deep are shown in Figure 7. Problem parameters are the same as previously discussed with the comparable finite-difference calculation. A comparison of these results with those previously shown in Figure 5 indicates a reasonable agreement between the simple transmission line calculation and finite-difference results. Predicted waveforms have quite similar shapes, although magnitudes differ by about a factor of two.



and a supervise and a supervise and a supervise

Ŷ

C. 55.55



- イベンスマイン アイダイスティー しんさくびんがく こうさいていたい コンシアノイン たいちょうかん ゴイ

Consider now a long buried conductor and its SREMP response at late times (i.e., times of roughly 1 millisecond). Finite-difference timestepping calculations cannot practically be carried out to such late times, but transmission line methods can be. Fortunately, such results at late times can be checked against certain analytic calculations or circuit models.

As an example, consider a conductor (either buried or in the air) of length ℓ (where ℓ may be of the order of 1-2 kilometers). If late-time SREMP fields are slowly changing, skin depths and wavelengths are greater than or equal to cable lengths. Equation (14) indicates that the resistance per unit length of the line is small, as is the inductive impedance at late times. For an insulated line, the late-time current then depends primarily on the loads at the ends of the conductor. If E_0 is the average incident electric field along the conductor, and R_1 and R_2 are resistive loads at each end, then the late-time current is approximated by

$$I = \frac{E_0^{\ell}}{R_1 + R_2 + R^{\ell}}$$
(15)

as is readily seen by a simple equivalent circuit model. If such a line runs radially outward from a nuclear fireball, the load R_1 may be quite small (i.e., the line is shorted to ground by the conducting fireball). The current at late times is thus primarily limited by the load at the far end, R_2 . EMP environment codes indicate that substantial electric fields may exist at late times. For example, an E_0 of even 100 v/m over a kilometer of cable gives a voltage source of 10^5 volts and if R_2 is about 100 ohms, late-time currents of 1000 amps will be generated.

If a cable is uninsulated, late-time results are slightly more complicated because current can leak off the cable along its entire length. However, in the static limit, when a uniform driver is applied to an uninsulated transmission line, one can show that the spatial current is given by Contraction of

$$I(z) = \frac{E_0}{R} - \sqrt{\frac{G}{R}} \left\{ A \exp(\sqrt{RG}z) - B \exp(-\sqrt{RG}z) \right\}$$
(16)

where A and B are constants which depend upon the loads at each end of the line (i.e., A and B are determined by the boundary conditions). For example, with resistive loads R_1 and R_2 , where $R_1=0$, then A=-B and one can show that

$$A = \frac{E_0 R_2 / R}{R_2 \sqrt{\frac{G}{R}} e^{\xi} + e^{-\xi} + e^{\xi} - e^{-\xi}}$$
(17)

where $\xi = \sqrt{RG} \ell$ and ℓ is the length of the line. This solution indicates that there is a characteristic length at late times given by $(RG)^{-1/2}$.

Late-time transmission line calculations can thus be checked against such specific analytic solutions. As an example, consider a 2000 meter long cable, buried in 10^{-3} mho/m soil, driven by the field

$$E_{o}(\tau) = 100(\tau/T)^{-1/2} V/m$$
(18)

where

45

Contraction and and and a second of the

ちってき たいちょう

ションアプリア

「ないのかない」 うちょうかい しょうくくろう

$$\tau$$
 = retarded time = t - z/c

and

T = 10-3 sec

Transmission line calculations of the resulting cable currents for insulated and uninsulated lines are shown in Figures 8 and 9. A comparison of these results at late-times with the analytic expressions in Equations (15) and (16) indicate that the transmission line results do indeed approach the analytic expressions for the static limits.

It is also interesting to note that currents along most of the length of the uninsulated wire exceed those on the insulated wire. At the far end (at R_2), however, the current is smaller for the uninsulated case than for the insulated line.





Figure 8. Current at the center of a 2000 meter long insulated cable (current at other locations along cable is virtually identical).



1. C.L.

REFERENCES

- Longmire, C.L., "On The Electromagnetic Pulse Produced by Nuclear Explosions," <u>IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation</u>, Vol. AP-26, No. 1, January 1978.
- Crevier, W.F., E. Pettus, "Approximate Methods for Calculating the Early Time EMP From Surface Bursts at the Ground in and Near the Source Region," <u>IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science</u>, Vol. NS-26, <u>No. 6</u>.
- Grover, M.K., "Some Analytic Models for Quasi-Static Source Region EMP: Application to Nuclear Lightning," <u>IEEE Transactions on Nuclear</u> Science, Vol. NS-28, No. 1, February 1981.
- 4. Trybus, P.R., A.M. Chodorow, "MLTRANS: A Time-Domain Solution of The Response of Transmission Lines in a Photon Environment," <u>IEEE</u> Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-23, No. 6, December 1976.
- 5. Holland, R., "The EMP Tunnel Code DHTRANS," <u>IEEE Transactions on</u> Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-25, No. 6, December 1978.
- 6. Perala, R.A., S.K. Rogers, "The Effects of Corona on the EMP Response of Insulated Cables Lying on the Surface of the Earth," IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-27, No. 6, December 1980.
- Perala, R.A., R.B. Cook, "The Effects of Dielectric and Soil Nonlinearities on the Electromagnetic Transient Response of Cables Lying on the Surface of the Earth," <u>IEEE Transactions on Nuclear</u> Science, Vol. NS-26, No. 6, December 1979.
- Tumolillo, T.A., et al., "PRES-3D: A Computer Code for SelfConsistent Solution of Maxwell-Lorentz Three-Species Air Chemistry Equations in Three Dimensions," IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-24, No. 6, December 1977.

- 9. Merewether, D.E., W.A. Radasky, "Non-Linear Electromagnetic Fields Within a Cylindrical Cavity Excited by Ionizing Radiation," <u>IEEE</u> Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-21, No. 1, February 1974.
- 10. Vance, E.F., Coupling to Shielded Cables, John Wiley & Sons, 1978.

ĵ

- Ramo, S., J.R. Whinnery, T. Van Duzer, <u>Fields and Waves in Communica-</u> tion Electronics, John Wiley & Sons, New York 1965.
- 12. Lee, K.S.H., "Two Parallel Terminated Conductors in External Fields," <u>IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility</u>, Vol. EMC-20, May 1978.
- 13. Agrawal, A.K., H.J. Price, S.H. Gurbaxani, "Transient Response of Multiconductor Transmission Lines Excited by a Nonuniform Electromagnetic Field," <u>IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic</u> Compatibility, Vol. EMC-22, No. 2, May 1980.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Assistant to the Secy of Def Atomic Energy ATTN: Executive Asst ATTN: Military Applications Defense Communications Agency ATTN: Code 312 ATTN: Code C313 Defense Communications Engineer Ctr ATTN: Code R123 ATTN: Code R720, C. Stansberry ATTN: Code R400 Defense Intelligence Agency ATTN: RTS-2A ATTN: DB 4C2, D. Spohn Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: NATA ATTN: STNA ATTN: RAEV 2 cy ATTN: RAEE 4 cy ATTN: TITL Defense Tech Info Ctr 12 cy ATTN: DD Field Command DNA Det 1 Lawrence Livermore Lab ATTN: FC-1 Field Command Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: FCPR ATTN: FCTT ATTN: FCTXE ATTN: FCLMC, H. Putnam ATTN: FCTT, G. Ganong ATTN: FCTT, W. Summa Interservice Nuclear Weapons School ATTN: TTV Joint Chiefs of Staff ATTN: J-3 DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY BMD Systems Command ATTN: BMDSC-AOLIB ATTN: BMDSC-HLE, R. Webb Research & Dev Center ATTN: DRDCO-SEI ATTN: DRCPM-ATC US Army Communications Command ATTN: CC-OPS-PD ATTN: ATSI-CD-MD ATTN: CC-LOG-LEO ATTN: CC-OPS-OS US Army Engineer Div, Huntsville ATTN: HNDED-SR

DEPARIMENT OF THE ARMY (Continued) US Army Nuclear & Chemical Agency ATTN: MONA-WE Harry Diamond Labs ATTN: DELHD-NW-E ATTN: 00100, Commander, Tech Dir, Div Dir ATTN: DELHD-NW-EB ATTN: DELHD-NW-ED ATTN: NWPO ATTN: DELHD-R ATTN: DELHD-NW, J. Bombardt ATTN: DELHD-NW-EE ATTN: DELHD-TD ATTN: DELHD-NW-EC DELHD-NW-EA ATTN: ATTN: DELHD-TF ATTN: DELHD-NW-P ATTN: DELHD-TA-L 2 CV ATTN: DELHD-NW-RC DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY Naval Ocean Systems Center ATTN: Code 54, C. Fletcher ATTN: Code 08, J. Rockway ATTN: Code 7309, R. Greenwell Naval Postgraduate School ATTN: Code 1424, Library Naval Research Lab ATTN: Code 2627, D. Folen ATTN: Code 1434, E. Brancato ATTN: Code 4700, W. Ali ATTN: Code 2000, J. Brown ATTN: Code 5623, R. Statler Code 6750 ATTN: ATTN: Code 4701, I. Vitokovitsky ATTN: Code 6624 ATTN: Code 4760, R. Grieg Naval Surface Weapons Ctr ATTN: Code F-56 Naval Surface Weapons Ctr ATTN: Code F32, E. Rathbun ATTN: Code F30 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE Air Force Weapons Lab ATTN: NTYEE, C. Baum ATTN: NTYC, M. Schneider ATTN: CA ATTN: NXS ATTN: NTYEP, W. Page ATTN: NT ATTN: SUL ATTN: NTN Ballistic Missile Office ATTN: ENSN, W. Wilson ATTN: ENSN, W. Clark ATTN: M. Stapanian 2 cy ATTN: ENSN



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE (Continued)

Air University Library ATTN: AUL-LSE

Air Logistics Command ATTN: OO-ALC/MMEDO, L. Kidman ATTN: OO-ALC/MMETH, P. Berthel ATTN: OO-ALC/MM

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY

Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: OSWR/NED

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY CONTRACTORS

University of California Lawrence Livermore National Lab nce Livermore National Lab ATTN: L-10, H. Kruger ATTN: L-13, D. Meeker ATTN: L-156, H. Cabayan ATTN: L-97, T. Donich ATTN: L-97, E. Miller ATTN: Tech Info Dept Library Sandia-National Labs ATTN: C. Vittitoe ATTN: T. Martin ATTN: R. Parker ATTN: G. Yonas ATTN: Org 9336, E. Hartman DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS **BDM** Corp ATTN: Corporate Library ATTN: S. Clark ATTN: W. Sweeney American Telephone & Telegraph Co ATTN: W. Edwards BDM Corp ATTN: Library Boeing Co ATTN: Kent Tech Library ATTN: D. Kemle H. Wicklein ATTN: ATTN: B. Hanrahan Dikewood ATTN: Tech Lib for C. Jones ATTN: Tech Library ATTN: Tech Lib for D. Pirio Dikewood Corporation ATTN: K. Lee EG&G Wash Analytical Svcs Ctr, Inc ATTN: C. Giles Electro-Magnetic Applications, Inc ATTN: D. Merewether General Electric Co ATTN: J. Andrews ATTN: D. Nepveux ATTN: J. Peden

15555551 (255555) (2555555) (2555555)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) GTE Communications Products Corp ATTN: D. Flood ATTN: C. Ramsbottom ATTN: J. Concordia ATTN: J. Waldron ATTN: A. Novenski ATTN: I. Kohlberg ATTN: C. Thornhill IRT Corp ATTN: N. Rudie ATTN: B. Williams JAYCOR 4 cy ATTN: D. Higgins 4 cy ATTN: W. Radasky 4 cy ATTN: T. Tumolillo 4 cy ATTN: K. Smith 4 cy ATTN: J. Wondra JAYCOR ATTN: E. Wenaas ATTN: R. Stahl JAYCOR ATTN: Library Kaman Sciences Corp ATTN: N. Beauchamp ATTN: F. Shelton ATTN: A. Bridges ATTN: W. Rich Kaman Tempo ATTN: DASIAC ATTN: W. McNamara ATTN: R. Rutherford ATTN: W. Hobbs Jr Kaman Tempo ATTN: DASIAC Martin Marietta Corp ATTN: J. Casalese 2 cy ATTN: M. Griffith McDonnell Douglas Corp ATTN: S. Schneider ATTN: Tech Library Svcs Mission Research Corp ATTN: EMP Group ATTN: W. Crevier 2 cy ATTN: C. Longmire Mission Research Corp ATTN A. Chodorow ATTN: M. Scales Mission Research Corp, San Diero ATTN: B. Passenheim Mission Research Corporation ATTN: W. Ware ATTN: W. Stark ATTN: J. Lubell Pacific-Sierra Research Corp ATTN: H. Brode, Chairman Sage ATTN: L. Schlessinger

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

R&D Associates ATTN: C. Mo ATTN: M. Grover ATTN: W. Karzas ATTN: Document Control ATTN: W. Graham ATTN: P. Haas Rand Corp ATTN: P. Davis ATTN: Lib-D Rand Corp ATTN: B. Bennett Raytheon Co ATTN: H. Flescher ATTN: M Nucefora IIT Research Institute

Ň

IIT Research Institute ATTN: I. Mindel ATTN: J. Bridges Science & Engrg Associates, Inc ATTN: V. Jones Science Applications, Inc ATTN: W. Chadsey SRI International ATTN: A. Whitson ATTN: E. Vance Teledyne Brown Engineering ATTN: F. Leopard ATTN: J. Whitt TRW Electronics & Defense Sector ATTN: J. Whitt TRW Electronics & Defense Sector ATTN: H. Holloway ATTN: O. Adams ATTN: R. Plebuch ATTN: L. Magnolia ATTN: W. Gargaro

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

GTE Communications Products Corp ATTN: L. Blaisdell













