



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



Robry-Porot Interference Filters

5

11-17

Prepared by

R. W. F. GROSS Autophysics Laboratory" Laboratory Operations The Autophysics Corporation El Segundo, Calif. 90245

1 December 1983

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

Prepared for

SPACE DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND Los Angeles Air Force Station P.O. Box 52500, Worldway Postal Center Los Angeles, Calif. 90009

 \mathbf{v}_{i}



\$

13651

P

1.00

1

84 · 01 03 011

This report was submitted by The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA 90245, under Contract No. F04701~83~C-0084 with the Space Division, P.O. Box 92960, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA 90009. It was reviewed and approved for The Aerospace Corporation by W. P. Thompson, Jr., Director Aerophysics Laboratory. Capt. D. H. Olsen SD/YNS was the project officer for the Mission-Oriented Investigation and Experimentation (MOIE) Program.

This report has been reviewed by the Public Affairs Office (PAS) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication. Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

Douglas H. Olsen, Captain, USAF Project Officer

Joseph Hess, GS-15, Director West Coast Office, AF Space Technology Center

REPORT DOCIMENTATIC		READ DISTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
3D-TR-83-71	A136511	
TITLE (and Subtitio)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
abry-Perot Interference Filter		
		5. PERFORMING ORS. REPORT NUMBER TR-0084(4930-03)-1
AUTHOR(a)		S. CONTRACT OR BRANT NUMBER(a)
. W. F. Gross		F04701-83-C-0084
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDR	£\$\$	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT HUMBERS
1 Segundo, Calif 90245		
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
pace Division ir Force Systems Command		1 December 1983
os Angeles, Calif 90009		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSII ditt	scent from Controlling Office)	IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		ILA DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
الخبار الكابا ويستبار والمجاز فبستهن والبابي والمحوي المحمد ويستعد ومعار بالهم		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amper) pproved for public release; dif DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element enter	stribution unlimite	rd.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) pproved for public release; dif DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abovest enter	stribution unlimite	ed . E Aupert)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) pproved for public release; di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element ente SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	stribution unlimite	ed. - Report
CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amport) Approved for public release; dif DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above on the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde H according	stribution unlimite	id. • Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; dif DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the deficer onto SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES REV WORDS (Continue on reverse side if according fabry-Perot Filters Discrimination of Coherent Radia Aunable Interference Filters	etribution unlimite red in Block 20, 11 different for , and identify by Most ambou Quarter-i ation Optical 1	ed. - Auperty Neve Stack Filters Milters
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amper) Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abovest only SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES REY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if accessor /abry-Perot Filters Discrimination of Coherent Radia Aunable Interference Filters	at ibution unlimite red in Block 20, 11 different in r and identify by block number) Quarter-i ation Optical I and identify by block numbers	d. <i>Report</i> kave Stack Filters Milters
CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mis Report) pproved for public release; dia DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abovest entre SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORCE (Continue on reverse olde H according Cabry-Perot Filters Discrimination of Coherent Radia unable Interference Filters ASSTRACY (Continue on reverse olde H monomery The discrimination of coherent, light radiation can be accompli filters that make use of the co	atribution unlimite red in Block 20, 11 different for r and thinkly by block ambter Quarter-i ation Optical 1 and idmith by block amber laser radiation f shed with properly herent nature of 1. 1 character. In Pl	Accession of the second of the
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrons entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES REY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds If necessary abry-Perot Filters Discrimination of Coherent Radio Aunable Interference Filters AGGTRACT (Continue on reverse olds If necessary The discrimination of coherent, light radiation can be accomplia filters that make use of the co tion to its narrow-band spectra that we wish to block high poys impeding its ability to "see" 1 meak continuum sources. We ama	atribution unlimite and in Block 20, 11 different for y and binnify by block multiply Quarter-in ation Optical I and identify by block number; laser radiation f shed with properly herent nature of 1. 1 character. In the r laser radiation is ight emitted by broken of 1. I she the types of 1. I she with properly the section is the section of 1. I character. In the section is the s	Appendo Append
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of his Report) Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the definest entre SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES REV WORDS (Continue on reverse olds If according 'abry-Perot Filters Discrimination of Coherent Radia Aunable Interference Filters ASTRACT (Continue on reverse olds If according The discrimination of coherent, light radiation can be accomplis filters that make use of the co tion to its narrow-band spectra that we wish to block high pope impeding its ability to bee 1 reak continuum sources. We sna	atribution unlimite and in Block 20, 11 different in and identify by block mather Quarter-i ation Optical I and identify by block mather laser radiation f shed with properly herent nature of 1 1 character. In the r laser radiation for ight emitted by browners lyse two types of 1 1	Approv Approv
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mis Report) pproved for public release; dia DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mo downed entre SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side H secondary abry-Perot Filters iscrimination of Coherent Radia unable Interference Filters ASTRACT (Continue on reverse side H secondary The discrimination of coherent, ight radiation can be accomplia 'ilters that make use of the co ion to its narrow-band spectra that we wish to block high power mpeding its ability to "see" 1 weak continuum sources. We ana FORM 1478 ratements	atribution unlimite and Manufactory Means and Quarter-in ation Optical I and Manufactory Means and and Means and and and Means and and and and and Means and and and and and and and and	Approv Approv

UNCLASSIFIED ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PASE (Then Date Sale KEY WORDS (Continued) ABSTRACT (Co ilters: 1) the tunable two- or multiplate Fabry-Perot interferometer and 2) a multiplate stack of 1/4-wave plates. It is shown that the Fabry-Perot interferometer has a limited rejection ratio for coherent radiation, but offers the possibility of a relatively large tuning range. By contrast, the rejection ratio for coherent radiation of quarter-wave plates can be made as large as (0) with a white-light transmission of 20%, and a bandwidth (A)(1) = 0.03. However, the tuning range of such a stack will be limited. will be limited. 100000 delta lambéa /lambéa sub O Accession For NTIS GR:&I DTIC T'B Unannounced [] Justification. By____ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Special Dist UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE/Then Date Enter

the second

eres an

C. . Martin Barris and Street and

· Sec

President Aler

FABRY-PEROT INTERFERENCE FILTERS

Air-spaced Fabry-Perot (FP) interferometers allow one to discriminate narrow-band, monochromatic, coherent radiation from wide-band, incoherent, white light radiation. Used as filters they offer a number of advantages over other interference filters. Foremost is their tunability and high spectral resolution. In addition they are simple, rugged, relatively immune to high energy radiation, have large apertures, large throughputs, and tolerate large angles of incidence. Properly designed they have a contrast ratio of near 100 in discriminating laser radiation from white light sources.

In general a Fabry-Perot filter consists of a pair of flat, transparent plates separated by a gap of width d. The plates are coated with reflection coatings of reflectivity R on their insides, and with anti-reflection coatings on their outsides. Radiation enters the FP through one plate and exits through the other.

Such an interferometer will transmit coherent radiation of wavelength λ_0 at all places in the spectrum where¹

$$\frac{2nd}{q} \cos \theta = \lambda_0 \tag{1}$$

Here n is the refractive index of the gas between the plates, θ is the angle of incidence of the incoming radiation, and q = 1, 2, 3, 4 ... are the orders of interference. This equation shows that by changing d or n the filter can be tuned to transmit the coherent radiation at $\lambda = \lambda_0$. All other radiation, which is either incoherent or is coherent but does not coincide with the resonances of the filter, is reflected back at the source. The FP filter allows us, therefore, to discriminate white light sources from coherent

sources as, for example, lasers. The proper use of the FP interferometer is, therefore, as a reflection filter that will reflect incoherent light and pass coherent radiation.

The resonances of the various orders of the interferometer are separated from each other in wavelength by the free spectral range of the interferometer $(\Delta\lambda)_{FSP}$ given by¹ (for $\theta=0$, n=1)

$$(\Delta\lambda)_{\rm FSR} = \lambda_0/q = \lambda_0^2/2d \tag{2}$$

Each resonance has a bandwidth (FWHM) of $(\delta\lambda)_{BW}$ depending on the reflectivity R of the plates¹:

$$(\delta\lambda)_{\rm BW} = \frac{\lambda_0^{(1-R)}}{q \pi R^{1/2}} = \frac{\lambda_0^2(1-R)}{2 d \pi R^{1/2}}$$
 (3)

These equations show that by making the plate separation d large, or what is the same, by making the order of interference q large, many, very narrow transmission resonances can be generated covering the transmission band of the coatings of the interferometer. It is also seen that the bandwidth of the resonances goes to zero as the reflectivity R of the coatings approaches 1. As an example let R = 0.8, d = 1 cm, $\lambda_0 = 4$ µm then $(\Delta\lambda)_{\rm FSR} = 8.0 \times 10^{-4}$ µm and $(\delta\lambda)_{\rm BW} = 5.7 \times 10^{-5}$ µm. Typical laser lines (DF laser with $\lambda_0 = 4$ µm) have a line width (laser modes) of $\Delta\lambda_{\rm LM} = 5.3 \times 10^{-7}$ µm with the modes covering a wavelength region (DF Doppler line width) of $\Delta\lambda_{\rm DL} = 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ µm. It can be seen that if the transmission of the FP filter could be made large at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ a large fraction of the laser radiation would pass the filter and would, therefore, be eliminated from the incoherent radiation reflected into the incoherent detector.

The contrast ratio C for discriminating incoherent from coherent radiation in the light reflected from the FP filter will be defined as

$$C = \frac{R_{inc}}{R_{coh}}$$
(4)

where R_{coh} is the reflection coefficient of the FP for coherent radiation at $\lambda = \lambda_0$, the wavelength of the laser, and R_{inc} is the reflection coefficient for incoherent radiation.

The transmission coefficient for coherent radiation at $\lambda = \lambda_0$, the peak of the FP transmission band, is¹

$$T_{\rm coh} = (1 - \frac{A}{1-R})^2$$
 (5)

so that the coherent reflection coefficient is given by

$$R_{coh} = 1 - T_{coh} = 1 - (1 - \frac{A}{1-R})^2$$
 (6)

Here A is the absorption coefficient of the FP reflection coatings. For very good dielectric coatings $A = 2 \times 10^{-3}$.²

The reflection coefficient for incoherent, white light of a Fabry-Perot of high reflectivity, i.e., when reflections from the second plate can be neglected, is simply $R_{inc} = R$. In the more general case, including one reflection from the second plate, it can be shown

$$R_{inc} = R(1 + (1 - R)^2)$$
(7)

so that finally

$$C = \frac{R(1 + (1 - R)^2)}{1 - [1 - A/(1 - R)]^2}$$
(8)

This function has a maximum for R = 0.40

$$C_{\text{max}} = \frac{0.54}{1 - (1 - 1.67 \text{ A})^2}$$
(9)

which for A << 1 becomes approximately

$$C_{max} \approx 0.163/A$$
 (10)

For $A = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ the contrast ratio reaches a maximum of $C_{max} = 82$, the highest value achievable with good reflection coatings.

Assuming again a laser line at $\lambda_0 = 4.0 \ \mu\text{m}$, d = 1 cm, and optimum reflection coatings, one finds a free spectral range $(\Delta\lambda)_{BW} = 2.42 \ \text{x} \ 10^{-4}$ for this contrast-optimized FP filter. The "DF-laser line" at 4 μm would therefore be adequately covered by this FP, and 99.3% of the incident laser radiation would pass the interferometer reflecting only 0.66%. At the same time 54% of the incident incoherent radiation would be reflected by the FP.

To tune the FP to the laser line at $\lambda = \lambda_0$, it would be sufficient to bring one of the many resonances into agreement with the laser wavelength, i.e., the FP has to be tuned at most by half a free spectral range. If this tuning were done by changing the separation d between the plates, then d will have to be changed by a distance Δd :

$$\Delta d = \frac{q(\Delta \lambda)_{FSR}}{4} = \lambda_0 / 4 = 1 \ \mu m \quad \text{at} \ \lambda_0 = 4 \ \mu m$$

which is easily accomplished with the aid of a piezo-electric transducer in times of the order of 10 msec.

It has been suggested that a FP interferometer in the transmission mode be used as a fast blocking shutter for a relatively wide-band detector filter and that this could be achieved by making the plate separation d very small. To pass, e.g., a 0.4 μ m band through a FP interferometer at 4 μ m the plate separation d has to be

$$d = 6.4 \times 10^{-4} (1-R)/R^{1/2} cm$$

For all reasonable values of R = O(1) d will be of the order of 10 µm, or of the dimensions of unavoidable dirt on the plates. It will simply be impossible to move the plates so close to each other. A slight relaxation of this condition can be achieved by using two interferometers in series that are detuned with respect to each other so that their common transmission bandwidth becomes twice that of a single FP. The improvement is only a factor of two at best, achieved at the expense of a reduced incoherent transmission.

Much better discrimination in a transmission Fabry-Perot interferometer filter can be obtained by using quarter-wave plate stacks.³ In this interferometer a number N of plates of index of refraction n and thickness t are separated by air spaces of width d. The plates are not coated, which makes this interferometer largely immune to high power radiation. The thickness t of the plates is chosen such that $nt = q \lambda/4$ where q = 1, 3, 5, ... Likewise, the air spaces are adjusted to make the optical path $d = q \lambda/4$. Interference of coherent radiation takes place both inside the plates and inside the air spaces, so that this FP is only marginally tunable. The interference inside the plates and gaps is, however, now destructive, so that the stack is

5

MARRIE PLANET --- THE

transparent for incoherent radiation and blocks coherent laser radiation at all frequencies where

$$\lambda = 4n t/q$$

The transmission coefficient for coherent radiation at the peak of one of the resonances is given by^3

$$T_{coh} = 1 - (\frac{1 - n^{2N}}{1 + n^{2N}})^2$$

and for incoherent radiation

$$T_{inc} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n+2}\right)^2\right]^N$$

so that the contrast ratio C for discriminating coherent from incoherent radiation in transmission is

$$C = \frac{T_{inc}}{T_{coh}}$$

Table 1 gives values of C and T_{inc} for a number of IR transmitting materials as a function of the number N of plates in the stack.

Table 1. Contrast Ratio and Transmission of 1/4-Wave Stacks

			Zn	Se	SI		Ge	}
	n =	1.5	<u>n</u> . =	2.4	n = 3	.5	n =	4.1
N	С	Tinc	С	Tinc	С	Tinc	С	Tinc
2	1.67	0.92	6.1	0.69	18.2	0.48	28.3	0.40
3	3.0	0.89	27.6	0.57	152	0.33	298	0.89
4	5.9	0.85	131	0.48	1290	0.23	3160	0.16
5	12.2	0.82	626	0.40	9000	0.16		
6	25.8	0.78	2990	0.33				
8	119	0.72	68500	0.23				
10	553	0.67						

It is seen that very high rejection ratios can be obtained at relatively high incoherent transmission. It is also obvious that a much larger number of plates is required, if materials of low refractive index are used. However, stacks of low index plates exhibit higher incoherent transmission coefficients for the same contrast ratio and are, therefore, preferable from this point of view.

The bandwidth of the rejection resonances of the filter is given by 3

$$(\delta\lambda)_{BW} = \frac{4\lambda}{\pi(2N-1)} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{1-n}{1+n}\right)$$

and their separation, i.e., their free spectral range, by

$$(\Delta\lambda)_{\rm FSR} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rm nt}$$

The free spectral range depends only on the thickness of the plates and their refractive index, whereas the width of the reflection maximum decreases with increasing number of plates. The bandwidth also decreases with decreasing index of refraction n, as can be seen from Table 2, which lists relative bandwidths for the materials of Table 1 and various stacks.

Table 2. Bandwidth $(\delta\lambda/\lambda_0)_{BW}$ of 1/4-Wave Stacks

		ZnSe	S1	Ge
N	n=1.5	n=2.4	n=3.5	n=4.1
2	0.086	0.18	0.25	0.28
3	0.051	0.11	0.15	0.17
4	0.037	0.077	0.11	0.12
5	0.029	0.060	0.082	
6	0.024	0.049		
8	0.017	0.036		
10	0.014			

The expressions presented here for the transmission of quarter-wave stacks as a function of wavelength apply only to the transmission at the resonance peaks. There are a number of secondary resonances spaced between the major peaks for which the coherent transmission is reduced but to a smaller degree only. The full wavelength dependent transmission coefficient of this type of FP has to be calculated from the complete interference theory.

It should also be stressed again that interferences, and therefore filter rejection, occur only for radiation with a coherence length

$$t_{\rm coh} = (\Delta \lambda)_{\rm source} / 2\pi >> t,d$$

where $(\Delta\lambda)_{\text{source}}$ is the line width of the source radiation. For white light and any radiation for which $t_{\text{coh}} \ll t$, d no transmission or rejection maxima occur, and the transmission of the filter is uniform in wavelength over the entire spectral transmission range of the materials used for its construction.⁴

REFERENCES

- P. D. Atherton, N. K. Reay, and J. Ring, "Tunable Fabry-Perot Filters," Opt. Eng., <u>20</u>, 806-814, 1981.
- 2. Burleigh Tech. Memo No. FP 140 475, Fabry Perots, Burleigh Instruments, Inc., Fishers, NY 14453, 1975.
- W. G. Discroll, and W. Vaughan, <u>Handbook of Optics</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1978, pp. 8-58 - 8-67.
- 4. M. Born and E. Wolf, Principles of Optics, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975.

S. Call March

LABORATORY OPERATIONS

The Laboratory Operations of The Aerospace Corporation is conducting experimental and theoretical investigations necessary for the evaluation and application of scientific advances to new military space systems. Versatility and flexibility have been developed to a high degree by the laboratory personnel in dealing with the many problems encountered in the nation's rapidly developing space systems. Expertise in the latest scientific developments is vital to the accomplishment of tasks related to these problems. The laboratories that contribute to this research are:

<u>Aerophysics Laboratory</u>: Launch vehicle and reentry aerodynamics and heat transfer, propulsion chemistry and fluid mechanics, structural mechanics, flight dynamics; high-temperature thermomechanics, gas kinetics and radiation; research in environmental chemistry and contamination; cw and pulsed chemical laser development including chemical kinetics, spectroscopy, optical resonators and beam pointing, atmospheric propagation, laser effects and countermeasures.

<u>Chemistry and Physics Laboratory</u>: Atmospheric chemical reactions, atmospheric optics, light scattering, state-specific chemical reactions and radiation transpott in rocket plumes, applied laser spectroscopy, laser chemistry, battery electrochemistry, space vacuum and radiation effects on materials, lubrication and surface phenomena, thermionic emission, photomensitive materials and detectors, atomic frequency standards, and bioenvironmental research and monitoring.

<u>Electronics Research Laboratory</u>: Microelectronics, GaAs low-noise and power devices, semiconductor lasers, electromagnetic and optical propagation phenomena, quantum electronics, laser communications, lidar, and electro-optics; communication sciences, applied electronics, semiconductor crystal and device physics, radiometric imaging; millimeter-wave and microwave technology.

Information Sciences Research Office: Program verification, program translation, performance-sensitive system design, distributed architectures for spaceborne computers, fault-tolerant computer systems, artificial intelligence, and microelectronics applications.

<u>Materials Sciences Laboratory</u>: Development of new materials: metal matrix composites, polymers, and new forms of carbon; component failure analysis and reliability; fracture mechanics and stress corrosion; evaluation of materials in space environment; materials performance in space transportation systems; analysis of systems vulnerability and survivability in enemy-induced environments.

<u>Space Sciences Laboratory</u>: Atmospheric and ionospheric physics, radiation from the atmosphere, density and composition of the upper atmosphere, aurorae and sirglow; magnetospheric physics, cosmic rays, generation and propagation of plasma waves in the magnetosphere; solar physics, infrared astronomy; the effects of nuclear explosions, magnetic storms, and solar activity on the earth's atmosphere, ionosphere, and magnetosphere; the effects of optical, electromagnetic, and particulate radiations in space on space systems.

