



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A

1

0A136455

AN ADAPTIVE, NOISE TOLERANT, FREQUENCY EXTRAPOLATION ALGORITHM FOR DIFFRACTION CORRECTED ULTRASOUND TOMOGRAPHY

> F. Stenger^{1+2*} M. J. Berggren^{2†} S. A. Johngon^{2†} Y. Li^{2*}

*Department of Mathematics Department of Bioengineering University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

= 546 I

The outhout In our previous work [1], a procedure was de-veloped, based on algorithms for rational function frequency extrapolation and % -norm averaging to circumvent both the effects of noise, and errors due to refracted curved paths, for solving the indue to refracted curves parties, the contraction of the first of the f In this paper, we reverse the order of the first two algorithms with respect to [1]; that is, we first apply the 4 averaging procedure and then carry out the rational function procedure, extrapolating to infinite frequency. An adaptive method for choosing the best rational function expansion is also employed. We describe the underlying ideas of the procedure, and we illustrate examples of reconstructions in the presence and absence of Gaussian noise. These results are then compared with results of previous experiments. The resulting procedure works with a much smaller signal-tonoise ratio. An extension is suggested to image speed of sound and density.

Abstract

¹ Supported by U.S. Armay Research Contract No. DAAG 29 83 K 0012.

² Supported by National Institute of Health Grant No. 5R01-CA29728-02 and American Cancer Society No. PD-110C.

N

1. Mathematical Basis for the Algorithms

1.1 The Physical Problem

Let us assume that sound at high frequency penetrates a body B immersed in fluid. We denote the spatial sound pressure and the corresponding sound source by u and u^i , respectively. The velocity of sound in the fluid is given by c, and the velocity of sound in the body by c(r). A particular path along which sound travels from a source point r to a detector point r is denoted by L if it is a straight line path and by P if it is a curved path.

83

TTE FILE COPY



RO 19297.5-MA

1.2 Differential Equations and Their Asymptotic Solutions

Several derivations exist in the literature of partial differential equations which govern the way sound travels through B. For example, the Helmholtz equation model is given by

$$\nabla^2 u + k^2 u = -k^2 f u$$
 (1.1)

where

$$f(\bar{r}) = \frac{c^2}{c^2(\bar{r})} - 1, \ k = \frac{\omega}{c_0}.$$
 (1.2)

The Ricatti model,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{w} + \nabla \mathbf{w} + \nabla \mathbf{w} + \frac{2\nabla u_i}{u_i} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{k}^2 f \qquad (1.3)$$

is obtained from (1.1) by applying the transform

$$u(\bar{r}) = u_{r}(\bar{r}) \exp(w)$$
 (1.4)

The Rytov model is obtained from (1.3) by dropping the term containing $\nabla w \cdot \nabla w$.

A differential equation governing the flow of sound through B may depend on other properties of B, such as the density $\rho(\bar{r})$ and the compressibility $\kappa(\bar{r})$. In general, such an equation may take the form

Au + F(r,
$$p(r)$$
, $\kappa(r)$, u, k) = 0 (1.5)

where A is a differential operator.

ł

The success of the asymptotic procedure depends on our knowing an asymptotic expression for some function $\phi(u, k)$ which we can compute or measure for a range of values of k. For example, $\phi(u, k)$ may have the asymptotic expression

$$\phi(u, k) = a(k) [v(\kappa, \rho) + \varepsilon(k, \kappa, \rho)]$$
 (1.6)

where a(k) is a known function,

$$\varepsilon(k, \kappa, p) = O(k^{-0})$$
 (1.7)

as $k + \omega$, and where σ is a positive number. In addition, it is desirable to know that $\phi(u, k)$ is an analytic function of k in some sector $\{kcC: |arg(k - k_{,})| \le d\}$. We can then compute $v(\kappa, \rho)$ via the Thiele algorithm, even though $\varepsilon(k, \kappa, \rho)$ may not be small over the range of values of k on which we know ϕ .

Now, if ϕ is known and can be evaluated for k on a finite interval, such as $I = \{k:k_{\min} \ k = k_{\max}\}$, then we can apply the Thiele algorithm to the 2m + 1 values

$$\rho_{0}^{j} \equiv \frac{\phi(u,k_{j})}{a(k_{j})}, j = 0, 1, ..., 2m; (1.8)$$
$$k_{j} \in I, k_{j} \text{ distinct.}$$

to accurately estimate $v(\kappa, \rho)$. The Thiele algorithm [2, 3, 4] involves using ρ^{J} defined in (1.8), and then computing the numbers⁰

$$\rho_{1}^{j} = \frac{k_{j+1} - k_{j}}{\rho_{0}^{j+1} - \rho_{0}^{j}}, j = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1$$

$$\rho_{i}^{j} = \rho_{i-2}^{j+1} + \frac{k_{j+i} - k_{j}}{\rho_{i-1}^{j+1} - \rho_{i-1}^{j}}, j = 0, \dots, 2m - i$$
(1.9)

Some of these numbers can be used in a truncated continued fraction expansion of a rational function which interpolates the data ρ^{J} . That is, this rational function R(k) is given by the continued fraction

$$R(k) = \rho_{0}^{0} + \frac{k - k_{0}}{\rho_{1}^{0}} + \frac{k - k_{1}}{\rho_{2}^{0} - \rho_{0}^{0}} + \dots + \frac{k - k_{2m-1}}{\rho_{2m}^{0} - \rho_{2m-2}^{0}}$$
(1.10)

and satisfies

$$R(k_j) = \rho_0^j, j = 0, 1, ..., 2m$$
 (1.11)

It is easy to see that the rational function (1.10) also has the form

$$R(k) = \frac{\rho_{2k}^{o}k^{m} + c_{1}k^{m-1} + \dots + c_{m}}{k^{m} + d1 \ k^{m-1} + \dots + d_{m}}$$
(1.12)

where ρ_{2m}^0 is the last entry computed in the table (1.9), and where c_i and d_i (i = 1, 2, ..., m) are the same constants. Hence, in addition to (1.11), R(k) also satisfies the relation,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} R(k) = \rho_{2m}^0 \qquad (1.13)$$

i.e., we have the approximation,

$$\mathbf{v}(\kappa,\rho) \stackrel{\sim}{=} \rho_{2\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{0}}. \qquad (1.14)$$

For example, for the case of the Helmholtz model (1.1), we have

$$\phi(u,k) \equiv \frac{1}{ik} \log u_k(\bar{r}) \bigg|_{\bar{r}_g}^{\bar{r}_d} = \int_{P} \sqrt{1 + f(\bar{r})} ds + 0(k^{-\sigma}),$$

$$k + m$$
(1.15)

in which $v = \int_{P} \sqrt{1+1} ds$, for the case of the Rytov approximation we have

$$\phi(u,k) \equiv \frac{2}{ik} \mathbf{w}_{k}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) \bigg|_{\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{s}}^{\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{d}} = \int_{L} f(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) ds + O(k^{-\sigma}), k + \mathbf{e}$$
(1.16)

where in (1.16), L is a straight line path joining \bar{r} to \bar{r} , and $v = \int_{L} f \, ds$. The examples of this paper^B are based upon using (1.14) and (1.16). Eight significant figure accuracy is attainable, using 11(m = 5) or 13(m = 6) values for a 4 mm (FWHM) object with no of noise. However, the presence of noise diminishes this accuracy considerably, although it is possible to admit several powers of ten times as much noise via the use of L_1 averaging.

If the influence of noisy data is not unduly destructive, we can use the above procedure to recover two functions $f(\kappa, \rho)$ and $g(\kappa, \rho)$ provided that a(k) and $\delta > 0$ is known, and $\sigma > 0$ in the expression,

$$\phi(u,k) = a(k) \{f(\kappa,\rho) + k^{-\delta}[g(\kappa,\rho) + O(k^{-\sigma})]\}$$
(1.17)

In this case, we can recover $f(\kappa, \rho)$ by sampling

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\phi(u,k)}{a(k)} \tag{1.18}$$

Once $f(\kappa,\rho)$ has been determined via (1.14), we can apply the Thiele algorithm to

$$V = k^{\delta} \left[\frac{\phi(u,k)}{a(k)} - f(\kappa, p) \right]$$
(1.19)

to get g(x,p).

1

2. 1₁ Averaging

In [1], 1, averaging was carried out after

and the second of the second of the

application of the Thiele algorithm. We have since found that we can tolerate considerably more noise in the data by applying 1, averaging before application of the Thiele algorithm.

Since the data are complex numbers, actual 1, averaging is too difficult numerically. Instead, we carry out an 1, average on both the real and imaginary parts of the data separately. The process is very simple, and works as follows.

Given a set of real numbers $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{H}$, we want to find a real number c which minimizes the sum

$$S(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} |a_i - c|$$
 (2.1)

The solution is

$$c^* = a_j$$
 (2.2)

where a_j is one of the values of $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^M$. Note that for even M, j may have two solution values. For odd M, c^m is the median, hence, the problem of finding c^m reduces to finding the minimum of the values

$$S(a_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} |a_i - a_j|$$
 (2.3)

with respect to j.

.

3. An Adaptive Feature

The method of t averaging provides a convenient procedure for adaptively choosing the number of terms in the rational function R(k). For noisy data or for slowly changing ρ^{j} with respect to k_{j} , a better R(k) is obtained by a rational function with a swall number of terms.

In our test examples which follow, the method of f. averaging was also applied to the odd rows (i = 0, 2, 4, ...) of the entries in the ρ table. For example, if all of the values of ρ^{j} in (1.8) are the same, there is no point in continuing, since this value is then also the limit as k + ∞ of R(k). Due to round-off error, the criterion actually used was to construct the ρ_{j}^{j} entries of a particular row "2i", find the f_{1} average, c, with respect to j as described above, and then terminate the computations whenever the number of ρ_{j}^{i} (i fixed) such that $\left|\rho_{j}^{j} - c\right| = \delta$ was greater than the number of ρ_{j} such that $\left|\rho_{j}^{j} - c\right| > \delta$ for some small δ .

4. Numerical Experiments

We have tested the application of these methods with a series of computer simulations on the example illustrated in Fig. 1. This test case consisted of four objects with a distribution given by 1 + 12

$$f(r) = e^{-b|r-r_j|^2}$$
 (4.1)



Fig. 1. Digitized image of test objects on a 41 x 41 grid with each pixel having dimensions of .3125 mm x .3125 mm. The FWHM for each of the Gaussian distributions is 1.0 mm and their centers are located at y = 3.75, 0.0, -2.5, and -4.375 mm. The bright line through the centers of these objects indicates the location of the data points for the intensity profile which is plotted on the right side.

where b was chosen so that the full width at half maximum of each object was 1 mm (which is 1.67 wavelengths at the central frequency of 2.5 MHz with the speed of sound $c_0 = 1500$ m/s) and the points r; were chosen at x = 0 and y = 3.75, 0.0, -2.5, and -4.375 mm, respectively. All of the digitized images which we shall present for this test case will use a 41 x 41 grid with each pixel having dimensions .3125 mm x .3125 mm and with a profile of the brightened central line plotted to the right of the image.

The Rytov model was used to generate the forward scattering from these test objects. The line intgrals of $f(\hat{r})$ can then be obtained from the asymptotic limit as k + = of (1.16); namely,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{2}{ik} w_k(r) = \int_{L} f(r) ds \qquad (4.2)$$

1.000

where L is the straight line path from the source to our detector position. We use the Thiele procedure described in (1.8) to (1.14) to evaluate this asymptotic limit from an odd number of frequencies (usually 9 equi-spaced frequencies from 1 to 4 MHz).

These straight line integrals of $f(\mathbf{r})$ were calculated for a number (typically 55) of detector points spaced .3125 um apart along a line oriented perpendicular to the direction of incident plane wave and located 8 cm from the x-y origin. Then, by taking data from multiple views (generally 30 views spaced 6° apart) and using ART, a well-known X-ray, computerised tomography algorithm, we were

t

able to reconstruct the distribution of $f(\hat{r})$. As we have shown in our previous paper [1], quite good reconstructions of $f(\hat{r})$ can be obtained prowided we have very little noise in our data. An illustration of a reconstruction of $f(\hat{r})$ from reference [1] using random noise with a .1 percent standard deviation is given in Fig. 2. This re



Fig. 2. Reconstruction of the test objects from 10 sets of 9 frequencies (uniformly apaced from 1-4 MRz) with .1 percent random noise using the 1, -norm after applying the Thiele algorithm. Reprinted with permission from reference 1 (Stenger, F., et al., Proc. of Conf. on Wave Motion held at the University of Toronto, July, 1983, North Holland).

construction was obtained by using 10 distinct sets of 9 frequencies from 1-4 MHz and applying the 1, morm averaging to the results of the Thiele algorithm. However, the procedure of applying the 2, averaging after the Thiele procedure soon fails to produce acceptable results as we introduce increasingly larger amounts of noise, since the Thiele algorithm magnifies the noise. For example, Fig. 3 gives a reconstruction on data with 1.0 percent random noise using this method with 54 averages of each Thiele result. The objects are just barely recognizable and the peak values are low in magnitude by more than a factor of 2x.

Our new procedures described in sections 2 and 3 can readily handle noise of 1 or 2 percent. Figure 4 shows a reconstruction of our test case from data with 1 percent random noise using our new procedure with 54 averages. Although all of the objects are quite well resolved in Fig. 4, we discovered that by using a constraint condition in our reconstruction algorithm (based upon the fact that f(r) was known to be of one sign), we were able to aven further improve the resolution, as shown in Fig. 5 (notice the narrower line profiles and how much closer the troughs are to sero). Figure 6 shows how much more robust our new proce-



Fig. 3. Reconstruction of the test objects from data with 1 percent noise using the 1,norm procedure after applying the Thiele algorithm, as in the previous figure (but here the averaging was done with 54 repeats of noisy data using 9 equally spaced frequencies).



Fig. 4. Reconstruction of the test objects from data with 1 percent noise using our new & averaging and the adaptive feature described in sections 2 and 3. As in Fig. 3, the & averaging was obtained on 54 repeats on 9 equally spaced frequencies from 1-4 MHz.

dure is as this reconstruction was obtained from data with 1 percent noise using only 10 values in our l_1 averaging. Using our previous method, we were not able to obtain recognizable images from only 10 l_1 averages of data with 1 percent noise.

We also examined two other interesting questions. The first question concerned the applicability of using only the $(2w_k(r)/ik)$ term in

1

· Via a los historias



Fig. 5. Reconstruction of the test objects from data with 1 percent noise obtained in the same manner as Fig. 4., but using a constraint condition in ART based upon knowing that the distribution was of one sign.



Fig. 6. Reconstruction of the test objects from data with 1 percent noise using our new procedure (as in Fig. 5), but doing the f, averaging over only 10 sets of data. (For this reconstruction, a constraint condition was also incorporated into the ART algorithm which took advantage of the knowledge that f(r) was of one sign.)

(1.16) at a single wave number k as a first order approximation to the asymptotic limit. We learned that for the test object of Fig. 1 (FWHH = 2 mm), this first term gives a completely unacceptable approximation even without any moise. We could not even distinguish the locations of the objects if we used only this term. The final question concerned the effect of our stopping criteria. Our tests showed that while the stopping procedure described in section 3 was quite helpful, it was not essential for obtaining a useable image. For example, Fig. 7



Fig. 7. Reconstruction of the test objects from data with 1 percent noise. As for Fig. 3, we used the new l averaging procedure on 54 sets of noisy data before applying the Thiele algorithm, but here the stopping criteria was omitted in the Thiele procedure. Degradation from the results in Fig. 4 where the stopping criteria was used is apparent.

shows a reconstruction under the same basic situation as Fig. 4 (i.e., 9 frequencies using f averaging 54 times before applying the Thiele procedure), but without the stopping procedure. While the resolution in 7 is much less than Fig. 4, one can still clearly distinguish all four objects.

5. Conclusions

In a previous paper, we described the basic ides of transforming the ultrasound inverse scattering problem (with its attendant need to treat diffraction effects in the measured scattering data) to an equivalent X-ray CT-like problem (with no diffraction effects in the new transformed data) by the use of frequency extrapolation [3]. The basic idea of our method is to remove diffraction effects by generating a transformed projection of the object at infinite acoustic frequencies [3]. Our early attempts to apply the method met with limited success because of extreme sensitivity to noise in the data. Applying 1 averaging to many sets of frequency extrapolated projec-tions helped improve noise tolerance, but probably not enough for practical applications with real data [1]. Our latest algorithm using 1 averaging (median filtering) on the data before frequency extrapolation combined with an adaptive frequency extrapolation method provided even further improvements. Images have been made from simulated

ł

data with 1 percent noise with as few as 10 sets of data combined by 2, averaging. Such data could be obtained with existing tomographic scanners at frequencies below 4 MHz. Thus, our newest algorithm should now be tested with real scattering data to study its usefulness in ultrasound diffraction tomographic imaging. We also have shown in this paper how to reconstruct two material properties with differing dependencies on k. This suggests that our method might allow reconstruction of density in addition to apped of sound; however, this has not been verified.

New Section Section

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the secretarial help of Mrs. Ruth Eichers in preparing this manuscript. We also appreciate the funding provided by grants or contracts from the Public Health Services, the American Cancer Society, and the U.S. Army as acknowledged by footnotes on the author names.

References

and the second second

- Stenger, F., M. J. Berggren, S. A. Johnson, and C. H. Wilcox, "Rational Function Frequency Extrapolation in Ultresonic Tomography," submitted to Proceedings of the Conference on Wave Motion, held at the University of Toronto, June 1983 (North Holland).
- Ralston, A. and P. Rabinowitz, A First Course in Numerical Analysis, Problems 14-19, pp. 325-326 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978).
- Stenger, F., "Asymptotic Ultrasound Inversion Based on Using More than One Prequency," in Acoustical Imaging 11, (Plenum Press, New York, 1981).
- Stenger, F., "Explicit Nearly Optimel Linear Rational Rational Approximation with Preassigned Poles," submitted to <u>Math Comp</u>.

Ł





| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|---|--|---|
| REPORT NUMBER | 2 GOVT ACCESSION NO | 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| | AD.17136455 | |
| TITLE (and Subrille) | | 5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED |
| AN ADAPTIVE, NOISE TOLERANT, F | REQUENCY | |
| EXTRAPOLATION ALGORITHM FOR DI | IFFRACTION CORRECTED | |
| ULTRASOUND TOMOGRAPHY | | 6 PERFORMING ORG REPORT NUMBER |
| AUTHOR(a) | | 8 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+) |
| F. Stenger | | |
| M.J. Berggren | | |
| S.A. Johnson | | DAAG 29 83 K 0012 |
| PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD | DRESS | 10 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |
| Department of Mathematics | | |
| Department of Bioengineering | | |
| University of Utah, Salt Lake | <u>City, UT 84112</u> | 12 REPORT DATE |
| U. S. Army Research Office | | Dec 1483 |
| Prot Office Box 32011 | | 13 NUMBER OF PAGES |
| Research Triangle Park, NC | 277.09 | |
| MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(1) d | Illerent from Controlling Office) | 15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) |
| | | Unclassified |
| | | 150 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING |
| | | SCHEDULE |
| VA | ntered in block 20, il different ma | n Report) |
| | | |
| | | |
| SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | |
| SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f | indings contained in | this report are there of the |
| The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be | indings contained in construed as an official | this report are those of th |
| SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be a position, policy, or decision | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be position, policy, or decision | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be (position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids 11 necess | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be a position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necess | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 1/ necess | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat may and identity by proce number; | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be (position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide 11 necess | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat many and Identity by proce number; | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be a position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ende 11 necess | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat may and identify by proce number; | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| I SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be a position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse and if necess In our previous work [1]. | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat may and identify by proce number; any and identify by proce number; a procedure was deve | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ed by other documentation. |
| SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be a position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necess In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat may and identify by block number; a procedure was deve y extrapolation and | this report are those of th cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| I SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be o position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (continue on reverse and if necess In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency circumvent both the effects of | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat any and identify by piece number; a procedure was deve y extrapolation and noise, and errors du | this report are those of the cial Department of the Army ed by other documentation. loped, based on algorithms loped, based on algorithms loped to refracted curved paths, |
| ABUTRACT Continue on reverse and "I necess In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency circumvent both the effects of for solving the inverse scatter | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat may and identify by procentance; a procedure was deve y extrapolation and noise, and errors du ring problem in ultra | this report are those of the cial Department of the Army red by other documentation. loped, based on algorithms l ₁ -norm averaging to e to refracted curved paths, sonic imaging. In this |
| I SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be of position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse and 11 necess In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency circumvent both the effects of for solving the inverse scatter paper, we reverse the order of that is we first apply the formation of the solving the solving the order of | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat any and identify by piece number; a procedure was deve y extrapolation and noise, and errors du ring problem in ultra the first two algori | this report are those of the cial Department of the Army ed by other documentation. |
| ABITRACT Continue on reverse and "I necession In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency circumvent both the effects of for solving the inverse scatter paper, we reverse the order of that is, we first apply the L rational function procedure | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat ary and identity by block number) a procedure was dever y extrapolation and noise, and errors du ring problem in ultra the first two algori averaging procedur strapolating to infin | this report are those of the cial Department of the Army ted by other documentation. |
| ABITRACT Continue on reverse and if necessory In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency circumvent both the effects of for solving the inverse scatter paper, we reverse the order of that is, we first apply the & rational function procedure, either | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat any and identify by piece number) a procedure was devery extrapolation and noise, and errors du ring problem in ultra the first two algori 1 averaging procedur xtrapolating to infin | this report are those of the cial Department of the Army ed by other documentation. loped, based on algorithms l_1-norm averaging to e to refracted curved paths, sonic imaging. In this thms with respect to [1]; e and then carry out the ite frequency. An adaptive |
| ABITRACT Continue on reverse and if necess In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency circumvent both the effects of for solving the inverse scatter paper, we reverse the order of that is, we first apply the l. rational function procedure, effects | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat any and identify by procentancery a procedure was devery y extrapolation and noise, and errors du ring problem in ultra the first two algori averaging procedur ktrapolating to infin | this report are those of the cial Department of the Army ed by other documentation. |
| I SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or f author(s) and should not be op position, policy, or decision KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse and "I necess In our previous work [1], for rational function frequency circumvent both the effects of for solving the inverse scatter paper, we reverse the order of that is, we first apply the L rational function procedure, ei "Compared by 1473 EDETRON OF 'NOV 45 (SC | indings contained in construed as an offic n, unless so designat any and identify by procense a procedure was dever y extrapolation and noise, and errors du ring problem in ultra the first two algori averaging procedur xtrapolating to infin | this report are those of the cial Department of the Army red by other documentation. |

•

I

1

INCLASSIELED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

method for choosing the best rational function expansion is also employed. We describe the underlying ideas of the procedure, and we illustrate examples of reconstructions in the presence and absence of Gaussian noise. These results are then compared with results of previous experiments. The resulting procedure works with a much smaller signal-to-noise ratio. An extension is suggested to image speed of sound and density.

I

