





FRAUNHOFER-INSTITUT FOR WERKSTOFFMECHANIK Wöhlerstr. 11, D-7800 Freiburg

¢,

FRACTURE BEHAVIOR UNDER IMPACT W 9/83 2nd Semi-Annual Progress Report by J.F. Kalthoff and S. Winkler Reporting Period Feb. 1982 - July 1982

United States Army EUROPEAN RESEARCH OFFICE OF THE U.S. Army London England

CONTRACT NUMBER DAJA 37-81-C-0013

The Research reported in this document has been made possible through the support and sponsorship of the U.S. Government through its European Research Office of the U.S. Army. This report is intended only for the internal management use of the Contractor and the U.S. Government.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE* . Description to the second		FAD DIE	TBUCSIC		
AMPORT NUMBER	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEF	ORE COM	PLETINC	FORM
H) - A/ 156 055 TTLE (med Addres) Fracture Behavior Under Impact Fracture Behavior Under Impact Autworks Autworks J. F. Kalthoff and S. Winkler Autworks Fraunhofer-Institut für Werkstoffmechanik West Germany 1. GONTROLUME OFFICE MARE AND ADDRESS Praunhofer-Institut für Werkstoffmechanik West Germany 1. GONTROLUME OFFICE MARE AND ADDRESS USADSG-UK Box 65 PFO NY OP510 1. MONTORNE SAMELAND ADDRESS I. MONTORNE OFFICE MARE AND ADDRESS I. MONTORNE OFFICE MARE AND ADDRESS USADSG-UK Box 65 PFO NY OP510 1. MONTORNE SAMELAND ADDRESS I. MONTORNE AGENCY WARE & ADDRESS(I different for Convoluting Office) I. MONTORNE AGENCY WARE & ADDRESS(I different for Convoluting Office) I. MONTORNE AGENCY WARE & ADDRESS(I different for Convoluting Office) I. MONTORNE AGENCY WARE & ADDRESS(I different for Convoluting Office) I. MONTORNE AGENCY WARE & ADDRESS(I different for Convoluting Office) I. MONTORNE AGENCY WARE & ADDRESS(I different for Convoluting Office) I. MONTORNE AGENCY WARE & ADDRESS(I different	1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	J. RECIPIE	NT'S CATA	LOG NUM	BER
A TITLE (mes subtris) Practure Behavior Under Impact A UTHORNO J. F. Kalthoff and S. Winkler DAJA37-B1-C-0013 D. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Practure Behavior Under Impact PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS MUSLADGC/UK Box 65 PFD NY 09510 R. MUNIFORMUS AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS USANDGC/UK Box 65 PFD NY 09510 R. MUNIFORMUS AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS USANDGC/UK Box 65 PFD NY 09510 R. MUNIFORMUS AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS UNIT 0150000000 MUSLING CATION/DOWNGRADE Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTI SCRAAL NOT TOWNERADE R. MUNIFORMUS CALLENT (of the shower) A DETROBUTION STATEMENT (of the shower) A MUNIFORMUS CALLENT (of the shower) M. MUNIFORME CALLENT (of the shower) R. MUNIFORMER CONTACT R. MUNIFORMER CALLENT (of the shower) M. MU	HD-4136 C	155			
Practure Behavior Under Impact Progress Report AuthoRey J. F. Kalthoff and S. Winkler S. Contract of Gamma fulles () DAJA37-81-C-0013 S. Contract of Gamma fulles () DAJA37-81-C-0013 Prepromume ondamization Hams and address D. PREPromume ondamization Hams and address D. PREPromume ondamization Hams and address DESERVENTIL, 7800 Freiburg/Brsg I. DEFADING (State () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	. TITLE (and Subtitio)	2nd Se	mi-Anni	a PERIOS Ual	COVER
AUTHOR(0 J. F. Kalthoff and S. Winkler J. Kalthoff and S. Winkler J. Control.Line Office Hame And Address J. Kalthoff and S. Kalthoff and Address J. Kalthoff and S. Kalthoff and Address J. Kalthoff and S. Kalthoff and S. Kalthoff and J.	Fracture Behavior Under Impact	Progre	ss Repo	ort	
AUTHOR(0 J. F. Kalthoff and S. Winkler J. J. Kalthoff and S. Kinkler J. J. Kalthoff and S. Kinkler		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBE			NUMBE
J. F. Kaithoff and S. Winkler DAJ37-81-C-0013 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Fraunhofer-Institut ffr Werkstoffmechanik West Germany West Germany Not off USANDSG-UK USANDSG-UK DOX 65 PPO NY 09510 IL CONTOLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS USANDSG-UK DOX 65 PPO NY 09510 IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Office) IL MODITORNE AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// dileman from Controlling Control of Control	7. AUTHOR(a)	S. CONTRA	CT OR GR	ANT NUM	ER(+)
PERFORMENCE ORGANITATION HAME AND ADDRESS Fraunhofer-Institut für Werkstoffmechanik Wohlerstr 11, 7800 Preiburg/Brag West Garmany 11, CONTROLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS USARDSG-UK DATAOLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS USARDSG-UK DATAOLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS USARDSG-UK DATAOLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS PPO NY 09510 IL SECONT DATE Pob 82 - July 82 IL REPORT DATE DON'TONING AGENCY HAME & ADDRESS(II different hem Controlling Office) IL SECONT DATE Pob 82 - July 82 IL REPORT DATE IL REPORT DATE IL REPORT DATE IL SECONT DATE Pob 82 - July 82 IL SECONT DATE IL SECONT IL SECONT IL SEC	J. F. Kalthoff and S. Winkler	DAJA37	-81-C-(0013	
Frauchofer-Institut für Werkstoffnechanik Wohlerst 11, 7800 Freiburg/Brsg Andre 41.00A int Numers States Germany West Germany G. 11.02A IT161102BHS7-06 USARDSG-UK Box 65 PPO NY 09510 II. EEFORT DATE Peb 82 - July 82 IV. WUMBER OF PAGES IT IX. WOMER AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office) II. SECONT DATE Peb 82 - July 82 IV. WUMBER OF PAGES IT IX. WOMER AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office) II. SECONT DATE Peb 82 - July 82 IV. WUMBER OF PAGES IT ADDRESS(II different for ADDRESS(II different free Controlling Office) II. SECONT DATE IN WUMBER OF PAGES IV. WUMBER OF PAGES IV. WUMBER OF PAGES IV. WUMBER AGENCY WANE & ADDRESS(II different free Secont IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Advector of the Birch 20, II different free Secont IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution unlimited IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution in Birch 20, II different free Second IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the distribution work of the Merchon IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the disthead in Birch 20, II different free Second	. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGR	AM ELEME	NT. PROJ	ECT, TA
West Germany 0.11.028 IL CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS IL REFORT DATE USARDSG-UK Feb 82 - July 82 Box 65 I. NUMER OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS IL REFORT DATE PPO NY 09510 I. NUMER OF FACES II. NUMER OF FACES II. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different free Centroling Office) II. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified II. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAI DIG National Address IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstrait entered in Block 20, If different free Report) Juli II. Call Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAI DIG Nationed IV. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstrait entered in Block 20, If different free Report) Juli II. Call Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For R. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES Accession For By DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstrait entered in Block 20, If different free Report) Mathematical State of the state of	Fraunhofer-Institut für Werkstoffmechanik	AREA •	023		
I. CONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS USARDSG-UK USARDSG-UK USARDSG-UK USARDSG-UK Soft of the second of	Wohlerstr 11, 7800 Freiburg/Brsg West Germany	0.11. IT161	UZA 102885	7-06	
USARDSG-UK BOX 65 PPO NY 09510 IL MONIYOWING AGENCY HAME & ADDREEK(I different free Controlling Office) IL MONIYOWING AGENCY HAME & ADDREEK(I different free Controlling Office) IL MONIYOWING AGENCY HAME & ADDREEK(I different free Controlling Office) IL MONIYOWING AGENCY HAME & ADDREEK(I different free Controlling Office) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAAI PCIC TUS Unclassified IL DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAAI PCIC TUS Unclassified IL DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAAI PCIC TUS Unclassified IL DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAAI PCIC TUS Unclassified IL DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAAI PCIC TUS Unclassified IL DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN Accession FOR ACCESSION FOR ACCES	IL CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT	TDATE		
Box 65 PPO NY 09510 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAAI DIC TUB DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shortest indiced in Block 20, If different from Figure) 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shortest indiced in Block 20, If different from Figure) 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shortest indiced in Block 20, If different from Figure) 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shortest indiced in Block 20, If different from Figure) 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shortest indiced in Block 20, If different from Figure) 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shortest indiced in Block 20, If different from Figure) 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shortest indiced in Block 20, If different from Figure) 8. KEY BORDE (Continue on reverse diffe if accessery and identify by block number) 7. The physical behavior of cracks, high strength steel, rapid crack, Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation AMSTRACT (Continue on reverse diff If accessery and identify by block number) >. The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Sing edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are indeed by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transpare model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the D town 1073 Ebrow of two velocities	USARDSG-UK	Feb 82	- July	y 82	
PPO NY 09510 17 IX. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 18. DECLASS (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS CRAAT Distribution statement (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS CRAAT Distribution statement (of the destret minered in Block 20, if different from Resign) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT (of the destret minered in Block 20, if different from Resign) JUSTIFICATION By	Box 65	13. NUMBE	R OF PAGE	ES	
Unclassified Uncla	FPO NY 09510	15. 55010	. /	(of this -	
Unclassified Ise percent assignment of the Report Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAM FOR ANTINEUTION STATEMENT (of the Americ) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different free Basis) Justification By					
Beneficial Statement (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAal FOR THIS SUPPLEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation Statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statements (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statement (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statements (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statements (of the electronic in Block 20, if different from Remot) Supplementation statements of the electronic in the specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the supplementation		Uncla	ssifie 	d 	
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Accession For NTIS GRAAI DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Resid) Accession For NTIS GRAAI DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Resid) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Resid) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Resid) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Resid) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Resid) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT (of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Resid) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT HOTES Statement and indication of the electronic in Block 20, if different free Reside) JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT HOTES Statement and indication of the electronic indication of the electronic indication Statement and indication of the electronic indication of the electronic indication Statement and indication of the electronic indication of the electronic indication Statement and the electronic indication of the electronic indication of the electronic indication Statement and the electronic indication of the electronic indication indication indication of the electronic indication of the electronic indication of the electronic indication indication of the electronic indication of the elect		154. DECLA SCHEL	ASSIFICATI	ON/DOWN	IGRADIN
Bittribulan/ Bittribulan/		ea	NTIS DTIC 1 Unopia	GRA&I	
 SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reviews of a line second of the second o	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, it different fr	ea Resti	NTIS DTIC 1 Unappe Justif	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teatle)n
 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength steel, rapid crack , Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Sing edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the Advantage 1473 EDVIDENCE INCOMPANY AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, it different in	ea	NTIS DTIC 1 Underne Justii By Distri	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teatic	
 KEY WORDS (Cantinue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength steel, rapid crack , Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation ASSYRACT (Cantine on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) /The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Sing edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the Idente 1473 EDTION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OFFICE 	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, it different fr	ea m Repart)	NTIS DTIC 1 Unobud Justif By Distri	GRA&I IAB Sunced Ticatic	on y Code tud/or
 S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength steel, rapid crack , Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation AMSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) >) The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Sing edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the 	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in		NTIS DTIC 1 Unspire Justif By Dister	GRA&I TAB Suncod Ticatic Ticatic	n y Codi tuc/or ial
<pre>Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength steel, rapid crack , Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation Asstract (Conduct conversed of M measurements) >>/The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Since edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparen model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the is accelerated by the projectile.</pre>	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, it different for	ea Reit)	NTIS DTIC 1 Underne Justif By Distri	GRA&I TAB Duncod Ticatic Ticatic	y Code ind/or
Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation ASSTRACT (Canding converse of M messages and Mension by Alock member) >>)The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Since edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the 1.444 TO 1.444 TO 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 15 OFFICE	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract aniored in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY BORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number		NTIS DTIC 1 Unconst Justin By Cistra Avea	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teatic Station	y Codu y Codu und/or ial
 ASSTRACT (Canding an environ all N memory and identify by block memory) The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Single edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparen model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the I JAN TO 1073 EDTTON OF 1 NOV 65 15 OFFICE 	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, it different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste	ed Rept() v) el, rapid	NTIS DTIC 1 Unabud Justif By Distri Austif Sust Sust List A'/	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teatic Astion Spect	n y Code utd/or ial
ASSTRACT (Canding an average of M mesonery and Mentify by block member) >/The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Single edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the projection of 1 MOV 5 15 OFFICE	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation	ed Read v) r) rel, rapid	NTIS DTIC 1 Unable Justif By Distri Av ist	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teatic Teatic A finite Speet	n y Codu the/or ial
The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Since edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the projection of 1 MOV 65 IS OFFICIENT.	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract unioned in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation	ed Repart) rel, rapid	NTIS DTIC 1 Unabud Justif By Distri A'/ Crack	GRA&I TAB Duncod Ticatic Ticatic	n y Coda itad / or ial
edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparen model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation	ed Read v) r) rel, rapid	NTIS DTIC 1 Uncone Justif By Distri Aver Ist Crack	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teatic A finite A finita A finite A finita finite A finite A finite A finite A finite A finite A finite	
considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparen model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the law Te 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OFFICIENT.	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract unioned in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation 24. AMETRACT (Conduct on reverse olds If necessary and identify by block number, ->)The physical behavior of cracks under impact load	ed Rest() vel, rapid ding is	NTIS DTIC 1 Unstand Justif By District Ar/ Crack	GRA&I TAB Suncod Ticatic State	y Code ital
pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transpare model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the 1 Jan 70 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OFFICE	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, it different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY BORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation 24. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds N reservery and identify by block number -/The physical behavior of cracks under impact loa edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rect	ed Read re	NTIS DTIC 1 Uncons Justif By District A Cistric A Crack	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teation Stink Speet	sing
is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens are made from a transparer model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in Block	ed Rest() vel, rapid ding is j angular s pendent t	NTIS DTIC 1 Unspire Justif By District Ist A'/ I crack	GRA&I TAB Souncod Ticatio Solution Solu	s
model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the D 1 JAN 70 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in it. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation 14. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds If necessary and identify by block number of cracks under impact loading de cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rect considered. The specimens are loaded by time de pulses moving perpendicular to the crack directi either directly loaded by an impiration protection 	ed rel, rapid ding is i angular s pendent t on. The	NTIS DTIC 1 Uncour Justif By District Arr I crack Investig speciments speciments Speciments	GRA&I IAB Sunced Teation Second Spee	since see
impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in the super-LEMENTARY HOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ofte if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse ofte H messary and identify by block number, ->) The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading dege cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rect considered. The specimens are loaded by time de pulses moving perpendicular to the crack directi either directly loaded by an impinging projectil is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens 	ed m Rest() r) rel, rapid ding is j angular s pendent t on. The e or by a are made	NTIS DTIC 1 Unabla Justif By District Notifies I crack I crack I crack Speciments ispecime	GRA&I TAB Souncod Fication Fication Ficati	se which
D 1 JAN 70 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in it. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY BORDS (Continue on reverse ofte if necessary and identify by block number Impact loading, Multiple cracks, high strength ste Impact velocities, rapid crack propagation 18. ABSTRACT (Confine encourse of M M necessary and identify by block number, ->) The physical behavior of cracks under impact load edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rect considered. The specimens are loaded by time de pulses moving perpendicular to the crack directi either directly loaded by an impinging projectil is accelerated by the projectile. The specimens model material or a high strength steel. The in 	ed rel, rapid ding is p angular s pendent t on. The e or by a s are made itial cra	NTIS DTIC D Unable Justif By District A Crack A Crack I crack Speciment iensile speciment iensile speciment chase p istrict	GRA&I TAB Duncod Ticatio Sitian Sitan Sitian Sitian Sitian Sitian Sitian Sitian Sitian Sitian	se which sparer nd
	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in the superconduction of the statement of the block 20, if different in the superconduction of the statement of the statem	ed r) rel, rapid ding is i angular s pendent t on. The e or by a s are made itial cra riments.	NTIS DTIC 1 Unchar Justif By District Ar/ I crack crack specime specime specime chase p from a ck leng Utilis	GRA&I TAB Souncod Teation Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Teation Souncod Souncod Souncod Souncod Souncod Teation Souncod	se which sparer nd he

A STATEMENT AND A STATEMENT

A CONTRACT DE LA CONTRACT DE LA

UNCLASSIFIED								
shadow optical method of caustics in combination with high speed photography, the dynamic stress intensity factors at the tip of the crack are measured as functions of time during the impact event. The critical value of the dynamic stress intensity factor at onset of rapid crack propagation, i.e. the dynamic fracture toughness KId, is determined and discussed with regard to the time tf at which the crack becomes unstable. The results are compared with corresponding static fracture toughness data								
alessa and a second								
· ·								

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

:

1. TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES

The physical behavior of cracks under impact loading is investigated. Single edge cracks or arrays of multiple cracks in rectangular specimens are considered. The specimens are loaded by time dependent tensile stress pulses moving perpendicular to the crack direction. The specimens are either directly loaded by an impinging projectile or by a base plate which is accelerated by the procejtile. The specimens are made from a transparent model material or a high strength steel. The initial crack lengths and impact velocities are varied throughout the experiments. Utilizing the shadow optical method of caustics in combination with high speed photography, the dynamic stress intensity factors at the tip of the crack are measured as functions of time during the impact event. The critical value of the dynamic stress intensity factor at onset of rapid crack propagation, i.e. the dynamic fracture toughness K_{Id} , is determined and discussed with regard to the time t_f at which the crack becomes unstable. The results are compared with corresponding static fracture toughness data.

2. STATEMENT OF WORK

During the first year of the three year's research project the experimental set-up has been built up. Experiments were performed to test the set-up under different loading conditions. In particular the stress pulse history in the specimen has been studied. Several series of experiments have been performed to specify the parameters for the main investigations. Within this reporting period, i.e. the first half of the second year, the main investigations have been started.

Experiments have been performed with specimens made from the model material Araldite B directly impacted by a projectile made from the same material. The fracture behavior of single edge cracks of different lengths was investigated. In particular the dynamic fracture toughness values K_{Id} and the times to fracture t_f have been measured. These data were supplemented by experiments performed under base plate loading conditions. The impact velocities have been varied from about 10 to 40 m/s. The limited results ob-

-1-

tained so far do not allow definite conclusions at this state of the project. More data will be generated in the next reporting period and will be reported and discussed in the Second Annual Report.

Additional experiments have been carried out to study the interaction of multiple cracks under dynamic loading. A double crack configuration with a distance between the cracks which is almost equal to the length of the cracks has been investigated. The data indicate a complex time dependent mutual interaction of the cracks. Further experiments with other geometric conditions will be performed in the next reporting period.

First quantitative results obtained within the research project have been presented at the "Workshop on Dynamic Fracture Modeling and Quantitative Analysis", Baltimore, May 17-19, 1982. The workshop has been originized by J.R. Moss, Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen. The investigator presented the following lectures: "Introduction to Fracture Mechanics", "Shadow Optical Analysis of Dynamic Fracture Phenomena", and "Short Pulse Fracture Mechanics" (in cooperation with D.A. Shockey, SRI-International, Menlo Park, Calif.). For further details see Trip Report "Participation in Workshop on Dynamic Fracture Modeling and Quantitative Analysis" by J.F. Kalthoff prepared for Dr. B. Steverding, Aeronautics and Mechanics Branch, European Research Office of the U.S. Army, London. Another presentation of results is planned for the VII. International Conference on "Experimental Stress Analysis", Haifa, Israel, August 23-28, 1982. The manuscript of the material to be presented has been completed. A copy of the manuscript is attached to this Report in the Annex.

3. NEXT STEPS

According to the proposed research program the investigations with Araldite **B** specimens will be continued throughout the second year. Further data will be generated on the dependence of the impact fracture toughness K_{Id} with loading rate and the mutual interaction of multiple cracks under dynamic loading. For the third year experiments with high strength steel specimens are planned.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE SEVENTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON

EXPERIMENTAL STRESS ANALYSIS

HAIFA, ISRAEL, 23-27 AUGUST, 1982

Under the Auspices of the European Permanent Committee for Stress Analysis With the Cooperation of the Society for Experimental Stress Analysis (S.E.S.A.) and Sponsored by The Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel





California California

ANALYSIS OF IMPACT FRACTURE PHENOMENA BY MEANS OF THE SHADOW OPTICAL METHOD OF CAUSTICS

J. F. Kalthoff, W. Böhme, and S. Winkler

Fraunhofer-Institut für Werkstoffmechanik Rosastrasse 9 7800 Freiburg/Brsg., West-Germany

Abstract

The shadow optical method of caustics is applied for investigating the fracture behavior of cracks under impulse loads. The loads are produced by a drop weight or by an impinging projectile. Results on the dynamic stress intensity factors before, at, and after onset of crack propagation are discussed for the different loading rates obtained.

1. Introduction

In static fracture mechanics crack tip stress intensity factors can easily be determined from external measurements of loads or displacements. In fracture dynamics the situation is more complex due to additional time effects. Correct dynamic stress intensity factors are obtained by directly evaluating the local stress strain field around the crack tip. The shadow optical method of caustics is an appropriate experimental tool for measuring stress intensifications since the method is sensitive to stress gradients near the crack tip. The physical principles of the caustic technique are described. The method is applied to analyse the fracture behavior of cracks under different conditions of impact loading.

2. The Shadow Optical Method of Caustics

The method of caustics was originally introduced by Manogg [1,2] in 1964. Later on, Theocaris [3] further developed the technique. The authors and their coworkers extended and applied Manogg's method for investigating dynamic fracture phenomena [4-8].

The physical principle of the method is illustrated in Fig. 1. A precracked specimen of a transparent material under load is illuminated by a parallel light beam. A cross section through the specimen at the crack tip is shown in Fig. 1b. Due to the stress concentration at the crack tip



3.50

Г

Fig. 1 Physical principle of the shadow optical method of caustics

both the thickness of the specimen and the refractive index of the material are reduced. Thus, the area surrounding the crack tip acts as a divergent lens and the light rays are deflected outwards. As a consequence, in an image plane at a distance z_0 behind the specimen a shadow area is observed which is surrounded by a region of light concentration, the caustic. For optically isotropic materials a single caustic is obtained, for optically anisotropic materials the caustic splits up into a double caustic. The method can also be applied with non-transparent materials such as steels when used in reflection.

The mode I shadow pattern was calculated by Manogg [1] from the linear elastic stress strain field around the crack tip. Fig. 2 compares the results with shadow patterns photographed in transmission and in reflection with different materials. Quantitatively the diameter of the



Fig. 2 Mode I caustics

MAN

149

1.15

caustic is a function of the stress intensity factor,

$$K_{I} = \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{3 f_{0,i}^{5/2} c d_{eff} z_{o}} D_{0,i}^{5/2}$$

where

K_T = Mode I stress intensity factor,

 D_{o_1} = diameter of the outer/inner caustic,

 $f_{0,i}$ = numerical factor for outer/inner caustic,

= photoelastic constant,

d_{eff} = effective specimen thickness,

= total specimen thickness,

z = distance tetween specimen and image plane.

Numerical values of the constants which appear in formula (1) are given in Table 1 for different materials. The formula is correct for stationary cracks under both static and dynamic loading conditions, but it can also be applied for propagating cracks with an accuracy sufficient for engineering purposes. Further details are given in [8,9].

	Electic	Censionis	Shadow Optical Constants						Effective Thickness
	Yeung's Medukus Mit/m ²	Poisser's Retio	c m ² /N	fo	·	c m ² /N	10	t,	den
	x ₀ < 0 }								
Queinally Anisotrop	K.								
Audate B	3080*	0.382"	-0.970 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3.31	3.05	-0.560 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3.41	2.99	đ
CR-36	2580	0.443	- 1.200 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3.25	3.10	- 0.500 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3 33	3.04	đ
Plate Oliver	73500	0.231	- 0.027 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3.43	2.96	- 0.017 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	362	2,97	đ
Hernelike 180	4820 ^a	0 310*	- 0.920 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3.23	3.11	- 0.767 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	324	3.10	đ
Optically Instrupts									
PHIMA	3240	0.350	- 1.080 x10 ⁻¹⁰	3.17		- 0.750 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3.17		đ
	>0)								
All materials	E	¥	2V/E	3.	17	-		-	¢/2

For cracks subjected to a combined mode I mode II loading the caustic becomes unsymmetric, as it is shown in Fig. 3. The stress intensity fac tors K_{I} and K_{II} are then determined by the two diameters D_{max} and D_{min} defined in the figure [10,11].





(1)













3. Experimental Procedure

.

なないないない

1. 2. 2. 2. 1. A

. . .

Two kinds of impact experiments have been performed. Prenotched specimens were loaded by a drop weight or by an impinging projectile. A schematic view of the loading arrangements is given in Fig. 4. The mechanical aspects of the fracture behavior were studied in these experiments; in particular the loading conditions at the tip of the stationary crack during impact and for parts of the subsequent crack propagation event were considered.





The specimens were made from a model material, the epoxy resin Araldite B. This material is well suited for dynamic investigations since the mechanical and optical properties of the material vary only very little with loading rate [8]. Either naturally sharp cracks or blunted notches were utilized. The shadow optical technique was applied in transmission. The caustics were photographed with a Cranz-Schardin 24 spark high speed camera. Prior to impact the drop weight or the projectile interrupts a laser beam thus providing the signal to trigger the high speed camera. A typical series of shadow optical photographs is given in Fig. 5. Only 12 of the total 24 pictures are reproduced. The photographs show the precracked center part of a specimen loaded by an impinging projectile (see also Fig. 10).



Fig. 5 Shadow optical photographs of a precracked specimen loaded by an impinging projectile (see also Fig. 10)

3.1 Drop weight loading

Specimens of size 650 x 118 x 10 mm with initial notches of 35 mm were impacted by a drop weight of 1.4 kg at a velocity v_0 of 5 m/s. The support span was 472 mm. The results of two experiments are shown in Fig. 6 The dynamic stress intensity factor K_I^{dyn} (upper diagram) and the crack length a (lower diagram) are plotted as functions of time t. The times are given in absolute units and in two relative units, T_L and τ . T_L is the time it would take a longitudional wave to travel a distance given by the crack length a. τ is the period of the oscillation of the impacte bend specimen determined by a formula given by Ireland [12]. In additior

152

a the search of the





to the stress intensity factor the load $\rm P_{H}$ measured by a strain gage at the tup of the striking hammer is also shown in Fig. 6.

The start of the stress intensity factor curve is delayed with regard to the load curve. This is due to the different wave propagation paths between the point of impact and the positions where the respective signals were recorded. The critical stress intensity factor for onset of crack propagation, i.e. the dynamic fracture toughness K_{Id} , is about 0.67 MN/m^{3/2}. This value is only somewhat smaller than the static fracture toughness $K_{Ic} = 0.70 \text{ MN/m}^{3/2}$ for this batch of material. The time to fracture t_f measured from the beginning of crack tip loading til the point of onset of propagation is 123 µs or 8.8 T_L or 0.14 τ . After the crack has become unstable the crack velocity steadily increases up to a rather high value of 310 m/s. During this phase of crack propagation the dynamic stress intensity factor continues to grow, but the slope of the $K_{I}^{dyn}(t)$ -plot after crack initiation is less steep than before instability.

In instrumented impact tests the dynamic fracture toughness K_{Id} is usually determined from the hammer load at the moment of instability, $P_H(t=t_f)$, utilizing conventional static stress intensity factor formu-

las originally derived for bend specimens subjected to quasistatic loading. If K_{Id} would be calculated in a formal manner according to this procedure an unrealistically high value of 12 MN/m^{3/2} would be obtained [13]. This result indicates the strong influence of dynamic (inertia) effects associated with impact experiments, in particular for short times to fracture ($t_{\rm f} < \tau$).

Further experiments have been performed with an increased observation time. Fracture was delayed by utilizing blunted initial notches and a rather low impact velocity of 0.5 m/s. The experiments have been performed with specimens of size 412.5 x 75 x 10 mm which were struck by a hammer of 4.9 kg at a support span of 300 mm. In Fig. 7 the shadow optically determined dynamic stress intensity factors are shown during loading of the stationary crack for times up to about 5 τ . These data are compared to the static stress intensity factor $K_{\rm I}^{\rm dyn}(P_{\rm H})$ calculated from the load P_H registered at the tup of the striking hammer utilizing conventional static stress intensity factor formulas from ASTM E 399

The K_{I}^{stat} -values show a strongly oscillating behavior, whereas the actual dynamic stress intensity factors K_{I}^{dyn} show a more steadily increasing tendency. For small times these differences are very pronounced, in particular $K_{I}^{stat} \ll K_{I}^{dyn}$ (see before). With increasing time, the differences become smaller but within the observation time the influences of dynamic effects obviously did not vanish and there are still marked differences between K_{I}^{stat} and K_{I}^{dyn} . In a method for measuring the dynamic fracture toughness values K_{Id} in instrumented impact tests [14] it is assumed that for times larger than $3\tau K_{I}^{stat}$ -values would represent a good approximation of the actual dynamic stress intensity factor K_{I}^{dyn} . These data, however, indicate that a static analysis is not adequate to describe the loading condition in the specimen, even for times t > 3τ .

All drop weight experiments showed an interesting feature in their crack propagation paths. At the end of the crack propagation event the crack propagation direction was not straight any more, but a characteristic deviation to the left or to the right hand side of the original crack path was observed. Hermann [15] speculated that this behavior results from stress waves reflected at the free ends of the specimen. The effect obviously depends on the time for the crack to propagate through the specimen: the deviation becomes more pronounced for faster propagating cracks initiated from notches of increased bluntness. The change of the



Fig. 7 Stress intensity factors for a prenotched bend specimen under drop weight loading

straight to the curved phase of crack propagation has been analyzed by the shadow optical technique. The high speed photographs indicate a very low, almost zero crack velocity at this moment. A typical shadow pattern is shown in Fig. 8a. The shape of the caustic is unsymmetric and indicates a mode II loading superimposed to the original mode I





loading. Due to this mixed mode loading the crack deviates from its original direction in the subsequent step of crack propagation.

3.2 Impinging projectile

Specimens of size 400 x 100 x 10 were impacted by a projectile of 200 mm length and 50 mm diameter. The length of the initial crack was 26.5 mm. Both specimen and projectile were made from the same material, Araldite B. The projectile was accelerated by a gas gun operated at a very low gas pressure. Thus, the impact velocities in these experiments were in the range of 10 m/s only. By the impinging projectile a compressive stress wave is initiated which propagates into the specimen. After passage of the compressive stress pulse through the specimen and reflection at the free rear end of the specimen the crack is loaded by tensile stresses. Shadow optical recordings of these processes have been made by Theocaris et al. [16]. Information on the gross loading condition in the specimen at the location of the crack was obtained from a strain gage which was positioned on the ligament 47 mm ahead of the crack tip (Position A). A typical strain gage signal is shown in Fig. 9. The arrival time of the compressive stress pulse at the location of the strain gage has been set equal to zero in this diagram. For a period of 280 µs the crack is loaded by compressive stresses; only later on tensile stresses are built up. The crack tip behavior under tensile loading was studied by caustics. The high speed camera was operated with a suitable delay





time; the recording times of the 24 shadow optical pictures are shown in Fig. 9 also.

Quantitative data of the shadow optical photographs (see Fig. 5) are given in Fig. 10. The dynamic stress intensity factor K_I^{dyn} (upper diagram) and the crack length a (lower diagram) are given as functions of time t. Similar as in Fig. 6 the times are given in absolute units but also in relative units by normalization with the time T_L . For comparison the load record from the strain gage is also shown in Fig. 10. Due to





inertia effects the loads $\sigma_A(t)$ and the dynamic stress intensity factors $K_I^{dyn}(t)$ are not proportional to each other. As with drop weight experiments K_{Id} -values calculated in a formal manner from the stress $\sigma_A(t=t_f)$ at the moment of instability utilizing conventional static stress intensity factor formulas would severely overestimate the toughness of the material. The shadow optically determined values of the critical stress intensity factor for onset of crack propagation were realistic. But the scatter of data obtained in a series of experiments with similar impact velocities was rather large. In particular K_{Id} -values larger than the static fracture toughness K_{Ic} were obtained (see also Fig. 10). The time to fracture was considerably smaller in these

A COMPANY S

experiments than in the drop weight experiments, $t_f = 29 \ \mu s$ or 2.7 T_L , i.e. the time to fracture became comparable to the information time of the crack length. It is speculated that stress intensity factors larger than K_{IC} might result for such experiments with very high loading rates because of incubation times which are needed to activate the instability process. This speculation would be in accordance with a short pulse fracture criterion developed by Kalthoff and Shockey in a previous publication [17]. More experiments are necessary to investigate these phenomena.

The onset of crack instability is clearly indicated in the crack lengthtime plot. Within a very short period of time after instability the crack has reached a very high steady state velocity \bar{v} of 365 m/s. For some time after the moment of crack initiation the slope of the $K_I^{dyn}(t)$ -plot is as steep as before crack instability. Due to the high crack propagation velocity and the steep increase of the dynamic stress intensity factor



Fig. 11 Crack branching observed under projectile loading

with time the fracture behavior is different from the one obtained with drop weight experiments. Crack branching (see fig. 11) is observed in almost all the experiments after a relatively short phase of crack propagation.

4. Summary and Conclusions

The applicability of the shadow optical method of caustics for determining dynamic stress intensity factors has been demonstrated. Several aspects of the fracture behavior of cracks loaded by a drop weight or by an impinging projectile have been investigated. The stress intensification rates and the resulting fracture behavior were different for the two conditions of impact loading. Even for the lower loading rates obtained with drop weight experiments a strong influence of dynamic effects on the loading condition before, at, and after crack instability can re-

158

CHERTHER CONTRACTOR



sult. Further information is necessary to fully understand the dynamic processes associated with the fast impact loading of cracks.

Acknowledgement

The work reported in this paper is sponsored by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Contracts Ka 443/3-10 and by the European Research Office of U.S. Army under Contract DAJA37-81-C-0013.

5. References

うして不思い

S. A. A. A. S. S. S.

- 1. Manogg, P., "Anwendung der Schattenoptik zur Untersuchung des Zerreißvorgangs von Platten", Dissertation, Freiburg, Germany, 1964.
- Manogg, P., "Schattenoptische Messung der spezifischen Bruchenergie während des Bruchvorgangs bei Plexiglas", Proceedings, Intern. Conf. Physics of Non-Crystalline Solids, Delft, The Netherlands, 1964, pp. 481-490.
- Theocaris, P.S., "Local Yielding Around a Crack Tip in Plexiglas", J. Appl. Mech., Vol. 37, 1970, pp. 409-415.
 Kalthoff, J.F., Beinert, J., and Winkler, S., "Measurements of Dy-
- Kalthoff, J.F., Beinert, J., and Winkler, S., "Measurements of Dynamic Stress Intensity Factors for Fast Running and Arresting Cracks in Double-Cantilever-Beam-Specimens", ASTM STP 627 - Fast Fracture and Crack Arrest, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, U.S.A., 1977, pp. 161-176.
- Kalthoff, J.F., Beinert, J., Winkler, S., and Klemm, W., "Experimental Analysis of Dynamic Effects in Different Crack Arrest Test Specimens", ASTM STP 711 - Crack Arrest Methodology and Applications, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, U.S.A., 1980, pp. 109-127.
- Kalthoff, J.F., Winkler, S., and Beinert, J., "The Influence of Dynamic Effects in Impact Testing", Int. J. Fracture, Vol. 13, 1977, p. 528.
- Kalthoff, J.F., Böhme, W., Winkler, S., and Klemm, W., "Measurements of Dynamic Stress Intensity Factors in Impacted Bend Specimens", CSNI Specialist Meeting on Instrumented Precracked Charpy Testing, EPRI, Palo Alto, Calif., U.S.A., Dec. 1980.
- EPRI, Palo Alto, Calif., U.S.A., Dec. 1980.
 8. Beinert, J. and Kalthoff, J.F., "Experimental Determination of Dynamic Stress Intensity Factors by Shadow Patterns", in Mechanics of Fracture, Vol. 7, ed. by G.C. Sih, Martinus Nijhoff Publ., The Hague, Boston, London, 1981, pp. 281-330.
- Boston, London, 1981, pp. 281-330.
 9. Kalthoff, J.F., "Stress Intensity Factor Determination by Caustics", Proc. Int. Conf. Exper. Mech., Society for Experimental Stress Analysis and Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, Hawaii, U.S.A., May 23 - 28, 1982.
- Theocaris, P.S., "Complex Stress-Intensity Factors at Bifurcated Cracks", J. Mech. Phys. Solids, Vol. 20, 1972, pp. 265-279.
- Seidelmann, U., "Anwendung des schattenoptischen Kaustikenverfahrens zur Bestimmung bruchmechanischer Kennwerte bei überlagerter Normalund Scherbeanspruchung", Report No. 2/76 of the Institut für Festkörpermechanik, Freiburg, Germany, 1976.
- körpermechanik, Freiburg, Germany, 1976.
 12. Ireland, D.R. "Critical Review of Instrumented Impact Testing", Proc. Int. Conf. Dynamic Fracture Toughness, London, July 5 - 7, 1976.



- 13. Kalthoff, J.F., Winkler, S., Klemm, W., and Beinert, J., "On the Validity of K_{Id}-Measurements in Instrumented Impact Tests", Trans. 5th Int. Conf. Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology, G 4/5, Berlin Germany, Aug. 13 - 17, 1979. 14. ASTM E 24.03.03, "Proposed Standard Method of Tests for Instrumented
- Impact Testing of Precracked Charpy Specimens of Metallic Materials' Draft 2c, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1980.
- 15. Herrmann, G., "Dynamic Fracture of Beams in Bending", Euromech Collo quium 91 Dynamic Crack Propagation in Solids, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw-Jablonna, Poland, Aug. 17 - 20, 1977.
- Theocaris, P.S. and Katsamanis, F., "Response of Cracks to Impact by Caustics", Eng. Frac. Mech., Vol. 10, 1978, pp. 197-210.
 Kalthoff, J.F. and Shockey, D.A., "Instability of Cracks Under Impulse Loads", J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 48, 1977, pp. 986-993.



FILMED

1-84

DTIC