

AD-A135 934

DEVELOPMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT ON MINE DETECTION  
TECHNIQUES(U) BATTELLE-INSTITUT E V FRANKFURT AM MAIN  
(GERMANY F R) P JESSL ET AL. 01 DEC 83

1/1

UNCLASSIFIED


DAJA45-82-C-0004

F/G 15/3

NL



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
11 - 84  
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

1 Dec 83

AD-A135 934

Development of Environmental  
Support on Mine Detection  
Techniques

Second Special Report

by

Peter Jessl

Werner Köppel

August - October 1983

United States Army

EUROPEAN RESEARCH OFFICE OF THE U.S. ARMY

. London England

Contract-Number: DAJA 45-82-C-0004

Battelle-Institut e.V., Frankfurt/M., West Germany

"The Research reported in this document has been made possible through the support and sponsorship of the U.S. Government through its European Research Office of the U.S. Army. This report is intended only for the internal management use of the Contractor and the US Government"!

Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited


DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC  
SELECTED  
DEC 16 1983  
S D

83 12 16 044

BATTELLE-INSTITUT E.V. FRANKFURT AM MAIN

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
	DA-A135 934		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
Development of Environmental Support on Mine Detection Techniques		Special Report, Aug - Oct 83	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
Peter Jessl and Werner Köppel		DAJA45-83-C-0004	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Battelle-Institut e. V. Am Romerhof 35 Frankfurt am Main, W. Germany		61102A-IT/61102-BH57-01	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
USARDSG-UK Box 65, FPO NY 09510		1 Dec 83	
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
		10	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		Unclassified	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		Accession For	
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		NTIS STAM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		DTIC TAB <input type="checkbox"/>	
		Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/>	
		Justification <input type="checkbox"/>	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		By _____	
		Distribution/	
		Availability Codes	
		Avail and/or	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		Dist Special	
		A/1	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Mine detection; terrain analysis; land use; cultivation practices			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Crop type classification of agricultural areas needs some care to be defined in a meaningful way. A classification term such as "soil cultivation" is inadequate to discriminate between various types of cultivation, such as plow harrowing, chopping with a rotary plow, and shallow plowing. Each results in a widely different set of thermal and reflectance properties. ←			



Concerning the crop type classification of agricultural areas "soil cultivation" needs some care to be defined in terms of cultivation depth and related farm implements. Cultivated stubble fields which are defined as class 20 in the crop type A class system (class 001 in the crop type B class system, respectively) can be generated in basically 3 ways; the general procedure of cultivation may be defined as "chopping/milling" process. The three procedures are

1) "Plow harrowing":

Hook-shaped fixed lances penetrate into the stubble field soil by approx. 4 - 6" (photos 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 19) This is the most commonly applied stubble field cultivation procedure.

2) "Chopping" by means of a rotary plow:

Rotating knives intrude the stubble field soil by approximately 3-5" depth (photos 5, 12)

3) "Shallow plowing":

Cutting of the stubble field soil in terms of shallow plowing down to approx. 5" depth (photo 8)

In some cases, farmers are burning down the stubbles before cultivating the soil (photo 1; next to the orchard stubbles were not burnt) Photos 13 and 14 show rape growing on the stubble field being used to build up the "green dung" procedure; here, the soil will be enriched with organics later when the green fodder is plowed into the soil mass.

Photos 15 and 16 indicate turnips and sugar beets; turnips are not row-arranged and grow approximately 90 % above ground surface (see photo 17).

Photographs of some typical German crop types and land-use practices

(20 September 1983)

Photo No.	Crop Type A	Classes of Crop Type B	Crop Height	Crop condit.	Remarks
1	20	001	00	0	Cultivated with a plow harrow; prior to that stubbles were burnt, but not along the left boundary of the field
2	20	001	00	0	stubbles burnt on half of the area; later cultivation with plow harrow
3	03,04	001	00	0	cutlivated field; ready for sowing
4	06 20	007 001	02 00	2 0	cultivated
5	05,20 17	001 003	00 01	0 2	20 - cultivated (chopped with rotary plow)
6	06 10 20	007 001 001	02 02 00	2 2 0	cultivated with plow harrow
7	01/04 /20	001	00	0	plowed/harrowed/cultivated with plow harrow
8	06 20	007 001	01 00	0 0	cultivated by shallow plowing
9	20	001	00	0	cultivated with plow harrow (hard soil surface)
10	20	001	00	0	cultivated with plow harrow
11	20	001	00	0	cultivated with plow harrow
12	20	001	00	0	cultivated with rotary plow
13	15	003	02	1	rape as green dung
14	15	003	01	1	rape as green dung
15	06 08	007 008	02 02	2 2	sugar beets turnips (not row-arranged)
16	06 08	007 008	02 02	2 2	sugar beets turnips (not row-arranged)
17	08	008	02	2	turnips (typical growth)
18	19	002	01	0	stubble field
19	20	001	00	0	partially burnt stubbles, then cultivated with plow harrow



Photo  
No. 1



2



3



4



5




6





7



8



9



10



11



12




13



14




15



16



17



18



19

LMED  
8