| ļ | AD-A135 856 FLIGHT TESTS OF INSTRUMENTATION FOR AIRBORNE CARBON DIDXIDE FLUX MEASUREM. (U) NATIONAL AERONAUTICAL | | | | | | | | | | | | 1, | 1 |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|-----|----|----|---|
| | ESTABLISHMENT OTTAWA (ONTARIO) J I MACPHERSON OCT 83 UNCLASSIFIED NAE-AN-16 NRC-22751 F/G 4/1 | | | | | | | | | | | NL | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Ĕ./ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | END FILMED 144 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| k | | | | - | | | | | _ | | | | | A |



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

A STATE AND A S

and the second second second second second second

UNLIMITED UNCLASSIFIED

FLIGHT TESTS OF INSTRUMENTATION FOR AIRBORNE CARBON DIOXIDE FLUX MEASUREMENT

by

J. I. MacPherson

National Aeronautical Establishment

OTTAWA OCTOBER 1983

National Research

Council Canada

AERONAUTICAL NOTE NAE-AN-16 NRC NO. 22751

Conseil national de recherches Canada

83



3110

FILE COPY



015

Canadä

856

NATIONAL AERONAUTICAL ESTABLISHMENT SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

And the second second

AERONAUTICAL REPORTS:

Aeronautical Reports (LR): Scientific and technical information pertaining to aeronautics considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

Mechanical Engineering Reports (MS): Scientific and technical information pertaining to investigations outside aeronautics considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

AERONAUTICAL NOTES (AN): Information less broad in scope but nevertheless of importance as a contribution to existing knowledge.

LABORATORY TECHNICAL REPORTS (LTR): Information receiving limited distribution because of preliminary data, security classification, proprietary, or other reasons.

Details on the availability of these publications may be obtained from:

Publications Section, National Research Council Canada, National Aeronautical Establishment, Bldg. M-16, Room 204, Montreal Road, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R6

ÉTABLISSEMENT AÉRONAUTIQUE NATIONAL

PUBLICATIONS SCIENTIFIQUES ET TECHNIQUES

RAPPORTS D'AÉRONAUTIQUE

Rapports d'aéronautique (LR): Informations scientifiques et techniques touchant l'aéronautique jugées importantes, complètes et durables en termes de contribution aux connaissances actuelles.

Rapports de génie mécanique (MS). Informations scientifiques et techniques sur la recherche externe à l'aéronautique jugées importantes, complètes et durables en termes de contribution aux connaissances actuelles.

CAHIERS D'AÉRONAUTIQUE (AN): Informations de moindre portée mais importantes en termes d'accroissement des connaissai.ces.

RAPPORTS TECHNIQUES DE LABORATOIRE (LTR): Informations peu disséminées pour des raisons d'usage secret, de droit de propriété ou autres ou parce qu'elles constituent des données préliminaires.

Les publications ci-dessus peuvent être obtenues à l'adresse suivante:

Section des publications Conseil national de recherches Canada Établissement aéronautique national Im. M-16, pièce 204 Chemin de Montréal Ottawa (Ontario) K1A 0R6

UNLIMITED UNCLASSIFIED

FLIGHT TESTS OF INSTRUMENTATION FOR AIRBORNE CARBON DIOXIDE FLUX MEASUREMENT

and a star water and a start water a start water and a start the start the start and and and a start and a star

ESSAIS EN VOL DE L'APPAREILLAGE DE MESURE EN VOL DU FLUX DE DIOXYDE DE CARBONE

by/par

J.I. MacPherson

National Aeronautical Establishment



OTTAWA OCTOBER 1983

S.R.M. Sinclair, Head/Chef Flight Research Laboratory/ Laboratoire de recherche en vol

SUMMARY

C. Carlo Lake and

At the request of Agriculture Canada, the Flight Research Laboratory of the National Aeronautical Establishment (NAE) has operated the Twin Otter atmospheric research aircraft fitted with a CO_2^{-1} analyzer in order to assess the feasibility of making airborne carbon dioxide flux measurements. This report will summarize progress to date and present an analysis of the data collected during a series of nine test flights in August and September, 1982.

The experimental results show that it is possible to make realistic measurements of the heat and $CO_2^{1/7}$ fluxes from an aircraft instrumented to measure vertical gusts. Special tests and analysis procedures were conducted to investigate the accuracy of the carbon dioxide measurements. Recommendations for improvements in the design of future test programs are made,

RÉSUMÉ

À la demande d'Agriculture Canada, le Laboratoire de recherche en vol de l'Établissement aéronautique national (ÉAN) a évalué la faisabilité de mesurer en vol le flux de dioxyde de carbone à l'aide de l'avion de recherche atmosphérique Twin Otter, muni d'un doseur de CO₂. Le rapport résume les progrès réalisés jusqu'ici et présente une analyse des données obtenues au cours de neuf vols d'essais qui ont lieu en août et en septembre 1982.

Les résultats expérimentaux indiquent qu'il est possible de faire des mesures réalistes des flux de chaleur et de CO_2 à partir d'un avion muni d'instruments capables de mesurer les rafales verticales. On a utilisé des méthodes spéciales d'essai et d'analyse pour évaluer la précision des quantités de dioxyde de carbone mesurées. On fait des recommandations sur les améliorations à apporter lors de l'élaboration des programmes d'essai futurs.

CONTENTS

1.46.94

Page

Page

.

| | SUMMARY | (iii) |
|-----|--|----------------|
| | ILLUSTRATIONS | (v) |
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2.0 | INSTRUMENTATION | 2 |
| 3.0 | FLIGHTS | 4 |
| 4.0 | DATA ANALYSIS | 5 |
| 5.0 | DISCUSSION OF RESULTS. | 9 |
| 6.0 | SPECIAL TESTS. | 14 |
| | 6.1 Frequency Response of the CO₂ Analyzer | 14 15 17 |
| 7.0 | CONCLUSIONS | 18 |
| 8.0 | REFERENCES | 21 |

TABLES

Table

| 1 | Data Recorded on CF-POK — Agricultural Project — 1982 | 23 |
|----|---|----|
| 2 | Flight Summary — CO ₂ Flux Measurement, 1982 | 24 |
| 8 | Flux Summary — Corn Field A | 25 |
| 4 | Flux Summary — Corn Fields B and C | 26 |
| 5 | Flux Summary — Lakes | 27 |
| 6 | Flux Summary — Forest | 28 |
| 7 | Flux Summary — Richmond Farmland, Mer Bleue | 29 |
| 8 | Data Averages by Flight and Terrain | 30 |
| 9 | CO ₂ Fluxes | 31 |
| 10 | Average Heat Fluxes | 31 |

ILLUSTRATIONS

CALLS MAN

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | Twin Otter with CO ₂ Analyzer Mounted Above Fuselage | 33 |
| 2 | Agriculture Canada Open-Path CO ₂ Analyzer | 34 |
| 3 | Time Histories, Corn Field A, Run 09-03A | 35 |
| - 4 | Time Histories, Corn Field C, Run 04-08C | 36 |
| 5 | Time Eistories, Lake Ontario, Run 05-08L | 37 |
| 6 | Time Histories, Larose Forest, Run 07-01F | 38 |
| 7 | Time Histories, Mer Bleue, Run 07-02M | 39 |
| 8 | Time Histories, Richmond Farmland, Run 08-02R | 40 |
| 9 | Average Cospectra - Corn Field A | 41 |
| 10 | Average Cospectra - Corn Field B | 42 |
| 11 | Average Cospectra - Corn Field C | 43 |
| 12 | Average Cospectra - Lakes | 44 |
| 13 | Average Cospectra - Larose Forest | 45 |
| 14 | Average Cospectra - Forest Near B | 46 |
| 15 | Average Cospectra - All Forest Runs | 47 |
| 16 | Average Cospectra - Richmond Farmland | 48 |
| 17 | Cospectra - Mer Bleue | 49 |
| 18 | Average Power Spectra | 50 |
| 19 | Time Histories for Three Runs Used for Sideslip Studies | 51 |
| 20 | Power Spectra of CO ₂ and Sideslip Angle | 52 |

Cale (c)

I. INTRODUCTION

The increasing level of carbon dioxide (CO_2) in the atmosphere has led to much scientific speculation on long term effects as well as the formulation of analytical models to predict the consequences on global temperature, the weather, and the polar ice caps. Although reasonably accurate estimates can be made for the input of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere from the combustion of carbon-based fuels, much less well understood are the rates of exchange between the atmosphere and the oceans and vegetation.

For several years the Agrometeorology Section of Agriculture Canada has been developing instrumentation and conducting groundbased experiments to measure the CO_2 exchange rate between the atmosphere and various crops. In 1979 the Flight Research Laboratory of the National Aeronautical Establishment (NAE) was asked by Agriculture Canada (Ref. 1) to assess the feasibility of combining a CO_2 sensor with the gust measuring capability of an atmospheric research aircraft to make airborne measurements of the flux of carbon dioxide above various ecosystems. If successful, an aircraft offers the potential to quickly determine the CO_2 exchange rate over large areas for inputs to theoretical models, as well as to open the possibility of inferring the growth rates of crops and forests from these flux measurements.

In 1980 the NAE Twin Otter was used in a series of nine test flights, five with a CO₂ analyzer built for the Bedford Institute of Oceanography, and four with an open path analyzer developed by Agriculture Canada. Although the flights were restricted to the end of the growing season because of other aircraft commitments, valuable lessons were learned about the use of these sensors in airborne applications and suggestions were made for improvements (Reference 2 and 3). Resulting fluxes calculated by the eddy correlation technique were encouraging and further tests were planned.

In 1981 another series of 13 flights totalling 10 flying hours was flown using only the Agriculture Canada developed sensor. Several instrumentation problems were experienced which led to recommendations for additional improvements, but only limited further analysis of the flux data was attempted.

After undergoing aerodynamic and electronic refinements, the open path CO₂ analyzer was again installed in the Twin Otter for a ten hour series of test flights in August and September, 1982. The signal to noise ratio of the CO₂ analyzer was much improved. Consequently, in order to continue the assessment of the use of aircraft to make CO₂ flux measurements, the author has undertaken the analysis of 77 of the runs flown in this series of tests. The results are documented in this report and Reference 4, and foster suggestions for further instrumentation tests and an improved experimental design for the next test series. A major part of the Laboratory's continued interest in these tests stems from the fact that, in this particular test environment (very low altitude, low winds, and small vertical gusts damped by proximity to the surface), valuable experience is being gained on probing the limits of the capability of an aircraft to make accurate gust and flux measurements.

2. INSTRUMENTATION

The Twin Otter measures the three orthogonal components of atmospheric motion in aircraft- and earth-fixed axes utilizing a nosemounted gust boom, a 3-axis Doppler radar, and a strapped-down inertial system consisting of accelerometers, and rate and attitude gyros. Atmospheric state parameters such as temperature and dew point are also measured, as are the aircraft motion, altitude (pressure and radio altimeter), and geographical position (GNS-500A). Three onboard microprocessors compute these parameters in real time and present one-second averages to the aircrew on a cockpit-mounted plasma display unit. Data are processed by anti-aliasing low-pass filters with a 5 Hz cutoff, then digitized and recorded at a rate of 16 samples per second in serial-digital format on two tracks of a lightweight and reliable studio recorder. Table 1 lists the parameters recorded during these tests, track-1 for the raw sensor data and track-2 for computed time histories output from the microprocessors. A complete description of the aircraft, instrumentation, software, and playback data available is presented in Reference 5.

The Agriculture Canada open-path CO₂ analyzer, based on the differential absorption by CO2 of infrared radiation at wavelengths of 4.3 and 4.7 µm, was mounted through the escape hatch in the cabin roof of the Twin Otter (Fig. I). With the radiation source and detector just inside the cabin and the infrared beam reflected by a mirror 0.75 m above the fuselage, an in-air optical path length of 1.5 m was achieved. An output voltage proportional to carbon dioxide concentration was fed to the NAE signal conditioner where it was amplified, digitized and recorded on both tracks of the serial-digital recorder. Since a bias adjustment was made on the sensor after attaining flight speed and the test altitude, the recorded signal represented the fluctuations in the concentration of CO2, with no attempt made to document the mean atmospheric concentration. After amplification, the calibration of the CO₂ output signal as recorded represented changes of 17.54 ppm per volt, giving a resolution of 0.343 ppm per bit and a full scale range of ± 175 ppm.

The 1980 and 1981 tests showed that the output voltage from the CO₂ analyzer contained an unacceptable level of noise, the amplitude of which was proportional to airspeed (Ref. 2). This suggested that the noise was a result of the inconsistent reception by the detector of the reflected infrared beam, due to turbulence-generated vibration of the mirror and its support structure. Prior to the 1982 tests, the vertical tubes supporting the mirror and baffles were streamlined (Fig. 2), and noise levels appear to be significantly reduced.

Incident solar radiation was measured by a light sensor mounted vertically in the aft cabin roof. Its uncalibrated signal was recorded on both tracks of the NAE recorder and used primarily to document the passage of cloud shadows. Another device provided by Agriculture Canada to measure both incoming and reflected radiation was mounted on the cabin floor with its sensor looking vertically downward through an opening in the cabin floor and fuselage. It was used to obtain a relative measurement of the leaf area index of green vegetation. As its signal was internally recorded, it was not processed by NAE.

3.0 FLIGHTS

The aircraft was committed to another program through June and July, so flights had to take place late in the growing season between August 13 and September 10. Nine project flights, totalling just under ten flying hours, were conducted. Table 2 presents a brief summary of the dates and flights times, weather conditions, and the types of terrain and vegetation studied.

Data from the first three flights were unuseable because of instrumentation problems. On Flight 4 several runs were made over Corn Field C near Russell Airport, but near the end of the flight the CO₂ analyzer failed during the only investigation of soybean fields in the project. On August 26 the aircraft was operated from Kingston to make long runs over Lake Ontario to study the noise level and resolution of the CO₂ signal in an area of expected minimal fluctuations in carbon dioxide. This day was almost overcast and had the strongest winds of the experiment. On the return flight to Ottawa several long runs were made over mixed forest. On the first part of Flight 7 on August 31, two of the Agriculture Canada special test plots

near the Cedarview Road southwest of Ottawa were overflown. The first of these, Corn Field A, was the same field over which groundbased flux measurements were made prior to the flight tests, utilizing the same CO₂ analyzer carried aboard the aircraft. The second, Corn Field B, was centred about one kilometer northwest of A. Flight 7 concluded with a pair of long reciprocal passes over the Larose Forest and Mer Bleue, a marshy area, southeast of Ottawa.

The final two flights, although in September, had the clearest skies of the series and temperatures typical of the earlier flights. The winds were very light, especially on Flight 8. On September 8, five fairly long runs were performed over mixed farmland near Richmond, Ontario, followed by several passes over Corn Field A and Lac Deschênes. On September 10, eight runs each were made over Corn Fields A and B and a mixed forest just west of Field B.

Since the 1980 and 1981 studies showed that the CO_2 sensor had noise levels directly related to airspeed, the 1982 tests were flown at the lowest possible safe airspeed, utilizing about 15 degrees of flap. On most of the corn field, farmland, and forest runs, true airspeeds averaged about 50 m s⁻¹. For safety reasons the Lake Ontario series was conducted at higher airspeeds, around 60 m s⁻¹. Care was taken to minimize altitude excursions. Very low altitudes were achieved on some runs, averaging less than 8 m on the September 10 corn field runs, for example. Greater altitude variations were unavoidable over the Richmond farmland and the forests because of the presence of power lines and tall trees.

4.0 DATA ANALYSIS

Prior to the summer series of project flights, several improvements were made to the Twin Otter playback software. On a routine basis after each flight, the real-time computed data recorded

on track-2 are used to produce a flight path plot and a printout of winds, temperatures, positions etc. For the event-marker-in portions, which denote particular measurement runs, RMS and peak gust velocities are computed along with run averages of all pertinent parameters. For these tests the program was modified to include the run-average CO₂ vertical flux computed from the relationship:

$$\overline{\rho WCO_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{Wge(i)*CO_2(i)*\rho}{N} - \overline{Wge*} \overline{CO_2} *\rho$$

Where Wge is the vertical component of air velocity, CO_2 is the carbon dioxide concentration, and ρ is the density of air. The overbars represent run averages.

More detailed analysis of the flight data takes place on the NRC Time-Shared IBM 3033 System, where many programs and subroutines have been developed to utilize data recorded on track-1 of the Twin Otter recorder for the computations of gusts, fluxes, power spectra, etc. New software has now been added that uses the identical subroutines to produce the same outputs, but which employs the track-2 airplane-computed data as inputs.

For the 1982 test data, it was initially planned to perform all the analysis using only the track-2 parameters. It was discovered, however, that on many of the runs, the real-time computed wind components possessed biases at the start that took several seconds to decay. The resultant drifts are a product of the low filter breakpoint frequency $(0.02H_Z)$ required in the real-time complementary digital filtering routine used to compute the aircraft inertial velocity components. The direct cause is the tight manoeuvring needed to

position the aircraft at low altitude over the usually small fields while avoiding obstacles at their margins. Any false drift in the vertical gust component clearly leads to inaccurate carbon dioxide and heat fluxes, especially for the short corn field runs where the drift often existed for up to a third of the recorded run. Consequently, it was decided to analyze all the runs using the raw track-1 data and to recompute the gusts and fluxes on the NRC Time-Shared System (TSS). Although the complementary filtering technique used in these programs is virtually identical to that in the aircraft real-time processing, drifts can be avoided by initiating the TSS analysis at the point where the aircraft has been stabilized over the test field.

The three components of the true gust velocity in airplane- and earth-fixed axes are computed by the methods detailed in Reference 5. For these data the earth axis system used has the Uge axis aligned north/south with a positive gust from the north. A positive lateral component, Vge, is from the east, and the positive sense for the vertical gust is upwards. Prior to the CO₂ flux calculation, the run average was removed from the vertical gust and CO₂ signals. The mounting location for the CO₂ analyzer was 6.5 m aft of the angle of attack sensor on the gust boom. In the CO₂ flux calculation, therefore, the Wge and CO₂ signals were time correlated by advancing the CO₂ signal by the integral number of data slices (usually 2) closest to 6.5*16/(true airspeed).

Run-average heat and CO₂ fluxes were calculated by two procedures. Method A was to simply average the product over the entire event-in portion of the run, i.e.

$$\overline{\rho WCO_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(Wge(i) - \overline{Wge}) * (CO_2(i) - \overline{CO_2}) * \rho}{N}$$

In Method B, the co-spectrum of the product was integrated over a selected wavelength range from 10 to 800 m. Since the project runs varied in length from about one to greater than 13 km, a meaningful comparison of fluxes between runs over different terrains can really only be achieved by using a common limited wavelength band. It is important to note that all of the RMS values and heat fluxes presented in this report were computed by Method B. To show the above-mentioned variations, three computations of the carbon dioxide flux are given for each run, i.e. track-2 and Methods A and B for track-1 (Tables 3-7). For the final summaries (Tables 8-10), only fluxes calculated over the 10 to 800 m wavelength range are included. No attempt was made to correct the CO_2 fluxes for the effects of the sensible and latent heat fluxes, so the resultant CO_2 fluxes could be overestimated by up to twenty per cent over land and possibly more over water (Ref. 6).

A THE REPORT OF

A total of 77 runs was analyzed. Outputs included printed listings of winds, temperatures, flight conditions, RMS gust velocities, flux estimates, spectra, and co-spectra. Time histories of the following 10 parameters were written to disk and backed-up to magnetic tape for storage: event marker, 3-axis gust components, radiometer, radio altimeter height, temperature, CO₂ signal, CO₂ flux, and the cumulative average of the CO₂ flux. The last parameter was computed from the relationship:

SUMF (i) =
$$\int_{j=1}^{1} Flux(j)$$
 Where $Flux(j)=(Wge(j) - Wge)*(CO_2(j) - \overline{CO_2})*\rho$

Time history plots of the important parameters were prepared for all the runs, examples of which are shown in Figures 3 to 8 for the various terrains studied. It must be noted that the radio altimeter height shown (RALT) is measured from the bottom of the fuselage to the surface. Since the CO_2 sensor is mounted on the top of the fuselage, the actual carbon dioxide sample was taken 2.3 m above the height shown in the figures and tables below. Data from only 70 of the 77 runs are presented in this report. There were occasional false spikes in the vertical gust and CO_2 signals, such as seen in Figure 8. Examination of the flux and SUMF time histories showed that on most runs these made no significant contribution to the flux, but where the opposite was true, the run was excluded from further analysis.

Gust, CO_2 , and temperature spectra, and the Wge*CO₂ and heat co-spectra were output to a labelled dataset for each run. A special program was then used to produce average unnormalized spectra and co-spectra for a particular series of runs, e.g. for a particular terrain type (Figures 9 to 18).

Copies of the time histories on magnetic tape, analog plots, and the summary tables have been forwarded to Macdonald College of McGill University for their analysis under contract to Agriculture Canada.

5.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

くらいのである

Tables 3 to 7 present the computed results for each run, grouped by terrain type and flight. After each series of runs on a given flight, the average values for all runs are given along with the standard deviation, σ , to show the variation between runs. These averages and standard deviations are carried to the summary Table 8 to facilitate comparison of results.

Perhaps the most noticeable observation from Tables 3 to 7 is the large run-to-run variation in the measured CO₂ flux for all three methods of computation. In most cases the track-2 CO₂ fluxes display greater variability than the track-1 results, principally due to the drifts in the track-2 vertical wind component on some runs as discussed in Section 4.0. As would be expected, the average track-1B fluxes are generally smaller than those computed from track-1 data using Method A, since the contributions by eddies with wavelengths longer than 800 m are excluded. The heat flux data appear to be less variable than the CO₂ fluxes. The RMS of the vertical gust velocity and the CO₂ concentration tend to be fairly consistent from run to run. Throughout all the tests, the standard deviation of the RMS vertical gust velocity averages only about 0.1 m s⁻¹, suggesting that the considerable variability in the fluxes is not related to the vertical gust component.

Despite the variability of the fluxes between runs, the results of this feasibility study should be considered encouraging. The average heat and carbon dioxide fluxes appearing in Table 8 are of the correct sign and of reasonable magnitude, comparing favourably with previous ground-based measurements. In the latter case it has been an accepted practice to average the results of several runs, as has been done here. This appears to be especially necessary when only short runs can be made, as was the case for the corn fields.

The analysis of flux data has usually been based on the assumption of steady state, i.e. a time invariant boundary layer in equilibrium with the sources and sinks of CO_2 and heat. This is unlikely to have been the case for these tests, for wind shifts, moving cloud shadows, etc. can cause singular events leading to the over or underestimation of the turbulent fluxes. For small fields with

marginal fetch, wind shifts can bring air from adjacent fields with different vegetation and presumably different CO_2 concentrations. Although there are only a limited number of long runs in the 1982 study (Larose, Richmond, and Lake Ontario), these data and those of Reference 3 support the observation of improved consistency with the length of the flight path. Examination of the traces of the cumulative average CO_2 flux (SUMF) for the longer runs reveals that at least 30 seconds, and often a minute (3 km), is required for them to reach a stable level representative of their final value.

Run 04-08C is a good example of a singular event discussed above, one with the largest track-1B CO₂ flux and second largest heat flux of the entire experiment (Table 4, Fig. 4). In the 26-30 second range of the time histories, there is a 200 m wide updraft averaging about 2 m s⁻¹, well correlated with an increase in temperature and a decrease in CO₂ concentration. None of the other runs over Corn Field C that day showed such an event, nor can anything unique be found in the conditions for this particular run. It should be noted, however, that the average CO₂ flux for all the passes over this field (-46 kg ha⁻¹ h⁻¹, Table 8) is the largest recorded in these tests. This is the earliest flight of those reported here (August 23) and would be expected to exhibit the largest CO₂ exchange rates. Also the winds averaged 209 degrees at 6.7 m s⁻¹, the strongest for all the corn field runs, and probably contributed to the increased flux levels.

Figures 9 to 17 depict average co-spectra for the heat and CO_2 fluxes for the various types of terrain studied. The standard deviation of the spectral estimates at each frequency is relatively large since the variability of the fluxes themselves is considerable. Nevertheless, these presentations are useful for showing the size of the eddies contributing to the fluxes and for examining the potential differences between vegetation types.

At wavelengths shorter than about 30 m, there is a negligible contribution to the CO₂ flux, but a small but significant contribution to the heat flux. This is an indication that, for an airspeed of 50 m s⁻¹, the upper limit of the frequency response of the CO₂ analyzer is about 1 to 1-1/2 Hz in the airborne application. This is lower than its reported performance in ground-based studies, and suggests the need for additional tests of this aspect of its operation.

For most of the plots the heat and CO_2 co-spectra show a similar dependence on wave number, but are opposite in sign. This indicates that basically the same eddies are responsible for the vertical transfer of heat and carbon dioxide, and that during the photosynthetically active part of the day, the vegetation is a source of heat and a sink for CO_2 .

The co-spectra show that the bulk of the CO₂ and heat transfer is carried by eddies with wavelengths in the 50 to 500 m range. There are observable differences in the locations of the peaks for different days and terrains, which tend to be more evident in the heat co-spectra because they are less variable. A comparison of Figures 9 and 11, for example, shows heat and CO₂ transfer at longer wavelengths over Field C than Field A. If this trend is real, it could be due to the higher altitude flown over C, the stronger winds, or perhaps the greater photosynthetic activity earlier in the season. Similarly the runs over the Larose Forest illustrate an increased contribution to the heat flux by long wave length eddies up to 800 m (Fig. 13). Examination of the vertical temperature profiles recorded by the aircraft to a height of 300 m shows the greatest gradients on the day Corn Field C was studied, while the Larose runs were flown on the day with the second steepest lapse rate. Reference 7 indicates that a shift to longer wavelengths in the co-spectra can be expected with increased atmospheric instability.

and and set of a set

Confidence in the results and in the use of the eddy correlation technique to compute the fluxes is bolstered somewhat by the cospectra averaged for the 10 runs over water (Fig. 12). As would be anticipated, the co-spectral estimates and mean fluxes over water are an order of magnitude lower than those for the runs over vegetation. There is no noticeable preference for contributions at any particular wavelength, indicating an absence of correlated error between the vertical gust component and the CO_2 or temperature signals.

Tables 9 and 10 present the final summary of the average carbon dioxide and heat fluxes versus flight number and terrain type. It is difficult to draw firm conclusions from these data. There are an insufficient number of entries in each category to positively relate observed variations to physical explanations. Trends suggested by the data in one category are sometimes contradicted by the limited results from another category. Obviously there are many factors that can affect the heat and carbon dioxide fluxes. These include the altitude flown, the incident radiation, wind speed and direction, the temperature and moisture content of the soil, plant stress, atmospheric stability, and the phase of the growing season. As this program was basically an instrument test and feasibility study, not all of these factors were documented to the degree required for meaningful correlation with the average fluxes. It is planned to do so in the next test program. It is also recommended that, in the next CO₂ flux measuring program, the test areas be restricted to a number that can be investigated on every flight. With entries at all possible array locations in summary tables such as 9 and 10, significant trends in the data may then become apparent.

6.0 SPECIAL TESTS

An important aspect of this feasibility study was the investigation of possible contributors to the run to run flux variations. At this point the subject of the reliability and accuracy of the CO₂ analyzer should be addressed in more detail. Particular questions to be considered are whether the streamlining of the mirror supports has completely eliminated noise problems, and whether the excursions in the CO₂ analyzer output represent actual CO₂ fluctuations or are partially a result of some other interference effect.

Special tests were performed to attempt to answer these questions. These included low altitude runs over water distant from shore where real CO_2 fluctuations would be expected to be a minimum. The results in Table 5 are promising, for they show the anticipated very low heat and CO_2 fluxes with small standard deviations, especially when compared with the over-land runs (Table 8). However, the eddy correlation technique used to compute the fluxes can mask noise problems. This is one of its advantages under normal circumstances. A signal contaminated with random noise can be multiplied by another to give a good average flux estimate, as long as the noise on the one signal is uncorrelated with the second signal. The flight results therefore indicate that the measured CO_2 concentration fluctuations are either real or, if they have a false component, it is uncorrelated with the vertical gust velocity, so realistic flux estimates still result.

6.1 Frequency Response of the CO₂ Analyzer

Examination of Figures 3 and 4 reveals that the CO_2 trace has less high frequency content than the gust and temperature time histories. To further investigate this point, average power spectra for the vertical gust, temperature, and CO_2 signals were computed for 23 runs over Corn Field A (Figure 18). The Wge and temperature spectra show the expected -5/3 slope at high frequencies; the CO₂ spectra for the corn field runs and all forest runs do not. The steep slope at $k > 0.02m^{-1}$ indicates a reduced response at frequencies greater than about 1 Hz. If turbulence generated by the flow about the mirror supports and baffles caused mirror vibration and resultant noise in the CO₂ output signal, it would be expected to appear at these frequencies. The lack of high frequency fluctuations could be an indication that the streamlining of the mirror supports has had the desired effect of improving the signal to noise ratio. The steep slope of the CO₂ spectrum is of concern, however, and deserves additional investigation in future tests.

6.2 CO2 Fluctuations and Sideslip Angle

The average RMS value for the CO_2 concentration is given in Table 8 for each series of runs. Over land the values are from 3 to 4 ppm. Over water they are 2.3 to 2.4 ppm, higher than expected and perhaps an indicator of a level of error. Close examination of the CO_2 traces shows that many of the signal fluctuations occur at frequencies near the short period and lateral oscillatory modes of the aircraft (0.3 to 0.5 Hz). Streamlining the mirror supports might have made the analyzer sensitive to lateral forces caused by sideslip. If this were the case, the CO_2 signal would be expected to increase with either positive or negative sideslip.

The analysis software was modified to compute sideslip angle β at the mounting location of the CO₂ analyzer. The correlations between the CO₂ signal and β the lateral gust velocity were also computed. Three runs were analyzed with this modified software, Run05-11L over Lake Ontario, Run 07-01F over the Larose Forest, and Run 09-CI, a special test in which the aircraft was flown in relatively

smooth air at 1200 ft above ground and subjected to pitch, roll, and yaw control inputs. Figure 19 shows the time histories for the three The top two traces represent the lateral and vertical gust runs. velocities computed in earth axes with the x axis aligned with the runaveraged heading of the aircraft. The Vge trace approximates the lateral gust velocity experienced by the CO2 sensor. The third and fourth traces depict the sideslip angle β at the sensor and the CO₂ signal respectively. It can be seen that substantial sideslip angles are generated, up to about 7 deg. at 'A' in the forest run and after 'B' during the yawing manoeuvres in the control input tests. Although at some points there is the suggestion of a relationship between the sideslip angle and the CO2 signal, there does not appear to be a conclusive one to one correspondence between β excursions and positive CO₂ fluctuations. Also, in the control input case, there is no noticeable increase in CO2 activity after 'B' when the yawing manoeuvres commence.

The bottom three traces in Figure 19 show the products of CO_2 times β , lateral gust velocity, and vertical gust velocity respectively. The lateral flux of CO_2 tends to show larger excursions than the vertical flux for the forest and lake runs, but about the same for the control input case. This is not unexpected, for at the low altitudes flown in the first two cases, the vertical gusts are damped because of proximity to the surface. Moreover, since each run had a considerable crosswind component, the lateral flux of CO_2 should equal or exceed the vertical transport.

Since it was postulated that CO_2 fluctuations could be correlated with sideslip at particular frequencies, power spectra for β and CO_2 have been computed for the three special test runs. The spectra at the bottom of Figure 20 show peaks clearly associated with the yawing oscillations of the aircraft at wave numbers ranging from 3.5 to 6.8 x 10⁻³ m⁻¹ (frequencies of 0.19 to 0.32 Hz). This is especially evident for the forced yaws in the control input case, where

the peak in the spectrum is more than an order of magnitude higher than it would have been without the rudder inputs. If side forces cause mirror deflections that shift the reflected infrared beam partially off the detector, then a false positive CO_2 reading would result for both left and right sideslips, i.e. the CO_2 spectrum would show a peak at twice the frequency of the β oscillations. The CO_2 spectra in the upper part of. Figure 20 show no obvious peaks at frequencies corresponding with either the β spectral peak or twice its wave number. It can be concluded that very little, if any, of the CO_2 signal fluctuation is caused by deflection of the mirror support structure due to aerodynamic side forces.

6.3 Effects of Aircraft Wake and Exhaust During Repeated Runs

For the smaller fields, runs on reciprocal headings have been made at intervals as short as 1-1/2 minutes, bringing into consideration the possible contamination of the data as a result of the previous pass of the aircraft. In light wind conditions, or for runs nearly along the wind direction, it is possible that the atmosphere disturbed by the aircraft wake will not be returned to a natural equilibrium prior to the next passage of the aircraft. Resultant disturbances would be difficult to detect and impossible to correct in the data.

A more serious potential problem with repeat passes is contamination of the CO_2 readings by carbon dioxide emitted in the engine exhaust from previous runs. An example calculation will illustrate this. Assume that in the 1-1/2 minutes between passes, the exhaust released in one second is uniformly mixed in a volume of air defined by 3 times the aircraft wingspan (3 x 20 m), the run altitude (12 m), and the distance flown in one second (50 m). At the power setting required for 100-knot cruise near sea level, each PT6 engine burns at least 230 lb per hour of fuel to produce 450 lb of CO_2 (Ref.

8). In one second, then, $\frac{2*450*454}{3600} = 113$ grams of CO₂ are produced $\frac{3600}{3}$

and the resulting concentration is:

 $\frac{113}{50^{\$}12^{\$}60} \frac{g \ CO_2}{m^3} * \frac{1}{100^3} \frac{m^3}{cm^3} * \frac{1}{1.2^{\$}10^{-3}} \frac{cm^3}{g \ air} = 2.6^{\$}10^{-6} \frac{g \ CO_2}{g \ air}$ $= 2.6^{\$}10^{-6} * \frac{29}{44} = 1.7^{\$}10^{-6} \text{ parts } CO_2 \text{ per part air}$

This 1.7 ppm concentration is of the same order as the measured RMS of the CO₂ signal. Even relaxing the above assumptions to allow a greater dissipation of the wake between runs will not eliminate the potential for contamination of the CO2 measurements by the exhaust, for there may be isolated pockets of higher exhaust concentration caused by some environmental condition or the wingtip trailing vortices. For the data in this report, the problem could likely only occur during the corn field runs. Fortunately, in most cases there was a cross-track wind component, which even in the worst case, would have transported the aircraft wake 240 m laterally between runs. For Runs 3A, 5A, and 7A of Flight 9, a diagonal pass across the field was made just 80 seconds after a north-south run. Although the aircraft wake was probably crossed on these runs, there are no apparent anomolies in the temperature, gust, or CO₂ concentration data that can be conclusively associated with interception of the wake or exhaust. Nevertheless, since the possibility of data contamination does exist, it is highly recommended that in future CO2 flux measurements, longer fields should be chosen and repeated passes avoided. If this is impracticable, all runs should be flown crosswind with perhaps at least 3 minutes between passes.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

The feasibility of measuring carbon dioxide fluxes from an aircraft has been demonstrated. Carbon dioxide and heat fluxes averaged for several runs were of the correct sign and had magnitudes consistent with previous ground-based measurements.

The aerodynamic improvements made to the Agriculture Canada open path CO₂ analyzer appear to have improved its signal to noise ratio by eliminating high-frequency, airspeed-dependent fluctuations associated with vibration of the mirror support structure. Further flight testing of the analyzer is required to determine why its response at frequencies above 1 Hz a_{μ} pears limited in the airborne application compared with its ground-based performance.

ないたいない

The output signal from the CO_2 sensor possessed fluctuations that were suspected of being too large, particularly in over-water tests. Special analysis procedures demonstrated that these excursions were not correlated with sideslip angle, and were therefore not a result of lateral aerodynamic forces on the mirror support structure. No other correlations were found that suggested these CO_2 readings were anything but real. Nevertheless, it is felt that a CO_2 analyzer should be developed that is mounted entirely within the aircraft fuselage and samples air ducted to it from an external inlet.

The computed heat and CO_2 fluxes showed considerable variation from run to run. Even when averaged over all runs for a particular flight and vegetation type, it was difficult to relate the resultant fluxes to environmental conditions, terrain, etc. To that end, considerable attention must be given to the design of future experiments in order to make such conclusions possible. The next test series should cover a larger part of the growing season to provide greater variations in photosynthetic activity (eg, mid-June to the end of August). The number of test areas (terrains) should be restricted to about four, with each flown on every flight. One of these could be adjacent to the NAE Mobile Meteorological Tower, which would provide useful supporting wind and temperature data at four levels in the lower 30 m of the atmosphere. Run lengths must be at least three

kilometers, and repeated passes, if done at all, must be arranged so as to avoid contamination by the turbulent wake and exhaust of the previous pass. For every flight a sounding to about 5000 ft should be flown to document the stability of the atmosphere. Then, given a sufficient number of test cases, average fluxes appearing in tables such as 9 to 10 in this report, can be examined for trends that can be related known physical processes and environmental conditions.

anness analysis income

WARANA AND A SUBSCRIPT

8.0 REFERENCES

8.

1. Letter to Mr. A.D. Wood, former Head, Flight Research Laboratory from R. Desjardins, Agrometeorology Section, Agriculture Canada, dated Sept. 10, 1979. 2. MacPherson, J.I. Flight Experiments to Measure the Flux of Carbon Dioxide Above Various Ecosystems. NRC, NAE Laboratory Technical Report LTR-FR-74, Ottawa, October 1980. 3. Desjardins, R.L. Aircraft Monitoring of Surface Carbon Dioxide Brach, E.J. Exchange. Alvo, P. Science Volume 216, No. 4547, May 1982. Schuepp, P.H. Ground Referencing and Update on Flight Program 4. Desjardins, R.L. MacPherson, J.I. for Airborne CO₂ Measurement. Paper Presented at the Sixteenth Conference on Alvo, P. Agriculture and Forest Meteorology. Fort Collins, Schuepp, P.H. Colorado, April 1983. 5. MacPherson, J.I. The NAE Twin Otter Atmospheric Research Aircraft. NRC, NAE Laboratory Technical Report Morgan, J.M. LTR-FR-80, Ottawa, March 1981. Lum, K. Webb, E.K. Correction of Flux Measurements for Density 6. Effects Due to Heat and Water Vapour Transfer. Pearman, G.I. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Leuning, R. Society, Vol. 106, pp. 85-100, 1980. 7. McBean, G. Instrument Requirements for Eddy Correlation Methods. Journal of Applied Meteorology, Vol. II, pp. 1078-84, 1972.

> Personal Communication with J.W. Bird, Engine Laboratory, NRC Division of Mechanical Engineering, and P. Sampath, Combustion Department, Pratt and Whitney, Mississauga.

| TABLE 1 |
|---------|
|---------|

DATA RECORDED ON CF-POK - AGRICULTURAL PROJECT - 1982

| Channel | Track 1 | Track 2 |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0 | GMT | GMT |
| 1 | 9-Level Event Marker | 9-Level Event Marker |
| 2 | Doppler Vx | GMT - hour |
| 3 | Doppler Vy | GMT - minute |
| 4 | Doppler Vz | GMT - second |
| 5 | Dynamic Pressure (Airspeed) | Latitude - degrees |
| 6 | Magnetic Heading | Latitude - minutes |
| 7 | Radio Altimeter Height | Longitude - degrees |
| 8 | Total Temperature | Longitude - minutes |
| 9 | Dew Point | Range from Reference |
| 10 | GNS Latitute | Bearing from Reference |
| 11 | GNS Longitude | True Heading |
| 12 | Vertical Acceleration | Wind Speed |
| 13 | Lateral Acceleration | Wind Direction |
| 14 | Longitudinal Acceleration | Static Pressure (corrected) |
| 15 | Wind Direction | True Airspeed |
| 16 | Wind Speed | Pitch Attitude |
| 17 | Total Temperature (fine) | Roll Attitude |
| 18 | Angle of Attack | Uge - North/South Wind Component |
| 19 | Angle of Sideslip | Vge - East/West Wind Component |
| 20 | Pitch Attitude | Wge - Vertical Wind Component |
| 21 | Roll Attitude | * Vertical Wind by Pressure Method |
| 22 | Pitch Rate | Static Temperature |
| 23 | Yaw Rate | Reverse Flow Temperature |
| 24 | Roll Rate | Dew Point |
| 25 | - | * Rate of Change of Pressure Height |
| 26 | CO ₂ Analyzer | * Waire |
| 27 | Radiometer | Radio Altimeter Height |
| 28 | Static Pressure | * PS25 |
| 29 | True Airspeed | CO ₂ Analyzer |
| 30 | Time - Seconds | Radiometer |

* Computed parameters recorded for special software tests done on same flights.

23

2.667.6269

Consecution of

serve i processione interestione. I disconde appropriate appropriate approximate approximate approximate approxima

TABLE 2

FLIGHT SUMMARY - CO₂ FLUX MEASUREMENT, 1982

| Instrumentation | and forest No data - slave CPU down | No data - tape reversed | Forest Data spikes; doppler memory over water | 1 Field CO ₂ sensor u/s after 1912 Z | | uo uz | Larose Track 2 channel order changed, data OK | . Corn Some CO ₂ and a spikes | nd Forest |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Test Areas | Test over water, corn, | Lac Deschênes | Lac Deschênes, Larose Corn Field C | Corn Field C, Soybear | Lake Ontario | Forest, Fields, and Co Kingston-Ottawa Le | Corn Fields A and B, I Forest, Mer Bleue | Fields near Richmond Field A, Lac Desché | Corn Fields A and B a near Field B |
| Winds True - m S ⁻¹ | I | MN | WSW 4 | 2 MSS | 8 M 8 | SW 5 | NW 5 | Almost calm | SW 4 |
| Temp ⁽¹⁾ °C | 1 | ł | 19 | 22 | 17 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 22 |
| Weather | Cloudy, TCu | Partially cloudy, 6/10 TCu | Sunny, 2/10 Cu | 7/10 Cumutus | 7/10 Cumulus Alto Cumulus | 9/10 Str. Cu Showers | Strato Cu 3/10 Alto Cu 1/10 | Sunny, 1/10 Cu | Sunny |
| Hours | 6.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| – GMT Landing | 1946 | 1924 | 1630 | 1926 | 1703 | 1939 | 1630 | 1925 | 1602 |
| Times Takeoff | 1853 | 1854 | 1520 | 1831 | 1517 | 1858 | 1522 | 1810 | 1529 |
| Date 1982 | Aug. 13 | Aug. 16 | Aug. 18 | Aug. 23 | Aug. 26 | Aug. 26 | Aug. 31 | Sep. 08 | Sep. 10 |
| Fit | | 2 | m | 4 | Ś | و | 7 | œ | 5 |

At Ottawa Airport at takeoff. Ξ

| Flt | Bum | Alt | Dist | σ _{Wge} | σ _{c02} | Heat | CO2 | Flux kg h | a ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ |
|--------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| FIL | Kuli | m | km | m S ⁻¹ | ppm | Watts m ⁻² | Trk 2 | Trk 1A | Trk 1B |
| 7 | 1A 2A 3A 4A 5A | 17 18 18 15 13 | 1.63 1.64 1.80 1.66 1.01 | 0.62 0.53 0.71 0.76 0.53 0.63 | 4.0 3.7 3.9 4.2 3.2 3.8 | 81 61 36 61 54 59 | -12 57 12 -2 -15 | -11 -11 -2 5 -8 | -7 -22 -6 6 -2 -6 |
| | σ | | | 0.09 | 0.3 | 14 | 29 | 6 | 9 |
| 8 A | 1A 2A 3A 4A 6A 7A 8A 9A 10A 11A VG σ | 27 25 26 27 25 24 25 27 26 25 26 | 1.54 1.62 2.03 1.97 1.97 2.22 2.25 2.13 2.16 2.17 2.01 | 0.66 0.81 0.63 0.76 0.87 0.61 0.87 0.58 0.67 0.52 0.70 0.12 | 3.7 3.5 3.3 2.8 3.2 2.6 3.5 3.3 3.2 3.3 3.2 | 72 129 88 76 93 73 109 65 67 66 84 20 | $ \begin{array}{r} -53 \\ -57 \\ -5 \\ -32 \\ -7 \\ 11 \\ -19 \\ -35 \\ -19 \\ -12 \\ -23 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $ | -35 -58 -17 -34 -17 -14 -20 -43 -19 -12 -27 14 | $ \begin{array}{r} -35 \\ -74 \\ 5 \\ -18 \\ -11 \\ -24 \\ -23 \\ -38 \\ -7 \\ -21 \\ -25 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $ |
| 9 | 1A 2A 3A 4A 5A 6A 7A 8A | 8 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 7 | 2.06 1.10 1.69 1.05 1.74 1.20 1.59 1.18 | 0.58 0.61 0.51 0.66 0.57 0.60 0.50 0.50 | 4.6 3.9 4.6 3.7 3.7 5.6 4.0 4.6 | 113 86 99 119 91 102 78 67 | $ \begin{array}{r} -149 \\ 46 \\ -75 \\ 24 \\ -47 \\ 5 \\ -59 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} $ | -89 9 -50 -15 -32 -38 -59 5 | -50 -11 -44 -31 -12 -43 -7 3 |
| A | VG σ | 8 | 1.45 | 0.57 0.05 | 4.3 0.6 | 94 17 | -32 59 | -34 31 | -24 19 |

FLUX SUMMARY - CORN FIELD A

TABLE 3

A DOTTLINE OF BAR AND DOD TO A BAR AND A DOT

FLUX SUMMARY - CORN FIELDS B AND C

| Flt | Dum | Alt | Dist | σ _{wge} | σ _{C0 2} | Heat Flux | CO2 | Flux kg h | a ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| FIL | Kull | m | km | m S ⁻¹ | ppm | Watts m ⁻² | Trk 2 | Trk 1A | Trk 1B |
| <u>FIELD</u> | B | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 1B 2B 3B 4B | 15 16 17 14 | 1.61 1.79 1.82 1.86 | 0.66 0.55 0.82 0.50 | 3.0 3.9 4.0 4.0 | 141 80 185 16 | -27 -1 -28 30 | -37 -10 -31 -8 | -29 -9 -23 1 |
| AVG σ | | 16 | 1.77 | 0.63 0.12 | 3.8 0.4 | 106 64 | -7 24 | -22 13 | -15 12 |
| 9 | 1B 3B 4B 5B 6B 7B 8B | 9 7 7 8 7 7 7 | 1.30 1.56 1.37 1.70 1.62 1.49 1.46 | 0.80 0.66 0.55 0.62 0.49 0.47 0.54 | 5.3 4.0 3.5 3.9 4.2 3.9 4.2 | 100 95 22 116 59 42 53 | -3 14 -6 -5 -15 14 -15 | -16 7 -15 -44 -11 8 -20 | -6 4 -7 -47 -12 6 -11 |
| A | VG σ | 7 | 1.50 | 0.59 0.11 | 4.1 0.5 | 70 32 | -2 11 | -13 16 | -10 16 |
| FIELD | <u>c</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 1C 3C 4C 5C 6C 7C 8C 9C | 42 21 40 29 28 39 20 20 | 1.64 1.55 1.98 1.60 1.95 1.63 1.97 1.56 | 0.63 0.62 0.85 0.62 1.00 0.82 0.97 0.68 | 3.0 5.3 4.2 3.3 2.2 3.3 3.5 3.3 | 42 20 76 34 112 88 210 22 | -57 -7 -66 -26 -30 -23 -61 20 | -29 -16 -65 -23 -46 -62 -76 8 | - 32 - 35 - 72 - 25 - 43 - 66 - 98 7 |
| А | VG a | 30 | 1.74 | 0.77 0.15 | 3.5 0.9 | 76 60 | -31 28 | -39 27 | -46 31 |

77

FLUX SUMMARY - LAKES

| E1+ | Pum | Alt | Dist | σ _{Wge} | σ _{c02} | Heat Flux | CO ₂ | FLUX kg h | a ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| FIC | Ruff | m | km | m S ⁻¹ | ppm | Watts m ⁻² | Trk 2 | FLUX kg ha Trk 1A -2 1 0 -8 -3 3 -5 -2 3 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -2 3 -5 -5 -2 3 -5 -5 -2 3 -5 -5 -2 -2 -2 -3 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 -5 | Trk 1B |
| LAKE C | ONTARI | 0 T | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 4L 5L 6L 7L 8L 11L 12L VG σ | 23 22 33 34 44 23 38 31 | 7.53 9.99 6.84 13.33 7.94 11.93 8.20 9.39 | 0.58 0.31 0.37 0.34 0.42 0.36 0.62 0.43 0.11 | 2.6 2.3 2.1 2.3 2.8 2.1 2.8 2.1 2.8 2.4 0.3 | 4 1 0 5 2 -6 1 3 | $ 18 \\ -8 \\ 1 \\ -6 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ -17 \\ -2 \\ 10 $ | $ \begin{array}{r} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -8 \\ -3 \\ 3 \\ -5 \\ -2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ -5 \\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} -2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -5 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ \end{array} $ |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | ES | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 1L 3L 4L | 27 29 27 | 2.99 0.89 1.93 | 0.48 0.26 0.38 | 2.1 2.6 2.3 | 1 -2 0 | -11 -7 6 | 2 1 6 | -3 1 7 |
| A | VG σ | 28 | 1.93 | 0.37 0.09 | 2.3 0.2 | 0 1 | -4 7 | 3 2 | 2 4 |

TANK TATANAN TATATA ANTANAN ARARAM ATATATA

FLUX SUMMARY - FOREST

| FI+ | Bun | Alt | Dist | σ _{wge} | σ _{c02} | Heat | CO ₂ | Flux kg ha | a ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ |
|-------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Ituli | m | km | m S ⁻¹ | ppm | Watts m ⁻² | Trk 2 | Trk 1A | Trk 1B |
| KINGS | TON TO | OTTA | <u>VA</u> | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1F 2F 3F | 42 36 34 | 10.79 14.09 6.88 | 0.86 0.83 0.87 | 4.4 3.9 3.5 | -6 20 -9 | -31 -8 24 | 3 0 7 | -1 -5 -1 |
| A | AVG 37 σ | | 10.58 | 0.85 0.02 | 4.0 0.4 | 2 13 | -5 23 | 3 3 | -2 2 |
| LAROS | E FORE | şr | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 1F 2F | 28 32 | 11.88 12.44 | 0.74 0.90 | 3.3 3.3 | 122 160 | -28 -23 | -37 -37 | -21 -34 |
| A | VG σ | 30 | 12.16 | 0.82 0.08 | 3.3 0.0 | 141 19 | -26 3 | -37 0 | -28 6 |
| NEAR | FIELD B | | | | | - | | | - |
| 9 | 1F 2F 3F 4F 5F 7F 8F | 23 28 25 18 19 18 23 | 1.21 1.83 1.19 0.71 1.56 1.82 1.37 | 0.95 0.85 0.65 1.00 0.93 0.92 | 4.4 2.8 3.3 3.7 3.7 4.0 4.0 | 126 94 135 53 260 157 106 | -47 50 -65 14 -5 -19 34 | -52 18 -71 14 -43 0 67 | -42 11 -58 7 -45 -2 68 |
| A | VG σ | 22 | 1.38 | 0.88 0.11 | 3.7 0.5 | 134 60 | -5 39 | -10 45 | -9 40 |

11.17

17.5

Ş

1. A. A. A.

ŝ

FLUX SUMMARY - RICHMOND FARMLAND, MER BLEUE

| | Run MOND FA 1R 2R 3R 4R 5R AVG o BLEUE | Alt | Dist | σ _{wge} | <i>a</i> _{c0} ₂ | Heat | CO ₂ Flux kg ha ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ | | | |
|-------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| FIL | | m | km | m S ⁻¹ | ppm | Watts m ⁻² | Trk 2 | Trk 1A | Trk 1B | |
| RICHM | OND FA | RMLA | ND | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 1R 2R 3R 4R 5R | 29 26 29 26 32 | 5.55 5.65 3.29 3.06 6.29 | 0.64 0.82 0.76 0.62 0.62 | 3.0 3.3 3.2 3.5 3.2 | 61 85 82 61 78 | -28 -70 -21 -9 -36 | -24 -42 -19 -23 -40 | -25 -32 -18 -5 -17 | |
| A | VG ø | 28 | 4.77 | 0.69 0.08 | 3.2 0.2 | 73 10 | -33 21 | -30 9 | -19 9 | |
| MER B | MER BLEUE | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 2M | 18 | 5. 94 | 0.61 | 3.0 | 95 | -10 | -12 | -11 | |

1. S. S. C.

A PARTY

and the transfer water a support

ومنحة

S. W. W. W. S.

- 1. 1. 2. 2. L. 1.

1. S. S. C.

A. A.

and the second

TABLE 8

DATA AVERAGES BY FLIGHT AND TERRAIN

| | Fit | Date 1982 | Runs | Dist km | ă e | Temp ⁽¹⁾ °C | Winds °T/m S ⁻¹ | Vertical Gust ⁽²⁾ AVG RMS - (o) m S ⁻¹ | CO2(2) AVG RMS - (0) PPm | Heat Flux(2) AVG - (σ) Watts m ⁻² | CO ₂ Flux(2) AVG - (v) Kg ha ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ |
|--|-------|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| CORN FIELD A | r 8 0 | AUG 31 SEP 8 SEP 10 | 5 10 8 | 1.55 2.01 1.45 | 16 26 8 | 16.5 16.8 22.9 | 318/5.3 220/2.3 235/4.5 | 0.63 (0.09) 0.70 (0.12) 0.57 (0.05) | 3.8 (0.3) 3.3 (0.3) 4.3 (0.6) | 59 (14) 84 (20) 94 (17) | 6 (9) 25 (20) 24 (19) |
| CORN FIELD B | 6 | AUG 31 SEP 10 | 4 1 | 1.77 1.50 | 16 7 | 16.5 23.1 | 327/4.8 219/3.9 | 0.63 (0.12) 0.59 (0.11) | 3.8 (0.4) 4.1 (0.5) | 106 (64) 70 (32) | -15 (12) -10 (16) |
| CORN FIELD C | 4 | AUG 23 | 80 | 1.74 | 30 | 20.4 | 209/6.7 | 0.77 (0.15) | 3.5 (0.9) | 76 (60) | -46 (31) |
| LAKES - ONTARIO - DESCHÊNES | v 00 | AUG 26 SEP 8 | ь ю | 9.39 1.93 | 31 28 | 18.3 16.6 | 227/8.8 VAR/2.9 | 0.43 (0.11) 0.37 (0.09) | 2.4 (0.3) 2.3 (0.2) | 1 (3) 0 (1) | -1 (3) 2 (4) |
| FOREST - KING-OTT - LAROSE - NEAR B | 9 7 6 | AUG 26 AUG 31 SEP 10 | ~ ~ ~ ~ | 10.58 12.16 1.38 | 37 30 22 | 21.5 17.0 22.8 | 232/4.7 326/3.5 237/2.9 | 0.85 (0.02) 0.82 (0.08) 0.88 (0.11) | 4.0 (0.4) 3.3 (0.0) 3.7 (0.5) | 2 (13) 141 (19) 134 (60) | -2 (2) -28 (6) -9 (40) |
| RICHMOND FARMS | × × | SEP 8 | ~ ~ | 4.77 | 28 | 16.5 | VAR/1.3 | 0.69 (0.08) | 3.2 (0.2) | 73 (10) | -19 (9) |
| MER BLEUE | - | AUG 31 | - | 5.94 | 18 | 16.9 | 340/3.4 | 0.61 | 3.0 | 56 | 7 |

At flight level
 Data in last 4 columns from Tables 3 to 7, computed from spectra and cospectra 10 - 800 m band.

÷.,

CO3 FLUXES

A SALANA SALANA

1.

| Ē | Date | Temp ⁽¹⁾ °C | Winds m S ⁻¹ | Weather | Com A | n Com | Corn | Lakes | Forest | Richmond Parms | Mer Bleve |
|-----|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------------------|--------------|
| + | AUG 23 | 20 | L MSS | 7/10 Cu | | | ¥ | | | | |
| 5/6 | AUG 26 | 18-21 | SW 5-8 | 9/10 Overcast | | | | 1 | -3 | | |
| 7 | AUG 31 | 17 | NW S | 4/10 Cloud | ۴ | -15 | | | - 28 | | -11 |
| 80 | SEP 8 | 17 | VAR 2 | Sunny | -25 | | | 2 | | -19 | |
| 6 | SEP 10 | 23 | SW 3-5 | Sunny | -24 | -10 | | | 6 | | |

(1) At flight level CO_2 Fluxes in kg ha⁻¹ h⁻¹

TABLE 10

AVERAGE HEAT FLUXES

| Mei Bleu | | | | | , |
|----------------------------|---------|---------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Richmond Farms | | | | 73 | |
| Forest | | 3 | 141 | | 134 |
| Lakes | | | | 0 | |
| uu Com | 76 | | | | |
| n Com | | | 106 | | 70 |
| Corn A | | | 59 | 84 | 5 |
| Weather | 7/10 Cu | 9/10 Overcast | 4/10 Cloud | Sunny | Sunny |
| Winds m S ⁻¹ | L MSS | SW 5-8 | NW S | VAR 2 | SW 3-5 |
| Temp ⁽¹⁾ °C | 20 | 18-21 | 17 | 17 | 23 |
| Date | AUG 23 | AUG 26 | AUG 31 | SEP 8 | SEP 10 |
| Fit | 4 | 5/6 | 7 | œ | 6 |

(1) At flight level Heat fluxes in watts m⁻²









35





10 C C

.

. .



FIG. 5: TIME HISTORIES, LAKE ONTARIO, RUN 05-08L

37

 . .

-,

.

Π.

· · · ·

٠.



FIG. 6: TIME HISTORIES, LAROSE FOREST, RUN 07-01F

38



FIG. 7: TIME HISTORIES, MER BLEUE, RUN 07-02M



miniation

FIG. 8: TIME HISTORIES, RICHMOND FARMLAND, RUN 08-02R

¢









SALAS - 105

ANALY CONTRACTOR

SHERRY MARCH MARCH

A THE REAL PROPERTY AND A











10.635





FIG. 20: POWER SPECTRA OF CO2 AND SIDESLIP ANGLE



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE / PAGE DE DOCUMENTATION DE RAPPORT

5

ΥĽ

10.11

Constant in the

ALC: NOT A

| REPORT/RAPPO | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|--|--|
| NAE-A | RT | REPORT/R | APPORT | |
| 1 | N-16 | | NRC No. 22751 | |
| 1a | | | | |
| CLASSIFICATIO | TY CLASSIFICATION N DE SÉCURITÉ DE RAPPOR | | TION (LIMITATIONS) | |
| 2 Unclass | ified | 3 | Unlimited | |
| TITLE/SUBTITL | E/TITRE/SOUS-TITRE | | | |
| Flight ' | rests of Instrumentatio | n for Airborne Carbon Die | oxide Flux Measureme | nt |
| 4 | | | | |
| AUTHOR(S)/AUT | 'EUR(S) | | | |
| J.I. Ma 5 | cPherson | | | |
| SERIES/SÉRIE | | | | |
| Aerona | utical Note | | | |
| 6 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | |
| CORPORATE AL | THOR/PERFORMING AGEN | CY/AUTEUR D'ENTREPRISE/A | GENCE D'EXÉCUTION | |
| Nation | al Research Council Car | nada | | |
| 7 Nation | al Aeronautical Establis | nment Flight I | Lesearch Laboratory | |
| SPONSORING A | SENCY/AGENCE DE SUBVE | NTION | | |
| | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| DATE | FILE/DOSSIER | LAB. ORDER COMMANDE DU LAB. | PAGES | FIGS/DIAGRAMMES |
| 83-10 | | | 57 | 20 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12a | 12b |
| NOTES | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| DESCRIPTORS (| KEY WORDS)/MOTS-CLÉS | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ······································ | · ···· |
| 1. Cat | hon dioxide — measure | ment | | |
| 2. Ca | bon dioxide — measure | ment — flight testing | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| | MAINE | | • • • • • • | |
| SUMMARY/SOM | • · · • | | | - |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the rec | uest of Agriculture Ca | nada, the Flight Re | search |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the rec Laboratory of the Nat | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ | nada, the Flight Re ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO ar | esearch erated |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the rec Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making ai | nada, the Flight Re ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO_2 ar informe carbon dioxid | search perated palyzer de flux |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the rec Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making a eport will summarize pro | nada, the Flight Re ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO ₂ ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and pres | esearch berated halyzer le flux ent an |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the red Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re analysis of the data of | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making a eport will summarize pro- collected during a series o | nada, the Flight Re- ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO_2 ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and press f nine test flights in A | esearch perated palyzer le flux ent an August |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the red Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re analysis of the data c and September, 1982. | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making ai eport will summarize pro- collected during a series of | nada, the Flight Re- ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO_2 ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and pres f nine test flights in A | esearch berated halyzer de flux ent an August |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the red Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re analysis of the data of and September, 1982. | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making at port will summarize pro- collected during a series of mental results show that it | nada, the Flight Re- ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO_2 ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and pres if nine test flights in A is possible to make re- | esearch perated nalyzer le flux ent an August ealistic |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the red Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re analysis of the data c and September, 1982. The experim measurements of the b | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making ai eport will summarize pro- collected during a series of mental results show that it heat and CO ₂ fluxes from | nada, the Flight Re- ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO ₂ ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and pres f nine test flights in A is possible to make re- an aircraft instrumen | esearch berated nalyzer le flux ent an August ealistic ted to |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the red Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re analysis of the data c and September, 1982. The experim measurements of the h measure vertical gusts. | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Estable spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making at eport will summarize pro- collected during a series of mental results show that it meat and CO_2 fluxes from . Special tests and analysis | nada, the Flight Re- ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO_2 ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and pres if nine test flights in A is possible to make re- an aircraft instruments procedures were control | esearch berated halyzer le flux ent an August ealistic ited to ducted |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the red Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re analysis of the data c and September, 1982. The experim measurements of the H measure vertical gusts. to investigate the acc | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Establ spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making air port will summarize pro- collected during a series of mental results show that it heat and CO_2 fluxes from Special tests and analysis curacy of the carbon dio | nada, the Flight Re- ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO_2 ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and pres f nine test flights in A is possible to make re- an aircraft instruments procedures were cont- kide measurements. R | esearch perated nalyzer le flux ent an August ealistic ited to ducted tecom- |
| SUMMARY/SOM | At the red Laboratory of the Nat the Twin Otter atmo in order to assess the measurements. This re analysis of the data c and September, 1982. The experim measurements of the h measure vertical gusts. to investigate the acc mendations for impro- made. | quest of Agriculture Ca tional Aeronautical Estable spheric research aircraft e feasibility of making air eport will summarize pro- collected during a series of mental results show that it meat and CO_2 fluxes from . Special tests and analysis curacy of the carbon diopovements in the design of | nada, the Flight Re- ishment (NAE) has op fitted with a CO_2 ar irborne carbon dioxid gress to date and pres f nine test flights in A is possible to make re- an aircraft instruments procedures were conte- kide measurements. Re- of future test program | esearch berated halyzer le flux ent an August ealistic ted to ducted tecom- ms are |

