





AFWAL-TR-83-4080

ION DEPOSITED CARBON COATINGS

Gulf and Western Applied Science Laboratories 335 Bear Hill Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

July 1983

0

40- A135

Final Report for Period: February 1979 - February 1983

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



#### NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (ASD/PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

ROBERT L. RAPSON, Chief

Structural Materials Branch

FOR THE COMMANDER

FRANKLIN D. CHERRY Chlef

Nonmetallic Materials Division

"If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization please notify <u>AFWAL/MLBC</u>, W-PAFB, OH 45433 to help us maintain a current mailing list".

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS	
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. PECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
AFWAI - TR-83-4080	40, 4135068		
TITLE (and Subtitio)		5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report	
ION DEPOSITED CARBON COATINGS		Feb 79 - Feb 83	
		ASL-TR-1054	
AU THOR(3)		8 CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(3)	
S. Aisenberg and M. Stein		F33615-79-C-5084	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM FLEMENT, PROJECT. TASP APEA A WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Gulf and Western Applied Science L 335 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02154	Program Element 61101F Project ILIR, Task ILIR01		
Materials Laboratory (AFWAL)	MLBC)	12. REPORT DATE July 1983	
Wright-Patterson Air Force Ba	L Lab.(AFSC) ase, OH 45433	13 NUMBER OF PAGES	
- UNITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		UNCLASSIFIED	
		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		<u> </u>	
Approved for public release;	distribution	unlimited	
Approved for public release; DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i	distribution	unlimited	
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i	distribution	unlimited	
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i 3. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	distribution	unlimited	
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	distribution	unlimited	
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 1. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and polycarbonate abrasion carbon environmental	distribution n Block 20, 11 dillerent fro	unlimited	
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 1. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and polycarbonate abrasion carbon environmental ion deposition coating adhesion	distribution n Block 20, 11 dillerent fro	unlimited	
Approved for public release; DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered is Supplementary notes Supplementary notes NEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and polycarbonate abrasion carbon environmental ion deposition coating adhesion ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and n this report, reproducible technic hickness ( 6 ) onto polycarbonate oated polycarbonates. The coating id not function well under environm anti-icing solution and detergent so dhesion bond strength leading to de	distribution n Block 20, 11 dillerent ito r dentily by block number) effects dentily by block number) ue of ion deposite was demonstrate has improved the hental testing at colution) which of elamination of the	unlimited m Reports ition of carbon of uniform ed and study was done on e abrasion resistance but it id some chemical exposures caused the weakening of he carbon coating.	

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

-

Ę

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Title	Page No.
1.0	OBJECTIVE	1
2.0	SCOPE	2
3.0	BACKGROUND	3
4.0	COATINGS DEPOSITION 4.1 Preliminary Coating System 4.2 Coatings with Preliminary System 4.3 Improved Coating System 4.4 Coatings with Improved System	4 4 7 9 11
5.0	COATING CHARACTERIZATION 5.1 Composition 5.2 Thickness and Uniformity 5.3 Adhesion 5.4 Optical 5.5 Environmental Exposure 5.6 Abrasion	12 12 13 15 18 20 31
6.0	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	35
7.0	DELIVERABLES	38
8.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	40

# REFERENCES

<u>\_\_\_\_\_</u>

APPENDIX A: Conversion Chart for SI Units

A .e\*3, or 1/o⊄ 11. Sec. 1

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
4.1	Cross-Section of the Preliminary Deposition System Showing Principle Components	5
4.2	Cross-Section of the Improved Deposition System Showing Principle Components	10
5.1	20,000X SEM Photomicrographs of Polycarbona Surfaces With and Without a 0.6 Micrometer Carbon Coating	te 14
5.3.1	Tensile Pull Test Apparatus	16
5.3.2	Photograph of Tensile Pull Test Apparatus	17
5.4.1	UV-VIS Spectra for 0.8 Micrometers of Carbon on Polycarbonate	1 21
5.4.2 .	UV-VIS Spectra for 0.2 Micrometers of Carbon on Polycarbonate	n 22
5.4.3	Reflectance Spectra Made on Carbon Coated Polycarbonate with the Preliminary System	23
5.5.1	Chemical Exposure Test Apparatus	27
5.5.2	Carbon Coated Polycarbonate Test Specimens at 168 Hours at 23°C Exposure	29
5.5.3	Carbon Coated Polycarbonate after Five Minute Exposure to Methylene Chloride at 23°C	30
5.5.4	Partially Carbon Coated Polycarbonate Showin Protection from Solvent (Acetone) Wipe	ng 32
5.6.1	Abrasion Results for Carbon Coated Samples and Polycarbonate	33
5.6.2	Abrasion Results for Unocated Polycarbonate	34

v

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
5.3.3	Bond Strength Results for 0.6 Micrometer Ion Deposited Carbon Coating on Polycar- bonate	19
5.4.4	Optical Transmission Measurements for the Improved Coating System with Polycarbonate Sample	24
7.1	Carbon Coated Test Specimens Submitted to Sponsor on January 15, 1980 from Preliminary System	39

vi

#### 1.0 OBJECTIVE

The overall objective of this program is to demonstrate a system capable of reproducibly coating polycarbonate plastic sheet with ion deposited transparent carbon. The carbon coatings are to be characterized on the plastic sheet for potential use as a durable, abrasion resistant coating for windshield and canopy assemblies.

. د به لحله ، ان ان ان

# 2.0 SCOPE

This program involves the deposition of carbon onto polycarbonate substrates using an energetic plasma technique. The deposited coatings have been characterized for uniformity of thickness and composition, adhesion, and resistance to chemical and humidity environments. The initial part of the program involved deposition using existing equipment (hereafter referred as the "preliminary system"). An apparatus was then assembled which was capable of producing significantly larger areas (up to 25 cm x 8 cm). This system is referred to as the "improved system".

### 3.0 BACKGROUND

Polycarbonate offers many advantages as a transparent material for windshield and canopy assemblies. However, it suffers from abrasion resistance as well as chemical attack. The technique discussed in this report is designed to solve these problems.

Applied Science Laboratories (ASL) has had several years experience depositing transparent diamond-like carbon films of the type produced for this program. (1-12) Notable among the properties are hardness and inherent integrity to chemical attack. Others have verified the properties we have reported. (13) A thin coating of such a material may offer a solution to allow the use of polycarbonates as canopy and windshield assemblies.

## 4.0 COATINGS DEPOSITION

Two versions of the coating system for ion deposition of carbon were used in this program. The first system referred to as the preliminary system was the coating system in existence at the time of contract award. As part of this effort, this preliminary system was modified to produce larger, more uniform coatings. The following sections detail these systems as well as describing the coatings produced by them.

# 4.1 Preliminary Coating System

The preliminary coating system consisted of an ion beam source mounted in an 40 cm diameter glass bell jar. The ion source is depicted in Figure 4.1, showing the principle components. Ions were extracted from the DC excited magnetron in the lower portion of the drawing which was feed with a gas mixture of 90% methane, 10% argon. Ions were extracted from the plasma rich zone using an extraction grid which had a potential of -2000v applied. Following this was a deacceleration grid which was maintained at -100V. The grid extraction configuration is of the Kaufman type, using an array of apertures. The extraction grid and substrate were maintained at the system pressure of approximately 8 x  $10^{-4}$  Torr. The substrate which could be



Figure 4.1 Cross-Section of the Preliminary Deposition System Showing Principle Components

effectively coated with this system had a maximum diameter of about 2.5 cm. No substrate bias was applied. The substrate charged under electron bombardment to maintain an average potential of about -50V. Also applied during deposition was an axial magnetic field used to collimate the plasma discharge and beam.

For operation, the plasma chamber was ignited by applying the excitation voltage. The beam was then extracted and allowed to impinge on the substrate for a period of 20-30 minutes to produce the desired coating. At the end of this time, the sample was allowed to cool prior to removal from the chamber.

## 4.2 Coatings with the Preliminary Coating System

Using the preliminary coating system, a total of 77 coating runs were performed to generate enough samples for evaluation by the contractor as well as for off site characterization. Samples made during coating runs 1 to 61 were retained for testing at Applied Science Laboratories (ASL), while coating runs 62 to 77 were shipped to Wright-Patterson as samples for evaluation.

The polycarbonate sheets were supplied by the sponsor in the form of a 4 ft. sq. sheet of polycarbonate as well as a 1 sq. ft. piece with a pressed polished surface. The larger sheet was used for set up and initial inhouse characterization, whereas the 1 ft.

sq. sheet was used for test specimens.

One factor considered throughout this program is the substrate temperature rise, since degradation under vacuum conditions may occur to the front surface at lower than expected temperatures, due to the effects of ionizing radiation and electron bombardment. With the preliminary system, the temperature rise measured on the 1/4" thick polycarbonate sheets was found to be approximately 50°C after one half hour of operation. The actual time required for film thickness is a function of deposition rate. It was found that this period of one half hour is capable of producing a 0.6 micrometer thick film. Consequently, the bulk of the coatings made for characterization both onsite and by the sponsor were 0.6 micrometers thick. It appears that substrate temperature rise for samples of this size appeared to be no problem. Substrate cooling however, may become a problem for significant longer runs or larger areas.

Sample preparation was performed by saw cutting the samples from the sheet supplied, then by cleaning with isopropanol. After insertion into the vacuum chamber and mounting on the substrate holder, the chamber was pumped down to less than  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  Torr pressure. The samples were then cleaned by a five minute period of argon ion bombardment. The system was then switched over to a one half hour deposition resulting in the 0.6 micron thick coatings. The coatings were uniform to within 0.1 microns over

approximately 2.5 cm diameter. Samples for testing were indicated by an arrow where the coating portion is specified.

Documentation for items shipped to the sponsor for off site evaluation are listed in Section 5 of this report.

### 4.3 Improved Coating System

Following the completion of coatings made with the preliminary system, the coating chamber bell jar assembly was modified to incorporate a carbon ion source capable of coating 8 cm diameter areas with reasonable uniformity. A cross-section of the improved deposition system is shown in Figure 4.2, depicting principle components. A hollow cathode magnetron was again used for the improved system with the exception that it was of larger diameter and was excited with an RF potential coupled to the magnetron chamber. An extraction electrode was provided for ion extraction as well as plasma containment. An axial magnetic field was applied similar to that used for the preliminary coater. For the improved system, a bias substrate holder was provided to accommodate 8 cm diameter specimens along with a moveable substrate carriage which allowed one dimensional scanning of the substrate.



 $\left\{ \right\}$ 

Figure 4.2 Cross-Section of the Improved Deposition System Showing Principle Components

#### 4.4 Coatings with the Improved System

For this series of coatings, a new supply of polycarbonate sheet was sent. This sheet was identified as SL-3000 received 22 May 80 and it was submitted as four, 1 ft. sq. pieces. The sheet provided was in the same nominal 0.6 cm thickness as the previous material tested. A series of nineteen deposition runs were performed with this material using the improved coating system to produce samples for on-site evaluation, as well as for evaluation by the sponsor. Sample preparation was the same as that used for the preliminary coatings, including the cleaning and argon ion bombardment prior to coating. Due to the relatively larger coated area, sheets measuring 8 cm x 22 cm were put into the coating chamber and scanned along one axis until a coating of approximately 0.6 micrometers in thickness was deposited. At this point the sample was allowed to cool in the chamber prior to venting and removal of the coated materials.

During deposition, a chamber pressure of approximately 8 x  $10^{-3}$  Torr was maintained with an applied substrate potential of -40 volts corresponding to the anticipated surface floating potential. After removal from the coating chamber, the samples were cut up into the shapes required for testing. Samples which were submitted to the sponsor for off-site evaluation are documented in Section 6 of this report.

#### 5.0 COATINGS CHARACTERIZATION

Contained in this section are the methods and results of coatings analysis performed on site as well as any results reported from evaluation by the sponsor.

## 5.1 Composition

The composition of the coating on polycarbonate was analyzed using several techniques. Initial attempts at characterization were made using infrared transmission to compare the absorption characteristics of the polycarbonate with that of the polycarbonate containing a 0.6 micrometer coating. Results of this characterization were negative due to the high absorption of the polycarbonate which inhibited transmission of sufficient light to produce an absorption spectrum. This was true for the coated as well as the uncoated samples.

In an attempt to characterize and compare the surface morphology of the coated versus uncoated polycarbonate samples, scanning electron microscopy was used as a technique. The samples were prepared by depositing a 200Å thick gold replication coating to allow surface imaging. The samples identified as 3360-2-10 was 0.4 micron thick, coated with the preliminary deposition system. The sample consisted of a 3" diameter disk which was half coated

with ion deposited carbon, the other half was masked. This sample was cut up for analysis so that adjacent sections could be analyzed side by side. The analysis was performed on an ISI-60 System at 20,000x magnification. The results of this work are illustrated in Figure 5.1, contrasting the coated versus uncoated surfaces. The longer line on each photomicrograph is 1.0 microns. An obvious difference between the two is that the coated sample appears to be much smoother than the uncoated sample.

### 5.2 Thickness and Uniformity

Film thickness for this work for both deposition systems was measured by counting optical interference fringes. These fringes build up due to the presence of the higher index coating on the polycarbonate substrate. Polycarbonate, which has a refractive index of about 1.59, had the ion deposited carbon coating with a refractive index of about 2.4. The coating produced quarter wave interference fringes. The thickness in any region can be calculated by the following equation:

# $4n_{c}t = \lambda$

where  $n_c$  is the refractive index of the coating, t is the sample thickness, and  $\lambda$  is the incident wavelength used for measurement. Using this equation the film thickness can be estimated by counting fringes starting from the extreme edge of the coated surface. In this way, a film thickness of approximately 0.6 + 0.1



3440-2-10 0.6µm CARBON COATING



# 3440-2-10 UNCOATED

Figure 5.1 20,000x SEM Photomicrographs of Polycarbonate Surfaces With and Without a 0.6 Micrometer Carbon Coating micrometer was maintained.

#### 5.3 Adhesion

This section describes the technique used to measure the bond strength between the coating and the polycarbonate substrate using a pull test as depicted in Figure 5.3.1 and the accompanying to apply a constantly increasing load to a post bonded with epoxy to the coated substrate. The epoxy bonded area is approximately 1 cm square to provide a useful sample surface for comparative testing. As the load is increased at a rate of approximately 100 psi per second, the output from the load cell and pressure transducer are monitored with a chart recorder. At the point of break, the break load is recorded. The bond strength is calculated based on known areas of the hydraulic cylinger and the measured area of bond failure. Testing was performed at nominal laboratory atmospheres,  $23^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ}C$  and  $50^{\circ} \pm 10$ % relative humidity. The results of these tests indicated on the control specimens that all failures occurred between the epoxy and the coating. Failure of the bond between the coating and the substrate had not occurred in any of the cases for this group of as-coated specimens. The results for the as-coated polycarbonate specimens is outlined in Table 5.3.3 for both the preliminary deposition and the improved deposition system. Results of bond strength measurements after



97. V

Figure 5.3.1 Tensile Pull Test Apparatus



Figure 5.3.2 Photograph of Tensile Pull Test Apparatus

aging and exposure conditions are discussed in the appropriate sections which follow.

### 5.4 Optical

Samples made with the preliminary deposition system were characterized in a series of tests for infrared transmission, ultraviolet-visible transmission and reflectance in the visible region. Three sets of samples were prepared:

- Sample #3440-C-10, was polycarbonate coated with 0.8 micrometers of carbon on one side.
- Sample #3440-2-12, was polycarbonate coated with 0.2 micrometers of carbon on one side.
- Sample #3440-2-12 Reference, was an uncoated polymer reference sample.

Infrared transmission measurements were attempted in the region from  $4000-670 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The two coated samples in the reference are essentially opaque in this region, so no significant information could be obtained. In the ultraviolet-visible region from 800-200 nm the samples have a minimum transmission relative to air of 19% at 390 nm. Relative to reference sample no. 3, samples one and two have a minimum transmission of 90.5% and 94.3% at about 400 nm. A copy of the ultraviolet-visible spectrum is reproduced in Table 5.4.1. The results of the reflectance tests were made for each sample and are documented in Table 5.4.2 of

MINIMUM* BOND STRENGTH (psi)	(580) (650) (780) (410) (300)	(544) Avg. (1190) (1070) (750) (750) (940) (1760) (1570) (1213.3) Avg.	
CONDITIONS	Tested @ R.T.<7 days after coating	Tested @ R.T.<14 days after coating	
SUBSTRATE	Polycarbonate sheet, first shipment	SL-3000	
COATING SYSTEM	Preliminary System	Preliminary System	

The coating didn't delaminate All failures are between the coating and the epoxy. from the Polycarbonate. ×

Bond Strength Results for 0.6 Micrometer Ion Deposited Carbon Coatings on Polycarbonate Table 5.3.3

this report. The changes in reflectance are primarily dominated by the interference characteristics of the coatings at these thicknesses.

After the coatings were completed with the improved system, a series of optical transmission tests were made on the as-coated samples as well as samples exposed to 1,5,10 and 20 days of humidity. The results of these tests are listed in Table 5.4.4 of this section. As noted in the data, no significant change in transmission occurred over the visible spectrum after humidity exposure.

# 5.5 Environmental Exposure

The purpose of the tests in this section is to determine the effects of materials and events which may occur on the coating surface throughout its use cycle. Coated and uncoated test specimens were exposed to accelerated, high temperature, high humidity aging, UV exposure, and exposure to a series of chemicals.

Elevated temperature high humidity exposure was performed by placing the prepared and coated specimens in a humidity chamber maintained at  $120^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$ F and  $95^{\circ} \pm 3$ % relative humidity. Samples were withdrawn from the chamber at intervals of 1,5,10 and 20 days for evaluation, based on appearance, optical properties and



11

Figure 5.4.1 UV-VIS Spectra for 0.8 Micrometers of Carbon on Polycarbonate

e:

n: . . .

a se de centre

يب أو يدارو

• ...• .•. . . .



Figure 5.4.2 UV-VIS Spectra for 0.2 Micrometers of Carbon on Polycarbonate

	Cr.	m		
	AMBE.	0.5	0.5	0.4(
& REFLECTION	GREEN	0.60	0.80	0.53
	BLUE	0.62	0.68	0.41
COATING THICKNESS (Micrometers)		0.8	0.2	None
SAMPLE		#1,3440-C-10	#2,3440-2-12	#3,3440-2-12

-

Reflectance Spectra Mode on Carbon Coated Polycarbonate with the Preliminary System FIGURE 5.4.3

22

٩,

AT: 620nm	90 91 90	90 91 90 90	68	16	68	06
RANSMISSION (%) 1 520nm	88 90 90	90 88 89 89 89	88	91	68	68
1 420nm	82 81 82	76 74 75 74 75	76	80	77	77
EXPOSURE	As Received As Received <u>AVERAGE</u>	As Coated As Coated As Coated As Coated As Coated <u>AVERAGE</u>	1 day @ 120°F @95% RH	5 days@ 120°F @ 95% RH	10 days @ 120°F @ 95% RH	20 days @ 120°F @ 95% RH
COATING	None None	0.6µm Сагроп " " "	0.6µm Carbon	0.6µm Carbon	0.6µm Carbon	0.6µm Carbon
SAMPLE NUMBER	2 1	ლ <del>4</del> ია დ	۲	ω	6	10

Optical Transmission Measurements for Improved Coating System for SL-3000 Polycarbonate Sample. Table 5.4.4

i ,

i

adhesion. After the samples were stabilized to laboratory conditions, a period of about 1 hour, the samples were placed is a UV-VIS spectrophotometer for measurement at wavelengths listed in the previous optical characterization section. The appearance of the samples and their optical values indicated the humidity exposure had no deliterious effect on the transmission or imaging qualities of the coating after aging.

Following the optical characterization, these same samples were placed in an adhesion tester to measure bond strength using the same epoxying technique and methods as used for the as-coated specimens. The results of these tests indicated the bond strengths to be less than 100 psi, which is the minimum value recorded by the bond strength tester. We feel that chemical components in the plastic substrate migrated to the surface under the film and reduced the bond strength.

Another test was exposure to ultraviolet radiation. The prepared test specimens, 2.5 x 2.5 cm, were placed in a UV lamp housing used for erasing semiconductor memory-eproms. This exposed the specimens to a radiation density of approximately miliwatts/cm<sup>2</sup>, at a peak wavelength of approximately 290 nm. Samples which were exposed for a period of one day consisted of an uncoated sample as well as two coated specimens. After this period of time, there appeared to be an oily layer form on the surface of the uncoated specimens. Examination by bond strength

testing of the coated specimens again revealed the bond strength was less than 100 psi.

Based on the preceding exposure tests, the thermal cycling and direct high temperature exposure tests were not continued. It appeared that other failure mechanisms were present which were causing the adhesion of the coating to decrease with time. Further investigation of a set of samples which were stored on a sunlight exposed laboratory bench for six months, revealed similar degradation in adhesion between the film and the substrate.

A series of chemical tests were performed in which 2.5 cm squares of the coated samples were exposed to various chemical reagents for various periods. The first chemical exposure test was used to evaluate the effects of three common reagents on the coated substrates:

- Anti-icing solution: 50% ethylene glycol, 50% water (by weight).
- 2) MIL-H 5606 hydraulic oil.
- 3) Fisher Sparkleen detergent solution.

These three solutions were placed in the test fixture shown in Figure 5.5.1. This photograph shows nine 2.5 cm coated polycarbonate specimens each containing an aluminum O-ring sealed well in which the appropriate reagent was placed. Exposure was continued for a period of one week (168 hours) at  $23^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The



Figure 5.5.1 Chemical Exposure Test Apparatus

results of this exposure test are illustrated in Figure 5.5.2. The effects of the three reagents on specimens is obvious. The detergent and anti-icing solutions caused delamination of the coating from the substrate. The hydraulic oil appeared to have caused no delamination.

Another potential reagent which may be encountered in use would be hydrocarbon solvents. A 9 cm x 3 cm coated sample of polycarbonate was exposed at three spots to methylene chloride for a period of 5 minutes. This was done at intervals of one minute and four minutes with observations taken after each period. A photograph of the tested sample is included in Figure 5.5.3. After one minute exposure, there was no detectable change in the surface of the coating or the polycarbonate. After five minutes exposure, a series of tiny pinholes developed in the surface showing potential locations where delamination and attack of the substrate was occurring.

Another test which was felt to be more meaningful regarding such a severe solvent attack, would be to wipe the coated surface with a cloth containing acetone. This test was performed on a disk 8 cm in diameter, one half of which was coated with 0.6 micrometer of ion deposited carbon. The other half was uncoated. The wipe test produced severe crazing and stressing of the uncoated side, while the coated side indicated no attack had occurred. The results of this test are illustrated in Figure



· · · •

Figure 5.5.2 Carbon Coated SL-3000 Test Specimens After 168 Hours at 23°C to Reagents

-------


Figure 5.5.3 Carbon Coated Polycarbonate After a Five Minute Exposure to Methylene Chloride at 23°C

5.5.4. The specimen was eliminated with a bright central light to produce a glare pattern showing the effect of solvent attack. This type of exposure would probably be more typical in application than would extended immersion.

## 5.6 Abrasion

A key objective of a protective coating is to enhance the abrasion resistance of the substrate, particularly a relatively soft one such as polycarbonate. To document the relative abrasion resistance of the coating, samples were submitted to the Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories to the attention of Mr. T.J. Reinhart. There the samples were tested for abrasion as outlined on the data sheets given in Tables 5.6.1 and 5.6.2. These tables list the comparative abrasion resistance results for the coated and uncoated specimens respectively. Significant improvement in abrasion resistance afforded by the coating is obvious resulting in reduced haze.



Figure 5.5.4 Partially Carbon Coated Polycarbonate Showing Protection from Solvent (Acetene) Wipe

### BAYTER ABRASION

MEDIA TYPE _	Quartz Silica (6/14)	MEDIA QUANTI	TY 1 Kg.
ACCOUNT NO.	93507-15	CYCLES/MIN.	150 (300 strokes)

From: Applied Science Laboratories

2''

SAMPLE	CYCLES	<b>% TRANSMITTED</b>		% OF HAZE
		Diffuse	Luminous	
62	0	23	849	3.30
	50	125	814	15.36
	100	68	831	8.18
	150	87	828	10.51
	300	121	818	14.79
		26	0.2.4	
63	50	26	834	3.12
	100	00	828	1.9/
	150	92	825	11.15
	300	174	020	11./4
	500	1/4	010	21.27
C A		20	940	2.20
04	50	20	040	2.38
	100	102	830	12.16
	150	133	835	12.10
	300	214	822	26.03
				20.03
		25	040	2.05
65		25	848	2.95
	100	03	839	7.51
	100	35	034	11.39
	300	133	917	
' <u></u>	1 300			61.42
	0	AVERAGE PERCENT	AGE OF HAZE	2.94
	50			11.02
	100			10.72
·	150			13.57

Figure 5.6.1 Abrasion Results for Carbon Coated Samples and Polycarbonate

---

22.38

300

#### BAYTER ABRASION

MEDIA TYPEQuartz Silica (6/14)MEDIA QUANTITY1 Kg.ACCOUNT NO.93507-15CYCLES/MIN.150 (300 strokes)

4" Uncoated Standards Applied Science Laboratories

SAMPLE	CYCLES	* TRANSMITTED		% of HAZE
		Diffuse	Luminous	-
S1	0	3	829	. 40
	50	114	798	14.29
	100	314	785	40.00
	150	282	777	49.29
	300	470	759	61.92
			921	50
52	50	202	804	25.25
	100	203	795	37 74
	150	358	792	45 20
	300	457	780	58.59
<b>S</b> 3	0	5	833	.60
	50	205	798	25.69
	100	275	789	34.85
	150	338	783	43.17
	300	430	783	54.92
		-		05
S4	0	220	826	.85
	50	220	700	28.39
	100	310	792	40.23
	150	362	762	49.25
	300	457	703	59.90
<b>S</b> 5	0	6	810	.74
	50	214	799	26.78
	100	299	773	38.68
	150	368	76 <del>)</del>	47.85
	300	455	752	60.51
				1

#### AVERAGE PERCENT OF MALE

0	 .62
50	 24.08
100	 38.08
150	 46.95
300	 59.17
300	 59.17

## Figure 5.6.2 Abrasion Results for Uncoated Polycarbonate

#### 6.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

In the previous sections, we have seen that ion deposited carbon films less than 1 micron thick can be successfully deposited on polycarbonate substrates. Furthermore, this process is scalable and amenable to a production type system. Some regard however, must be given to degradation mechanisms and other process factors which could influence adhesion as well as uniformity.

The observations made above indicated that the composition and thickness of the film can be controlled within acceptable limits (10%) for most visible applications. The thickness of the coating can be varied depending upon the time the substrate is in the coater. Thickness on the order of 0.6 micrometers, as used in this program, appear to give initially satisfactory results.

The adhesion of the coating to the substrate appears to be very good for a period of at least several weeks after deposition. However, on coatings which had been evaluated over six months after coating, the adhesion dropped significantly although spontaneous delamination or wiping off of the coating was not observed. Furthermore, the adhesion of the coating was affected by the surface active agents including the detergent tested and ethylene glycol.

There are several possible causes of the delamination and adhesion problems observed. In some of the chemical tests, microscopic pinholes were observed as breaks in the film surface. This may have been due to dust or contamination prior to or during the coating process or may actually be cracks in the coating. Further work would be required to evaluate the exact nature of these locations and should be given serious consideration with respect to the abrasion resistant and solvent resistant aspects of these coatings. A thinner coating than 0.6 microns (6000A) is suggested in order to reduce the chance of cracking.

Degradation of bond strength wil time indicates that surface preparation and coating techniques were suitable to permit good adhesion. Based on the observed degradation following UV exposure, the top polymer surface produced byproducts which could not maintain adhesion. These byproducts appeared as an oily film on exposed uncoated substrates and could be out diffusion of plastic additives. It is also a possibility that the energetic ion deposition process may have initiated the degradation mechanisms. Various surface analysis techniques could be used to analyze the failed portions of the substrate to determine the byproducts produced where the bond failure actually occurred. This would allow understanding of the degradation mechanism and could produce methods for improving the deposition processes.

We feel that slow out diffusion of the additive in the plastic substrate could be the cause of the slow loss of bond strength. There are potential solutions to this problem.

## 7.0 DELIVERABLES

Under this program two scheduled submissions were made of test specimens cut to the shapes and provided in the quantity as requested in a letter dated 28 December 79 from E.A. Arvay. The first submission was shipped 15 January 80. This series of coatings was made with the preliminary system. The second shipment was made.

## CARBON COATED TEST SPECIMENS

:

TYPE OF TEST PERFORMED	# OF RUNS	RUN Nos. 3440-	SUBSTRATE INFORMATION
ABRASION	4	62,63,64,65	Specimens on 2" x 2" substrate coating centered.
FLEXURAL	3	66,67	2 Specimens on l" x 7" substrate coating midway between ends.
		68	l Specimen on l" x 7" substrate coating 2-3/8 <u>+</u> 놓" from end.
EROSION	5	69,70,71	3 Specimens on l" x l" substrate.
		72,73	2 Specimens on 2" x 2" substrate coating centered.
OPTICAL	3	74,75,76	3 Specimens on 2" x 2" substrate coating centered.
DISPLAY	1	77	l Specimen on 3" x 3" substrate coating centered.

Table 7.1 Carbon Coated Test Specimens Submitted to Sponsor 1/15/80 from Preliminary System

## 8.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

The ion coating technology from the standpoint of the product itself and the potential for future scale up appears to have no adverse or potentially adverse environmental consequences. There are no identifiable water or air pollution by-products generated by the process. Nor have any of the products been assessed as having any adverse effects.

#### REFERENCES

- Summary of Observed Properties of Diamond-Like Carbon Films, ASL-M-8001.
- (2) Aisenberg, S., Chabot, R.: Ion Beam Deposition of Thin Films of Diamond-Like Carbon. J.Appl.Phys. 42,2953,(1971).
- (3) Aisenberg, S., Chabot, R.W.: Physics of Ion Plating and Ion Beam Deposition. J.Vac.Sci.Tech. <u>10</u>,104,(1973).
- (4) U.S. Patent No. 3,904,505, September 9, 1975: Apparatus for Film Deposition, by S. Aisenberg.
- (5) U.S. Patent No. 3,961,103, June 1, 1976: Film Deposition, by S. Aisenberg.
- (6) Aisenberg, S., Stein, M.: The Use of Ion Beam Leposited Diamond-Like Carbon (DLC) for Improved Optical Elements for High Powered Lasers. Presented at the 12th Annual Symposium on Optical Materials for High Power Lasers. November, 1980.
- (7) Stein, M., Aisenberg, S., and Stevens, J.: Ion Plasma Deposition of Hermetic Coatings for Optical Fibers. Presented at the 82nd Annual Meeting of the American Ceramic Society. November, 1981.
- (8) Aisenberg, S., Stein, M., and Stevens, J.: Ion Deposited Hermetic Coatings for Optical Fibers. Presented at the Fiber Optic Sensor Systems Workshop (FOSS). May, 1981.
- (9) Stein, M., Aisenberg, S., and Stevens, J.: Ion-Plasma Deposition of Carbon-Indium Hermetic Coatings for Optical Fibers. Presented at the Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics (CLEO). June, 1981.
- (10) Aisenberg, S., and Stein, M.: Novel Materials for Improved Optical Disk Lifetimes. Presented at the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Technical Symposium. August, 1981.
- (11) Stein, M., Aisenberg, S., Bendow, B., and BDM Corporation: Studies of Diamond-Like Carbon Coatings for Protection of Optical Components. Submitted for presentation at the 13th Annual Symposium on Optical Materials for High Power Lasers. November, 1981.
- (12) Aisenberg, S.: Improved Hermetic Coatings for Optical Fibers. Presented at the Radiation Curing VI Conference Proceedings (RADCURE VI), Society of Manufacturing Engineers. September, 1982. Chapter 12 (pp 14-32).

REFERENCES (Cont'd)

(13) Spencer, E.G., Schmidt, P.H., Joy, P.C., and Sansalone, F.J.: Ion Beam Deposited Polycrystalline Diamond-Like Films. Appl.Phys.Ltrs. <u>29</u>,118,(1976).

## APPENDIX A

....

Conversion Chart for SI Units

# THE INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM OF UNITS

## PHYSICAL CONSTANTS and CONVERSION FACTORS SECOND REVISION

E. A. MECHTLY University of Illinois



Scientific and Technical Information Office 1973 NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C.

## FOREWORD

The International System of Units, Système International d'Unités (designated SI in all languages), is the system of units of measurement which has been adopted by 41 of the principal industrial nations of the world which are signatories to the Convention of the Meter. The 11th, 12th, 13th, and 14th General Conferences on Weights and Measures (meeting in October of 1960, 1964, 1967, and 1971, respectively) have brought the International System of Units to a state of completeness and coherence which make it increasingly more attractive for all applications.

The National Bureau of Standards of the United States announced in Administrative Bulletin 64-6 dated February 1964 that—

Henceforth it shall be the policy of the National Bureau of Standards to use the units of the International System (SI), as adopted by the Eleventh General Conference on Weights and Measures ...., except when the use of these units would obviously impair communication or reduce the usefulness of a report to the primary recipients.

A similar position was enunciated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in NASA Policy Directive NPD 2220.4 dated September 14, 1970—

Measurement values employed in NASA Technical Reports, Technical Notes, Technical Memoranda, Contractor Reports, and Special Publications shall be expressed in the International System of Units (SI).

However, the Official-in-Charge of a NASA Headquarters Office or the Director of a NASA Field Installation retains the authority to waive the provisions of NPD 2220.4 in special cases.

This document, NASA SP-7012, gives the names, symbols, and definitions of SI units, the values of physical constants expressed in SI units, and tables of numerical factors for converting miscellaneous units to SI units. It was first published in October 1964. A revised edition was published in 1969 to include resolutions agreed to by members of the 12th and 13th General Conferences, and new values of physical constants derived by Taylor, Parker, and Langenberg. The present edition incorporates material from the records of the 14th General Conference of 1971, but retains the 1969 values of physical constants. SP-7012 was originally compiled by Dr. E. A. Mechtly when he was employed as a physicist at the Marshall Space Flight Center. He is now an associate professor of electrical engineering at the University of Illinois in Urbana.

## HISTORY OF THE INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM OF UNITS

The International System of Units evolved from the unit of length, the meter, and the unit of mass, the kilogram, which were created by members of the Paris Academy of Sciences, and adopted by the National Assembly of France in 1795. The meter, the kilogram, and several other units came to be known as the metric system of units.

The U.S. Congress legalized the use of the metric system throughout the United States on July 28, 1866. The Act of 1866 reads, in part,

It shall be lawful throughout the United States of America to employ the weights and measures of the metric system; and no contract or dealing, or pleading in any court, shall be deemed invalid or liable to objection because the weights or measures expressed or referred to therein are weights or measures of the metric system.

Effective on April 5, 1893, and subsequently, all legal units of measure used in the United States have been metric units or are defined as exact numerical multiples of metric units. The action establishing metric units as the ultimate base of all U.S. Customary Units is known as the "Mendenhall Order." T. C. Mendenhall was U.S. Superintendent of Standard Weights and Measures in 1893.

A highly significant step in the establishment of internationally uniform standard units of measurement was the signing of the Convention of the Meter by the United States and sixteen other nations on May 20, 1875.

The Convention of the Meter provides for an International Bureau of Weights and Measures on neutral ground at Sèvres, near Paris, France; for an International Committee on Weights and Measures; and for an international General Conference on Weights and Measures. The function of these organs is to devise, refine, and maintain precise internationally uniform standards of measure. The Committee, and Conference voting members, are leading professional metrologists (men who have made the science of measurement their careers) and in many cases are the directors of national bureaus of standards. The Director of the U.S. National Bureau of Standards is a member of both the Committee and the General Conference.

The Eleventh General Conference on Weights and Measures convened in Paris during October 1960, with Dr. A. V. Astin representing the United States. At the Eleventh General Conference, the metric system of units (based on the meter, kilogram, second, ampere, kelvin, and candela) was given the name "International System of Units," and the abbreviation "SI" in all languages.

The Twelfth General Conference convened in Paris during October 1964. Among other actions, the Twelfth Conference redefined the word "liter" as a special name for the cubic decimeter, and authorized temporary use of the "atomic second," but did not abrogate the definition of the second, which is based on the ephemeris of the Earth.

The Thirteenth Conference, meeting in October 1967, did abrogate the ephemeris definition of the second, and replaced it with the atomic definition. Among the other actions of the Thirteenth Conference were a revision of the definition of the candela, a redesignation of the unit of thermodynamic temperature, the kelvin (K), and the addition of six derived units to the international system.

The Fourteenth General Conference, meeting in October 1971, adopted the mole, symbol mol, as an SI base unit, adopted the name pascal, symbol Pa, for N/m<sup>2</sup>, and adopted the name siemens, symbol S, for  $\Omega^{-1}$  among other actions. At the time of the Fourteenth Conference, 41 nations were signatory to the Convention of the Meter.

The Fifteenth General Conference is scheduled for 1975, a century after the initial signing of the Convention of the Meter.

The International System of Units is recommended by members of the General Conference on Weights and Measures for all scientific, technical, practical, and teaching purposes.

On the following pages are the names, symbols, and definitions of SI units, the values of physical constants expressed in SI units, and numerical factors for converting miscellaneous units to SI units.

## NAMES AND SYMBOLS OF SI UNITS

Quantity	Name of Unit	Symbol	
	SI BASE UNITS		
longth	meter	m	
iengen	kilogram	ka	
time	second	-6	
cleatric current	empere	Å	
thermodynamic temperature	kelvin	ĸ	
luminous intensity	candela	cd.	
amo int of substance	mole	mol	
	SI DERIVED UNITS		
	source mater	m1	
area	square meter	mi	
volume	bosts	ин- Ч-	e=1
(requency	hilogram per cubic motor	ka (m)	3
mass density (density)	motor per cubic meter	m/e	
speed, verocity	meter per second	m/s	
angular velocity	radian per second	rau/s	
acceleration	meter per second squared	m/s-	
angular acceleration	radian per second squared	FRU/S*	1
force	newton	IN D-	kg∘m/s
pressure (mechanical stress)	pascal	Pa - 1/-	r./m•
kinematic viscosity	square meter per second	m•/s	
dynamic viscosity	newton-second per square meter	N·S/m·	
work, energy, quantity of heat	Joule	J	N·m
power	watt	w	J/s
quantity of electricity	coulomb	C	A·S
potential difference, electromotive force	volt	V	W/A
electric field strength	volt per meter	V/m	
electric resistance	ohm	2	V/A
capacitance	farad	F	A·s/V
magnetic flux	weber	WD	V·S
inductance	henry	н	V·S/A
magnetic flux density	tesla	Т	Wb/mª
magnetic field strength	ampere per meter	A/m	
magnetomotive force	ampere	A	
luminous flux	Iumen	lm	cd∙sr
luminance	candela per square meter	cd/m <sup>2</sup>	
illuminance	lux	lx	lm/m³
wave number	1 per meter	m <sup>-1</sup>	
entropy	joule per kelvin	J/K	
specific heat capacity	joule per kilogram kelvin	J/(kg⋅K)	
thermal conductivity	watt per meter kelvin	W/(m·K)	
radiant intensity	watt per steradian	W/sr	
activity (of a radioactive source)	1 per second	s <sup>-1</sup>	
	SI SUPPLEMENTARY UNITS		
plane angle	radian	rad	
solid angle	steradian	ST	

#### meter (m)

The meter is the length equal to 1 650 763.73 wavelengths in vacuum of the radiation corresponding to the transition between the levels 2  $p_{10}$  and 5  $d_5$  of the krypton-86 atom.

#### kilogram (kg)

The *kilogram* is the unit of mass; it is equal to the mass of the international prototype of the kilogram. (The international prototype of the kilogram is a particular cylinder of platinum-iridium alloy which is preserved in a vault at Sèvres, France, by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures.)

#### second (s)

The second is the duration of 9 192 631 770 periods of the radiation corresponding to the transition between the two hyperfine levels of the ground state of the cesium-133 atom.

#### ampere (A)

The ampere is that constant current which, if maintained in two straight parallel conductors of infinite length, of negligible circular cross section, and placed 1 meter apart in vacuum, would produce between these conductors a force equal to  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  newton per meter of length.

#### kelvin (K)

The kelvin, unit of thermodynamic temperature, is the fraction 1/273.16 of the thermodynamic temperature of the triple point of water.

#### candela (cd)

The candela is the luminous intensity, in the perpendicular direction, of a surface of 1/600 000 square meter of a blackbody at the temperature of freezing platinum under a pressure of 101 325 newtons per square meter.

#### mètre (m)

Le mètre est la longueur égale à  $1\,650\,763,73$ longueurs d'onde dans le vide de la radiation correspondant à la transition entre les niveaux  $2 p_{10}$  et 5 d<sub>5</sub> de l'atome krypton 86.

#### kilogramme (kg)

Le kilogramme est l'unité de masse; il est égal à la masse du prototype international du kilogramme.

#### seconde (s)

La seconde est la durée de 9192631770 périodes de la radiation correspondant à la transition entre les deux niveaux hyperfins de l'état fondamental de l'atome de césium 133

#### ampère (A)

L'ampère est l'intensité d'un courant constant qui, maintenu dans deux conducteurs parallèles, rectilignes, de longueur infinie, de section circulaire négligeable et placés à une distance de 1 mètre l'un de l'autre dans le vide, produirait entre ces conducteurs une force égale à  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  newton par mètre de longueur.

#### kelvin (K)

Le kelvin, unité de température thermodynamique, est la fraction 1/273,16 de la température thermodynamique du point triple de l'eau.

#### candela (cd)

La candela est l'intensité lumineuse, dans la direction perpendiculaire, d'une surface de 1/600 000 mètre carré d'un corps noir à la température de congélation du platine sous la pression de 101 325 newtons par mètre carré.

#### mole (mol)

The mole is the amount of substance of a system which contains as many elementary entities as there are carbon atoms in 0.012 kg of carbon 12. The elementary entities must be specified and may be atoms, molecules, ions, electrons, other particles, or specified groups of such particles.

#### newton (N)

The *newton* is that force which gives to a mass of 1 kilogram an acceleration of 1 meter per second per second

#### joule (J)

The *joule* is the work done when the point of application of 1 newton is displaced a distance of 1 meter in the direction of the force.

#### watt (W)

The *watt* is the power which gives rise to the production of energy at the rate of 1 joule per second

#### volt (V)

The volt is the difference of electric potential between two points of a conducting wire carrying a constant current of 1 ampere, when the power dissipated between these points is equal to 1 watt.

#### ohm $(\Omega)$

The ohm is the electric resistance between two points of a conductor when a constant difference of potential of 1 volt, applied between these two points, produces in this conductor a current of 1 ampere, this conductor not being the source of any electromotive force.

#### coulomb (C)

The coulomb is the quantity of electricity transported in 1 second by a current of 1 ampere.

### 4

#### mole (mol)

La mole est la quantité de matière d'un système contenant autant d'entités élémentaires qu'il y a d'atomes dans 0.012 kg de carbone 12. Les entités élémentaires doivent être spécifiées et peuvent être des atomes, des molécules, des ions, des électrons, d'autres particules ou des groupements spécifiés de telles particules.

#### newton (N)

Le newton est la force qui communique à une masse de 1 kilogramme l'accélération de 1 mètre par seconde, par seconde.

#### joule (J)

Le joule est la travail effectué lorsque le point d'application de 1 newton de force se déplace d'une distance égale à 1 mètre dans la direction de la force

#### watt (W)

Le watt est la puissance qui donne lieu à une production d'énergie égale à 1 joule par seconde.

#### volt (V)

Le volt est la différence de potentiel électrique qui existe entre deux points d'un fil conducteur transportant un courant constant de 1 ampère, lorsque la puissance dissipée entre ces points est égale à 1 watt.

#### ohm $(\Omega)$

L'ohm est la résistance électrique qui existe entre deux points d'un conducteur lorsqu'une différence de potentiel constante de 1 volt, appliquée entre ces deux points, produit, dans ce conducteur, un courant de 1 ampère, ce conducteur n'étant le siège d'aucune force électromotrice.

#### coulomb (C)

Le coulomb est la quantité d'électricité transportée en 1 seconde par un courant de 1 ampère.

#### farad (F)

The farad is the capacitance of a capacitor between the plates of which there appears a difference of potential of 1 volt when it is charged by a quantity of electricity equal to 1 coulomb.

#### henry (H)

The henry is the inductance of a closed circuit in which an electromotive force of 1 volt is produced when the electric current in the circuit varies uniformly at a rate of 1 ampere per second.

#### weber (Wb)

The weber is the magnetic flux which, linking a circuit of one turn, produces in it an electromotive force of 1 volt as it is reduced to zero at a uniform rate in 1 second

#### lumen (lm)

(a) A set of the state of the set of the

The *lumen* is the luminous flux emitted in a solid angle of 1 steradian by a uniform point source having an intensity of 1 candela.

#### radian (rad)

The radian is the plane angle between two radii of a circle which cut off on the circumference an arc equal in length to the radius.

#### steradian (sr)

The steradian is the solid angle which, having its vertex in the center of a sphere, cuts off an area of the surface of the sphere equal to that of a square with sides of length equal to the radius of the sphere.

#### farad (F)

Le farad est la capacité d'un condensateur électrique entre les armatures duquel apparaît une différence de potentiel électrique de 1 volt, lorsqu'il est chargé d'une quantité d'électricité égale à 1 coulomb.

#### henry (H)

Le henry est l'inductance électrique d'un circuit fermé dans lequel une force électromotrice de 1 volt est produite lorsque le courant électrique qui parcourt le circuit varie uniformément à raison de 1 ampère par seconde.

#### weber (Wb)

Le weber est le flux magnétique qui, traversant un circuit d'une seule spire, y produirait une force électromotrice de 1 volt, si on l'amenait à zéro en 1 seconde par décroissance uniforme

#### lumen (lm)

Le lumen est le flux lumineux émis dans l'angle solide unité (stéradian), par une source ponctuelle uniforme ayant une intensité lumineuse de 1 candela.

#### radian (rad)

Le radian est l'angle plan compris entre deux rayons qui, sur la circonférence d'un cercle, interceptent un arc de longueur égale à celle du rayon.

#### stéradian (sr)

Le stéradian est l'angle solide qui, ayant son sommet au centre d'une sphère, découpe sur la surface de cette sphère une aire égale à celle d'un carré ayant pour côté le rayon de la sphère.

## SI PREFIXES

The names of multiples and submultiples of SI units may be formed by application of the prefixes:

Factor by which unit is multiplied	Prefix	Symbol
100	tera	Т
10*	giga	( G
10•	mega	M
102	kilo	k
102	hecto	h
10	deka	da
10-1	deci	d
10-1	centi	c
10-3	milli	m
10-*	micro	щ
10-•	nano	n
10 . 13	pico	p
10 <sup>- 13</sup>	femto	1
10-1	atto	a

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) recommends the following rules for the use of SI prefixes:

a) Prefix symbols are printed in roman (upright) type without spacing between the prefix symbol and the unit symbol.

b) An exponent affixed to a symbol containing a prefix indicates that the multiple or sub-multiple of the unit is raised to the power expressed by the exponent,

for example:  $1 \text{ cm}^3 = 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3$  $1 \text{ cm}^{-1} = 10^2 \text{ m}^{-1}$ 

c) Compound prefixes, formed by the juxtaposition of two or more SI prefixes, are not to be used.

for example: 1 nm but not: 1 mµm

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has issued additional recommendatio is with the aim of securing uniformity in the use of units.

According to these recommendations:

a) The product of two or more units is preferably indicated by a dot. The dot may be dispensed with when there is no risk of confusion with another unit symbol

for example: N·m or N m but not: mN

b) A solidus (oblique stroke, /), a horizontal line, or negative powers may be used to express a derived unit formed from two others by division

for example: m/s, 
$$\frac{m}{s}$$
 or  $m \cdot s^{-1}$ 

c) The solidus must not be repeated on the same line unless ambiguity is avoided by parentheses. In complicated cases negative powers or parentheses should be used

1

. . . . .

7

for example:  $m/s^2$  or  $m \cdot s^{-2}$  but not: m/s/s $m \cdot kg/(s^3 \cdot A)$  or  $m \cdot kg \cdot s^{-3} \cdot A^{-1}$  but not:  $m \cdot kg/s^3/A$ 

## UNITS OUTSIDE THE INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM

The International Committee on Weights and Measures recognized in 1969 that users of SI units will also wish to employ certain other units which, although they are not SI units, are in widespread use. These units play such an important part that they must be retained for general use with the International System of Units. They are the following:

Name	Symbol	Value in SI unit	
minute	min	$1 \min = 60 \text{ s}$	
hour	h	1 h = 60 min = 3 600 s	
day	d	1 d = 24 h = 86 400 s	
degree	0	$1^{\circ} = (\pi/180)$ rad	
minute	,	1' = $(1/60)^{\circ} = (\pi/10\ 800)$ rad	
second		$1'' = (1/60)' = (\pi/648\ 000)$ rad	
liter	1	$1 1 = 1  \mathrm{dm^3} = 10^{-3}  \mathrm{m^3}$	
tonne	t t	$1 t = 10^3 \text{ kg}$	

UNITS IN USE WITH THE INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM

It is likewise necessary to recognize, outside the International System, some other units which are useful in specialized fields of scientific research, because their values expressed in SI units must be obtained by experiment, and are therefore not known exactly. They are the following:

UNITS USED WITH THE INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM WHOSE VALUES IN SI UNITS ARE OBTAINED EXPERIMENTALLY

Name	Symbol	Definition	
electronvolt	eV	(•)	
unified atomic mass unit	u	(•)	
astronomical unit	(c)	(*)	
parsec	pc	(4)	

(\*) I electronvolt is the kinetic energy acquired by an electron in passing through a potential difference of I volt in vacuum.

(\*) The unified atomic mass unit is equal to the fraction  $\frac{y_{12}}{y_{12}}$  of the mass of an atom of the nuclide PC.

(\*) The astronomical unit does not have an international symbol; abbreviations are used, for example, AU in English, UA in French, AE in German, a.e.g in Russian, etc. The astronomical unit of distance is the length of the radius of the unperturbed circular orbit of a body of negligible mass moving around the Sun with a sidercal angular velocity of 0.017 202 098 950 radian per day of 86400 ephemeris seconds. In the system of astronomical constants of the International Astronomical Union the value adopted for it is:  $1 \text{ AU} = 149600 \times 10^4 \text{ m}.$ 

(4) 1 parsec is the distance at which 1 astronomical unit subtends an angle of 1 second of arc.

In 1969, the International Committee on Weights and Measures listed three additional classes of non-SI units: (1) 12 units which may be used for a limited time, (2) 9 units preferably not used, and (3) 11 units to be avoided. These deprecated units and preferred SI units are discussed in *The International System of Units* (SI), NBS Special Publication 330. Another useful guide is the *Metric Practice Guide*, ASTM publ. no. E380-72.

8

محدر والمح

## PHYSICAL CONSTANTS

The following lists of physical constants are from the work of B. N. Taylor, W. H. Parker, and D. N. Langenberg (*Reviews of Modern Physics*, July 1969). Their least-squares adjustment of values of the constants depends strongly on a highly accurate (2.4 ppm) determination of e/h from the ac Josephson effect in superconductors, and is believed to be more accurate than the 1963 adjustment which appears to suffer from the use of an incorrect value of the fine structure constant as an input datum. See also NBS Special Publication 344 issued March 1971.

Quantity	Symbol	Value	Error ppm	Prefix	Unit
Speed of light in vacuum	c	2. 997 925 0	0. 33	×10"	m s <sup>+1</sup>
Gravitational constant	G	6. 673 2	460	10-11	N m <sup>2</sup> kg <sup>-2</sup>
Avogadro constant	NA	6. 022 169	6.6	10×	kmol =1
Boltzmann constant	k	1. 380 622	43	10-23	J K-1
Gas constant	R	8. 314 34	42	10'	J kmol = ( K=)
Volume of ideal gas, standard conditions.	V.	2. 241 36		101	m³ kmol =1
Faraday constant	F	9. 648 670	5, 5	107	C kmol 🗉
Unified atomic mass unit	น	1.660 531	6.6	10-27	kg
Planck constant	h	6. 626 196	7.6	10-34	Js
	h/2#	1. 054 591 9	7.6	10-34	Js
Electron charge	e	1. 602 191 7	4.4	10-10	С
Electron rest mass	m.	9. 109 558	6.0	10-31	kg
		5. 485 930	6.2	10-1	u
Proton rest mass	m	1. 672 614	6.6	10-27	kg
	-	1. 007 276 61	0. 08		u
Neutron rest mass	m	1. 674 920	6.6	10-27	kg
	-	1.008 665 20	0.10		u
Electron charge to mass ratio	e/m.	1. 758 802 8	3.1	1011	C kg <sup>-1</sup>
Stefan-Boltzmann constant	σ	5. 669 61	170	10-*	W m-2 K-4
First radiation constant	2 # hc2	3. 741 844	7.6	10-10	W m <sup>2</sup>
Second radiation constant	hc/k	1. 438 833	43	10-2	m K
Rydberg constant	R <sub>m</sub>	1. 097 373 12	0.10	107	m-i
Fine structure constant	a	7. 297 351	1.5	10-3	
•	a <sup>-1</sup>	1. 370 360 2	1.5	10**	
Bohr radius	a.	5. 291 771 5	1.5	10-11	m
Classical electron radius	r.	2.817 939	4.6	10-15	m
Compton wavelength of electron	λc	2. 426 309 6	3.1	10-12	m
- <b>-</b>	$\lambda c/2\pi$	3. 861 592	3.1	10-13	m
Compton wavelength of proton	λC n	1. 321 440 9	6.8	10-15	m
	$\lambda c \sqrt{2\tau}$	2, 103 139	6.8	10-16	m
Compton wavelength of neutron	λc	1, 319 621 7	6.8	10-15	m
	$\lambda c /2 \tau$	2. 100 243	6.8	10-10	m
Electron magnetic moment.	4a	9. 284 851	7.0	10-24	J T-1
Proton magnetic moment	4.	1. 410 620 3	7.0	10-20	J T-1
Bohr magneton	48	9274 096	7.0	10-24	JT-1
Nuclear magneton	4 m	5. 050 951	10	10-27	JT-I
Gyromagnetic ratio of protons in H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>		2.675 127 0	3.1	10	rad s-1 T-1
	$\gamma' / 2\pi$	4. 257 597	3.1	107	Hz T-1
Gyromagnetic ratio of protons in H.O	·	2. 675 196 5	3.1	10	rad s-1 T-1
corrected for diamagnetism of H.O.	$\gamma_{-}/2\pi$	4. 257 707	31	10:	Hz T-I
Magnetic flux quantum	Ф. ==	2 067 853 8	3 3	10-14	Wb
Quantum of circulation	h/2m.	3. 636 947	3 1	10-1	Jskg-1
	h/m.	7. 273 894	3 1	10-4	Jskg~1

595-811 D - 75 - 3

9

ł

(c <sup>2</sup> ) kg/eV	5. 609 538 314 812	4.4	
$(c^{-}) \ U/eV \qquad $		5.5 6.6 3.1 5.5 5.5 4.4 3.3 3.3 42 3.3 7.6 3.3 7.6 3.3 0.35 43 6.2 0.0031 0.066 0.30 6.2 6.2	10*5 10* 10* 10* 10* 10* 10* 10* 10*

Other important constants

 $\pi = 3.141 592 653 589$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} &= 3.141 \ 592 \ 553 \ 589 \\ \mathbf{e} &= 2.718 \ 281 \ 828 \ 459 \\ \mu_0 &= 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \ \text{H/m} \ (\text{exact}), \text{ permeability of free space} \\ &= 1.256 \ 637 \ 061 \times 10^{-6} \ \text{H/m} \\ \mathbf{e}_0 &= \mu_0^{-1} c^{-2} \ \text{F/m}, \text{ permittivity of free space} \\ &= 8.854 \ 185 \times 10^{-13} \ \text{F/m} \end{aligned}$ 

10

•••

124.5 17

## CONVERSION FACTORS

The following tables express the definitions of miscellaneous units of measure as exact numerical multiples of coherent SI units, and provide multiplying factors for converting numbers and miscellaneous units to corresponding new numbers and SI units.

The first two digits of each numerical entry represent a power of 10. An asterisk follows each number which expresses an exact definition. For example, the entry " $-02\ 2.54$ \*" expresses the fact that 1 inch= $2.54 \times 10^{-2}$  meter, exactly, by definition. Most of the definitions are extracted from National Bureau of Standards documents. Numbers not followed by an asterisk are only approximate representations of definitions, or are the results of physical measurements.

The conversion factors are listed alphabetically and by physical quantity.

The Listing by Physical Quantity includes only relationships which are frequently encountered and deliberately omits the great multiplicity of combinations of units which are used for more specialized purposes. Conversion factors for combinations of units are easily generated from numbers given in the Alphabetical Listing by the technique of direct substitution or by other well-known rules for manipulating units. These rules are adequately discussed in many science and engineering textbooks and are not repeated here.

#### ALPHABETICAL LISTING

To convert from	to	multiply by
abampere	ampere	+01 1.00*
abcoulomb	coulomb	+01 1.00*
abfarad	farad	+09 1.00*
abhenry	henry	-09 1.00*
abmho	siemens	+09 1.00*
abohm	ohm	-09 1.00*
abvolt	volt	-08 1.00*
acre	meter <sup>2</sup>	+03 4.046 856 422 4*
angstrom	meter	-10 1.00*
are	meter <sup>2</sup>	+021.00*
astronomical unit (IAU)	meter	+11 1.496 00
astronomical unit (radio)	meter	+11 1.495 978 9
atmosphere	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+05 1.013 25*
bar	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+ 05 1.00*
barn	meter <sup>2</sup>	-28 1.00*
barrel (petroleum, 42 gallons)	meter <sup>3</sup>	-01 1.589 873
barye	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	-01 1.00*
board foot $(1' \times 1' \times 1'')$	meter <sup>1</sup>	-03 2.359 737 216*
British thermal unit:		
(IST before 1956)	joule	+03 1.055 04
(IST after 1956)	joule	+03 1.055 056
British thermal unit (mean)	joule	+03 1.055 87
British thermal unit (thermochemical)	joule	+03 1.054 350
British thermal unit (39° F)	joule	+03 1.059 67
British thermal unit (60° F)	joule	+03 1.054 68
bushel (U.S.)	meter <sup>1</sup>	-02 3.523 907 016 688
cable	meter	+02 2.194 56*
caliber	meter	- 04 2.54*
calorie (International Steam Table)	joule	+00 4.1868
calorie (mean)	joule	+004.19002
calorie (thermochemical)	joule	+00 4.184*
calorie (15° C)	joule	+00 4.185 80

#### T . .....

1

To convert from	to	mulliply by
calorie (20° C)	joule	+00 4.181 90
calorie (kilogram, International Steam Table) -	joule	+03 4.1868
calorie (kilogram, mean)	joule	+034.19002
calorie (kilogram, thermochemical)	joule	+03 4.184*
carat (metric)	kilogram	-04 2.00*
Celsus (temperature)	kelvin	$t_{\rm K} = t_{\rm C} + 273.15$
centimeter of mercury (0° C)	newton/meter <sup>a</sup>	+03 1.333 22
centimeter of water (4° C)	newton/meter <sup>1</sup>	+01 9.806 38
chain (engineer or ramden)	meter	+01 3.048*
chain (surveyor or gunter)	meter	+01 2.011 68*
circular mil	meter <sup>1</sup>	-10 5.067 074 8
cord	meter <sup>a</sup>	$+00\ 3.624\ 556\ 3$
cubit	meter	-01 4.572*
cup	meter	-04 2.365 882 365*
curie	disintegration/second	+10 3.70 •
day (mean solar)	second (mean solar)	+04 8.64*
day (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	+04 8.616 409 0
degree (angle)	radian	-02 1.745 329 251 994 3
denier (international)	kilogram/meter	-07 1.00*
dram (avoirdupois)	kilogram	-03 1.771 845 195 312 5*
dram (troy or apothecary)	kilogram	-03 3.887 934 6*
dram (U.S. fluid)	meter <sup>a</sup>	-06 3.696 691 195 312 5*
dyne	newton	-05 1.00*
electron volt	10ule	- 19 1.602 1917
erg	joule	-07 1.00*
Fahrenheit (temperature)	kelvin	$t_{R} = (5/9) (t_{P} + 459.67)$
Fahrenheit (temperature)	Celsius	$l_c = (5/9) (l_p - 32)$
faraday (based on carbon 12)	coulomb	+04 9.648 70
faraday (chemical)	coulomb	+04 9.049 57
faraday (physical)	coulomb	+04 9.032 19
Tathom	meter	- 15 1 00*
fermi (femtometer)	meter	- 13 1.00*
fact	meter	-01 3 048*
foot (IIS entropy)	meter	$\pm 00.1200/3937*$
foot (US survey)	meter	-01.3.048.006.096
foot of water (39.2° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+032.98898
footcandle	lumen/meter <sup>3</sup>	+01 1.076 391 0
footlambert	candela/meter*	+003.426259
free fall, standard	meter/second <sup>2</sup>	+ 00 9.806 65*
furlong	meter	+02 2.011 68*
gal (galileo)	meter/second <sup>2</sup>	-02 1.00*
gallon (U.K. liquid)	meter <sup>3</sup>	-03 4.546 087
gallon (U.S. dry)	meter <sup>3</sup>	-03 4.404 883 770 86*
gallon (U.S. liquid)	meter <sup>4</sup>	-03 3.785 411 784*
gamma	tosla	-091.09*
gauss	tesla	
gilbert	ampere turn	- 01 7.957 747 2
gill (U.K.)	meter"	-04 1.420 052
giii (U.S.)		- 04 1.182 941 2
grad	uegree (angular)	
grau	rauan	- 02 1.370 790 3
gram	kilogram	- 03 0.4/9 391*
Klau	KIIOgram.	-03 1.00*

12

;

r

## multiply by

hand	meter	-01 1.016*
hectare	meter <sup>2</sup>	+04 1.00*
hogshead (U.S.)	meter <sup>3</sup>	-01 2.384 809 423 92*
horsepower (550 foot lbf/second)	walt	+ 02 7.456 998 7
horsepower (boiler)	watt	+039.80950
horsepower (electric)	watt	+ 02 7.46*
horsepower (metric)	watt	+ 02 7.354 99
horsepower (U.K.)	watt	+ 02 7.457
hour (man solar)	second (mean solar)	+ 02 7.400 43
hour (mean solar)	second (mean solar)	1 02 2 500 170 4
hundradweight (lang)	kilogram	+ 03 5.590 170 4
hundredweight (short)	kilogram	± 01 4 525 092 7#
	,	- T UL 1.000 020 /*
inch	meter	02 2.54*
inch of mercury (32° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+03 3.386 389
inch of mercury (60° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+03 3.376 85
inch of water (39.2° F)	newton/meter?	$+02\ 2.490\ 82$
inch of water (60° F)	pewton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+02 2.4884
kayser	I/meter	+02.1.00*
kilocalorie (International Steam Table)	joule	+03.4.186.8
kilocalorie (mean)	joule	$\pm 03.4.190.02$
kilocalorie (thermochemical)	joule	+ 03 4.184*
kilogram mass	kilogram	÷ 00 1.00♥
kilogram force (kgf)	newton	+00.9.806.65*
kilopound force	newton	+ 00 9.806 65*
	newton	+034.4482216152605
knot (international)	meter/second	01 5.144 444 444
lambert	candela/meter <sup>2</sup>	• 04 1/ <del>*</del> *
lambert	candela/meter <sup>2</sup>	+03.3.183.098.8
langlev	joule/meter <sup>2</sup>	+ 04 4.184*
lbf (pound force, avoirdupois)	newton	+00 4.448 221 615 260 5
lbm (pound mass, avoirdupois)	kilogram	-01 4.535 923 7*
league (U.K. nautical)	meter	+ 03 5.559 552*
league (international nautical)	meter	+ 03 5.556*
league (statute)	meter	+ 03 4.828 032*
light year	meter	+ 15 9.160 55
link (engineer or ramden)	meter	-01 3.048*
link (surveyor or gunter)	meter	- 01 2.011 68*
liter	meter <sup>1</sup>	03 1.00*
lux	lumen/meter <sup>2</sup>	+00.1.00*
navwall	wahar	08 1 004
meter	wevelengths Kr 96	- 08 1.00*
micron	wavelengths Ar au	+ 00 1.030 703 73*
mil	meter	-00 1.00*
mile (IIS statute)	meter	
mile (II K neuticel)	meter	+03 1.009 344*
mile (international nautical)	meter	T 03 1.000 104"
mile (U.S. nautical)	meter	+ 03 1.852*
millibar	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+ 03 1.602 + 02 1 00 <b>*</b>
millimeter of mercury (0° C)	newton/meteri	+ 02 1 333 224
minute (angle)	radian	-04 2.908 882 086 66
minute (mean solar)	second (mean solar)	+01 6.00*
minute (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	+01 5.983 617 4
month (mean calendar)	second (mean solar)	+06 2.628*
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

To convert from	10	multiply by
nautical mile (international)	meter.	+03 1.852*
nautical mile (U.S.)	meter.	+ 03 1.852*
nautical mile (U.K.)	meter	+03 1.853 184*
oersted	ampere/meter.	+01 7.957 747 2
ounce force (avoirdupois)	newton	-01 2.780 138 5
ounce mass (avoirdupois)	kilogram	-02 2.834 952 312 5*
ounce mass (troy or apothecary)	kilogram.	-02 3.110 347 68*
ounce (U.S. fluid)	meter <sup>3</sup>	-05 2.957 352 956 25*
pace	meter	-01 7.62*
parsec (IAU)	meter	+ 16 3.085 7
Dascal.	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+00 1.00*
peck (U.S.)	meter <sup>1</sup>	-03 8.809 767 541 72*
pennyweight	kilogram	-03 1 555 173 84*
perch	meter	+ 00 5 0292*
ohot	luman/metar <sup>2</sup>	+ 04 1 00
nice (printum)	moter	- 03 4 217 517 64
pint (IIS dry)	meter	- 04 5 506 104 713 575*
pine (Cio, dry)	mederal	~ 04 5.300 104 713 373*
mint (0.5. inquit)	meter	
point (printers)	meter accord/mater	~ 04 3.314 398*
poise	newton second/meter	
	meter	
pound force (ibi avoirdupois)	new con	+00 4.448 221 015 200 5*
pound mass (Ibm avoirdupois)	kilogram	~01 4.535 923 7*
pound mass (troy or apothecary)	kilogram	~01 3.732 417 216*
poundal	newton	~01 1.382 549 543 76*
overt (U.S. dry)	meteri	~ 03 1 101 220 942 715+
quart (U.S. liquid)	metani	~ 04 0 463 592 5
dana (o.o. udua)	men.	- 01 5.100 052 0
rad (radiation dose absorbed)	joule/kilogram	~02 1.00*
Rankine (temperature)	kelvin	$t_{R} = (5/9)t_{R}$
rayleigh (rate of photon emission)	1/second meter <sup>3</sup>	+10 1.00*
rhe	meter <sup>3</sup> /newton second	+01 1.00*
rod	meter	+00 5.0292*
roentgen	coulomb/kilogram	-04 2.579 76*
rutherford	disintegration/second	+06 1.00*
	t:	
second (angle)	radian	
second (epinemeris)	second	+001.000 000 000
second (mean solar)	second (epnemens)	Consult American Epnemeris
an sound (niden set)		and Nautical Almanac
se cond (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	-01 9.972 695 7
secuon	meter	+06 2.589 988 110 336*
scrupic (apothecary)	kilogram.	-03 1.295 978 2*
shake	paoses	-08 1.00
skein	meter	+02 1.097 28*
slug	kilogram	+01 1.459 390 29
span	meter	-01 2.286*
statampere	ampere	- 10 3.335 640
statcoulomb	coulomb	- 10 3.335 640
statlarad	farad	- 12 1.112 650
stathenry	henry	+11 8.987 554
statohm	ohm	+ 11 8.987 554
statute mile (U.S.)	meter	+03 1.609 344*
statvolt	volt	+ 02 2.997 925
itere	meter.	+00 1.00*

**~** Y

To convert from	to	multiply by
stilb	candela/meter <sup>1</sup>	+04 1.00
stoke	meter <sup>2</sup> /second	-04 1.00*
tablespoon	meteri	05 1.478 676 478 125*
teaspoon	meter <sup>3</sup>	-06 4.928 921 593 75*
ton (assay)	kilogram	-02 2.916 666 6
ton (long)	kilogram	+03 1.016 046 908 8*
ton (metric)	kilogram	+03 1.00*
ton (nuclear equivalent of TNT)	joule	+09 4.20
ton (register)	meter <sup>3</sup>	+00 2.831 684 659 2*
ton (short, 2000 pound)	kilogram	+02 9.071 847 4*
tonne	kilogram	+03 1.00*
torr (0° C)	newton/meter <sup>*</sup>	+02 1.333 22
township	metcr <sup>3</sup>	+07 9.323 957 2
unit pole	weber	-07 1.256 637
yard	meter	-01 9.144*
year (calendar)	second (mean solar)	+07 3.1536*
year (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	+07 3.155 815 0
year (tropical)	second (mean solar)	+07 3.155 692 6
year 1900, tropical, Jan., day 0, hour 12	second (ephemeris)	+07 3.155 692 597 47*
year 1900, tropical, Jan., day 0, hour 12	second	+07 3.155 692 597 47

## LISTING BY PHYSICAL QUANTITY

## ACCELERATION

foot/second <sup>3</sup>	meter/second <sup>1</sup>	-01 3.048*
free fail, standard	meter/second <sup>2</sup>	+00 9.806 65*
gal (galileo)	meter/second <sup>2</sup>	-02 1.00*
inch/second <sup>2</sup>	meter/second <sup>2</sup>	-02 2.54*

## AREA

336•
3364

#### DENSITY

gram/centimeter <sup>a</sup>	kilogram/meter*	+03 1.00*
lbm/inch <sup>3</sup>	kilogram/meter	+04 2.767 990 5
lbm/foot <sup>3</sup>	kilogram/meter	+01 1.601 846 3
slug/foot	kilogram/meter	+02 5.153 79

lo

٤

## ENERGY

British thermal unit:		
(IST before 1956)	joule	+03 1.055 04
(IST after 1956)	joule	+03 1.055 056
British thermal unit (mean)	joule	+03 1.055 87
British thermal unit (thermochemical)	joule	+03 1.054 350
British thermal unit (39° F)	joule	+03 1.059 67
British thermal unit (60° F)	joule	+03 1.054 68
calorie (International Steam Table)	joule	+004.1868
calorie (mean)	joule	+004.19002
calorie (thermochemical)	joule	+00 4.184.
calorie (15° C)	joule	+004.18580
calorie (20° C)	joule	+004.18190
calorie (kilogram, International Steam Table).	joule	+034.1868
calorie (kilogram, mean)	joule	+034.19002
calorie (kilogram, thermochemical)	joule	+03 4.184*
electron volt	joule	-19 1.602 191 7
erg	joule	-07 1.00*
foot lbf	joule	+00 1.355 817 9
foot poundal	joule	-02 4.214 011 0
joule (international of 1948)	joule	+ 00 1.000 165
kilocalorie (International Steam Table)	joule	+ 03 4.1868
kilocalorie (mean)	joule	+03 4.190 02
kilocalorie (thermochemical)	joule	+ 03 4.184*
kilowatt hour	joule	+06.3.60*
kilowatt hour (international of 1948)	joule	+06 3.600 59
ton (nuclear equivalent of TNT)	joule	+094.20
watt hour	joule	+03.3.60*

## ENERGY/AREA TIME

Btu (thermochemical)/foot <sup>2</sup> second	watt/meter <sup>2</sup>	+04 1.134 893 1
Btu (thermochemical)/foot <sup>2</sup> minute	watt/meter <sup>2</sup>	+02 1.891 488 5
Btu (thermochemical)/foot <sup>2</sup> hour	watt/meter <sup>2</sup>	+00 3.152 480 8
Btu (thermochemical)/inch <sup>2</sup> second	watt/meter <sup>2</sup>	+06 1.634 246 2
calorie (thermochemical)/cm <sup>2</sup> minute	watt/meter <sup>2</sup>	+02 6.973 333 3
erg/centimeter <sup>2</sup> second	watt/meter <sup>2</sup>	-03 1.00*
wat:/centimeter2	watt/meter <sup>2</sup>	+04 1.00*

## FORCE

dyne	newton	-05 1.00*
kilogram force (kgf)	newton	+00 9.806 65*
kilopond force	newton	+00 9.806 65*
kip	newton	+03 4.448 221 615 260 5*
lbf (pound force, avoirdupois)	newton	+00 4.448 221 615 260 5*
ounce force (avoirdupois)	newton	-01 2.780 138 5
pound force, lbf (avoirdupois)	newton	+00 4.448 221 615 260 5*
poundal	newton	-01 1.382 549 543 76*

## LENGTH

angstrom	meter	-10 1.00*
astronomical unit (IAU)	meter	+11 1.496 00
astronomical unit (radio)	meter	+11 1.495 978 9
cable	meter	+02 2.194 56*

16

ł

multiply by

caliber	meter	-04.2.54.
chain (surveyor or gunter)	meter	+012011680
chain (engineer or ramden)	meter	+01 3 048+
cubit	meter.	-01 4 579*
fathom	meter	+0018288*
fermi (femtometer)	meter.	-15 1.00*
foot	meter	-01 3.048*
foot (U.S. survey)	meter	+00 1200/3937*
foot (U.S. survey)	meter.	-01, 3.048, 006, 096
urlong	meter	+02 2.011 68*
hand	meter	-01 1.016•
inch	meter	- 02 2.54 •
league (U.K. nautical)	meter	+03.5.559.552*
league (international nautical)	meter.	+ 93 5.556*
league (statute)	meter	$\pm 03.4.828.032*$
light year	meter	+15 9.460 55
link (engineer or ramden)	meter	-01 3.048*
link (surveyor or gunter)	meter	-01 2.011 68*
meter	wavelengths Kr 86	+06 1.650 763 73*
micron	meter	-06 1.00*
mil	meter	-05 2.54*
mile (U.S. statute)	meter	+03 1.609 344*
mile (U.K. nautical)	meter	$\pm 03$ 1.853 184*
mile (international nautical)	meter	+ 03 1.852*
mile (U.S. nautical)	ineter	+03.1.852*
nautical mile (U.K.)	meter	$\pm 03$ 1.853 184*
nautical mile (international)	meter	+03.1.852*
nautical mile (U.S.)	meter	$\pm 03.1.852*$
pace	meter	-01 7.62*
parsec (IAU)	meter	$\pm 16 \ 3.085 \ 7$
perch	meter	+00.5.0292*
pica (printers)	meter	-03 4.217 517 6*
point (printers)	meter	-04 3.514 598*
pole	meter	+00.5.0292*
rod	meter	+00.5.0292*
skein	meter	+02 1.097 28*
span	meter	-01 2.286*
statute mile (U.S.)	meter	+03 1.609 344*
yard	meter	-01 9.144*

## MASS

carat (metric)	kilogram	-04 2.00*
gram (avoirdupois)	kilogram	-03 1.771 845 195 312 5*
gram (troy or apothecary)	kilogram	-03 3.887 934 6*
grain	kilogram	-05 6.479 891*
gram	kilogram	-03 1.00*
hundredweight (long)	kilogram.	+01 5.080 234 544*
hundredweight (short)	kilogram	+01 4.535 923 7*
kgf second <sup>2</sup> meter (mass)	kilogram	+00 9.806 65*
kilogram mass	kilogram	+00 1.00*
lbm (pound mass, avoirdupois)	kilogram	-01 4.535 923 7*
ounce mass (avoirdupois)	kilogram	-02 2.834 952 312 5*
ounce mass (troy or apothecary)	kilogram	-02 3.110 347 68*
pennyweight	kilogram	- 03 1.555 173 84*
pound mass, 1bm (avoirdupois)	kilogram	-01 4.535 923 7*

lo

#### multiply by

1

pound mass (troy or apothecary)	kilogram		01 3 732 417 216*
scruple (apothecary)	kilogram		03 1.295 978 2*
slug	kilogram		+01.1.459.390.29
(on (assay)	kilogram		02/2 916/666/6
ton (long)	kilogram		+ 03 1 016 046 908 8
ton (metric).	kilogram		$\pm 0.3, 1.00*$
(on (short, 2000 pound)	kilogram	 	+ 02 9 071 847 4*
tonne	kilogram	 	+ 03 1 00*

## POWER

Btu (thermochemical)/second.	watt	+ 03 1.054 350 264 488
Btu (thermochemical)/minute	watt	. + 01 1.757 250 4
calorie (thermochemical)/second	watt	+ 00 4.184*
calorie (thermochemical)/minute	watt.	-02.6.973.333.3
foot lbf/hour	watt	- 04 3.766 161 0
foot lbf/minute	watt .	02/2.259/696/6
foot lbf/second	watt	EOO 1.355 817 9
horsepower (550 foot lbf/second)	watt	102 7.456 998 7
horsepower (boiler)	watt	+ 03 9.809 50
horsepower (electric)	watt	+ 02 7.46*
horsepower (metric)	watt	+027.35499
horsepower (U.K.)	watt	+ 02 7.457
horsepower (water)	watt	. +02 7.460 43
kilocalorie (thermochemical)/minute	watt	$+01\ 6.973\ 333\ 3$
kilocalorie (thermochemical)/second	watt	. +03 4.184*
watt (international of 1948)	watt	- +00 1.000 165

## PRESSURE

atmosphere	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+05 1.013 25*
bar	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+05 1.00*
barye	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	-01 1.00*
centimeter of mercury (0° C)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+03 1.333 22
centimeter of water (4° C)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+01 9.806 38
dyne/centimeter <sup>2</sup>	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	-01 1.00*
foot of water (39.2° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+03 2.988 98
inch of mercury (32° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+03 3.386 389
inch of mercury (60° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	$+03 \ 3.376 \ 85$
inch of water (39.2° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	$+02\ 2.490\ 82$
inch of water (60° F)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+02.2.4884
kgf/centimeter <sup>2</sup>	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+04 9.806 65*
kgf/meter <sup>3</sup>	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+00 9.806 65*
lbf/foot2	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+01 4.788 025 8
lbf/inch <sup>2</sup> (psi)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+03 6.894 757 2
millibar	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+02 1.00*
millimeter of mercury (0° C)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+02 1.333 224
pascal	newton/meter*	+00 1.00*
psi (lbf/inch <sup>2</sup> )	newton/meter2	+03 6.894 757 2
torr (0, C)	newton/meter <sup>2</sup>	+02 1.333 22

## SPEED

foot/hour	meter/second	-05 8.466 666 6
foot/minute	meter/second	-03 5.08*
foot/second	meter/second	-01 3.048*
inch/second	meter/second	-02 2.54*

18

1. . .

-

to

## multiply by

kilometer/hour	meter/second	-01 2.777 777 8
knot (international)	meter/second	01 5.144 444 444
mile/hour (U.S. statute)	meter/second	-01 4.4704*
mile/minute (U.S. statute)	meter/second	+01 2.682 24*
mile/second (U.S. statute)	meter/second	+03 1.609 344*

## TEMPERATURE

Celsius	kelvin	$t_{\rm F} = t_{\rm C} + 273.15$
Fahrenheit	kelvin	$t_{\rm g} = (5/9)(t_{\rm g} + 459.67)$
Fahrenheit	Celsius	$t_c = (5/9)(t_F - 32)$
Rankine	kelvin	$l_{R} = (5/9) l_{R}$

## TIME

day (mean solar)	second (mean solar)	+04 8.64*
day (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	+04 8.616 409 0
hour (mean solar)	second (mean solar)	+03 3.60*
hour (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	+03 3.590 170 4
minute (mean solar)	second (mean solar)	+01 6.00*
minute (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	+01.5.983.617.4
month (mean calendar)	second (mean solar)	+06.2.628*
second (ephemeris)	second	+00 1.000 000 000
second (mean solar)	second (ephemeris)	Consult American Ephemeris
		and Nautical Almanac
second (sidereal)	second (mean solar)	-01 9.972 695 7
year (calendar)	second (mean solar)	+07.3.1536 •
year (sidercal)	second (mean solar)	+07 3.155 815 0
year (tropical).	second (mean solar)	+07 3.155 692 6
year 1900, tropical, Jan., day 0, hour 12.	second (ephemeris)	+07 3.155 692 597 47*
year 1900, tropical, Jan., day 0, hour 12	second.	+07 3.155 692 597 47

## VISCOSITY

centistoke	meter <sup>2</sup> /second	-06 1.00*
stoke	meter <sup>2</sup> /second.	-04 1.00*
foot²/second	meter <sup>2</sup> /second.	-02.9.290.304 *
centipoise	newton second/meter <sup>2</sup>	-03 1.00*
lbm/foot second	newton second/meter <sup>2</sup>	+00 1.488 163 9
lbf second/foot <sup>2</sup>	newton second/meter <sup>2</sup>	+01 4.788 025 8
poise	newton second/meter <sup>2</sup>	-01 1.00*
poundal second/foot <sup>2</sup>	newton second/meter <sup>2</sup>	+00 1.488 163 9
slug/foot second	newton second/meter <sup>2</sup>	+01 4.788 025 8
rhe	meter <sup>2</sup> /newton second	+01 1.00*

#### VOLUME

acre foot	meter <sup>1</sup>	+03 1.233 481 837 547 52*
barrel (petroleum, 42 gallons)	meter <sup>1</sup>	-01 1.589 873
board foot	meter <sup>4</sup>	-03 2.359 737 216*
bushel (U.S.)	meter <sup>4</sup>	-02 3.523 907 016 688*
cord	meter <sup>1</sup>	+00 3.624 556 3
cup	meter <sup>4</sup>	-04 2.365 882 365*
dram (U.S. fluid)	meter <sup>3</sup>	-06 3.696 691 195 312 5*
fluid ounce (U.S.)	meter <sup>3</sup>	-05 2.957 352 956 25*
foot <sup>a</sup>	meter <sup>3</sup>	-02 2.831 684 659 2*

To	co	nveri	from
----	----	-------	------

to

2°

gallon (U.K. liquid)	meter <sup>1</sup>	
gallon (U.S. dry).	meter*	
gallon (U.S. liquid)	meter*	
gill (U K.)	meter*	
gill (U.S.)	meter <sup>4</sup>	
hogshead (U.S.)	meter*	
inch <sup>1</sup>	meter <sup>1</sup>	
liter	meter*	
ounce (U.S. fluid)	meter <sup>3</sup>	
peck (U.S.)	meter <sup>4</sup>	
pint (U.S. dry)	meter*	
pint (U.S. liquid)	meter <sup>4</sup>	
quart (U.S. dry)	meter <sup>4</sup>	-03 1.101 220 942 715
quart (U.S. liquid)	meter*	
stere	meter <sup>1</sup>	+00 1.00*
tablespoon	meter <sup>3</sup>	
teaspoon	meter <sup>3</sup>	
ton (register)	meter*	+00 2.831 684 659 2*
yard <sup>a</sup>	meter#	-01 7.645 548 579 84*

A. 15

20

(T-1) V

. . . . .
## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- JUDBON, L. V.: Units of Weight and Measure. NBS Misc. Publ. 233, U.S. Dept. Com., Dec. 20, 1960.
- JUDBON, L. V.: Weights and Measures Standards of the United States, a Brief History. NBS Misc. Publ. 247, U.S. Dept. Com., Oct. 1963.
- MECHTLY, E. A.: The International System of Units, Physical Constants, and Conversion Factors, Revised. NASA SP-7012, 1969.
- MOREAU, H.: The Twelfth General Conference of Weights and Measures. Metrologia, vol. 1, no. 1, Jan. 1965, p. 27.
- TAYLOR, B. N.; W. H. PARKER; AND D. N. LANGEN-BERG: Determination of e/h, Using Macroscopic Quantum Phase Coherence in Superconductors: Implications for Quantum Electrodynamics and the Fundamental Physical Constants, Reviews of Mudern Physics, vol. 41, no. 3, July 1969, pp. 375-496.
- TAYLOR, B. N.; W. H. PARKER; AND D. N. LANGEN-BERG: The Fundamental Constants and Quantum Electrodynamics. Academic Press, 1969.
- TERRIEN, J.: Scientific Metrology on the International Plane and the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures. Metrologia, vol. 1, no. 1, Jan. 1965, p. 15.
- TERRIEN, J.: News From the International Bureau of Weights and Measures. Mctrologia, vol. 4, no. 1, Jan. 1968, p. 41.

- TERRIEN, J.: News from the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures. Metrología, vol. 8, no. 1, 1972, p. 32.
- The International System of Units (SI). A translation of "Le Système International d'Unités (SI)" edited by C. H. Page and P. Vigoureux. National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 330, 1972.
- ANON.: Definitions of Basic SI Units. Metrologia, vol. 4, no. 3, 1968, p. 147.
- ANON.: Corrigendum Notice. Metrologia, vol. 5, no. 1, Jan. 1969, p. 33.
- ANON.: Procès-Verbaux Comité International des Poids et Mesures. Gauthier-Villars & Cie (Paris), Oct. 29, 1946.
- ANON.: Metric Practice Guide E380-72, American Society for Testing and Materials, June 1972.
- ANON.: General Physical Constants. NBS Special Publication 344, March 1971.
- ANON.: Units and Systems of Weights and Measures. Their Origin, Development, and Present Status. NBS Letter Circ. LC 1035, Jan. 1960.
- ANON.: NBS Interprets Policy on SI Units. NBS Tech. News Bull., vol. 52, no. 6, June 1068, p. 121.
- ANON.: Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Onzième Conférence Générale des Poids et Mesures. Gauthier-Villars & Cie (Paris), 1960. (Available as NASA TT F-217.)

21

+ U.S.Government Printing Office: 1983 - 759-062/541

## DATE ILME