

REPORT DOC	UMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
T. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSIO	IN NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	1-11	794
4. TITLE (and Subtilie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
Characteristics o	f a Four Nozzle,	Master's Thesis
Slotted Mixing St	ack with Slanted	September 1983
Shroud, Gas Educt	or System	5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
. AUTHOR(a)	·······	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
Nolie Delton Prit	chard, Jr.	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASI
Noval Bostanadust	a Sahaal	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Monterey, Califor	e Senoor nia 93943	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE HAVE		
- SAMINAPPICE NAME A		September 1983
Naval Postgraduat	e School	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Monterey, Califor	nia 93943	123
- MONITORING AGENCY NAME &	AUDR <b>ESS(</b> II different from Controlling Off	TICE) 13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/ DOWNGRADING
Approved for publ	the Report) ic release; distribu the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 differe	tion unlimited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/	this Report) ic release; distribu the ebetract entered in Block 20, if differe	tion unlimited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/	this Report) ic release; distribu <sup>-</sup> the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 differe	tion unlimited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/     DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/	this Report) ic release; distribu <sup>-</sup> the ebetract entered in Block 20, if differe	tion unlimited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/	ic release; distribu <sup>.</sup> ic release; distribu <sup>.</sup> the obstract entered in Block 30, 11 differe	tion unlimited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/	this Report) ic release; distribu <sup>-</sup> the ebstract entered in Block 20, if differe	tion unlimited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	this Report) ic release; distribu <sup>-</sup> the ebstract entered in Block 20, if differe	tion unlimited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Approved for publ     J. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse	ic release; distribu ic release; distribu the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if differe elde if necessary and identify by block no	tion unlimited
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/ Approved for publ</li> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (»/</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud</li> </ul>	this Report) ic release; distribut the abstract entered in Block 20, if different elde if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl	tion unlimited me from Report) mber) Coefficient broud
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/ Approved for publ</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings</li> </ul>	the Report) ic release; distribut the abstract entered in Block 20, if different elde if necessary and identify by block nu Pumping Slant Sl	tion unlimited me from Report) meter) Coefficient hroud
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings Tilted Nozzles	the Report) ic release; distribut the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different elde II necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl	tion unlimited mithom Report) moder) Coefficient hroud
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ      OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/      SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES      KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse     Mixing Stack     Shroud     Diffuser Rings     Tilted Nozzles	this Report) ic release; distribut the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different elde if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl	tion unlimited me (rom Report) Coefficient hroud
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/ Approved for publ</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings Tilted Nozzles</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse &gt; Cold flow tes</li> </ul>	the Report) ic release; distribut the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ elde if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl ide if necessary and identify by block no ts were conducted on	mber) Coefficient hroud
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/ Approved for publ</li> <li>OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings Tilted Nozzles</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse &gt; Cold flow tes system to evaluat</li> </ul>	the Report) ic release; distribut the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different elde 11 necessary and identify by block nu Pumping Slant Sl ide 11 secondary and identify by block nu ts were conducted on e the system's perfor	tion unlimited me from Report) Coefficient hroud
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/ Approved for publ      OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*/      SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES      KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse     Mixing Stack     Shroud     Diffuser Rings     Tilted Nozzles      ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse	the Report) ic release; distribut the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 differ elde 11 necessary and identify by block nu Pumping Slant Sl ide 11 necessary and identify by block nu ts were conducted on e the system's perfor ack with slanted shre	tion unlimited metron Report) Coefficient hroud a four nozzle gas eductor rmance,utilizing a bud and diffuser rings.
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Approved for publ</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings Tilted Nozzles</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse Cold flow tes system to evaluat slotted mixing st The stack length- 15 and 2 25 The</li> </ul>	the Report) ic release; distribut the abstract entered in Block 20, if different ende if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl ide if necessary and identif by block n	tion unlimited mithom Report) Coefficient hroud a four nozzle gas eductor rmance,utilizing a oud and diffuser rings. L/D; evaluated were mutod with a matric of
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/ Approved for publ</li> <li>OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT («/</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings Tilted Nozzles</li> <li>ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse &gt; Cold flow tes system to evaluat slotted mixing st The stack length- 1.5 and 2.25. Th total area of pri-</li> </ul>	the Report) ic release; distribut the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different elde 11 necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl ide 11 necessary and identify by block no pumping block no pumping block no pumping block no pump	tion unlimited microm Report) Coefficient hroud noor) a four nozzle gas eductor rmance,utilizing a oud and diffuser rings. L/D; evaluated were ructed with a ratio of mixing stack of 2.5
<ul> <li>Approved for publ</li> <li>Approved for publ</li> <li>OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Supplementary of the stack length- 1.5 and 2.25. The total area of print One set of straig</li> </ul>	the Report) ic release; distribut the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different elde if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl identifications of the system's perform ack with slanted shreat to-diameter ratios (. If e nozzles were constru- mary flow to area of ht nozzles and anoth	tion unlimited metrom Report) Coefficient hroud
<ul> <li>Approved for publ</li> <li>Approved for publ</li> <li>OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings Tilted Nozzles</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse &gt; Cold flow tes system to evaluat slotted mixing st The stack length- 1.5 and 2.25. Th total area of pri One set of straig were used. Secon</li> </ul>	the Report) ic release; distribut the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different elde if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl ide if necessary and identify by block no pumping Slant Sl identify by block no pumping Sl identify by bloc	tion unlimited minom Report) Coefficient hroud a four nozzle gas eductor rmance,utilizing a bud and diffuser rings. L/D; evaluated were ructed with a ratio of mixing stack of 2.5. her sot, tilted at 15 degree sping coefficients, and
Approved for publ Approved for publ OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse Mixing Stack Shroud Diffuser Rings Tilted Nozzles ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse Cold flow tes system to evaluat slotted mixing st The stack length- 1.5 and 2.25. Th total area of pri One set of straig were used. Secon	the Report) ic release; distribut the ebstreet entered in Block 20, if different elde if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl ide if necessary and identify by block no Pumping Slant Sl ide if necessary and identify by block no block with slanted on to the system's perfor ack with slanted shred to-diameter ratios(. If e nozzles were constr mary flow to area of ht nozzles, and anoth dary and tertiary pumi- 'NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	tion unlimited metrom Report) Coefficient hroud

•\_-

### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20.Abstract (contd)

mixing stack pressure distributions were used to evaluate the slant shrouded mixing stacks.

The pumping performance of the four straight nozzle shrouded system was found to be comparable to previously tested unshrouded models, showing no specific advantages. The pumping performance of the tilted nozzles and slanted shroud showed an improvement over the straight shroud model, with a noticeable increase in the tertiary pumping.

NTIS CRA&L	
DTIC TAB	
Unannounced 🗌	
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	1
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	1 1 2
Dist Special	
A-1	

PROPERTY FOR SALES IN THE PARTY AND A PARTY AND

S-N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Characteristics of a Four Nozzle, Slotted Mixing Stack with Slanted Shroud, Gas Eductor System

Ъy

Nolie Delton Pritchard, Jr. Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy B.A., Arkansas College, 1974

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the '

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL September 1983

Author:

Approved by:

Acathan Interhand .
and I main
R. Marto
Chairman, Department of Mechanical Engineering
/ Dean of Science and Engineering

## ABSTRACT

Cold flow tests were conducted on a four nozzle gas eductor system to evaluate the system's performance utilizing a slotted mixing stack with slanted shroud and diffuser rings. The stack length-to-diameter ratios, L/D, evaluated were 1.5 and 2.25. The nozzles were constructed with a ratio of total area of primary flow to area of mixing stack of 2.5. One set of straight nozzles, and another set tilted at  $15^{\circ}$ were used. Secondary and tertiary pumping coefficients, and mixing stack pressure distributions were used to evaluate the slant shrouded mixing stacks.

The pumping performance of the four straight nozzle shrouded system was found to be comparable to previously tested unshrouded models, showing no specific advantages. The pumping performance of the tilted nozzles and slanted shroud showed an improvement over the straight shroud model, with a noticeable increase in the tertiary pumping.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	5
II.	THEORY AND ANALYSIS	20
	A. MODELING TECHNIQUE	!1
	B. ONE-DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS OF A SIMPLE EDUCTOR 2	!1
	C. NON-DIMENSIONAL FORM OF THE SIMPLE EDUCTOR	
	EQUATION	29
	D. EXPERIMENTAL CORRELATION	2
III.	MODEL GEOMETRIES	13
	A. MIXING STACK CONFIGURATIONS AND SHROUDS 3	3
	B. ANGLED PRIMARY NOZZLE AND BASE PLATE	
	CONFIGURATION AND GEOMETRIES	5
IV.	EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS	38
	A. PRIMARY AIR SYSTEM	8
	B. SECONDARY AIR PLENUM 4	.0
	C. TERTIARY AIR PLENUM 4	.2
	D. INSTRUMENTATION	2
	E. ALIGNMENT	,4
۷.	EXPERIMENTAL METHOD	.5
	A. PUMPING COEFFICIENT 4	.5
	B. INDUCED AIR FLOW 4	,7
	C. PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE MIXING STACK 4	.7
	D. MIXING STACK ROTATION ANGLE 4	.8
VI.	DISCUSSION OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS 4	.9

	A.	L/D	=	1.	5 S	STR	AI	GH	Т	NC	ZZ	LE	s,	1	5-	20	N	10Z	ZI	ES.	5	٠	•	٠	50
	Β.	L/D	=	2.	25	ST	'RA	IG	HT	N	οz	ZI	ES	,	15	-2	20	NO	ZZ	LE	s	•	•	•	51
VII.	CONC	LUSI	ON	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	53
VIII.	RECO	MMEN	IDA	TI	ONS	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	54
APPEN	IDIX	A :	FC	RM	ULA	E	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	114
APPEN	DIX	B:	UN	CE	RTA	IN	TY	A	NA	Γλ	SI	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	117
LIST	OF F	REFER	EN	CE	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	119
INITI	IAL I	DISTR	RIB	UT	ION	ΓL	IS	т	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	121

# LIST OF TABLES

1.	Shrouded Stack $L/D = 1.5$ : Straight Nozzles	92
2.	Shrouded Stack $L/D = 1.5$ : 15-20 Nozzles	97
3.	Shrouded Stack L/D = 2.25: Straight Nozzles 1	02
4.	Shrouded Stack $L/D = 2.25$ : 15-20 Nozzles 1	07
5.	Secondary Pumping Coefficient Comparison	
	(Open to Environment)	12
6.	Tertiary Pumping Coefficient Comparison	
	(Open to Environment)	13

L

# LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Eductor Model Testing Facility
2.	Test Facility with Secondary and Tertiary Plenums 56
3.	Dimensions of Slotted Mixing Stack
4.	Schematic of L/D 1.5 - Shroud & Diffuser Rings 58
5.	Schematic of L/D 2.25 - Shroud & Diffuser Rings 59
6.	Simple Single Nozzle Eductor System 60
7.	Schematic of Shrouded Mixing Stack Gas Eductor
	with Angled Nozzles
8.	Isometric View of Slotted Mixing Stack 62
9.	Mixing Stack and Diffuser Rings 63
10.	Shroud and Diffuser Rings
11.	Dimensions of Primary Nozzles
12.	Angled Primary Nozzles and Base Plate
13.	Base Plate and Nozzle Rotation Angles 67
14.	Dimensions of the Four Nozzle Rotatable Base Plate 68
15.	Exterior of Secondary and Tertiary Plenums 69
16.	Instrumentation
17.	Schematic of Instrumentation
18.	Schematic of Instrumentation for Primary Air Flow
	Measurement
19.	Mixing Stack with Pressure Taps and Air Seal 73
20.	Sample Pumping Coefficient Flot
21.	Sample Mixing Stack Pressure Distribution Plot 75
22.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 1.5$ Straight Nozzles,
	PCD (Secondary)

23.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 1.5$ Straight Nozzles,
	PCD (Tertiary)
24.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 1.5$ Straight Nozzles,
	MSD
25.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 1.5 \ 15/20$ Nozzles,
	PCD (Secondary)
26.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 1.5 \ 15/20$ Nozzles,
	PCD (Tertiary)
27.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 1.5 \ 15/20$ Nozzles,
	MSD
28.	Performance Plot Comparison $L/D = 1.5$ , 15/20
	Nozzles, PCD ( Secondary )
29.	Performance Plot Comparison $L/D = 1.5$ , $15/20$
	Nozzles, PCD (Tertiary)
30.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 2.25$ , Straight Nozzles,
	PCD (Secondary)
31.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 2.25$ Straight Nozzles,
	PCD (Tertiary)
32.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 2.25$ Straight Nozzles,
	MSD
33.	Performance Plot Comparison $L/D = 2.5$ , Straight
	Nozzles, PCD (Secondary)
34.	Performance Plot Comparison $L/D = 2.5$ , Straight
	Nozzles, PCD (Tertiary)
35.	Performance Plots for $L/D = 2.25 \ 15/20 \ Nozzles$ ,
	PCD (Secondary)

 $\sim 5$ 

1628 SU205

DUCERCE

# NOMENCLATURE

.

English Letter Symbols

÷.,

A	Area (in. <sup>2</sup> )
с	Sonic velocity (ft/sec)
С	Coefficient of discharge
D	Diameter (in.)
Fa	Thermal expansion factor
Ffr	Wall skin-friction force (lbf)
g <sub>c</sub>	Proportionality factor in Newton's Second Law
-	$(g_c = 32.174 \ lbm-ft/lbf-sec^2)$
h	Enthalpy (Btu/lbm)
k	Ratio of specific heats
L	Length (in.c)
P	Pressure (in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)
Pa	Atmospheric pressure (in. Hg)
Pv	Velocity head (in. $H_2^{0}$ )
PMS	Static pressure along the length of the mixing
	stack (in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)
R	Gas constant for air ( $R = 53.34$ ft-lbf/lbm-R)
S	Entropy (Btu/1bm-R)
S	Distance from primary nozzle exit plane to mixing
	stack entrance plane (in.)
T	Absolute temperature (R)

u Internal energy (Btu/lbm)

- U Velocity (ft/sec)
- v Specific volume (ft<sup>3</sup>/lbm)
- W Mass flow rate (lbm/sec)
- Y Expansion factor

## Dimensionless Groupings

A *	Ratio of secondary flow area to primary flow area
AR	Area ratio
f	Friction factor
K	Flow coefficient
Ke	Kinetic energy correction factor
К <sub>m</sub>	Momentum correction factor at the mixing stack exit
К <sub>р</sub>	Momentum correction factor at the primary nozzle
-	exit ·
L/D	Ratio of mixing stack length to mixing stack diameter
М	Mach number
P*	Pressure coefficient
PMS*	Mixing stack pressure coefficient
Re	Reynolds number
S/D	Standoff; ratio of distance from primary nozzle
	exit plane to entrance plane of the mixing stack
	(S) to the diameter of the mixing stack (D)
T*	Absolute temperature ratio of the secondary flow
	to primary flow
ጣይ ጣጣይ	Abaluta tomponature of the tentiony flow to primery

 $T^*_t$ ,  $TT^*$  Absolute temperature of the tertiary flow to primary flow

- W\*, W\* Secondary mass flow rate to primary mass flow rate ratio
- W\*, WT\* Tertiary mass flow to primary mass flow rate ratio  $p^*$  Induced flow density to primary flow density ratio

## Greek Letter Symbols

- μ Absolute viscosity (lbf-sec/ft<sup>2</sup>)
   ρ Density (lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>)
   θ Primary nozzle tilt angle
   φ Primary nozzle rotation angle
- $\psi$  Nozzle base plate rotation angle
- β Ratio of ASME long radius metering nozzle throat diameter to inlet diameter

## Subscripts

0	Section within secondary air plenum
1	Section at primary nozzle exit
2	Section at mixing stack exit
f	Film or wall cooling
m	Mixed flow or mixing stack
or	Orifice
p	Primary
S	Secondary
t	Tertiary (Cooling)
u	Uptake
W	Mixing stack inside wall

# Computer Tabulated Data

DPOR	Pressure differential across the orifice (in. $H_2^{0}$ )
POR	Static pressure at the orifice (in. $H_2^0$ )
PSEC	Static pressure at the mixing stack entrance (in. $H_2^{(0)}$ )
PTER	Static pressure in the tertiary air plenum (in. $H_2^{0}$ )
PUPT	Static pressure in the uptake (in. $H_2^{0}$ )
TAMB	Ambient air temperature ( $^{\circ}$ F)
TOR	Air temperature at the orifice ( $^{\circ}$ F)
TUPT	Temperature of air in the uptake ( $^{\circ}$ F)
MM	Average velocity in the mixing stack (ft/sec)
UP	Primary flow velocity at primary nozzle
UUPT	Primary flow velocity in uptake (ft/sec)
UPT MACH	H Uptake Mach number
UE.	Average velocity at the mixing stack exit (ft/sec)
WM	Mass flow rate from mixing stack (lbm/sec)
WP	Mass flow from primary nozzles (lbm/sec)
WS	Secondary mass flow rate (lbm/sec)
WT	Tertiary mass flow rate (lbm/sec)

## I. INTRODUCTION

The current shipbuilding trend of today's Navy is leading to a large inventory of gas-turbine powered ships. Their lower manning requirements, high horsepower to specific weight, and competitive specific fuel consumption have made the gasturbine power plant extremely attractive for present and future naval ship propulsion applications. Gas turbines require large amounts of cooling air in addition to that required for combustion. As a result, air-fuel ratios of four to five times those of conventional power plants are required. Large quantities of hot exhaust gases are therefore generated. The exhaust gas temperatures often run as high as twice those for conventional plants. These exhaust gases contribute to greater thermal and corrosive damages to the mast. superstructure and electronics equipment mounted thereon. Also, the hot plume can cause aircraft control problems for helicopter operations, and generate a high infrared signature from both the gases and the external surfaces of the stack.

The temperature and volume of the exhaust gases is set by the gas turbine operating conditions. Therefore some method must be employed to cool the gases and counter the problems associated with the exhaust. Several methods have been employed to recover waste heat from gas turbines such as the

waste heat boiler and the RACER (Rankine Cycle Energy Recovery) system. A by-product of these systems is a reduction in exhaust gas temperatures.

A simple, effective method of reducing the exhaust gas temperature is to employ the use of a gas eductor. This system can produce the desired effects with no external system connections and has no moving parts. The gas eductor system, properly dimensioned, produces turbulent mixing of the exhaust gases and secondary or ambient air, thereby reducing the overall exit temperature of the exhaust gases. The gas eductor system is presently in use on several naval vessels. A positive feature of gas eductor systems is that they can be used in conjunction with waste heat recovery systems, such as the RACER system, with minor modifications.

This thesis is a further extension of research conducted by Ellin {Ref. 1}, Moss {Ref. 2}, Lemke and Staehli {Ref. 3}, Shaw {Ref. 4}, Ryan {Ref. 5}, Davis {Ref. 6}, Drucker {Ref. 7}, and Boykin {Ref. 8} on the cold flow eductor model testing facility.

The eductor model testing facility constructed by Ellin consists of an intake, centrifugal compressor, primary flow nozzles, mixing stack, and a means to control and measure the primary and secondary air flows. Figures 1 and 2 show the general test model layout and terminology used in the model.

The primary air flow in the testing facility represents a gas turbine's hot exhaust gas. The secondary air flow is ambient air induced into the mixing stack entrance by the primary air flow utilizing the gas eductor concept. From Ellin's study of multiple vice single nozzle flow systems, it was determined that four primary flow nozzles were preferable to either three or five nozzle systems. Ellin also determined that the nozzle length had little if any effect on the overall performance of the gas eductor system. He then verified the independence of the one-dimensional gas eductor modeling correlation parameters used on the primary flow rate or uptake Mach number. His research showed that the one-dimensional analysis provided good correlation of data for Mach numbers from 50 to 145 percent of the design Mach number of 0.062.

Moss investigated the effects of the stand-off distance (the distance between the exit plane of the primary flow nozzles and the entrance plane of the mixing stack). For non-dimensional analysis, the stand-off distance, S, is divided by the mixing stack diameter, D, to give the S/D ratio. Moss determined that the optimum S/D ratio was 0.5, which maximizes eductor pumping. He also explored the effects of adding a conical transition piece at the mixing stack entrance. His experiments showed that the transition piece produced a slightly degraded system performance.

Lemke and Staehli conducted research utilizing various mixing stack geometric configurations and area ratios of primary nozzles. The area ratio for nozzles is defined as the cross-sectional area of the mixing stack divided by the total cross-sectional area of the primary nozzles. The results of their research showed that decreasing the nozzle area ratio from 3.0 to 2.5 decreased back pressure but also decreased the eductor's pumping ability. They investigated the effects of adding a solid diffuser, a two-ring diffuser, and a three-ring diffuser to the exit region of the mixing stack. They utilized mixing stack length-to-diameter ratios of 2.5 and 3.0. They demonstrated with these various geometries that the pumping can be improved without an increase in back pressure and that sufficient tertiary air flow can be produced to provide the potential for stack cooling and additional mixing air.

Davis conducted research to study the effects of tilting and rotating the primary nozzles on the eductor pumping ability and stack turbulent mixing. He conducted tests on various tilt and rotation combinations with the optimum combination being a 15 degree tilt angle and a 20 degree rotation angle. He maintained the nozzle area ratio at 2.5 and the stand-off ratio (S/D) at 0.5. Davis then tested the effects of shortening the mixing stack length using L/D ratios of 1.75, 1.5, and 1.25. His research indicated that the same overall performance as a straight nozzle eductor could be realized using a much reduced L/D with tilted and angled nozzles.

18

Drucker continued the research begun by Davis on the tilted-angled nozzles and short mixing stacks by using a slotted mixing stack and adding a shroud and diffuser rings for cooling potential.

The object of this thesis is to investigate the effects of a slanted shroud and diffuser arrangement when placed on a slotted mixing stack. The mixing stac<sup>1</sup>, shroud and diffuser rings combined provided L/D ratios of 1.5 and 2.25. The stack, shroud and diffuser are dimensionally shown in Figures 3, 4, and 5. The shroud and diffuser ring arrangement was tested to determine the effects on pumping capability, both secondary and tertiary. Two different sets of primary nozzles were used. The first was maintained at a 15 degree tilt angle and a 20 degree rotation angle, a result from Davis' and Druckers' research. The second set tested were straight nozzles (no tilt, no rotation). The standoff ratio (S/D) was maintained at 0.5.

19

## II. THEORY AND ANALYSIS

This thesis is a further extension of the work conducted by Ellin, Moss, Lemke and Staehli, Ryan, Davis, Drucker and Boykin {Ref. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 and 8} and uses the same onedimensional analysis of a simple eductor system. The geometries tested and the data acquired in this investigation are similar to that of Ellin, and the error analysis performed by him is applicable for this investigation as well. The dimensionless parameters controlling the flow phenomena used previously were also used in the present research along with the basic means of data analysis and presentation. Dynamic similarity was maintained by using uptake Mach number similarity to establish the gas eductor model's primary flow rate.

The analysis presented here is for an eductor model with primary, secondary and tertiary air flows. Systems with tertiary flow for film or wall cooling air have been nondimensionalized with the same base parameters as the secondary air flow and have been calculated using the same onedimensional analysis. This allows a simple comparison of tabulated and graphical results. Parameters pertaining to the secondary systems are subscripted with an "s" and those relating to the tertiary are subscripted with a "t".

## A. MODELING TECHNIQUE

Dynamic similarity between the models tested and an actual prototype was maintained by using the same primary air flow uptake Mach number. For the primary air flow uptake Mach number used, (0.062), based on the average flow properties within the uptake and the hydraulic diameter of the uptake, the flow is turbulent ( $\text{Re}>10^5$ ). As a consequence of this, momentum exchange is predominant over shear interaction, and the kinetic and internal energy terms are more influential on the flow than are viscous forces. It can also be shown that the Mach number represents the ratio of kinetic energy of a flow to its internal energy and is, therefore, a more significant parameter than the Reynolds number in describing the primary flow through the uptakes.

## B. ONE-DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS OF A SIMPLE EDUCTOR

The theoretical analysis of an eductor may be approached in two ways. One method attempts to analyze the details of the mixing process of the primary and secondary air streams as it takes place inside the mixing stack. This requires an interpretation of the mixing phenomenon which, when applied to a multiple nozzle system, becomes extremely complex. The other method, which was chosen here, analyzes the overall performance of the eductor system. Since details of the mixing process are not considered in this method, an analysis of the simple single nozzle eductor system shown in Figure 6

leads to a determination of the dimensionless groupings governing the flow. To avoid repetition with previous reports, only the main parameters and assumptions will be presented here. A complete derivation of the analysis used can be found in References {1} and {9}.

The driving or primary fluid, flowing at a rate  $W_p$  and at a velocity  $U_p$ , discharges into the throat of the mixing stack, inducing a secondary flow rate of  $W_s$  at velocity  $U_s$ . The primary and secondary flows are mixed and leave the mixing stack at a flow rate of  $W_m$  and a bulk-average velocity of  $U_m$ .

The one-dimensional flow analysis of the simple eductor system described depends on the simultaneous solution of the continuity, momentum and energy equations coupled with the equation of state, all compatible with specific boundary conditions.

The idealizations made for simplifying the analysis are as follows:

1. The flow is steady state and incompressible.

2. Adiabatic flow exists throughout the eductor with isentropic flow of the secondary stream from the plenum (at Section 0) to the throat or entrance of the mixing stack (at Section 1) and irreversible adiabatic mixing of the primary and secondary streams occurs in the mixing stack (between Sections 1 and 2).

3. Isentropic flow of the tertiary flow exists from the tertiary plenum to the minimum area at Section 2, with irreversible adiabatic mixing of the flows between section 2 and 3.

4. The static pressure across the flow at the entrance and exit planes of the mixing-stack (at Sections 1, 2 and 3) is uniform.

5. At the mixing-stack entrance (Section 1) the primary flow velocity  $U_p$  and temperature  $T_p$  are uniform across the primary stream, and the secondary flow velocity  $U_s$  and temperature  $T_s$  are uniform across the secondary stream, but  $U_p$  does not equal  $U_s$ , and  $T_p$  does not equal  $T_s$ .

6. At Section 2, the mixing primary-secondary air has an average velocity,  $U_2$ , and an average temperature,  $T_2$ .

7. Incomplete mixing of the primary, secondary and tertiary streams in the mixing stack is accounted for by the use of a non-dimensional momentum correction factor  $K_m$  which relates the actual momentum rate to the pseudo-rate based on the bulk-average velocity and density, and by the use of a non-dimensional kinetic energy correction factor  $K_e$  which relates the actual kinetic energy rate to the pseudo-rate based on the bulk-average velocity and density.

8. Both gas flows behave as perfect gases.

9. Changes in gravitational potential energy are negligible.

10. Pressure changes  $P_{os}$  to  $P_1$ ,  $P_{ot}$  to  $P_2$ ,  $P_1$  to  $P_2$  and  $P_2$  to  $P_3$  (= $P_a$ ) are small relative to the static pressure so that the gas density is essentially dependent upon temperature and atmospheric pressure.

11. Wall friction in the mixing stack is accounted for with the conventional pipe friction factor term based on the bulk-average flow velocity and the mixing stack wall area  $A_w$ .

The following parameters, defined here for clarify, will be used in the following development.

Based on the continuity equation, the conservation of the mass principle for steady flow yields

 $W_{\rm m} = W_{\rm p} + W_{\rm s} + W_{\rm t} \tag{1}$ 

where

 $W_{p} = \rho_{p} U_{p} A_{p}$ (1a)  $W_{s} = \rho_{s} U_{s} A_{s}$   $W_t = \rho_t U_t A_t$ 

 $W_m = \rho_m U_m A_m$ 

All of the above velocity and density terms, with the exception of  $\rho_m$  and  $U_m$ , are defined without ambiguity by the virtue of idealizations (3) and (4) above. Combining equations (1) and (1a) above, the bulk average velocity at the exit plane of the mixing stack becomes

$$U_{\rm m} = \frac{W_{\rm s} + W_{\rm t} + W_{\rm p}}{\rho_{\rm m} A_{\rm m}}$$
(1b)

where  $A_m$  is fixed by the geometric configuration and

$$\rho_{\rm m} = \frac{P_{\rm a}}{RT_{\rm m}}$$
(2)

where  $T_m$  is calculated as the bulk average temperature from the energy equation (9) below. The momentum equation stems from Newton's second and third laws of motion and is the conventional force and momentum-rate balance in fluid mechanics.

$$K_{p}\left(\frac{W_{p}U_{p}}{g_{c}}\right) + \left(\frac{W_{s}U_{s}}{g_{c}}\right) + \left(\frac{W_{t}U_{t}}{g_{c}}\right) + P_{1}A_{1} = K_{m}\left(\frac{W_{m}U_{m}}{g_{c}}\right) + P_{2}A_{2} + F_{fr}$$
 (3)

Note the introduction of idealizations (3) and (5). To account for possible non-uniform velocity profiles across the primary nozzle exit, the momentum correction factor  $K_p$ is introduced here. It is defined in a manner similar to that of  $K_m$  and by idealization (4), and supported by work conducted by Moss,  $K_p$  is set equal to unity.  $K_p$  is carried through this analysis only to illustrate its effect on the final result. The momentum correction factor for the mixing stack exit is defined by the relation.

$$K_{m} = \frac{1}{W_{m}U_{m}} \int_{0}^{A_{m}} U_{2}^{2} \rho_{2} dA$$
 (4)

where  $U_{m}$  is evaluated as the bulk-average velocity from equation (1b). The wall skin friction factor  $F_{fr}$  can be related to the flow stream velocity by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{fr}} = \mathbf{f} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \left( \frac{\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{m}}^{2} \rho_{\mathbf{m}}}{2_{\mathbf{gc}}} \right)$$
(5)

using idealization (9). As a reasonably good approximation for turbulent flow, the friction factor may be calculated from the Reynolds number

$$f = 0.046 (Re_m)^{-0.2}$$
. (6)

26

Applying the conservation of energy principle to the steady flow system in the mixing stack between the entrance and exit planes,

$$W_{p}(h_{p} + \frac{U_{p}^{2}}{2g_{c}}) + W_{s}(h_{s} + \frac{U_{s}^{2}}{2g_{c}}) + W_{t}(h_{t} + \frac{U_{t}^{2}}{2g_{c}})$$

$$= W_{m}(h_{m} + K_{e}\frac{U_{m}^{2}}{2g_{c}})$$
(7)

neglecting potential energy of position changes (idealization 7). Note the introduction of the kinetic energy correction factor  $K_{\rho}$ , which is defined by the relation

$$K_{e} = \frac{1}{W_{m}U_{m}^{2}} \int_{0}^{A_{m}} U_{2}^{3}\rho_{2}dA \qquad (8)$$

It may be demonstrated that for the purpose of evaluating the mixed mean flow temperature  $T_m$ , the kinetic energy terms may be neglected to yield

$$h_{m} = \frac{W_{p}}{W_{m}}h_{p} + \frac{W_{s}}{W_{m}}h_{s} + \frac{W_{t}}{W_{m}}h_{t}$$
(9)

where  $T = \emptyset$  (h<sub>m</sub>) only, with the idealization (6).

The energy equation for the isentropic flow of the secondary air from the plenum to the entrance of the mixing stack may be shown to reduce to

$$\frac{P_{os} - P_{s}}{\rho_{s}} = \frac{U_{s}^{2}}{2g_{c}}$$
(10)

similarly, the energy equation for the tertiary flow reduces

to

$$\frac{P_{o} - P_{t}}{\rho_{t}} = \frac{U_{t}^{2}}{2g_{c}}$$

The previous equations may be combined to yield the vacuum produced by the eductor action in either the secondary or tertiary air plenums. Letting

 $K_3 = K_m, \rho_3 = \rho_m, W_3 = W_m, P_3 = P_a$ and making the additional assumptions that  $A_3 = A_2, A_2 \approx A_1$ , and that the friction  $(F_{fr2,3})$  is negligible, the vacuum produced for the secondary air plenum is

$$P_{a} - P_{os} = \frac{1}{g_{c}A_{m}} \left(K_{p} \frac{W_{p}^{2}}{A_{p}\rho_{p}} + \frac{W_{s}^{2}}{A_{s}\rho_{s}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_{m}}{A_{s}}\right) - \frac{W_{m}^{2}}{A_{m}\rho_{m}} \left(K_{m} + \frac{f}{2} \frac{A_{w}}{A_{m}}\right)\right).$$
(11)

It is understood that  $A_p$  and  $\rho_p$  apply to the primary flow at the entrance to the mixing stack,  $A_s$  and  $\rho_s$  apply to the secondary flow at the same section, and  $A_m$  and  $\rho_m$  apply to the mixed flow at the exit of the mixing stack system.  $P_a$  is atmospheric pressure, and is equal to the pressure at the exit of the mixing stack.  $A_w$  is the wall area of the inside of the mixing stack.

For the tertiary air plenum, making the same approximations as used in equation (11), the vacuum produced is

$$P_{a} - P_{ot} = \frac{1}{g_{c}A_{m}} \{ K_{2} \frac{(W_{p}+W_{s})^{2}}{\rho_{2}A_{m}}^{2} + \frac{W_{t}^{2}}{\rho_{t}A_{t}} (1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_{m}}{A_{t}}) - K_{m} \frac{W_{m}}{\rho_{m}A_{m}} \}$$
(11a)

where  $K_2$  is the momentum correction factor at section 2.

C. NON-DIMENSIONAL FORM OF THE SIMPLE EDUCTOR EQUATION

In order to provide the criteria of similarity of flows with geometric similarity, the non-dimensional parameters which govern the flow must be determined. The means chosen for determining these parameters was to normalize equations (11) and (11a) with the following dimensionless groupings.



a pressure coefficient which compares the pumped head  $P_a - P_{cs}$  for the secondary flow to the driving head  $U_{2g_c}^2$  of the primary flow



a pressure coefficient which compares the pumped head  $P_a - P_{os}$  for the tertiary flow to the driving head  $U_p^2$  of the primary flow  $\frac{p}{2g_a}$ 



a flow rate ratio, secondary to primary mass flow rate

a flow rate ratio, tertiary to primary mass flow rate

an absolute temperature ratio, secondary to primary

 $TT* = \frac{T}{T}$ 

an absolute temperature ratio, tertiary to primary

 $\rho_{s}^{*=} \frac{\rho_{s}}{\rho_{p}}$ 

a flow density ratio of the secondary to primary flows. (Note  $P_s \approx P_p$  and  $P_t \approx P_p$  also since the fluids are considered perfect gases,

$$\rho_{s}^{*} = \frac{1}{T_{s}} = \frac{1}{T_{s}^{*}}$$
)

 $\rho_t^* = \frac{\rho_t}{\rho_p}$ 

a flow density ratio of the tertiary or film cooling flow to primary flows. (Note that since the fluids are considered perfect gases.

$$p_t^* = \frac{T_p}{T_t} = \frac{1}{T_t^*})$$

 $A_s^* = \frac{A_s}{A_p}$  an area ratio of secondary flow area to primary flow area

$$A_t^* = \frac{A_t}{A_p}$$
 an area ratio of tertiary flow area to primary flow area

With these non-dimensional groupings, equations (11) and (11a) can be rewritten in dimensionless form. Since both equations follow the same format, only the results for the secondary air plenum will be presented here.

$$\frac{P^{*}}{T^{*}} = 2 \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} ((K_{p} - \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} \beta) - W^{*}(K_{p} + T^{*}) \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} \beta + W^{*2}T^{*} (\frac{1}{A^{*}}(K_{p} - \frac{A_{m}}{2A^{*}A_{p}}) - \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} \beta))$$
(12)

where

ととれた。 ないには 聞いた ちののの 年時

$$\beta = K_{\rm m} + \frac{f}{2} \frac{A_{\rm w}}{A_{\rm m}}$$

This may be rewritten as

$$\frac{P^*}{T^*} = C_1 + C_2 W^* (T+1) + C_3 W^* T^*$$
(13)

where

$$C_{1} = 2 \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} (K_{p} - \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} \beta),$$

$$C_{2} = - (\frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}})^{2} \beta, \text{ and}$$

$$C_{3} = 2 \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} (\frac{1}{A^{*}} - \frac{A_{m}}{2A^{*}A_{p}} \beta - \frac{A_{p}}{A_{m}} \beta).$$

As can be seen from equation (13),

 $P^* = F(W^*, T^*).$ 

The additional dimensionless quantities listed below were used to correlate the static pressure distribution down the length of the mixing stack.

 $PMS^* = \frac{\frac{PMS}{\rho_s}}{\frac{U_p}{2g_c}}$ a pressure coefficient which compares the pumping head  $\frac{PMS}{\rho_s}$  for the secondary flow to  $\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_s}$ the driving head  $\frac{U_p^2}{\frac{D}{2g_c}}$  of the primary flow,  $\frac{\frac{p}{2g_c}}{\frac{2g_c}{2g_c}}$ where PMS = static pressure along the mixing stack length  $\frac{X}{D}$ ratio of the axial distance from the mixing stack entrance to the diameter of the mixing stack.

## D. EXPERIMENTAL CORRELATION

For the geometries and flow rates investigated, it was confirmed by Ellin and Moss {Ref. 1,2} that a satisfactory correlation of the variables P\*, T\*, and W\* takes the form

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}^*}{\mathbf{T}^*} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{W}^* \mathbf{T}^{*n}) \tag{1}$$

where the exponent 'n' was determined to be equal to 0.44. The details of the determination of n = 0.44 as the correlating exponent for the geometric parameters of the gas eductor model being tested is given in Reference {1}. To obtain a gas eductor model's pumping characteristic curve, the experimental data is correlated and analyzed by using equation (1), that is, P\*/T\* is plotted as a function of  $W*T*^{0.44}$ . Variations in the model's geometry will change the pumping ability, which is evaluated by the plot of equation (1). For ease of discussion,  $W*T*^{0.44}$  will be referred to as the pumping coefficient in this report. Similarly,  $WT**TT^{0.44}$  will be referred to as the film cooling or tertiary pumping coefficient.

## III. MODEL GEOMETRIES

The gas eductor system in this report made use of a single primary flow uptake with a cluster of four primary nozzles, one set of straight nozzles, and another set tilted at 15 degrees, within a rotatable base plate. Slotted, cylindrical mixing stacks of two lengths were used, with slanted (conical) shrouds and diffuser rings.

#### A. MIXING STACK CONFIGURATIONS AND SHROUDS

The main body of this research was to study the effects of mixing stacks with slanted shrouds and diffuser rings, Figure 7. Initial investigations utilized mixing stacks with straight (concentric-cylindrical) mixing stacks and shrouds. The latest geometry tested by Drucker {7}, had an L/D ratio of 1.5 using tilted and angled nozzles.

The mixing stack used along with the shroud and diffuser rings was manufactured from nominally 12 inch O.D. and 11.7 inch I.D. PVC agriculture water irrigation pipe. The stack was slotted with rectangular shaped slots which repeated every forty-five degrees as seen in Figures 8 and 9. Exact stack dimensions are given in Figure 3. Two shroud lengths were tested to evaluate film cooling much like the type evaluated by Lemke and Staehli {Ref. 3}. Unlike the Lemke and Staehli shroud, the diffuser rings were attached to the shroud and not the stack. The first shroud-diffuser ring

combination was manufactured from 1/16 inch steel, cut and rolled to the desired diameters. Spacing between the shroud and stack at the top portion of the stack is .266 inch, the shroud is angled away from the stack at  $10^{\circ}$  to a maximum distance of 1.04 inch and then angled toward the stack at an angle of  $10^{\circ}$  to a distance of .523 inch. This configuration is repeated for the length of the stack. (12.125 inches for the L/D 1.5 stack/shroud combination and 20.5 inches for the L/D 2.25 stack/shroud combination) The five concentric diffuser rings are positioned to give an effective diffuser angle of 10°. The diffuser angle is measured from the inside diameter edge of the stack to the inside edge of the fifth diffuser ring. The individual rings are slanted outward at an angle of  $10^{\circ}$  from the vertical giving the appearance of the base of inverted cone on the top of the mixing stack. A detailed drawing of the stack and shroud can be seen in Figures 4 and 5. A photograph of the shroud and diffuser rings can be seen in Figure 10.

Pressure taps were installed at 0.25 X/D increments (2.93 inch spacing) to provide data points for evaluating the stack pressure distribution. Small tubing (one-sixteenth of an inch I.D.) was fitted into the stack presenting very little resistance to the flow under the shroud.

# B. ANGLED PRIMARY NOZZLE AND BASE PLATE CONFIGURATION AND GEOMETRIES

The angled nozzle concept was first tested by Davis {Ref. 8}, and from his data the 15 degree tilt angle and 20 degree rotation angle were chosen as the optimum nozzle configuration for this research. The nozzles have a constant cross section while having the ability to be inclined and rotated about their centerline axis. The nozzle tilt angle,  $\theta$ , is the cant angle measured from the centerline of the straight nozzle to the centerline of the cant portion of the nozzle. Nozzle rotation,  $\theta$ , is a measure of the angle through which the nozzle is rotated inward toward the mixing stack centerline from a perpendicular to a radial line from the base plate center to the center of the nozzle. Figures 11 and 12 may provide a clearer vizualization of the nozzle configuration. The slanted nozzles were manufactured from clear, cast acrylic pipe with nominal 4.0 inch 0.D. and 3.625 inch I.D. which was machined to 3.7 inch I.D. for a nozzle area ratio of 2.5 for the four nozzle groups. The straight nozzles were manufactured from aluminum with the same characteristics as The angled nozzles were dimensioned so that the above. distance measured from the base to the centerline at the exit plane was euqal to that of the straight nozzle. (See Figure 11) This allowed alignment of the nozzles and mixing stack and setting the S/D ratio with the straight nozzles and not having to completely realign the system when the
angled nozzles are inserted. Similar materials were used in the construction of the nozzles and base plate so that with the use of tight tolerances and friction the nozzles were held in place even when the base plate was rotated. The angled nozzles and base plate are shown in Figures 13 and 14.

The nozzle base plate was constructed from acrylic plexiglass flat stock. Four recess holes were machined to accept the nozzles, and they were in turn machined to a 0.5 inch radius on the underside to present a smooth flow entrance region for the nozzles. The outer edge of the base plate was machined so that the whole base plate fit inside a matching aluminum base ring. The construction was such that the base plate could be rotated within the ring, primary flow pressure kept the two concentric surfaces mated which eliminated seals, and the base plate could not be ejected from the uptake by the considerable dynamic pressures associated with the high velocity primary air flow. Four symmetrically located locking cams allowed the base plate and installed nozzles to be locked in place. This was required for alignment procedures and to prevent rotation during initial start-up. Once the system was warmed up to operating conditions, the difference between thermal expansion factors for the ring and base plate allowed sufficient expansion to make the use of the locking cams unnecessary. In fact, rotation of the base plate could be difficult when the system was fully warmed up, and a dry teflon lubricant was used to help overcome this problem.

A third parameter was used for describing the base plate rotation. The base plate rotation angle,  $\psi$ , is defined as the angle of base plate rotation measured from the 90 degree point on the uptake transition piece as depicted in Figure 13. This parameter serves to give a general indication of the flow directions within the mixing stack due to the angled nozzles. The base plate's geometry and dimensions are given in Figure 14, and a photograph can be seen in Figure 12.

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

Air is supplied to the primary nozzles by means of a centrifugal compressor and associated ducting schematically illustrated in Figure 1. The mixing stack configuration being tested is placed inside an air plenum containing an airtight partition so that two separate air flows, secondary and tertiary, may be measured. The air plenum facilitates the accurate measurement of secondary and tertiary air flows by using ASME long radius flow nozzles.

## A. PRIMARY AIR SYSTEM

The circled numbers found in this section refer to circled locations on Figure 1. The primary air ducting is constructed of 16-guage steel with 0.635 cm (0.25 in.) thick steel flanges. The ducting sections were assembled using 0.635 cm (0.25 in) bolts with air drying sinicone rubber seals between the flanges of adjacent sections. Entrance to the inlet ducting 1 is from the exterior of the building through a 91.44 cm (3.0 ft) square to a 30.48 cm (1.0 ft) square reducer, each side of which has the curvature of a quarter ellipse. A transition section 2 then changes the 30.48 cm (1.0 ft) square section to a 35.31 cm (13.90 in) diameter circular section 3. This circular section runs approximately 9.14 m (30 ft) to the centrifugal compressor inlet.

A standard ASME square edged orifice (4) is located 15 diameters downstream of the entrance reducer and 11 diameters upstream of the centrifugal compressor inlet, thus insuring stability of flow at both the orifice and compressor inlet. Piezometer rings (5) are located one diameter upstream and one-half diameter downstream of the orifice. The duct section also contains a thermocouple just downstream of the orifice. Primary flow is measured by means of the standard ASME square edged orifice designed to the specifications given in the ASME power test code {Ref. 9}. The 17.55 cm (6.902 in) diameter orifice used was constructed out of 304 stainless steel 0.635 cm (0.25 in) thick. The inside diameter of the duct at the orifice is 35.31 cm (13.90 in) which yields a beta ( $\beta = d/D$ ) of 0.497. The orifice diameter was chosen to give the best performance in regard to pressure drop and pressure loss across the orifice for the primary air flow rate used (1.71 kd/sec (3.77 lbm/sec)).

The centrifugal compressor (7) used to provide primary air to the system is a Spencer Turbo Compressor, catalogue number 25100-H, rated at 6000 cfm at 2.5 psi back pressure. The compressor is driven by a three phase, 440 volt, 100 horsepower motor.

A manually operated sliding plate variable orifice (6) was designed to constrict the flow symmetrically and facilitate fine control of the primary air flow. During operation, the

butterfly valve (8), located at the compressor's discharge, provided adequate regulation of primary air flow, eliminating the necessity of using the sliding plate valve. The sliding plate valve was positioned in the wide-open position for all data runs.

On the compressor discharge side, immediately downstream of the butterfly valve, is a round to square transition 9followed by a 90 degree elbow 10 and a straight section duct 11. All ducting to this point is considered part of the fixed primary air supply system. A transition section 12 is fitted to this last square section which reduces the duct cross section to a circular section 29.72 cm (11.17 in) in diameter. This circular ducting tapers down to a diameter of 26.30 cm (11.5 in) to provide the primary air inlet to the eductor system being tested. For the single nozzle testing an additional transition piece was inserted, further reducing the diameter of the duct to 14.60 cm (5.75 in). The transition is located far enough upstream of the model to insure that the flow reaching the model is fully developed.

### B. SECONDARY AIR PLENUM

The secondary air plenum, shown in Figures 1, 2, and 15, is constructed of 1.905 cm (0.75 in) plywood and measures 1.22 m by 1.22 m by 1.88 m (4.6 ft by 4.0 ft by 6.17 ft). It serves as an enclosure that can contain all or only part of the eductor model and still allow the exit plane of the

mixing stack to protrude. The purpose of the secondary air plenum is to serve as a boundary through which secondary air for the eductor system must flow. Long radius ASME nozzles, designed in accordance with ASME power test codes {Ref. 9} and constructed of fiberglas, penetrate the secondary air plenum, thereby providing the sole means for metering the secondary air reaching the eductor as shown in Figures 1, 2 6 and 15. Appendix D of Reference {1} outlines the design and construction of the secondary air flow nozzles. By measuring the temperature of the air entering and the pressure differential across the ASME flow nozzles, the mass flow rate of secondary air can be determined. Flexibility is provided in measurement for the mass flow rate of secondary air by employing flow nozzles with three different throat diameters: 20.32 cm (8 in), 19.16 cm (4 in), and 5.08 cm (2 in). By using a combination of flow nozzles, a wide variety of secondary cross sectional areas can be obtained.

A secondary air flow straightener, shown in Figures 1 and 2, consisting of a double screen is installed 1.22 m (4 ft) from the open end of the secondary air plenum, between the ASME long radius nozzles and the primary air flow nozzles. The purpose of the straightener is to reduce any swirl in the secondary flow.

41

i L

### C. TERTIARY AIR PLENUM

The tertiary air plenum, shown in Figures 1, 2, 17 and 16, is constructed of 1.90 cm (0.75 in) plywood and measures 1.22 m by 1.22 m by 1.22 m (4.0 ft by 4.0 ft by 4.0 ft). It serves as an enclosure that completely surrounds the mixing stack and allows the exit and entrance regions to protrude. An airtight rubber diaphragm type seal, schematically illustrated in Figure 2, is located at the entrance to the tertiary plenum (seal between secondary and tertiary plenums). The seal slides over the mixing stack with a nominal 1/8 inch clearance and a bead of silicone rubber is used to make the final seal. The interior of the tertiary air plenum is pictured in Figure 19. The stand which holds the mixing stack can be seen mounted inside the plenum.

#### D. INSTRUMENTATION

Pressure taps for measuring static pressures are located inside the primary air uptake just prior to the primary nozzles, inside the secondary air plenum, inside the tertiary air plenum, and at various points on the model. A variety of manometers, pictured in Figure 16, were used to indicate the pressure differentials. A schematic resentation of the pressure measuring instrumentation is illustrated in Figures 17 and 18. Monitoring of each of the various pressures was facilitated by the use of a scanivalve and a multiple valve manifold. The scanivalve was used to select the

pressure tap to be read, while the multiple valve manifold allowed selection of the optimum manometer for the pressure being recorded. A vent was included in the multiple valve manifold which provided a means of venting the manometers between pressure readings. The valve manifold provided a selection of 15.24 cm (6.0 in) inclined water manometer, and a 5.08 cm (2.0 in) inclined water manometer. In addition, the following dedicated manometers were used in the system: a 50.80 cm (20.0 in) single column water manometer connected to the primary air flow just prior to the primary nozzles, a 1.27 m (50.0 in) U-tube water manometer with each leg connected to the piezometric ring on either side of the orifice plate in the air inlet duct, and a 2.55 cm (1.0 in) inclined water manometer connected to the upstream piezometric ring.

Primary air temperatures, measured at the orifice outlet and just prior to the primary nozzles, are measured with copper-contantan thermocouples. The thermocouples are in assemblies manufactured by Honeywell under the trade name Megapak. Polyvinyl covered 20 guage copper-constantan extention wire is used to connect the thermocouples to an Omega Digital Thermometer, Model Number 2176A, which provided temperatures in degrees Fahrenheit or Celsius. A copper-constantan thermocouple was used to measure secondary/ tertiary ambient air temperature.

•

.

#### E. ALIGNMENT

The alignment of the mixing stack with the primary flow nozzles is accomplished by using two round alignment plugs, a nozzle alignment plate and a 0.75 inch 0.D. steel alignment bar. The two circular alignment plugs are inserted into opposite ends of the mixing stack, and the nozzle alignment plate is then carefully inserted over the straight nozzles. The steel alignment bar is then inserted through the centerline holes in the alignment plugs and brought up to the centerline hole in the nozzle alignment plate. The three axis mounting stand, pictured in Figure 19, is adjusted until the alignment bar can be fully inserted into the nozzle alignment plate and recess in the nozzle base plate without difficulty.

#### V. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Evaluation of the eductor model requires the experimental determination of pressure differentials across the ASME long radius flow nozzles, temperatures of primary and induced air flows, internal mixing stack pressure distributions, and mixing stack exit velocity profiles from pitot tube pressure readings. In addition, base plate rotations angles are used to get a general understanding of the flow patterns within the mixing stack. These experimentally determined quantities are then reduced with the aid of a computer to obtain pumping coefficients, induced air flow rates and pressure distributions in the mixing stack.

The following sections address the individual performance criteria used to evaluate the eductor. Circled numbers refer to regions located on the representative plots used in the evaluation process.

#### A. PUMPING COEFFICIENT

The secondary pumping coefficient and the tertiary pumping coefficient provide a basis for analyzing the eductor's pumping capability. Changes in stack geometries such as L/D ratio's, slotting, shrouding, diffuser rings, and spacing between stack and shroud and between shroud and diffuser rings will alter the eductor's pumping performance and the pumping coefficient. The pumping coefficients for the model should

correspond to the coefficients for the shipboard eductor system. At the shipboard operating point, the eductor is exposed to no restrictions in the secondary or tertiary air flows. In the model, this is simulated by completely opening the air plenums which provides an open to the environment simulation. Unfortunately, at this condition the secondary and/or tertiary air flow rates cannot be measured. The eductor model's characteristics are first established over the measurable flow range and then extrapolated to the desired operating point.

The data for this extrapolation is established by varying the associated induced air flow rate, either secondary or tertiary, from zero to its maximum measurable rate. These rates are determined by sequentially opening the ASME flow nozzles mounted to the appropriate plenum and recording the pressure drop across the nozzles. Values for nozzle cross sectional areas, pressure drops, induced flow air temperatures, and barometric pressures are then used to calculate the dimensionless parameters P\*/T\*, W\*T\*<sup>0.44</sup>, PT\*/TT\* and WT\*TT\*<sup>0.44</sup>. The dimensionless parameters are then plotted as illustrated in Figure 20. Data point (1) is the maximum vacuum which is produced by the eductor with no secondary flow, obtained by closing all ASME flow nozzles. Data points in region (2) correspond to opening most of the ASME flow nozzles and the final point corresponds to opening all flow nozzles. Although the data point in region (2) appear to be zero or nearly so,

they do have a small finite value. The uncertainty associated with these points is relative high. The data points in region

3 provide the most consistent and accurate data. Extrapolation of the pumping characteristic curve to intersect the zero P\*/T\* or PT\*/TT\* abscissa locates the appropriate operating point for the eductor model configuration.

#### B. INDUCED AIR FLOWS

Secondary and tertiary air flows are induced flows. The secondary air flow is the amount of air induced by the primary nozzles which is mixed within the mixing stack with primary air to reduce the exhaust gas temperature. Tertiary or film cooling air flow is the amount of air induced by the low pressure areas along the mixing stack and diffuser.

## C. PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE MIXING STACK

The axial pressure distribution in the mixing stack is obtained by taking static pressure readings from pressure taps attached to the stack in two rows. In the cold flow test facility, the mixing stack is located horizontally in the tertiary plenum. The first row is located on the top of the mixing stack, and the second row is offset 45 degrees from the first row as shown in Figures 3, 9 and 10. The pressure taps were located 0.25 mixing stack diameters apart. The dimensionless mixing stack pressure term, PMS\*, as derived in Section II is calculated from this static pressure data. PMS\* is plotted versus X/D pressure tap locations to obtain the mixing stack pressure distribution. A sample distribution

is shown in Figure 21. Region (1) is located at the entrance of the mixing stack, and it has the greatest negative pressure readings. Pressures near region (2), located toward the end of the mixing stack, although they show a lesser potential for inducing tertiary air compared with region (1), still represents a significant pumping capability. Pressure taps are located in the shrouded stack area only and are not located in the diffuser rings, therefore no pressure distribution data for this region is available.

#### D. MIXING STACK ROTATION ANGLE

The nozzles produce a symmetric flow consisting of high and low pressure areas along the axis of the mixing stack. Pressure taps at position 'A' were used to record the peaks while the position 'B' taps were used to record the lower pressure regions. A rotatable base plate was used to scan the entire circumference of the mixing stack at each L/D position and thereby obtain a record of the varying axial pressure distribution. This allowed the peaks and troughs to be rotated to the stationary pressure taps for data acquisition. The base plate rotation angle,  $\Psi$ , is recorded for each pressure tap position, and when plotted, provides a rough indication of the flow pattern variations.

Tests were conducted early in Davis' research to determine the sensitivity of the rotation angles. Results showed that changes as small as one degree of rotation could cause large pressure changes while at other times, the base plate could be rotated 30 degrees without any pressure changes.

### VI. DISCUSSION OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The discussion of this investigation will be confined mainly to the amount of induced air flows within the mixing stack, both secondary and tertiary (the amount of film cooling air available to cool the exterior of the eductor system); and mixing stack mixing of primary, secondary and tertiary air. Back pressure in the uptake caused by the eductor system is primarily fixed by the nozzle area ratio which was tested and confirmed by Davis (Ref. 8) and Lemke and Staehli (Ref. 3). This is not a major area of discussion here since the nozzle area ratio was maintained at 2.5 and the back pressure remained relatively constant at 6.15 inches of water.

Throughout the entire investigation the standoff ratio (S/D) was maintained at 0.5. The nozzles utilized were the 15 degree tilt angle nozzles tested by Drucker and the straight nozzles used by Davis. During the discussion the nozzles used by Drucker will be referred to by their degree of tilt and their degree of rotation (i.e., 15/20 nozzles will mean 15 degree tilt and 20 degree rotation). Also when reference is made to the shrouded stack, it should be clear that the five diffuser rings are attached to the shroud, and that the shroud with the diffuser rings are a separate unit from the stack. Another term which will be used will be

49

the effective diffuser angle which was maintained at 10 degrees by design.

The tabulated data is presented in the same format as Davis and Drucker. During the discussion of this data the following abbreviations will be used; PCD for pumping coefficient, MSD for mixing stack pressure distribution. Along with the tabular data is a series of mini-plots which can prove to be helpful when reviewing the data.

Initial data was taken utilizing the slant shrouded mixing stack, L/D = 1.5 and 15/20 nozzles. This data was taken to develop a data baseline for comparison with the data reported by Drucker. Drucker had tested this stack/nozzle combination for pumping coefficient, mixing stack pressure distribution and tertiary pumping coefficient.

## A. L/D = 1.5 STRAIGHT NOZZLES, 15-20 NOZZLES

The L/D ratio of 1.5 mixing stack was installed, aligned and tested. The results of the straight nozzles are shown in Figures 22, 23 and 24 and in Table 1. The evaluated data showed the secondary pumping coefficient to be .43 and the tertiary pumping coefficient to be .17 with the straight nozzles. Earlier studies by Davis showed the secondary pumping coefficient to be .51 for a non-shrouded mixing stack with the same overall L/D of 1.5. The present model had an inner mixing stack of one diameter in length with considerable mixing occuring in the half diameter length of

diffuser rings. This led to a significant tertiary flow. The total pumping (secondary and tertiary) exceeded that of Davis.

The 15-20 nozzles provided much better correlation with the data taken by Drucker. The results of the 15-20 nozzles are shown in Figures 25, 26 and 27 and in Table 2. The results obtained by Drucker showed the pumping coefficient to equal .59 for his shrouded two diffuser ring stack. The results obtained from the slant shroud stack showed a pumping coefficient of .58. A plot of the comparison of data can be seen in Figure 28. The added feature of the shrouded stack is the film cooling or tertiary air flow. In this case a tertiary pumping coefficient of .185 provided a significant increase over the flow through the version of Drucker. A plot of this comparison can be seen in Figure 29. The mixing stack pressure distribution of the slant shroud stack was similar to the straight shroud model tested by Drucker.

#### B. L/D = 2.25 STRAIGHT NOZZLES, 15-20 NOZZLES

The L/D ratio of 2.25 slant-shrouded stack was installed, aligned and tested. A direct correlation of data is not possible, however when compared to the L/D 2.5 shrouded stack used by Lemke and Staehli no significant deviations were noted in the pumping coefficient. A significant improvement in the tertiary pumping coefficient was observed. The results of the straight nozzles are shown in Figures 30, 31 and in Table 3. The mixing stack pressure distribution

was similar to the results obtained by Lemke and Staehli and can be seen in Figure 32. A comparison of the slant shroud stack with the straight shroud stack tested by Lemke can be seen in Figures 33 and 34 respectively.

As was expected from the previous data by Davis the 15-20 nozzles provided a significant increase in secondary pumping and tertiary flow when compared to the straight nozzles. This data is shown in Figures 34, 35 and 36. Table 4 shows the actual data taken.

Comparison of the secondary pumping coefficients and the tertiary pumping coefficients for the slant-shroud stack with the results obtained by Davis, Drucker, and Lemke can be found in Table 5 and 6. This comparison shows no significant difference in secondary pumping. The tertiary pumping coefficient was significantly higher for the slant shroud stack which shows a marked increase in the potential for stack cooling.

## VII. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

This research investigated the effects on the eductor system's overall performance of using a slanted shroud and diffuser ring combination. The conclusions from this investigation are as follows:

1. An improvement in secondary pumping was obtained by the use of canted 15-20 nozzles vice straight nozzles for the same stack geometry.

2. In comparing the performance of the use of the slanted shroud and diffuser rings with the straight shroud and diffuser ring geometries:

- a. no significant difference was observed in secondary pumping
- a significant increase in tertiary flow was observed for the slanted shroud and diffuser ring geometry.

# VIII. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

Based on the findings of this investigation the following recommendations for future research are presented.

1. Test the same two mixing stacks, shrouds, and diffuser ring arrangements using hot gas for the primary air flow.

2. Investigate to find the optimum angle for the shroud and diffuser ring to obtain the maximum tertiary pumping coefficient.



Eductor Model Testing Facility Figure 1.

55

K

L























65

.



in the second second











Figure 17. Schematic of Instrumentation


Schematic of Instrumentation for Primary Air Flow Measurement 18. Figure

· {



Figure 19. Mixing Stack with Pressure Taps and Air Seal







Ŀ,





Ŀ









 




•



1.18-11







![](_page_88_Figure_0.jpeg)

A CONTRACT

![](_page_89_Figure_0.jpeg)

NUNCTION OF STREET

COULTRY SAMPLE PERIOD AND SAMPLES

![](_page_90_Figure_0.jpeg)

California (Maria)

![](_page_91_Figure_0.jpeg)

-1

and the second resident and the second second

FIGURE 37 - PERFORMANCE PLOTS FOR L/D = 2.25 15/20 NOZZLES, MSD

MISCELLAHEOUS INFORMATION: ORIFICE DIAMETER: 6.902 CIN3 ORIFICE BETA: 0.497 UPTAKE AREA: 107.510 CIN23 ATM. PRESSURE: 30.10 CIN4C3 COMMENTS LIMARY NOZZLE INFORMATION. Tilt Angle: 0.0 CDEG Rotation Angle: 0.152 Cin23 Area Per Nozzle: 10.752 Cin23 Number of Nozzles: 4 2.58 NOZZLE AM/AP AREA RATIO PRIMARY MIXING STACK INFORMATION: LENGTH: 17.55 EINB DIAMETER: 11.76 EINB L/D RATIO: 1.56 S/D RATIO: 0.56 DATA TAKEN DN. 05 MAY 83 Data taken 87' n d Pritchard

SECC	NDAR	-× 80	×																			
z	* 1		# d		T.4		*1/*0	↓ # ↓	4	ЧЧ		МS		٩U		5	-	900	÷	JΡΤ	NACH	
RUN									_	LBM/SE	- 2	BM/	SEC	FT / S	EC	FT/5E	i. C	T / SE	с			
	99.90	999	. 3932	0	522	9	4238	0	000	3.765	89	0	998	160.	01	72	01	10	61	9. 9	162	
2	6.15	29 0	2432	60	9274	0	2623	10	479	3.79	36	0	787	179.	40	<b>81</b>	96	71.	82	0	962	
1	8.24	73.0	1594	0	9274	0	1719	8	392	3 784	5	9	357	179.	30	88	٤I	71.	£ ∼	0	62	
4	9 35	8 9 9	0897	6	9238	0	0869	8	397	3 784	m M	1 3	279	179	05	4 6	۲ 6	71.	63	9	961	
• ••	6.41	86 8	0288	0	9288	9	0310	6	052	3.764	M	1. 2	841	178.	55	. 66	40	71.	л U	0	161	
- 40	6.45	44 0	0151	6	9292	6	0162	9	399	3.784	5	1.7	195	178.	96	101	22	71.	27	0	161	
•	****	** 0	9914	0	000	G	8615	* * *	* * *	M 785	Ē	ý c	988	178	58	* * * *		71	40	0	161	

Straight Nozzles = 1.5: Shrouded Stack L/D TABLE 1.

.

•

### TERTIARY BOX

z	HT#	P1#	#11	PT4/TT4	HTETT- 44	L N	н	UE	
NUN					-	BN/SEC	LBM/SEC	F1/SEC	
			9292			788 788 788 788 788 788 788 788 788 788			
•	*****		0000			*****	******	*****	

![](_page_93_Figure_3.jpeg)

PCD

- -

MIXING STACK DATA FOR RUN 7

ALARA BARARANA BARARANA SANARANA

10P (	. NOITISOA	DATA.		D 1 A C O	NAL (POS)	0 (.8. NOIL)	ATA -
Q X	PRESSURE EIN H203	ROTATION CDEC3	PNS#	o X	PRESSURE EIN H203	ROTATION CDEGJ	FAS#
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	3693			- 6 - 956 - 6 - 426 - 326 - 326 - 326 - 326	<b>8</b> 8 9 9	0000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100
AXI	AL PRESSUR	E DISTRIBI	IT ION	Ĺ			
30				E 23	8ASE 40[ 20]	PLATE ROTAT	NOI

![](_page_94_Figure_2.jpeg)

94

ATA0 'A' 04TA ATA0 'B' 204-0

182 I

09 ĩ

g

é · 1 29 sz I

MSD

COMMENTS . 2.50 NOZZLE AM/AP AREA RATIO: DATA TAKEN ON: 7 MAY 83 Data taken by: n d pritchard

.

2222

ĸ

.

MISCELLANEGUS INFORMATION ORIFICE DIAMETER: 6.902 [IN] Orifice beta: 0.497 Uptake Area: 107.510 [IN2] ATM\_ Pressure: 30.00 [INHG] PRIMARY NOZZLE INFORMATION: Tilt Angle: 0.0 [deg] Rotation Angle: 0.752 [in2] Area per Nozzle: 10.752 [in2] Number of Nozzles: 4 NIXING STACK INFORMATION: LENGTH: 17.55 [1N] Diameter: 11.76 [1N] L/D Ratio: 1.50 S/D Ratio: 0.50 ,

TERTIARY AREA	SQUARE INCHES	6.000 12.566 25.133 56.255 56.255 100.531 150.555 14#####
SECONDARY AREA	SQUARE INCHES	, 788, 608 788, 608 785, 608 785, 608 785, 608 785, 608
PJER		00000000000000000000000000000000000000
PSEC	0F H20	
PUPT	NI	
TAMB	h	2 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 2 1 2 0 0 2 1 2 0 0 2 1 2 0 0 2 1 2 0 0 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
TUPT	GRES I	107 4 107 4 108 0 108 0 109 0 100 0 100000000
TOR	9¢	844448 844448 888888
DPOR	H20	<b>6 6 6 6 6</b> 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
PDR	IN OF	000000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 9000000
2	н 1	

SECO	NUARY 1	30X												
z	# M	¥.d	*1	2	*1/1	u¢T^ -	4	ЧР	SH	۹U ۱	WD	UUPT	UPT	масн
RUN							-	LBM/SEC	LBM/SEC	FT/SEC	FT/SEC	FT/SEC		
- N M 4 19 4	<b>客 法 客 本 本 本</b> 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	8888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 8	0000000	00000 0000 00100 00100 00100 00100	******	*****	3 7662 3 7662 3 7662 3 7662 3 7662 3 7618	2.6821 2.6801 2.6801 2.6796 2.6781 2.6766	179 29 179 29 179 41 179 48 179 57	<b>家头球等 建装装装置 化化学 化化学 化化学 化化学 化化学 化化学 化化学 化化学 化化学 化化</b>	71 72 71 73 71 73 71 73 71 73 71 80 71 80 71 79	000000	0001 0001 0001 001

Tertiary

.

TERTIARY BOX

IJE	FT/SEC	
ыT	LBN/SEC	* 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
MI	LBM×SEC	
7 7	-	9 4 6 M
		070074 070074
11		
МТ		
¢ 1 1 ×		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Ē		
4 4 1.		88888999 88888 88888 88888 88888 88888 88888 8888
<b>.</b> .		300000
11		8318 8318 868 868 868 868 868 868 868 868 868 8
<b>e</b>		9999999
HTE		0000 0456 0720 1115 1115 4444
-		99009*
z	RUN	- N M 4 10 10

![](_page_96_Figure_2.jpeg)

Tertiary

COMMENTS 2.50 NOZZLE AN AP AREA RATIO . JAFA TAKEN UN: 02 MHY 33 Data taken by: N O Pritchard

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION ORIFICE DIAMETER. 6.902 [IN] Orifice beta: 0.497 UPTAKE Area: 107 510 [IN2] Atm. Pressure: 30 20 [In45] PRIMARY NOZZLE INFORMATION Tilt Angle: 15 0 [deg] Rotation Angle: 20 [deg] Area per Nozzle: 10 752 [112] Number of Nozzles: 4 MINING STRCK INFORMATION: LENGTH: 17 55 [IN] Diaheter: 11 70 [IN] L/O Ratio: 1 50 S/D Patio: 0 50

TERTIARY AREA	SOUARE INCHES	
SECONDARY AREA	SQUARE INCHES	8 808 12.566 25 133 25 133 25 133 26 265 130 231 150 796 150 796
PIER		0030009 0733009 0000009
PSEC	0F H20	N → → ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ 4 ∨ N ∨ N → ⊕ 9 → ∂ 4 0 10 →
PUPT	N 1	4400000 
TAMB	Ľ	0 + 0 0 0 0 0 0 + 0 0 0 0 0 0 + 0 0 0 0
TUFT	GPEES	1110000000 00000000 00000000000 00000000
TOR	ΟE	N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
DPOR	H20	<b>666666</b> 888888 8888888 888888 88888 88888 88888
POR	1N OF	8888888 99988 99998 99998 9998 9989 9989 9989 9989 9989 9989 9989 9989 9989 9999 9999 9999 9999 9999 9999 9999 9999
z	RUN	

## SECUNDARY BOX

	носн		62	62	62	61	61 6	61	61
	P T -		0	ē	ē	ē 9	ē .	ē 9	ē
	5						_	~	_
	PI	εc	-	6	8	2	2	Š.	ÿ
	3	FT/S	72	12	71	71	71	12	2
	-	с Ц	13	16	5	96	53	10	
	5	F1/SI	72	18	88	26	104	196	***
	۵	SEC	37	68	29	84	30	- 1 -	21
	5	FT /	180	179	179	179	175	179	179
	SN	BM SEC	8.8888	9 5621	0.9667	1.4793	1.8591	1.9684	2.9479
		<u>ت</u> د		~			m		6
		SE(	761	173	12.	12	25	7.0	12
	Ч	LBM	m	m	1	m	M	m	m
	++		9999	+++1	184	3799	£221	5956	***
	W#1		9	0	6	0	9	0	*
	#1/#		3225	2501	1854	1887	8438	8214	9919
	۵.		0	9	G				6
	14		9324	9317	0120	2926	8606	9299	9296
			8	G	G	G	9 9	• •	9
	¥ 4		2333	9330	1726	1911	9496	99199	8816
5			6	• •	) G	, 6	• •	• •	
	4 H		0000	1498	0 H U U U	7 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 7 0 0		1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
			đ	0	) d	, a		<b>o</b> a	
	z	RUN	-	• •	• •	7 4	r 16	) (d	0 N

# Table 2. Shrouded Stack L/D = 1.5: 15-20 Nozzles

TERTIARY BON

							-	
UE	FT, SEC	******	******	******	******	*****	******	******
нТ	LBM/SEC	*******	******	*******	*******	*******	*******	*******
	BNASEC	3.776	4.335	4.738	5.251	5 631	5.739	******
7 7	-	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
WTETT		***	* * *	***	***	***	***	***
#11 :		0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
₩ 		3	Ø	3	0	9	Ø	Ø
118		9324	5112	9310	5383	9299	9299	9296
		9	9	Φ	Ø	8	9	9
* 1 0		9999	9999	6699	9999	0000	0999	0000
		9	0	3	8	9	ø	Ð
4 I N		******	******	******	******	******	******	******
z	RUN	-	~	m	+	n	Q	~

![](_page_98_Figure_2.jpeg)

PCD

MINING STACK DATA FOR RUN 7

ROTATION PMS# Edeg3 DIAGONAL (POSITION '8' DATA PRESSURE Ein H203 0 × × PNS# ROTATION Cdegj TOP (POSITION 'A' OATA PRESSURE CIN H203 0 × X

![](_page_99_Figure_2.jpeg)

100

Contraction of the

Service of the

![](_page_99_Figure_3.jpeg)

0A1A DA1A

1:12

· 1 95

l sz 88

![](_page_99_Figure_4.jpeg)

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION ORIFICE DIAMETER: 6.902 CINI ORIFICE BETA: 0.497 ORIFICE BETA: 107 510 CIN21 ATM PRESSURE: 30.29 CIMHGI COMMENTS PRIMARY NOZZLE INFORMATION Tilt Angle. 15.0 CDEG Rotation Angle: 20 CDEG Area Per Nozzle: 10 752 Cim23 Number of Nozzles. 4 2.50 NOZZLE AMZAP APEA RATIO: NIXING STACK INFORMATION LENGTH: 17 55 [1W] Diameter: 11.78 [1W] L/D Ratio: 1 50 S/D Ratio: 0 50 CATA TAKEN ON 03 MAY 83 Data taken by N D Pritchard

TERTIARY AREA	SQUARE INCHES	0 000 12.566 23.133 29.231 100.531 110.531
SECONDARY AREA	SQUARE INCHES	785.000 785.000 785.000 785.000 785.000 785.000 785.185
PTER		888888 9 9 9 9 9 8 9 8 8 9 8 8 9 8 9 8
PSEC	0F H20	0 3 6 6 6 6 0 3 6 6 6 6 0 3 6 6 6 6
1 4 N A	H1	1,12,12,12,12,12,12 9,3,0,0,0,0,0 0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0 0,4,0,0,0,0,0
TAMB	iL.	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7
TUPT	EGREES	111111 111111 0 9 9 4 9 6 0
TOR	ă	2000020 201000 201000 201000 20100000000
OPOR	H20	<b>606660</b> 0000000 00000000000000000000000
POR	IN OF	0000000 000000 0000000 000000000000000
z		

# SECONDARY BOX

														Tann	11PT	MACH	
z	* 11	* d	-	*	۳. ۳	*1.	HET ~	44	Ţ		SM	90	5	-	5		
NIIG								-	LBM.	SEC	LBN/SEC	FT/SEC	FT/SEC	FT / SEC			
		6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	<b></b>	1387 1387 1387 1398 1398		n n n n n n n		******	******	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	179 50		10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		8861 8661 8661 8661 8661 8661 8661 8661	

Tertiary

TERTIARY BOX

Service States

San Shan W Contract datas

	o,	*	*	*	*	*	¥
JI	FT/SE	****	*****	****	****	*****	* * * * *
нт	LBM/SEC	0.000	0.311	6.483	0.639	0.683	*****
Ĩ	LBM/SEC	******	******	*****	******	******	* * * * * * *
JT & TT × . 44	-	9999	0.0805	0.1251	0.1657	0.1773	*****
1 *11.*1		0.1412	0 0785	0.0474	0.0208	0.0059	0 AA5
TT# P		8.9373	9 9387	0.9484	0.9484	8-9398	6220 U
P 1 #		8.1324	8 8737	0.0445	0.0195	0.0056	0 0042
нТ≭		9999	0.0828	0.1285	0.1702	0 1822	22222
z	RUN		N	m	4	ŝ	ų

![](_page_101_Figure_2.jpeg)

Tertiary

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION: ORIFICE DIAMETER: 6:902 [IN] ORIFICE BEIN: 0.497 UPTAKE AREA: 107.510 [IN2] ATM. PRESSURE: 28 90 [INHG] TERTIARY AREA SECONDARY AREA COMMENTS PRIMARY NOZZLE INFORMATION Tilt Angle: 0 0 006G1 Rotation Angle: 0 006G1 Area Per Nozzle: 10.752 11N23 Number of Nozzles' 4 50 PTER N NOTTLE AMARP AREA PATIO PSEC PUPT TAMB MIXING STACK INFORMATION: Length: 26 25 [[N] Diameter: 11.70 [[N] L/D ratio: 2.25 S/D ratio: 0.50 TUPT 87 JUN 93 N D FRITCHARD **T 0 R** DPOR DATA TAKEN UN" Data taken by POR z

•

ł

STATE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION

٩

SQUARE INCHES	
SQUARE INCHES	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
	0000000 0000000 0000000000000000000000
0F H20	×××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××
¥ 1	₩ <b>4 4 10 10 10</b> 10 8 4 10 10 10 10 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 9 9 10 10 10 10 10
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
REES	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100
OEC	<b>សម្គេសម្គេស</b> សម្គេសស្គេស សម្គេសស្គេស ស្គេលស្គេស ស្គេលស្គេស
N20	00000000 000000 0000000 000000
10 NI	0000000 000000 0000000 44000000
PUN	

SECONDARY BUX

N M# P# T# P#/T# N#T^.44 WP WS UP UM UUPT RUN 1 8.0000 8.4417 8.9213 8.4792 8.0886 3.6886 8.08080 184.56 73.88 73.81 2 8.1659 8.2796 8.9225 8.3833 8.1592 3.6885 8.6897 194.02 84.76 73.63 3 8.2749 8.1946 8.9225 8.2119 8.2653 3.6865 1.9133 183.78 92.09 73.53	3)))														
RUN 1 8.0000 8.4417 8.9213 8.4792 8.00000 3.6886 8.00000 184.50 73.80 73.81 2 8.1659 8.2796 8.9225 8.3038 8.1592 3.6893 8.6887 184.02 84.76 73.63 3 8.2749 8.1946 8.9226 8.2119 8.2653 3.6865 1.0133 183.78 92.09 73.53	X	ŤĦ	¥ d	-	*	P#/1	т и <i>т</i>	T ^ . 44	4	SH	UP	MD	UUPT	UPT	масн
1 8.0000 8.4417 8.9213 8.4792 8.0000 3.6886 8.0000 184.50 73.80 73.81 2 8.1659 8.2796 8.9225 8.3038 8.1592 3.6893 8.6097 194.02 84.76 73.62 3 8.2749 8.1946 8.9226 8.2110 8.2653 3.6865 1.0133 183.78 92.09 73.52	RUN								LBMSEC	LBM/SEC	F1/SEC	FT/SEC F	1.SEC		
4 0,4008 0.1038 0.9227 0.1125 0.3068 3.6859 1.4771 183.56 100.35 73.35 5 0.4775 0.0370 0.9233 0.0401 0.4610 3.6865 1.7603 133.20 105.63 73.33 6 0.5131 0.0190 0.9234 0.06206 0.4954 3.6844 1.8904 183.26 108 83 73.31 6 0.5131 0.0190 0.9234 0.06206 0.4954 3.6851 2.6300 183.30 ##### 73.33		00 1 00 1 00 00 1 00 00 1 00 00 1 00 00	9 8 2 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 1 4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2228 2233 2233 2333 2558 2533 2533 2533	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		8-0 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8 888 8 888 1 8133 1 4773 1 4773 1 8984 8984 8984 8984 8984	184 184 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 186 183 186 183 186 183 186 183 186 183 186 186 186 186 186 186 186 186 186 186	73 98 98 98 98 98 98 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	73 81 73 62 73 52 73 52 73 43 73 33 73 33 73 33	00000990 	88888888888888888888888888888888888888

Straight Nozzles 2.25: 11 Shrouded Stack L/D . س Table

MIXING STACK DATA FOR RUN 7

- Le Sadades

Sec. Sugar Sec.

X/D PRESSURE ROTATION PMSt X/O PRESSURE ROTATION PMSt   EIN H203 EDEG3 EIN H203 EDEG3 EDEG3   EIN H203 EDEG3 EIN H203 EDEG3 EDEG3   EIN H203 EDEG3 EIN H203 EDEG3 EDEG3   E3 -0.669 -0.683 0.25 -0.781 0.998   F3 -0.649 0 -0.669 0.75 -0.468 0.964   F3 -0.489 0.75 -0.468 0.75 -0.468 0.966   F3 -0.489 0.75 -0.468 0.75 -0.468 0.966   F3 -0.489 0.75 -0.468 0.968 -0.966   F3 -0.489 0.75 -0.468 0.968   F3 -0.486 1.25 0.468 0.966   F3 -0.379 0.952 1.25 0.868 0.868   F3 -0.3	•		NOT	WIND C.K		01460		101110	2		-
00   -0.659   -0.691   0.80   0.25   -0.70   0.25     123   -0.649   0   -0.083   0.25   -0.75   0.70   0.000     125   -0.649   0   -0.083   0.25   -0.659   0   -0.090     125   -0.649   0   -0.066   1.00   -0.659   0   -0.064     125   -0.468   0   -0.468   0   -0.064   0.064     125   -0.468   0   -0.468   0   -0.064   0.064   0.064     125   -0.468   0   -0.468   0   -0.066   0.066   0.066     125   -0.468   0   0.066   0   0.066   0.066   0   0.066     1.25   -0.468   0   0.066   0   0.066   0   0.066     1.25   -0.468   0   0.066   0   0.066   0   0.066     1.25   0   0.066   0   0.066   0   0.066   0   0.066     1.255   0   0.066 <t< th=""><th>0 X</th><th>PRE N N</th><th>SSURE H203</th><th>ROTATION Edeg3</th><th><b>PNSt</b></th><th>0 / X</th><th>PRESSU EIN H2</th><th>23 RC</th><th>JTATION Edecj</th><th>ā</th><th>¥ S H</th></t<>	0 X	PRE N N	SSURE H203	ROTATION Edeg3	<b>PNSt</b>	0 / X	PRESSU EIN H2	23 RC	JTATION Edecj	ā	¥ S H
0.23 -0.693 0.25 -0.781 0 -0.107   0.36 -0.649 0 -0.083 0.35 -0.659 0 -0.096   0.75 -0.649 0 -0.683 0.75 -0.659 0 -0.096   0.75 -0.468 0 -0.655 1.23 -0.468 0 -0.963   1.25 -0.379 0 -0.952 1.23 0.069 0 0.066   1.25 -0.379 0 -0.952 1.23 0.069 0 0.063   1.25 -0.379 0 -0.952 1.23 0.069 0 0.066   1.25 -0.379 0 -0.379 0 0.069 0 0.066   1.25 -0.379 0 0.069 0 0.069   1.25 -0.379 0 0.069 0 0.069   1.25 -0.379 0 0.066 0 0   1.25 -0.379 0 0.066 0 0   1.25 0 0.696 0 0 0.066   1.25 0 0.666 0 0   1.25 0 0.666 0 0   1	. 00	•	.669	•	-8.691	9 . 6	80° 8	0		8	888
0.56 -0.649 0 -0.009 0.59 -0.659 0 -0.090 0.75 0.000 0 -0.466 0 -0.064 0.75 0.000 0 -0.466 0 -0.064 1.25 -0.379 0 -0.092 1.23 0.000 0 -0.000 0.000 0 0.000 0 0 000 0.000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	. 23	•	.603	9	£80 . 8-	0.25	- 6.78	-		0	107
0.75 0.000 0 0.000 0.75 -0.468 0 -0.064 00 -0.480 0 -0.460 0 -0.460 0 -0.063 1.25 -0.379 6 -0.052 1.25 0.000 0 0 000 1.25 -0.379 6 -0.052 1.25 0.000 0 0 000 0.000 0 0 000 0.000 0 0 000	. 30	•	. 649	•	-0.089	8.58	-0.65	9		0	960
-00. 480 0 -0. 966 1.00 -0.460 0 -0.063 23 -0.379 0 -0.052 1.25 0.000 0 0 000 AXIAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION BASIAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION	. 73	•	. 888	3	0.000	8.75	- 0.46	8		 19	964
25 -0.379 6 -0.052 1.25 0.000 0 0.000 Axial Pressure Distribution Base Plate Rotation	30	•	480	8	- 6. 866	1.60	- 8.46	9		8	063
AXIAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION BASE PLATE ROTATION	52	<b>9</b> 1	525	69	-0.052	1.25	8.88	8	9	0	898
AXIAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION BASE PLATE ROTATION											
			1011033	0101010	101						•
BASE PLATE ROTATION				DISISION							
							BASE	PLATE	E ROTATI	ž	

![](_page_103_Figure_2.jpeg)

.

![](_page_103_Figure_3.jpeg)

PCD

TERTIARY BOX

BURNER STREET

ЗN	FT/SEC	****
нт	LBM/SEC	***
MM	BM/SEC	# # 4 4 10 10 10 # @ 0 10 4 10 # @ 0 0 0 0 4 10 # 0 0 0 0 0 4 10 #
HT#TT > . 44		
TT-TT		6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
TT* P		905 905 905 905 905 905 905 905 905 905
PTE		9000000 9000000 90000000 90000000 9000000
НТЖ		
z	RUN	

![](_page_104_Figure_2.jpeg)

MSD

MISCELLANEDUS INFORMATION: COMMENTS 2 53 PRIMARY NOZZLE INFORMATION NOZZLE AN AF AREA RATIO CATA TAKEN UN 97 JUNE 33 DATA TAKEN BY: N D PRITCHARD MINING STACK INFORMATION

R: 6.902 CINJ	54 B	107 510 CIN23	30 02 EINHGJ		
ORIFICE DIAMETER	ORIFICE BETH	UPTAKE AREA	ATM. PRESSURE		
0.0 CDEGI	LE' è CDEGI	ZLE 10.752 CIN23	22LES: 4 -		
TILT ANGLE	RUTHTION ANG	AREA PER NOZ	NUMBER OF NO		
26 25 CIND	11.78 CIN3	1.75	0 50		
LENGTH	<b>GIANETER</b>	L-D RATIO	S-D RATIO:		

5			L				10 F	H20		. va	adaPE	TNCHES
илсер 109.6	109.0		•	67 6		, 96 °	; 6) :	91	0 2	, _	795	999
103 0	103 0	ø		60		6.00	0	. 81	6.3		282	. 666
0.001	109 0	ø		68.8	_	6.00	9	01	0.2	_	282	.000
109 2	109 2	e.		63.4		6.05	8	61	9	_	785	999
109.2	109.2	e)		68.4		6.05	0	10	9 9	•	282	996
109 2	109 2	~		68 6		6.07	9	.01	60		* * *	****

SECONDARY BOX

P#	T# P*/T#	44 VIXM	ЧH	MS	Чŀ	۳D,	UUPT	UPT	U H C
			LBM/SEC	LBM/SEC	FT / SEC	FT/SEC	FT/SEC		
	9272 8.8018 9275 8.8018 9279 8.8018 9283 8.8018 9283 8.8018		3 7588 3 7588 3 7588 3 7588 3 7581 3 7581 3 7581 3 7581	2.940 2.9400 2.9400 2.9400 2.9400 2.9400 2.9400 2.94000 2.94000000000000000000000000000000000000	179.80 179.80 179.80 179.80 179.83 179.83 179.83	******	71.98 72.98 71.93 71.93 74.93 74.93 74.93 74.93 74.93	000000	8 1 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8

Tertiary

۰,

TERTIARY BOX

UE	FT/SEC	******	******	******	******	******	******
нт	LBM/SEC	999.9	0.259	8 386	0.530	0.605	******
H	LBN/SEC	******	******	******	******	******	
4		99	9	4	4	26	
÷		99	96	60	Ē	5	* *
HTXT			8	9	9	9	*
ŧ.		<b>8</b> 2	9 M	66	14	9 4	Ŧ C
		10	88	02	9	99	00
E E		3	9	0	ø	ø	6
		72	92-	52	503	8	4
Ē		6 6	92	6	5	26	0
		9	9	0	0	Ø	S
-		D S C	161	~~~	36	m+	100
Ē		9	ò	0	6	ě	9
		9	0	0	•	9	đ
		998	883	928	601	019	***
NT.		ě	š	Ä	-	-	* *
	-	3	•	•	0	•	•
Ξ	th a	-	~	m	4	Ð	•

![](_page_106_Figure_2.jpeg)

Tertiary

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION ORIFICE DIAMETER. 6:902 [IN] ORIFICE BETA: 0 497 UPTAKE AREA: 107.510 [IN2] ATM PRESSURE: 29.30 [IN4G] COMMENTS FRIMARY NOZZLE INFORMATION TILT ANGLE 15 0 CDEG Rotation Angle 20 CDEG Area Per Nozzle 10 752 CIN23 Number of Nozzles 4 50 N NUZZLE AM AP AREA RATIU MINING STACK INFORMATION LENGTH: 26 25 CINI DIAMETER: 11 70 CINI Lod Ratio: 2 25 Sod Ratio: 0 50 UNTA TALEN ON: 07 JUN 83 Data taken by: N D Pritchard

and the second second

11.1

.

TERTIARY AREA	SQUARE INCHES	******	****	****	*****	******	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	
SECUNDARY AREA	SQUAPE INCHES	0.900	12.566	25 133	50 265	100 531	150.796	* * * * * *	
P 1 E P		99.99	0,00	999	0000	0.00	99.9	99.9	
PSEC	0F H20	3 01	2.13	1.60	16.9	8 35	0.18	0.01	•
PUPT	NI	3 95 2	4.20	4 30	G 4 0	5.93	6.02	6.15	
TANB	Ŀ	6ő 6	67.2	67.8	68.4	68.4	68 6	69.6	
r up t	GREES	109 4	103.8	110.4	110.6	110.6	110.6	110.6	
TOR	DE	56 0	56.2	56.8	57 0	57.2	57.2	57.2	
GPOR	H20	22.0	22.0	22.0	22 0	22 8	22.0	22.0	
PUR	1N OF	0 665	6.004	6 554	0 663	0.663	662	8 660	
z	PUN	-	~~	m	-	- an	o' 'O	~	

SECONDARY BOX

z	11 #	Ρŧ	T#	P4/T4	H×T^.44	91	NS	٩D	μŊ	UUPT	UPT MAC
PUN						LBM/SEC	LBM/SEC	FT/SEC	FT/SEC 1	FT-SEC	
	60.000 1674 60.1744 60.17444 60.174444 60.17444444 60.174444 60.1744444444444444444444444444444444444	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 4 3 4 3 6 4 3 6 4 3 6 4 3 6 4 3 6 4 3 6 4 3 6 4 3 6 6 4 3 6 6 6 7 3 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	183 36 183 36 182 89 182 60 182 60 182 23 182 23	73 84 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98	73 90 73 10 73 10 73 10 73 10 73 10 73 10 73 10 73 10 74 10 75 100	88888888888888888888888888888888888888

Table 4. Shrouded Stack L/D = 2.25: 15-20 Nozzles
TERTIARY BOX

UE	FT/SEC			FILM CIENT						09 05
μТ	LBM/SEC			RIMENTAL 46 COEFFI						30
H.	BM/SEC		3 8 3 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	EXPER PUMP11						01
HTTTC.44	-				<b>e</b> s	1	2 2 2		4	000
*****										
T # F		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9264	L FILM					*	20 07
P1#				RIMENTA				*		20
HT #				EXPEI PUMP1			*			61
z	RUN				505	4	۹ ۳	5 5 6 * 1	19	900

PCD

Ŧ

<HT\$)\$TT\$)^</pre>

+

CRED CTRD-

MINING STACK CATA FOR RUN 7

EOZH NI	UTATION Coegi	PNS#	<b>0</b> ×	PRESSURE CIN H203	RUTATION Cdegj	SMG
-1 120	3	-8.154	59 9	000 0	3	9 9 9
-0.822	Ģ	-0.117	6.25	-0 500	Ð	-0 05
-8 690	0	-0 095	8.58	-8 351	9	-0 04
0.000	Ģ	000 0	6 75	-0 168	9	-0 32
-0 265	Ō	-0 03ć	1.00	-0389	9	-0 05
-0 241	9	-0033	1 25	000 0	0	89



4-P05 4 04TH

1,01 ĩ 89

t





MISCELLANEOUS INFURNATION ORIFICE DIAMETER. 6.902 CINJ URIFICE 6ETA: 0.497 UPTAKE AREA: 107 510 CIN23 ATM. PRESSURE 30 02 CINHG3 TERTIARY AREA SOUARE INCHES 0.000 12.566 25.133 50.265 50.265 100.531 \$\$\$\$\$ SECUNDARY AREA SQUARE INCHES 785.000 785.000 785.000 785.000 785.000 785.000 COMMENTS Ter dâtâ FRIMARY HOZZLE INFORMATION TILT ANGLE INFORMATION Rotation Angle: 20 [DEG] Area Per Nozzle: 10.752 [1H2] Number of Nozzles: 4 50 PTER ~1 0 000 NUTCLE AN AP AREA RATIO 0F H20 PSEC Ч PUPT 09-099 09-099 09000 10 0000 Q, TAMB **NO9409** - 1 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' 0' u DEGREES TUPT 8 JUN 83 N D PRITCHARD MINING STRCK INFORMATION ø 4 00 ۰ø ø TOR 000000 DPOP H20 ~~~~~ CAIN TAPEN ON LENGTH DIAMETER L. D Ratto S D Ratto IN OF POR 9 ø ø • 00 RUN z N 10 10 10 10

1

	масн		061	062	961	061	062	061
	UPT		8	0	0	0	0	0
	UUPT	1 × SE C	71.85	71 86	71.85	71.65	71.86	71 36
	MN	FT/SEC FI	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	2
	UP	FT / SEC	179.61	179 64	179.61	179.61	179.64	179 64
	MS	LBM/SEC	3.9006	3.8976	3.8976	3.8961	3.8954	9194 5
	МР	LBM/SEC	3.7573	1827 E	3.7573	3.7573	3.7581	2 7565
	¥£1∧,44		******	******	*****	******	******	* * * * * * *
	P*.T*		0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0 0031	1200 0
	T <b>*</b>		9 9236	8.9258	8.9258	9.9257	0.9261	8400 B
×	P.#		1.6029	0029	8829	9929	99929	0000
NDAR / B(	# 1				*****		*****	
SEC01	z	RUN			M	• •		

----

Tertiary

TERTIAKY BON

UE	FT-SEC	*****
μТ	LBM SEC	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
₩M	BMSEC	****
77	-	801 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
HTATH-		339933 30114 3011494
\$11×1		200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
P T 1		9009 <i>2</i> 9
111		99999999999 999999999 999999999 9999999
		<b>00</b> 0000000000000000000000000000000000
P T 4		1851 0843 0173 0051
		<b>.</b>
114		8880 1294 1652 1943 1943
-		33003+
z	RUN	



Tertiary

Table 5. Secondary Pumping Coefficient Comparison (Open to Environment)

les	Slant Shroud	• 58	1	.60	: No Shroud) hli
15-20 Nozz	Straight Shroud	+ +		1	by Davis (Note by Drucker by Lemke & Stae
Nozzles	Slant Shroud	. 43	1	.49	* Data + Data o Data
Straight	Straight Shroud	.51	° 49.	1	
		L/D = 1.5	L/D = 2.25	L/D = 2.5	

ozzles	Slant Shroud	.190	8	.180	nd Staehli
15-20 N	Straight Shroud	•135	3	J 1 1	ta by Drucker ta by Lemke a
Nozzles	Slant Shroud	.17	8	.155	+ Dat o Dat
Straight	Straight Shroud	1 1 1	.12 0	1 1 1	
		L/D = 1.5	L/D = 2.25	L/D = 2.5	

1000

. e.

• •

Table 6. Tertiary Pumping Coefficient Comparison (Open to Environment)

.

.

٩.

### APPENDIX A: FORMULAE

Presented here are the formulas used to obtain the primary and secondary mass flow rates. According to the ASME primary Test Code {Ref.10}, the general equation for mass flow rate appearing in equation (a)

$$W(1bm/sec) = 0.12705 K A Y F_ (\rho \Delta P)^{0.5}$$
 (a)

may be used with flow nozzles and square edge orifices provided the flow is subsonic. In the above equation, K (dimensionless) represents the flow coefficient for the metering device and is defined as  $K = C(1-\beta^4)^{-0.5}$  where C is the coefficient of discharge and  $\beta$  is the ratio of throat to inlet diameters;  $A(in^2)$  is the total cross sectional area of the metering device; Y (dimensionless) is the expansion factor for the flow;  $F_a$  (dimensionless) is the area thermal expansion factor;  $\rho$  (lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>) is the flow mass density; and  $\Delta P$  (inches  $H_20$ ) is the differential pressure across the metering device. Each of these quantities are evaluated, according to the guidelines set forth in Reference {10} for the specific type of flow measuring device used.

Using a square edge orifice for measurement of the primary mass flow rate, the quantities in equation (a) are defined as follows:

1. The flow coefficient K is 0.62 based on a  $\beta$  of 0.502 and a constant coefficient of discharge over the range of flows considered of 0.60.

2. The orifice area is  $37.4145 \text{ in}^2$ .

3. Corresponding to the range of pressure ratios encountered across the orifice, the expansion Y is 0.98.

4. Since the temperature of the metered air is nearlyambient temperature, thermal expansion factor is essentially1.0.

5. The primary air mass density  $\rho_{or}$  is calculated using the perfect gas relationship with pressure and temperature evaluated upstream of the orifice.

Substituting these values into equation (a) yields

$$W_{p}$$
 (lbm/sec) = (2.88455)  $(\rho_{or} \Delta P_{or})^{0.5}$  (b)

The secondary mass flow rate is measured using long radius flow nozzles for which case the quantities in equation (a) becomes:

1. For a flow nozzle installed in a plenum, 3 is approximately zero in which case the flow coefficient is approximately equal to the coefficient of discharge. For the range of secondary flows encountered, the flow coefficient becomes 0.98.

2. A is the sum of the throat areas of the flow nozzles in use  $(in^2)$ .

3. Since the pressure ratios across the flow nozzles are very close to unity, the expansion coefficient Y is 1.0.

4. Since the temperature of the metered air is nearlyambient temperature, the thermal expansion factor is essentially1.0.

5. The secondary air mass density  $\rho_s$  is evaluated using the perfect gas relationship at ambient conditions. Substituting these values into equation (a) yields the equation for the secondary mass flow rate measured using long radius flow nozzles.

Å

$$W_{s}$$
 (lbm/sec) = (0.12451) A ( $\rho_{s} \Delta P_{s}$ )<sup>0.5</sup> (c)

### APPENDIX B: UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

The determination of the uncertainties in the experimentally determined pressure coefficients, pumping coefficients, and velocity profiles was made using the methods described by Kline and McClintock {Ref. 13}. The basic uncertainty analysis for the cold flow eductor model test facility was conducted by Ellin {Ref. 1}. The uncertainties obtained by Ellin using the second order equation suggested by Kline and McClintock were applicable to the experimental work conducted during the present research and are listed in the following table.

### UNCERTAINTY IN MEASURED VALUES

T s	<u>+</u>	1 R
Tp	<u>+</u>	1 R
Pa	<u>+</u>	0.01 psia
ΔΡ	+	0.01 in. H <sub>2</sub> 0
Pv	<u>+</u>	0.01 in. H <sub>2</sub> 0
Pu	+	0.05 in. $H_2^0$
$\Delta P_{s}(+)$	<u>+</u>	0.01 in. H <sub>2</sub> 0
∆P <sub>t</sub> (**)	<u>+</u>	0.01 in. H <sub>2</sub> 0
Por	+	0.01 in. H <sub>2</sub> 0
ΔP <sub>or</sub>	+	0.20 in. $H_2^0$

 $T_a$   $\frac{1}{2}$  1 R

 PT(\*\*\*)  $\frac{1}{2}$  0.1 in.  $H_2^0$ 

BUILDER ALLER

addadadar waxadada addoogada

and a support of

# UNCERTAINTY IN CALCULATED VALUES

<u>P*</u> T*	1.9%
W*T* <sup>0</sup> •44	1.4%
V/V <sub>avg</sub>	2.5%
(+)	The pressure differential across the secondary flow nozzles, P <sub>s</sub> ,
	is the major source of uncertainty in the pumping coefficient.
(++)	The pressure differential across the tertiary flow nozzles, P <sub>t</sub> ,
	is the major source of uncertainty in the pumping coefficient.
(+++)	The measurement of the total pressure for the velocity profile is the major source of uncertainty in the velocity calculation.

### LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Ellin, C.R., <u>Model Test of Multiple Nozzle Exhaust Gas</u> <u>Eductor Systems for Gas Turbine Powered Ships</u>, Engineer's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, June 1977.
- 2. Moss, C.M., <u>Effects of Several Geometric Parameters on</u> <u>the Performance of a Multiple Nozzle Eductor System</u>, <u>Master's Thesis</u>, Naval Postgraduate School, September 1977.
- 3. Lemke, R.J. and Staehli, C.P., <u>Performance of Multiple</u> <u>Nozzle Eductor Systems with Several Geometric Configura-</u> <u>tions</u>, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, September 1978.
- 4. Shaw, R.S., <u>Performance of a Multiple Nozzle Exhaust</u> <u>Gas Eductor System for Gas Turbine Powered Ships</u>, <u>Master's Thesis</u>, Naval Postgraduate School, December 1980.
- 5. Ryan, D.L., <u>Flow Characteristics of a Multiple Nozzle</u> <u>Exhaust Gas Eductor System</u>, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, March 1981.
- 6. Davis, C.C., <u>Performance of Multiple, Angled Nozzles</u> with Short Mixing Stack Eductor Systems, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, September 1981.
- 7. Drucker, C.J., <u>Characteristics of a Four-Nozzle, Slotted</u> <u>Short Mixing Stack with Shroud, Gas Eductor System</u>, <u>Master's Thesis</u>, Naval Postgraduate School, March 1982.
- 8. Boykin, J.W., <u>Characteristics of a Fluted Nozzle Gas</u> <u>Eductor System, Master's Thesis</u>, Naval Postgraduate School, March 1983.
- 9. Pucci, P.F., <u>Simple Eductor Design Parameters</u>, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, September 1954.
- 10. American Society of Mechanical Engineers Interim Supplement 19.5 of Instrumentation and Apparatus, <u>Fluid Meters</u>, Sixth Edition, 1971.
- 11. Hill, J.A., <u>Hot Flow Testing of Multiple Nozzle Exhaust</u> <u>Eductor Systems</u>, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, September 1979.

and the second second

- 12. Harrel, J.P., Jr., <u>Experimentally Determined Effects</u> of Eductor Geometry on the Performance of Exhaust Gas <u>Eductors for Gas Turbine Powered Ships</u>, Engineer's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, September 1977.
- 13. Kline, S.J. and McClintock, F.A., "Describing Uncertainties in Single-Sample Experiments", <u>Mechanical</u> <u>Engineering</u>, pp. 3-8, January 1953.

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No.	Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314		2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943		2
3.	Chairman, Code 69 Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943		1
4.	Professor Paul F. Pucci, Code 69Pc Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943		5
5.	Dean of Research, Code 012 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943		1
6.	Commander Attn: NAVSEA Code 0331 Naval Sea Systems Command Washington, DC 20362		1
7.	Mr. Olin M. Pearcy NSRDC Code 2833 Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, MD 21402		1
8.	LT Jerry W. Boykin 1717 Moon Valley Drive Virginia Beach, VA 23456		1
9.	Mr. Mark Goldberg NSRDC Code 2833 Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, MD 21402		1
10.	Mr. Eugene P. Weinert Head, Combined Power and Gas Turbine Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, PA 19112	n	1

121

11.	Mr. Donald N. McCallum NAVSEC Code 6136 Naval Ship Engineering Center Washington, DC 21362
12.	LT Carl Drucker, USN 1032 Marlborough Street Philadelphia, PA 19155
13.	LCDR C. M. Moss, USN 625 Midway Road Powder Springs, GA 30073
14.	LCDR J. A. Hill, USN RFD 2, Box 116B Elizabeth Lane York, ME 03909
15.	LCDR J. P. Harrel, Jr., USNR 1600 Stanley Ardmore, OK 73401
16.	LCDR R. J. Lemke, USN 2902 No. Cheyenne Tacoma, WA 98407
17.	LCDR C. P. Staehli, USN 2808 39th St., N.W. Gig Harbor, WA 98335
18.	LT R.S. Shaw, USN 147 Wampee Curve Summerville, SC 29483
19.	LCDR D. L. Ryan, USN 6393 Caminito Luisito San Diego, CA 92111
20.	LCDR C. C. Davis, USN 1608 Linden Drive Florence, SC 29501
21.	LCDR D. Welch, USN 1036 Brestwick Commons Virginia Beach, VA 23464
22.	CDR P. D. Ross, Jr., USN 6050 Henderson Drive, No. 8 La Mesa, CA 92041

.

23. CAPT F. S. Hering NAVSEA Systems Command (SEA 55X) Washington, D.C. 20362

1

1

1

- 24. LCDR N. D. Pritchard Main Street St. Remy, N.Y. 12401
- 25. Mr. Joseph Londino NAVSEA Code 56X11 Washington, DC 20362