RADC-TR-83-126 In-House Report May 1983



# AD-4233823

FILE COPY

A SIMULATION ANALYSIS OF SPACE-BASED AND AIRBORNE MOVING PLATFORM RADARS IN LOOK-DOWN CLUTTER

Paul L. Ropak



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441

83 10 18 020

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-83-126 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED:

FRED J. DEMMA Chief, Surveillance Technology Branch Surveillance Division

APPROVED:

FRANK J. REHM Technical Director Surveillance Division

for P. Huna FOR THE COMMANDER: <

JOHN P. HUSS Acting Chief, Plans Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC ( OCTM ) Griffiss AFB NY 13441. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

#### UNCLASSIFIED

100

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. RESORT NUMBER 2. GOV	YT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
RADC-TR-83-126	S. TYPE OF PERSET & PERIOD COVERES
A STARTATION ANALVELS OF SDACE_BASED	AND In-House Report
ATRBORNE MOVING PLATFORM RADARS IN L	AND IN-HOUSE Report
DOWN CLUTTER	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AU THOR(e)	N/A B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Paul L. Repak	N/A
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Criffice AFR NV 13641	02/U2F
Griffiss Arb NI 15441	45061766
II. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	May 1983
Rome Air Development Center (OCTM)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Griffiss AFB NY 13441	
IN MUNITURING AGENCY NAME & AUDRESS(II dilloroni iron)	IDIOT ACCTUTED
Same	UNCLASSIFIED
	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
IS METRIBUTION STATEMENT OF THE BOARD	N/A
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
None	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il neasesary and ident	ity by block number)
Radar	
Clutter Staulatton	
9 Tmats (101	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identi	ty by block number)
A simulation technique has been devel	loped to provide the radar engineer
with a tool for comparative examination	lon of radar systems and target detec
tion in the presence of look-down clu	stter. Using a plotting interface
such as the pedicated User Interface evaluate proposed reder destans easi	oystem (DUID), an engineer can
performance in a precise graphical fo	ormat.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Cont'd on reverse)
D. FORM 1473 FOITION OF LHOU SE IS APRAL FOR	
TAN 28 14/3 CONTON OF INCOME IS GROUETE	UNCLASSIFIED
	BEUNITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS FACE (MAN DATE SHO
	,\
	.\

Carter Content

たたるので

an an an an an an

#### UNCLASSIFIED.

#### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

The user is able to select an antenna function from either measured data or derived data under the existing Parametric Antenna Analysis Software (PAAS). The antenna platform may be at any designated altitude and velocity with respect to ground clutter scatterers. Entry of an exoatmospheric altitude automatically computes the proper circular satellite orbit velocity and introduces Earth rotation. Target radar echoes at specified ground locations are compared to clutter echoes in the sidelobes as well as the radar mainbeam. Analysis of output data serves as a measure of moving target minimum detectable velocity (MDV) for the total radar system.

Written for analysts with some technical doppler radar and clutter understanding this report leads the engineer through the theory and equations which develop the simulation computer program. Example cases and analyses are given to show program utility and output results.

## UNCLASSIFIED

1

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIT PAGE(When Data Entri

A CANADA A CANADA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Introduction	1
Range-Doppler Cells	1
Geometric Analysis	4
Clutter Intensity Diagram	9
Directing the Antenna	12
Rotating Earth Model	13
The Clutter Simulation Program	15
Example Clutter Program Run	17
Antenna With Blockage	22
Minimum Detectable Velocity	24
Limitations	30
Future Development Efforts	31
Conclusion	31



i

ففتارة بحيصا وتناعرا الار

「「「「」」

# APPENDICES

I	Listing of Program CLUTR
II	Listing of Program RANGE
III	Listing of Program SCAN
IV	Glossary

----

# LIST OF FIGURES

3

NUMBER	TITLE						
1a	Faual Pance Contours From a Moving Platform	2					
14		2					
ID	Equal Doppler Contours From a Moving Platform	2					
2a	Equal Range and Equal Doppler Map on the Earth's Surface	3					
2b	Sampled Resolution Limited Map	4					
3	Geometry of a Moving Platform	5					
4a	Geometry at the Horizon	6					
4b	Geometry at Intermediate Ranges	6					
5a	Clutter Intensity Diagram	10					
5b	Relationship Between a Pencil Beam Antenna	10					
	Footprint and the Clutter Intensity Diagram						
6	Clutter Intensity Diagram - Discrete Scatterer	11					
7	Coordinate Transformation Axes	12					
8	Rotating Earth Doppler Components	13					
9	Program CLUTR Block Diagram	16					
10	3-D Omnidirectional Antenna Clutter Intensity	17					
11	40 dB Taylor Far Field Antenna Pattern	18					
12	3-D Clutter Intensity Plot - 5 <sup>°</sup> Grazing Angle	21					
13	3-D Clutter Intensity Plot - 30 <sup>0</sup> Grazing Angle	21					
14	40 dB Taylor Far Field Antenna Pattern With 5% Central Blockage	22					
15	3-D Clutter Intensity Plot With Blockage 5 <sup>0</sup> Grazing Angle	23					

iii

10.20

.)

1.0

A DOM TO A DOM

# NUMBER

20

and it.

# TITLE

PAGE

16	3-D Clutter Intensity Plot With Blockage 30 <sup>°</sup> Grazing Angle	23
17a	Target to Clutter Ratio vs. Doppler Bin 30 <sup>0</sup> Grazing Angle - Range Bin 55	24
17Ъ	Target to Clutter Ratio vs. Doppler Bin 30° Grazing Angle - Range Bin 55	25
18a	Target to Clutter Ratio vs. Doppler Bin 5 <sup>0</sup> Grazing Angle – Range Bin 115	26
18b	Target to Clutt <mark>er Ratio vs. Doppler Bin</mark> 5 <sup>0</sup> Grazing Angle – Range Bin 115	27
19	3-D Clutter to Target Ratio 5 <sup>0</sup> Grazing Angle	29
20	3-D Clutter to Target Ratio 30 <sup>0</sup> Grazing Angle	29

ANT

ومترجفه

#### INTRODUCTION

Analysis of radar detection performance in moving platforms, either in a satellite or aircraft, is a continuing area of interest. The need to detect smaller targets in look-down geometries, plus the expected difficulty of achieving deterministic low sidelobe patterns in space deployable antennas and for conformal antennas on various airframes has dictated a relook at the moving target detection design for such radar systems.

This report describes a simulation analysis computer program which examines the target detection performance of space based and airborne surveillance radars in look-down clutter. The computer model samples ground clutter with specified radar cross section and grazing angle effect and analyzes clutter signal power intensity as an effective area in range and range-rate (doppler) space. With included targets and antenna parameter data, a computed target to clutter ratio plotted for some range over all range-rates is shown as a means of measuring target minimum detectable velocity. A 3-D plot of clutter intensity as program output indicates how antenna systems may be compared with one another in terms of target detectability. The program is capable of plotting in 3-D format the clutter intensity or clutter to target ratio over all range and doppler which is visible to the antenna. The 3-D plots at a glance indicate the relative merit of given radar antenna systems.

General program parameters are developed with geometric consideration of both a non-rotating and a more complex rotating earth model. Current program limitations are discussed as well as continuing and future improvement efforts. Although this program is applicable to any set of monostatic antenna and platform parameters, a specific example is given as an illustrative example.

#### **RANGE-DOPPLER CELLS**

The problem of computing range-doppler sample data from a moving platform is outlined in figures la and lb. A range gated doppler radar analyzes the returned signal in both time (range) and frequency

- 1 -

14. 16 1. 18 1.

(doppler). The signal is processed by first dividing it into a number of intervals in time (range gates) and then analyzed by passing it through a set of doppler frequency filters. The locus of points of equal range from a monostatic radar antenna which intersects the earth is called an isorange and is a circle centered about the platf.rm subpoint. All such sampled ranges produce a series of concentric circles on the earth (figure 2a).



Figure la.

Figure 1b.

Unfortunately, the locus of equal range-rates intersecting the earth is not as easy to characterize. Relative to a moving platform the locus of points in space of equal doppler shift is a cone whose vertex is at the antenna, and whose axis coincides with the velocity vector of the platform. Intersection with the spherical earth results in a distorted conic section called an isodop. The maximum doppler component of ground clutter is thus the platform velocity relative to the earth multiplied by the cosine of the minimum cone angle which intersects the e -th (figure 1b). The zero doppler component will be at all range point with lie orthogonal to the velocity vector (neglecting rotating

- 2 -

#### earth effects).

The intersection of isoranges and isodops for a radar system with contiguous range gates and doppler filters is sketched in figure 2a. To limit the processing required, the radar clutter returns may be sampled at a finite number of ranges equally spaced from the minimum to the maximum expected range. The doppler data may also be sampled by a finite number of doppler filters equally spaced between maximum and zero The minimum width of the range gates may be taken down to the doppler. range resolution limit of the radar system. Similarly, the doppler filter bandwidth minimum limit depends on the radar system characteristics. The intersection of N isoranges with M isodops and their respective resolution widths define NxM range-doppler cells (see figure 2b). It is from these cells that the simulation clutter data is sampled and computed.



Figure 2a. Equal Range and Equal Doppler Map on Earth's Surface

- 3 -

1. 4. J. 4. T.



Figure 2b. Sampled Resolution Limited Map

#### GEOMETRIC ANALYSIS

Figure 3 shows a moving platform radar antenna at a distance H above the earth's surface in a right hand coordinate system. A geometric analysis of figure 3 yields the equations necessary to calculate the range-doppler cells and their effective clutter contribution to the radar echo.

Any point in the far field of an antenna can be represented by a pair of direction cosines  $T_x$  and  $T_y$ , where the relationship with spherical coordinate angles is:

$$T_{x} = \cos(A_{x}) = \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi)$$
$$T_{y} = \cos(A_{y}) = \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi)$$

It is convenient to place the moving antenna platform at the center of the coordinate system with the velocity vector  $\overline{V}$  coinciding with the

- 4 -



Į,

Y axis and the Z axis pointing up. The reference to a point on the ground may be given in terms of a vertical elevation angle C and an azimuthal scan angle S measured from  $\nabla$ . The direction cosines are simply related by:

$$T_x = sin(C)sin(S)$$
  
 $T_y = sin(C)cos(S)$ 

From figure 4a the elevation angle of the horizon, which is the complement of the minimum horizon cone angle  $A_{ymin}$ , and the range to the visible horizon  $R_{max}$  are:

$$sin(C_{max}) = cos(A_{ymin}) = Re/(Re+H)$$

$$R_{max} = ((Re+H)^2 - Re^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$



Figure 4a. Geometry at the Horizon

Figure 4b. Geometry at Intermediate Ranges

- 6 -

Same and the second

Similarly, for any given range R(I) corresponding to some range bin I, figure 4b and the law of cosines results in the elevation angle C(I):

$$C(I) = \arccos \left[ \frac{R^{2}(I) + (R_{e} + H)^{2} - R_{e}^{2}}{2R(I)(R_{e} + H)} \right]$$

The grazing angle G(I) at a particular range R(I) is given by figure 4b and the law of sines:

$$G(I) = \arccos\left[\frac{\frac{R_e + H}{e}}{R_e}\sin(C(I))\right]$$

Consider now the equally spaced sampled range and doppler bins of figure 2b. The distance between the N range bins is determined by dividing the total range difference by the number of range gates less one:

$$D_{r} = (R_{max} H)/(N-1)$$

The range of the I<sup>th</sup> (I=1 to N) bin is calculated from:

$$R(I) = (I-1)D_r + H$$

where the madir is at R(1)=H and the horizon is at  $R(I=N)=R_{max}$ .

To avoid the problems of clutter cell area on the horizon, for the purposes of the simulation the range difference is divided by N instead of N-1. This has the effect of decreasing  $D_r$  slightly and placing the last range bin R(N) a small distance back from the horizon. If the range resolution of the radar is  $\Delta r$ , from figure 4b the effective ground range coverage for small  $\Delta r$  is  $\Delta r/\cos(G(I))$ . Of course N must be chosen so that  $D_r$  is significantly larger than this value to prevent range overlapping in the range bins of interest.

The M equally spaced doppler bins are equivalently computed from the radial velocity components, since the two way radar doppler shift is proportional to the radial velocity seen at the antenna platform and the wavelength of the radar operating frequency:

A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

$$\mathbf{F}_{d} = 2\mathbf{V}_{r}/\lambda$$

where the radial velocity component is related to the platform velocity (for a stationary earth):

$$V_r = V_{cos(A)}$$

Then the frequency difference between the M equally spaced doppler filters, and the cone angles which yield the M isodops are:

$$D = 2V \cos(A) / M\lambda$$
  
f p ymin  
$$A_y(J) = \arccos(JD_f \lambda / 2V_p) \qquad J=1 \text{ to } M$$

If the doppler filter width is  $\Delta f$ , then the angles which yield the N isodops of width  $\Delta f$  are between  $A_{ij}(J)$  and:

$$\mathbf{A}_{yd}(\mathbf{J}) = \arccos((\mathbf{J}\mathbf{D}_{f} + \Delta f)\lambda/2\mathbf{V}_{p})$$

A critical factor arises at this point in the calculations. Since a cosine function has its most rapid change at large angles, most of the equally spaced doppler bins will be crowded at cone angles greater than 45 degrees away from the velocity vector. By the same reasoning, the ground coverage due to these angles is larger for smaller cone angles (i.e. close to the plane of motion near the horizon). In effect the area of the range-doppler bins of figure 2b becomes larger as the plane of motion is approached.

Now the clutter intensity in the radar echo will be a function of the area of the range-doppler cells in figure 2b. From here on a simplifying assumption must be made concerning the shape of the range-doppler cells. As long as the resolution width of the range bins and doppler bins is small compared to the distance between them, each cell can be approximated as a rectangular area. The radial component is a simple function dependent on the grazing angle and range resolution, but the lateral component requires a somewhat more complicated geometric

- 8 -

analysis of the vectors which define the isodops and their equivalent resolution widths at each range.

#### CLUTTER INTENSITY DIAGRAM

Consider the case of continuous scatterers uniformly distributed on the earth's surface and a radar with infinitely fine resolution. If the radar is unambiguous in both range and doppler, the clutter scatter signal strength C(r,r) will be a function of at least four components. First is the  $1/R^4$  two way path loss attenuation factor from the radar range equation. Second is the radar cross section  $\sigma_0$ , of the ground scatterers. Several models are available which describe ground RCS as a function of terrain type and grazing angle. The third component is the two way relative antenna gain as a function of directional cosines  $T_x$ and  $T_y$ . The directional cosines correspond to those angles which yield r and r at the earth's surface. Finally, the area of the range-doppler cells must be computed which will determine the number of discrete scattering centers, providing the integrated clutter signal power.

Presuming for the moment an omnidirectional antenna with unity gain and uniformly distributed range-doppler scatterers, the clutter intensity diagram takes on the smoothly shaded appearance of figure 5a. Range R is plotted along the ordinate axis and the direction cosine angle  $A_y$  is plotted on the abscissa. Dark shading represents regions of high clutter echo intensity (integrated radar return signal power).

The distinctive "U" shape of the expected clutter return of figure 5a is strictly a function of platform altitude and earth radius. Outside this shaded area is a clutter free region where no combination of range and cone angle intersect the earth's surface and in this region a target would not compete with surface clutter. Directly beneath the antenna platform corresponds to a zero degree elevation angle and 90 degree cone angle, with range equal to platform altitude (figure 1b). Progressing outward in range one reaches the radio horizon, which is the range of the visible horizon from the elevated antenna platform. Greater ranges would correspond to range-doppler cells which do not intersect the earth. Range excursion along the central vertical of figure 5a at a constant 90 degree cone angle is a vertical scan in

- 9 -

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

elevation orthogonal to the plane of motion, while travel along the "U" edge is a vertical scan in the plane of motion. A depiction of the relationship between a pencil beam antenna footprint and the clutter intensity diagram is shown in figure 5b. Notice that near the edge, close to the plane of motion, the intensity rises due to the increased area size of the range-doppler cells. Since the cell area is larger, effectively more point scatterers are covered per cell resulting in a greater power intensity.





Figure 5a. Clutter Intensity Diagram C(r,r)

#### Figure 5b.

Relationship Between a Pencil Beam Antenna Footprint and the Clutter Intensity Diagram Now consider a somewhat more realistic antenna with finite range resolution  $\Delta r$  and finite doppler resolution  $\Delta r$  corresponding to discrete noncontiguous range-doppler cell scatterers. The clutter scatter function for each cell is depicted in figure 6. This discrete characterization of the distributed returns  $C(\Delta r, \Delta \dot{r})$  for the I<sup>th</sup> specific range bin and the J<sup>th</sup> specific doppler bin is used in the computer program simulation. The clutter scatter function of each cell is an integral over the range and doppler cell widths.

$$C_{i,j}(\Delta r, \Delta \dot{r}) = \int_{r_i - \Delta r/2}^{r_i + \Delta r/2} \int_{r_j - \Delta \dot{r}/2}^{r_j + \Delta \dot{r}/2} C(r_i, \dot{r}_j) dr_i d\dot{r}_j$$



Figure 6. Clutter Intensity Diagram Discrete Scatterer Case C(Ar,Ar)

For an unambiguous range gated pulse doppler radar with a known far field antenna pattern weighting (including sidelobes) as a function of directional cosines, one only needs to multiply the discrete clutter by the weighting function  $ANT(T_x, T_y)$  to obtain the clutter intensity function of the antenna.

#### DIRECTING THE ANTENNA

The question of directing the antenna main beam toward some specified point on the earth's surface must be addressed. In a phased array this is accomplished by electronic beam steering, which simply redefines the weighting function  $ANT(T_X, T_Y)$ . Alternatively, the antenna platform may be mechanically steered in roll, pitch, and yaw as defined in the right hand coordinate system of figure 7.



Figure 7. Coordinate Transformation Axes

Mechanical steering is accomplished by applying the Jacobian matrix:



(From Mathematical Handbook for Scientists and Engineers, Korn and Korn, 2nd ed., McGraw Hill, 1968) - 12 -

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF

where the primed coordinates are in the steered frame of reference. These new directional cosines are input to the modified weighting function  $ANT(T_x', T_y')$ . The antenna has been effectively steered toward the specified patch of earth.

#### ROTATING EARTH MODEL

Up to this point the effects of the rotating earth have been neglected. This assumption is valid for airborne antenna platforms which are in a rotating earth frame of reference, but the motion of space based radar platforms is independent of earth rotation and the earth will provide a component of doppler velocity. Consider a platform stationary in space with the earth rotating beneath as sketched in figure 8.



Figure 8. Rotating Earth Doppler Components

Clearly for an orbiting platform, the earth's doppler velocity component will be negative when looking in the eastern hemisphere, positive in the western hemisphere, and zero along the central meridian. Of course, to the earth component radial velocity must be added the radial component due to the orbital velocity of the satellite. Note that the line of zero total doppler velocity will no longer be precisely orthogonal to the velocity vector (except in an equatorial orbit). In

- 13 -

general for a moving platform in circular orbit, the radial doppler velocity component depends on altitude, orbit inclination, and the grazing angle of the ground clutter patch. In the simulation of a range-doppler cell radar system the total radial velocity component from each of the individual cells is given by:

$$V_r(I,J) = V_p cos(A_y) - V_e cos(G) cos(lat) cos(scan)$$
  
scan = arccos(cos(A<sub>y</sub>)/sin(C)) - arccos(cos(inc)/cos(lat))

where  $A_y$  is a function of I and J; G and C are functions of I; "inc" is orbital inclination; "lat" is instantaneous satellite sub-latitude; and  $V_e$  is the equatorial rotational velocity of the earth. The term "scan" is essentially the azimuthal scan angle measured from the satellite's instantaneous velocity vector.

The maximum total doppler velocity component will be at the horizon in the plane of motion. (Actually, depending on the position of the velocity vector, the rotating earth may change this maximum component direction, but for circular orbits up to geosynchronous altitudes the effect is small.)

$$V_{\rm rmax} = V_{\rm p} \cos(A_{\rm ymin}) - V_{\rm e} \cos(inc)$$

The velocity differential between each of the M equally spaced doppler bins is thus:

and the doppler velocity of the J<sup>th</sup> doppler bin is:

$$JV_{rmax} / M = V_r(I,J)$$

Solving for the cone angles  $A_y(I,J)$  which yield the desired equally spaced doppler bins results in a considerably more complex function than for the nonrotating earth model because of the combined I,J dependence.

- 14 -

$$cos(A_y(I,J)) = \frac{DV1 \cdot DV2 + DV3 \cdot SCV \cdot sin(C(I))}{DV2^2 + DV3^2}$$

where:

$$DV1 = (V_p \cos(A_{ymin}) - V_e \cos(inc))J/M$$
  

$$DV2 = V_p - V_e \cos(inc)/\cos(A_{ymin})$$
  

$$DV3 = (\cos^2(lat) - \cos^2(inc))^{\frac{1}{2}}V_e/\cos(A_{ymin})$$
  

$$SQV = \left[DV2^2 + DV3^2 - DV1^2/\sin^2(C(I))\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

If the doppler filter bandwidth is  $\Delta f$ , then the angles which yield the N isodops of width  $\Delta f$  are between A<sub>1</sub>(I,J) and:

$$\mathbf{A}_{yd}(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{A}_{y}(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{J}) |_{DV1=DV1} + \Delta f \lambda/2$$

Care must be exercised when applying these equations to take into account the direction of orbit and whether the antenna is pointed toward the northern or southern hemisphere. For the nonrotating earth case ( $\nabla_e$ =0), or for the satellite subpoint over a pole (inc=90, lat=90) the equations reduce to the simpler form previously derived.

#### THE CLUTTER SIMULATION PROGRAM

The program CLUTR is designed for either a space based radar antenna in circular orbit or for an airborne platform in level flight. The antenna far field weighting input data resides in a file which either has been previously generated from PAAS (Parametric Antenna Analysis Software) or from an actual antenna data tape.

The inputs for a circular orbiting space based moving platform radar are:

- (1) The altitude above the earth's surface.
- (2) The range and doppler bin resolution size.
- (3) The radar operating frequency.
- (4) The orbit inclination and platform sub-latitude.
- (5) The direction of orbit, east or west.
- (6) The main been pointing quadrant, north or south.

- 15 -

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER

(7) The antenna roll, pitch, and yaw pointing angles.

(8) The target radar cross section.

(9) The far field antenna weighting values  $ANT(T_x, T_y)$ .

Inputs for an airborne platform are the same except items (4), (5), and (6) are omitted and an input ground speed is required. Figure 9 is a block diagram of the basic components of the program.





The data from two auxiliary programs are required for inputs to the main program CLUTR. This is to insure that the radar main beam falls within one of the sampled range-doppler cells. Program RANGE provides a listing of range bin numbers with range R(I), elevation angle C(I), and grazing angle G(I) for each range bin I. Given a desired grazing angle, for example, the range bin number yielding the nearest grazing angle is selected. This grazing angle and corresponding elevation angle value must be entered inco CLUTR and will center the main beam in range I. Program SCAN provides a listing of azimuthal scan angle S(I,J) and cone angle  $A_y(I,J)$  for each doppler bin J at the range I. The value S(I,J) centers the main beam in doppler bin J.

- 16 -

Children and Contraction

#### EXAMPLE CLUTTER PROGRAM RUN

The utility of the simulation is best demonstrated with an example case. Consider first an omnidirectional antenna (i.e. all  $T_x$  and  $T_y$ antenna weighting values are unity) with the antenna platform in a 4000 nautical mile high circular orbit. Samples of 128 range bins and 128 doppler bins are taken, resulting in 128 range-doppler cells. The 3-D sampled clutter intensity diagram output is shown in figure 10. Notice that figure 10 is just one half of figure 6, with the inter-cell spaces compressed. The ragged edge is an artifact of the sampling process, as no samples are taken exactly in the plane of motion, but the distinctive "U" shape is clearly evident.







- 17 -

```
Next consider PAAS generated far field weighting for the following
hypothetical antenna system:
Diameter - 35m circular
Weighting - 40dB Taylor
Blockage - None
Wavelength - L Band
Range Resolution - 100m
Doppler Filter Bandwidth - 50Hz
Element Grid Spacing - .5\lambda
The central portion of the far field antenna pattern is shown in figure
11.
```







Now place the antenna aboard an orbiting platform with the following observation characteristics:

Altitude - 4000 nautical miles Inclination - 60 degrees Sub-latitude Point - 20 degrees Main Beam Scan - True north (000 degrees true) Desired Main Beam Grazing Angles - 5 degrees and 30 degrees Target RCS - 20dBsm

From the auxiliary program output RANGE, the grazing angle closest to  $30^{\circ}$  is  $30.049^{\circ}$ , corresponding to an elevation angle of  $23.59^{\circ}$  at range bin number 55. Similarly from the same output listing, a grazing angle of  $4.84^{\circ}$  is in range bin number 115 with an elevation of  $27.43^{\circ}$ . The antenna is steered by providing the elevation angle as a roll or pitch angle.

FH1 R	NGE		
ΙΝΡΊΤ Ι =4770.	THE ALTITUDE IN	NM	
ALTITU	E = 0.74080000	E 07 METERS	
RMAX	= 0.12217659	E OR METERS	
CEEHAX	= 0.27540163	E 02 DEGREES	
I	RAHGE([)	CRE(1)	JRAZ(I)
110	1.1503725E 07	27.3402605E 00	66.3459975E-01
111	1.1541301E 07	27.3613958E 00	62.7339971E-01
112	1.1578876E 07	27.3812790E 00	59.1364515E-01
113	1.1616452E 07	27.3999195E 00	55,5539829E-01
114	1.1654027E 07	27.4173350F DO	51,9357126E-01
115	1.1691673E 07	27.4335396E 00	48-4312826E-01
116	1.1729178E 07	27.4485452E 00	44.8207173E-01
117	1.1756754E 07	27.4623659E 00	41.3635564E-01
118	1.1904329E 07	27.4750142E 00	17-8495097E-01
119	1.1941905E 07	27.4865022E 00	34. 34867925-01
1.20	1 19794305 07	27 40694205 10	30 9404 /605-01

Sample Output Program RANGE  $5^{\circ}$  Grazing Angle Case

At this point in the orbit, the velocity vector heading relative to true north is:

> HDG = arcsin(cos(inc)/cos(lat)) = 32.15 degrees

> > - 19 -

Thus to scan to a true heading of 000 degrees a steering yaw of  $32.15^{\circ}$  is required. From the auxiliary program output SCAN, the nearest scan angle for the  $55^{\text{th}}$  range bin is  $32.718^{\circ}$  in the  $103^{\text{rd}}$  doppler bin; for the  $115^{\text{th}}$  range bin it is  $32.232^{\circ}$  in the  $119^{\text{th}}$  doppler bin.

ERN SCAN INPUT THE ALTITUDE IN NM =4000. ALTITUDE = 0.4000000E 04 NM ORBITAL VELOCITY = 0.53814546E 04 M/S ORBITAL VELOCITY = 0.10460711E 05 KNOIS INPUT SATELLITE INCLINATION ANGLE AND INSTANTANEOUS LATITUDE =60..20. IF FLYING EAST ENTER E IF FLYING WEST ENTER W = F IF LOOKING IN NORTH QUADRANT ENTER N IF LOOKING IN SOUTH QUADRANT ENTER S = M ENTER THE RANGE BIN NUMBER TO SEE ALL DOPPLER COMPONENTS AT THAT RANGE = [15 VECTUR HEADING = 0.32146700E 02 DEGREES 1 ALPHA(I,J) SCAN(1,J) VDOP KTS 114 58.2395792E 00 36.4217615E 00 3.9066537E 03 115 115 51.2515810E 00 35.4333115E 00 3.9/51914E 03 67.6597738E 00 57.3637438E 00 34,4088478E 00 33,3437486E 00 4.0094603E 03 4.0437292E 03 117 119

51.0529845E 00 119 32,2323437E 00 4.0179990E 03 50.7589665E 00 31.0675187E 00 4.1122570E 03 120 121 56.4445915E 00 29.8401928E 00 4.1465358E 03 4.1308047E 03 56.1251125E 00 28.5383661E 00 55.7970142E 00 27.1457679E 00 55.4582968E 00 25.6392066F 00 122 123 4.21507378 03 4.2493425E 03 124

Sample Output Program SCAN  $5^{\circ}$  Grazing Angle Case

Figure 12 is a 3-D plot of the antenna pattern weighted clutter intensity diagram for the  $5^{\circ}$  grazing angle case. The main beam clutter region is clearly defined (centered at range bin number 115 and doppler bin number 119), although it is smeared in range near the horizon. At low grazing angles such as this, even if the main beam has relatively narrow angular beamwidth, it will cover many range clutter cells due to the curvature of the earth. Again the "U" shape similar to figures 5a and 10 is apparent, with most of the clutter along the doppler edge. Even though the main beam is pointed well out in range and the sidelobes are very low, there is some close in clutter do to (1) the  $1/R^4$  factor

- 20 -



and the second second

which has much less effect for small R, and (2) the grazing angle effect which increases the ground patch clutter RCS for near ranges.

A similar plot in figure 13 is for the  $30^{\circ}$  grazing angle case. Here the beamwidth on the ground is relatively narrow and the sidelobes still contribute to the near range clutter, although performance is better because there is not such a large difference between the range of the main beam clutter and the nadir.

#### ANTENNA WITH BLOCKAGE

Consider the same PAAS generated antenna pattern, only this time with a 5% central blockage. The far field pattern is shown in figure 14. Notice that the blockage has significantly degraded the sidelobe structure so that this antenna would be expected to have degraded off axis clutter suppression.





- 22 -

Figure 15 is a 3-D plot of the clutter intensity diagram for the  $5^{\circ}$  grazing angle case with the blockage antenna. The general form is, as expected, the same as figure 12 but a considerable amount of sidelobe clutter has been introduced. Figure 16 is similarly a degraded version of figure 13.



## MINIMUM DETECTABLE VELOCITY

The target to clutter ratio output of CLUTR for the 55<sup>th</sup> range bin over all doppler bins is plotted in figure 17a and expanded in figure 17b. Output for the 115<sup>th</sup> range bin is plotted in figures 18a and 18b.





- 24 -





Target to Clutter Ratio Versus Doppler Bin 30° Grazing Angle Range Bin 55

- 25 -

in the second



Figure 18a.

Target to Clutter Ratio Versus Doppler Bin 5° Grazing Angle Range Bin 115

- 26 -

.....

the second second





Target to Clutter Ratio Versus Doppler Bin 5° Grazing Angle Range Bin 115

- 27 -

Charles Services 10 100

Notice that the minimum plot value falls in the 103rd doppler bin for the 55<sup>th</sup> range bin of figure 17b. This doppler bin corresponds to the radar return of a target which is stationary with respect to the earth. The rise to an infinite target to clutter ratio at doppler bin 112 corresponds to cone angle (target doppler) and elevation angle combinations which do not intersect the earth and hence the target does not compete with any clutter (clutter free region). Similarly, in figure 18b the stationary target minimum value falls in doppler bin 119.

The fixed clutter echoes with which the desired target signal must compete are those included within the same radar resolution cell as the target, or those which enter the receiver via the antenna sidelobes. To interpret the above plots in terms of minimum detectable velocity, note that the minimum value of the target to clutter ratio corresponds to a stationary target (relative to the earth) located in the same range-doppler cell as the clutter patch that the main beam is pointed to. This cell location is set to zero relative velocity between ground and target. Thus, in order to detect a stationary target a hypothetical receiver would require a detectability of better than -20 dB at a 30° grazing angle and better than -12dB at a 5<sup>o</sup> grazing angle. However as the target's radial velocity increases, detectability performance increases markedly. Each doppler bin number is equivalent to a radial velocity difference of 34.3 knots (another output of SCAN), which is constant over all range bins. At a  $30^{\circ}$  grazing angle, with a target velocity of +64.6 knots (two doppler bins) the receiver detectability requirement jumps to a more reasonable value of 25dB. Similarly at a 5° angle, a target velocity of +64.6 knots increases the grazing requirement to 32dB.

A composite of all 128 target to clutter ratio range bins produces the 3-D clutter to target ratios of figures 19 and 20. As clutter is the dominant factor and the target RCS is nonfluctuating, the 3-D clutter to target ratio plots are near copies of their respective 3-D clutter plots.

- 28 -



#### LIMITATIONS

The waveform characteristics are not included in the simulation model. There are two consequences of this limitation. First, the total energy transmitted in the radar range equation is unknown and as a result there can be no absolute level set on probability of detection of any given radar system; only the ratio of target to clutter return signal. Second, the particular shape of the waveform determines the resolution size (above that already determined by the physical antenna parameters) and the ambiguity function. The true radar return signal will be the convolution of the clutter intensity diagram and the ambiguity function.

The minimum detectable velocity is computed radial to the antenna platform, and as such the actual velocity of the target over the ground may be much larger in specific cases. This is especially true for large grazing angles where the target is illuminated from overhead. Dividing by the cosine of the grazing angle will in some sense result in a minimum ground speed in the radial plane.

The simulation presumes a "snapshot" radar image, and then only at designated sampled range-doppler cell positions. The intersection of the beam with the ground for a moving platform is a dynamic process (even more so for a moving target) which in a real system must be considered over an interval of time. Additionally, the target RCS is assumed nonfluctuating and independent of aspect angle.

The simulation program orbit is presumed circular. Many surveillance space radar applications, however, are in highly elliptical orbits. A minor program modification will handle elliptical orbits if the orbit's radial and tangential velocities are known.

To completely describe the far field antenna pattern of a radar system requires an enormous amount of computer memory space. The pattern can only be sampled at a finite number of discrete points in T space. The quality of the program results depends to a large extent on the fidelity of the far field pattern and the extent to which the sidelobe structure is detailed.

- 30 -

#### FUTURE DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS

The program CLUTR is in a continuous state of change and improvement. Current goals lead to inclusion of the radar waveform and eventual convolution with the ambiguity function. This will result in a true target to clutter ratio prediction for a given radar platform and will allow quantitative analysis of the system. The present program is only applicable to monostatic radars with no ambiguities, but the increasing importance and development of bistatic radar systems will dictate program expansion to bistatics capabilities as well. Ongoing future developments seek to expand the utility of the simulation with greater accuracy and a wider range of applications.

#### CONCLUSION

A major problem in designing a radar system with look-down capability is determining target detectability in the presence of ground clutter. The simulation analysis program is useful for the designer of a range gated pulse doppler to determine the clutter levels with which a target in a given cell must compete for detection. The results, while only a model and subject to certain limitations, should be most useful for comparing various candidate radar systems in the early concept stages. Considerable engineering savings in time and cost may be realized by the early elimination of inferior candidate antenna/platform system designs. The procedure described in this report should lead to more efficient radar system tradeoff analyses for the design engineer.

- 31 -

# APPENDIX - I

The second s

Listing of Program CLUTR

1

ù s

「「「大大大大大大大

ar star frank a s

A SIMULATION ANALYSIS OF SPACE-BASED AND AIRBORNE MOVING ALAFTORM RADARS IN LOOK-DOWN CLUTTER AND AIRBORNE MOVING WAR AND ATTACH PLOTD.F FILE WAR AND AN ATTACH PLOTD.F FILE WAR AND AND AND AN AND ANTAL HET - NALLEN AND ANALE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELFA - ANCLE BAN VELOCITY ALPHAM - MINIMUM RANGE ADPHER BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELFA - ANCLE BAN VELOCITY ALPHAM - MINIMUM RAGE ADPHER BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE ADPHER BINS DELFA - DISLANCE BETVEEN RANGE AND VECTOR TO POINT ON GROUND ALPHAM - MINIMUM RAGE ADPHER BINS DIELE - SCALE FACTOR S. C. SCALE - ANGLI MEASUNED FROM NADIR COMMON/ANTIONAL AND MIN VALUES OF TY IN T PLANE DIELE - DISLANCE AND MIN VALUES OF TY IN T PLANE DIELE - DISLANCE AND MIN VALUES OF TY IN T PLANE DIELE - DISLANCE ADD AND MIN VALUES OF TY IN T PLANE COMMON/ANTIBOX/ANTICASS.286.186.NBMAX.27R3J.A11P.A13P COMMON/ANTIBOX/ANTICASS.286.NBMAX.27R3J.A11P.A13P -84/86/83 . . LISTH CLUTR DIMENSION ANT(256) COMMON/ANTRANS/A11,A12,A13,A21,A22,A23,A11P.A12P COMMON/ANTRANS/A11,A12,A13,A21,A22,A23,A11P.A12P COMMON/ANTRIG/SINC.COSA2 COMMON/ANTRIG/SINC.COSA2 COMMON/ANGE/IPJ.dvidE.FVIDE.HANGEX.TMINY COMMON/ANGE/IPJ.dvidE.FVIDE.HANGEX.RANGEY COMMON/ANGE/IPJ.dvidE.FVIDE.HANGEX.RANGEY COMMON/ANGE/IPJ.dvidE.FVIDE.HANGEX.RANGEY COMMON/ANGE/IPJ.dvidE.FVIDE.HANGEX.COM COM/ANGEX.COM COM/ANACEX.COM COM/ANGEX.COM COM/ANACEX.COM COM/ANGEX.COM COM/ANGEX.COM COM/ANACEX.COM COM/ANGEX.COM COM/ANACEX.COM COM/ANACEX.CO <u>-</u> 5 13.60 151.052.738539321hm , HG I 7

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER

99 Ę 18 ī 53 IMPUT SATELLILE OPDIT DATA BYPANS SATELLITE OATA IF AIRBERNE PLATFORM (F. CHM.LT.98.0) GO TO 89 VEL.E. (06.1999)"AND UN SATELLITE INCLINATION VELLE (06.1999)"AND INSTANTALEOUS LATITUDE" NEADCOS.1999()YINC.XLAT NEADCOS.1999()YINC.XLAT FOR ALTITUDES LESS THAN 30 NM. ASSUME AIRBORNE PLATFORM IF(HHM.GT.90.0) GO TO 81 VRITE(06.1000) INPUT AIRCRAFT'S GROUND SPEED IN KNOTS" READ(03.1000) GS JUAD=0.0 JIRE=0.0 XIAT=0.0 XIHC=0.0 VEL=GS=0.5144444 SET UP ANTENNA PATTERN FORMAT NBMAX & LRJ\*LRK JWIDE & LRJ\*16 KWIDE & LRJ\*16 RANGEY & TMAXX-TMINX RANGEY & TMAXY-TMINY ATTACH OUTPUT DATA FILE FOR RTI AND 3-D PLOTTING CALL ATTACH(27."BHICLANCY/DATA.R:".3.Ø.ISTAT. ) CALL RANSIZ(27.128) SET SPACE/AIR TRANSITION ALTITUDE HNM=91, IF(RESP3.EQ.N) GO TO 14 FORMATIVE CONTINUE WRITE(06.1000)" " 
 WRITE(86,1808)\*GROUND SPEED \*\*.VEL.\*

 G0 to 14

 WRITE(86,1808)\*ALTITUDE \*\*.HGT.\* ME

 WRITE(86,1808)\*ORBITAL VELOCITY \*\*.
 WRITE(00.1300)"INPUT THE NEW ALTITUDE IN Read(05.1000)HNM HGT=HNM\*1852.0 Vel=1.9976E07\*SQRT(1/(HGT+RE)) WRITE(06,1000)"DO YOU WISH TO CHANGE THE CIRCULAR ORBIT ALTITUDE 7" WRITE(06,1000)"ENTER Y OR N" R (1),05,1000)RESP3 WRITE(#6,1000)" " Alti=HGT/1052.0 WRITE(#6,1000)"ALTITUDE =",ALTI." WRITE(86,1888)" " WRITE(86,1888)" INSURE THAT MAX ANTENNA VALUES" WRITE(86,1888)" AND LIMITS ARE SET" XL4N/.31/.DELTAR/78./~DELTF/20./.SF/1.0E30/.LRJ/16/.LRK/16/. TMAXX/.30758/.TMINX/-.20921/.TMAXY/.20921/~TMINY/-.20788/ DATA V/IHV/.N/IHN/.S/IHS/.E/IHE/.W/IHW/ - . VEL . NM. W/S-NN 1 ANGLE" M/S"

and the second of the second of the second

----

0691 1629C 19140 14600 438 9651 450 400C 413 69 70 SET FLAGS FOR DESIRED PLOTTING WRIFE(166,1898)"ENTER S FOR 3-D CLUTTER, 1 FOR C/T 3-D PLOT" READ(56,1898)"ENTER -1 FOR 3-D ONLY" WRITE(55,1888) ITD READ(\$5,1888) ITD 1F(1TD,EQ.-1) GO TO 413 COMPUTE THE MINIMUM CONE ANGLE WHICH INTERSECTS THE EARTH AND THE RANGE TO THE HORIZON COMPLUE COMATORIAL ROTATIONAL VELOCITY VENULARDISTICLER REZ36400.0 WRITE(86.1888)"IF LOOKING IN WRITE(86.1888)"IF LOOKING IN READ(85.1880)RESP2 IF(RESP2.EG.N) QUAD-1.8 IF(RESP2.EG.S) QUAD-1.8 IF(RESP2.EG.S) QUAD-1.8 IF(XINC.LT.S.J) QUAD--QUAD UD-JUAD-DIRE ALCUVATE CONSTANTS FOR LATER USE TV3TOCHT CONSTANTS FOR LATER USE TV3TOCHT CONSTANTS CONSTANTS/CAM\*\*2 DV3TOCHT CONSTANTS UV12\*CVLCCCT-VE\*CINC1/128.10 VV2\*CV2\*CVLCCT+VE\*CINC1/128.10 VV2\*CV2\*CVLCCT+VE\*CINC1/128.10 ROGRAM SECTION COMMON/GEOM/PI.RE.HGT.VEL.XLAM.DELTAR.DELTF.SF COMMON/ANTENNA/ANTX.LRJ.LRK.TMAXX.TMINX.TMAXY.TMINY COMMON/CLUTTER/CRRDOT.ALPHA.CEE WRITE(#6.1889)\*IF FLVING NRITE(#6.1889)\*IF FLVING READ(#8.1888)#ESP IF(RESP.EQ.W)DIRE=-1.9 IF(RESP.EQ.E)DIRE=1.9 ALPHAM=(PI/2.)-AR5IN(RE/(RE+HGT)) CAM=C05(ALPHAM) WRITE(06.1003) "INPUT TARGET RCS (M\*\*2)" Read(06.1000) TRCS XLAT=XLAT=PI/188.8 CINC=COS(XINC) CLAT=COS(XLAT) CD=ARCOS(CINC/CLAT) CONTINUE WRIIE(U5.1000)"INPUT 3 HANGE BINS TO BE PLOTTED" READ(05.1000)NR1.NR2.NR3 CALL ANTEN CALL COORD EAST I I Z Z NORTH QUADRANT ENTER N" SOUTH QUADRANT ENTER S" ENTER 54

1 3

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

Ľ

		23660	22222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222	2318C 2388 2388 2388 2388 2388 2388 2388 23	2260C 2290C	2239C 2239C 2259C	2222 JC	5189 51812 51812	2160C	202120	1999 9999 29992	20500	201200 20100 20100 20100	20102 20102 20102 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	1973 1973	1949	28161 24861 24681 24681	1829C
	14	533	821		617	717										2	CA!	
EMU OF DOPZLER LOOP WRITE(27:1) CERBOT IF(JID.EQ1) GO TO 72 IF(JID.EQ1) GO TO 72	CONT LNG?	RESET CLUTTER FLAG JCH-1	SET ZERO CLUTTER Crrdot(J)#8.8 TCrrtio(J)#8.8	GO TO 633	CALL CVEIGHT(ALPHA.COSAX,TPVR.ICR.ICT.IX.JX.\$521)	ZERO CLUTTER FLAG Icraø	GO TO 719	CALL AREA(RI4SF.CRRDOT.IX.JX.DELTAR.\$717)	CALL ROTEAR(SINC.COSAX.S717)	BYPASS CLUTTER AT NADIR 1F(1.EQ.1) GO TO 717	00 ، 10 مرد المرد 10 مرد 10	ENTER DOPPLER LOOP	COMPUTE TARGET TO CLUTTER RATIO TPUR-TRCS-RIASE	SET CLUTTER FLAG ICR+1 IX+1	SINCHSIN(CEE(1)) COSAZECOS(CEE(1))	11EF RANGE LOOP DO 72 Imlul28 RIASRASFASF (BTA	CULATE C(R.RDOT) FOR EACH RANGE/DOPPLER BIN 1 - Range Loop 3 - Doppler Loop	CALL GRZ(HGT.RE)

A CONTRACT OF A

361.5 2030 30631 3664 3664 871 4444 10 10 1 1988 142 72 COMPUTE COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX VALUES A11 = COS(PIT)\*COS(YAW) A12 = -COS(PIT)\*SIN(YAW) A13 = SIN(PIT) A21 = SIN(PL)\*SIN(PIT)\*COS(YAW)\*COS(ROL)\*SIN(YAW) A21 = SIN(ROL)\*SIN(PIT)\*SIN(YAW)\*COS(ROL)\*COS(YAW) A22 = -SIN(ROL)\*SIN(PIT)\*SIN(YAW)\*COS(ROL)\*COS(YAW) A11 = CONCANTED (COS(P))\* A12 = SIN(ROL)\*SIN(PIT)\*SIN(YAW)\*COS(ROL)\*COS(YAW) A12 = SIN(ROL)\*SIN(P))\* 3. . . . . INPUT ANTENNA DIRECTIONAL PARAMETERS WRITE(#6.1000) TINPUT ROLL, PITCH, VAW READ(05.1000) ROL.PIT.VAN SUBROUTINE COORD IF((IC1.NE.1).AND.(ICT.NE.#)) GO TO 142 WRITE(46.1494) "TYPE CTRL F BEFORE 3-D PLOTTING" VRITE(46.1494) "NEXT RUN CONVER.F" JF(ICT.NE.#) GO TO 141 VRJIE(46.1494) "FOLLOWED BY PAAS.TEM" STOP END C UD NALA A AUL = RUL\*P1/188. P17 = P11\*P1/188. VAV = VAV\*P1/188. Antrot = Antrot\*P1/188. COMMON/ANTRANS/A11.A12.A13.A21.A22.A23.A11P.A12P DATA P1/3.1418927/ FORMAT(V) VRIIE(35,1998)"INPUT ANTENNA ROTATION ANGLE (CCV++)" REAC(85,1998) ANTROT ROUFINE TO TRANSFORM DIRECTIONAL COSINES COSAX AND COSAY FROM HORIZONTAL COORDINATES TO ARBITRARY COORDINATES OF Known Roll, Pitch, and Yaw CALL DETACH(27.ISTAT. ) CALL DETACH(29.ISTAT. ) CALL PLOTD(TCRATIO.128.1.5.1.5.1.5) WRITE(56.1555) '220' READ 1358.1STAT IF(ISTAT.EQ.1) GO TO 4444 PRINT, Type CR When Ready' READ 1535,RPLY CONTINUE NADIR ALONG -Z AXIS \* 27 2 2 4 ,如果是当然不能有什么的,你们们不不不能是这些是是不能能是这个人的。 ĨN DEGREES" オント ちんそう たんちいちょう ちょう

¥.

「こことのおとうないないない」と

della servez est

. . .

3618C 3569C 3569 3579 3539C 3760 37560 3758 358øC 3680 36500 363W 2592 35 3642 32200 1020 1£3 3590 3 MAC 19C 6 111 300 400 50 SUBROUTINE GRZ(HGT.RE) CALCULATE ELEVATION ANGLE CEE FOR EACH RANGE INCREMENT AND GRAZING ANGLE GRAZ SUBROUTINE ANTEN THE FIRST ELEVATION ANGLE IS NORMAL TO THE EARTH CEE(1)-0.0.0 FIND THE ANTENNA VEIGHTING MAXIMUM VALUE CTRVT-ANTX(137-1)\*\*4 READ ANTENNA PATTERN FROM INPUT FILE AND PLACE INTO 2-DIMENSIONAL LOOK-UP TARLE ANT(IX.JX) RETURN End COMPUTE RANGE BIN SPACING SUCH THAT THE LAST BIN IS PRIOR TO THE HORIZON Resource\*\*2 RhtSum(re+hgt)\*\*2 Rhax=sort(RhtSu-reso) Delr=(rmax-hgt)/128, CONTMON/ANTENX/ANTX(286,286),NBMAX,CTRWT DIMENSION ANT(286) COMPLEX ANT COMMON/ANGLE/R(128).CEE(128).SIGMA(128).GRAZ(128) DATA P1/3.1415927/ CALL ATTACH(29."FFIANTONIK/ANT:".3.8.ISTAT. ) CALL RANSIZ(29.512) DO 59 IH1.128 R(1)HGT+(FLOAT(I-1)HDELR) DO 400 IX=1.NBMAX READ(29 IX+1)ANT DO 300 JX=1.256 ANTX(IX,JX) = CABS(ANT(JX)) CONTINUE CONTINUE COMPUTE THE GRAZING ANGLE GRAZ(1)=ARCOS(((RE+HGT)/RE)=SIN(CEE(1))) GRAZDEG=GRAZ(1)=184.8/PI GRACING ANGLE EFFECT IF(GRAZDEG.GT,15.\$) GO 10 111 SIGMADB+\$.067\*GRAZDEG-32.\$ GO 10 333 IF([.EQ.]) GO TO 53 CEE([]=ARCOS((-RESQ+(R([)=R([))+RHTSQ)/(2.=R([)=(RE+HGT))) 7F:GRAZUEG.GT.**60.0**) GO TO 222 Sigmadd=0.156\*Grazdeg-24.33

A1-6

3748 3768 3768 3768 4289C 1959C 17980 1900 222 88 555 565 te UDROUTINE CREATENSES (REDOT. 1.J.DELTAR.\*) 91 COMPUTE DIFFERENTIAL ANGLE ALPHAD OVID=DVI-DELTF\*XLAM/2.# SQVSQD=VV2-(DVID/SINC)#=2 SQVD=3QPT(SQVSQD)#QD ALPHAD=AUVID=DV2DY3TSINC#SQVD ALPHAD=ARCOS(XNUMED/VV2) RETUPN RETUPN AND THE CLUTTER INTENSITY CRADUE SUBROUTINE NOTEAR(SINC, COSAX, ") COMMON/ROTATE/DV1J.DV2.DV3.VV2.J COMMON/CONE/ALPHAJ.ALPHAD.ICR COMMON/CONST/XLAM.XINC.DELTF.QD COMMON/CONT/SUPHA.ALPHAD.ICR CUMMON/CONT/SUPHA.ALPHAD.ICR CUMPUTE ANGLES CORRESPONDING TO DOPPLER BINS COMPUTE SCAN ANGLE AND RELATIVE SCAN ANGLE Scan=Arcos(cos(alpha)/sinc) RSCAN=QD=Scan COMPUTE FRACTIONAL DOPPLER VELOCITY DV1+FLGAT(J)\*DV1J SQVSG+VVZ-(DV1/SINC)##2 COMPUTE X DIRECTION COSINE COSAX=SIN(RSCAN)=SINC COMPUTE CONE ANGLE ALPHA ALPHA-ARCOS(XNUME/VV2) NEGATIVE INCLINATION TEST IF(XINC.LT.S.S) COSAX-COSAX TEST FOR INVALID ANGLE OFF EARTH JF(SJVSG)LT, #, #) GO TO 91 SQV=SQRT(SQVSG)FOD XNUME=DV1\*DV2+DV3\*S/NC\*SQV F NC IF (GRAZDEG, GT, **87.8**) GO TO SIGMAD8-1.5"GRAZDEG-1**\$**5.**9** GO TO 333 60 70 333 t

A1-7

~ A el

4699C 4798 4798 4798 4748C 4758C 17390 129C 1 2 65 69 0.=R(1)\*COS(ALPHAD-(SCL\*XNUMB))
1F (C .GE D) GO TO 69
1F (A .LT B) GO TO 71
SCL\*(ALPHAD-ALPHA)/1#.
(0 TO 62
(1 (A PA)-(B\*B))
SIZ\*SGRT((A\*A)-(B\*B))
SIZ\*SGRT((A\*A)-(B\*B))
SIZ\*SGRT((C\*C)-(D\*D)))
SIZ\*SGRT((C\*C)-(D\*D)))
SIZ\*SGRT((C\*C)-(D\*D)))
SIZ\*SIZ
EX\*SGRT((SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)\*(SIX-SIX)
EZ\*(SIZ-SIZ)\*(SIX-SIX)\*(SIX A=R(1)\*SIN(CEE(1)) SCL=0 XNUMB:0 Continue B=R(1)\*COS(ALPHA) ROUTINE TO VEIGHT CRRDDY BY COMPUTED ANTENNA PATTERN FROM KNOWN VELOCITY VECTOR ANGLE ALPHA AND NADIR ANGLE CEE(I) SUBROUTINE CWEIGHT(ALPHA.COSAX.TPWR.ICR.ICT.I.J.") DIMENSION CRRDOT(128) IF( JCR. EQ. S)GO TO 66 COMMON/TPLANE/TMAXX.TMINX.TMAXY.TMINY COMMON/TRIG/SINC.COSAZ COMMON/RANGF/LAJ.JVIDE,KVIDE,RANGEX.RANGEY COMMON/CLUTTER/CRROOT(128).TCRATIO(128) COMMON/CLUTTER/CRROOT(128).TCRATIO(128) COMMON/ANTRANS/AII.AIZ.AIJ.AZI.AZZ.AZJ.AIIP.AI2P COMMON/ANTRANS/AII.AIZ.AIJ.AZI.AZZ.AZJ.AIIP.AI2P CONFUTE TRANSFORMED DIRECTION COSTNES TCAX = A21#COSAY+A22#CCSAX-A23\*COSAZ TCAY = A11#COSAY+A12#COSAX-A13#COSAZ TCAY = A11P#TCAY+A11P#TCAX TCAY = A11P#TCAY-A12P#TCAX TCAY = ATCOS(TCAY) CONVERT FROM NADIR ANGLE CEE TO ANTENNA ANGLE ALPHAX Convert from vel vector angle alpha to antenna angle Cosav = Costalpha) DIGITIZE COSINE ANGLE INTO COLUMNS AND ROWS. ROUNDING OPP IXCOL. IVROW - COLUMN, ROW NUMBER OPT X AND TV VALUE LRECJ - LOGICAL RECORD NUMBER ACROSS TX LRECK - LOGICAL RECORD NUMBER DOWN TV LREC - ABSOLUTE LOGICAL RECORD NUMBER IN ANTENNA PATTERN ALPHAY

5 797 53 30 SET TARGET TO CLUTTER RATIO TO INFINITY FOR ZERO CLUTTER GO TO 93 TCRATIO(J)=19.#ALOG1#(TPWR\*CTRWT/CRRDOT(J)) TCRATIO(J)=9.# ICRADOT(J)=9.# IF(ICT.NE.1) GO TO 792 CRRDOT(J)=-TCRATIO(J)+3#9.# (L] L(R, 1, 1) CRRDOT(J)+3#9.# CHECK FOR LOOK ANGLES BEVOND AVAILABLE DATA COVERAGE AREA TEST:\*\*TCAX-TMIXY IF((TCAX.GT.TMAXY).OR.(TCAX.LT.TMINX)) GO TO 822 IF((TCAX.GT.TMAXX).OR.(TCAX.LT.TMINX)) GO TO 822 IF((TCAY.GT.TMAXY).OR.(TCAY.LT.TMINY)) GO TO 822 IXCOL = TESTX\*(FLOAT(JUIDE)-1.)/RANGEX+1.8 IXCOL = YCOL VROY = YROY (FLOAT(KVIDE)-1.)/RANGEY+1.8 FIND THE LOGICAL RECORD LOCATION OF THE LOOK ANGLE LRECJ = INT((FLOAT(IXCOL)-1.)/16.)+1 LRECK = INT((FLOAT(IYROW)-1.)/16.)+1 LREC = LRECJ+LRJ\*(LRECK-1) DETERMINE MULTIPLYING FACTOR FROM LOGICAL RECORD CRRDOT(J) = ANTX(LREC, IA)==4=CRRDOT(J) ALPHAX -INARS A NARS A NARSA IACOLJ. TAROVK - COLUMN. ROW IN EACH LOGICAL RECORD IACOLJ - MOD(IXCOL.16) IAROVK - MOD(IYROV.16) IF (IACOLJ - EQ. #) IACOLJ-16 IF (IAROVY - EQ. #) IAROVK-16 IA - ABSOLUTE POSITION WITHIN EACH LOGICAL RECORD IA - IACOLJ+(IAROVK-1)\*16 Ę TAX

i a

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF

17.17.07 1.44.24 2.54.2

-

A1-9



Listing of Program RANGE

A. C. M.

DIMENSION CEEC(128): R(128): GRAZ(128) CHARACTERN3, RESP3.V.N CHARACTERN3, R 21-1-1-13 84/86/83 0684 1390 LISTH RANGE 14 OMPERESEASINGS SUCH THAT THE LAST RANGE BIN IS NOT AT THE HORIZON DELNESSING STRATEGY / 120.0 SET UP OTTPUT TABLES VARIABLES PROGRAM TO DIVIDE THE EARTH INTO EQUALLY SPACED Range bins as seen from an elevated platform RESONRE##2 RHTSON(QENCSO, RESO) STANESSON(QENCSO, RESO) CCEFSON(ASSON(REZORENCT)) CCEFSON(ASSON(REZORENCT)) RE - RADIUS OF THE EARTH HGT - ALTITUDE OF THE PLATFORM ABOVE THE EARTH'S SURFACE RMAX - MAXIMUM RANGE (HORIZON) DELR - DISTANCE BETWEEN RANGE BINS GRAZ - GRAZING ANGLE *4*9.85 WRITE(86.1808)"INPUT THE NEW ALTITUDE IN NM" READ(05.1888)HNM HGT-HNMM1852.8 Continue

A2-1

String Street and

#Stac #KITE(#6.1888)\*\* #KITE(#6.188

A2-2

APPENDIX - III

Listing of Program SCAN

J.

1146-3 1146-3 8439C 8408 7416 8416 192 U A WRITE(#6.1000)"DO YOU WISH TO CHANGE THE CIRCULAR ORBIT ALTITUDE 7" WRITE(#1.1000)"ENTER V OR N" VIEL 35.19900000503 WRITE(86,1898)" " Alti=HGT/1952.8 WRITE(06,1888)"ALTITUDE ".ALTI." NM" DIMENSION VD0P(128,128),VDE(128,128),VDS(128,128) DIMENSION CEE(128),ALPHA(128,128),R(128),GRAZ(128) DATA P1/3.1415927/.RE/MA(128,128),HGT/MARA/V,VEL/ XLAM/.24/.DELTAR/78./.DELTF/20./.SF/1.0E30/ ALAY - VAVELENGTH ALAY - DISTANCE BETVEEN RANGE BINS DELTAR - RANGE BIN WIDTH DELF - DISTANCE BETVEEN DOPPLER BINS DELTF - DISTANCE BETVEEN DOPPLER BINS DELTF - UOPPLER BIN VELOCITY VECTOR AND VECTOR TO POINT ON GROUND ALPHAM - ANGLE B/N VELOCITY VECTOR AND VECTOR TO POINT ON GROUND SF - SCALE FACTOR CEE - ANGLE FACTOR FORM NADIR CEE - ANGLE FACTOR FORM NADIR XLAT - SUBLATITUDE FORM NADIR VLAT - ORBITAL INCLINATION ANGLE AURDA - HORTH OR SOUTH LOOKING QUADRANT DIRE - EAST OR WEST ORBIT DIRECTION VARIABLES: RE - RADIUS OF THE EARTH HGT - ALTITUDE VEL - VELOCITY TA SECTION ROGRAM TO COMPUTE SCAN ANGLES OF EQUALLY SPACED Doppler bins as seen from a moving platform CHARACTER\*3 RESP.N.S CHARACTER\*3 RESP2.E.W CHARACTER\*3 RESP3.Y.N DATA N/IHN/.Y/IHY/.S/IHS/.E/IHE/.W/IHW/

t

LISTH SCAN

1

7

AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A

A MARIE

84/96/83

**68**, 6*8* 

ふんだがたちあらいと見たい

VILLEGE, SETTING THE NEW ALTITUDE IN NM" REALESS FOR THEM

HURLEPILLO Nº 50 TO 14

SB:10 HEAD(SB: 1000 RESP S9:10 IF (RESP EQ. W)DIRE --1.8 S9:10 VRITE (RESP EQ. E)TE LOOKING IN NORTH QUADRANT ENTER N. S9:10 VRITE (RESP EQ. E)TE LOOKING IN SOUTH QUADRANT ENTER S. S9:10 VRITE (RESP EQ. E) IRE --1.8 S9:10 IF (RESP EQ. E) IRE --1.8 IF (RESP E 1659C 9639 9639 9639 1876 1876 1876 7756C 7756C 7756C ЧЧ, Ø 5 <u>م</u> Ĩ -INPUT SATELLITE ORBIT DATA HYPASS SATELLITE DATA IF AIRBORNE PLATFORM IF (HMM.LT.900) "JNPUT SATELLITE INCLINATION ANGLE" VALITE(06.1000)"INPUT SATELLITE INCLINATION ANGLE" READ(06.1000)"INCLINSTANTANEOUS LATITUDE" READ(06.1000)"INCLINSTANTANEOUS LATITUDE" XINC=XLAT\*PI/100.0 CLAT=COS(XINC) CD=ARCOS(CINC/CLAT) FOR ALTITUDES LESS THAN 90 NM. ASSUME AIRBORNE PLATFORM IF (NMM.GI.90.0) FOR OTO BI VRIFE(00.1000) FOR OTO BI READ(98.1000) GS QUAD-0.0 UIRE-0.0 VIRE-0.0 VIRE-0.0 VIRE-0.0 VIRE-0.0 + 00MAT+V) RESUPRE\*RE RH:SQ=(RE+HGT)\*(RE+HGT) RS:SS:SS:(SS:CO-RESQ) D+SS:SS:(SS:AX+NC+)/128, D+SS:(SS:AX+NC+)/128, WRITE(36,1009,"IF FLVING EAST ENTER WRITE(36,1000)"IF FLVING WEST ENTER NEAD105,1000 NESP IF (RESP.EQ.W))TRE-1.5 IF (RESP.EQ.E)DTRE-1.5 WRIIE(#6.1000)"GROUND SPEED --.VEL." GO TO 14 WRIIE(#6.1000)"ALTITUDE --".HGT." ME WRIIE(#6.1000)"ORBITAL VELOCITY --.V CONTINHE. Write (46.1960)" AUPTRIAL CONVENTION AND ALTER AND A HGT=HNM\*1852.5 VEL=1.9976607\*SQRT(1/(HGT+RE)) : FOR EACH RANGE INCREMENT METERS" 54 W/S" M/S"

ALL LOC

-

「こうか、こことのでもいう」

「「「「「「

1990 1771 1771 1771 1771 1771 36 80 VE\*2.8\*PI#DIRE\*RE/86489.8 ClMC=COS(XINC) CLAT=COS(XINC) CD-ARCOS(CINC/CLAT) D/2+V?[-VE\*CINC/CAM D/2+V?[-VE\*CINC/CAM D/3+SUPT(DV350) VV2+U/2\*\*2+DV350) COMPUTE EQUATORIAL ROTATIONAL AND ASSOCIATED CONSTANTS COMPUTE THE MAX DOPPLER BIN XUMAX#128.8"SIN CEE(NRAN))/(VEL\*CAM-VE\*CINC) XUMAX#108.8"SORT((DV2\*\*2+DV3SQ)) JMAX=1MT(XUMAX) IF(JMAX.GT.128) JMAX=128 WRITE(86.1898)"ENTER THE RANGE BIN NUMBER" WRITE(86.1888)"TO SEE ALL DUPPLER COMPONENTS AT THAT RANGE" REAU(85.1868)NRAN ALPHA LOOP CONTINUE HDGTARSIN(CINC/LLAT) HDGDEG-HDGT188, PI K(1)=HGT+(FLOAT(1-1)=DELR)
IF(1,EQ.1) GO TO 36
CEE(1)=ARCOS((-RESQ+(R(1)=R(1))+RHTSQ)/(2,=R(1)=(RE+HGT)))
CEE(1)=8.8
SETA=ARSIN(((RE+HGT)/RE)=SIN(CEE(1)))
SETA=ARSIN(((RE+HGT)/RE)=SIN(CEE(1)))
GRA2(1)=BETA+(PI/2.8) DO :50 UV-1,128 DONT COMPUTE FIRST RANGE BIN IF(IV.EQ.1) ALPHA(IV.JV)=PI/2.8 IF(IV.EQ.1) GO TO 458 IV=NP/ N GR=CO((GRAZ(IV)) SINC=SIN(CEE(IV)) ANDIA SATELLITE DOPPLER COMPONENT TEST FOR INVALID ANGLE OFF EARTH IF(RT.LT.#,#) GO TO 469 SQTV=SQR(RT)=QD XNUNC=QVI=DV2+DV3=SINC+SQTV XNUNC=QVI=DV2+DV3=SINC+SQTV ALTERL()V,JV)=ARCQS(),JUNE/VV2) COMPUTE FRACTIONAL DOPPLER VELOCITY DV1++LDAT(JV)\*(VEL\*CAM-VE\*CINC)/128,8 RT+(DV2\*\*2+DV3SQ)-(DV1/SINC)\*\*2 SUBRULE SCAN ZNGLE SUAN SPLOSECOS/AUPHA(19,JV))/SJNC) SUPHADEGBAUPHA(1V,JV)/SJNC) MELUYE EARTH DUPPLER COMPONENT VELOCITY

A3-3

and a second

A3-#

......

۰.

 $r_{\downarrow}$ 

Contraction and and a long

ことの言語を見た

こうとうできたいのかれたちがちがくちからという、たちをものないのです

APPENDIX - IV Glossary

1. 2. 1.

4 51

to the second

ANT(T, T) Antenna pattern weighting function.

A<sub>x</sub> Polar angle from X axis.

A<sub>v</sub> Polar angle from Y axis.

A<sub>vd</sub> Polar angle from Y axis with doppler increment included.

 $A_{\rm vmin}$   $\,$  Minimum Y axis angle which intersects the horizon.

C(I) Elevation angle as a function of range.

C<sub>max</sub> Maximum elevation angle which intersects the Earth.

C(r,r) Clutter intensity scatter function, dependent on range and doppler.

D<sub>f</sub> Frequency separation of consecutive doppler bins.

D<sub>r</sub> Range separation of consecutive range bins.

F<sub>d</sub> Two way doppler shift of radar echo.

G(I) Grazing angle as a function of range.

H Height of radar platform above the Earth's surface.

inc Satellite orbit inclination angle.

Isodop Locus of equal doppler points on the Earth's surface.

Isorange Locus of equal range points on the Earth's surface.

lat Instantaneous satellite subpoint latitude.

R(I) Range as a function of range bin number.

R Radius of the Earth.

R<sub>max</sub> Range of the most distant range bin.

T \_ Directional cosine from X axis.

T Directional cosine from Y axis.

V<sub>diff</sub> Velocity differential between successive doppler bins

V Equatorial velocity of the rotating Earth.

V Radial velocity component of a target.

V Maximum relative radial velocity of the Earth's surface.

V Radar platform air/orbital velocity.

 $\Delta f$  Doppler filter bandwidth.

∆r Range cell resolution width.

A4-1

......

# MISSION of

# Rome Air Development Center

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence  $(C^{3}I)$  activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESP Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, ionospheric propagation, solid state sciences, microwave physics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.

XARARA

ଽୡଽଡ଼୰ୡ୬ଡ଼ଡ଼୰ୡ୬ଡ଼ୡ୰ୡ୬ଡ଼ୡ୬ଡ଼୬

୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୬ଡ଼୬