


AD-A133 739 AFCRL (AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES) 1/1
ACOUSTIC WIND SENSOR SYSTEM(U) XONICS INC VAN NUYS CA
C A McNARY ET AL. 03 JUL 74 AFCRL-TR-74-0322
UNCLASSIFIED F19628-73-C-0201 F/G 4/2 NL



END
7-1-74
(over)



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A133739

①

AFCRL-TR-74-0322

AFCRL ACOUSTIC WIND SENSOR SYSTEM

Charles A. McNary
Arthur E. Nagy

XONICS, Incorporated
6849 Hayvenhurst Avenue
Van Nuys, California 91406

3 July 1974

Final Report for Period 10 April 1973 - 3 July 1974

F19628-73-C-0201

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DTIC
ELECTE
OCT 19 1983
S A D

AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
HANSCOM AFB, MASSACHUSETTS 01730

DTIC FILE COPY

88 10 05 096

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified!)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) XONICS, Incorporated 6849 Hayvenhurst Avenue Van Nuys, CA 91406		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE AFCLR ACOUSTIC WIND SENSOR SYSTEM			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Summary for the period 4/10/73 through 7/3/74.			Approved 25 July 1974
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Charles A McNary Arthur E. Nagy			
6. REPORT DATE 3 July 1974	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 6	7b. NO. OF REFS 0	
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. F19628-73-C-0201	8b. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
b. PROJECT, TASK, WORK UNIT NOS. 7655-02-01			
c. DOD ELEMENT 62101F	8d. OTHER REPORT No(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)		
d. DOD SUBELEMENT 687655	AFCLR-TR-74-0322		
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A-Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TECH, OTHER Contract Monitor: J. Chandran Kaimal Meteorology Laboratory		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories (LY) Hanscom AFB, MA 01730	
13. ABSTRACT A remote wind measuring system is a useful analytical tool for meteorological studies. Advantages over tower-mounted sensors include a higher degree of portability, less maintenance, and the absence of a structure which would modify the velocities by its presence. A description of the successful design, fabrication, and testing of such a system is given.			

Unclassified

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Acoustic Wind Sensor Remote, Real-Time Wind Measurement Three Dimensional Wind Profiling						

Unclassified

Security Classification

Recognition must also be given to Dr. Chandran Kaimal and his associates at AFCRL whose attention and cooperation not only made the effort efficient, but pleasant.

II. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the program were to design, fabricate and test an acoustic sensor which will be used to remotely measure wind profiles in the lower atmosphere. It was to be designed to meet the following specifications:

Altitude range:	15-180 meters
Number of points in profile:	10
Wind speed range:	0-8 mps
Wind speed resolution:	0.5 mps

The fabricated product was to be tested in the laboratory and in the field to demonstrate satisfactory operation. The laboratory tests were designed to verify proper operation of the signal processing subsystem. The atmospheric test plan was designed to provide AFCRL personnel with actual "hands on" experience with the sensor operation, and to verify operation by comparison of the results with sensors of known accuracy.

III. PROGRAM EFFORT

The work performed on this contract can be logically divided into three phases, which were: 1) Design; 2) Fabrication; and 3) Testing. In addition, data were generated in the form of reports, which included:

1. Design Specifications for the AFCRL Wind Sensor System, 12 May 1973;
2. Test and Acceptance Plan for the AFCRL Acoustic Wind Sensor System, A. Nagy, October 1973;
3. R&D Equipment Information Manual and Software Description for the AFCRL Wind Sensor System, Konics Acoustic Sensing Group, April 1974.

The results of the design effort are contained in the "Design Specifications for the AFCRL Wind Sensor System." In summary, the system consisted of one transmitting antenna, three receiving antennas, and a signal processor. The transmitter was composed of an array of nine acoustic drivers driven in phase at 8 kHz producing a vertical beam of four degrees beamwidth. The receivers were simple parabolic reflectors with shields to minimize sidelobes and a microphone at the focus to provide a fan beam which was narrow in the azimuthal dimension and broad (about 40 degrees) in elevation sensitivity. The signal processor performed a digital Fourier transformation of 64 time samples of each receiver output at each altitude, detected the doppler shifts, transformed these shifts into orthogonal velocity components, and printed the results on a teletypewriter.

Laboratory and field tests followed completion of the fabrication effort. The laboratory tests were designed to verify processor operation, and consisted of replacing sensor signals with sine wave voltages from an oscillator. The expected results were controlled by changing the input frequency which thereby simulated doppler-shifted receiver outputs. These tests were successfully completed and the system was shipped to the *Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories in Bedford, Massachusetts.*

The system was installed and data were taken. The chosen site limited the transmitter-receiver separation to about 100 meters so that profiles were limited to about 100 meters in altitude. Data was simultaneously taken with an AFCRL sonic anemometer located on a tower which was 18 meters high and about 60 meters away.

The atmospheric tests showed a tendency toward low readings at the 15 meter altitude, but excellent agreement when higher altitude readings were extrapolated and compared with the reference anemometer. Direct reflections from a nearby structure were suspected as a cause for the low altitude velocity discrepancy. More extensive testing would be necessary to confirm this.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the laboratory and atmospheric test results, the acoustic wind sensor system appears to operate satisfactorily. The system operated reliably and consistently with a minimum amount of interference from other acoustic sources.

Unique design features of the system include the multiple-element transmitter array, effective acoustic shielding to minimize transmitter sidelobe propagation and single-microphone receivers providing extended, sharply defined elevation coverage along with very narrow azimuthal beamwidths. The sharply defined beams and good sidelobe suppression are the result of integrating the microphone feed horn design with the receiver shield design. In summary, the basic component designs for this wind sensing system are simple, and the excellent system operating characteristics are the result of extensive effort in component and subsystem integration into the operational wind sensor.

END

FILMED

10-83

DTIC