



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

MOMENT ESTIMATORS OF DEFECT PATTERN FREQUENCIES, ALLOWING FOR INSPECTION ERROR

by

Norman L. Johnson and

Ś

FILE COPY

E

AD- A133487

Samuel Kotz

University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina University of Maryland College Park, Maryland

ABSTRACT

Unbiased estimators of the number of individuals in a lot possessing various patterns of types of defects are constructed. Explicit formulas are given for cases of two and three types of defect. Application of the formulas requires knowledge of the probabilities of various kinds of errors in the inspection process.

Key Words & Phrases: Inspection errors; Estimation; Quality control; Sampling inspection.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

2 1983

83 - 10 11 026

1. Introduction

In [1] [2], we introduced the term *defect pattern*, to reflect the incidence of defects of different types in the same individual. If there are \underline{k} types of defect, in all, under consideration, then an individual's defect pattern is represented by a binary (0,1) sequence of \underline{k} digits, with the j-th digit equal to 0, or 1 according as the j-th type of defect is not, or is, present in the individual. There are 2^k possible different defect patterns.

We will denote the defect pattern (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) by (g), and the number of individuals, in a lot of size N, possessing defect pattern (g), by D_g . Clearly

$$\sum_{(g)} D_g = N$$

where $\sum_{(g)} \equiv \sum_{g_1=0}^{1} \sum_{g_2=0}^{1} \cdots \sum_{g_k=0}^{1} denotes summation over all 2^k patterns.$

If a random sample of size n is chosen (without replacement) from the lot, and 2_g of these are found, on inspection, to have defect pattern (g), then, if inspection is perfect a natural (and unbiased) estimator of D_g , obtained by equating observed and expected values of 2_g , is NZ_g/n . If inspection is imperfect, the situation is not so simple, but the same method can be used, provided the probabilities of correct and incorrect assignments of defect patterns are known. Since the resultant estimators $-\tilde{D}_g$, say - are obtained by equating first moments of sample and population values of the Z_g 's, we will call them moment estimators.

We will suppose that

(i) if a defect of type j is present, the probability that it will be detected is p_j;

ston Fo

Specia

ibution/ lability (ii) if a defect of type j is not present, the probability that it will be (erroneously) detected is p;;

and

 (iii) for any defect pattern, decisions in regard to presence or absence of each type (or disjoint sets of types) of defect are mutually independent.

This last assumption may not always be justified, but it serves as a useful point of reference.

With assumptions (i)-(iii), the probability that an individual with defect pattern (\underline{f}) is classified, as a result of inspection, as having pattern (\underline{g}) is

$$\mathbf{p}_{g|f} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \{ p_{j}^{g_{j}f_{j}} p_{j}^{g_{j}(1-f_{j})} (1-p_{j})^{(1-g_{j})f_{j}} (1-p_{j}^{\prime})^{(1-g_{j})(1-f_{j})} \}$$
(1)

We will further assume that $p_j > p'_j$ for all j. This does not affect many of our formulas, and it is a very reasonable assumption. It merely means that an individual is more likely to be classified as possessing defect type j if indeed it does possess this type of defect, than if it does not.

2. Moment Estimators

Our problem is to estimate the Dg's from the observed values of the Zg's. The expected value of Dg is

$$E[z_g] = nN^{-1} \sum_{(\underline{f})} D_{\underline{f}} p_{\underline{g}}|\underline{f}$$
⁽²⁾

and so the moment estimators, \tilde{D}_{f} , satisfy the 2^k equations

$$Z_{g} = n N^{-1} \sum_{(f)} \widetilde{D}_{f} p_{g|f}$$
(3)

or, in matrix form

$$\underline{Z} = n N^{-1} \underline{P} \, \underline{D}$$
 (3)'

where Z and D are 2^k-rowed vectors with elements $\{Z_g\}$ and $\{D_g\}$ respectively,

-2-

and P is a $2^k \times 2^k$ matrix with $p_{g|f}$ as the element is the g-th row and f-th column. From (3)',

$$\tilde{\varrho} = Nn^{-1} \underline{p}^{-1} \underline{z}$$
 (4)

where \underline{p}^{-1} is the left inverse of \underline{p} . Since

 $E[\underline{Z}] = nN^{-1}\underline{P} \ \underline{D}$

it follows that $E[\tilde{D}] = D$, so \tilde{D} is an unbiased estimator of $D(E[\tilde{D}_{g}] = D_{g}$ for all (g).)

However it is possible for some of the D_g 's to be negative (though not all of them, because $\sum_{(g)} \tilde{D}_g = N$). Similarly some (but not all) of the D_g 's might exceed N. This latter possibility occurs much less frequently.

For k=1 (a single type of defect) we have, of course

$$D_1 = N - D_0$$
, and $E[Z_1] = N^{-1}n\{D_1p_1 + (N-D)p_1^i\}$
= $N^{-1}n\{Np_1^i + D(p_1 - p_1^i)\}$

whence

PARTICULAR DA

$$b_1 = N(p_1 - p_1')^{-1}(n^{-1}Z_1 - p_1')$$
(5)

Since $p_1 > p_1'$, $\tilde{D}_1 < 0$ if $Z_1 < np_1'$

 $\tilde{D}_1 > N \text{ if } Z_1 > np_1$ (6.2)

(6.1)

In practice, one would use a modified estimator such as

$$\widetilde{D}_{1}^{\star} = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } \widetilde{D}_{1} < 0 \\
\widetilde{D}_{1} & \text{if } 0 \leq \widetilde{D}_{1} \leq N \\
N & \text{if } N < \widetilde{D}_{1}
\end{cases}$$
(7)

This estimator is not, in general, unbiased.

Table 1 shows values of

(i) $\Pr[\tilde{D}_1 < 0]$ (ii) $\Pr[\tilde{D}_1 > N]$ (iii) Bias $(\tilde{D}_1^*) = E[\tilde{D}_1^*] - \tilde{D}_1$

for a few sets of values of the parameters.

3. Distribution Theory

Conditional on the numbers $\underline{Y} = \{Y_{\underline{f}}\}$ of individuals with actual defect patterns $\{(\underline{f})\}$ in the sample, the joint distribution of the 2^k numbers $\{W_{\underline{g}}|\underline{f}\}$ of the $Y_{\underline{f}}$ individuals with pattern (\underline{f}) which are assigned patterns $\{(\underline{g})\}$ as a result of inspection is multinomial with parameters $(Y_{\underline{f}}; \{p_{\underline{g}}|\underline{f}\})$. For different (\underline{f}) and (\underline{f}^*) and any (\underline{g}), (\underline{g}^*) (whether or not (\underline{g}) \equiv (\underline{g}^*)) $W_{\underline{g}}|\underline{f}$ and $W_{\underline{g}^*}|\underline{f}^*$ are mutually independent binomial variables with parameters $(Y_{\underline{f}}, p_{\underline{g}}|\underline{f}), (Y_{\underline{f}^*}, p_{\underline{g}^*}|\underline{f}^*)$ respectively. Since

$$Z_{g} = \sum_{i} (\mathbf{f}) | \mathbf{W}_{g} | \mathbf{f}$$
(8)

the conditional joint distribution of \underline{Z} , given \underline{Y} , can be represented symbolically

$$Z \stackrel{Y}{=} \underbrace{\overset{*}{(f)}}_{(f)} Multinomial (Y_{f}; \{p_{g}|_{f}\})$$
(9)

where * stands for "convolution" and " " means "is distributed as".

To obtain the unconditional distribution of Z, this conditional distribution has to be compounded with respect to Y, which has a multivariate hypergeometric distribution with parameters n; D; N, so that

$$\Pr[\underline{Y} = \underline{Y}] = {\binom{N}{n}}^{-1} \Pi_{(\underline{f})} {\binom{D_f}{y_{\underline{f}}}} \quad (0 \le \underline{y_f} \le \underline{D_f}; \underline{\zeta}_{(\underline{f})} \underline{y_f} = n) \quad (10)$$

From (8) and (9), moments and product-moments of the $Z_g^{''}$'s can be evaluated (see [1], equations (17)).

4. Variances of the Estimators

From (4) the variance-covariance matrix of D is

$$\underline{Var}(\tilde{D}) = (Nn^{-1})^{2} p^{-1} \underline{Var}(Z) (p^{-1})^{T}$$
(11)

(where the superfix (T) denotes 'transpose').

-4-

From [1] (equation (17))

$$\operatorname{var}(Z_g) = n\overline{p}_g - n\{(n-1)\overline{p}_g^2 + (N-n)\overline{p}_g^2\}(N-1)^{-1}$$
 (12.1)

$$\operatorname{cov}(Z_{g}, Z_{g^{*}}) = -n\{(n-1)\overline{p_{g}}\overline{p_{g^{*}}} + (N-n)\overline{p_{g}}\overline{p_{g^{*}}}\}(N-1)^{-1}$$
(12.2)

where

 $p_{g} = N^{-1} \sum_{(\underline{f})} D_{\underline{f}} p_{g|\underline{f}}; \ \overline{p_{g}}^{2} = N^{-1} \sum_{(\underline{f})} D_{\underline{f}} p_{g|\underline{f}}^{2}$

and

$$\overline{p_g p}_{g^*} = N^{-1} \sum_{(\underline{f})} p_{\underline{f}} p_{\underline{g}} | \underline{f}^p \underline{g^*} | \underline{f}$$

5. Special Case: Uniform Accuracy of Inspection

If $p_j = p$ and $p_j = p'$ for all j=1,...,k - that is, the accuracy of inspection is the same for all types of defect - then

$$p_{gff} = p^{s_{11}} p'^{s_{10}} (1-p)^{s_{01}} (1-p')^{s_{00}}$$

where $s_{ab} = number of types (j) of defect for which <math>f_j = b_j g_j = a$.

For k=2, we have

and

$$(p-p')^{2}p^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{2} & -p(1-p) & -p(1-p) & (1-p)^{2} \\ -pp' & p(1-p') & (1-p)p' & -(1-p)(1-p') \\ -pp' & (1-p)p' & p(1-p') & -(1-p)(1-p') \\ p'^{2} & -p'(1-p') & -p'(1-p') & (1-p')^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(13.2)

2

-5-

Hence

$$\tilde{D}_{00} = (Nn^{-1})(p-p')^{-2} \{p^{2}Z_{00}^{-p}(1-p)(Z_{01}^{+}Z_{10}^{-}) + (1-p)^{2}Z_{11}^{+}\}$$

$$\tilde{D}_{01} = (Nn^{-1})(p-p')^{-2} \{-pp'Z_{00}^{+p}(1-p')Z_{01}^{+}(1-p)p'Z_{10}^{-}(1-p)(1-p')Z_{11}^{+}\}$$

$$\tilde{D}_{10} = (Nn^{-1})(p-p')^{-2} \{-pp'Z_{00}^{+}(1-p)p'Z_{01}^{+p}(1-p')Z_{10}^{-}(1-p)(1-p')Z_{11}^{+}\}$$

$$D_{11} = (Nn^{-1})(p-p')^{-2} \{p^{12}Z_{00}^{-p'}(1-p')(Z_{01}^{+}Z_{10}^{-}) + (1-p')^{2}Z_{11}^{+}\}$$

$$(14)$$

For example, if
$$p = 0.90$$
 and $p' = 0.95$ then
 $\tilde{D}_{00} = Nn^{-1}\{1.121Z_{00} - 0.125(Z_{01}+Z_{10}) + 0.014Z_{11})$
 $\tilde{D}_{01} = Nn^{-1}(-0.062Z_{00}+ 1.183Z_{01} + 0.007Z_{10}- 0.131Z_{11})$
 $\tilde{D}_{10} = Nn^{-1}(-0.062Z_{00}+ 0.007Z_{10} + 1.183Z_{10} - 0.131Z_{11})$
 $\tilde{D}_{11} = Nn^{-1}(0.003Z_{00} - 0.066(Z_{01}+Z_{10}) + 1.249Z_{11})$

As is to be expected the major coefficient in the expression for D_{ab} is that of Z_{ab} , but the contributions of the other terms is not negligible.

Por k =3 we have

p(1-p)(1-p') p(1-p)² (1-p)²(1-p') (1-p)²(1-p') (1-p)²(1-p') (1-p)³ (1-p)²p' _ p(1-p)² p(1-p) (1-p') p(1-p) (1-p) p(1-p) b(1-p) p(1-p)p' p(1-p)p' p(1-p)(1-p') p(1-p)(1-p') p(1-p) (1-p') (1-p) ²p'. p(1-p)p' p²(1-p') 101 (1-p)²p¹. p²(1-p') p(1-p)p' 011 ('1-p)'((1-p') ('q-1)'q(q-1) (1-p)(1-p¹)² p(1-p')² (1-p)p^{,2} pp'(l-p') 100 ('q-1) 'q(q-1) (1-p)p'(1-p') (1-p)(1-p¹)² p(1-p')² pp'(1-p') (1-p)p'² 010 (1-p)p'(1-p') ('q-1)'q(q-1) p(1-p')² (1-p)(1-b¹)² pp'(l-p') (1-p)p'² 100 p' (1-p')² p'(1-p')² p'(1-p')² p'²(1-p') p'²(1-p') (1-p')³ 8 ۰ ج 010 011 8 3 101 g 3

110

p2(1-p) p³

p²(1-p')

p(1-p)p'

p(1-p)p'

pp'(l-p') pp,2

pp'(l-p')

(1-p)p'²

p'²(1-p')

110

111

pp'²

pp'2

р,3

p²p'

p²p'

p²p'

p²(1-p) p²(1-p)

-(1-p)(1-p')² -(1-p)(1-p')² -(1-p)(1-p¹)² (1-p)²(1-p') ('q-1)²(q-1) (1-p)²(1-p') (1-p')⁵ -(1-p)³ 111 (1-p)p'(1-p') (1-p)p'(1-p') -p(1-p)(1-p') -p(1-p)(d-1)d -p'(1-p')² -(1-p)²p! p(1-p')² p(1-p)² 110 -p(1-p)(1-p) (1-p)p'(1-p') (1-p)p'(1-p') -p(1-p)(1-p') -p'(1-p')² p(1-p')² - (1-p) ²p' p(1-p)² 101 -pp'(1-p') (1-p)p'(1-p') p(1-p)p' -p(1-p)(1-p') p²(1-p') -(1-p)²p' p(1-p)(q-1)(q-1)(1-p') -pp'(1-p') (1-p)p'(1-p') -p'(1-p')² -(1-p)p'² p(1-p')² p(1-p)² 011 -p²(1-p) p'²(1-p') 100 p'²(1-p') -pp'(l-p') -p²(1-p) -(1-p)p'² -pp'(1-p') p(1-p)p p(1-p)p' p²(1-p') 010 - (1-p)p^{, 2} -pp'(1-p') -pp'(1-p') -p²(1-p) p²(1-p') p(1-p)p' p(1-p)p ('q-1)²'q **10**0 -p²p' -p²p' -p²p' pp'² -p'3 pp'² pp'² 8 • E, (p-p')³p⁻¹ =

For example

$$\tilde{D}_{011} = (Nn^{-1})(p-p')^{-3}{pp'^2}Z_{000}^{-}pp'(1-p')(Z_{001}^{+}Z_{010}^{-})^{-}(1-p)p'^2Z_{100}^{-}$$

$$+p(1-p')^{2}Z_{011}+(1-p)p'(1-p')(Z_{101}+Z_{110})-(1-p)(1-p')^{2}Z_{111}$$
(16)

In these circumstances, if also $D_f = 2^{-k}N$ for all (f), then

$$\overline{p}_{g} = 2^{-k} (p + p')^{m} (2 - p - p')^{k - m}$$
 (17.1)

where $m(\equiv m(g))$ is the number of 1's in (g);

$$\overline{p_g^2} = 2^{-k} (p^2 + p'^2)^m (1-p)^2 + (1-p')^2 \}^{k-m}$$
(17.2)

 $\overline{p_{g}p_{g^{\star}}} = 2^{-k} (p^{2} + p'^{2})^{m} 11 \{p(1-p') + (1-p)p'\}^{m} 01^{+m} 10 \{(1-p)^{2} + (1-p')^{2}\}^{m} 00 \quad (17.3)$ and

where

CARACTER PAPERSON AND ACCOUNTS SUBSERV

 $m_{ab}(\equiv m_{ab}(g,g^*))$ is the number of types (j) of defect with $g_i = a, g_i^* = b$. $(m_{11} + m_{10} + m_{01} + m_{00} = k).$

The further specialization p' = 1-p, corresponding to a constant probability (1-p) of error, leads to further simplification. In particular,

$$\overline{p}_{g} = 2^{-k}; \ \overline{p}_{g}^{2} = 2^{-k} \{p^{2} + (1-p)^{2}\}^{k} \text{ for all } (g)$$
 (18.1)

$$\overline{p_{g}p_{g^{*}}} = 2^{-k} \{p^{2} + (1-p)^{2}\}^{m_{00}+m_{11}} \{2p(1-p)\}^{m_{01}+m_{10}}$$
(18.2)

Note that $(m_{00} + m_{11})$ equals the number of j's for which $g_i = g_i^*$; and $p^{2} + (1-p)^{2} = 1-2p(1-p)$. We will use the notation

$$m_{00} + m_{11} = \theta; p^2 + (1-p)^2 = A; 2p(1-p) = 1-A = B$$
 (19)

From (12) and (18)

$$var(Z_g) = n \cdot 2^{-k} (1 - \frac{N-n}{N-1} \cdot 2^{-k} - \frac{n-1}{N-1} A^k)$$
 (20.1)

$$\operatorname{cov}(Z_{g}, Z_{g^*}) = -n \ 2^{-k} \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1} \cdot 2^{-k} + \frac{n-1}{N-1} A^{\theta} B^{k-\theta} \right)$$
 (20.2)

As an example consider

ł

$$\tilde{D}_{011} = (Nn^{-1})(2p-1)^{-6} \{p(1-p)^2 (Z_{000} + Z_{101} + Z_{110}) - p^2 (1-p) (Z_{111} + Z_{001} + Z_{010}) + p^3 Z_{011}^{-} (1-p)^3 Z_{100} \}$$
(21)

obtained from (16) by putting p' = 1-p.

We obtain from (20) and (21)

$$\operatorname{var}(\tilde{D}_{011}) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{N^2}{8} \{ \frac{A^3}{(2p-1)^6} - \frac{N-9n+8}{8(N-1)} \}$$
 (22)

(Details are given in the Appendix. Note that

$$A^{3}(2p-1)^{-6} = \frac{1}{8} \{1+(1-2p)^{-2}\}^{3}.\}$$

The proportion D_{011}/N (=2^{-k} in this case) is estimated unbiasedly by \tilde{D}_{011}/N and this estimator has variance

$$\frac{1}{64n} \left[\left\{ 1 + (1-2p)^{-2} \right\}^3 - \frac{N-9n+8}{N-1} \right] - \frac{1}{64n} \left[\left\{ 1 + (1-2p)^{-2} \right\}^3 - 1 \right] \text{ if } n \ll N.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Kotz, S. and Johnson, N.L. (1983) Some distributions arising from faulty inspection with multitype defectives, and an application to grading, <u>Commun. Statist. Theory Meth.</u>, 12 (To appear).
- [2] Johnson, N.L. and Kotz, S. (1983). Multivariate distributions arising in faulty sampling inspection. Proc. 6th Internat. Symp. Multiv. Analysis, Pittsburgh (Ed. P.R. Krishnaiah) Amsterdam, North Holland (To appear).

).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Samuel Kotz's work was supported by the U.S. Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-81-K-0301.

APPENDIX: Derivation of the Variance of D₀₁₁

From (20) and (21) $(Nn^{-1})^{-2}(2p-1)^{6}var(\tilde{D}_{011}) = \frac{1}{8}nS_{2} - \frac{n(N-n)}{64(N-1)}S_{1}^{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{8(N-1)}[A^{3}S_{2} + 2\{p^{2}(1-p)^{4}(3AB^{2})+p^{4}(1-p)^{2}(3AB^{2}) - p^{3}(1-p)^{3}(B^{2}+2AB^{2}+4AB^{2}+2B^{3})-p^{3}(1-p)^{3}B^{3} + p^{4}(1-p)^{2}(3AB^{2})+p^{2}(1-p)^{4}(3AB^{2}) - p(1-p)^{5}(3A^{2}B)-p^{5}(1-p)(3A^{2}B)\}]$ $= \frac{1}{8}nS_{2} - \frac{n(N-n)}{64(N-1)}S_{1}^{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{8(N-1)}[A^{3}S_{2} - 6\{p(1-p)^{5}+2p^{3}(1-p)^{3}+p^{5}(1-p)\}A^{2}B + 12\{p^{2}(1-p)^{4}+p^{4}(1-p)^{2}\}AB^{2}-8p^{3}(1-p)^{3}B^{3}]$ $= \frac{1}{8}nS_{2} - \frac{n(N-n)}{64(N-1)}S_{1}^{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{8(N-1)}(A^{3}S_{2} - 3A^{4}B^{2}+3A^{2}B^{4}-B^{6})$ where S_{2} = sum of squares of coefficients of Z's in $(Nn^{-1})^{-1}(2p-1)^{3}\tilde{D}_{011}$

=
$$3p^{2}(1-p)^{4}+3p^{4}(1-p)^{2} + p^{6}+(1-p)^{6} = A^{3}$$

and $S_1 = \text{sum of coefficients of Z's in } (Nn^{-1})^{-1}(2p-1)^3 \tilde{D}_{011}$ = $3p(1-p)^2 - 3p^2(1-p) + p^3 - (1-p)^3 = (2p-1)^3$

... ting that since A+B = 1,

$$A^{3}S_{2} - 3A^{4}B^{2} + 3A^{2}B^{4} - B^{6} = (A^{2} - B^{2})^{3} = (A - B)^{3} = (2p - 1)^{6}$$

we obtain

$$\operatorname{var}(\tilde{D}_{011}) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{N^2}{8} \left\{ \frac{A^3}{(2p-1)^6} - \frac{N-9n+8}{8(n-1)} \right\} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{N^2}{64} \left[\left\{ 1 + (2p-1)^{-2} \right\}^3 - 1 + \frac{8(n-1)}{N-1} \right\}$$
(22)

The proportion D_{011}/N is estimated unbiasedly by \tilde{D}_{011}/N ; this estimator has variance

$$\frac{1}{64n} \left[\left\{ 1 + (2p-1)^{-2} \right\}^3 - 1 + \frac{8(n-1)}{N-1} \right] . \qquad (22)^{n-1}$$

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. JOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD- A133487	
TITLE (and Sublitio)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVEREN
Moment Estimators of Defect Pat	ttern Frequencies,	
Allowing for Inspection Error	-	Technical
. AUTNOR(a)		5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		UMD/DMSS-83/5
	•• • •	
Norman L. Johnson & Samuel Kot:	Z	NOO014-81-K-0301
PERFORMING ORC SHIZATION NAME AND ADDR	IESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Department of Management	Sciences and	ANEA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Statistics, University of	f Maryland 4	
College Park, Md. 20742	· ·	
I S Office of Naval Research		12. REPORT DATE
Statistics and Probability Pro	gram (Code 436)	September 1985
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(17 dil	ferent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	·	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
	·	Ĺ
Approved for Publi	c Release Distri	bution Unlimited
Approved for Publi DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract mark	c Release Distri	bution Unlimited
Approved for Publi	c Release Distri	bution Unlimited
Approved for Publi DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract and	c Release Distri	bution Unlimited
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract and SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	c Release Distri	bution Unlimited
Approved for Publi DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	c Release Distri	bution Unlimited
Approved for Publi DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract and	c Release Distri	bution Unlimited
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotroc's and SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessa	c Release Distri ered in Black 20, 11 illiferent fro '	bution Unlimited
Approved for Publi DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessa Inspection errors; Estimation;	c Release Distri ered in Black 20, If different fro " " and Identify by block number, Quality control; S	bution Unlimited
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessa Inspection errors; Estimation;	c Release Distri ered in Riack 20, 11 different in ry and identify by block number) Quality control; S	bution Unlimited
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract and SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Inspection errors; Estimation;	c Release Distri ered in Black 20, 11 illiferent fro ' ' guality control; S	bution Unlimited
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessa Inspection errors; Estimation;	c Release Distri ered in Black 20, If different in ' ' y and identify by block number) Quality control; S	bution Unlimited
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Inspection errors; Estimation;	c Release Distri orod in Riack 20, if different in r and identify by block number) Quality control; S y and identify by block manhor)	bution Unlimited
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Inspection errors; Estimation; ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessar Unbiased estimators of the various patterns of types of c given for cases of two and the	c Release Distri ered in Black 20, If different in ry and identify by block number) Quality control; S y and identify by block number) mumber of individua lefects are construct ree types of defect.	bution Unlimited m Kupperty Sampling inspection. As in a lot possessing ted. Explicit formulas are Application of the
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entry SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Inspection errors; Estimation; ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessar Unbiased estimators of the various patterns of types of c given for cases of two and the formulas requires knowledge of in the inspection process.	c Release Distri ored in Black 20, If different in ry and identify by block number) Quality control; S y and identify by block number) mumber of individua lefects are construct ree types of defect. f the probabilities	bution Unlimited The Kupperty Sampling inspection. As in a lot possessing ted. Explicit formulas are Application of the of various kinds of errors
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above of entropy of the showers of the showers of the state of the stat	c Release Distri ored in Black 20, 11 different in rr and identify by block number) Quality control; S y and identify by block number) mumber of individus lefects are construct ree types of defect. f the probabilities	bution Unlimited m Rupporty Sampling inspection. Als in a lot possessing ted. Explicit formulas are Application of the of various kinds of errors
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract rate SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Inspection errors; Estimation; ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessar Unbiased estimators of the various patterns of types of c given for cases of two and the formulas requires knowledge of in the inspection process.	c Release Distri ered in Black 20, If different (ro ry and identify by black number) Quality control; S y and identify by black number) member of individua lefects are construct ree types of defect. f the probabilities	bution Unlimited m Kupperty Sampling inspection. As in a lot possessing ted. Explicit formulas are Application of the of various kinds of errors
Approved for Public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotton: and SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Inspection errors; Estimation; ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessar Unbiased estimators of the various patterns of types of c given for cases of two and the formulas requires knowledge of in the inspection process.	c Release Distri ered in Black 20, If different in ry and identify by block number) Quality control; S y and identify by block number) mumber of individua lefects are construct ree types of defect. f the probabilities	bution Unlimited The Kupperty Sampling inspection. As in a lot possessing ted. Explicit formulas are Application of the of various kinds of errors

•

