



2

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



REPAY NUMBER 1: GOVT ACCESSION HO. RECORE COMPLETING FORM NRL MEMORANDUM Report 5108 AD.A133291 1: TVE CONTACTING NUMBER TITLE (med Semino) AD.A133291 1: TVE CONTACTING NUMBER DYNAMICS OF A HIGH CURRENT ELECTRON RING Interim report on a continuing NRL problem. NA CONVENTIONAL BETATRON ACCELERATOR 1: FEGORAT ALLOG AND ACCELERATOR NA CONVENTIONAL BETATRON ACCELERATOR 1: FEGORAT ALLOG AND ACCELERATOR AUTHORS: 0: CONTACT OR GRANT NUMBER AUTHORS: 0: CONTACT OR GRANT NUMBER Naval Research Laboratory 0: CONTACT OR GRANT NUMBER Naval Research Laboratory 1: REPORT OATE Washington, DC 20375 1: REPORT OATE - CONTACLING OFFICIE NAME AND ADDRESS 1: REPORT OATE Office of Naval Research September 14, 1983 Adington, VA 22217 40 * WONTORING REENT CLASS (REAL AND ADDRESS 1: REPORT OATE Distribution STATEMENT (of the Americ) 1: SECURIT CLASS (REAL ADDRESS) * WONTORING REENT (of the Americ) 1: SECURIT CLASS (REAL ADDRESS) * WONTORING REENT (of the Americ) 1: SECURIT CLASS (REAL ADDRESS) * WONTORING REENT (of the Americ) 1: SECURIT CLASS (REAL ADDRESS)	REPORT DOCUMENTATIO		READ INSTRUCTIONS
NRL Memorandum Report 5108 AO.A.13 2 491 * TVECON FRENCE CONTENT CLEASE ADDRESS TTLE (and Shalling) * TVECON REPORT & PENDO COVERE Interim report a senior continuing NRL problem. * TVECON REPORT & PENDO COVERE Interim report a senior continuing NRL problem. AUTHORY: * CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER CLEAR TOOK AND ADDRESS * FREGORIES OF ACES Name Research Laboratory Navail Research Laboratory * CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER CONTRACT OF ORANT HUMBER Selection of CLEAR TOOK AND ADDRESS Navail Research Laboratory * CONTRACT OF CLEAR AND ADDRESS Contract OF ORANT ALL SECTION Washington, DC 20375 * CONTRACT OF CLEAR AND ADDRESS Contract Inter OFFICE AND ADDRESS * REFORM SECTION FULL SECTION CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Navail Research Antington, VA 22217 * REFORM SECTION FULL SECTION CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS/// attiferent New Controlling Office) * UNITORING AGENCY WANG & ADDRESS/// attiferent New Controlling Office) * UNITORING AGENCY WANG & ADDRESS/// attiferent New Controlling Office) * UNITORING AGENCY WANG & ADDRESS/// attiferent New Controlling Office) * SECTION * SECTION * SECTION * SECTION * SECTION * DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Abstract anterior in Block 20, // different New Reserv) * SECTION * SECTION STATEMENT (of the Abstract anterior and Section Section * Section High CUTTENT SECTION ADSCERES OF A section * Section Section Section Address of a high cuttrent bectorming in a conventional betatron and ecolerato	L REPORT NUMBER	2 GOVT ACCESSION NO	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
TITLE (and Section) 11 DYNAMICS OF A HIGH CURRENT ELECTRON RING IN A CONVENTIONAL BETATRON ACCELERATOR AUTHORY AUTHORY AUTHORY AUTHORY C.A.Kapetanakos, S.J. Marsh,* and P. Sprangle ************************************	NRL Memorandum Report 5108	AD, A13 3 291	
DYNAMICS OF A HIGH CURRENT ELECTRON RING IN A CONVENTIONAL BETATRON ACCELERATOR A CONVENTIONAL BETATION AND ADDRESS A CONVENTION AND ADDRESS A CONVENTION OF A CONVENTION A CONVENTION STATEMENT (of the ADDRESS () of different inter Conventing Office) A CONVENTION STATEMENT (of the Address Bowie, MD 20715 A CONVENTION AD CONVENTION ADDRESS BOWIE, MD 20715 A CONVENTIONAL STATEMENT (of the CONVENTION BEAD A CONVENTION STATEMENT (of the CONVENTION BEAD A CONVENTIONAL STATEMENT (of the CONVENTION BEAD A CONVENTIONAL STATEMENT (of the CONVENTIONAL STATEMENT (CONVENTIONAL STATEMENT) A CONVENTION STATEMENT (of the CONVENTIONAL STATEMENT (CONVENTIONAL STATEMENT) A CONV	TITLE (and Sublille)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
IN A CONVENTIONAL BETATRON ACCELERATOR NRL problem. NRL p	DVNAMICS OF A HIGH CURRENT ELECTRON RING		Interim report on a continuing
AUTHORIE: C.A. Kapetanakos, S.J. Marsh,* and P. Sprangle ** CANTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER(*) ** CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER(*) ** ONTRONT ACCONTRACT ** CONTRONT HUMBER(*) ** ONTRONT ACCONTRACT ** ONTRONT ACCONTRACT	IN A CONVENTIONAL BETATRON ACC	CELEPATOR	NRL problem.
AUTHORIU: 6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(c) C.A. Kapetanskos, S.J. Marsh,* and P. Sprangle 9. CONTRACT OR GRANTZATION NAME AND ADDRESS **REFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROCAME CLEASING PROJECT. TASK Naval Research Laboratory 61153N; RR011-09-1; 47-0924-0-3 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT OATS Office of Naval Research September 14, 1983 Adington, VA 22217 13. Seconds of Proces A WORTFORMER ARE A DODRESS 14. REPORT OATS Office of Naval Research September 14, 1983 Adington, VA 22217 13. Seconds of Proces A WORTFORMER ARE A DODRESS 14. REPORT OATS UNCLASSIFIED 15. SECOND CLESS (of data report) UNCLASSIFIED 15. Seconds of Proces ADDITORING ARENT (of the Report) 15. SECOND CLESS (of data report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 10. STATEMENT (of the detract entered in Risek 20. If different free Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ************************************		outant on	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
C.A.Kapetanakos, S.J. Marsh,* and P. Sprangle FERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Navai Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS CON	AUTHOR(s)		. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELGUELT, PROJECT. TAKE AREA'S BORDAULING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Navai Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375 61153N; RR011-09-41; 47-0924-0-3 .controlLing OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. AEFORT OATE Office of Navai Research Addington, VA 22217 13. MUNERS OF PAGES A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// allerent ince Controlling Office) 13. SUBJECT OATE A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// allerent ince Controlling Office) 14. AEFORT OATE A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// allerent ince Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS (ref due report) UNCLASSIFIED 14. DOWNORADING - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different ince Report) - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different ince Report) - SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowle, MD 20715 - KEY BODS (Continue on reverse stde if necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse stde if necessary and identify by block number) - Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron fig in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the stream and self field, the effec	C.A.Kapetanakos, S.J. Marsh,* and P. Spra	angle	
Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375 ControcLine OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS Control Line Office Determine Controlling Office Continue of Control Line America Distribution statement (of the Abstract Intered in Block 20, 11 different from Reserv) Control Line Office Determine of Control Line Office Determine Control Line Office Determine Control Line Office Determine Office Determine Control Line Office Determine Office Determine Control Line Control Line Office Determine Office Determine Control Line Control Line Control Line Control Line Office Determine Control Line Control Line Control Line Office Determine Control Line Control Line Control Line Control Line Office Determine Control Line Contro	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRE	\$5	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Washington, DC 20375 61153N; RENDIT-09-41; Conv FROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 17.0924-0-3 Conv FROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT CATE September 14, 1983 13. NUMBER OF FACES Arlington, VA 22217 40 NONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different imm Controlling Olles) 13. SECURITY CLASS. (different) UNITOR STATEMENT (clipter ADDRESS(// different imm Controlling Olles) 13. SECURITY CLASS. (different) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 13. Security CLASS. (different) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (clipte descret infered in Ricek 20, // different imm Report) 14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ************************************	Naval Research Laboratory		
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217 40 A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// officeron/ from Controlling Office) 40 A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// officeron/ from Controlling Office) 5 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIC 13- SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 5 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Bleck 20, if different from Report) 5 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse of the if necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of the if necessary and identify by block number) Associated as of fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results abov that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is necompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field inpose stringent	Washington, DC 20375		61153N; RR011-09-41; 47-0924-0-3
Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217 September 14, 1983 A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// different free Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this repart) UNCLASS. (of this repart) Image: State of the control of the second se	- CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
Adington, VA 22217 A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// different income Controlling Office) I MUMBER OF PAGES 40 I MUMBER OF PAGES	Office of Naval Research		September 14, 1983
40 A. MONIYORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of due repart) UNCLASSIFIED 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of due repart) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of due repart) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of due repart) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 KEY BORDS (Centimus on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Associates and self fields, the effects of surrounding wills and toroidie corrections. (Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent (Continues) (Continues)	Arlington, VA 22217		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ACORESS/II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 18. DECLASSIFIED 19. DECLASSIFIED 19. DECLASSIFIED 19. DECLASSIFIED 19. DECLASSIFIED 19. DECLASSIFIED			40
UNCLASSIFIED 15. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different free Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 REY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (Continue)	4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSII dillor	ent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
19. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Abstract entered in Black 20, 11 different free Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, 11 different free Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 KEY WORDS (Centime en reverse side if necessary and identify by black number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerator Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent			UNCLASSIFIED
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) >Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent			136. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electron unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electron in River in River 20, if different free Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 KEV WORDS (Centimes on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continues on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the diffusion of the self magnetic field in the modified betatron to reverse studies and the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the diffusion of the self magnetic field in the modified betatron to energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the diffusion of the self magnetic field in the modified to the tarton, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field imposed to the tarton to the tarton to the tarton the tarton the tarton the tarton to the tarton the tarton the tarton to the tarton the tarton the tarton the tarton to the tarton the tarton the tarton the tarton the tarton the			ļ
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the edutrical entered in Block 20, if different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 KEY WORDS (Centimus on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators AssTRACT (Centimus on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number) >Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent (Continues)			}
*Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20715 *Ev workes (Continue on reverse elde it necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde it necessary and identify by block number) Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent (Continues)	Approved for public release; distribution u	unlimited.	
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block number) Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde it necessary and identify by block number) Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent (Continues)	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution u DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electract entere	unlimited. Id in Mack 30, 11 dillorent fre	n Report)
Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Centinue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block matter) Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent (Continues)	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electric) entere SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associat	unlimited. of in Mieck 20, 11 different fro tes, Bowie, MD 20715	n Report)
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds it necessary and identify by block matter) >Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent (Continues)	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entero SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associat	unlimited. Id in Block 20, 18 different in tes, Bowie, MD 20715	n Report)
Analytical and computer simulation results are reported on the dynamics of a high current electron ring in a conventional betatron accelerator. These studies include, in addition to the external and self fields, the effects of surrounding walls and toroidal corrections. Our results show that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread or emittance. However, as in the modified betatron, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field impose stringent (Continues)	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entero SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associat KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary o Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators	unlimited. In Block 20, 11 dillorent from tes, Bowie, MD 20715 and Identify by block number)	n Report)
(Continues)	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entere SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associat KEY WORDS (Centinue on reverse elde 1) necessary o Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Centinue on reverse elde 1) necessary o	inlimited. In Block 20, 11 dillorent free tes, Bowie, MD 20715 and Identify by block number) nd Identify by block number)	n Report)
CONSTITUES /	Approved for public release; distribution u Approved for public release; distribution u DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entero SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Sachs/Freeman Associat KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side 1/ necessary of Betatron High current electron beams Accelerators ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 1/ necessary of DAnalytical and computer simulation resu electron ring in a conventional betatron ac- external and self fields, the effects of surco show that, in contrast with the modified b- incompatible with either large thermal ener- betatron, the energy mismatch and the diff	unlimited. In Block 20, 11 different from tes, Bowie, MD 20715 and identify by block number) ults are reported on the celerator. These studies punding walls and toroi etatron, the equilibrium rgy spread or emittance fusion of the self magn	e dynamics of a high current s include, in addition to the dal corrections. Our results n in a conventional betatron is However, as in the modified etic field impose stringent

i

EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 18 OBSOLETE \$/N 0102-014-6601

A

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

Ì

1 . .

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dets Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

constraints on the accelerator. Finally, in both devices, it is necessary to keep the ratio of the ring to the torus minor radii much less than unity.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

CONTENTS

.

I.	INTRODUCTION 1
П.	TRANSVERSE DYNAMICS 3
ш.	SELF MAGNETIC FIELD DIFFUSION
IV.	CONCLUSIONS
	REFERENCES
	APPENDIX A





iii

DYNAMICS OF A HIGH CURRENT ELECTRON RING IN A CONVENTIONAL BETATRON ACCELERATOR

I. Introduction

Over the last few years, there is increasing interest on the development of ultra-high current accelerators. Currently, several laboratories 1-17 are engaged in studies that are aimed to assess the feasibility of developing such accelerators.

Induction acceleration, either in linear^{1,2} or cyclic geometries, $^{3-17}$ is presently the most popular approach among the various accelerating schemes. Although the beam dynamics is relative simple in linear devices, their long length and high cost make them unattractive, when high energies are desired. For this reason, progressively more attention is focused on cyclic induction accelerators.

So far three different cyclic induction accelerators have been proposed: the conventional betatron, ^{18,19} the modified betatron⁴⁻¹⁶ and the stellatron.¹⁷ The modified betatron includes in addition to the time varying betatron magnetic field that is responsible for the acceleration, a strong toroidal magnetic field that substantially improves the stability of the conventional betatron. In the stellatron, the addition of a stellarator field to the modified betatron substantially reduces the displacement of the orbit that is due to energy mismatch.

The dynamics of a high current electron ring confined in a modified betatron configuration has been studied extensively over the last two years.¹²⁻¹⁵ As a result of the finite $v_{/\gamma}$ of the electron ring a host of new phenomena either surfaced or became more pronounced. In this paper, we analyze and discuss the dynamics of a finite $v_{/\gamma}$ electron ring confined in a <u>Manuscript approved May 12, 1983</u>.

conventional betatron. The present work includes both analytical and computational studies for "cold" and "hot" electron rings. The results indicate that, in contrast with the modified betatron, the equilibrium in a conventional betatron is incompatible with either large thermal energy spread of emittance. In addition, it was found that for a "hot" ring, i.e., a ring with toroidal thermal energy spread, the radial (\hat{e}_r) component of the rms emittance oscillates in time, while the vertical component (\hat{e}_z) remains constant. Finally, the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field, as in the modified betatron, impose stringent constraints on the accelerator.

II Transverse Dynamics

a. Macroscopic (beam) motion without toroidal corrections

In this sub-section we study the dynamics of a high current electron beam, including the effect of surrounding conducting walls. However, toroidal correction associated with the fields are neglected. These corrections are considered in sub-section c.

Consider a pencil-like electron beam inside a straight, perfectly conducting cylindrical pipe of circular cross-section as shown in Fig. 1. The center of the beam is located at a distance Δr , Δz from the center of the minor cross-section of the pipe. As a result of the induced charges on the wall, the center of the beam will experience a radial, outward directed force, which for small displacements, i.e., Δr , $\Delta z \ll a$ is given by

$$\vec{F}_{E} = 2\pi e^{2} n_{o} (r_{b}/a)^{2} \{ \Delta r \hat{e}_{r} + \Delta z \hat{e}_{z} \}, \qquad (1)$$

where a is the cylinder radius and n_0 the uniform beam density.

Similarly, as a result of the induced current on the wall, the center of the beam will experience a radial force that is directed toward the opposite direction than $F_{\rm E}$ and is given by

$$\dot{F}_{\rm B} = -\beta_{\rm o}^2 \dot{F}_{\rm E}.$$
 (2)



In addition to the wall forces, the ring experiences the effect of the external fields, which are assumed to vary as

$$B_{z}(r,t) = B_{oz}(t) \{1 - n (r - r_{o})/r_{o}\},$$
 (3a)

$$B_{r}(r,t) = -B_{oz}(t) nz/r_{o}, \qquad (3b)$$

and

$$E_{\theta}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{1}{rc} \int_{0}^{r} \mathbf{r'} d\mathbf{r'} \frac{\partial B_{z}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{r'}, t). \qquad (3c)$$

In the above equations $B_z(r,t)$ is the axial and $B_r(r,t)$ is the radial component of the betatron field, $E_{\theta}(r,t)$ is the induced electric field and n is the external field index.

Using the induced fields of Eqs. (1) and (2), and the external field of Eqs. (3a) and (3b), the equations describing the temporal linear evolution of the beam's center, for time independent applied fields, are:

$$\tilde{\Delta r} + \tilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} \Delta r = \left(\frac{\Omega_{oz}}{\gamma_{o}}\right) \frac{\langle \delta P_{\theta} \rangle}{\gamma_{o}^{mr}}, \qquad (4a)$$

and

$$\Delta z + \tilde{\omega}_{z}^{2} \Delta z = 0, \qquad (4b)$$

where
$$\tilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} = (\Omega_{oz}^{2}/\gamma_{o}^{2})^{2}(1 - n - n_{s}\frac{r_{b}^{2}}{a^{2}}), \tilde{\omega}_{z}^{2} = (\Omega_{oz}^{2}/\gamma_{o}^{2})^{2}(n - n_{s}\frac{r_{b}^{2}}{a^{2}}),$$
 (5)

 $\Omega_{oz} = eB_{oz}/mc$, $\frac{\delta\gamma_o}{\gamma_o} = \frac{\beta_o \langle \delta P_{\theta} \rangle}{\gamma_o m r_o c}$ and δP_{θ} is the difference between the canonical

angular momentum of an electron at (r,z) and its corresponding value at the equilibrium orbit $(r_0, 0)$. The average is over initial coordinates and velocities. Equations (4) and (5) do not include the self electric and self magnetic fields, because both these fields are zero at the center of a straight beam.

In Eq. (4a), $\delta \gamma_0 = \beta_0 \langle \delta P_\theta \rangle / mr_0 c$ indicates the energy mismatch, i.e., the difference between the energy of the reference electron (moving along the axis of the beam) and the energy required for the same electron to move on the equilibrium orbit (r_0 , 0). The solution of Eqs. (4), for time independent fields, is

$$\Delta \mathbf{r} = \frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{o}z} \langle \delta \mathbf{P}_{\theta} \rangle}{\gamma_{\mathbf{o}}^{2} \omega_{\mathbf{r}}^{2} r_{\mathbf{o}}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{j}} + \sum_{j} e^{\mathbf{i} \omega_{j} \mathbf{t}} + c.c, \qquad (6)$$

and

$$\Delta z = \sum_{j} c_{j} \stackrel{i}{e}^{\omega} j^{t} + c.c, \qquad (7)$$

where c_i are constants and

$$\omega_{j}^{2} = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} \\ \widetilde{\omega}_{z}^{2} \\ \widetilde{\omega}_{z}^{2} \end{cases}$$
(8)

The first term on the RHS of Eq. (6) gives the displacement of the center of the orbit from the center of the surrounding cylindrical pipe and can be written as

$$\frac{\Delta \mathbf{r}_{o}}{\mathbf{r}_{o}} = \frac{\langle \delta \mathbf{P}_{\theta} \rangle / \gamma_{o}}{(\Omega_{oz} / \gamma_{o}) (1 - n - n_{s} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{2} / a^{2}) \mathbf{m}_{o}^{2}} = \frac{(\delta \gamma_{o} / \gamma_{o})}{\beta_{o}^{2} (1 - n - n_{s} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{2} / a^{2})}.$$
(9)

The displacement of the orbit's center because of the energy mismatch imposes very stringent constraints on the injector. This becomes apparent when we consider some limiting cases. For example, when n=1/2 and $n_s r_b^2/a^2 <<1$, Eq. (9) is reduced to

$$\frac{\Delta \mathbf{r}_{o}}{\mathbf{r}_{o}} = 2 \left(\delta \gamma_{o} / \gamma_{o} \right). \tag{10}$$

Equation (10) predicts that for a major radius $r_0 = 100$ cm, the ratio $\delta\gamma_0/\gamma_0$ should be less than 1% in order that the displacement of the orbit to be less than 2 cm. The condition $\delta\gamma_0/\gamma_0 < 1\%$ requires that the uncertainty in energy should be less than 35 KeV, when the energy of the injected beam is 3 MeV.

For the initial conditions $\Delta r = \Delta r(o)$ and $\Delta z(o) = \Delta \dot{r}(o) = \Delta \dot{z}(o) = \dot{z}(o) = \dot{z}(o)$ (6) and (7) give

$$\Delta r(t) = \Delta r_{o} + (\Delta r(o) - \Delta r_{o}) \cos(\tilde{\omega}_{r}t), \qquad (11a)$$

and

$$\Delta z(t) = 0, \qquad (11b)$$

i.e., the center of the beam oscillates along the radial direction around Δr_{o} .

Equations (11) are in good agreement with the results of computer simulation shown in Fig. 2. The values of the various parameters are listed in Table I. The center of the ring perform sinusoidal oscillations around the equilibrium position that is located 99 cm from the major axis ($\Delta r_0 = -1$ cm) with a frequency $\tilde{\omega}_r$ given by Eq. (5), which gives a period of about 28 nsec, in agreement with the computer results. Such a pure radial motion cannot occur in a Modified Betatron configuration, because the toroidal magnetic field couples the r and z motions.

The orbit of the beam's center is not always a straight line. For example, when $\Delta r(o)$ and $\Delta z(o) \neq o$ but $\Delta r(o) = \Delta z(o) = o$

$$\Delta \mathbf{r} = \Delta \mathbf{r}_{o} + (\Delta \mathbf{r}(o) - \Delta \mathbf{r}_{o}) \cos \widetilde{\omega}_{r} \mathbf{t},$$

and

$$\Delta z = \left(\frac{\Delta \dot{z}(o)}{\widetilde{\omega}_{z}}\right) \sin \widetilde{\omega}_{z} t,$$

which for $\tilde{\omega}_r = \tilde{\omega}_z$ gives an ellipse as shown in Fig. 3.

The linearized Eqs. (1) and (2) are based on the assumption that $\Delta r_{/a}$ and $\Delta z_{/a} \ll 1$. If this assumption is not satisfied, it is shown in the Appendix A that for an arbitrary minor radius beam of uniform charge and current density the fields at the center of the straight beam are given by



the center of the electron ring. The values of the various parameters for this computer run are listed in Table I.

Table I

Conventional Betatron Parameters

Run No. CONVBETA O

Initial Beam Energy $\gamma_0 = 7.85 (3.5 \text{ MeV})$ Beam Current I (KA) = 5 Major Radius $r_0 (\text{cm}) = 100$ Initial Beam minor raduis $r_b (\text{cm}) = 8$ Torus minor radius a (cm) = 16 Initial beam center position $r_1 (\text{cm}) = 104$ Betatron Magn. Field at r_0 , z = 0, $B_{0z} (G) = 143.5$ Initial emittance ε (rad - cm) = 0.400 (unnormalized) Initial temperature spread (half width) $\frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma_0} = 0.0$ External field index n = 0.447Self field index $n_s = 0.16$



l



describe an ellipse.

$$E_{\rho}(\Delta) = -\frac{2|e|N_{\ell}}{a^2} \frac{\Delta}{(1-\Delta^2/a^2)},$$
 (12a)

and

$$B_{\phi}(\Delta) = -\frac{2|e| N_{\ell}B_{o}}{a^{2}} \qquad \frac{\Delta}{(1 - \Delta^{2}/a^{2})} = -\frac{2|e| N_{\ell}B_{o}}{a} \qquad \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\Delta}{a})^{2\ell-1}, \quad (12b)$$

provided that the beam does not touch the perfectly conducting wall. In Eqs. (12), $\Delta^2 = \Delta r^2 + \Delta z^2$, N₁ is the number of electrons per unit length in the beam and $\beta_o = V_{o/c}$.

Since for a completely non-neutral beam the electric field force is greater than the magnetic force, the beam density does not remain uniform whenever a section of the beam is near a conducting wall, but rather develops a peak at its outer edge facing the wall.

As a consequence of the beam density profile distortion the ratio Δ/a increases leading to larger amplitude oscillation that could result in substantial particle losses, as shown in Fig. 4. In this run at t^{*=} o the surface of the beam is more than one centimeter away from the wall and the beam center was arranged to move toward the equilibrium position. However, the wall forces reversed the direction of motion and most of the beam was lost in a short period of time. Therefore, in order to avoid the non-linearities of image forces, it is necessary to keep the electrons far away from the wall. Typically, the ratio $(\Delta + r_b)/a < 0.5$, where r_b is the beam radius.



Fig. 4. Snap-shots of the electron ring minor cross-section. The nonlinear image forces can have a detrimental effect on the beam when the beam surface is very near the wall. The various parameters for this computer run are listed in Table II.

Table II

Conventional Betatron

Run No. CONVBETA 08

Initial Beam Energy $\gamma_0 = 7.85 (3.5 \text{ MeV})$ Beam Current I (KA) = 5 Major Radius $r_0 (\text{cm}) = 100$ Initial Beam minor radius $r_b (\text{cm}) = 8$ Torus minor radius a (cm) = 16 Initial beam center position $r_1 (\text{cm}) = 107$ Betatron Magn. Field at r_0 , $z = 0 B_{0z} (\text{G}) = 136.1$ Initial emittance $\varepsilon (\text{rad} - \text{cm}) = 0.200$ (unnormalized) Initial temperature spread (half width) $\frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma} = 0$ External field index n = 0.447Self field index $n_s = 0.18$

b. Individual Particle Motion

In the system of coordinates shown in Fig. 5, the equations describing the motion of individual electrons in a constant radius beam are

$$\delta r + \hat{\omega}_r^2 \quad \delta r = \frac{c^2}{r_o} \quad \frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma_o},$$
 (13a)

and

$$\tilde{\delta z} + \tilde{\omega}_{z}^{2} \delta z = 0, \qquad (13b)$$

where
$$\hat{\omega}_{r}^{2} = (\Omega_{oz}/\gamma_{o})^{2} (1 - n - n_{s}), \ \hat{\omega}_{z}^{2} = (\Omega_{oz}/\gamma_{o})^{2} (n - n_{s}),$$

and $\Delta \gamma = \gamma - \langle \gamma \rangle = (v_{\theta o} \gamma_o^3 / c^2) (v_{\theta} - \langle v_{\theta} \rangle)$ i.e.,

the toroidal energy spread in the beam.

For time independent fields the solution of Eqs. (13) is

$$\delta \mathbf{r} = \delta \mathbf{r}_{0} + [\delta \mathbf{r}(0) - \delta \mathbf{r}_{0}] \cos \hat{\omega}_{r} \mathbf{t} + \frac{\delta \mathbf{r}(0)}{\hat{\omega}_{r}} \sin \hat{\omega}_{r} \mathbf{t}, \qquad (14a)$$

and

$$\delta z = \delta z(o) \cos \hat{\omega}_{z} t = \frac{\delta \dot{z}(o)}{\hat{\omega}_{z}} \sin \hat{\omega}_{z} t, \qquad (14b)$$



where $\delta r_0 = (c^2/r_0 \omega_r^2) (\Delta \gamma / \gamma_0)$ and $\delta r(0)$, $\delta z(0)$, $\delta \dot{r}(0)$, $\delta \dot{z}(0)$ are the initial position and velocity components of the particle.

For n = 1/2 the two frequencies are equal, i.e., $\hat{\omega}_r = \hat{\omega}_z = \omega$ and the rms beam emittances become

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{r}}^{2} = \frac{16}{V_{\partial 0}^{2}} \{\langle \delta \mathbf{r}^{2}(t) \rangle \langle \delta \mathbf{r}^{2}(t) \rangle - \langle \delta \mathbf{r}(t) \rangle \delta \mathbf{r}(t) \rangle^{2} \}$$

$$= \frac{16}{v_{\theta\sigma}^2} \left\{ \langle \delta r^2(o) \rangle \langle \delta r^2(o) \rangle + \langle \delta r_0^2 \rangle \left[\omega^2 \langle \delta r^2(o) \rangle \sin^2 \omega t \right] \right\}$$

+
$$\langle \delta r^{2}(o) \rangle$$
 (cos wt -1)²]}, (15a)

and

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{z}^{2} = \frac{16}{V_{\theta \delta}} \{\langle \delta z^{2}(o) \rangle \langle \delta z^{2}(o) \rangle \}.$$
(15b)

Equations (15) are based on the assumption that at t = o the beam is in a K - V distribution²⁰ and thus $\langle \delta r(o) \rangle = \langle \delta r(o) \rangle =$

In addition, for such a distribution, it is easy to show that

$$\langle \delta r^{2}(o) \rangle = \langle \delta z^{2}(o) \rangle = r_{b}^{2}/4$$
 (16)

and

$$\langle \hat{sr}^{2}(o) \rangle = \langle \hat{sz}^{2}(o) \rangle = V_{\perp}^{2}(o)/4 - \frac{\omega^{2}r_{b}^{2}}{4}.$$
 (17)

Substituting Eqs. (16) and (17) into Eqs. (15), we obtain

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{r}}^{2} = \varepsilon^{2} \left[1 + \frac{8 \langle \delta \mathbf{r}_{0}^{2} \rangle}{r_{b}^{2}} \left(1 - \cos \omega \mathbf{t} \right) \right], \qquad (18a)$$

 $\hat{\epsilon}_z^2 = \epsilon^2$, (18b)

where

$$\varepsilon = r_b V_{\perp}(o) / V_{\theta o}.$$
 (19)

and

$$\omega^{2} = (\Omega_{oz/Y_{o}})^{2} (1 - n - n_{s}) . \qquad (20)$$

Intense electron rings with thermal energy spread have been simulated numerically. For the run shown in Fig. 6 the various parameters are listed in Table III. Figures 6a, 6b and 6c give the variation of γ with radial distance, the configuration space and the phase spaces for three different times. It is observed that the ring envelope varies sinusoidally with a peak radial amplitude that is almost twice of its initial value. This is consistent with Eq. (14a), which predicts that thermal effects will increase the radial excursions of the electrons by $2\Delta r_0$. For $r_0 = 100$







Fig. 6. Radial profile of γ , configuration space and phase space at t = o (a); t = 8 (b); and t = 40 nsec (c), for a half-width axial energy spread of 1%. The variation of the rms emittance is shown in (d). The various parameters for this run are listed in Table III.

(c)

Fig. 6 (Cont'd). Radial profile of γ , configuration space and phase space at t = o (a); t = 8 (b); and t = 40 nsec (c), for a half-width axial energy spread of 1%. The variation of the rms emittance is shown in (d). The various parameters for this run are listed in Table III.

Table III

Conventional Betatron

Run No. CONVBETA 04

Initial Beam Energy $\gamma_0 = 7.85 (3.5 \text{ MeV})$ Beam Current I (KA) = 10 Major Radius $r_0 (cm) = 100$ Initial Beam minor radius $r_b (cm) = 8$ Torus minor radius a (cm) = 16 Initial beam center position $r_i (cm) = 100$ Betatron Magn. Field at r_0 , z = 0, $B_{0z} (G) = 153.7$ Initial emittance ε (rad - cm) = 0.320 (unnormalized) Initial temperature spread (half width) $\frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma_0} = 1\%$ External field index n = 0.45Self field index $n_g = 0.289$ cm, n = 0.45, n_g = 0.29 and a fractional thermal half width $\delta = 1$ %, the additional radial excursion will be $2\Delta r_0 = 2r_0 \ \delta/(1-n-n_s) = 8$ cm, i.e., equal to the initial beam radius. In contrast, in a high current modified betatron n_s can be considerably greater than unity and thus the radial excursions can be substantially smaller.¹²

The variation of the rms emittance as a function of time is shown in Fig. 6d. In accordance with Eq. (18b), the $\hat{\epsilon}_z$ remains approximately constant in time. However, $\hat{\epsilon}_r$ oscillates with a period that is about 38 nsec. For the parameters of the present run Eq. (20) predicts a period of about 40 nsec. The small difference is probably related to toroidal effects. In addition to the period, the shape of the oscillations predicted by Eq. (18a) is very similar to that of Fig. 6d. Moreover, Eq. (18a) predicts a peak amplitude that is about 700 mrad-cm, which is slightly higher than the first peak of Fig. 6d.

The oscillations of ε_r are reduced practically to zero when $\delta = 0$. This is shown in Fig. 7a. With the exception of parallel thermal energy spread the parameters of this run are identical to those of the previous run and are listed in Table IV. In these runs it is important to avoid to introduce an artificial energy spread as for example by using, at t = 0, a cylindrical K-V distribution to load the electrons in the code. In such a case the electrons quickly acquire an "energy spread" during the run. This "thermalization" is due to the fact that a cylindrical K-V distribution is not suitable for high current electron rings that have large aspect ratio r_{b/r_0} , as may be seen as follows: For a uniform density ring that is located inside a conducting torus with its minor axis lying along the minor axis of the torus, the difference in

Fig. 7. (a) Variation of the rms emittance $\hat{\epsilon}_r$, $\hat{\epsilon}_z$ and $(\hat{\epsilon}_r + \hat{\epsilon}_z)/2$ for zero energy spread; (b) temporal variation of γ . The various parameters for this run are listed in Table IV.

Table IV

Conventional Betatron

Run No. CONVBETA 05

Initial Beam Energy $\gamma_0 = 7.35 (3.5 \text{ MeV})$ Beam Current I (KA) = 10 Major Radius $r_0 (\text{cm}) = 100$ Initial Beam minor radius $r_b (\text{cm}) = 8$ Torus minor radius a (cm) = 16 Initial beam center position $r_i (\text{cm}) = 100$ Betatron Magn. Field at r_0 , z = 0, $B_{0z} (G) = 153.7$ Initial emittance $\epsilon (\text{rad} - \text{cm}) = 0.320$ Initial temperature spread (full width) $\frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma_0} = 0.0$ External field index n = 0.45Self field index $n_s = 0.289$ the potential energy between the outer and inner edge of a ring, along the midplane (z = 0), is given by

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{-|e|}{mc^2} \left[\phi_{out} - \phi_{in} \right] = 2\nu(r_{b/r_o}) \left[\frac{1}{4} - 2n \frac{a}{r_b} - \frac{r_b^2}{4a^2} \right].$$
(21)

For $a/r_b = 2$, $r_{b/r_o} = 0.008$, v = 0.5, Eq. (21) gives $\Delta \Phi = -4\%$. Of course for a cylindrical K-V distribution, $\Delta \Phi = 0$. Thus, a ring that has been incorrectly loaded, it tries to attain a more physical distribution, but in the absense of dissipation this can be achieved only temporarily. In the process a spread in γ is developed, which is equivelant to temperature.

Often, the electron ring develops transverse oscillations. These oscillations generate a toroidal electric field that modifies the kinetic energy of the gyrating electrons according to the equation

$$mc^{2} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = -|e| \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} - |e| (\nabla_{\theta/c}) (\frac{dA_{\theta}}{dt}). \qquad (22)$$

The change in γ can be obtained by integrating Eq. (22). Assuming that the beam is located at the center of the torus, A_{β} is given

$$A_{\theta} = (2I/_{c}) [\frac{l}{2} + \ln (a/_{r_{b}})].$$
(23)

For $\gamma^2 >> 1$ the result is

$$\gamma_{f} - \gamma_{in} = 2v \ln \left(\frac{r_{bf}}{r_{bin}}\right), \qquad (24)$$

where γ_f , γ_{in} are the final, initial values of γ and r_{bf} , r_{bin} are the final, initial values of the ring radius. The variation of γ as a function of time for the run of Table IV is shown in Fig. 7b. Equation (24) predicts a $\gamma_f - \gamma_{in} = 0.2$, which is in good agreement with the numerical results.

Combining Eqs. (17), (19) and (20), and assuming that $n_s \ll 1/2$ and n = 1/2, we obtain the maximum emittance that is allowed in a betatron of major radius r_o and is

$$\varepsilon_{b}^{2} \leq 1/2 \quad (\frac{r_{b}^{4}}{r_{o}^{2}}).$$

The maximum emittance that can be accomodated in a emittance dominated beam confined in a modified betatron is considerably greater and is given by

$$\varepsilon_{\rm mb}^2 \leq (r_b^4/r_o^2) (B_{\rm o\theta}^2/2B_{\rm oz})^2$$
.

The ratio of the two emittances is $\frac{\varepsilon_{mb}}{\varepsilon_{b}} = \frac{B_{o\theta}}{r_{2}B_{oz}}$ and in general it is much greater than unity.

c. Toroidal Corrections^{8,12,14}

The cause of these effects is the finite curvature of the electron beam orbit. For relatively large aspect ratio $r_0/r_b \gg 1$ beams, the toroidal effects become important when ν/γ_0 exceeds a few percent. The toroidal corrections have been discussed extensively in relation to the Modified Betatron. The fields at the center of a uniform charge and current density

electron ring inside a perfectly conducting toroidal chamber of circular cross-section are

$$E_{nor} = -2\pi |e|_{no} r_{o} \left[\left(\frac{r_{b}^{2}}{a^{2}} \frac{\Delta r}{r_{o}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{b}^{2}}{r_{o}^{2}} \ln \frac{a}{r_{b}} \right) \hat{e}_{r} + \frac{r_{b}^{2}}{a^{2}} \frac{\Delta z}{r_{o}} \hat{e}_{z} \right], \quad (25)$$

and

$$B_{ind} = -2\pi \left[e \left| n_{o} \beta_{o} r_{o} \right| \left[\frac{r_{b}^{2}}{a^{2}} \frac{\Delta z}{r_{o}} \hat{e}_{r} - \left(\frac{r_{b}^{2}}{a^{2}} \frac{\Delta r}{r_{o}} - \frac{r_{b}^{2}}{2r_{o}^{2}} \left\{ 1 + 2n \frac{a}{r_{b}} \right\} \right) \hat{e}_{z} \right], \quad (26)$$

where n_0 is the ambient density, $\beta_0 = v_0/c$, v_0 is the azimuthal velocity defined by

$$v_{o} = \frac{r_{o} \Omega_{oz} / \gamma_{o}}{1 + 2(\nu/\gamma_{o})(1/2 + \ln a/r_{b})},$$
 (27)

and the displacement Δr , Δz of the ring from the center of the torus has been assumed to be much less than a.

Using the fields of Eqs. (21) and (22), it can be shown that the center of the beam is described by the equations

$$\tilde{\Delta r} + \omega_{r}^{2} \Delta r = \frac{c^{2}}{r_{o}} \frac{\delta \gamma_{o}}{\gamma_{o}}, \qquad (28)$$

and

$$\Delta z + \omega_z^2 \Delta z = o, \qquad (29)$$

where

$$\omega_{\rm r}^{2} = (\lambda_{\rm oz}/\gamma_{\rm o})^{2} [\xi^{2} - n\xi - 2\nu c^{2}/\gamma_{\rm o}^{3} a^{2} (\lambda_{\rm oz}/\gamma_{\rm o})^{2}], \qquad (30)$$

$$\omega_{z}^{2} = (\Omega_{oz}/\gamma_{o})^{2} [n\xi - 2\nu c^{2}/\gamma_{o}^{3}a^{2} (\Omega_{oz}/\gamma_{o})^{2}], \qquad (31)$$

and

$$\xi = \{1 + (2\nu/\gamma_0) [0.5 + \ln (a/r_b)]\}^{-1},$$

According to Eqs. (24) and (26), the equilibrium position of the orbit is displaced from the center of the minor cross-section of the torus, whenever the energy mismatch $\delta\gamma_0$ is not zero. The displacement is

$$\frac{\Delta r_{o}}{r_{o}} = \frac{c^{2}(\delta \gamma_{o}/\gamma_{o})}{r_{o}^{2} \omega_{r}^{2}} = \frac{\gamma_{o} \delta \gamma_{o}/(\gamma_{o}^{2}-1)}{(1 - n/\xi - 2\nu r_{o}^{2}/\gamma_{o}a^{2}(\gamma_{o}^{2}-1))}$$

The above equation predicts that

for $\delta \gamma_0 / \gamma_0 = 1\%$, $\gamma_0 = 5$, $r_0 / a = 7$, n = 1/2, $v / \gamma_0 = 0.059$, i.e., for I = 5 KA, the ratio $\Delta r_0 / r_0 = 0.05$, which for $r_0 = 110$ cm gives a displacement $\Delta r_0 = 5.5$ cm.

An interesting manifestation of toroidal effects is in the value of betatron magnetic field required to confine the rotating beam at a specific radius. When the axis of the beam lies along the axis of the torus, i.e., when $\Delta r = \Delta z = 0$, it can be shown from Eqs. (25) and (26) that the external magnetic field required for the beam to rotate with a radius r_0 is

$$B_{oz} = B_{o} \{ 1 + 2\nu/\gamma_{o} (0.5 + \ln a/r_{b}) \}, \qquad (32)$$

 \mathcal{O}

where B_0 is the magnetic field necessary for a single particle of the same kinetic energy to rotate with a radius r_0 .

For the run of Table IV the single particle magnetic field is 134G, about 20G lower than that used in the simulation. Equation (32) predicts that the required field B_{oz} is 157.8G, approximately 4G higher than that of Table IV. The difference is related to the fact that Eq. (32) was derived under the assumption that the ratio $r_{b/a} \ll 1$, which is not satisfied.

III. Self Magnetic Field Diffusion

To allow the external accelerating magnetic field to penetrate inside the torus, the vacuum chamber is constructed from materials with finite conductivity. As a result, the self magnetic field diffuses out the chamber for times comparable with the magnetic diffusion time t_D . The inductive electric field generated by the changing flux acts to slow down the beam. In addition, the hoop forces increase and the induced magnetic field components (image fields) go to zero at the end of the diffusion. However, the induced electric field components (image fields) remain the same. Although these two effects change the equilibrium position of the beam in the opposite direction, in general they do not balance each other and thus the equilibrium can be lost. This difficulty can be avoiding by compensating for the diffusion of the field with external circuits.

However, it is very unlikely that the compensation can be perfect. Therefore, in practical situations it is desirable to know the maximum permissible error in the compensation that will not result in the loss of the equilibrium.

Consider a electron ring, which for $t \leq t_D$ is inside a finite conductivity toroidal chamber with its minor axis lying along the minor axis of torus, as shown in Fig. 8. Initially, the magnetic boundary coincides with the electric boundary and has a radius equal to a. As a result of the incomplete compensation the magnetic boundary moves, at $t \sim t_D$ to a new radius a', but the electric boundary remains at its initial position. The reduction in γ associated with the shift of the magnetic boundary can be computed from

I

compensation of wall currents during diffusion of self magnetic

field.

Eq. (22) and is given by

1

$$\Delta \gamma = -2\nu \beta_{\theta}^{2} \ln \left(\frac{a}{a}\right) . \qquad (33)$$

In addition to the reduction of γ , the hoop forces will increase and therefore the equilibrium position of the ring will move a distance Δr from the center of the torus, which was the initial equilibrium position. This distance can be computed from the radial balance equation using the fields of Eqs. (25) and (26) and the reduction of γ given by Eq. (33). The result is

$$\frac{\Delta r}{r_{o}} = \frac{v/\gamma \ln (a'/a)}{[1-n-(n_{s}r_{b}^{2}/a^{2})(1+2\gamma^{2}\delta a/a)]},$$

where $\delta a = a'-a$.

In order to keep the displacement $\Delta \hat{r} \ll a$ it is necessary to avoid the singularity of the denominator, i.e.,

$$(n_{s}r_{b}^{2}/a^{2})(1 + 2\gamma^{2} \delta a/a) \ll 1-n.$$

For $\delta a/a = 10\%$, $\gamma = 7$, $n = n_e = 1/2$, the above relation gives

$$\frac{\binom{r_b}{a}^2}{(\frac{1}{11})} \ll \frac{1}{11}$$

The corresponding displacement of the equilibrium position for a = 15 cm, r_0 = 100 cm and v = 0.59 is 1.6 cm. Therefore, the shift in the equilibrium position during diffusion is manageable, provided that the compensation is better than 90%.

IV Conclusions

The main conclusions that may be drawn from the present studies are: Like the modified betatron, the conventional betatron is sensitive to the energy mismatch and the diffusion of the self magnetic field. However, in contrast to the modified betatron, the conventional betatron cannot accomodate large thermal energy spread and large emittance. These advantages of the modified betatron, together with its superior stability²¹⁻²³ properties make it a more appropriate accelerator when intense beams are desired.

Finally, it is necessary to keep the ratio $r_{b/a} \ll 1$, in both devices, in order to avoid very unpleasant surprises, in particular in the high current regime.

References

- T. J. Fessenden, et. al; Proc. of the Intern. Top. Conf. on High-Power Electron and Ion Beam Research and Technology; Palaiseau, France, June 29 - July 3, 1981, p. 813.
- R. Briggs, Proc. 1981 Particle Accel. Conf. to be published in IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-28 (June 1981).
- 3. A. I. Paulovskii, et. al: Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. 22, 218 (1977).
- 4. A. G. Bonch-Osmolovskii, G. V. Dolbilow, I. N. Ivanov, E. A. Perelshtein,
 V. P. Sarantsev, O. I. Yarkovoy, JINR-Report P9-4135 Dubna (USSR) 1968.
- 5. P. Sprangle and C. A. Kapetanakos, J. Appl. Phys. 49, 1 (1978).
- N. Rostoker, Comments on Plasma Physics, Gordon and BreachScience Publ. Inc. Vol. 6, p. 91 (1980).
- C. L. Olson and V. Schumacher Collective Ion Acceleration Springer -Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1979, page 199.
- P. Sprangle, C. A. Kapetanakos and S. J. Marsh; Proc. of the Intern. Top Conf. on High-Power Electron and Ion Beam Research and Technology; Palaiseau, France, June 29 - July 3, 1981, p. 803; Also NRL Memo Report 4666 (1981).
- G. Barak, D. Chernin, A. Fisher, H. Ishizuda and N. Rostoker; Proc. of the Intern. Top. Conf. on High Power Electron and Ion Beam Research and Technology; Palaiseau, France, June 29 - July 3, 1981, p. 795.
- 10. H. S. Uhm and R. C. Davison, MIT, Plasma Fusion Center Report No. JA-81-30 (1981).

- C. A. Kapetanakos, P. Sprangle and S. J. Marsh, NRL Memo Report 4835 (1982); also Phys. Rev.Lett. <u>49</u>, 741 (1982).
- C. A. Kapetanakos, P. Sprangle, D. P. Chernin, S. J. Marsh and I. Haber, NRL Memo Report 4905 (1982); also Phys. of Fluids (1983).
- 13. W. M. Manheimer and J. M. Finn, Particle Accelerators, 1983.
- 14. D. P. Chernin and P. Sprangle, Particle Accelerators 12, 85 (1982).
- 15. G. Barak, N. Roskoker, Phys. of Fluids 26, 3 (1983).
- 16. H. H. Fleischmann, D. Taggart, M. Parker and H. J. Hopman, Particle Accel. Conf. Bull. page 146 Santa Fe, NM, 21-23 March (1983), (this ref. desribes a plasma betatron, in which the electron ring is neutralized).
- 17. C. Roberson, A. Mondelli and D. Chernin, Phys. Rev.Lett. 50, 507 (1983).
- 18. D. W. Kerst, Nature, 157, 90 (1940).
- 19. D. W. Kerst, et. al. Rev. Sci.Inst. 21, 462 (1950).
- 20. J. Lawson, The Physics of Charged-Particle Beams, Clarendon Press-Oxford, 1977, page 189.
- 21. P. Sprangle and J. Vomvoridis, NRL Memo Report 4688 (1981).
- 22. T. P. Hughes and B. B. Godfrey, Mission Research Corp. Report No. AMRC-R 354, 1982; also AMRC-R-332, 1982.
- 23. P. Sprangle and D. Chernin, NRL Memo Report (1983).

Appendix A

Consider a straight electron beam of radius r_b inside a cylindrical, conducting pipe of radius a as shown in Fig. 5. The total vector potential $\vec{A}_T = \vec{A}_p + \vec{A}_h$, where \vec{A}_p is the particular and \vec{A}_h is the homogeneous solution of the wave equation.

When the displacement current is neglected, the particular solution for $\rho > r_{\rm b}$ is

$$\dot{\bar{A}}_{p}(p, \phi, t) = - \frac{2I(t)}{c} \ln \left| \dot{\bar{\rho}} - \dot{\bar{\Delta}}(t) \right| \dot{\bar{e}}_{\theta}, \qquad (A-1)$$

where

$$\left|\dot{\rho} - \dot{\Delta}(t)\right| = \left[\rho^2 + \Delta^2(t) - 2\rho\Delta(t)\cos(\phi - \alpha(t))\right]^{1/2}$$

and $I(t) = -|e| n_o v_o nr_b^2$ is the beam current.

Similarly, the homogeneous solution is

$$\hat{A}_{h}(\rho, \theta, t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{l}(t) (\rho/a)^{l} e^{il\theta} \hat{e}_{\theta} + c.c., \qquad (A-2)$$

where the coefficients are to be determined from the boundary conditions. For a perfect conductor $A_T = o$ at $\rho = a$ and Eqs. (A-1) and (A-2) give

$$a_{o} = (I/c) lna$$

$$a_{\ell} = - (I/c) \ell^{-1} (\Delta/a)^{\ell} e^{-i\ell \alpha}, \ell = 1, 2 \cdots$$

The magnetic field at the center of the beam is

1

$$B_{\varphi}(\Delta, \alpha) = -\frac{\partial A_{h}}{\partial \rho} = -\frac{2I}{ac} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\Delta}{a})^{2\ell-1}$$
$$= -\frac{2I}{\alpha^{2}c} \frac{\Delta}{(1 - \Delta^{2}/a^{2})} . \qquad (A-3)$$

Equation (A-3) was derived without any assumption about the beam radius.

D7 FIL