

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

12

INSTITUTE REPORT NO. 155

ADA 132407

Potential

**PRIMARY EYE IRRITATION OF THE HOLSTON COMPOUNDS:
Virgin DMSO, DMSO Recycle Solvent, and DMSO Evaporator Sludge**

**THOMAS P. KELLNER, BA, SP4
CRAIG W. WHITE, DVM, CPT VC
and
JOHN T. FRUIN, DVM, COL VC**

**TOXICOLOGY GROUP,
DIVISION OF RESEARCH SUPPORT**

**DTIC
SEP 14 1983
S D H**

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited**

AUGUST 1983

Toxicology Series 59

**LETTERMAN ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH
PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94129**

DTIC FILE COPY

83 - 09 13 011

Primary Eye Irritation of the Holston Compounds: Virgin DMSO, DMSO
Recycle Solvent, and DMSO Evaporator Sludge (Toxicology Series 59)--
Kellner and White


Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with the permission of the
Commander, Letterman Army Institute of Research, Presidio of San Francisco, California 94129.
However, the Defense Technical Information Center is authorized to reproduce the document for
United States Government purposes.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the
use of such items.

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigation adhered to the "Guide for the
Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," as promulgated by the Committee on Revision of the Guide
for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care, Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National
Research Council.

This material has been reviewed by Letterman Army Institute
of Research and there is no objection to its presentation and/
or publication. The opinions or assertions contained herein
are the private views of the author(s) and are not to be con-
strued as official or as reflecting the views of the Department
of the Army or the Department of Defense. (AR 360-5)

A handwritten signature in cursive script, followed by the date "8 Aug 83". The signature is written over a dotted line.
(Signature and date)

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER LAIR Institute Report 155	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A132-407	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Primary Eye Irritation Potential of the Holston Compounds: Virgin DMSO, DMSO Recycle Solvent and DMSO Evaporator Sludge		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final 10 Feb - 30 Mar 83
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Thomas P. Kellner, BA, SP4 Craig W. White, DVM, CPT VC		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Medical Research and Development Command Letterman Army Institute of Research Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21701		12. REPORT DATE August 1983
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 38
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE AND SALE: ITS DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Eye Irritation, Holston Compounds, Virgin DMSO, DMSO Recycle Solvent, DMSO Evaporator Sludge		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The primary eye irritation potential of the Holston Compounds (Virgin DMSO*, DMSO Recycle Solvent, and DMSO Evaporator Sludge) was tested by placing these materials in the conjunctival cul-de-sac of rabbit eyes. The study was conducted in compliance with the Good Laboratory Practice Regulations. All of the DMSO compounds tested produced irritation of the conjunctiva early in the study, but the level of severity did not meet the criteria for positive eye irritants. * DMSO = Dimethyl Sulfoxide		

ABSTRACT

The primary eye irritation potential of the Holston Compounds (Virgin DMSO*, DMSO Recycle Solvent, and DMSO Evaporator Sludge) was tested by placing these materials in the conjunctival cul-de-sac of rabbit eyes. The study was conducted in compliance with the Good Laboratory Practice Regulations. All of the DMSO compounds tested produced irritation of the conjunctiva early in the study, but the level of severity did not meet the criteria for positive eye irritants.

*DMSO = Dimethyl Sulfoxide



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

PREFACE

TYPE REPORT: Primary Eye Irritation GLP Report

TESTING FACILITY: U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
Letterman Army Institute of Research
Division of Research Support
Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

SPONSOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
U.S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development
Laboratory
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701

PROJECT: DMSO Recrystallization Solution
APC TL01

GLP STUDY NO.: 82036

STUDY DIRECTOR: COL John T. Fruin, DVM, PhD, VC
Diplomate, American College of
Veterinary Preventive Medicine

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: CPT Craig W. White, DVM, VC

CO-PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: SP4 Thomas P. Kellner, BA

REPORT AND DATA MANAGEMENT: A copy of the final report, study
protocols, raw data, retired SOPs, and an
aliquot of the test compound will be
retained in the LAIR Archives.

TEST SUBSTANCE: The Holston Compounds (Virgin DMSO, DMSO Recycle
Solvent, and DMSO Evaporator Sludge).

INCLUSIVE STUDY DATES: 10 February-30 March 1983

OBJECTIVE: The objective of the study is to evaluate the primary eye
irritation potential of DMSO recrystallization solvents
which are designated Recycle Solvent (TP013), Virgin DMSO
(TP014), and Evaporator Sludge (TP015).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank SP4 Evelyn Zimmerman and Carolyn Lewis, MS, for their assistance in performing the research. Additionally, the authors wish to thank Dr. Jack Dacre and CPT James Carroll of the US Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701 for consultation services rendered.

SIGNATURES OF PRINCIPAL SCIENTISTS AND MANAGERS INVOLVED IN THE STUDY:

We, the undersigned, believe the study number 82036 described in this report to be scientifically sound and the results in this report and interpretation to be valid. The study was conducted to comply, to the best of our ability, with the Good Laboratory Practice Regulations for Medical Laboratory Studies, outlined by the Food and Drug Administration.

John T. Fruin 4 May 83
JOHN T. FRUIN / DATE
COL, VC
Study Director

Thomas P. Kellner / 4 May 83
THOMAS P. KELLNER, BA / DATE
SP5, USA
Co-Principal Investigator

Craig W. White 4 May 83
CRAIG W. WHITE / DATE
CPT, VC
Principal Investigator

Carolyn M. Lewis 4 May 83
CAROLYN M. LEWIS, MS / DATE
Data Manager



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
LETTERMAN ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH
PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94129

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

SGRD-ULZ-QA

7 June 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Report of GLP Compliance

I hereby certify that in relation to LAIR GLP study 82036 the following inspections were made:

10 Mar 83
16 Mar 83

The report and raw data for this study were audited on 6 June 1983.

Routine inspections with no adverse findings are reported quarterly, thus these inspections are also included in the April 83 report to management and the Study Director.



NELSON R. POWERS, Ph.D.
CPT, MSC
Quality Assurance Officer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....i
Preface.....iii
Acknowledgments.....iv
Signatures of Principal Scientists.....v
Report of Quality Assurance Unit.....vi
Table of Contents.....vii

BODY OF REPORT

 INTRODUCTION.....1
 Objective of Study.....1

 METHODS

 Test Substance.....1
 Animal Data.....2
 Environmental Conditions.....2
 Dosing.....2
 Rationale for Using the Test.....2
 Duration of the Study.....3
 Deviation from Original Protocol.....3

 RESULTS.....5
 DISCUSSION.....5
 CONCLUSION.....6
 RECOMMENDATION.....6
 REFERENCES.....7

APPENDICES

 Appendix A, Chemical Data.....11
 Appendix B, Animal Data.....15
 Appendix C, Environmental Conditions.....17
 Appendix D, Historical Listing of Study Events.....19
 Appendix E, Tabular Scoring Data.....21

OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST.....35

The Holston Defense Corporation has proposed that dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) be used as the replacement recrystallization process solvent for HMX/RDX explosive synthesis. As a result of this proposal, a pilot recrystallization facility was put into small scale operation. Samples of the solvent (DMSO) were drawn off at two stages of the process and were designated Recycle Solvent and Evaporator Sludge. These samples may contain major and minor cyclic nitramines which were picked up during the recrystallization process. Because of the extreme tissue penetration properties of DMSO, the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command decided that an extensive health hazard assessment would have to be made prior to full-scale munitions production using DMSO as the recrystallization solvent.

The Toxicology Group of Letterman Army Institute of Research was designated by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command to perform the initial toxicological testing on the DMSO samples. The initial data will provide a base for further toxicological testing leading to definitive health protection criteria. These criteria will be used to evaluate facility design and worker protection equipment.

Objective of the Study

The objective of the study is to evaluate the primary eye irritation potential of DMSO recrystallization solvents which are designated Virgin DMSO (TP014), Recycle Solvent (TP013), and Evaporator Sludge (TP015).

METHOD

Test Substances

1. Chemical name: DMSO Recycle Solvent (TP013)
2. Chemical name: Virgin DMSO (TP014)
3. Chemical name: DMSO Evaporator Sludge (TP015)

Chemical data are given in Appendix A.

Animal Data

Animal data appear in Appendix B.

Environmental Conditions

Environmental conditions are described in Appendix C.

Dosing

The nine rabbits were weighed, randomized, and examined. Fluorescein dye and ultraviolet light were used to determine any pre-existing corneal damage 24 hours before the application of the test chemical. No eye lesions were observed.

Three rabbits were assigned to a group for each test chemical. Each rabbit was secured in a restraint cage and the test substance was placed in the right eye of each animal by gently pulling the lower lid away from the eyeball (conjunctival cul-de-sac) to form a cup into which 0.1 ml of liquid was placed. The eyelids were then held together gently to prevent immediate loss of the chemical. The other eye, remaining untreated, served as the negative control. The animals were observed at 1 hour, 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 4 days, 7 days, 10 days, and 14 days after exposure.

Rationale for Using the Test

The use of animal eyes as test subjects for pharmacological and toxicological examination has been a well-established procedure for a number of years (1). According to earlier technics, six to nine albino rabbits per test chemical were used (2). The U.S. Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group suggests that a trial test be conducted on three rabbits per test substance, and if the substance produced a positive reaction (corrosion, severe irritation) or a negative reaction (slight or no irritation), then no further testing is necessary (3). If equivocal results occur, three more rabbits are tested.

Before and after chemical exposure, ocular reactions are scored with the unaided eye (4). Observations and scoring are conducted according to Table 1 (3). The eyes of all rabbits are further examined after applying fluorescein stain. After flushing out the excess fluorescein with physiological saline, injured areas or the cornea appear green under ultraviolet light.

Any additional observations, such as pannus, phlyctena, rupture of the globe, and vascularization of the cornea, are reported. The grades of ocular reaction are recorded at each examination.

Method of Analysis: Ulceration of the cornea (other than fine stippling), opacity of the cornea (beyond just slight dulling), inflammation of the iris (other than slight deepening of the rugae or light hyperemia of circumcorneal blood vessels), or obvious swelling of the eyelids accompanied by severe conjunctival redness were considered to be signs of positive eye irritation (4).

Duration of Study

A list of historical events appears in Appendix D.

Deviations From Original Protocol

None.

TABLE 1
GRADES FOR OCULAR LESIONS

CORNEA

Opacity: degree of density (area dense taken for reading)	
No ulceration or opacity.....	0
Scattered or diffuse areas of opacity (other than slight dulling of normal luster, details of iris clearly visible).....	1*
Easily discernible translucent areas, details of iris slightly obscured.....	2
Nacreous areas, no details of iris visible, size of pupil barely discernible.....	3
Opaque cornea, iris not discernible through opacity.....	4

IRIS

Normal.....	0
Markedly deepened rugae, congestion, swelling, moderate circumcorneal hyperemia or injection, any of these or any combination thereof, iris still reacting to light (sluggish reaction is positive).....	1*
No reaction to light, hemorrhage, gross destruction (any or all of these).....	2

CONJUNCTIVAE

Redness (refers to palpebral and bulbar conjunctivae excluding cornea and iris)	
Blood vessels normal.....	0
Some blood vessels definitely hyperemic (injected).....	1
Diffuse, crimson color, individual vessels not easily discernible....	2*
Diffuse beefy red.....	3
Chemosis: lids and/or nictitating membranes	
No swelling.....	0
Any swelling above normal (including nictitating membranes).....	1
Obvious swelling with partial eversion of lids.....	2*
Swelling with lids about half-closed.....	3
Swelling with lids more than half-closed.....	4

*Indicates minimum level for a positive response (3)

RESULTS

The scale used for scoring appears in Table 1. Tabular scoring data appear in Appendix E.

TP013

Of the three animals tested in this group, none showed signs of corneal opacity or iritis (Tables 1 and 2). Slight conjunctival redness (score of 1) was seen in rabbit 83F107 at the 1-hour observation and in 83F103 at the 1 and 24-hour observations (Table 3). Slight conjunctival chemosis was observed in all rabbits 1-hour after dosing, and these symptoms persisted to 24 hours in animals 83F099 and 83F103 (Table 4).

TP014

None of the three animals tested in this group showed signs of corneal opacity or iritis (Tables 5 and 6). Slight conjunctival redness was seen in all rabbits at the 1-hour observation (Table 7). Conjunctival chemosis was observed for all animals in this group at the 1-hour observation at a severity level of 2 (swelling with partial eversion of the eyelids (Table 8)). All animals showed a marked decrease in chemosis by the next observation period (animal 83F094 showed no chemosis). Animal 83F110 showed scores of 1 from the 24-hour to the 72-hour observation, while 83F104 showed scores of 1 at the 24-hour and 10 day observation periods.

TP015

As with TP013 and TP014, none of the animals tested in this group showed signs of corneal opacity or iritis (Tables 9 and 10). Conjunctival redness was seen in one animal (83F111) at the 1-hour observation (Table 11). Conjunctival chemosis was observed in animal 83F102 at the 1-hour observation and in 83F111 at the 1-hour and 24-hour observation periods (Table 12).

DISCUSSION

In this study a positive reaction is defined as an animal exhibiting one or more of the following signs (above a given level of severity): ulceration of the cornea, opacity of cornea, inflammation of the iris and an obvious swelling of the conjunctiva (accompanied by redness).

Kellner--6

Based on these criteria, TP013 and TP015 clearly did not qualify as positive ocular irritants. TP014 did produce scores of 2 for conjunctival chemosis in each rabbit 1 hour after dosing, but this level of severity did not persist to the next day and was not accompanied by severe conjunctival redness. Further, TP014 produced no ulceration of cornea, opacity of the cornea, or inflammation of the iris. Based on these data, TP014 did not qualify as a positive ocular irritant.

CONCLUSION

All three of the DMSO recrystallization process solvents were only slightly irritating to ocular tissues and are classified as negative ocular irritants.

RECOMMENDATION

None.

REFERENCES

1. Hazelton LW. Relation of surface active properties to irritation of the rabbit eye. Toilet Goods Assoc 1952 17:5-9.
2. Draize JJ, Kelly EA. Surface active agents and the eye. Drug Cosmetic Ind 1952; 71:36-37.
3. U.S. Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group. Acute eye irritation testing. 1981.
4. Editorial Committee on Food and Drug Officials of the United States. Appraisal of the safety of chemicals in foods, drugs, and cosmetics. 1959. Association of Food and Drug Officials of the U.S.

Kellner--8

	Page
Appendix A, Chemical Data.....	11
Appendix B, Animal Data.....	15
Appendix C, Environmental Conditions.....	17
Appendix D, Historical Listing of Study Events.....	19
Appendix E, Tabular Scoring Data.....	21

APPENDICES

Kellner--10

Toxicity Test Sample Composition*


Sample	Concentration by HPLC, g/1				%H ₂ O	%DMSO
	RDX	HMX	TAX	SEX		
Virgin DMSO	0	0	0	0	0.63	99.37
DMSO Recycle Solvent	24.188	39.542	0.263	0	35.48	58.64
DMSO Evaporator Sludge	0.548	0.942	3.521	0	5.35	94.19


- (1) At ambient temperature.
 (2) Analysis of equilibrium liquid at 40 C.
 (3) By Karl Fisher
 (4) Water content calculated by difference.
 (5) DMSO content by gas chromatography using virgin DMSO sample as the standard.

Calculated Data In Weight Percent

Sample	RDX	HMX	TAX	SEX	H ₂ O	DMSO
Virgin DMSO	0	0	0	0	0.63	99.37
DMSO Recycle Solvent	2.22	3.64	0.02	0	35.48	58.64
DMSO Evaporator Sludge	0.05	0.09	0.32	0	5.35	94.19

*Data supplied by sponsor





141

RECALC
TITLE:

12:13 29 MAR 83

CHANNEL NO: 1 SAMPLE: TP013 METHOD: THIDL5

PEAK NO	PEAK NAME	RESULT AREA %	TIME (MIN)	TIME OFFSET	AREA COUNTS	SEP CODE	WI/2 (SEC)
1		12.4597	5.346		35291	BV	12.58
2		19.7905	6.224		56855	VV	10.70
3		17.5359	7.481		49669	VV	6.75
4		4.2547	8.685		12051	VB	? 3.10
5		44.8299	11.789		126977	VV	? 53.15
6		1.1294	12.636		3199	VB	? 6.40
TOTALS:		100.0000			283242		


DETECTED PKS: 15 REJECTED PKS: 9

MULTIPLIER: 1.00000

NOISE: 1.2 OFFSET: -5

NOTES:
COL: 2 M GLASS - 5% OV-17, 80-100 MESH
CARRIER: NITROGEN - 20 CC/MIN
INJ: 150° C DET: 270° C
TEMP PROG: 100° TO 260° @ 20° C/MIN
SOLVENT: DMSO ? SAMP SIZE: 1 UL
DETECTOP: FID - SENSITIVITY 10-10
RUN LENGTH: 15 MINUTES

Gas Chromatograph of DMSO Recycle Solvent



TITLE: 9:26 31 MAR 82

CHANNEL NO: 1 SAMPLE: DMSO METHOD: TH10LS

PEAK NO	PEAK NAME	RESULT AREA %	TIME (MIN)	TIME OFFSET	AREA COUNTS	SEP CODE	W1/2 (SEC)
TOTALS:		0.0000			0		

DETECTED PKS: 4 REJECTED PKS: 4

MULTIPLIER: 1.00000

NOISE: 2.4 OFFSET: -4

SAVED FILE: PD-003

EPPOPS:
 NO PEAKS

NOTES:
 COL: 2 M GLASS - 5% OV-17, 80-100 MESH
 CARRIER: NITROGEN - 20 ML/MIN
 INJ: 150° C DET: 270° C
 TEMP PROG: 100° TO 260° C @ 20°/MIN
 SOLVENT: DMSO SAMP SIZE: 1UL
 DETECTOR: FID SENSITIVITY: 10-10
 RUN LENGTH: 15 MINUTES

Gas Chromatograph Analysis of Virgin DMSO

ANIMAL DATA

Species: Rabbit

Strain: New Zealand White (albino)

Source: Elkhorn Rabbitry
5265 Starr Way
Watsonville, CA 95076

Sex: Male

Age: Young adults

Method of randomization: RANDOM Program on eclipse C330 computer (SOP
OP-ISG-21).

Animals in dose group: 3 (3 groups)

Condition of animals at start of study: Normal

Body weight range: 2405-2977 g; \bar{x} = 2669; s = 197

Identification procedures: Ear tattoo (SOP-OP-ARG-1)

Pretest conditioning:

1. Quarantine from 10 February-24 February 1983
2. Animal eyes were examined 24 hours before dosing using fluorescein dye and ultraviolet light.

Justification: Rabbits are a proven sensitive animal model for this test

Keller--16

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

Caging: Number/cage = 1; type of cage = stainless steel, wire mesh bottom, battery type, no bedding, automatic flush.

Diet: Purina Certified Rabbit Chow No. 5322, approximately 110 g/day, Lot No. SEPT09822A and JAN06831A

Water: Central line to cage battery with automatic lick dispensers.

Temperature: $72 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{F}$ ($22 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ (temperature and relative humidity did exceed the stated limits for approximately 1 hour on 12 and 13 March 1983. No adverse reactions were exhibited by these animals).

Relative Humidity: $56 \pm 10\%$

Photoperiod: 0530-2000 hours per day (light 14-1/2 hours)

Kellner - 18

HISTORICAL LISTING OF STUDY EVENTS

<u>Date</u>	<u>Day</u>	<u>Event</u>
10 Feb 83	A 0	Rabbits arrived at LAIR. They were weighed, tattooed (SOP OP-ARG-1), checked for illness, and quarantined by ARG for 2-3 weeks.
24 Feb 83	A 14	Animals were removed from quarantine.
25 Feb 83	A 15	Animals were weighed.
4 Mar 83	A 22	Animals were weighed.
8 Mar 83	A 26	Rabbits were randomized and checked for pre-existing ocular injury.
9 Mar 83	0	Rabbits were dosed according to test chemical group and weighed. 1 hour after exposure eyes were observed and scored.
10 Mar 83	1	24 hours after exposure eyes were observed and scored.
11 Mar 83	2	48 hours after exposure eyes were observed and scored.
12 Mar 83	3	72 hours after exposure eyes were observed and scored. Animals were weighed.
13 Mar 83	4	4 days after exposure eyes were observed and scored.
16 Mar 83	7	7 days after exposure eyes were observed and scored. Animals were weighed.
14-23 Mar 83	5-14	Rabbits were observed daily if scores were not performed.
19 Mar 83	10	10 days after exposure eyes were observed and scored.
23 Mar 83	14	14 days after exposure eyes were observed and scored. Animals were weighed.
30 Mar 83	21	Animals were removed from the study.

Kellner--20

TABULAR SCORING DATA
ON
ACUTE EYE IRRITATION SUMMARY FORMS

	Page
Table 1 TPO13 Cornea Scores.....	23
Table 2 TPO13 Iris Scores.....	24
Table 3 TPO13 Conjunctiva Redness Scores.....	25
Table 4 TPO13 Conjunctiva Chemosis Scores.....	26
Table 5 TPO14 Cornea Scores.....	27
Table 6 TPO14 Iris Scores.....	28
Table 7 TPO14 Conjunctiva Redness Scores.....	29
Table 8 TPO14 Conjunctiva Chemosis Scores.....	30
Table 9 TPO15 Cornea Scores.....	31
Table 10 TPO15 Iris Scores.....	32
Table 11 TPO15 Conjunctiva Redness Scores.....	33
Table 12 TPO15 Conjunctiva Chemosis Scores.....	34

Kelley 11/22

TABLE 1
Acute Eye Irritation Summary
Rabbits

Area Summarized Cornea

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP013
Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F099	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F107	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 2
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Iris

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP013
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F099	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F107	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 3
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Conjunctiva (redness)

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP013
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F099	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F103	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F107	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 4
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Conjunctiva (chemosis)

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP013
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F099	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F103	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F107	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 5
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Cornea

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP014
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F094	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 6
Acute Eye Irritation Summary
Rabbits

Area Summarized Iris

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP014
Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F094	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 7
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Conjunctiva (redness)

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP014
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F094	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F110	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F104	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 8
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Conjunctiva (chemosis)

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP014
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F094	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F110	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
83F104	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

TABLE 9

Acute Eye Irritation Summary

Rabbits

Area Summarized Cornea

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP015
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F097	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 10
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Iris

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP015
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No. 1 hr 24 hr 48 hr 72 hr 4 d 7 d 10 d 14 d

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F097	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 11
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Conjunctiva (redness)

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP015
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F097	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F111	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 12
 Acute Eye Irritation Summary
 Rabbits

Area Summarized Conjunctiva (chemosis)

GLP Study No. 82036 Chemical Name TP015
 Principal Investigator White/Kellner Physical State Liquid
 Date Started 9 Mar 83 Amount Applied 0.1 ml

Score by Animal

Rabbit No.	1 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d
83F097	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F102	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83F111	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander
US Army Medical Research
and Development Command
ATTN: SGRD-RMS/Mrs. Madigan
Fort Detrick, Frederick MD 21701

Defense Technical Information Center
ATTN: DTIC-DDA (12 copies)
Cameron Station
Alexandria VA 22314

Director of Defense Research and Engineering
ATTN: Assistant Director, Environmental
and Life Sciences
Washington DC 20301

The Surgeon General
ATTN: DASG-TLO
Washington DC 20314

HQ DA (DASG-ZXA)
WASH DC 20310

Commandant
Academy of Health Sciences
ATTN: HSHA-CDM
Fort Sam Houston TX 78234

Assistant Dean
Institute and Research Support
Uniformed Services University
of Health Sciences
6917 Arlington Road
Bethesda MD 20014

Commander
US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency
Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21070

US Army Research Office
ATTN: Chemical and Biological Sciences
Division
P.O. Box 1221
Research Triangle Park NC 27709

Biological Sciences Division
Office of Naval Research
Arlington VA 22217

Director of Life Sciences
USAF Office of Scientific Research (AFSC)
Boiling AFB
Washington DC 20332

Director
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
Washington DC 20307

Commander
US Army Medical Research Institute
of Infectious Diseases
Fort Detrick, Frederick MD 21701

Commander
US Army Research Institute
of Environmental Medicine
Natick MA 01760

Commander
US Army Institute of Surgical Research
Brooke Army Medical Center
Fort Sam Houston TX 78234

Commander
US Army Medical Bioengineering
Research and Development Laboratory
Fort Detrick, Frederick MD 21701

Commander
US Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory
Fort Rucker AL 36362

Commander
US Army Research Institute
of Chemical Defense
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Edgewood Arsenal MD 21010

Commander
Naval Medical Research Institute
National Naval Medical Center
Bethesda MD 20014

Commander
USAF School of Aerospace Medicine
Aerospace Medical Division
Brooks Air Force Base TX 78235

END

DATE
FILMED

9 83

DT