SATURN ASTRONO	U) ION OMY L IOWA-8:	ED ELEC WA UNIV A REIN 3-14 NO	IOWA ( LEITNEF 0014-76	FIC BUR CITY DE R ET AL S-C-001	STS AT PT OF P . 18 MA 6	JUPITER HYSICS Y 83 F/	AND AND G 4/1	1 NL	/1		
		-		ţ.		P.	l I			H	
END Jany 75.000 783 DTIC											
											·
	END END 7 83 onc	END KIND 7 83 Portc	ASTRONOMY L A REIN U. OF IOWA-83-14 NO END MILE 7 83 ptrc	ASTRONOWY L A REINLEITNE U. OF IDWA-83-14 NOOD14-76	ASTRONOMY L A REINLEITNER ET AL U. OF IDWA-83-14 NO0014-76-C-001	ASTRONOWY L A REINLEITNER ET AL. 18 MA U. OF IOWA-83-14 N00014-76-C-0016	ASTRONOMY L A REINLEITHER ET AL. 18 MAY 83 U. OF IOWA-83-14 N00014-76-C-0016 F/	ASTRONOMY L A REINLEITMER ET AL. 18 MAY 93 U. OF IOWA-83-14 NO0014-76-C-0016 F/G 4/1	ASTRONOWY L A REINLEITNER ET AL. 18 MAY 83 U. OF IDWA-83-14 NOOD14-76-C-0016 F/G 4/1 NL	ASTRONOMY L A REINLEITINER ET AL. 18 MAY 83 U. OF IDWA-83-14 NO0014-76-C-0016 F/G 4/1 NL	ASTRONOMY L A REINLEITNER ET AL. 18 MAY 93 U. OF IDWA-83-14 NOOD14-76-C-0016 F/G 4/1 NL



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

.



U. of Iowa 83-14

## Chorus-Related Electrostatic Bursts at

Jupiter and Saturn

by

L. A. Reinleitner<sup>\*</sup>, W. S. Kurth, and D. A. Gurnett



April 1983

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Department of Physics and Astronomy The University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242

\*Now at MPI fur Aeronomie, Postfach 20, D-3411 Katlenburg-Lindau 3, West Germany

Submitted for publication to the Journal of Geophysical Research.

This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through Grants NAGW-337 and NGL-16-001-043 from NASA Headquarters, through Contract 954013 with the Jet Propulsion Laboratory and by the Office of Naval Research.

REPORT DOCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
U. of Iowa 83-14				
TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
CHORUS-RELATED ELECTROSTATIC	BURSTS AT JUPITER			
AND SATURN		Progress, April 1983		
		8. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
		A CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A)		
I. A REINLEITNER W S KIII	RTH and D. A. GURNETT			
		N00014-76-C-0016		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	DDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
Dept. of Physics and Astrono	omy	1		
Ine University of Iowa $I_{0}$				
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRE	SS	12. REPORT DATE		
Electronics Program		May 18, 1983		
Office of Naval Research	•	13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Arlington, VA 22217		40		
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(1)	dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
		UNCLASSIFIED		
•		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING		
Approved for public release	; distribution is unli	imited.		
Approved for public release . DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect . SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	; distribution is unli	mited.		
Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL	ontered in Block 20, if different fro	imited. m Report)		
Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL	distribution is unli entered in Block 20, if different fro	amited. m Report)		
Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 nece	entered in Block 20, if different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR(	Am Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release D. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect Supplementary notes To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Electrostatic Bursts	ontered in Block 20, if different fro of GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR(	m Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release Distribution statement (of the obstract Supplementary notes To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if noce Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Junitar	entered in Block 20, it different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR(	amited. m Report) CH		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect Supplementary notes To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn	entered in Block 20, if different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR(	an Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release D. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect Supplementary notes To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn	entered in Block 20, if different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR(	m Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract Supplementary notes To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece	entered in Block 20, it different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR( ceeery and identify by block number)	am Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece (see following page)	entered in Block 20, if different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR( seeary and identify by block number)	an Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if nece (see following page)	entered in Block 20, if different fro of GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR( ceeary and identify by block number)	an Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if nece (see following page)	entered in Block 20, if different fro of GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR( ceeary and identify by block number)	amited.		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect Supplementary notes To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide II nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide II nece (see following page)	entered in Block 20, it different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR(	am Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II nece (see following page)	; distribution is unli entered in Block 20, if different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR( seeary and identify by block number)	an Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if nece (see following page)	entered in Block 20, if different fro of GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR( recery and identify by block number)	m Report)		
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in JOURNAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II nece Electrostatic Bursts Chorus Jupiter Saturn ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II nece (see following page)	entered in Block 20, it different fro OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEAR( Desary and identify by block number)	am Report)		

1<sup>---</sup>7\*-

----Landson and the state of the state

### ABSTRACT

Analyses of the wideband plasma wave data obtained by Voyagers 1 and 2 at Jupiter and Saturn have revealed electrostatic bursts similar to those recently discovered at Earth in association with whistler-mode chorus. In all three magnetospheres the bursts are characterized by sporadic emissions near or slightly below the electron plasma frequency with bandwidths ranging from 10% to more than 50% of the center frequency. The events found at Jupiter occur in the middle magnetosphere during both the dayside as well as the early morning passes. At Saturn, the bursts occurred in the outer regions of the magnetosphere during the dayside pass. In each of the events analyzed, evidence exists for modulation of the electrostatic bursts by a low frequency wave, presumably chorus. One of the observations gained at Jupiter includes the detection of a low-frequency band at the proper frequency for chorus. Detailed waveform analysis confirms that this band does, indeed, modulate the electrostatic bursts. Based on the present understanding of the terrestrial observations it is believed that the electrostatic bursts are generated by an electron beam trapped in Landau resonance with the chorus.



- 2 ---

## I. INTRODUCTION

Reinleitner et al. [1982] have described bursts of electrostatic noise that occur in the terrestrial magnetosphere in association with whistler-mode emissions. Based on further analysis of these emissions by Reinleitner et al. [1983] and Gurnett and Reinleitner [1983] it is now believed that the electrostatic bursts are produced by a "beam" of electrons trapped in Landau resonance with the chorus. These observations provide the first clear evidence of electron trapping and acceleration by Landau resonance interactions with whistler mode waves. Since such interactions can possibly cause the precipitation and loss of trapped radiation belt particles, it is of considerable general interest to determine if similar Landau resonance interactions are occurring in other planetary magnetospheres. In this paper we show observations from the magnetospheres of both Jupiter and Saturn of similar emissions obtained by the Voyager 1 and 2 plasma wave instruments.

The terrestrial electrostatic emissions have been well characterized by Reinleitner et al. [1982, 1983]. The bursts often occur in one-to-one correspondence with discrete chorus emissions with a hooklike appearance. In such cases, the electrostatic emissions are amplitude modulated at the chorus frequency. The electrostatic waves have typical amplitudes of 50  $\mu$ Vm<sup>-1</sup> and are similar to Langmuir waves in that the frequency is near the electron plasma frequency f<sub>p</sub> and the

wave electric field is aligned nearly parallel to the geomagnetic field. However, the bursts are downshifted to a frequency somewhat below the electron plasma frequency, sometimes by as much as 50%, and have a bandwidth broader than that of the usual Langmuir waves. The chorus has typical electric and magnetic field strengths of 300  $\mu$ Vm<sup>-1</sup> and 40 pT, respectively.

A theoretical model proposed to explain these observations [Reinleitner et al., 1983] is based on the fact that for oblique propagation the chorus emissions have an electric field component parallel to the magnetic field  $\vec{E}_0$ . This electric field produces an effective potential well for particles moving along the magnetic field. Electrons moving at nearly the chorus phase velocity can then be trapped in the potential well and accelerated by changes in the phase velocity, such as those due to inhomogeneities in the plasma. Gurnett and Reinleitner [1983] also discuss another acceleration mechanism called dispersive acceleration, which occurs when trapped particles are carried through the chorus wave packet. In either case, electrons are transported to higher velocities and appear as a bump in the distribution function. These electrons are spatially bunched near the minimum of the potential well and each bunch excites a burst of electrostatic noise via a two-stream instability.

Because the observed electrostatic wave frequency is often considerably below f<sub>p</sub>, Reinleitner et al. [1983] suggest that the resistivemedium instability [Briggs, 1964] is responsible for the electrostatic instability. The resistive-medium instability incorporates a thermal temperature of the background plasma and a finite beam velocity. When the beam velocity is comparable to the thermal velocity, the downshift

in frequency from  $f_p$  is substantial. The instability also occurs over a broad range of frequencies. In the limit of infinite beam velocity, the resistive-medium instability reduces to the usual narrowband Langmuir wave spectrum at  $f_p$ . As the beam velocity decreases, the frequency shifts below  $f_p$  and the bandwidth of the emission increases.

### **II. OBSERVATIONS AT JUPITER**

Figure 1 shows examples of electrostatic bursts observed in the Jovian magnetosphere. The data are presented in the form of a frequencytime spectrogram in which the amplitude of the waves are plotted as a function of frequency (ordinate) and time (abscissa). The most intense waves appear black in this representation. These data are obtained from the wideband waveform channel of the Voyager 1 plasma wave receiver [Scarf and Gurnett, 1977]. The emissions in the range of 5 to 10 kHz have several of the characteristics of the terrestrial chorus-induced electrostatic bursts. While there is no megnetic sensor on Voyager to provide confirmation that these bursts are electrostatic, we use their sporadic nature as circumstantial evidence that they are not freely propagating electromagnetic emissions. Kurth et al. [1983] provide a more detailed justification for the electrostatic identification of similar bursts at Saturn.

The two most obvious properties of the electrostatic bursts are their impulsive nature and relatively large bandwidths. The bursts turn on and off quite abruptly and have durations ranging from 0.1 sec to more than a second. The bandwidth is variable but is a substantial fraction of the center frequency. Figure 2 shows average spectra from two of the bursts shown in Figure 1 in order to more clearly show the spectral character of the emissions. The lower spectrum in Figure 2 is an average over the first burst at about 18 seconds into the frame in Figure 1. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) bandwidth is about 2.6 kHz, so that

 $\Delta f/f$  is about 30%. The gap in the emission at 7.2 kHz is due to a notch filter in the receiver which is designed to filter out the third harmonic of the power supply frequency and, therefore, is not real. The total integrated amplitude of this burst is ~ 50  $\mu$ Vm<sup>-1</sup>.

The spectrum in the upper panel of Figure 2 is from ~ 29 seconds into the frame shown in Figure 1. This burst is centered at a higher frequency (9 kHz) and has a FWHM bandwidth of only about 1 kHz so that  $\Delta f/f \approx 11\%$ . The integrated amplitude of this burst is about 100  $\mu$ Vm<sup>-1</sup>. It is possible the variations in the burst frequencies are simply reflecting local variations in the plasma density, however, it is also possible that the variations are being caused by changes in the beam velocity or electron thermal velocity, as would be predicted by the theory outlined in Reinleitner et al. [1983]. The theory predicts that both the bandwidth and downshift in frequency depend on the ratio of the beam velocity v<sub>b</sub> to the background thermal velocity v<sub>th</sub>.

The electron density as measured by the plasma probe at 1243 SCET (spacecraft event time) is not inconsistent with a plasma frequency near 10 kHz [E. C. Sittler, Jr., personal communication, 1983] and there is considerable variability on time scales of a few minutes. However, the electron density is not available at high enough time resolution to resolve the issue and one might assume  $f_p$  remains nearly constant over the ~ 20-sec interval covered by the burst activity in Figure 1. One can then see that the burst at 1244:13 SCET is both broader in bandwidth and centered at a lower frequency (and presumably downshifted further with respect to  $f_p$ ) than the one at 1244:25. Reinleitner et al. [1983] show that the low-frequency, broader bursts are generated in cases of smaller  $v_b/v_{th}$  than the higher-frequency, narrower bursts. Hence, the variations

in frequency and bandwidth shown in Figures 1 and 2 are consistent with the theory, given that  $f_p$  remains constant over the interval of interest.

The bursts shown in Figure 1 are located on the nightside at a radial distance of 23.1 R<sub>J</sub>, in the middle magnetosphere. Figure 3 shows another example of burst activity that occurs over an extended region of the dayside middle magnetosphere, lasting for several hours. Plotted are amplitudes from the 16-channel spectrum analyzer portion of the plasma wave receiver as a function of time. The height of the solid black area for each channel is proportional to the electric field strength averaged over 24-second intervals with the total dynamic range approximately 100 db below 100 mVm<sup>-1</sup>. Peak electric field strengths obtained over each 24-second interval are plotted and connected by a solid line. The electrostatic bursts are most evident in the peak electric field strengths, as in the 10-kHz channel at 2230 SCET.

Bursty emissions can be seen primarily in the 5.62- and 10.0-kHz channels in Figure 3 between about 2200 and 2310 SCET. For a large portion of this interval enhanced wave amplitudes are also visible in the range of a few hundred Hz. These low frequency emissions are believed to be chorus. The identification of the low frequency emission as chorus is based largely on the frequency since  $f_g$  over this interval is ~ 1 kHz and chorus, if present, would probably lie near or slightly below  $f_g/2$ . Further reasons for the identification as chorus are discussed below. It is interesting that the electrostatic bursts are centered near the magnetic equator and that the most intense burst of chorus is located almost exactly on the equator.

A detailed spectrogram of some of the bursts shown in Figure 3 is given in the left-hand panel of Figure 4. In this case, the bursts occur

at a nearly constant frequency with  $\Delta f/f \leq 10\%$ . However, other bursts from this general time interval show much broader bandwidths and great variability in the center frequency. The interesting aspect of this example is the simultaneous occurrence of a band of noise at lower frequencies which is detailed in the right-hand panel of the figure.

The plasma frequency during this time is near 10 kHz, as determined by the Voyager plasma instrument [E. C. Sittler, Jr., personal communication, 1983], which is close to the frequency of the electrostatic emission. The magnetic field is  $|B_0| \sim 39$  nT [R. P. Lepping, personal communication, 1982] so that the electron gyrofrequency  $f_g$  (=28 $|B_0|$  : Hz) is about 1.1 kHz. The low frequency band lies below about 400 Hz d is therefore most likely a whistler mode emission. In fact, since ci is typically found near  $f_g/3$ , it is likely this band is chorus, even though discrete chorus emissions cannot be seen in the band.

The electrostatic bursts shown in Reinleitner et al. [1982, 1983] were often associated with homogeneous bands of chorus similar to the band in the right-hand panel of Figure 4. Close inspection of the chorus and electrostatic bursts in Figure 4 reveals a good correlation between the occurrence of the two emissions even though this correspondence is not always one-to-one. The electrostatic waves are always accompanied by the chorus but the converse is not true. Figure 5 details this correspondence by showing two consecutive 4-sec average spectra taken from Figure 4 in which the chorus and electrostatic bursts are clearly evident in one but neither is visible in the other. The shaded regions highlight the differences between the two spectra. The integrated electric field strengths of the bursts and chorus band are ~ 60 and ~ 140  $\mu$ Vm<sup>-1</sup>,

respectively. These intensities are both very similar to terrestrial amplitudes as reported by Reinleitner et al. [1983].

We should point out that the automatic gain control (AGC) circuit in the receiver is responsible for two possibly confusing effects in Figures 4 and 5. In Figure 4 the chorus band occasionally disappears and the AGC goes to a higher gain state (for example, between 30 and 37 seconds into the frame). Hence, the low frequency background noise at these times appears to increase in the spectrogram at frequencies up to 1 kHz or so, even though it is weaker than the chorus band. In Figure 5, the noise levels of the two spectra do not match at higher frequencies (near 12 kHz) because the gain is set higher for the spectrum taken at 2247:51 and, therefore, the receiver threshold is set lower.

In neither of the preceding examples were we able to show accurately the relationship between the burst frequency and  $f_p$  because of inaccuracies in the measured electron density. Hence, we show in Figures 6 and 7 examples of electrostatic bursts that occur when accurate measurements of  $f_p$  are provided by cutoffs and resonances in the plasma wave spectrum. In Figure 6, the diffuse emission with a sharp lower frequency cutoff just above 2 kHz is trapped continuum radiation. The cutoff has been shown to be very close to  $f_p$  by Gurnett and Shaw [1973] and Shaw and Gurnett [1980] for the terrestrial continuum radiation. Sporadic electrostatic bursts are visible at numerous times in this figure, all well below  $f_p$ . The burst occurring early in the frame below 1 kHz is broadband electrostatic noise and is distinguishable from the electrostatic bursts occurring later by a spectrum which peaks at very low frequencies.

The spectrogram in Figure 7 shows electrostatic bursts with very large bandwidths during a time when a band near the upper hybrid resonance frequency  $f_{UHR} = \sqrt{f_p^2 + f_g^2}$  is observed. Since the magnetic field strength is only 20 nT [Lepping et al., 1981]  $f_g$  is small (560 Hz) and  $f_p = f_{UHR}$ . Notice that the center frequency of the bursts is well below  $f_p$ . This example is also interesting in that there is good evidence for discrete chorus emissions at about  $f_g/2$ . As for the case shown in Figure 4, there is a good correlation between the occurrence of electrostatic bursts and chorus during this time interval.

In order to further investigate the relationship between the electrostatic bursts and chorus emissions illustrated in Figure 4, we have performed a waveform analysis similar to that used by Reinleitner et al. [1982]. In this analysis the waveform data were filtered at the burst frequency as well as at the chorus frequency and displayed in the time domain on a common scale. Figure 8 details the results of this analysis. In each panel of the figure, the two traces correspond to the waveform of the electrostatic bursts (upper trace) and the chorus wave (lower trace). Because different bandpass filters were used for each channel a small, but constant, phase shift occurs between the two signals. However, even though the absolute phase relationship between the two signals is not preserved, the nearly one-to-one correspondence between the electrostatic bursts and the chorus can be clearly seen.

Panel A of Figure 8 shows a brief interval of burst activity taken from about 12 seconds into the frame in Figure 4 and shows a very good correspondence between the burst envelope and the waveform of the low frequency chorus emission. Keep in mind that the interval covered is

only a small portion of the burst. According to the theory of Reinleitner et al. [1983] the modulation is caused by the spatial bunching of electrons trapped in the potential well of the chorus wave.

Panels B and C of Figure 8 show ...? waveform analysis for two other intervals from Figure 4 at about 30 seconds into the frame. The time scale is more compressed for these two lower panels, but still shows a relatively good correlation between peaks in the burst envelope and the chorus wave. In these two cases, though, the effects of a broad, non-monochromatic chorus emission are seen in the rather complex chorus waveform. Reinleitner et al. [1982] found the modulation effect to be less pronounced at the Earth for broad bands of chorus such as in Figure 4 compared to bursts associated with discrete hooks which are nearly monochromatic at a given instant in time.

The bursts shown in Figure 4 show substantial evidence of modulation by the simultaneously observed chorus band and are very similar to the electrostatic bursts studied by Reinleitner et al. [1982, 1983] at the Earth. The first cases presented in Figures 1 and 2 show considerable wave amplitudes below a few hundred Hz which would be consistent with chorus since  $f_g$  is in the range of 300 to 800 Hz during this interval (R. L. Lepping, personal communication, 1982). However, we cannot be absolutely certain that the low frequency noise is chorus, because there is nothing to differentiate the spectrum at low frequencies from other types of emissions or interference in the same frequency range.

If, however, there is chorus present but "hidden" by other emissions, it would still be possible to observe the modulation effects even though the chorus itself is not observable. In fact, close inspection of the dynamic spectrum in Figure 1 reveals some suggestion of harmonically-related structures with a spacing of  $\sim 200$  Hz, especially in the first burst about 18 seconds into the frame. Figure 9 shows the electrostatic burst envelope for two periods during the frame shown in Figure 1. Panel A is from the burst about 24 seconds into the frame and panel B is from the burst 29 seconds into the frame. Both waveforms show modulation effects, although they are very complex. In both panels, there is evidence of a fairly low frequency modulation (~ 200 Hz) but there are also higher frequency effects. The 200 Hz modulation pattern might reasonably be attributed to some chorus interaction, but the explanation of the higher frequency effects is unknown at present. We suggest the waveform analyses presented in Figure 9 are supportive of our interpretation as chorus-related, but do not provide conclusive evidence of a chorus association.

Electrostatic bursts with features similar to those shown in the examples above are relatively common in the Jovian magnetosphere. To gauge just how common they are, we surveyed all of the wideband frames processed thus far within the magnetosphere (a total of ~ 1000) for evidence of the bursts. We looked for sporadic bursts occurring near but perhaps somewhat below  $f_p$  (based on the continuum radiation cutoff and UHR bands when present). In order to not count possible examples of broadband electrostatic noise which peak below 100 Hz, we accepted only those events which clearly peaked at frequencies above about 1 kHz.

The results of the survey are summarized in Figure 10 in which the trajectories of Voyager 1 and 2 are plotted. Dots are positioned on each trajectory representing periods during which bursts were observable which met the above criteria. Most of the dots represent periods when several bursts were detected and, in all, about 120 48-sec frames were found which showed evidence of the bursts.

While it is clear the dayside outer magnetosphere seems to be a favored location for the bursts, we caution the reader not to draw unwarranted conclusions. First, during the passes through the inner magnetosphere, f<sub>p</sub> is above the 12 kHz upper cutoff of the waveform receiver, hence, the absence of events inside ~ 20 R<sub>J</sub> may be due to limitations of the instrument. Second, the frames used in the survey are not located uniformly along the trajectory but only where the high rate telemetry link was available and not used for imaging [Scarf et al., 1981]. Further, the frames processed to date were chosen for their possible application to a variety of different avenues of research and certainly do not qualify as a random selection. For example, more frames have been processed on the two inbound legs than on the outbound passes, so the apparent day/night asymmetry may not be real.

Still, the survey does show that the bursts, if correctly identified, occur frequently in the outer magnetosphere and it appears the events are grouped into fairly well-defined intervals of occurrence and not randomly distributed. This result, of course, is subject to the above precautionary statements. Nevertheless, there are extended regions for which wideband frames have been processed but which show no

evidence for the electrostatic bursts. It is also clear that the episodes of occurrence on the outbound (nightside) legs show significantly fewer bursts than do many of the dayside episodes.

### III. OBSERVATIONS AT SATURN

The first evidence of chorus-induced electrostatic waves at Saturn was given by Kurth et al. [1983]. In this section we shall review the Saturn data and show the results of waveform analyses of the form given above for the Jovian observations. We note here that the amount of wideband waveform data available at Saturn is substantially less (by ~ two orders of magnitude) than at Jupiter [Scarf et al., 1983], hence, the amount of data available to search for electrostatic bursts is severely restricted.

The lower panel of Figure 11 (from Kurth et al. [1983]) is a frequency-time spectrogram that shows sporadic bursts at about 8 kHz having dynamic spectral characteristics similar to those from Jupiter shown in Figures 1 and 4. The bandwidths of these Saturnian emissions are somewhat less than the Jovian examples, but the burst durations are quite similar. In the upper panel of Figure 11 the response of several of the Voyager 1 plasma wave spectrum analyzer channels is plotted. The spectrum analyzer observations have much lower spectral and temporal resolution than the wideband waveform display, but show an extended region in the dayside outer magnetosphere of Saturn near R = 16 R<sub>S</sub> having the burst activity. Typical electric field strengths for these emissions is ~ 10  $\mu$ Vm<sup>-1</sup>.

The total charge density determined by the plasma instrument's ion measurements is not inconsistent with a plasma frequency of about

8 kHz [A. J. Lazarus, personal communication, 1983] as implied by the frequency of the bursts in the lower panel of Figure 11. Further, a time profile of  $f_p$  based on the electron analysis shows variations that track the burst frequency in the 5.62- and 10.0-kHz channels in the upper panel, even though that profile is shifted to slightly lower frequencies [E. C. Sittler, Jr., personal communication, 1983]. In view of the coarse frequency spacing of the spectrum analyzer channels and a few tens of percent error typical of the plasma probe density determination, the agreement is quite reasonable and supports our identification of the burst frequency as being close to  $f_p$ .

Kurth et al. [1983] argued the bandwidth of the Saturnian emissions was too great for either the usual Langmuir waves or electron cyclotron harmonic waves near the upper hybrid frequency. They further pointed out some harmonic structure can be seen upon close inspection of the frequency-time spectrogram with a frequency spacing of ~ 100 Hz. Since the magnetic field strength is ~ 10 nT (R. P. Lepping, personal communication, 1982)  $f_g$  is ~ 280 Hz and one could interpret the harmonic structure as a modulation effect from a band of chorus at about  $f_g/3$ .

Unfortunately, the onboard tape recorder used to record the waveform data shown in the lower panel of Figure 11 produces interference below about 300 Hz which completely masks any chorus emission that might be responsible for a modulation effect in the electrostatic bursts. We have, therefore, analyzed the burst waveforms for modulation effects in a manner similar to that used to produce Figure 9. The results are presented in Figure 12.

Panel A of Figure 12 shows a portion of the weak burst occurring ~ 22 seconds into the frame at the bottom of Figure 11. Certainly some modulation effects can be seen and one can make a case, albeit weak, for periodicities of ~ 10 msec, especially in the weaker bursts toward the end of the interval. This would be consistent with modulation by a 100 Hz chorus emission.

The modulation is much more apparent in panel B of Figure 12 corresponding to the burst occurring near the end of the frequency-time spectrogram in Figure 11. The burst envelope shows very pronounced modulation at a frequency of somewhat less than 100 Hz. The modulation pattern, though, is not as regular as one might wish. However, if the chorus has a broad bandwidth and the waveform shows complexity similar to that in panels B and C of Figure 8, a somewhat irregular modulation pattern might be expected.

The only other potential examples of chorus-induced electrostatic bursts were observed by Voyager 1 near 1830 SCET on day 317 of 1980 on its inbound leg on the dayside (14.7 hr local time) at  $R = 7 R_S$  and at -16° latitude [Gurnett et al., 1981]. No waveform data exists for these bursts, however, so their identification cannot be confirmed. Other possible mode identifications consistent with the observations at 7 R<sub>S</sub> are Langmuir waves or electrostatic bands near the upper hybrid resonance frequency.

# IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have given evidence of electrostatic bursts occurring in both the Jovian and Saturnian magnetospheres that are apparently strongly influenced by waves at much lower frequencies. In two of the cases at Jupiter the lower frequency wave is also observable and tentatively identified as chorus. The observations are consistent with the model proposed by Reinleitner et al. [1983] for similar terrestrial emissions in which Langmuir-like emissions are generated via a type of two-stream instability driven by electrons in Landau resonance with the chorus wave.

While some of the evidence presented is circumstantial in nature, virtually all of the observed characteristics of the terrestrial bursts have been seen in the Jovian and Saturn emissions. These include broadband sporadic emissions and harmonic structures spaced at the chorus frequency. The bursts show modulation at a frequency that would be consistent with modulation caused by a chorus wave. The events at Jupiter and Saturn occur predominantly in the dayside outer and middle magnetosphere as is the case at the Earth. In one case, the theoretically predicted variations of bandwidth and downshift in frequency below fg were observed if fp was assumed to be constant over a short interval.

The geometry suggested by the observations in Figure 3 merits some attention. Recall that the interval of electrostatic burst activity

extended over an interval of time when Voyager 2 was crossing the magnetic equator but that the chorus was limited to a shorter interval. In fact, the most intense chorus was located at  $\lambda_m = 0^\circ$ . The theory of Reinleitner et al. [1983] and the acceleration considerations given by Gurnett and Reinleitner [1983] seem to fit these observations well. The electrons responsible for generating the electrostatic bursts may have been trapped and accelerated remotely, e.g., at the equator where the chorus waves are most intense. Even if the chorus emissions do not propagate far from the equator, however, the electrons have been accelerated along the field line and will continue to excite the bursts until the beam is dissipated or the electrons mirror. Hence, it is reasonable that one can find evidence for the electrostatic bursts without coincident observations of the chorus emission. The fact that modulation effects can still be seen in the absence of the chorus wave itself reflects the spatial bunching of the electrons that occurred during the acceleration.

As is the case with most other plasma wave phenomena detected at Jupiter and Saturn [Scarf et al., 1979; Gurnett et al., 1979; Gurnett et al., 1981; Scarf et al., 1982; Gurnett and Scarf, 1983] chorus-induced electrostatic waves appear to be a universal planetary magnetospheric phenomenon. If, as Gurnett and Reinleitner et al. [1983] speculate, Landau resonance interactions are important for the energization and precipitation of electrons, it is important to establish the role these interactions play in the magnetospheres of the giant planets as well as at Earth.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to E. C. Sittler, Jr., and A. J. Lazarus of the Voyager plasma science team for the use of unpublished plasma data used in the interpretation of some of the events studied herein. We also wish to thank R. P. Lepping and the Voyager magnetometer team for providing magnetic field strengths required to determine the gyrofrequency for several of the events.

This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through Grants NAGW-337 and NGL-16-001-043 from NASA Headquarters, through Contract 954013 with the Jet Propulsion Laboratory and by the Office of Naval Research.

## REFERENCES

Briggs, R. J., <u>Electron-Stream Interaction With Plasmas</u>, Research Monograph No. 29, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1964.

- Gurnett, D. A., W. S. Kurth, and F. L. Scarf, Plasma wave observations near Jupiter: Initial results from Voyager 2, <u>Science</u>, <u>206</u>, 987, 1979.
- Gurnett, D. A., W. S. Kurth, and F. L. Scarf, Plasma waves near Saturn: Initial results from Voyager 1, Science, 212, 235, 1981.
- Gurnett, D. A., and L. A. Reinleitner, Electron acceleration by Landau resonance with whistler mode wave packets, <u>Geophys. Res. Lett.</u>, submitted, 1983.
- Gurnett, D. A., and F. L. Scarf, Plasma waves in the Jovian magnetosphere, in <u>Physics of the Jovian Magnetosphere</u>, ed. by A. J. Dessler, Cambridge University Press, New York, p. 285, 1983.
- Gurnett, D. A., and R. R. Shaw, Electromagnetic radiation trapped in the magnetosphere above the plasma frequency, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>78</u>, 8136, 1973.

- Kurth, W. S., F. L. Scarf, D. A. Gurnett, and D. D. Barbosa, A survey of electrostatic waves in Saturn's magnetosphere, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, in press, 1983.
- Lepping, R. P., M. J. Silverstein, and N. F. Ness, Magnetic field measurements at Jupiter by Voyagers ' and 2: Daily plots of 48 second averages, NASA Technical Memorandum 83864, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, 1981.
- Reinleitner, L. A., D. A. Gurnett, and T. E. Eastman, Electrostatic bursts generated by electrons in Landau resonance with whistler mode chorus, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 3079, 1983.
- Reinleitner, L. A., D. A. Gurnett, and D. L. Gallagher, Chorus-related electrostatic bursts in the Earth's outer magnetosphere, <u>Nature</u>, <u>295</u>, 46, 1982.
- Scarf, F. L., and D. A. Gurnett, A plasma wave investigation for the Voyager mission, Space Sci. Rev., 21, 289, 1977.
- Scarf, F. L., D. A. Gurnett, and W. S. Kurth, Jupiter plasma wave observations: An initial Voyager 1 overview, <u>Science</u>, <u>204</u>, 991, 1979.
- Scarf, F. L., D. A. Gurnett, and W. S. Kurth, Measurements of plasma wave spectra in Jupiter's magnetosphere, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>86</u>, 8181, 1981.

- Scarf, F. L., D. A. Gurnett, W. S. Kurth, and R. L. Poynter, Voyager 2 plasma wave observations at Saturn, Science, 215, 587, 1982.
- Scarf, F. L., D. A. Gurnett, W. S. Kurth, and R. L. Poynter, Voyager plasma wave measurements at Saturn, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, in press, 1983.
- Shaw, R. R., and D. A. Gurnett, A test of two theories for the lowfrequency cutoffs of the nonthermal continuum radiation, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, 85, 4571, 1980.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 A frequency-time spectrogram showing electrostatic bursts in the Jovian nightside middle magnetosphere. The relation between broader bandwidth and lower frequency apparent here is predicted by theory. Note the gap in the first burst at 7.2 kHz is an instrumental effect and not real.

- Figure 2 Detailed spectra of two of the bursts shown in Figure 1 showing the relative frequency spread of the two bursts which are centered at significantly different frequencies.
- Figure 3 Spectrum analyzer data showing a concentration of electrostatic bursts near the magnetic equator ( $\lambda_m = 0^\circ$ ) and chorus emissions centered at the equator. These data were obtained in the dayside middle magnetosphere of Jupiter.
- Figure 4 A spectrogram showing the frequency-time characteristics of some of the electrostatic bursts in Figure 3. These examples show a good correlation with the appearance of a band of chorus at 300 Hz.

Figure 5 Two 4-sec average spectra taken from Figure 4 at a time when neither chorus or electrostatic bursts are observed (2247:51 SCET) and when both are present (2247:55 SCET). These observations suggest an interaction between the two modes. The gyrofrequency at this time is ~ 1.1 kHz.

Figure 6 A frequency-time spectrogram showing the occurrence of electrostatic bursts well below f<sub>p</sub> as determined by the low-frequency cutoff of continuum radiation. Also note the distinction between the bursts and the broadband electrostatic noise occurring early in the frame.

Figure 7 An example of very broadband electrostatic bursts occurring at a time when a band at the upper hybrid resonance frequency is observed. At this time  $f_p \approx$  $f_{UHR}$  and the center frequencies of the bursts are well below the UHR band. Note the correlation between the occurrence of the electrostatic bursts and discrete chorus emissions.

Figure 8 The results of waveform analyses performed on the observations presented in Figure 4 showing the modulation of the high frequency electrostatic bursts (upper waveforms) by the low frequency chorus emission (lower

waveforms). All three examples show relatively good correspondence between the burst modulation and the phase of the chorus wave. Note that the absolute phase relation between the two traces has not been preserved in the analysis.

- Figure 9 The waveform envelope for portions of two of the bursts shown in Figure 1. In these examples the chorus wave is not shown since it cannot be clearly distinguished from other low frequency noise or interference occurring at the time. Still, evidence of a modulation effect can be seen at frequencies in a range which is reasonable for chorus.
- Figure 10 Dots representing periods when electrostatic bursts were observed are superimposed on the trajectories of Voyagers 1 and 2 projected onto Jupiter's orbital plane. Most of the dots represent an extended period during which several bursts were detected. Note the dayside is a favored location for the electrostatic bursts although the uneven spacing of available wideband frames used for the survey makes the validity of such a conclusion suspect.

Figure 11 Spectrum analyzer data (upper panel) and waveform data (lower panel) showing both the gross and high resolution dynamic and spectral characteristics of electrostatic bursts at Saturn (from Kurth et al. [1983]).

Figure 12 Waveform analysis of two of the Saturn bursts shown in the lower panel of Figure 11. As in Figure 9, no chorus wave can be detected because of interference from the onboard tape recorder but a definite modulation effect can be seen, particularly in the right-hand panel.



Figure 1

A-682-770-1

18.000



A-G82-976

Figure 2





Figure 4







:

34





Figure 7









.. ..



TTO A CARACTER AND A ۰.





ELECTRIC FIELD STRENGTH (ARBITRARY UNITS) Figure 9

C-683-132

JUPITER









Figure 12

- - .

