AD-A129 681 UNCLASSIFIED	COMPUTER WATERWAYS LAB V E	-AIDED WATE S EXPERIMEN LAGARDE SE	RSHED A T_STATI P 82 WE	NALYSI ON VIC S/MP/E	S(U) AR KSBURG L-82-2	MY ENGI MS ENVI F/	NEER Ronment G 8/8	1 [AL NL	· 2.	/
		erter.								
		*			i de la compañía de					



a.=.

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ADA129681





MISCELLANEOUS PAPER EL-82-2

COMPUTER-AIDED WATERSHED ANALYSIS

by

Victor E. LaGarde

Environmental Laboratory U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180

> September 1982 Final Report



Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unlimited



THE FILE

Prepared for Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D) Washington, D. C. 20314 Under Project 4A161101A91D, Task 02, Work Unit 124

83 06 22 032

- Action

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated, by other authorized documents.

> The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

> > - when is a strateging .

-

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAG	READ INSTRUCTIONS		
REPORT NUMBER 2. G	OVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
Miscellaneous Paper EL-82-2	0 0129 661		
TITLE (and Subtitio)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE	
COMPUTER-AIDED WATERSHED ANALYSIS		Final report	
		5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
AUTHOR(a)		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)	
Victor E. LaGarde			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK	
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experi	ment Station	Project	
Environmental Laboratory	••	4A161101A91D	
P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 391	80	Task 02. Work Unit 124	
Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&F))	Sentember 1982	
Washington, D. C. 20314	,	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
0,		162	
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from	n Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		Unclassified	
		15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib	oution unlimit		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib	oution unlimit	.ed.	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In	oution unlimit	.ed. m Report) :vice, 5285 Port Royal Road,	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151.	oution unlimit look 20, 11 different fro uformation Ser	ed. m Report)	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side it necessary and ide	ook 20, 11 different fro formation Sem number by block number	ed. m Report) rvice, 5285 Port Royal Road,	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds II necessary and Ide Civil engineering Computer simulation	nution unlimit	ed. m Report) cvice, 5285 Port Royal Road,	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstreet entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers	ntion unlimit ack 20, 11 different for aformation Sen milly by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed	ed. m Report) cvice, 5285 Port Royal Road, o off	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi Supplementary notes Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Centinue on reverse olde if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion	oution unlimit look 20, 11 different for iformation Ser Hydrology Storm runc Watershed	ed. m Report) evice, 5285 Port Royal Road, off	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion	nution unlimit look 20, 11 different for iformation Sen milly by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed	ed. m Report) vice, 5285 Port Royal Road,	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side N measured and ide > A system was developed to simu	oution unlimit ack 20, 11 different for aformation Ser milly by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed milly by block number) late the over	ed. Report) evice, 5285 Port Royal Road, off erland flow of rainfall runof	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distrib OUSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Centinue on reverse side if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion ADSTRACT (Centinue on reverse side N necessary and ide > A system was developed to simu in a microgeometric model of the lar	oution unlimit ook 20, 11 different for aformation Ser milly by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed milly by block number, ilate the over adscape. The	ed. Report) vice, 5285 Port Royal Road, o off cland flow of rainfall runof landscape model was develop	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstreet entered in Bill SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and iden > A system was developed to simulation in a microgeometric model of the lar from normally available materials by	nution unlimit look 20, 11 different fro aformation Sen milly by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed milly by block number, hlate the oven adscape. The y means of a g	ed. <i>Report)</i> evice, 5285 Port Royal Road, off cland flow of rainfall runof landscape model was develop geographic data-handling	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Centinue on reverse side it necessary and identical Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side N researce and identical A system was developed to simulation in a microgeometric model of the lar from normally available materials by system. The water flow model provide	ntily by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed	ced. m Report) cvice, 5285 Port Royal Road, off cland flow of rainfall runof landscape model was develop geographic data-handling ct rainfall and grid-to-grid The size of the orid the	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Centinue on reverse side if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion ADSTRACT (Centinue on reverse side if necessary and ide) > A system was developed to simulation in a microgeometric model of the lar from normally available materials by system. The water flow model provid flow components for every grid on the time increment for analysis and the	nution unlimit look 20, 11 different for aformation Sen mility by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed mility by block number) alate the oven indscape. The r means of a g les both direc r ainfall int	rvice, 5285 Port Royal Road, off cland flow of rainfall runof landscape model was develop geographic data-handling ct rainfall and grid-to-grid The size of the grid, the ensity are adjustable by th	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distrib OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side N necessary and ide > A system was developed to simu in a microgeometric model of the lar from normally available materials by system. The water flow model provid flow components for every grid on th time increment for analysis, and the user.	notion unlimit look 20, 11 different for aformation Sen milly by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed with by block number, idate the own idate the own i	en Report) (vice, 5285 Port Royal Road, () off () cland flow of rainfall runof landscape model was develop geographic data-handling ct rainfall and grid-to-grid The size of the grid, the censity are adjustable by th	
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distrib DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bit SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical In Springfield, Va. 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds II necessary and Ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds N necessary and Ide Civil engineering Computer simulation Computers Erosion Asystem was developed to simu in a microgeometric model of the lar from normally available materials by system. The water flow model provid flow components for every grid on th time increment for analysis, and the user. 	oution unlimit lock 20, 11 different for iformation Sen milly by block number, Hydrology Storm runc Watershed milly by block number) ilate the over idscape. The y means of a g les both direct a landscape. c rainfall int	rvice, 5285 Port Royal Road, off cland flow of rainfall runof landscape model was develop geographic data-handling ct rainfall and grid-to-grid The size of the grid, the censity are adjustable by th	

ž

からいたい

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued).

> The surface flow is followed in an eight-nearest-neighbor microflow pattern across the landscape until the flow reaches channels within the grids. The system provides flow patterns within the watershed, effective drainage area and runoff curve numbers for every grid, and a complete flow time history for each grid.

The system was developed according to an objective mathematical approach so that it does not require extensive technical knowledge for operation; it was designed to use normally available data at reduced computer operation cost.

This previously unavailable technical procedure is expected to be useful in erosion studies and as an aid in U. S. Army Corps of Engineers construction activities. Military applications include an ability to rapidly assess, for anticipated rainfall conditions, areas with potential cross-country mobility problems induced by storm runoff.

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Than Date Enterody

PREFACE

This computer-based system for simulating the overland rainfall runoff at the microgeometry level was developed under the authority of an In-House Laboratory Independent Research Program as Project 4A161101A91D, Task 02, Work Unit 124, sponsored by the Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D).

The work was performed during 1976-1982 by Dr. Victor E. LaGarde of the Environmental Resources Division (ERD), Environmental Laboratory (EL), U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), under the general supervision of Dr. John Harrison, Chief, EL, and Dr. Conrad J. Kirby, Chief, ERD. Dr. LaGarde developed the system and all computer programs described in this report, and wrote the report.

COL Tilford C. Creel, CE, was Commander and Director of WES during the latter part of this study and the preparation of this report. Mr. F. R. Brown was Technical Director.

This report should be cited as follows.

LaGarde, V. E. 1982. "Computer-Aided Watershed Analysis," Miscellaneous Paper EL-82-2, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180.



1

1.2

CONTENTS

	rage
PREFACE	1
CONVERSION FACTORS, INCH-POUND TO METRIC (SI)	2
	د ر
PART I: INTRODUCTION	4
Background	4
Purpose	/
PART II: TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	8
Rainfall Data	10
Antecedent Moisture Condition	12
Soils and Hydrologic Soil Groups	13
Land Cover	14
Curve Number Parameters Use	17
Lag	23
PART III: DATA PREPARATION AND PROCESSING	25
Factor Man Digitizing	26
Topographic Map Digitizing	31
Variations in Digitizing	36
Data Prenaration Overview	36
Study Watershed	38
	60
	40
Watershed Boundary	42
Topography	49
Hydrologic Soil Groups	56
Land Use	57
Spatial Data Base Transformation	60
Channel Location	61
Rainfall Intensity Function	62
PART TV. CALCULATIONS	66
	40
Flow Fatterns and Allocations	00
Surface flow	89
Effective Drainage Areas and Curve Numbers	102
Flow Time History Results	110
PART V: CONCLUSION	137
Summary	137
Recommendations	138
REFERENCES	140
TABLES 1-26	

والمستسدم

CONVERSION FACTORS, INCH-POUND TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Inch-pound units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

<u>Multiply</u>	By	To Obtain		
acres	4046.873	square metres		
acre feet	1233.489	cubic metres		
cubic feet per second	0.02831685	cubic metres per second		
cubic yards	0.7645549	cubic metres		
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsius degrees or Kelvins*		
feet	0.3048	metres		
inches	2.54	centimetres		
miles (U. S. statute)	1.609347	kilometres		
pounds (mass)	0.4535924	kilograms		
square miles (U. S. statute)	2.589998	square kilometres		
tons (short, 2000 pounds)	907.1847	kilograms		
yards	0.9144	metres		

* To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use the following formula: C = (5/9)(F - 32). To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use: K = (5/9)(F - 32) + 273.15.

3

â

COMPUTER-AIDED WATERSHED ANALYSIS

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Background

1. The U. S. Army Corps of Engineers has the responsibility for handling a wide range of water resource and environmental problems. One type of information consistently required in handling these problems is data on the overland flow of storm rainfall and on the volume of water moving in the stream networks of a watershed.

2. Data on overland flow, water moving across the surface of the ground outside stream channels, are essential for the calculation of erosion. Erosion problems are prominent in regions where the surface soil material is not well stabilized to the water movement. This includes arid and semiarid regions; locations where the stabilizing vegetation cover is disturbed or covered with material moved from another location; and regions where little or no disturbance has occurred, but the overland flow regime is changed through structural or land use modifications of the landscape.

3. There are significant and extensive erosion problems associated with the everyday intended use of military bases for training exercises, problems that are faced by the facility engineers. However, the Corps becomes involved with erosion problems primarily in the planning stages of proposed water control projects in Corps District Offices. Concern with erosion centers about (a) the increase in sediment load carried by streams and (b) the detrimental effects on stream water quality due to increases in suspended sediment and the deleterious chemicals and materials that could move with eroded materials into the streams as a result of proposed Corps construction projects.

4. The Corps is responsible for terrain analysis for military purposes and has performed a significant amount of work in studying the effects of the terrain on military operations and material. For example, this work has included cross-country movement evaluations of vehicles.

The results of combat simulations used in studying the introduction of new-design equipment for Army combat use are very sensitive to vehicle cross-country movement capabilities, which in turn are very dependent on the engineering properties of the terrain. These engineering properties vary greatly with soil-moisture conditions on the landscape. It would be very helpful to have the capability to calculate storm-induced surface flow at a finer level of detail than is now possible.

5. An extensive amount of work has been performed on erosion problems, particularly by the U. S. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) on reliable methods to evaluate erosion problems. Verified procedures have been developed to account for the cross-surface movement of eroded material and for movement of material into the streams. A major problem involved with the use of the procedures is that they are manual-laborintensive in use, relying on field observations of runoff water movement into and out of the areas of erosion concern. A second and more serious problem associated with the manual-labor-intensive procedures is that they are used to calculate aggregated results; that is, the results are calculated for large areas without any detailed knowledge of the flow patterns within the regions. The first step needed to make the procedures (a) more tractable and economically justifiable for use over extensive project areas and (b) more useful for studying the flow patterns within the areas is to provide an automated procedure for calculating the runoff water movement into and out of microregions of the total watershed.

6. In addition to erosion-associated problems, the Corps is continuously involved in solving problems associated with water moving in the stream networks of a watershed. Corps District Offices have, in the past, used many different hydrologic and hydraulic procedures to arrive at an estimate of the stream hydrograph for a given storm event. Over the recent past, the use of the U. S. Army Engineer Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) computer programs HEC-1 and HEC-2 has become more popular to provide these data. These programs have provided the Corps with a more standard procedure, with results which are easier to assess in the formal Corps study review process than those of other methods. In

the HEC procedures, a watershed is divided into subbasins and the subbasins into minibasins which consist of regions with approximately uniform hydrologic and hydraulic characteristics. The locations at which hydrograph data are available are essentially the locations where the water moves out of a minibasin into a channel. It would be more helpful in many Corps studies if the arrangement of the minibasins could be easily modified, and if hydrographs were available at locations other than the downstream ends of minibasins.

7. For example, Corps Districts are responsible for granting permits for construction and any other work resulting in water regime modification performed in, or adjacent to, a waterway or wetland. The Corps' regulatory authority extends up to the location on a stream where the mean flow rate is 5 cfs.* At present, this location is estimated by first attempting to calculate the size of watershed needed to provide a 5-cfs flow rate based on generalized data for the region. Once the estimated area of the region is known, the 5-cfs flow rate position is located by repetitively moving upstream and downstream and calculating the areas of watersheds feeding the stream at selected locations until that position on the stream is located for which the watershed is the proper size. While this procedure produces an approximate answer, the magnitude of the inaccuracy is unclear. It is necessary to base the procedure on several levels of estimates because a flow model that directly provides streamflow rates at closely spaced intervals is lacking. The first step needed to resolve this problem is to provide an automated procedure for calculating water movement over a network of small regions so that the flow in the stream can be calculated at a fine interval along the stream, and the 5-cfs flow position can be located with more accuracy and without the need for repetitive calculations.

8. In solving both civil and military erosion and streamflow problems, the key to technical improvements in current procedures is the ability to segment a region into small areas and follow the movement and

^{*} A table of factors for converting inch-pounds units of measurement to metric (SI) units is presented on page 3.

aggregation of water between areas as it moves to the stream channels. The use of gridded data in a geographic information system provides the framework for accomplishing this objective. A geographic information system with a regular grid provides a well-defined network of small areas so that each grid can be assigned the data required for calculation of water movement through a grid, and from that grid through adjacent grids to the stream channel.

9. The use of geographic information systems is gaining acceptance in Corps Districts, and District personnel are becoming aware of their potential uses. U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) routinely uses geographic information systems in District Planning Division Survey and General Design Memorandum studies associated with water control projects, and in estuaries studies. Geographic information systems have been in use in military combat and weapons system¹ analysis studies and combat contingency studies for years.

10. The study described in this report is an attempt to extend the use of these general procedures to additional aspects of water resources studies that Corps District and combat engineer personnel encounter, both in civil and military work. Listings of the computer programs specifically mentioned in this report can be obtained from the author.

Purpose

11. The purpose of this project was to develop a gravity-flow type computer simulation of the movement of storm rainfall runoff within a watershed. The form of data for the surface runoff calculation is a regular grid network model of the watershed, with each grid within the watershed containing the data describing that microregion of the watershed. Major objectives of the development included (a) the use of normally available data and (b) the reduction of the problem to a form that permits a solution within a reasonable timeframe and cost.

PART II: TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

12. Hydrologic calculations are based on certain physical properties of rainfall, soil composition, soil cover, and topographic relief. An understanding of the relationships between these physical properties and rainfall runoff has matured considerably over the past three decades so that the rationale of the general approach to hydrologic calculations is not difficult to understand. However, the complexity of hydrologic calculations has increased considerably as more modern tools have been made available both to derive more accurate interrelationships between the physical properties and rainfall (because of improved empirical data and technical knowledge advances) and to perform the hydrologic calculations with the ability to consider the physical property relationships in more detail.

13. This part of the report describes the technical background underlying the approach taken in this development study and carries the reader to the point where the calculations described in report Parts III and IV can be understood. Part III provides a detailed description of how required data for all parameters are compiled and handled, and Part IV describes how the flow calculations are performed.

14. An overview of the hydrologic calculation procedure showing the rational connections between data and calculation operations is shown in Figure 1. The overview provides a vehicle for a description of the calculation background.

15. It is recommended that the reader who is not familiar with traditional hydrologic calculations read this part of the report twice. Unfortunately, data used for hydrologic calculations are quantified principally through classing the data and assigning quantitive values to the classes. While the assignments to classes are intuitively acceptable, the values are understandable only in the context of how all the data are interrelated in the hydrologic calculation. The values assigned to the classes are understandable only by following the analysis of empirical data. The analysis of empirical data is beyond the scope of this report.



16. Readers familiar with hydrologic calculations will recognize this part of the report as a modest attempt to summarize only those aspects of hydrologic engineering required to wade through the successive parts of this report. Brief engineering excursions are also provided in the Parts III and IV to further explain this technical background where appropriate.

Rainfall Data

17. Rainfall information is derived almost solely by empirical means. Weather stations and the National Weather Service publications are the normal source of rainfall data. The rainfall data required for a hydrologic calculation consist of the accumulated rainfall quantity in inches of rainfall as a function of time. The development of rainfall data for a given region is complicated by the fact that locations of rainfall gaging stations are few and far between, and have limited historical records of rainfall. The development of a rainfall record for a real single storm event for use in a hydrologic calculation is no problem provided that a gage exists within the watershed and the gage record is acceptable for use.

18. Practically all hydrologic calculations are performed using simulated rainfall events derived on the basis of a statistical study of historical gaged rainfall events. Since most hydrologic calculations are performed as part of an engineering study in the design of water conveyance works or flood protection works, simulated rainfall records (and hydrologic calculations using those records) are required for several storms of different magnitudes. The storm magnitudes are chosen because there is a requirement to design construction works for, or protect against, a given storm event. A popular storm event frequently encountered in studies is the 100-year event; i.e., that rainfall which produces a flood which statistically will occur once every 100 years. For example, the Federal Flood Insurance Program, which provides the opportunity for property owners to join in a special flood insurance program, is directly related to whether their property is judged to lie

within the region flooded by a 100-year storm event in their local area.

19. When the engineering planning study involves the evaluation of different designs to plan the most cost-effective construction, hydrologic calculations are performed for several storm events. The several storm events are chosen to provide a sufficient definition of the flood-frequency regime, so that quantities such as "stream discharge," "stream water elevation," and even predicted flood damages can be adequately described as a function of anticipated flood probability of occurrence. In agricultural areas, it is the high-frequency storm events such as the 5-, 10-, and 15-year events that are of particular interest.

20. The preceding short description of potential uses of hydrologic calculations shows that the interest in storm events spans the entire range of possible events.

21. The construction of a rainfall record for a simulated storm event involves the definition of the shapes of (a) the rainfall intensity function, (b) rainfall duration, and (c) total cumulative rainfall. While soils types and land-use patterns and other conditions that affect rainfall runoff are measurable and the accuracy of the measurements ensily determinable and readily understandable, the same is not true for synthetic storm data. Because of the uncertainties involved in calculation and the critical role that the rainfall function serves in many types of engineering design studies, a mature technical capability has developed. U. S. Weather Bureau (1955), Hershfield (1961), Soil Conservation Service (1972), Randolph and Gamble (1976), and Colson and Hudson (1976) give background information, specific statistical procedures, and examples of rainfall data and their use that go far beyond the scope of this report.

22. Rainfall data are often required in regions where there are no rainfall gages. Data derived from statistical analyses are available for estimating the error (and even the error function) for an ungaged region based on the distance of that region from the nearest neighboring rainfall gage or gages. In addition, information is available for correcting the rainfall data for the difference in elevation between the study and gaged watershed. Sometimes the study watershed is delineated into

subwatersheds, and different-intensity storms are simulated in different subwatersheds for the same storm event to account for differences in internal intensity within the same storm. This is done to account for the statistical probability that the storm's local intensity increases with decreasing subwatershed size.

23. The experience of the hydrologic engineer in the local area for which the hydrologic calculation is being performed is the decisive factor in producing the required rainfall information from data such as that given by U. S. Weather Bureau (1955), Hershfield (1961), SCS (1972), Randolph and Gamble (1976), and Colson and Hudson (1976) for the state location of the particular watershed.

24. The system described in this report will operate with any rainfall data.

Antecedent Moisture Condition

25. The rainfall in a 5- to 30-day period prior to a specific storm event is used as an indication of a watershed wetness at the start of the storm event. Watershed wetness is related to the infiltration rate. The antecedent moisture condition of a watershed is normally ranked as low, average, or high, where these conditions are defined as follows.

Antecedent Moisture Condition		Definition		
I:	Low	The watershed soils are dry enough for plowing and cultivation		
II:	Average	The normal condition		
111:	Hígh	The watershed is near saturation from previous rainfall		

26. Since soil wetness after rainfall is dependent on evaporation and transpiration rates, which are in turn related to the temperature regime and the growing season, the antecedent moisture condition for any given location varies through the year even if the rainfall history

remains unchanged over the year. A given rainfall history in the growing season that would result in an "average" condition would normally produce a "high" condition in the dormant season. The average condition for the crop season is normally used in hydrologic calculations because it is the crop season with attendant storm-induced agricultural damages that is normally studied; statistically derived storm conditions are usually used because of the emphasis on hydrologic calculations in the flood planning and protection studies that are the ultimate goal of a study. The average condition was assumed for this development effort, and all calculations were performed with this assumption. Either of the other two antecedent moisture conditions could be used in the developed procedure calculations without any modifications; however, a change must be made in the rainfall infiltration relationships, which change (usually by a small amount) from one antecedent moisture condition to the next.

Soils and Hydrologic Soil Groups

27. The rainfall runoff for any given location in a watershed is dependent on the soil properties (among many parameters) at that location. This relationship is considered indirectly in the runoff calculation by classifying the soils in a watershed into hydrologic soil groups according to their minimum rate of infiltration for a base soil after prolonged wetting. Both soil surface and horizons are considered in the assignment of a soil to a hydrologic group. The soil surface cover is treated as another runoff-influencing parameter.

28. There are four hydrologic soil groups with the following broad definitions:

- <u>a</u>. Type A: low runoff potential. Soils with a high infiltration and transmission rate, which are generally well to excessively drained, with high sand and gravel content.
- **b.** Type B: low-to-moderate runoff potential. Soils with a moderate infiltration and transmission rate, consisting primarily of well-drained soils without flow-impeding horizons and with moderately fine to coarse textures.

- c. Type C: high-to-moderate runoff potential. Soils with low infiltration and transmission rates, primarily caused by shallow horizons that impede water flow, and soils with a moderately fine to fine texture.
- <u>d</u>. Type D: high runoff potential. Soils with very low infiltration and transmission rates. The soils typically (1) have a high clay content, are located over a high water table, are associated with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface; or (2) consist of shallow soils over an impervious layer.

29. Many soils have been assigned to a hydrologic soil group based on rainfall and runoff data from test plots and watersheds with close to single-soil properties. Most soils have been assigned to a group based on a comparison of their soil profiles and physical properties with those of previously classified soils. The assignment of a soil to a group is made assuming a base-wetted surface and a rainfall exceeding the infiltration capability of the soil in order to make the assignment insensitive to the infiltration that occurs prior to runoff. For this reason, short intense rainfall occurrences such as that associated with the largest yearly storm are used in classifying soils.

30. Subgroups of the four broadly defined hydrologic soil groups normally are not used due to the lack of sufficiently refined rainfall, runoff, and soil data and the general insensitivity of the hydrologic calculation to this parameter.

Land Cover

31. The infiltration of rainfall at a given location is directly related to the soil type and land cover of the soil surface. The land cover is normally classed as a combination of land use and land treatment practices that apply primarily to agricultural practices. Table 1 contains a simplified form of the basic land cover classes that are most commonly used. Table 1 also contains curve number data that are described in paragraphs 43-61.

32. The following descriptions highlight the physical significance of the class system that is used as regards the relationship between infiltration and land cover.

33. Fallow land in a crop rotation use pattern has a high runoff potential. The land is kept as bare as possible, with a light vegetation cover to conserve moisture and provide protection of the soil from direct raindrop impact and wind, and therefore maintains a higher moisture content than would be found with crops. The evapotranspiration rate is the primary limiting factor on moisture content.

34. Row crop use is characterized by a spacing between vegetation that permits most of the bare soil surface to receive the direct impact of rainfall. Cotton and soybeans are row crops. Contoured rows impede the flow of runoff and provide an increased potential for infiltration by causing surface storage of the runoff. Terraced cropland further impedes runoff by reducing the slope of the ground so that the lag in time between rainfall contact and outflow from the area is increased and more rainfall infiltrates during the water movement.

35. Small-grain planting such as wheat and hay provides an almost complete cover over the soil.

36. Crop rotations vary from broadcast planting of legumes and grasses to continuous planting of a single crop. Legume and grass rotations increase tilth and the infiltration rate, while single continuous cropping is equivalent to row or small-grain crop planting.

37. Woodland refers to managed timber production type woods. The level of ground cover effectiveness the woodland class provides to rainfall and rainfall runoff is determined by the amount of litter, small tree, and brush plant cover beneath the trees and whether the area is or is not grazed or cleared. The timber production of the woodland has no bearing on the ground cover properties.

38. The difference between hay and pasture grassland is primarily the soil compaction caused by grazing animals. Crop and pasture grassland vary in cover effectiveness depending on the density of vegetation growth. The measurement of biomass density is a procedure sometimes used to refine the grassland runoff information. Soil compaction of pasture grassland is a function of prevalent soil moisture conditions. Dry soils, with larger cone indexes and load-bearing ratios, do not compact as easily as the same soils in moist condition. Permanent grassland

includes cropped or pastured grassland that has remained idle for several years.

39. Compacted and covered lands include all those with infiltration characteristics modified by human use so that the soils are permanently compacted by human or machine traffic, or covered with an artificial surface that modifies the runoff characteristics regardless of the underlying soil type or surrounding land cover. Several compacted and covered land cover classes are shown in Table 1.

40. Table 1 is included in this report as representative of the land cover classes described by sources such as SCS (1972). A critical review of the classes in Table 1 shows that the categorization system is not strictly proper and contains what appear to be gaps. For example, "row" and "contoured" croplands are not mutually exclusive classes at the same categorization level, and it is possible to have other than "row" fallow ground. Table 1 is, however, representative of the data used in studies; the important concept to remember is that the land cover categorization provides a means of defining the different runoff characteristic land in the study region.

41. The primarily agricultural land cover classes shown in Table 1 (fallow through grassland) are usually further refined by an evaluation of how well the land cover treatment lends itself to increasing infiltration. For example, a one-crop continuous rotation system provides more runoff than the planting of a broadcast legume and small grain type rotation. The infiltration rate for different land use and cover conditions used in a hydrologic calculation is modified to account for the practices in the local area where the hydrologic calculations are being performed. In practice, the modification is based on experience in calibrating hydrologic calculations to historical storm records in preceding hydrologic studies for other watersheds.

42. The term "land use" is almost always used to connote land cover; land use is so used in the remainder of this report.

Curve Number Parameters Use

43. The curve number parameter procedure for calculating storm runoff is described in this section of the report. This procedure has several important advantages. It incorporates the technical knowledge of the runoff process and makes direct use of a significant body of empirical data gathered over previous years. It permits the extrapolation of the runoff calculation procedure to watersheds for which there are little data, except for similarities between the watershed of interest and other nearby watersheds for which there are data. The approach provides for considerable objectivity in performing a runoff calculation, so that results are reproducible without resource to highly trained specialists. Finally, the identical approach can be used whether little is known about a watershed, or the watershed has been the subject of extensive investigation and a significant body of detailed data is available. The more detailed the available data, the more accurate a value for the curve number can be calculated for a given position in a watershed. The approach permits the substitution of data from various sources. For example, the use of Landsat-derived land use data in place of aerial photograph interpreted data has been demonstrated as an acceptable solution in many nondetailed studies of the type performed by the Corps.

44. The procedure is limited to the extent that it was originally developed by the SCS for use with agricultural lands, and most data refinement work has been carried out by the SCS, which has an interest primarily in agricultural areas.

45. Although the terminology differs among papers in the literature, the storm runoff that reaches a stream and contributes to the stream discharge originates as overland, subsurface, base, or channel flow.

46. Overland flow consists of the water flowing on the ground surface. This flow comprises the rainfall remaining when the rainfall rate exceeds the infiltration rate, and rainfall interception and storage conditions have been exceeded.

47. Subsurface flow is the movement of infiltrated rainfall in the upper soil layer; i.e., the lateral flow of water through upper soil horizons normally above the groundwater level.

48. Base flow consists of the infiltrated rainfall that percolates to the permanently saturated groundwater flow system. At this depth, the soil or rock pores are filled with water at a fluid pressure greater than atmospheric pressure, so that this level starts at the water table.

49. Channel runoff consists of the rainfall that lands directly in the water-filled stream channels.

50. Comparing the lag times of the different rainfall runoff components shows that the channel component flow as a function of time is identical with the rainfall intensity as a function of time. The overland flow component arrives at the stream channel at a slightly later time, the subsurface flow at an even later time, and the base flow at a significantly later time. "Later time" is used to connote the peak flow amplitude of the flow-component time history. In addition to the delay in the flow function amplitude peak, the trailing edge of the flow function is increasingly protracted as the flow time increases. The base flow is protracted to the extent that effects on streams in nonarid environments can be measured days after the producing storm subsides.

51. In practice, it is difficult to separate the overland and subsurface flow components because of insufficient empirical data. The curve number procedure as used in this study applies to the sum of the overland and subsurface flow components, which is called "surface flow" throughout the remainder of this text. Given two otherwise identical pervious surfaces, the overland flow component is greater on the more impervious surface.

52. The channel runoff component is a trivial (flow calculation) case for the runoff procedure, but it is ignored in this study because the interest is in flow over land. The reader should not confuse channel runoff flow with channel flow, which is frequently mentioned later in this text. This latter flow involves movement of water in the stream channel.

53. Base flow is ignored in this study.

54. The following is a brief derivation of the rainfall runoff equation. Flow lags are ignored in this derivation to provide the basic concept without confusing the derivation. The derivation proceeds, therefore, as if the rainfall were the total cumulative value for the storm (this is another way in which the derived relationship can be used, as will be seen in Part IV of this report).

55. Conservation of mass requires that whatever rain falls onto the land must flow off without loss or gain of water. Note that the words "flow off" are used in a loose sense to mean the removal of rainfall to its final fate by whatever path. The reader should suspend disbelief through this short derivation and be assured that disquieting effects such as evapotranspiration will be neatly (almost) resolved in the end. Because of the requirement for conservation of mass, the ratio of the runoff to the rainfall is equal to the ratio of the actual retention of water by the land to the total potential retention by the land. This can be graphically demonstrated by plotting cumulative rainfall versus cumulative runoff for any storm with an intensity great enough to overcome the initial loss of water to all combined effects (i.e., the abstraction term). Such a plot shows that the relationship between these two lines becomes a straight one-to-one slope straight line after initial rainfall losses are completed. The equation for the basic relationship is as follows

$$\frac{(R - Ia) - Q}{S} = \frac{Q}{R}$$
(1)

where

- R is the rainfall
- Is is the initial abstracted rainfall amount
- Q is the runoff
- S is maximum potential amount of rainfall that could be retained by the land, and includes the effect of abstraction indirectly just as the abstraction term is shown overtly in the numerator
- (R Ia) Q is the water actually retained by the land

56. The units of measurement are inches of water. Solving Equation 1 for the runoff yields the following equation.

$$Q = \frac{(R - Ia)^2}{R - Ia + S}$$
(2)

57. The initial abstraction term Ia accounts for losses before runoff begins. This term includes, therefore, the interception, infiltration and storage, and surface storage effects. The relationship between the maximum potential amount of rainfall that could be retained and the initial abstraction term has been derived from experimental small watersheds as Ia = 0.2S; substituting this into Equation 2 yields the following

$$Q = \frac{(R - 0.2S)^2}{R + 0.8S}$$
(3)

58. In order to provide a convenient procedure for calculating the runoff value Q , the curve number CN is defined so that

$$CN = \frac{1000}{S + 10}$$
(4)

Note that CN = 100 when the maximum potential rainfall that could be retained is zero. The curve number for impervious surfaces such as water and plastic is 100; as the potential maximum retention capability of a surface increases (i.e., as s increases), the value of CN approaches zero. Therefore, the range of CN is

$$100 \ge CN \ge 0$$

and the greater the value of CN, the more impervious the surface and the greater is the rainfall runoff for a given storm. Substituting Equation 4 into Equation 3 provides the final equation form

$$Q = \frac{(R - 200/CN + 2)^2}{(R + 800/CN - 8)^2}$$
(5)

Note that the squared term in the numerator is evaluated only for values of R and CN such that the term is positive. When the term is negative, the initial abstraction of water effect has not yet been satisfied and there is no runoff.

59. Examples of curve numbers for various land use and hydrologic soil groups are given in Table 1. A family of curves that are solutions to Equation 5 is shown in Figure 2 for cumulative rainfall amounts up to 12 in. Most hydrologic engineers are familiar with these curves. A brief inspection of Figure 2 shows some of the properties previously mentioned. Only the CN = 100 curve has no abstraction term; for all other curves, Q = 0 up to some value of R greater than zero. The larger the curve number, the larger is the percentage of runoff for a





given cumulative rainfall. All curves have a limiting slope of 1; the larger the curve number, the faster this limiting slope is reached.

60. The empirically derived Equation 6 infers that the infiltration occurring after abstraction has taken place is 80 percent of the potential maximum retention. The physical properties that control this retention are the infiltration at the soil surface, the rate of water transmission through the soil column, and the water storage capacity of the soil column. These properties, for a given soil and land use condition, are controlled by the moisture conditions antecedent to the storm. Statistical studies have shown that the value of S is reduced as rainfall continues over a protracted period, but that it stabilizes after a few days of rainfall. Likewise, when rainfall ceases for several days and the soil column dries out, the value of S increases and stabilizes. This general condition is violated only in anomalous situations, such as extensive deposits of fat clay at the ground surface. The upper range of S corresponds to the lower bounds of antecedent moisture condition I, and the lower range of S corresponds to the upper limit of antecedent moisture condition III. The example curve numbers in Table 1 are for the antecedent moisture condition II, average conditions; similar examples could be presented for high and low antecedent moisture conditions. Curve numbers for these other conditions are also derived from statistical studies of empirical data. The net result is that Equation 5 is used for all antecedent moisture conditions, but the curve numbers are modified slightly to account for different conditions.

61. In summary, the rainfall runoff curves are a set of essentially empirically derived relationships among the physical properties of hydrologic soil type, land use, antecedent moisture condition, and the rainfall runoff. The assignment of a curve number to conditions involving definite values of those physical properties is based on empirical data in such a way that first-order effects of evaporation, evapotranspiration, interception of rain by vegetation, etc., are indirectly accounted for in the assignment.

62. If a short, intense pulse of rain were released onto a single point on the land, there would be a delay between the time of release and the time that the peak of the surface runoff arrived at a given point in its downstream flow path. The "given point" of interest in this study is the location on the stream channel where the surface flow reaches the channel and converts from surface to channel flow. The delay is the travel time for that pulse of water. Note that the surface flow resulting from a rainfall pulse that ideally starts and stops instantaneously and lasts only a very short time stretches out as it flows across the landscape, and that the travel time is the time for the peak of this flow curve to arrive at the given point. If a short, intense pulse of rain were released onto a portion of, or onto the total, watershed, there would be a delay between the time of release and the time that the peak of the surface runoff arrived at a given surface point in its downstream flow path. This delay is the lag for the portion of, or total, watershed over which the water was released. Therefore, the lag is the flow-weighted average surface runoff travel time.

63. The same parameters, hydrologic soil type, land use, and antecedent moisture condition, along with the topographic slope, influence the speed at which the surface flow moves at any given location. A convenient relationship involving the curve number parameter bas been developed.

$$L = \frac{\ell^{0.8} \left[(1000/CN) - 9 \right]^{0.7}}{1900 \sqrt{S}}$$
(6)

where

L is the lag in hours

 ℓ is the hydraulic length of the area of flow in feet

CN is approximately equal to the curve number

S is the average topographic slope of the area Infiltration and runoff and velocity of runoff are not related in

~y

23

Lag

exactly the same manner to the curve number. As an extreme example, a base-compacted soil has a low CN value but a small travel time. The value of CN used for runoff calculations can be used in the lag calculation provided that it lies in the range $50 \leq CN \leq 95$.

64. A watershed can be delineated into subbasins, and the subbasins into smaller catchment units; Equation 6 can be used on the smaller units so that the meaning of lag approaches the meaning of travel time. In practice, there is a limit to the minimum size of unit that can reasonably be used in any study conducted for any engineering planning or design purpose, so that Equation 6 is never actually substituted for the travel time.

PART III: DATA PREPARATION AND PROCESSING

65. This part of the report provides a step-by-step description of the data preparation and processing necessary for compiling all required data.

66. The data preparation and processing take place within the framework of a geographic data base handling capability. This capability was developed specifically for the type of calculations involved in this study, but it is applicable to a wide range of hydrologic, hydraulic, and other studies. The capability was developed to the point where the user needs to know only a few facts regarding the data preparation mechanics, and several simple rules and operating procedures for optimizing the preparation of data. The rules and operating procedures are described in this part of the report, with a description of how specific data are to be handled. The instructions for digitizing data are an exception to this method of treatment due to (a) the length of the required description and (b) the emphasis placed on the high level of quality control during the digitizing operation, which involves data recovery and input to the computer, to ensure that all subsequent computer-based operations will be successful. The digitizing instructions are provided in the first sections of this part of the report, and an overview of the geographic information processing steps is provided immediately following the digitizing instructions. It is essential for the reader to generally understand these sections prior to reading the subsequent sections involving the use of the geographic information system in this study; not only does use of the geographic information system make the total study calculation process possible, but it also incorporates scaling, rectification, multimap handling, editing, and internal data control mechanisms that immeasurably simplify the total process. Listings of the computer programs mentioned herein can be obtained from the author.

67. The data processing performed in this study is described in subsequent sections of this part. These sections provide a record of how the actual data used in this study were prepared and processed.

Description of similar processing procedures that are more restricted in scope are provided in an earlier report (LaGarde and Smith 1976) and a thesis performed under the author's direction (Ma 1978). This study was performed using data that are (a) normally available for and used in hydrologic calculations and (b) from sources that are normally used by Corps District offices. Therefore, the described procedures are not site- or study-specific.

68. The data processing work is increasingly automated as it proceeds through several successive steps. As the level of automation increases, the need for a detailed knowledge of the computer procedures decreases; therefore, the description of the process provided in this report stresses the first steps in the chain of operations. The computer programs used in the different steps check and recheck the input data and standardize the data form and format. The system was designed so that correct completion of the first steps ensures success in successive operations.

Factor Map Digitizing

Factor Maps

69. A factor map is a representation of the areal distribution of data which consist of equivalued regions. The regions, frequently called "patches" because of their appearance on the map, are defined by delineating the boundaries between all patches. Soils, slope, and hydrologic soils maps are examples of factor maps.

70. The aerial distribution of data on any factor map can be recovered in a form suitable for computer processing through the use of a digitizer. Sufficient information is input during the digitizing process to enable subsequent computer calculations to identify the type of data, rectify it (see restrictions in paragraph 74), scale and rotate it, and register it geographically. The implication of these capabilities is that the identical digitizing procedure is used regardless of number of maps used to cover the study watershed, the scale or location of the maps, or whether the maps are or are not rectified to a Cartesian coordinate system on the Earth's surface. 71. There is an emphasis on data quality control and accuracy in the developed procedures. This is partially achieved by making the data recovery portion of the processing (i.e., the digitizing of the data) easy to understand. It is achieved also by making the total processing system as automatic as possible by providing the maximum number of required inputs to the system during the digitizing process. Primary emphasis is placed, therefore, on simple formal rules for digitizing and on the automatic editing of the digitized data.

Digitizing Procedure for Factor Maps

72. Digitizers are used to record XY-coordinate data and any other alphanumeric data desired by the user. Digitizers are provided with either a keyboard or a menu for use in recording all data other than XY-coordinate data. The procedure described in this report is intended for use with a keyboard-equipped digitizer. A similar procedure for use with menu-equipped digitizers, involving more work in digitizing and data processing, is available from the author of this report.

73. It is important to note that only numeric data are recorded using the keyboard. These numeric data consist principally of a code system interspersed within the XY-coordinate and other numeric data. The code system identifies strings of data in the digitized data file and is used by subsequent computer programs in the processing operations for identifying the processing that must be performed and for routing the data.

74. The digitizing procedure consists of two steps.

a. <u>Step 1: Positioning the map.</u> Tape a base map onto the digitizer surface, making sure that the four corners of the base map are within the active surface region of the digitizer and that the bottom boundary of the base map parallels the X-axis of the digitizer surface. This is best accomplished by lightly taping the lower left corner of the base map and noting the Y-digitizer coordinate value for the map's lower left corner. The map should then be rotated so the lower right and lower left corners have Y-digitizer coordinates that agree within a few hundreths of an inch. Note that the map corners are those formed by the boundary around the map's inner area as shown in Figure 3. When the bottom boundary is properly positioned, all four map

when the state of the state of the state of the



Figure 3. Map corner coordinates

corners should be taped and the bottom boundary rechecked to see that it is still parallel to the X-axis. Note that the base map <u>must remain fixed</u> in this position as long as data are being digitized from it.

- b. <u>Step 2: Performing the digitizing</u>. Follow the digitizer format for factor maps shown in Table 2. The following comments are provided to clarify these steps:
 - Figure 3 shows the layout of information on most maps. All U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) and Corps of Engineer-produced topographic maps have this layout.
 - (2) The digitizing procedure involves working with one map at a time. The order in which the maps for a study site are handled is immaterial since the geographic reference information provided during digitizing is used by the developed system for locating the map data.
 - (3) Different maps for the study site, or different types of data on different map overlays for the same map may be positioned at different places on the digitizer surface. There is no need to place all maps at the same location on the digitizer surface.

ALC: NO.

- (4) The recording of data for the map patches is performed in the same manner for all patches regardless of size or shape. The data for each patch consists of a negative code (NCODE) and value or identification for the patch (NVAL) (see Table 2) followed by the coordinates of the patch boundary. The negative code signifies the start of data for a new patch. The coordinates of the patch boundary (see Table 2) are recorded such that the patch boundary is represented as a series of straight line segments drawn between sequential sets of coordinates.
- (5) Digitizer operators have a tendency to take too much data when learning how to digitize factor maps. The number of data points needed to define a patch boundary consists of the number which, when connected with straight lines, will adequately represent the boundary (e.g., a rectangular patch requires only four recorded points). The recording of extra points along a patch boundary will not adversely affect the operation of computer programs that use the data unless the amount of extra data is excessive. More important, the recording of excessive data makes the probability of data errors increase significantly and makes correction of errors more difficult and time-consuming.
- (6) Digitizing errors are unavoidable, and the best practice is to keep the errors to a minimum by any means. When an error is made, the operator almost always realizes it shortly after the event. A log should be kept while digitizing to record progress and note errors that must be corrected. A sample log format is shown in Figure 4. A copy of the log is used to aid in quality controlling the data after they are placed on a computer file; the description of the error should permit location of the erroneous records.
- (7) Every patch on a factor map is delineated, by definition, by a closed boundary. Computer programs that use the digitized data expect to connect the last point digitized on the boundary line to the first point to close the boundary. It is most important to remember to stop digitizing at a location on a patch boundary so that a straight line connected from the last to the first point will close the boundary. Under no condition should the end of the digitized boundary overlap the start.
- (8) The first record contains a code number used to identify the data being digitized. All data of the

DIGITIZER OPERATION LOG - FACTOR MAP DATA

OPERATOR NAME:		DAT	'E :	_TIME :
DIGITIZER TYPE	AND LOCATIO	DN:		
FACTOR MAP	PATCH	COMMEN	TS	
			<u></u>	
	t 			
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
				<u> </u>
	<u>. </u>			
			-	

Figure 4. Sample digitizer log format

10. 10

a state of the second
same type must be identified with the same code number since subsequent computer operations identify, route, and perform hydrologic calculations using these identifiers. The code identification system used in this study was as follows:

Code	Data Type
4	Ground elevation
10	Hydrologic soil groups
11	Land use

(9) One of two codes, -5555 or -6666, precedes the data defining the boundary of a patch. If the -5555 code is used, the patch data will be processed by subsequent programs and inserted into the proper geographic location only where no previously digitized patch partially (or totally) occupies that patch region. If the -6666 code is used, the patch data will be processed by subsequent programs and will replace any data occupying part (or all) of its geographic location.

Topographic Map Digitizing

Topographic Maps

75. A topographic map contains a representation of the areal distribution of ground elevation information. Topographic maps are one example of a broad class of maps representing scalar functions that change value with position on the map. Some data processing procedures for topographic map data differ from the procedures for factor map data because this change in value as a function of position is continuous (change from position to position) rather than discrete as for factor maps.

76. Topographic maps are the normal source of elevation information for hydrologic studies. The elevation data on any contour map can be recovered in a form suitable for computer processing through the use of a digitizer. Just as for factor maps, sufficient information is recorded during the digitizing process to enable subsequent computer calculations to identify the type of data, rectify it, scale and rotate it, and register it geographically. The same comments in paragraph 74 regarding factor map data are also generally applicable to topographic map data.

77. It is important to remember the conceptual difference between the lines drawn on factor and topographic maps. A line on a factor map bounds a region, every point of which has the same value. A line on a contour map connects all locations with the value of the contour line. A spot elevation on a contour line represents the elevation at that position.

Digitizing Procedure for Topographic Maps

78. Three different sets of information, one of which is not elevation data, must be handled in digitizing the data from a topographic map. The three information sets and the reasons for their use are as follows.

- a. Data Set 1: Area-of-interest boundary. This defines the watershed boundary within which all subsequent data processing operations will take place.
- b. Data Set 2: Contour and spot elevations. These data provide most of the ground elevation information for surface three-dimensional shape and slope trends.
- c. Data Set 3: Waterway channel locations. These define where overland flow terminates and channel flow begins. The waterway locations are also used to provide linear local depressions on the landscape as a refinement of the contour and spot elevation data.

The data are digitized in the order shown above.

79. The digitizing procedure consists of the same two steps used for digitizing factor maps.

- <u>a.</u> <u>Step 1: Positioning the map.</u> A topographic map is positioned on the digitizer table in exactly the same way that a factor map is positioned (see paragraph 74a).
- b. <u>Step 2: Performing the digitizing</u>. Follow the digitizing format for elevation data on contour maps shown in Table 1. The following comments are provided to clarify these steps.
 - The comments numbered (1)-(3) and (5) in paragraph 74<u>c</u> regarding the digitizing of factor maps also apply to the digitizing of topographic maps.
 - (2) The comment (6) in paragraph 74c is also applicable

and the second second second second

to the digitizing of topographic maps; an error log form for topographic maps is shown in Figure 5.

- (3) When digitizing, the number of points recorded along the boundary line enclosing the area of interest should be only as many as necessary to define the boundary line as a series of straight-line segments as described in the procedure for digitizing factor maps. Note that each area-of-interest boundary is closed and that the computer programs connect the last digitized point with the first for a patch in order to close the boundary. <u>All</u> area-of-interest regions should be digitized before digitizing contour data.
- (4) It is almost impossible to memorize what has already been digitized from the map and what remains to be digitized. It is necessary to mark each contour line or spot elevation immediately after it has been digitized. If the map must be protected, a semitransparent overlay can be placed on the map and marks placed on the overlay.
- (5) It is necessary to digitize the data in some pattern, such as left to right or top to bottom on the map, rather than in a random fashion. The computer program to which the digitized data are input has no preference for any pattern or for patterned versus random-distributed data; the person digitizing the data, however, can easily become confused unless some rational pattern is followed. If the map is complex or large, it can be segmented into several sections by drawing irregular-shaped boundaries on the map or overlay. The digitizing pattern can then be followed in each of the map sections.
- (6) Spot elevation and contour lines are digitized using exactly the same format. When a spot elevation is digitized, the code -7777 and the spot elevation value are entered into the digitizer, and the single XY-coordinate location of the spot is entered next. When a contour line is digitized, the code -7777 and the contour line elevation are entered into the digitizer followed by a series of X,Y coordinates of points along the contour line. It is permissible to digitize a contour line in pieces, as must be done if the map is sectioned to make the work easier for a complex set of data. If a contour line is digitized in pieces, the stopping location on the line must be noted so the digitizing process can continue on from that location when the next section of the map is digitized.

OPERATOR NAME:	DATE :	TIME:
DIGITIZER TYPE AND LOCATION:	<u></u>	
AREA-OF-INTEREST		
PATCH	COMMENTS	
ELEVATION	COMMENTS	
	<u></u>	
		<u></u>
	<u></u>	
Stream		

DIGITIZER OPERATION LOG - ELEVATION MAP DATA

Figure 5. Error log form for topographic maps

COMMENTS

Segment

- (7) Supplementary elevation data should be added to the contour map to increase the quality of the product. Closed contours indicate the presence of a ridge, trough, saddle, or hilltop. The approximate elevations and locations of these features can be estimated from the available data on the map, and the ridge and trough lines and peak and pit point data entered into the digitizer just as the contour and spot elevation data.
- (8) The pattern of streamflow center lines should be traced on the contour map or on a transparent overlay. The streamflow pattern consists of all flow channels visible on the base map or obvious from the contour line pattern. The reason for locating the streamflow pattern in the elevation data is to provide information as to the local minimum elevations.
- (9) A streamflow pattern is made up of a series of channels or segments linked together like branches on a tree. Data for each of the segments should be digitized following the procedure on the digitizing format under the heading "The following data are digitized from the photo and contour maps." The order in which the stream segments are digitized is unimportant. Frequently, the stream locations are not readily distinguishable on the base map. When in doubt, always use the contour line pattern to indicate the stream location.
- (10) As noted in the digitizing format, the area-ofinterest and contour map data consist of one code record followed by a series of X,Y coordinates, while the streamflow data for any stream segment consist of a series of X,Y coordinates that are preceded and followed by code records and also can have code records imbedded in it.
- (11) The starting and ending code records for a stream segment are entered into the digitizer for the start and end of a stream segment. The code records imbedded in a stream segment's data are for all locations where contour lines intersect the segment. It is possible for a stream segment to start or end on a contour line. If the grade is steep, many contour lines may intersect the segment; if the grade is slow, few or no contour lines may intersect the segment. The elevations at the beginning and ends of the stream segment should be estimated from the available contour line pattern. If the segment starts or ends on a contour line, the elevation of

that line should be used. The X,Y coordinates digitized along the segment should have a spacing approximately equal to the distance to the nearer neighboring contour line.

Variations in Digitizing

80. The described procedures for factor and topographic map digitizing contain the basic information required to perform the work. There are several variations in the digitizing procedure that can be used to reduce both the digitizing effort and potential data problems. These variations are beyond the scope of this report but are available from the author.

Data Preparation Overview

81. Data preparation involves progression through several work levels, starting with the preparation of materials containing the data and ending with the data basing of processed data as shown below. All data used in the calculations pass through one or more of these work levels.

- a. Level A: Material preparation.
- b. Level B: Data recovery.
- c. Level C: Data preprocessing.
- d. Level D: Data processing.
- e. Level E: Data basing.

Figure 6 shows in detail the operations that must be performed, the work level of each, and the relative scheduling of the work. The sequential ordering of the operations shown by the arrows in Figure 6 indicates the relative time scheduling of the operations. (The sizes of the blocks in Figure 6 have no significance as to work effort or time.) If timescheduling blocks for two operations overlap, they can be performed at the same time; if they do not overlap, they must be performed in the indicated sequence. Solid lines with arrows are used to indicate the flow of work on a given data set as it passes through subsequent



Figure 6. Data preparation overview

•-----

- California and a static line

10156

¥

operations. Dashed lines with arrows indicate that the results of the operation at the tail of the dashed line are used indirectly in the operation at the head. Finally, the work level of each operation (A-E as listed above) is indicated in parentheses following the name for each work operation.

82. Figure 6 was prepared with an assumption that the required data would be available from certain standard, normally used sources and that the data would be available in the normal form and format. For example, it was assumed that elevation data would be available on topographic maps whether the maps were printed by the USGS or prepared by the Corps for a planning study. It is possible for Figure 6 to be configured in several other forms.

83. Succeeding sections of this report describe the data preparation operations in detail.

84. All data preparation operations culminate in the preparation of digital computerized data files that are used in calculations (see Figure 1). A discussion of the calculation operations is provided in Part IV of this report.

Study Watershed

85. The study watershed, Golden Creek, is a tributary of the Wolf River. Its location is shown in the computer-plotted overlay to the 1:250,000-scale USGS topographic maps Nl 16-1, Blytheville, and Nl 16-4, Tupelo, in Figure 7. The headwaters of Golden Creek arise in northern Mississippi and flow north into Tennessee to a confluence with the Wolf River. The Wolf River flows generally westward from the confluence and empties into the Mississippi River at Memphis, Tennessee. The watershed location is shown only for location reference; these data are not used in the study. The computer-plotted overlay was produced from the spatial data base at the appropriate scale for overlaying the 1:250,000scale topographic map.





39

A DESCRIPTION OF

Base Maps

86. The base maps used in this study consisted of 1:12,000-scale aerial photograph maps, with topographic map overlays of the same scale prepared for planning studies. The topographic maps consisted of contour plates for USGS 1:24,500-scale topographic maps photographically expanded to a scale of 1:12,000. Black-and-white aerial photographs at a scale of 1:12,000 were mosaicked onto the photographically expanded contour plates to provide the aerial photograph maps.

87. It was necessary to butt together five base maps to achieve coverage of the total watershed. (Figure 8 shows an index of those base maps.) An indication of the physical size of each base map is given in the pictures shown in Figure 9, in which the photomap and the topographic map overlay for index map 3 are displayed. The developed data processing procedures are independent of the maps' physical size or scale.



*UNIVERSAL TRANSVERSE MERCATOR MAP PROJECTION; COORDINATES ARE IN METERS.

Figure 8. Base map index



Figure 9. Photomap (top) and topographic map for base map 3

Watershed Boundary

88. The watershed boundary was delineated on the base maps manually by interpreting the watershed dividing line from the permanent and intermittent channels of the stream and the contour lines shown on the contour plates. The watershed region on each base map was digitized and the digitized data processed following the schedule shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10. Schedule for processing watershed boundary data

(Note that Figure 8 shows the portion of the watershed on each of the base maps in this study.) The watershed boundary data must be processed first to provide a definition of the geographic area for which data will be retained in the master data base. The reader should note that the watershed boundary maps are the simplest maps to be processed; examples of data used in processing other types of factor maps are shown in Figures 11-13 to demonstrate the factor map processing procedure.

89. As shown in Figure 10, the first digitized map provides data used to create the spatial master data base; all other digitized maps are used to extend the geographic area of coverage of the spatial master

•											-																																				
	P	 A T		(HE	P	0	 LL (E	0.	I I I	NG 1	0	1 N F	IP T	 U1 НЕ					U! F]	5 E 1 L	D E	F		T		EN	T1 S	F 1 H C	(C /	. T 1	101 81	=			 K E	 D											
(HE		X I N 	A P U	10 11	¥ D /	S AT	C A A 			F A		T C)R	s 	U!	5 E	D 	1 	N 	P 	LC 08) T S -	T [C R 	N (5	T HI 1 O	E N 	M /	\P	A	• E		c). 0 	10 	00 	•	0.	.0	10	00	D					
		2	79			3	1 88	1		FI	i L I M	٤,	1	0 E 0 F	N.	[]	FI	I C T E	A I S	11	0 A 0 F	1	N J T H	ME	9 E 4	ł.	A.N E R	٥.	DI	.т. : т	M	T Y	PE	C	0D NE	ER											
	• •	2	8	2	• •	3	87	3	•-	U1	- -		0	08	D	1 1	A .	1 6	5	F 	01	2	1	E	L (0 W 	ER 		10	н.	r :	4 A	Р 	C 0	RN	E R											
 TH (F 0				 NG	 נ	E	 ; E			 S F		 UL	D	 e	E	R	E		E 1	 /E	 D	F	DR	 P	 05	5	 (31	. E	с	 R R			 1 N		HE			 u1		 D A	 T A				
7 H I 7 H I		L E M A	G I	EN () Pi	C O R O	N T D L 		[N [D	s 	А 5 н	۱۱ ۱۹	. 1 	C C NG	D	E T H	R E	E C D 	0 A	R D T A 	s 	F \ S	0 U S 0 			1 N T E 	0 	H 6 W 1	T T	N ((EA	т с н 	0 / 	A TA 2 D	É 	A N R E	00	t не R D . 	E 	NL	/Mi	8E	R 	PL	01 	7 E I	D
•••	• •		Si	L 401	G	E N NG	0	FC) R	1	N 20	PL	ידר זו ג	F 1 P	1	F	L	E	-			•																									
RI	 E C J M	 OR BE	DR		: 01	 DE	~-	··			JE	,	 10 P	•••	D	A 1	•	 s	- T	 4 A 4 B	P	-																									

RECORD			NO, DATA	NAP
NUMBER	CODE	VALUE	POINTS	SYNBOL

10008	-5555	-999	38	1
10047	-6666	1	39	2
10087	-6666	9	48	3
10136	-6666	12	52	4
10189	-6666	12	11	5
10201	-6666	12	13	6
10215	-6666	12	68	7
10284	-6666	1	4	8
10289	-6666	1	7	9
10297	-6666	6	9	10
10307	-6666	12	111	11
10419	-6666	9	15	12
10435	-6666	9	217	13
10653	-6666	9	6	14
10660	-6666	6	4	15
10665	-6666	9	35	16
10701	-6666	1	4	17
10706	-6666	12	27	18
10734	-6666	9	31	19
10766	-6666	9	23	20
10790	-6666	9	154	21
10945	-6666	6	6	22
10952	-6666	1	23	23

Figure 11. Sample tabular output from DGPLT for a land use factor map



Figure 12. Sample computer plot of a factor map from DGPLT

									JPE RAT [ON REPORT							
102	70003	009015ATES 3890000	AS 1 NPUT 292 UD0	101	0005											
																,
	1 1406	I'S OBMAT IO	N SECTION						•	PATCH	0414 OU	1 1041	O MAGNE	2		
	=	279.00	389000	N.	5000	3473(000						202	110N-6	8185	
10	N CREEK	57404 -100.C +								104NI			2.1		XVH	
										NUMBER V	ALUE 6	. SQIN	*		-	
											-					. 8
00.4		12 13	0.001							- 1	666-	898	~	-	~	
										~ *	- 0	189		- 9	~ ~	
										.	. 5 5	• * *	•	2.9		•
											:2	~ ~				
		1101 341	0m146 15		10 010	u i	10481		A SETS MANDLED 14 THE GRIDDING TRANSFORMATION	~ 1	2	9	-	\$	2	
				5	DUTPUT	10 J a 5	36 0 PA	T CHE S		1 0 or			2000 00	59 00-000	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	• •
				!						10	-0	~	-	57	2	
	LNPUL	916171250	DATA							=:	20	8	- 1	-		
				-		7				22	> 0	- 3	~ ~			
	LINE	CODE VA	LUE POINT		}		•	AREA,	5 1 7 3 3 7 7 3 C J	23	•	, m	~	-	5	-
							1					- -	***	44-44 10	17 S	
-	10038		699 5	2 5	•	31	22	160		2	-	~	• •	9		
~	47036	- 6666		0	•	23	72	1239	THIS FAILT EXTENDS OUTSIDE THE MAP BOTTOM HOUNDARY	e e	<u>~</u> °	**	••	82 a	32	0,
									THIS PATCH EXTENDS OUTSIDE THE MAP LEFT ANUVJARY THIS PATCH EXTENDS OUTSIDE THE MAP ANTON BOLINARY	: 2:		•		2.2	~~	~
~	10037	- 6565	۲ 0		2 69	۴	21	10	FREEZENS EDITOR AFF DEL MANGEDD GARDING EDITE. GER	~ ~	• •	~ ~	~ -	- 	*	•
•	10144					٠		2	THIS PATCH EXTENDS OUTSINE THE WAP ADTION ADJV6A3Y	:2:	•	5	~ ~	20		
,					5	c	2	ť	I SUSPECT FAR SUPERANT'S WADRE FIN THIS DATA SUBSET This Pater Extends Outside The Rad Left Gourdary	* *	22	~ ~	• :	9.5	55 ~ ~	• •
			:		;	•	;	•	THIS PATCH EXTENDS OUTSIDE THE MAP BOTTOM 93JYDARY	2	•	•••	2			
•		0000-	-	-		•	0 C	^	THIS PATCH EXTEMDS OUTSIDE THE MAP LEFT ROUVDARY	~ =	2°	<u>د</u> ۲	23	50	~ ~	
•	10431	- 6660	~	<u> </u>	79 C	-	67	~	tele hits rittent Autring to the Action	\$2	12	2	•			
~	10215	- 6566	12 6		0 55	•	9 9	?		22	~ °	~ ^	22	***		•
•						•	ŝ	•	THIS PATCH EXTENDS OUTSIDE THE MAP LEFT ROUNDARY	25	• •	5	:2	202		
• •	12280	-9999	• -		~~~	~ ~	20	- 0	THIS PATCH IS TOO SMALL OR DRIEVIED IN SUCH & WAY AS TO VOT	23	• •	- c	15 9000 00	36 36 99-999	5 36 9- 0000	• •
•	10.01					^			APPEAR IT THIS OUTPUT ARRAY	2	•		•	32	9.35	
2		0000	Þ	•		•		•	THIS PATCH EXTENDS OUTSIDE THE WAP LEST POUNDARY	\$1	~ °	0,	66 6600		6666-6	
:	10337	- 6665		:	5 51	:	~;	39			> 0	c	23	- 2	- 62 	
2	11413		-	, Y		•	÷	•	THIS PATCH ENTERDS OUTSIDE THE MAP LEFT GOUNDARY	30	۰	10	6	23	20	
•				-			:									
					а. В	Rep	ort	on i	nput data	þ.	Basi	c pa	tch			
						•			4	•		, 1.				
					,	;		ſ			oaram	erer	ŝ			

-

Figure 13. Sample tabular output report of FACGRD

:		0~1	041	•	••	0~ [••	-0		- ~ 1	- 4		- 0	-~		~ ~ ~	~- ;	~~	~~ ;	~ -	~ ~	~~	~ •	~ ~	no	
:		;		•	! '	1		•	;												; ; ; ;					: :	
22				• •	• •	• •		• •	•			***	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A - A A A	566 - AA	AAA-4		A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -			· · ·				8-8-	
	-	_		~	•		-	•	•		66-6	6 A A - A	665-	-666-	6-666	66-66	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	66-6	- 666 - 1	- 66 6 -	5-666	66-66	8-8-	~
3	-	-	-	o	ð	-	•	•	•	•	66-6	666-6	-999-	-000-	6-666	566-66	666-6	-066-	6-666	66-66	166-6	- 9 99.	-000-	5-666	56-56	65-6	•
;	-	-	-	o	°	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	-000-	-666-	6-666	66-66	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	166-6.	- 9 99.	- 666-	5-666	66-66	9- 99	•
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	°	-999-	-000-	0-000	66-66	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	166-6.	- 0 00-	-000-	5-666	56-66	8-8	•
14	-		-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	•		-	-	0-000	00-00	000-0	-000-	0-000	00-00	00-0	000-00	-000-	-000	00-00	8-0	
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	6-666	66-66	666-6	-066-	6-666	66-66	66-6	- 9 99.	- 0 0 0 -	5-666	56-66	8-6	•
8/		-	-	~	~	-	-	•	-		-	-	-	•		66-66	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	66-6	- 999.	- 666 -	5-666	66-66	8-0	•
10	~	•	•	~	~	-	-	-	~	-	_		-	~	6-6	566-66	666-0	-666-	6-666	66-66	366-6	- 0 0 0-	-66 6 -	5-663	66-66	66-6	•
<u>;</u>	-	•	-	-	¢	-	•	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	•	500-0	666-0	-666-	0-000	66-66	500-0	- 0 0 0-	-000-	5-666	60-66	66-6	•
2	-	•	° °	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	_		-	•	0	500-0	666-6	-666-	0-000	00-00	566-6	-999.	-666-	5-666	00-00	66-6	•
121		•	°	•		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	°	•	566-6	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	366-6	- 0 0 0 - 1	-999-	5-666	66-66	6-6	~
13/		•	ۍ د	•	•	0	•	-	•	-	_	-	۰	۰	•	•	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	100-0	-999.	-999-	5-666	56-66	8-0	~
2	-	•	•	•	°	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	•	•	•	9-999	-666-	9-99-9-	66-66	166-6	- 666 1	-666-	5-666	66-66	6-6	
15/	-	•		-	¢	°	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	•	o	•	-	-666-	6-666	66-66	166-6	- 666-	-066-	5-666	66-66	8	•
16/	•	•	-	~	-	•	•	-	-	-	_	-	-	•	۰	•	۰ د	-666-	6-666	66-66	166-6	-999.	- 666 6 -	5-666	66-66	8-8	•
121	-	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	•	-	•	•	Ŷ	6-666	66-66	100-0	- 6 6 6 - 0	-666-	-666	000	0-00	•
	-	•	~	_	-	-	•	-	o	•	•	۔ م	-	-	•	•	•	•	6-0	56-66	66-6	- 9 99.	- 666-	5-666	56-66	65-6	•
161	~	•	-	-	-	o	•	-	•	•	•	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	66-66	100-0	- 666 - 0	- 66 6 -	5-666	66-66	66-6	
20	_	•	ۍ د	-	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	-	•	-	-	-	•	66-6	500-0	- 0 00-	-66 6 -	5-666	66-66	6-6	•
21	•	-	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	•	_	-	-	~	¢	•	~	•	•	66-6	566-6	- 666 - 0	-666-	5-666	66-66	64-6	•
122	•	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	o	•	-	-	-	1-99	60-0	- 0 00.	-666-	5-666	66-66	8	•
23/		-	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	•	•	-	o	•	1-99	66-6	666-1	- 66 6 -	-666	6-66	66-6	•
211	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	_	-	•	-	o	-	•	¢	•	66-6	66-6	-999.	- 66 6 -	5-666	66-66	8-6	•
22/	•	•		₽:	2	-	-	•	-	-	_	-	-	-	o	•	•	o	•	66-6	66-0	-000-	- 66 6 -	-666	56-66	9-59	•
201			2	≃ ∶	-	- !	2	•	•	-	-	-	-	•	•	~	- -	o.	o	56-6	66-6	- 9 99.	-666-	5-666	50-66	65-6	•
22	•	•		~	2	2	2	o 1	-	-		_	-	-	•	-	•	•	-	1-99	100-0	- 9 99.	-666-	5-666	50-66	8-8	•
	~ 0	- 1	~ ; • •	~!	2	2	.	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	-	۰ -	-	-	66-6	66-6	- 666	-666-	5-666	66-66	66-6	•
142			2	21	2	- '	- •	•	-	-	_	-	-	-	0.	•	-	-	-	1-99	\$66-6	- 666-	-66 6 -	5-666	66-66	66-6	•
	•		~	2	2	•	•	- •	- 1	- (-	-		с (• •	- (66-66	66-6	- 0 0 0	-060-	5-666	66-66	8-6	•
		- 0		- •	- 1	•	• •	- •	•				~ ·	-	~ ·	а. а.	•	•	-	56-66	66-6	- 666-	-666-	- 666	66-66	60 - 6	•
2				- 1	•	• •	•		• •	•	•	~ ·	-	-	-	-	•	•		66-66	66-6	- 666-	-666-	5-066	66-66	6 - 6	~
22	~ 1	. .			-	•	.	-	•	- -		-	-	-	-	-	•	o	-	66-66	66-6	- 6 66-	-066-	- 666	00-00	66-6	~
2				•	-	-	•	- (-	•		-	-	-	-	-	_	•	6-6	66-66	66-6	- 6 6 6 - 1	-666-	5-666	66-66	8-0	~
23				- (•			••	- •		.		- 1	•	•	о (• •	• •		66-66	66-6	- 6 66 -	-06 -	5-666	66-66	8-0	
102				> 0	> 0			- 0	- 0				÷.	s (~ (~ ~	•		6-666	66-66	66-6	666-	- 66 6 -	- 666	66-66	8-6	•
				• •	• •	- 0	- 0	• 0	• •	- 0			- 0	• •	~ ~	- c	• •		A-AAA								
101	-			•	• •	. 0	• •	. 0	• 0	• •		•••	• 0	• •	• 0	000-0	1-000			A 0 - 0 C							
107	~			-	•	• •	-	-					• •	. 0	• -		000-0	-000-	0-000	00-00	0-00	000	- 00 0	000-000			
111	-	0	•	•	-	•	•	•	-	+	-	•	•	•	6-2	500-06	000-0	-666-	6-006	00-00	0-0-0	- 0 00-	-000	-000	00-00	8-0	
121	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	_	° -	۰	•	0 - F	566-66	666-6	-666-	0-000	66-66	100-0	- 999.	-000 0-	5-666	00-00	00-0	_
43/	•	•	-	-	-	-	o	۰	•	-	•	•	۰	•	12-9	566-66	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	166-6	- 9 99.	-66 6 -	5-666	56-66	66-6	•
111	-	-	-	ĉ	•	-	•	-	-	-	_	-	°	-	12-9	500-00	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	166-6	- 0 6 6 - 1	- 66 6 -	5-666	66-66	60-6	~
121	-	•	•	-	o	•	o	•	-	•	•	-	12	12	12-9	66-66	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	166-6	-999.	-666-	5-666	66-66	66-6	~
191	-	-	•	-	-	-	o	o	•	•	_	-	12	2	-	1-99	666-6	-000-	6-666	66-66	66-6	- 6 6 6 - 6	-66 6 -	5-666	50-66	60-6	•
23	-	-	-	-	•	•	•	-	n	•		~	~	~	2	12 15	666-2	-066-	6-666	00-00	166-6	- 9 99.	- 666 -		56-66	00-0	•
184		-	-	~	-	-	•	-	-	-		-	-	-	12	12	5-999	-666-	0-000	66-66	66-6	- 9 99.	-666-	5-666	50-00	65-61	•
10.5				•	-	-	-	- :	2	~	~	~ `	-	-	-	2	-	-000-	6-666	66-66	100-0	- 0 00.	-060-	5-666	66-66	66 - 6	~
				- :	-	-	-	~	~	~	~ .		-	-	-	-	-	-000-	6-066	66-66	66-6	- 666-	-66 6 -	5-666	66-66	6-6	~
2				2	-	-	-	-	-	~		-	-		-	-	666-2	-000-	0-000	66-66	100-0	- 6 6 6 - 1	-66 6 -	5-666	66-66	6-6	•
			2	-	-	-	- !	- ;	-	2	~ .	-	-	•	~	2	666-2	-666-	6-666	66-00	66-6	- 6 6 6 - 1	-66 6 -	5-666	66-66	8-8	~
	~ ~				-:	2:	2:	2:	- •		~ -		2:	23	• ;	~	666-2	-000-	6-666	66-66	00-0	- 6 6 6 -	-66 6 -		66-66	6-6	
	ς,	- 1	- •	*:	2:	2	2	2.	- •			- •	ř	21	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100-0	- * * * -	*-666	5 5 - 5 6	1AA-6		- 66 6 -		55-56	6	
~ ~ ~ ~	~	-	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-		-	•	•	66-6	666-6	-666-	6-666	66-66	66-0	- 0 00-	-000-	-000	66-66	60-60	•
										Ľ		Sar	1.44	ē	۲ i -	200											
										J	•	00	Ĩ	2	7 2	שמו											

Figure 13. (Concluded)

Ì

data base. The data from all maps is placed in the information system. Figure 10 indicates that the first digitized map is map number 1; the first digitized map could be any of the maps.

90. All digitized map data are reviewed for quality control using the program DGPLT. DGPLT performs the following functions.

- a. It checks the format and layout of the data to ensure that the digitizing rules were followed and that the data will be acceptable to the program that transforms the boundary line coordinates into the grid coordinate system.
- ь. DGPLT also provides a tabular output that summarizes all input data in an abbreviated form so the user can check data values that DGPLT is not capable of checking automatically. Figure 11 shows a sample tabular output for the first part of a land use map. (A land use map was used for an example since watershed boundary maps, containing one line per map (see Figure 8), are almost featureless.) No errors were encountered in the data, so error comments were not provided. An inspection of the code data (last item in Figure 11) shows that the data were from a factor map (codes -5555 and -6666). The "No. Data Points" column shows the number of digitized data points on each factor map patch boundary. The "Map Symbol" number is the sequentially ordered number assigned by DGPLT to each set of data; these are the numbers plotted on the map overlay for identification purposes. The "Record Number" information is the record number in the computer file where data for each factor map patch starts, so that erroneous data can be easily located if necessary.
- c. Finally, DGPLT produces a computer plot that is an exact overlay of the original map data that were digitized. A portion of a reduced sample of such a computer plot is shown in Figure 12. The computer plot is intended for overlay on the original to provide a visual check of the accuracy of the digitized patch boundary line layout. As noted in the previous paragraph, the numbers plotted on the maps are keyed back to the original digital data through the map symbol and record number in the tabular output such as that shown in Figure 11.
- d. DGPLT does not process the data; that is, DGPLT does not produce an output file.

Sector Sector

91. The watershed boundary data input to DGPLT are input to program FACGRD after the tabular report and map produced by DGPLT have been checked. The program FACGRD performs the following functions:

- a. It checks the form and format of the input digitized data. FACGRD also transforms the data from the co-ordinate system in which they were digitized into a grid coordinate system in state planar or Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinate space. Data for the study watershed were transformed into the UTM system. FACGRD also scales, rotates, and rectifies the input digitized data while performing the coordinate transformation. As the transformation is taking place, the program fills the region in the grid array delineated by each patch boundary with the value assigned to the patch. A grid is included within a patch if more than half of the grid lies within the patch.
- b. FACGRD also produces a tabular output that synopsizes the calculation and details any definite or possible problems encounted in the calculation. A sample tabular output is shown in Figure 13. The top section of Figure 13a is self-explanatory; the latter half of the figure contains the information that is of primary interest for review. The "Input Digitized Data" number is a sequential number assigned to data sets and is identical to the map symbol number of Figure 11. The "Start Line" data show the record line number identifiers of the data sets, so any individual data set can be easily located in the input data file. The "Code" and "Value" numbers show the code system used for each patch boundary during digitizing and the value to be placed within each patch. The "Min" and "Max" grid locations and the area data show the maximum and minimum bounding XY-grid coordinates of each input patch and the patch area in grids as each patch is processed. The "Comments" column provides a place for FACGRD to inform the user as to special situations, possible problems, and definite errors it encounters in processing the data set for each patch. Figure 13b shows an example of the basic patch parameter report for patch data set input to and processed by FACGRD after processing of all patches. This report gives the value, area, and bounding XY-grid coordinates for every patch, which changes from the values shown in 13a since patches overlap or form islands within other patches. The code 9999 appears when an input patch was deleted (i.e., does not appear in the output file) (for example, see patch number 9 in Figure 13a and b). Figure 13c shows an example of the data grid map provided by FACGRD, demonstrating the contents of the output file. The -999 code is used to designate the area outside the watershed. Specific patches in 13b can be located in the grid maps. For example, patch number 18 in Figure 12b can be located in Figure 12c by noting the minimum-maximum grid coordinate location and value of the patch in 13b. This output

report is used as follows: The user scans the comments column in Figure 13a for patches that should be checked, finds the patch location in Figure 13a, and visually compares the patch in Figure 13c with the original digitized map.

c. Finally, as previously indicated, FACGRD outputs the results of the grid calculation to a computer file. The output contains all geographic location, size, and grid spacing information in addition to the grid data. Figure 13c is an example of the gridded data portion of this file, and Figure 8 is a sample computer plot of the gridded data file showing the geographic extent of the study area after all maps for the study watershed were processed.

92. The spatial master data base is created using the first watershed boundary gridded data output from program FACGRD. The computer program that performs this function is called PRIMAST. Program PRIMAST sets up a data file containing the X,Y coordinate: of all grids within the watershed shown on that first input map. All other watershed boundary grid arrays output from FACGRD are processed through the program EXTENDER to provide the total spatial master data base geographic layout. The order in which the grid arrays are processed by EXTENDER is unimportant since the geographic location data included in each grid file are used for spatial location of the data in the master data base.

93. At the completion of the EXTENDER operations, the spatial master data base contains XY-coordinate information for all grids that lie within the watershed boundary. This concludes the use of the watershed boundary data.

Topography

94. The source of topographic information used in this study was the contour information on the contour plate overlays to the base map and the stream channel locations on the photo maps. These data were digitized following the rules in Table 3 and processed using the following schedule:



95. Prior to digitizing each map, the watershed boundary was extended on each map to delineate a slightly larger region. Elevation data digitized from each base map included all data within the watershed boundary line drawn on the map and also this band outside the boundary. This extra band of data was digitized to negate edge effects in the grid calculation and to ensure that the elevation grid data would cover the total watershed area. Wherever base maps butted, a band of data outside the boundary of each map was supplied from the data on the butting map.

96. All digitized data were quality controlled using program DGPLT, which was described in paragraph 90. The tabular and graphic outputs of DGPLT have a slightly different appearance when processing topographic data than when processing factor map data. Figure 14 shows a sample tabular output which contains a summary of all input data and information needed by the user to review the data quality and rapidly locate any problems. Figure 15 is a reduced copy of part of a computerplotted overlay produced by DGPLT.

97. The same digitized topographic data input to DGPLT are input to the program MGRIDDER after checking the output tabular and graphic results from DGPLT. The program MGRIDDER provides the following processing functions. It checks the form and format of the input digitized data to ensure that they are rigorously correct. It transforms the data from the coordinate system in which they were digitized into a grid coordinate system in state planar or UTM coordinate space. MGRIDDER also rectifies the data while performing the coordinate transformation, and calculates a three-dimensional ground elevation surface using the input

									-			-	-			-									 			 	-						-										-	-												
	S r	· A.	1 I 	7 4 	ні L 		F 'L	0L A C 	E	ר . אוי	• [F]	*/ T	G -	1 0 F 	. м -	P	01 48	! : 	0 r	A A ~	7 A T A 	۱ ۱ ۱	U : F = :	5 F 1 L 	: ר . נ	-) R) N	T 	1 C E ·) F 1 T 	5	1 : -	F 5 4	1	с 01 - 1	4) L D	1) 	0) 41 	r; F 	4 P C F	4 D	C 1	(E	0													
тн	F	X 1	2 N C 	A 4 >1) 	0 T -	Y 0	A	S (T A 	A _	L -	E	F -	A :	c 1 	0 	R	s 	U 	، د -	E		1	•; -	ج 	ינ ס	0 E	T 1 5 C	R	1	r, P 1	T 1 1	н 0'	: v	۰ 	•	P	د 		ç.). 	0:) 1 	0 -	n -		0	• (חו	1(0						
				4						4		F	I	L 7		1	01	E 1	1 T	1	FJ		A	11	0	v	ţ,	i		r. E	R	2	٩,	N D	,	D	A 1	A	,	TY	Pf		C () •	ſ													
			? ? ? -	7 n - 1 	-		•-	3	: -	1 3 -		U 1/	T : T	н 11	0 0	000	01	20	1	14 14 14	11	E	S S	F F	:) :) 	R R -	1	'н ГЧ	F	(1) P) w	: : : :	2 7 	L R	E I -	F T C F	+T 	•• / 	AP MA	р 	:0 c	R :	1 F 7 N	R F	R -												
		_								-										_			-	_		_	_	_	_	_		_	-			_					_		_		_				_					_				
тн тн тн	E	r L M) L E : A F	16	ม า 1	6 0 11	C	5 0 1 0 0	і іТ іЛ	5 A C	55 11 20	: 5	с S	9 A (H (ін . С Эм	0	U1 C (- 7 5 r 6	F	n H	ר קו נ	2 C 1	€ 0 ∧	V 1 2 2 1 /	E E S S S	لر A	E C F (S S)) 5	F IN IC	09 0 1	P I A T	Р [Ч Г.Г.)))	55 57 14	1 E	a T	L E 1 N F	i I F	F I IJ A I	рр T Cн		R S A T C O	A . D	I N E	A R	T H N D E C	F 1 0 F	1 H 70	N F F	•U ∿	T U?	0 / 1 A	A T E R	A .	PL	01	T F	D

0N

	LEGEND	FOR IMP	UT FILE	
5+	ID IT S A	LL CODE	S TH FILE	
RECORD			NO. DATA	HAP
NUMBER	CODE	VALJE	POINTS	STUSOL
10005	-5555	c	189	7
10194	-7777	36.0	41	2
10240	-7777	360	7	7
11243	-7777	770	143	4
10392	-7777	380	120	5
10532	-7777	300	433	`
11015	-7777	380	56	7
11073	-7777	400	320	.4
11694	-777?	410	642	2
12337	-7777	405	20	17
12367	-7777	400	- a	11
1237.	-7777	4.25	7	12
12354	-7777	470	7	13
12792	-7777	320	ż	14
12397	-7777	420	768	15
13166	-7777	415	16	10
17122	-7777	430	17	17
12123	- 7777	430	1.3	16
47337	- 7 7 7 7	43.1	7 . 7	12
17076	-7777	125	11	2.7
17077	-7777	423	/ 1 E	21
11041	-7777	423	2	22
13743	~	46.2	,	6.0

F	igure	1	4. 1	Samp]	le t	abul	ar	output	from	DGPLT	for	8	top	ograj	phic	maj	р
---	-------	---	------	-------	------	------	----	--------	------	-------	-----	---	-----	-------	------	-----	---



Figure 15. Sample computer plot of a topographic map from DGPLT

data. The calculation is performed for all grid locations for which input data are not available and involves the selection and use of one interpolative or extrapolative algorithm from a library of several choices based on the configuration of digitized data in the locale where the grid calculation is being performed. Finally, MGRIDDER provides a tabular output that synopsizes the calculation and provides information regarding any problems encountered in the calculation. A sample tabular output is shown in Figure 16. The Figure 16a contains a typical operation report from the program. Most of the information is self-explanatory. There was an area of interest that defined the geographic area of the calculation (-5555 code data). The data were

		10- 000- 000- 000- 00, 20- 000- 000- 000- 00	oet-
	5000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	64- 666- 666- 666- 60	
			6 6 6 T
		10- 000- 000- 000- 00	000-
	0001 0001 000 001 0001 000	10 000-000-000-00	
	66- 666- 666	10- 000- 000- 000- 00	
7737 771 <th>00- 000- 000</th> <th>te- 666- 666- 660- 66</th> <th>664-</th>	00- 000- 000	te- 666- 666- 660- 66	664-
		10- 000- 000- 000- 00.	oee-
	66- 666- 666	10- 000- 000- 000- 00	000-
	66- 666- 666	10 000 000 000 00	066-
	AA- AAA- AAA		
	66- 666- 666	56- 000- 000- 00	666-
	66- 666- 666	10- 000- 000- 000- 00	800-
The state of the state of the state stat	66- 666- 666	to- 000- 000- 000- 60.	868-
	66- 666- 666	52- 660- 666- 666- 66	666-
3627 3761 3791 <td< th=""><th>66- 666- 666</th><th>12- 885- 885- 866- 86- 86-</th><th></th></td<>	66- 666- 666	12- 885- 885- 866- 86- 86-	
The state the state s	382 4405 443	20- 000- 000- 000- UV	
305 381 381 391 380 394; 994; 970 410; 419, 419, 419, 419, 419, 419, 419, 419,	321 4374 443	32 4475 4483 -999 -99	000-
The second system syst	261 4334 441	13 4456 4522 -999 -99	666-
	103 4291 438	182 2445 4517 4351 -94	666-
The form for the form of the form of the form of the form form for the form form for the	158 4266 437	10- 000- 2077 0777 12.	666-
Constrained and a set of the first firs	507 4279 435	6- 660- 660- 5777 65	666-
0.0 2779 278	859 2259 698	10- 000- 000- 12	
1 1	277 1111 177 252	00- 000- 000- VLST 10	000-
<pre>100 301 301 402 400 400 401 400 400 400 400 400 400 400</pre>	112 112 112	10- 000 - 00	000-
<pre>105 515 515 119 (226 4396 778 513 406 416 516 416 556 556 555 557 565 556 567 405 549 407 549 577 405 549 577 405 549 525 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 55</pre>	432 4422 441	15 4514 4649 -999 -39	000-
117 253 231 232 4198 4337 453 453 453 453 453 4524 452 455 457 455 459 459 459 459 459 459 459 459 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450	505 4503 451.	18 4602 4658 -999 -91	622-
	579 4577 460	56- 666- 666- 9697 50.	off-
411 442 427 417 418 479 451 451 452 445 455 459 455 479 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999	667 4660 468	182 4716 -999 -999 -91	eee-
1 1	739 -999 -99	10- 000- 000- 000- 000	666-
1277 374 545 547 147 573 447 549 557 540 558 557 540 568 548 549 599 599 599 599 599 599 599 599 599	66- 666- 666	10 000 000 000 00	666-
Libbi Liji Liji Liki Liki Liji Liji Liji Lij		30- 300- 800- 800- 80	
 111 411 411 417 417 416 419 419 419 419 419 111 419 411 4470 419 419 419 419 419 419 111 440 410 419 419 419 419 419 419 419 419 419 419	00- 000- 000		0000
1.1. L150 4450 4501 4501 4570 4573 4571 4511 456 475 4648 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -9	06- 000- 000	10- 000- 000- 000- 001	666-
Constraint (51) (53) (460 (431) (460 (53) (56) (76) (78) -090 -090 -090 -090 -090 -090 -090 -09	- 00- 000- 000	16- 666- 668- 668- 66	666-
	66- 666- 666	16- 060- 060- 060- 060	000-
<pre>4179 4000 4619 4014 473 473 474 453 446 459 459 450 458 480 474 779 409 409 409 409 409 409 409 409 409 40</pre>		50- 000- 000- 000- 00	
1437 4506 4515 4667 4665 4603 4511 438 4463 4569 4563 4578 4505 4513 4507 4500 - 999 - 911 - 411	06- 000- 000	10- 000- 000- 000- 00	405-
4013 415 410 415 466 427 4612 456 445 459 459 459 459 450 450 450 450 4607 409 -909 -909 -909 -909 -909 -909 -909	66- 666- 666	16- 066- 666- 666- 660	66C-
4005 450 459 459 459 473 470 470 459 457 449 477 459 476 479 477 459 499 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -	66- 666- 666	- 666 - 666 - 666 - 66	044-
6417 4022 4032 412 474 772 772 791 771 752 475 772 799 799 799 799 799 799 799 799 799	00- 000- 000	11- 664- 660- 660- 60	
12 4507 661 4682 4780 4878 477 478 487 475 471 455 471 482 452 462 490 -909 -909 -909 -909 -909 -909 -909			
12 1500 4579 4666 4771 4447 4872 4872 4797 4794 4724 4623 4725 4676 4919 4999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999 -999	846 - 999 - 998	10- 000- 000- 000- 00	0.00-
000 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	66- 666- 666	10- 000- 000- 000- 00	000-
1114 4315 4449 4557 4439 4.54 4.30 4.848 4.896 4.815 4.220 4.759 4.927 5001 -999 -999 -999 -999 - 4515 4.585 4.182 4.421 4.513 4.521 4.723 4.754 4.753 4.815 4.806 4.712 4.949 4.969 -999 -999 -999 4.517 4.540 4.514 4.517 4.513 4.512 4.540 4.546 4.547 4.513 4.513 4.513 4.517 -903 -904 -904 -909 -909	00- 000- 100	10- 000- 000- 000- 00.	060-
238 1585 1582 1451 1457 1457 1457 1457 1458 1453 1595 1400 1457 1457 1457 1457 1457 1457 1457 1457	44- 400- 000	10- 006- 006- 000- 00.	666-
	66- 666- 666	101 000- 000- 000- 00t	000-
	00- 000- 000	10- 000- 000- 000- 001	000-
	66- 666- 766	16- 006- 006- 006- 001	006-
	66- 666- 710	14- 000- 000- 000- 000	4ee-
- 666- 666- 666- 6208 2716 2018 2018 2628 2628 2628 2628 2628 2010 2014 2014 2014	613 -000 -00		606-

b. Sample grid map

Sample tabular output report of MGRIDDER

Figure 16.

BERNOLD AND SERVICE PROVAME PROVINE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SANCTON SERVICE SANDON SERV

53

processed without discovery of any suspicious input data or definite errors, except that the program thought the data were sparse in some locales (a total area of 12 grids), which made it difficult to calculate the elevations in those locales. The program was able to resolve the problem by falling back to a secondary procedure for calculating the elevations at those 12 grids. The Figure 16b contains an example of the data grid map provided by MGRIDDER as part of the grid output from the calculation; it contains elevations on a 100-meter grid, with elevation values in units of 0.1 ft. The -999 code values are placed in grids outside the watershed boundary.

98. MGRIDDER outputs the results of the grid calculation to a computer file. The output contains all geographic location, size, and grid spacing information in addition to the grid data shown in Figure 16b. The form and format of the output file is identical to that provided by the program FACGRD used for factor map data.

99. As a further optional quality control step, the gridded data were computer-plotted using a line-plot program, 4VIEW, that provides the three-dimensional appearance graphics shown in Figure 17. These graphics are extremely useful for visually inspecting the topographic data for errors in geographic coordinates and elevation value that have escaped detection up to this point. When held at a distance from the viewer, these graphics are also useful for visualizing the flow pattern on the landscape. Unfortunately, practically no quantitative information can be retrieved from these graphics.

100. The output grid array files for each base map is processed through the program GROW, which adds the data to the spatial master data base. The order in which the map files are submitted to GROW is unimportant since GROW locates the data in the geographic data base according to the information provided in the files. GROW also automatically expands the physical file space of the master data base as part of its automatic data base maintenance function to include space for the new data (ground elevation) when the first set of data is processed.

101. GROW files away the data in the spatial data base only for the geographic region set up with the program EXTENDER. All data



Fígure 17. Graphics for final quality control of topographic data

55

And the state of the second

Sec. Sec.

outside the watershed boundary are ignored, so that data for the extra band are trimmed and discarded.

Hydrologic Soil Groups

102. Hydrologic soil group maps were developed for each base map showing the layout of the hydrologic soil groups in the watershed.

103. The soil conservation surveys (SCS and Forest Service 1972, SCS 1964) containing soils data were located and the appropriate pages copied and mosaicked to form soil maps for the Tennessee and Mississippi portions of the watershed, using the map scales at which the data were presented in the soil surveys (i.e., 1:15840 and 1:20,000, respectively). A list of soil types (SCS 1964) encountered in the Tennessee portion of the watershed is shown in Table 4; the soil types for the Mississippi portion of the watershed (SCS and Forest Service 1972) were similar.

104. Soil survey maps are normally constructed in the field by noting the soil survey reconnaissance information on dodged,* largescale, unrectified aerial products are then cut, indexed, provided with coordinates, and compiled into books. The coordinate system used is normally the state planer system, and the coordinate system accuracy on the maps is suspect. The geographic location referencing the soils maps for the watershed was accomplished by computer plotting the digitized elevation data for the upper (Tennessee) and lower (Mississippi) portions of the watershed at scales of 1:15,840 and 1:20,000, respectively. These digitized data could be located on the soils maps by aligning the waterway and its tributaries' digitized thalweg locations with the soils map dodged background. When digitized elevation data and soils maps had been aligned, coordinate location information was transferred to the soils maps. The digitized elevation data computer plots also contained the oversized boundary of the region for which elevation data were gathered (i.e., the watershed boundary

Optically filtered to wash out boundary edges and most other information while retaining enough photographic information to intimate the type of land cover.

plus an external extra band) and this was transferred to the soils maps.

105. Using the relationship between soil type and hydrologic soil group in Table 5, the hydrologic soils boundary lines were delineated to provide the hydrologic soil groups maps. Figures 18 and 19 show soils and hydrologic soil group maps for the upstream geographic region of the watershed. The hydrologic soils code used in Figure 19 is identified in the tabulation below and matched with its corresponding SCS code.

Hydrologic Soils Code	SCS Code	Runoff Potential
1	Α	Low
2	В	Moderate
3	С	Above average
4	D	High

106. The hydrologic soil group data were digitized and processed using the following schedule. The first three steps in the schedule were described in paragraphs 90 to 91 in the section on the watershed boundary. The last step involving the use of GROW to add data to the master data base was described in paragraph 100 in the section on topography.



Land Use

107. Land use data were developed using a combination of previously available land use maps and the interpretation of aerial photography. Land use maps for the eastern regions of Tennessee and northeastern Mississippi that had been prepared by the SCS were located at

i



Figure 18. Sample of a soils map



Figure 19. Sample of a hydrologic soil group map

. .

the SCS Regional Service Center, Ft. Worth, Texas. These data, in digital form, were computer-plotted to the scale of the base maps. It was found that the spatial resolution of the data was poor and the accuracy inadequate and that the coordinate referencing of the data was considerably displaced and distorted. These data, plotted as accurately as possible to the base map scale, were manually overlaid onto the base maps, and the base maps (i.e., the aerial photograph maps--see Figure 9) were used to correct the available required data by means of photointerpretation.

108. The land use classes used in the mapping are shown in Table 6. These classes consist of a description of both the ground cover and the land use practice. Table 6 is presented in the form normally seen in hydrologic studies, although the classes usually change from one study to another. A sample land use map is not included in this report since it has the same appearance as the soils and hydrologic soils group factor maps (Figures 18 and 19).

109. The land use overlays to the base maps were digitized and processed in a manner identical to the hydrologic soil group overlay data digitizing and processing. (Figure 13 is a sample FACGRD report for part of a land use map.)

Spatial Data Base Transformation

110. When the topographic, hydrologic soil group, and land use data have been placed in the spatial master data base, the data base is completed. The geographic information system approach to construction of this data file provides a convenient means of data file construction, and this approach is essential when the watershed information is spread over parts of several base maps. A Corps study is rarely contained on one base map.

111. While the form and format of the spatial data base are designed to make construction of the data base convenient, they are not readily usable in the calculation phase (see Figure 1) of the work. The program COMBDATA is used to transform the data into the more readily

usable form. COMBDATA also calculates the minimum dimension rectangular site boundary that will enclose the study watershed. COMBDATA provides an output data file with an appearance identical to the form and format of the data files output by program FACGRD and MGRIDDER, except that the three types of information for each grid are compressed into a single number with the following format:



112. This output file is used by the program STRTFLOW that prepares the flow allocation network as described in Part IV of this report.

Channel Location

113. The locations of the stream channel are required in the flow calculations to provide information as to where the storm runoff changes from overland to channel flow. This is provided by locating all grids through which stream channels flow using the program CHANLOC. This operation is the last data preparation and processing step shown in the principal schedule of work shown in Figure 6. The program CHANLOC is used to process the digitized topographic data for each base map (these are the same data that were input to program MGRIDDER). After using CHANLOC on all digitized topographic data, the output files from CHANLOC must be merged to provide gridded channel location data for the total watershed.

114. The functions provided by CHANLOC are as follows. The program ignores all area-of-interest and ground elevation data in the digitized topographic data file. Only the channel location digitized data are processed. CHANLOC transforms the channel location data from the digitized coordinate system to the grid coordinate system. The program requires the user to specify the desired grid spacing and the diagonal

corner coordinates of the minimum-sized rectangular box placed about the site by the program COMBDATA. CHANLOC uses the coordinate location information on the digitized data file and the input coordinates of the total watershed site boundary box to calculate the channel-located grid coordinates. Finally, CHANLOC rectifies the digitized channel location data, using the same algorithm as FACGRD, during the transformation from digitizer to grid coordinates.

115. Figure 20 shows a computer plot of the total channel network for the study watershed produced by program DGPLT.

Rainfall Intensity Function

116. Since the object of this study was the development of a flow simulation procedure that would be used either for actually recorded or synthetic storms, it was unnecessary to perform a stage/discharge/ rainfall/frequency-of-occurrence correlation. Data for such calculations are readily available and are commonly used in flood studies; sources include National Weather Service records for meteorological stations and publications (Randolph and Gamble 1976, Colson and Hudson 1976) on storm magnitudes and frequencies prepared by the USGS in conjunction with State agencies. Dean and Snyder (1977) provide a concise overview of mathematical procedures used for preparing rainfall data from multiple gage data. A synthetic rainfall record, shown in Figure 21, was used in all flow calculations in this study; this 2-in. cumulative rainfall represents a flood event storm with a repeat period of approximately 10 years for the study watershed.

117. The tabular data in Figure 21 is a listing of the rainfall data base. The first column contains the file record line numbers; the first data line contains the time interval (0.5 hours) and the cumulative storm rainfall (2.0 in.). The remaining records contain the rainfall intensity, in arbitrary units, at successive values of the time interval to be used in the flow calculation.

118. The rainfall time distribution was plotted in arbitrary units on the graph shown in Figure 21; synthetic rainfall curves are



Figure 20. Computer plot of the channel network



Figure 21. Rainfall time distribution used in this study

frequently prepared in this manner prior to normalizing the rainfall amplitude to the quantity necessary for the flood frequency storm event under study. As described in Part IV of this report, this normalization step was made part of the flow allocation calculation for convenience of the user.

PART IV: CALCULATIONS

119. The final result of the data preparation and processing is a geographic information system data base which has been processed through program COMBDATA. This data base contains all information required for the setup for surface flow calculations. This data base and the subsequent calculation steps involving these data are shown in Figure 22.



Figure 22. The calculation effort

120. The desired calculation result is the surface runoff time history (a) for rainfall, (b) for grid-to-grid flow, and (c) for the total surface flow for every grid in the watershed. It is necessary to separate the two water movement components since they play different roles in erosion problems. The total surface flow is a sum of the two components.
121. The separation of calculation work into rational stages shown in Figure 22 was actually designed to achieve flexibility in the use of the system and to minimize redundant computer calculations. For example, a study of the effects of different storms for the same watershed requires the one-time use of all computer programs up to but not including FLOW and HYDRO, and the use of FLOW and HYDRO for each of the storm conditions. Various important flexibility options are described in the appropriate sections of this report part.

122. The calculational algorithms used in programs referenced in Figure 22 are described in detail in this part of the report. The interested reader can obtain listings of the computer programs from the author to study how the algorithms were implemented in the computer software. The implementation of the algorithms in a simple, straightforward, affordable manner involved a significant effort in this study; as a result, the computer code implementation is often easier to understand than the mathematical expressions provided in this report that describe the implementation.

123. Several crucial assumptions and approximations were incorporated into the system. These assumptions and approximations, described at the appropriate places in this part of the report, were necessary to make the calculation tractable and terminable in a reasonable time and fashion. For example, since the system is used to calculate surface flow "hydrographs" with asymptotically decreasing flow function tails, a decision was made to break off the iterative grid-to-grid flow calculation when the flows reached values that had a negligible effect on the cumulative flow volume through a grid. The reason for connecting the cutoff decision with this parameter is given in the description of the computer program HYDRO. The assumptions and approximations implemented in the system development enabled the calculational costs to be reduced several orders of magnitude from the initial to the final form of the system. The present form of the system permits a flow calculation (using program FLOW) on 2999 grids of 100 m \times 100 with a time increment of 0.05 hour for flows out to 2.7 hours for an approximate cost of \$17 on the Honeywell version DPS computer.

124. All assumptions and approximations incorporated in the system calculational procedures are consistent with the accuracy of normally available data and the rainfall runoff and lag relationships used in the calculations.

Flow Patterns and Allocations

125. The program STRTFLOW prepares the ground elevation data for the flow calculation by ensuring continuous flow patterns to the channels, assigns runoff curve numbers to each grid, and allocates the flow from each grid to neighboring grids to set up the grid-to-grid flow pattern.

Pit Removal

126. It is assumed that all flow channels are identified in the channel location data base. This data base is input to STRTFLOW, which codes every grid named in the channel data base as a "channel grid." This designation remains with each of these grids throughout all subsequent calculations. The significance of the channel grids is that they form a mathematical sink for all surface flows. That is, any surface flows that enter a channel grid are assumed to terminate in a channel after flowing across the grid, and leave the flow accounting system. It is further assumed that all surface flows sooner or later enter a channel grid, i.e., the only way for any flow to leave the accounting system is to reach a channel grid.

127. Provided that the watershed boundary and channels have been properly delineated and the ground elevation information provides a reasonably accurate picture of the topographic trend surface, the only reasons flow lines cannot terminate in a channel grid are (a) ponding conditions on the landscape or (b) elevation depression artifacts generated in processing the data. Topographic maps at 1:62,500 and larger scale do provide reasonably accurate trend surface information. In addition, the Corps normally corrects the contour maps in planning studies using data from valley cross-section surveys which are required for backwater, stage/storage, and stage/area flooded design and for economic evaluations

in the floodplains. The floodplains are the low-relief regions of the watershed, and this additional information aids in removing any ambiguities in the locale of the channels where the trend surface information provided by topographic maps is most sparse and where there is greatest potential for disagreement between the map data and ground conditions.

128. This developed system assumes that runoff from a grid is characterized by the curve number relations described in paragraphs 43-61. That is, it is assumed that ponding does not occur or is negligible. It is possible to incorporate ponding effects into the system by designating grid points for ponds just as grid points are designated for channels in the present system. The present system runoff procedure could be modified to provide storage and controlled drainoff from the ponded regions.

129. Depression artifacts are generated in processing the data since a regular grid pattern layout can step over small linear drainage features and provide what appear to be slight depressions or "pits." A "pit" is defined as a region, at least 1 grid in size, that is the terminus of a flow pattern(s) and is not and does not contain a channel. It is also possible for pits to be generated by the algorithm used in transforming the ground elevation data into the grid coordinate system. These minor problems are insignificant, however, compared with the advantage of using an automatically generated regular grid system rather than an irregular, manually delineated grid to model the terrain: the automated approach is cheaper, uses fewer man-hours, and generates a larger number of grids; the manual method suffers from a subjectively irregular grid layout.

130. STRTFLOW automatically searches for pits in the data base and corrects the elevation data by adding a small value to the elevation of the pit grid(s). The pit search is performed by examining the entire data base while performing the following calculation at each grid location.

$$\Delta Z = Z_{I,J} - Z_{II,JJ} \begin{bmatrix} II = I - 1, I + 1 \\ JJ = J - 1, J + 1 \\ II, JJ \neq I, J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} II = I - 1, I + 1 \\ JJ = J - 1, J + 1 \\ II, JJ \neq I, J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} II, JJ \neq -999 \\ II, JJ \neq I, J \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

where I,J are the coordinates of the grid being tested for a pit and II,JJ are the eight nearest-neighboring grids. The calculation is voided for the selftest (i.e., II = I, JJ = J), where a nearest-neighboring grid lies outside the watershed (i.e., $Z_{II,JJ} = -999$), and where the grid being tested contains a channel.

131. If $\Delta Z < 0$ for all II, JJ, then the grid I, J is a pit. A record is kept of the largest ΔZ value, that is, the smallest ΔZ negative value. The value of the pit grid is corrected as follows.

$$Z_{I,J} = Z_{I,J} + |\Delta Z_{max}| + 10.0$$
 (8)

Since the elevation units are in 0.1-ft increments, the elevation value of the I, J grid is reset to 1 ft above the elevation of the nearest neighboring grid with the <u>lowest</u> elevation.

132. The operation in which the entire data base is searched one time, grid-by-grid, for pits is called a "pass." A series of passes is made on the data base until no pits are located during a pass, at which time the pit-removal algorithm is terminated. Passes are made from top to bottom and bottom to top, alternatively, on the topography grid model to enhance the probability of pit location with fewest passes; the maximum number of passes that will be allowed in a calculation is preset into the program to ensure against a runaway condition. For example, if a portion of the channel network is not identified and located in the data base, STRTFLOW will identify most of the unidentified channel grids as pits and attempt to iteratively correct what it identifies as a largescale pit problem. If the number of preset passes is exceeded, the program terminates after providing a copy of the full data base on magnetic tape containing all corrections performed thus far. This enables the user to restart the calculation where it was terminated, or to correct

the channel or ground surface elevation data, if required, and restart the entire calculation.

133. Note, as implied in Part III, that "top" and "bottom" refer to the coordinate system and not to the watershed alignment. When the UTM or a state planar coordinate system is used, top and bottom are approximately oriented north and south, respectively. The fact that the study watershed is oriented north-south (Figure 7) is accidental and has no bearing on any calculations performed by the system.

134. The output report of STRTFLOW provides a list of all pits and their corrected values for each pass as shown in the example in Figure 23. The example shown in Figure 23, for a grid with 100-m spacing on the study watershed, is typical. The number of pits located in this example was less than 0.13 percent of the total number of grids covering the watershed, and the maximum elevation correction changed the ground slope at a pit grid less than 1 percent. The pit removal procedure, therefore, performs a correction necessary before a flow calculation is mathematically possible, and the size of the correction on any grid is expected to have a negligible effect on the overland flow results. Curve Numbers

135. The data base input to STRTFLOW contains land use and hydrologic soil group data for every grid in the watershed. The relationship between these parameters and curve numbers is provided in a table, also input to STRTFLOW. The curve number relations for average antecedent moisture conditions used in this study (see Table 7) are approximately the same as those used in Corps studies for the local region of the study watershed. Low or high antecedent moisture conditions curve number sets or curve numbers corrected for study conditions on the landscape could be used in place of the listed values. It is also possible to develop and use a set of land use classes and curve number references completely different than those shown in Table 7.

Flow Allocation

136. STRTFLOW calculates, for every grid within the watershed, the allocation of flow from that grid to other neighboring grid(s) for a

P [1	REMOVAL	REPORT	••	*P IT	REMOVAL	REPORT	
	PIT GRID	COORDIN	ATES		PIT GRID	COORDIN	NATES
	·		NEW 7	PASS	×	Y	NEW Z
1							
	47	2	3351	J	39	86	4927
	39	4	3408		39	87	4935
	33	5	3711	6			
	44	10	3378		39	85	4928
	44	13	3407		40	85	4935
	44	14	3417		40	84	4939
	34	19	3472		33	37	3555
	34	21	3501		54	37	3563
	35	23	3611	7	• 4		
	32	26	3557		54	38	3566
	34	38	3511		40	86	4938
	34	40	3611		41	80	4940
	53	43	4508		39	80	4939
	55	49	4294		27	0/	4949
	34	57	4111	•	10		1014
	54	28	4120		40	85	4740
	41	04	4307		40	84	4957
	30	85	4845		33	37	3574
	40	85	4856		34	37	3577
	10	85	4867	9	•	2.	
	40	85	4878		34	38	3585
	39	85	4889	·	40	86	4949
	40	85	4891	10			
	39	86	4895		33	37	3587
	41	86	4902	11			
	6	87	4927		33	38	3588
	39	87	4906	12			
	41	89	4823		34	37	3589
	31	94	4986		34	36	3595
2					33	36	3598
	39	85	4902	13	_		
	40	85	4905		34	38	3598
	40	84	4913	14	••		
	34	37	3522	1	22	57	2244
	38	3	3410	15	••		
_	39	2	3413		22	28	3000
3	•.			10	7/		74.04
	54	38	2222		24	37 24	3000
	24	80	4713	1	11	30	3617
	41	80 47	4710	17		20	5010
,	24	01	4717		34	38	3610
*	10	9 4	4914		34	39	3611
	24	25	4917	18			
	10	8.L	4927		33	37	3611
	-0 14	17	3544	19		÷.	
ç	34		****		33	38	3617
	34	٦A	3552		33	39	3621
	40	86	4924	20			
	41	86	4928		34	37	3620
					34	36	3621
					33	36	3955
				21			
				1	34	38	3622
					34	39	3655
				25			
					33	37	3628
				23			

والمتعالم والمعالم والمنافقة والمعالم والمعالم والمعالم والمتعالم والمعالم والمعالم والمعالم والمعالم والمعالم

Figure 23. Pit removal report example from STRTFLOW

unit time interval Δt . The basis of this flow allocation is the flow lag and the local topographic slope. The lag equation used in this study is as follows:

$$L = \frac{\ell^{0.8} [(1000/CN) -9]^{0.7}}{1900\sqrt{S}}$$
(9)

where

L is the flow lag in hours

l is the hydrologic length of flow

CN is the curve number

S is the percent slope

This lag equation is applied to every grid to calculate the amount of flow in temporary storage on the grid at time t that will flow from the grid by time t + t. Specifically, the desired value is $L_{I,J}/\Delta t$, the <u>fraction</u> of the flow in temporary storage on grid I at time t that will be removed to the neighboring J grids during Δt . As seen from the equation, this fraction is a function of the grid curve number and the slope and hydrologic length of flow.

137. An inherent assumption in this approach is that the rainfall does not vary rapidly in intensity over a time interval less than Δt , since the approach assumes a smoothly varying flow intensity. The flow consists of both the noninfiltrated rainfall for the grid (i.e. direct rainfall flow) and the flow from other grids (i.e. grid-to-grid flow) across the grid. The grid-to-grid flow intensity history is buffered from the rainfall intensity history, and the grid-to-grid flow function shape through time is influenced by the flow network. It is also generally true that the grid-to-grid flow component is larger and dies out much more slowly than the rainfall flow component <u>except</u> for grids that have little or no uphill drainage area.* The net effect is that rapid rainfall intensity changes are rapidly smoothed and do not strongly influence the system results.

* The section on drainage area expands on this topic.

138. The mean travel distance across a grid was used for the grid hydraulic length

$$\ell = \frac{2D}{\sqrt{\pi}} \tag{10}$$

where D is the grid spacing in feet.

139. An approximation of the average slope at each grid was calculated for S in Equation 9. The following procedure was used for all grids that did not contain channels:

$$s_{I,J} = \frac{\sum_{II=1}^{3} \sum_{JJ=1}^{3} |z_{I,J} - z_{II,JJ}| / R_{II,JJ}}{\sum_{II=1}^{3} \sum_{JJ=1}^{3} |A| R_{II,JJ}} (2_{I,J} - 2_{II,JJ}) > 0$$
(11)
(11)

where

 $R_{II,JJ}$ is the grid-to-grid distance, so that $R_{II,JJ} = D$ for horizontal and vertical grids to the I,J position $R_{II,JJ} = \sqrt{2} D$ for the diagonal grids to the I,J position D is the grid spacing in meters

The calculation uses all downhill slopes to neighboring grids from the I,J position to calculate the average slope for grid I,J. For grids containing channels, the flow proceeds across the grid into the channel, so there are no downhill neighboring grids. It was assumed that a channel grid's downhill slope to the channel is approximately the same as the downhill slope to that grid from neighboring grids. Equation 11 was modified for channel grids as follows.

$$S_{I,J} = \frac{\sum_{II=1}^{3} \sum_{JJ=1}^{3} |z_{II,JJ} - z_{I,J}| / R_{II,JJ}}{\sum_{II=1}^{3} \sum_{JJ=1}^{3} |1/R_{II,JJ}| / R_{II,JJ}} \begin{vmatrix} (z_{II,JJ} - z_{I,J}) > 0 \\ (I2) \end{vmatrix}$$

where $R_{II,JJ}$ has the same meaning as it does for Equation 11.

140. At the completion of the calculation, the value of $L_{I,J}/\Delta t$ is the fraction of the flow temporarily stored on grid I,J that will flow from the grid to its neighbors in the next time increment Δt .

141. STRTFLOW allocates the flow to the neighboring grids of a nonchannel grid using the nearest-neighbor slope values in the following method in which all uphill relationships are ignored:

$$s_{I,J}^{II,JJ} = (Z_{II,JJ} - Z_{I,J})/R_{II,JJ} | s > 0$$
 (13)

where $s_{I,J}^{II,JJ}$ is the slope from grid I,J to grid II,JJ .

The flow $L_{I,J}/\Delta T$ is then allocated to the neighboring grids by using the normalized slope values of Equation 13 as follows:

$$\frac{L_{I,J}^{II,JJ}}{\Delta t} = \frac{L_{I,J}}{\Delta t} \frac{s_{I,J}^{II,JJ}}{\sum_{II=1}^{S} \sum_{JJ=1}^{S} s_{I,J}^{II,JJ}} \begin{vmatrix} s > 0 \\ s > 0 \\ II,JJ \neq I,J \end{vmatrix}$$
(14)

where $L_{I,J}^{II,JJ}/\Delta t$ is that fraction of the flow temporarily stored on grid I,J at time t that is allocated to flow to grid II,JJ in the next time interval Δt .

Output File Structure

142. The data file input to STRTFLOW has the same general format as the grid array data files output from programs FACGRD and MGRIDDER. Examples of the gridded data portion of this type of file were shown in Figures 13c and 16b. The data generated by STRTFLOW include a list of nearest neighbors and the flow allocation schedule for these neighbors

for each grid. Because of the dramatic increase in the volume of information that must be retained for each grid to support the subsequent flow calculation, the data file format is changed. In the STRTFLOW output format, only those grids within the watershed boundary are retained in the data file. Each grid within the watershed is assigned a unique identification number assigned sequentially from top to bottom, left to right of the data array input to STRTFLOW; the assignment has no correlation with the watershed alignment or water flow pattern. The identification assignment number of each grid is also used as the file location (in a randomly written file) of the data for that grid. The substitution of sequential identification numbers that also double as random file pointers for each grid in place of the grids' X,Y coordinates is crucial to the calculation. It is not possible, even with data compression techniques, to maintain all data required for the flow calculation of a small watershed in the memory space available in a large mainframe computer. Alternatively, the use of mass storage devices and data input/ output swapping techniques permits the storage of the required data but involves an operational cost that makes calculations unacceptably expensive even for small watersheds. The use of the developed sequential identification numbers as random file pointers, however, is one key to decreasing the calculation cost and time several orders of magnitude so that the flow calculation becomes inexpensive.

143. The data stored for each watershed grid is shown in the tabulation below.

Record <u>Word</u>	Contents
1	X UTM grid coordinate
2	Y UTM grid coordinate
3	Channel/nonchannel identification 0 = no channel passes through the grid 1 = channel passes through the grid
4-11	Grid (record) identification numbers of the nearest neighbors to this grid
12-19	Percent of flow apportioned to each of the neighboring grids listed in words 4-11
20	Grid curve number

144. The X,Y coordinates of each grid are maintained so that it is possible to transform the sequential identification (record) number back to geographic coordinate space. The X,Y coordinates are conveniently arranged from large to small Y value, and from small to large X value for any given Y value. That is, the data are arranged from top to bottom, left to right, on a map of the watershed.

145. The channel/nonchannel and curve number data are retained for use in the flow and infiltration portion of the flow calculation, respectively.

146. There are, potentially, eight nearest neighbors for each nonchannel grid. The data stored in words 4-11 are the identification (record location) numbers for the grid's nearest neighbors to which there is flow. The eight-nearest-neighbor sequencing pattern to the central grid is shown below. The identification number for the number 1 nearest



neighbor goes into word 4, etc., for the number 2 nearest neighbor into word 5, etc., for the central grid's data record. If no portion of the flow is allocated to a given nearest neighbor or if there is no nearest neighbor in a given position, its word value is set to zero.

147. The flow allocations stored in words 12-19 for each grid are the flow allocation data $L_{I,J}^{II,JJ}$ *1000/t for each nearest-neighboring grid. The data are multiplied by 1000 to provide the data in integer format with sufficient accuracy for use in the flow calculation.

148. If a grid is a channel grid, all flow is assumed to go into the channel and the total flow allocation from that grid is placed in

word 12. All other flow allocation storage locations as well as the address locations of the nearest neighbors are set to zero. Constant Flow Allocation

149. The flow allocation network is calculated by STRTFLOW for a predetermined grid interval and time interval and for infiltration and lag characteristics that retain constant values over time. The physical relationships used as the bases for this study and for all Corps hydrologic calculations, whether based on curve numbers or something more rudimentary, also assume constant infiltration and lag characteristics. Note that these parameters are adjusted in all Corps studies to meet calibration criteria for presumably known individual storm conditions, but the parameters do remain constant over time. The two reasons why this approach is so widespread is that (a) the vast majority of the work performed in the technical area is with statistically derived storm conditions and (b) the normally available data for a given watershed cannot provide infiltration, etc., data as a function of time.

150. It is possible to modify the developed system to accommodate time-varying infiltration and lag conditions. This can be done most conveniently in the flow calculation without any modification to STRTFLOW. (The approach is discussed in the section Time-Varying Flow Allocation, paragraph 256.) Time-varying flow allocation calculations are of interest mainly in studies designed to develop better infiltration and flow relationships on calibrated watersheds.

Single-Nearest-Neighbor Flow Option

151. In addition to generating flow allocations to all eight nearest neighbors, STRTFLOW can also set up the flow allocation using only a single-nearest-neighbor flow pattern for each grid. If this option is selected, the allocation algorithm locates the maximum slope direction among the eight nearest-neighbor directions and selects that nearest neighbor in the maximum slope direction as the grid to which the total allocated flow in Δt will move.

152. The output file produced by STRTFLOW for this option is identical to the flow produced when the eight-nearest-neighbor flow option is selected except for a modification in the appearance of the output file. Specifically, the address location for the nearest neighbors (words 4-11) are all set to zero except for the nearest neighbor selected to receive the total flow; the flow allocation locations (words 12-19) are all set to zero except that location corresponding to the single nearest neighbor selected. The flow allocation value is set to $L_{I,J}$ *1000/ Δt . There is no modification of the records produced for channel grids since the assumption that total flow from these grids moves into a single location, the channel, remains unchanged.

153. The original reason for designing a single-nearest-neighbor flow pattern option was the assumption that surface flow function time histories for the single- and eight-nearest-neighbor conditions would be practically indistinguishable. The desire for this assumption to be proven true was fostered by the significant cost savings of a singleover an eight-nearest-neighbor flow pattern calculation in the early stages of the systems' development (the cost differs by a factor of 2-5). The design of the final system with a dramatic decrease in operation cost, so that there is little cost difference between the options, softens the disappointment in finding that the single-nearest-neighbor may yield the same results as the eight-nearest-neighbor flow calculation, only under a certain restricted condition. This condition, basically a simple statistical one, is that the flow patterns on the landscape are complex enough and are routed through enough grids so that the cumulative grid flows through any one typical grid average out to the same value whether single- or eight-nearest-neighbor algorithms were used. Another way to state this condition is that the uphill drainage area for a typical grid would be statistically large enough, whether single- or eight-nearest neighbor flow patterns are used, that the drainage areas calculated using the two procedures would be approximately the same.

154. Calculations run on the study watershed and on two other watersheds during the early development stages of the system indicated that the channel network is such that few locations in the watershed are far enough from a channel to permit many grids to have a large drainage area. As a demonstration, Table 8 shows the study watershed drainage

area frequency distributions for the single- and eight-nearest-neighbor flow pattern algorithms, for bases with both 100- and 200-m-grid spacing for the study watershed. The peaking of the distributions at the minimum grid area value and the exponentially decreasing amplitude of the distributions clearly show the problem. The 200-m grid data start at the 4-ha level since the smallest unit of measurement, 200 m, is 4 ha. The distribution spikes in the single-nearest-neighbor results for the 200-m grid are due to the fact that single-nearest neighbor flow requires the drainage areas to be integral multiples of the single grid drainage area.

155. Since the grids' drainage areas for the single-nearestneighbor flow pattern are measured in the grid system, it is possible to improve the statistics, without changing the drainage area, by decreasing the study grid size. An inspection of Table 8 shows the significant effect achieved when a 100-m rather than 200-m grid is used for the single-nearest-neighbor flow. Table 8 reflects the effect of a fourfold increase in the number of grids in the same area, and the changes in flow patterns associated with increased spatial resolution in the mathematical model of the ground surface. The requirement to use a smaller grid spacing with an associated multifold increase in data volume in order to produce the proper conditions for a single-nearestneighbor flow pattern approach increases the cost and complexity of the system.

156. The single-nearest-neighbor flow pattern calculation appears to be an unacceptable procedure for normal use, but is a useful tool for investigating whether the time increment used for the flow calculation is excessive. This topic is explored in detail in the next report section, Time Increment Limitations. The single-nearest-neighbor calculation is potentially useful in watershed studies where it is necessary to calculate flow time histories with a high spatial resolution so that the grid spacing produces a drainage area frequency with more amplitude toward the larger drainage area end of the distribution.

Time Increment Limitations

157. A major assumption in this development effort was that a

significant portion of the temporary storage flow from a grid does not pass completely across nearest neighboring grids into (or across) second nearest neighboring grids in the time increment Δt used for the flow calculation. Requiring the conditions of this assumption permits calculations for any given time increment on a grid independent of post or preceding time increment calculations for that grid. If the assumption is violated, the calcuational procedure must be followed over the necessary number of successive time increments; this increases dramatically the computer memory size requirements for this calculation over what is required when the assumption is met. For example, if the flow passes from one grid into its second-nearest neighbors, the memory requirements double; into third-nearest neighbors and the requirements triple; etc. Other even more serious problems that arise are noted in later sections of this text.

158. An alternate to and close approximation of this assumption that can readily be checked is to require time increments such that water in temporary storage on a grid at time t does not totally flow off the grid by time $t + \Delta t$. Minor violations of this requirement do not affect the integrated flow volume time history function from a grid, but do change the flow function shape and particularly the time location of the maximum flow amplitude. A normalization stratagem, described in the sections of the report on programs DRAIN and HYDRO, ensures against incorrectly integrated flow volume errors under practically any violation conditions, but there is no way to avoid maximum amplitude and peak time shift errors if Δt is too large. The shift in the maximum flow amplitude is always toward longer times since the flow calculation results are reported at integral multiples of Δt ; that is, if Δt is too large, the flow results are reported later than the time of occurrence that would be reported with a smaller Δt . Although it is unclear when the report results (i.e., flow as a function of time) are significantly distorted for a grid, it is obvious that a Δt that would allow the flow to move to the second-nearest neighbor produces a flow time history in which the function, shape, and peak locations are far removed from true locations. Examples of this effect are shown at the

end of this part in the section on flow time history results.

159. During the STRTFLOW flow allocation calculation, the fraction of the flow from a grid $L_{I,J}^{}/Wt$ is tested to ensure that it does not exceed 1.0. If the value does exceed 1.0, it is reset to a value of 1.0 to conserve mass in the flow calculation. STRTFLOW provides a listing of the output file contents for visual inspection. Figure 24 is an example of the first section of such a report. The values for words 12-19, identified as the "% Apportionment of Flow, Using Slope and Lag Factor" section of data in the tabular output, can be scanned. If the sum of all flow allocations equals 1000 (since the recorded values are $L_{I,J}^{II,JJ}$ *1000/Wt) for a grid, the time increment is too large for that grid's flow.

160. Since the STRTFLOW calculation with the single- rather than eight-nearest-neighbor flow option provides the total flow allocation in a single number, the location of 100 percent flow conditions are obvious in the STRTFLOW tabular output directly as shown in Figure 25 (saturation condition flows are underlined).

Storm Input

161. Most Corps planning studies involve calculations for multiple rainfall storm events with hyetographs statistically constructed from historical storm frequency and duration data. STRTFLOW prepares an output data file for the selected time interval flow calculation that can be used with any number of storm events--statistical, fabricated, or real--without the need for reprocessing any data.

Tabular Output

162. STRTFLOW provides several sets of information in the form of tabular output, some of which has already been presented in Figures 24 and 25 used in prior sections in this report. This section summarizes the major tabular output data and provides a further description of their contents and uses.

a. <u>Curve Number Table</u>. The relationship between curve number, and land use and hydrologic soil group is displayed (Figure 26) to provide a permanent copy of the data used in the calculation.

I	No.	15	2	53	5	=	5		2	53	2	2	21	2 4	22	2	21	::	: =		2;		2	6 0 ~ ^	: 2	2		: :	2	:	1	2	2	2;	C
18	:	ຳ ! ລ	5	و ہ	25	3	2 8	52	2	00		2	51	2	; ;	9	5	22	38	0	0	• •	8	0 0	• <u>~</u>	2	82	:2	•	00	. 2	2	2	2	2
ACT		17	-					-			ň	~	÷		-			•			•	n -	Ē				-					'n	~		
1 2 2		•	•	821	20	-	• •	n	2	00	n 1	0	0	• •		5	*	~ c	2	0	0 0	- -	~	00	0	0	^ :	0	0	0 0	n	0	2	30	
13		i				_			_		_	_	_		_					_			_			_			_			_	_	- .	
Į	•		0	-:	: 5	9		10	0	6							Ξ'	9 C	3 (3		00	20		00	0			.0	0				0		
10		12	2	0 9		2			5	0 0	5	2	5	9 2		9	2		22	0	•	^	2	23	12	•	2 2	22	0	00	, <u>,</u>	1	8	5	
2	5	Ĩ	Ξ	-		~	Ň÷	-	÷		•			ŇŌ	ŭ	-	-		-			ĀŇ	m	~	-	-	-	N			-	ň	~	~	
S		¦ °	0	00	0	0	0 0	0	0	٥ï	;-	0	0	0 0	50	5	0	0 0	9 0	• •	0 0	a a	0	0 0	0	0	- C	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	•
,e		!	-				~ ~			~ ~			_	•			~.	~ ~		~	~	• •	~	-	. ~		~ ~		_	~ ~			_	~	
2	m	Ĭ					\$	5				-	-		-	7	-	•	6 0	-	;	ġ	ž			-		-			•	2	-	5	-
5		; ; 0	•	00	> a	0	0 9	n	0	0 0	, a	0	0	0 0) e	0	0	•	• 0	• •	0 0	-	-	6	18	•	• <u>;</u>	-	0	0 0	, a	0	0	0	ç
044	~																						-	Ň	Ē										•
10	-	10	0	00	0	0	0 9	00	9	:::	-	0	0	00	9 9	0	9	0 0	a	485	304	00	0	0 9	0	0	00	0	371	223	; 0	0	0	0	•
X	:	; 		00		~~~	. .		•	00) 60		۵.				÷.				•			00	~ ~	-	• •		0	00	<u>م</u>		~	•	
ļ	-	-	Ŧ	- 7	ŝ	N I	N 4	ŝ	Ň		N	Ň	in i	mi	ñ M	i m	m.	in i	ĥ	-	-,	• •	•			4	a v	ŝ				- Iñ	~	Ň	
: :			0	~ •		5	0 9	2	\$2	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	9 0	5	*	0 0	20	0	0 9	2	3	•	`	•		; 0	0	0 0	0	0	26	57	<
iŝ		:																																	
Ĩ		[]	0	•	-	0	00	>0	0	0 1	20	0	0	0 0	> 0	2	m	00	>0	0	0	> c	0	00	0	0	00	00	0	00	• •	0	0	0	•
5		i 1 m		0 4	• ~	-	• •		~	00	.		~ 1	•	• •		~			0	•		0	= 1		-	<u> </u>	2	0	• •	•	~	-		¢
NE AR	ļ n								-		-	-		- •	-	~	-		~ ~	,		~ ~	-				-1	1						Ť	`
10		•	0	00	0	0	00	00	0	• •	: 0	0	•	0 0	, o	•	0	0 0	0	0	00	00	0	0 (» o	0	9 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	¢
ESS			_									_	_						_	-	. .		-			•			_			_	-	_	
Aber	m	1	0							00													-				22				~	2	~	ž	ì
	1	. •	0	00	, 0	0	0 0	~	0	o c	, a	0	0	0 0	• •	0	0	•	• 0	0	•	2	-	~ •	2	0	Þ	:2	0	•	0	0	0	0	<u>د</u>
Ĭ	i ~	ł																																	
	-	0	0	00	0	0	00	00	0	•	• 0	0	0	0 0	2	0	0	0 0	ba	0	•	a	0	0 0	0	0	00	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	•
! _	;	:				0	00		•	- 6	. a		0				•				- (0 0		0	a c		-		. 0	0	0	0	•
Ī	`	[-				-	_	-	-		-						-					-									-			
Ĭš	-	1-	-	÷ *	~	~	~ ^	~	~	~ ^	d 97	1 11	-	-	n m	-	m (-	n M	-	<i>m</i> ,	• •		•	• •	•	.	• •	4	•	•	-	5	~	•
1		:3	5	;;	.9	5	~	;;	\$3			12	*	5:		; 7	5:	~	13	\$::		5		9	53	;5	;	5	1	1	5	2	•
Ĩ	1						_		_	~ ~				~ -										- -	. ~				~	-		-	~		
ļ	ŭ	Í						1	-			-	-		÷	Ň	~	~ ~	ú Ň	Ň	Ňİ	ý ň	~	ñi	'n	-	ññ	ň	m	ñi	1	-	•	•	
1		1																																	

1

83

÷

			I N N		:	:	5	5	5	5	5	5	2;	5	5				: 2		2	22	21	5;	5;	2	2	2	2	2			2	~	2;			1	2	2	2;	::		2	2
		ē			0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	.	.	,	• a	a	280	n	•	0	•) c	0	•	0	•	,	90	281	9	0 0	•		•	0	0	•	n C	•	-	202
		FAC		:	0	0	2	53	0	0	0	0	00		D e				00			•	0	0 (• a	5	0	0 0) a	0	•	• •	.			0	•	0	- e		0	•
			<u>،</u>				ñ															9																							
		NE AN			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		90		> c		0	0	0	o	0	0	50		• •	-	0	0 0	50	0	0	0	0 (00	9 9	0	0	0	0 (> 4		0	•
		510			701	701	0	0	562	973	753	762		2	•	2			208	647	0	0	101	496		20	0	000	•	000	2	208	0	0	299	n (, a	0	759	000	ŝ	.	•	973	•
		U\$ ING		:	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0 0		٥ç	ge			. a		0	0	0	0 (5 0	.	• 0	-	0		- -		0	•	0		Þa		0	•	-	- c	, c	0	•
					_	~	~	_		_	~	_	_	~ •		-						_	~	_				_	~						~ ^				_	_	_			_	
			-	ļ	Ŭ	Ĩ			-	Č	-	Ŭ										Ū							100		V			U					Ū	Ŭ					
		PORT	.	.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0 0	•	00	>	•) C	0 0	• •	0	•	0	0	•	•	0	0	0	0 9	• •	0	0	0	0 (0 0	50	• •	0	0	•	5 6	0001	•	
					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	•	2	2 0	,) a		0	0	0	0	,	2	808	-	0	0 (.	0	0	•	•	•		151	0	0	0	ə c) c	•	
		**		i	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	••		00		> c	•	• 0		5	0	0	•			00		0				-	•	•	00			•	0			, c	0	
			=																		-												1	•											
		NO OR S	-		0	0	12	-	0	0	0	0	0		00	50		5 C	• 0		0	33	0	91	0 (DC) C	0	0	0 (DC	>0	0	0	0	00		.0	0	0	01		, c	0	
		NEIG			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00		0 0		•	<i>~</i>	• •	• •	0	0	0	01	0 0	•	0	0	0	0 1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	, c	• •	0	0	0	•	> c	0	
		REST			m	4	0	0	~	-	•	0	=:	20	0 0	ŗ				-	0	•	22	n .		ĵ	0	58	0	8:	- C	, n	0	0	*;	2	, a	0	Ş	42	ş	,	, o	4 2	•
		F NE			0		0	•	0	•	0	0	00			v C) C	0		0	0	0	• •					0			, o	0	0					•	0				0	1
		E\$ 5 0	-]											•	-																													
		A D D E	-	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		00		•	• •	0	• •	0	0	0	00	5 0	•	0	0	16	0 0	•		0	0	0 0	00	9 0	0	•	0	•;	25		0	•
			 ~		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٩	0		•	.	•	• •	0	• •	0	•	0	0	.	20	0	• •	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	.	0	0	0	00	•	;	•	•
		ž	ļ	; ;	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	00		Þ	5 0	, c	,		• •	0	0	0	00	,			0	0	0 9		0	0	0	0	0 0	- c	• •	•	•	0		• c	0	•
	<pre>4 </pre>	z	1		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		- 6							0	0	6 (0	_			0	0		.			0	0	0	50		0	
		N CHA	- i i i		_	_	_	~			-	•••	~ -				-					-			• •	•		د. م.	-					-			•		-	- -					
;			Ĭ		~	-	-	~		~	-	•	2:	= :					2	-	2	20	2	2:	::		\$ *	~	28	2	2:	2	2	34	5			6	9	;	3:	23		94	•

(saturation condition flows are underlined)

And a second sec

A STREET

.

4

	CURVE NUMBERS				
	LAND USE	HYDRO	LOGIC	SOIL	GRP
CODE	NAME	1	2	3	4
1	ROW CROPS		72	79	R1
2	CLOSE-GROWING CROPS	70	79	84	88
3	RECREATION AREA	72	81	88	91
4	DOUBLE-CROPPED CROPLAND	65	75	82	86
Ś	HORTICULTURAL AREA	67	77	83	87
6	GOOD COVER GRASSLAND	62	71	78	81
7	POOR COVER GRASSLAND	70	80	86	90
8	IDLE LAND	55	68	78	83
9	DECIDUOUS FOREST	68	79	86	99
10	CONIFEROUS FOREST	71	79	86	89
11	HARDWOODS/PINE MIXED FOREST	42	66	77	81
12	BRUSHLAND	42	66	77	81
13	OTHER RELATED AGRIC. LAND	42	66	77	81
14	FFEDING OPERATIONS	4 Z	66	77	81
15	NONFARM RURAL LAND	72	82	87	89
16	URBAN RESIDENTIAL	49	69	79	84
17	URBAN COMMERCIAL AND SERVICES	39	61	74	80
18	URBAN INDUSTRIAL	72	79	84	87
19	URBAN OPEN LAND	74	84	90	92
20	PONDS, RIVERS, LAKES, SWAMPS	100	100	100	100

THE MEAN FLOW LENGTH PER GRID IS 370.2 FEET

TIME INTERVAL FOR THE CALCULATIONS IS 0.1000 HOUR

Figure 26. Curve number, mean flow length, and time interval tabular output from STRTFLOW

ومناهيت

- b. <u>Mean Flow Length and Time Interval</u>. The mean flow length *l* calculated using Equation 10 and the time interval for which the flow allocation is prepared are also tabulated (Figure 26) to provide a permanent copy.
- Channel Grid Map. A printer-produced map of the waterc. shed is provided (Figure 27) locating all grids through which a channel flows. Figure 27 shows the upper left section of the watershed for a 200-m grid spacing. The column and row location of each grid is indicated by the sequential numbers appearing along the top and left side of the map, respectively. A series of 8's are used to designate grids outside the watershed, 0's for nonchannel grids within the watershed, and 1's for channel grids within the watershed. Figure 27 was reproduced from the 200-m grid so that the general shape of the watershed and the channel flow pattern would be discernible to the reader. The map is very distorted since the horizontal-to-vertical scale ratio is approximately five to one.

163. The map data are used to locate flow information for specific channel grids in the output data base tabulation such as the one shown in Figure 24, and vice versa. The output data base information for each grid contains the XY-(row and column) number identifiers shown in Figure 27.

- a. <u>Curve Number Grid Map</u>. Figure 28 shows a similar grid map using the curve numbers.
- Ъ. Output Data Base. The result of the STRTFLOW flow allocation network calculation is output to a file for use in the storm flow calculation. The data are randomly written onto the file, with each record containing all data required for the flow calculation for a single grid. The very first record written on the file contains certain control information, such as the file size and storm time increment to be used automatically in setting up and proceeding through the flow calculations. The tabular output, which shows the data in the file, is provided with a heading to make the data more readable. The information above the tabular heading is contained in the first record of the output file. The data below the tabular heading are contained in successive sequential records. Inspection of the tabular output shows all the features described above. A visual inspection of Figure 24 or 25 shows the following:
 - (1) The record number (random location of the data in the file and the sequence identification numbers

	a	~							0	4 4			0	89	X X		0	8	99	68	88				0	89	89	•			•	0	-	• •	- ,	0	9 9			0	88	4.6				2	88	8 a				•	8	88				0		88			8	88		•	8			
	0	~	. 4	RABEEN	6 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8					848844			0 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	665556	NARAN		000000	66664d	787878	848844	848888				000000	P98864	0880	<	•	.	\$	-	-	• •		0	8880			000000	556354	848888					0644	848848						84444					662641	1 4 8 8 8 8 8 8				A48844				8×4858		
	0	~			1 8.6	1.8.8			00000	88888	AAAA		1000000	.8888.84	MAAAA		00000	38888	555555	388884	088					990	0	c	•	-	-	-	-	· c	5	0	0	DAD			388881	33556			•	0	0	08.8			•	2	1888881	3334	AAAA				22222	188384			1922221	188884			999884	125555		
	0	~	•	• •		-			201				5	338888	A A A A A		200000	968888	388888	085	0			Š	2	0	0	-		-	-	-	C	, c		-	-	c	> 1	•	200	181	-	- 6		-	-	-	•	• •	,	2	8	888888	AAAA!				999999	188884			155351	388888						
	0	2			2	•	• •	• •	-	-	-	• •	-	80	AAAA		00000	88888	80	0	-	-	- 6	•		0	0	-			~	-	-	• •		~	0	c		2	-	-	-		- •	-	•	0	• c	~	•	-	-	081	A A A A A			5	88888	468881			59963	8.88.88		0000	52232	88881 x		
	0	~			2		• •		-	-	-		-	-	-		5	80	0	0	0	-	- 6	•	5	0	0	-			-	-	•	•		9	0	C	•	2	-	-	-	- (0	•	0	• c	• •	- •	-	0	0	2	12	5.	-		88888			66688	88888			33555	22238		
	0	~			2	• •	• <	•	-	-	•		2	-	C		-	-	•	0	0	•	- <		5	0	0	-	• •	-	0	0				-	-	•	• •	-	-	0		•	> <	0	0	0	• -	••	- (2	0	•		• •	• •	-		38488			55555			00000	88888			
	0	•	•				• <		-	9	• -	• •	-		C	•••	-	0	0	•	0	•		- •		0	0	-		~	-	0		• •	2	0		c	•	2	0	0				-	•	C	• -	• •	•	-	-	-	-	• •		-	-	38888			555551	1.1.0.3.0		00000	822223	1.8.8.8.A.I		
	0	• ~	~		Ś		•		-	0			-	-	•	••	-	•	0	0	-	•			2	0	0	-	• •	-	•	•		• -		-	0	6		2	•	-	•		- (0	•	c	• •		- •	-	-	0		• •	•	-	-	388888			588885	1.1.5.2.5.5			388888	122221		
	0				AAAAA			•		0		2		Ö	*	•	-	-	•	-		•	- 0			0	•	-	- 6		0	0	•		3	-	0	c	•	-	-	-	•		•	2	0	Q	• •	• •	- (2	0	0		• •	•	-	-	ð			55555	AAAAA			5555 5	2335 81		
	0	-			AAAAA			00000	-	0			-	°	c			- -	- -	•	-		•••	- •		-	-		•••	_	•	•				-	0			-	0	0	C			-	•	-		• •		-	-	•	-			-	°	0		3	•	188888		00000	35533	112225		
	0	-			LABAAA				-	338283	RAAA						_	• •		-					_	-	- -			5	_			· -	_	_	-			5	-			•••		-	-	-				- -	- -	-					0	- -			- -	0				088888		
	0				S & A & A	AAAAA				335381	AAAA		22222	88888				88888	555555	88888	a			> 4	-	-	- 0			5	0	-	-			-	- -		- (-	-	0			- 1) C			_	-	-				5	- 0	-			-	-		5	- -	- -		
	0				18 8 8 8 8					18 8 8 8 8 8	AAAAA		00000	188888	AA AA A		999999	38368	188888	L & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	-		2 4	> <		0	-	•			0	0	-			0	_	•		5	0	0			- 1	-	0	0				-	-	•	0			-	-	-			0	0			•	q	,	
- -	0	-	. 0	LABES!	LAAA4	AAAA					A A A A		00000	133381	AAAA)		00000	188881	55555	38888	33331				.	0	-	-		2		8				-	-	c		5	0	0				-	0	0		, c			•	-	•			-	-	•		• •	-	0	•		0	-		
222	0		. 0		AAAAI	A A A A				888881	RARARI		000000	888881	AAAA		00000	688889	888888	5 5 5 5 5 5 6 (88884				0	88	88			99999	88888	888888) c		0	-	¢		5	0					-	-	0					0	0	0			-	-	-	•	- (0	-			-	~		
	0	. 0	•		AAAAA	AAAA			000000	88888	AAAA		000000	888888	AAAA.		00000	55555	88888	88888	33333				00000	55535	33333	ABABA		00000	88888	888888	RAAR	2		0	0	c	•	00	88	33333	•	.		•	-	0	• •	, c		-	-	-	•	• -	- •		ō		•	• •	-	-		2	0	0	J	
	0	• •	~		LA SAAA	A A A A			000000	188338	I A A B B		000000	188888	LALAA			166488	535558	588388	122533				000001	18888	188888	A A A A A		000000	165855	333339	1.1.2.2.1		0	99	58			000000	8888888	888888		2		2	-	-		.	,		0	-		• •	•	-	0	0	•		-	0	• •	>	c	0	,	
د ۲۲	ſ	0	• •	11111	RARAS	A A A A A A			999999	3 8 8 8 8 84	LALAN			199999	RAAA		100500	38388	5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 3 31	88888				99999	88888	188888			99999	199999	1883881	A A A A A			22222	5.65.84	1.82.2.1		1000000	5 8 8 8 8 8 8	3 8 8 8 8 8 4	1.4.4.4.4			n	n	-	• •	• •			n	n	-		5 (2	0	a	•		-	-			0	0	,	
	0	a			ARRA	A R R R R			999999	88888	BAAAA		999999	888888	RARAR		99999			388888	88888				00000	88888	388888			00000	155533	66688.			00000	99998	.88888			00000	188888					292	888	1888	-		- (2	0					2	0	0			0	-	•	-	0	0	,	
	0	a	•		LARAA				000000	188888	LAAAA			388888	LALAL		10000	888888	555555	188888	333324					888888	555555			10000	888888	388888	AAAAA			72222	185828	LARAA		100000	5555555	388885				100000	188888	888888					5888	988888	LALAA	•		5	o	0	c		-	-	•	-	-	-		
	0	9 0			ABARA	A & & & A			00000	222222	ABBBAN			888881	ARAAR		100000	88888	33533(888881	88888				00000	868888	388888	ABBRAS		00000	35555	388881	1.2.2.1.1			22222	388331	REARCE			35558351	333331				122220	688888	88888	A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			00000	555555	383381	RARAA		•	2	n	0	e	5	n	n	e	•	-	0	,	
	0	• •	•		LARASS					12.22.22	A R R R R R			188831	LARAS			146888	1888888	123333	1.22.2.8				000000	188888	188888	122222			533556	188888				22222	164568	ALLER.			555555	1688888	122220				188888	122255	AAAAA				185838	188448	RAAAA					10000	10.00		35255	o	•	•	-	-		
	0	0	• •											1 2 2 2 2 2	122.224		200 00 0	1 8-8 8-8 8	188 88 1	188881	125226	10000				568 868	122 231	122.22			777 77 4	188888	12222				185 25 1	122221			155 23 1	168 88 1					188 88 1	122234					199 99 1	118321	122.221					122 221	100 000			12325	•		0	0	J	
								7	Ā	1			i	ĕ	101			ž	ž	141	151					Ĩ	202			Š	231	245				271	202	00		5	11	328				200	35	371				į		421	4.3.4			Ĩ	3	123			Ş	205			2	23		

Figure 27. Printer map of channel grids

;

87

Share Conta

		~	•			0		-0	-				••	-			0	•0	-	5					-	•	-	9	9	-9	•							•	-		-						-	•	8	-	-						-		-				0
	_			RMAS		0000	5685	3 8 4 4	AAMA			8888	8883				6855	6868	8884	A K N S				8889	8889	8888	5535									5550	3336	6886	8884	5888	3336	5556	333	5556	3608	8886	8888	3388	6888	5888	3 8 8 4	A A A A				000	3695		5854	A & M			9999
•	יכ	~		S M M H		0000	8888	8888	8848			8889	8668				8229	6648	6888	RAAN				6688	8998	8844	2	2	2	2	2	2			2	8	8848	8848	8848	6868	8888	22	2	2	8988	8688	2	2	6848	8888	8844	* * 4 8					8888	8999	8848	RAMM			0000
•	2	~	м				866	888				555	888			5 (0 (000	888	888		108			222	798	868	5	56	20	54	~	: ?			0	0	86.	298	888	588	728	20	3	2	728	298	77	22	1888	888	888					000	888	888	888				000
		~	~		.,		-0	93.89	98.8.8			95.50	6889				2222	5555	8888	28.8.8				28.83	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		• 0	• •			•	•	6888	98.89	•	•	~	~	~	•	•	•	78.88	8888	88.84	88.88					8380	888	8888				2220
				î	. •		~	~	î			~	•				9999	3888	3888	•	•		- 1	~	~	•	80	~	~	~	~	. ~	. ^		• 1		~	*0	•0	~	*	-0	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5858	5555					0000	8989	888	5888				0000
•	2 1	~	-			5	8~	20	20			0	90	100		000	000	888	202	20	2			~	62	2	9	29	20	22	0	2			21	2	99	80	86	86	2	5	17	20	2	2	~ ~	17	20	22	8881	38.5	0			000	538	668	6686	18.8			202
c	2	~	0			e	78	2	72	::		61	\$	24			222	7288	22	22	: 2		8	35	90	86	86	72	22	2	99		30			2	90	2	20	20	8	2	86	\$	9 9	~	77	20	29	11	7788	7788				0000	3833	8888	8888				9999
		~	~		2		~	•	•			~	~				~		•	~					•	~	~	~	~	~							~	~	~	•	•		<u>م</u>	_	~	•	•	~	~	~						9999	3333	3888	3888				0000
			Ĩ		2		~	~	ř				~	ř			-	õ	Ň	Ň				õ,	~	ř	õ	~	Ň	~	ň				21		~	~	õ	ř	~	ě	ň	ė	~	ž	~	Ň	ð	~	ð	~	•			000	888	558	888				000
¢	•	-	•0				78	~	0 2	;		0.0	\$	70	:;		5	22	72	10	2		2	22	86	5	29	29	2	86	2	2			21	2	\$	6	86	20	20	\$	86	~	27	22	77	~ ~	11	99	99	~					55.33	8888	55.88				0000
, ,		-	~	REE		0		-	36	2		5	ç	0	2	21	5	2	•	0		: :			2	2	~	2	5	6	0	: 5	2 9		<u> </u>	2		9	\$	0	5	5	5	5	22	\$	2	ş	2	5	9	2	ģ				3888.	3888.	1888.	1488			9990
	_					- 1					- 1	-	^			- 1		^	Î			- 14	- 1				î							- •	י پ	-	-														- 1					000	3885	3885	8888	AAAA			200
¢	•	-	•	1222			5555	2	1		: :	2	86	20		::	5	86	86	0		2	5;	2	22	72	22	22	29	\$2	2	: 2			8	8	6	\$	20	2	2	86	22	\$	\$2	2	\$	28	86	62	52	86	2			2	5555	5885	3558.	1325			0000
c		-	5	ALAI		000	555	88	12		: :	62	\$	20		2	0	86	86	24	22		51	2	29	2	22	72	62	62	20	2			8	2	29	2	86	20	36	86	86	2	8	2	2	28	5	22	22	2	20			2	788	7761	8881				000
		-				0000	8888	5553	-				-	•				•	•		~				•	•	•	•	~	•							•	-0	•	•	•	•	•	•	~	•	•	•	-0	~	~					. .	•	•••	8888				
				LARS		0000	9999	333	~			2222	~	ĥ		- 0		ř	Ň	ď	~		0 (iÔ (Ō	ŧŌ	ø	~	~	Ň	Ň	Ň	· ŕ	• •	- 1		•	œ	~	~	10	~	~	~	~	~	Ō	đ	~	~	~	ñ	ĥ	•	0 4	• و	Ō	*	~	~	•	-	000
¢	•	-	м			000	553	888	888			222	555		8	:1	2	888	888		3			2	36	99	\$	20	\$	86	22	:2			2	2	2	\$	\$	20	86	52	17	\$	86	8	72	20	79	29	6.2	12	0			8	99	99	20	7.8		2	č
•		~	~				8888	6888	8.8.8.8			2222	6888			0	0	6888	8888		300			20	90	98	20	36	99	98	0				00	2	4	86	86	78	78	27	29	20	27	2	72	72	29	22	66	0			.,	- 1	~ ~	2	78				2
	_	_	_			0000	899	1.5.5.5	88 83			000	888			000	999	888	18 88					_				_	_	_												•	_		_	•••				_	_							_	_				_
2		-				000	55.55	3.5 8.5	23.21			35.55	88 88				ě 2 2	88.88	88.84	33.84				ĸ	ž	2	ð	×	×	2	2	12			5	ĕ	2	ž	×	ŏ	2	×	ž	×	ž	2	ž	ŏ	ž	2	2	Ň			23	51	ĸ	2	2	2		Š	2
[.	-	¢			0000	5555	8888	RAAS			8888	3358			0000	8999	55.85	8833	RAAA					29	9 2	29	72	888	885	223	2			21	2	17	2	86	86	86	98	79	2	\$	62	\$	72	98	2	72	0			:;	::	66	z	28	1		2	00
į,	, ,	-	•			000	888	8888	38.8			222	888	2.00			000	38.8	888					388	-	-	-	-	888	888				• •	•		÷	~	•	-	•	•	•	e	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	•		2	Þ í	•	~	-	÷				-
5							8888	3833	8888			999	8888				000	8888	888.8					3351	8883	888	8888	68.8.8	66.88	888	8888	P.R.R.			• 1		9			8888	-	•	-	~	•••	~	~	~	~	-								~	9				•
	2	0	-			0000	3355	3338	5333			2222	3888				0000	5553	333					2992	3888	3888	3888	3888	888	888					2:		62	3888	3888	5535	2	\$	2	86	2	2	5	72	72	2	2	0				:1	22	2	2	1			2
		0	~	2.2.41		000	5535	5533	88.84			356	8881			000	000	53.61	8842	888				223	8685	888	888	3535	8838	8888					0	0	8	883	5535	888	88	70	5	2	86	5	\$	\$	50	2	52	29	0			51	8	28	8	-			0
;		_				0000	9999	5885	5888			2000	3533	1.1.1			2022	35.68	5533	A A A					5555	3888	1883	3888	38.88	333	AAA	1.8.8				0000	3838	3388	33.88	8888	3888			~	~		~	•	•	~		•						~	~				
						ě .	22.0	18 8 8 H	8881			222	58 8					386	88.84	A.A.A.					838	888	55.01	58 BI	88.81	88.81							19 2 2 B	555	888	18 8 8 I	88.8	18881	ĸ	ř	~	2	ĸ	2	ř	ř	ĸ	ž		5 ř			2	ă	ĕ	. 2	57		č
	•	•	-			1000	9999	888	8888			9990	5555	1000			5555	3332	8888	RAAA				1222	5536	3336	8888	5555	58.55	1111	8888					2222	5555	8888	8888	8888	8888	5555	888	888	888	\$	\$	5	20	888	888	20	0			:;		36	78	2			0
; ,		0				0000	999	5888	3888			9995	1588				999	1888	388						888	9889		1888	1888	388						9999	3835	3888	3885	8888	888	5888	36.86	3355	5555	8		2	8	1668	5888	•	0			8:		2	2				2
5	_					000	222	3.8.6.5				999	1221				1000	1885	8888					221	255	888	533(5551	3351	53.5.5	8885					200	888	3555	3331	8885	3881	1888.	3885	5581	888	8886	5551	555	1668	1888.	1888					-			~	,			•
ŝ	2	•	1	1.0.0		0000	5555	1888	3333				1355	1000				5868	3888	1223					1355	9999	8985	5888	1888	5588	1888	19.8.8				2222	9999	5555	5338	5555	12.98	5565	8888	5555	5555	5555	5555	5555	5535	5555	5555	20	20			8;	2	8	10	22		87	5
						ő,	ő	ē	ä		- 1		2	-	τ.	41			22	ā	Ċ	i a	ŏ	ii i	ñ	õ	ã	ē	ē				į,	į,	į,	ē.	õ	õ	ã	.	-	÷.	- 1	-			_	-	-	_													
		0	~			ŝ	2	ã				Į.	200				i	28.83	88		t	E		2	ĕ		8	ŝ	2		3			ē	į	ļ	ŝ		ŝ	Ē	199	ŝ	59	1533	888	899	888		1335	1888	566	88				2		12	2	28		8	2
		0	~	LABABAL					BABAB				1868381	LABABAS				1224333	1888881	REALER				22222	222222	988888	336388	11111	388888	333888	123 23.	1.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A					0.05.05	5.5.5.5.5	333333	588888	585888	111325	585658	5888888	555555333	55555555	*****	1225555	16683335	88888888	14666666	105255	14444				222222	000000	8 78	1 78		87	2
		0	~	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		12222222222			143 92222222	122222222222					18 8 88 88 88 88		32555252				2222222 1 21	196 36 36 36 36	188 88 88 88 8	1888888888	1 88 88 88 88 98 1 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1 88 88 88 88 88 1 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	122255555555	535335555	88888888					222222288	59999999999999999999999999999999999999	199 999 999 991	12222222222	19999999999	185 84 8 8 8 8 8 8 4	1 86 88 88 88 88 88		34 85558833	184 8888888888	1 33 55 55 55 55 1	122 63 23 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 85 85 85 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	155 855538 35 155 85538 35	845555555555	122222255	BABABABAB				166 65555555	125 55555 9 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10406 78	78 78	28		2

Figure 28. Printer map of curve numbers

for each grid) increases sequentially.

- (2) The data are ordered by Y, and by X for the same value of Y. It should be remembered that a reflected Cartesian coordinate system is used in the grid coordinate system. The position (1,1) is at the upper left corner of the watershed map. The X coordinate increases in value to the right, and the Y coordinate increases in value down the map.
- (3) Grids containing channels have a "1" in the fourth output column.
- (4) The "Record Address of Nearest Neighbors" lists, for each grid, the sequence numbers of all nearest neighbors to which the grid flows; the "% Apportionment of Flow, Using Slope and Lag Factor" provides the flow apportionment values for those nearest neighbors. For example (see Figure 24), grid sequence number 20 with X,Y coordinates (40, 3) apportions its flow as follows: 1.8% to grid sequence number 7, 5.1% to grid 19, 4% to grid 21, 0.2% to grid 32, 8.7% to grid 33, and 6% to grid 34.
- (5) The curve number for each grid is shown in the last column.

Summary

164. STRTFLOW sets up the rules for rainfall runoff flow allocation and provides those rules in a file. The output file has a structure designed to minimize the time required to track a storm through the watershed.

Surface Flow

165. The surface flow calculation is performed by the program FLOW. The flow calculations are performed in an identical manner independent of the time increment, the rainfall intensity, or the grid spacing. The following sections describe the rainfall data use, how the runoff components--direct rainfall and cross-grid flow--are handled, and how the calculation is terminated.

166. As shown in Figure 22, the program DRAIN it used to calculate effective areas and curve numbers for grids prior to operating FLOW. It is necessary to delay the discussion of effective areas and curve

numbers until after a discussion of FLOW so as to maintain continuity with the presentation in the previous section. Since the system is so interconnected, with calculations from STRTFLOW, FLOW, DRAIN, and HYDRO meshing into one another, it would be helpful to the reader to reread this section on surface flow after completing the remainder of this chapter.

Rainfall

167. A graph of the rainfall intensity function used in this study is shown in Figure 21. The same figure also contains a tabulation of the rainfall intensity for a 0.05-hour time interval. The tabulation is a listing of the rainfall data file input to FLOW. The first record (line 10000) is used to inform FLOW that the time increment is 0.05 hour and the total cumulative rainfall is 2 in. The succeeding records (lines 10001-10041) contain successively incremented time values and the rainfall amplitudes (in arbitrary units) at those time values read from the graph.

168. Rainfall data files for the 0.10- and 0.20-hour time increments were also prepared by retaining the 0.10- and 0.20-hour increment data values shown in the 0.05-hour file.

169. FLOW always assumes that the rainfall intensity is zero at t = 0, i.e.,

$$Q^{R}(0) = 0$$
 (15)

where $Q^{\mathbf{R}}$ (t) is the cumulative rainfall to time t. The cumulative rainfall is calculated from the input data by cumulating and normalizing the rainfall function to the total rainfall:

$$Q_{I}^{R}(t) = Q^{T} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{J} Q_{k}^{A}}{\sum_{J=1}^{II} Q_{J}^{A}}$$
(16)

 $Q_{I}^{R}(t)$ is the cumulative rainfall on Grid I to time t t = (J - 1) Δt , Δt = time increment Q^{T} is the total cumulative rainfall Q_{J}^{A} is the rainfall intensity at the Jth time interval II is the number of increments required for the rainfall intensity to return to a zero amplitude

170. FLOW reads all of the Q_J^A values from the input data base and ceases reading when no more input values are encountered. Note that the use of Q_{IJ}^A is not warranted at this time since the system presently assumes a uniform rainfall distribution.

171. Another required input to FLOW is the maximum length of time T_{M} that the flow calculation proceeds before its automatic termination. As described in the next section of this report, the calculation is terminated after this length of time if it has not previously been automatically terminated by the program's sensing of a reduction in the water flow amplitude to a small value.

172. The cumulative rainfall record is continued out to the time ${\rm T}_{\rm M}$:

$$Q_{I}^{R}(t) = Q^{R}[(II-1)\Delta t]$$

$$t = (II-1)\Delta t, T_{M}$$
(17)

Therefore, if the incremental rainfall does not reduce to a zero value at the end of the input rainfall record, FLOW forces it to zero over the time interval (II-1) Δ t to T_M . This should always happen since the length of time over which the calculation will be allowed to proceed is always longer than the length of the input rainfall record, due to the runoff time lag. The effect on the calculation results of not making this T_M large enough is covered in the description of HYDRO and its normalization operations.

Flow

where

173. The flow calculation tracks and provides a time history for

two flow components for each grid. The two flow components are the noninfiltrated rainfall (i.e., the direct rainfall runoff) in temporary storage and the water that has flowed from other grids onto, and is now in temporary storage on, the grid. The term "temporary storage" is used to imply that all of the water in such a storage condition will ultimately flow, through other grids if necessary, to the collection channels. It is necessary to remember the time history of both components for erosion calculation use. It is also convenient to recover both components from the calculation since the calculations are quite different within FLOW.

174. The reader should remember that <u>cumulative</u> values are followed through the flow calculation for convenience.

175. The temporary cumulative storage quantity that has been in storage on a grid from t = 0 until time t is $Q_{T}(t)$:

$$Q_{I}(t) = Q_{I}^{S}(t) + Q_{I}^{F}(t)$$
(18)

where $Q_I^S(t)$ is the component of the cumulative flow contributed by direct rainfall and $Q_I^F(t)$ is the component contributed by cumulative flow from other grids, both of which have gone into temporary storage on grid I during the time interval $(t - \Delta t)$ to t for all time increments up to t.

176. Note that $Q_{I}(t)$ is the cumulative amount that has been in storage and that by time t much of the quantity that had been in storage will have flowed off the grid. The quantity in storage at time t is

$$Q_{T}(\Delta t) = Q_{T}(t) - Q_{T}(t - \Delta t)$$
(19)

or the difference in cumulative amount in storage from beginning to end of the calculational time increment. Naturally,

$$Q_{I}(\Delta t) = Q_{I}^{S}(t) - Q_{I}^{S}(t - \Delta t) + Q_{I}^{F}(t) - Q_{I}^{F}(t - \Delta t)$$
(20)





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A 177. The cumulative rainfall to time t is $Q_I^R(t)$ as defined in Equation 16. The rainfall runoff (not cumulative) that becomes part of cumulative temporary storage, due to direct rainfall, at time t is then

$$Q_{I}^{S}(\Delta t) = \left[(Q_{I}^{R}(t) - 200.0)/CN_{I} + 2.0 \right]^{2} / \left[(Q_{I}^{R}(t) + 800.0)/CN_{I} - 8.0 \right] - Q_{I}^{S}(t - \Delta t)$$
(21)

where

 ${\sf Q}_I^S(\Delta t)$ is the contribution from rainfall during time t to the temporary rainfall storage on grid I

 CN_T is the grid curve number

178. $Q_I^S(t-\Delta t)$ is the cumulative direct rainfall component in temporary storage at t - Δt . Therefore

$$Q_{I}^{S}(t) = Q_{I}^{S}(t - \Delta t) + Q_{I}^{S}(\Delta t)$$
(22)

The iterations in the calculation start at time $t=\Delta t$, not at time t=0. The value of $Q^S_T(t-\Delta t)$ for $t=\Delta t$, is

$$Q_{I}^{S}(0) = 0$$
 (23)

by inference, since the rainfall distribution was defined so that

$$Q_{I}^{R}(t) = 0 | t = 0$$
 (24)

This mathematical assumption provides a very convenient starting point for the calculation.

178. The rainfall abstraction term is readily recognizable in the initial squared term in Equation 21. If the value of this term is less than or equal to zero, the contributed component is set to zero; i.e., if

$$(Q_{I}^{R}(t) - 200)/CN_{I} + 2.0 \le 0$$
 (25)

where CN_{T} is the curve number for grid I, then

$$Q_{I}^{S}(\Delta t) = 0 \qquad (26)$$

since that rainfall is totally lost to infiltration.

180. The quantity $Q_I^S(\Delta t)$ is added to the cumulative temporary storage on grid I due to direct rainfall runoff, so that in simple form

$$q_{I}^{S}(t) = \sum_{L=1}^{N} q_{I}^{S}(\Delta t) \left| t = N\Delta t \right|$$
(27)

forms the general expression.

181. The rainfall over the grid I is assumed spatially uniform, so that some of the rainfall runoff on grid I is allowed to follow the normal runoff network for that grid during the time interval in which it fell. Once runoff originating from direct rainfall leaves a grid, it becomes part of the grid-to-grid network flow and is accounted for in the Q_I^F component of flow. The grid-to-grid flow relationship for grid I is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{I}^{F}(t) &= q_{I}^{F}(t - \Delta t) + \sum_{k=1}^{8} \left[q_{k}^{S}(t) - q_{k}^{S}(t - \Delta t) \right] \frac{L_{I}^{k}}{\Delta t} \\ &- \left[q_{I}^{F}(t - \Delta t) - q_{I}^{F}(t - 2\Delta t) \right] \sum_{k=1}^{8} \frac{L_{k}^{I}}{\Delta t} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{8} \left[q_{K}^{F}(t - \Delta t) - q_{k}^{F}(t - 2\Delta t) \right] \frac{L_{I}^{k}}{\Delta t} \\ &+ \left[q_{I}^{S}(t) - q_{I}^{S}(t - \Delta t) \right] \left(1.0 - \sum_{k=1}^{8} \frac{L_{k}^{I}}{\Delta t} \right) \end{aligned}$$
(28)

182. The notation of Equation 28 is changed from previously used notation to simplify the form of the equation. An inspection of Equation 14 shows that the term L_I^k is used in Equation 28 as a replacement for the lag term, so that $L_I^k/\Delta t$ is the flow allocation rule for the surrounding eight nearest neighbors to grid I used to specify the flow from grid k to grid I.

183. It is convenient to note the convention used in Equation 28, namely, that the flow is from the grid that is the upper index L_{I}^{k} (the k index) to the nearest grid that is the lower index L_{I}^{k} (the I index). The reader should also note that the flow allocation values are in units that must be multiplied by 0.001 as described in paragraph 147.

184. The index k is used as a dummy summation index. If there is no flow from the kth to the Ith grid, then $L_I^k = 0$. The reader should remember that $L_I^k = 0$ for seven of the eight k values for every I for the single-nearest-neighbor flow allocation case. Otherwise the single-nearest-neighbor is identical with the eight-nearest-neighbor flow calculation.

185. Equation 28 contains five terms. In sequential order, these terms are as follows:

- a. <u>Term 1.</u> The cumulative grid-to-grid flow in temporary storage on the I grid at the beginning of any time interval.
- b. <u>Term 2</u>. The direct rainfall runoff from the k nearestneighbor grids that flows onto grid I during the time interval and becomes part of grid I's grid-to-grid flow component.
- c. <u>Term 3.</u> The grid-to-grid flow on grid I at the start of the time interval that flows to other grids during the time interval.
- d. <u>Term 4</u>. The grid-to-grid flow from the k grids, available in temporary storage on the k grids at the start of the time interval, that flows onto grid I during the time interval.
- e. <u>Term 5.</u> That portion of the direct rainfall runoff on grid I during the time interval that remains on the grid after part has flowed off to surrounding k nearestneighbor grids.
- 186. The time order of the third and fourth terms and the lack of

higher order terms should be noted in Equation 28. These terms are the highest order used because of the assumption that the flow from a grid does not totally cross over nearest-neighbor onto second- (and further) nearest-neighbor grids in the time interval Δt . As previously stated in another fashion, the exclusion of higher order terms from the calculations was done to make the solution financially tractable.

187. Finally, the analog to Equation 22 for the grid-to-grid flow component is

$$Q_{I}^{F}(t) = Q_{I}^{F}(t - \Delta t) + Q_{I}^{F}(\Delta t)$$
(29)

which shows quite simply how the cumulative is formed. Computer File Structure

188. The mathematical procedure implementation requires several arrays to be maintained in computer memory.

189. The rainfall time history function, $Q^{R}(t)$ in Equation 16, is stored for the total length of the calculation.

190. The cumulative direct rainfall runoff component of the flow $Q_I^S(t)$ and the cumulative grid-to-grid component of the flow $Q_I^F(t)$ values are temporarily maintained in memory for each grid within the watershed. At the beginning of the flow calculation during a time increment Δt , the $Q_I^S(t)$ and $Q_I^F(t)$ values are those attributed to the grids for the previous time increment. At the calculation initiation (i.e., at $t = \Delta t$) both arrays are zero since no rain has fallen. The $Q_I^S(t)$ and $Q_I^F(t)$ values at the end of the flow calculations during a time increment Δt are the values attributed to that grid at that time t + Δt , and the values for the previous time increment. At the end of the calculation for a time increment over the entire watershed, the $Q_I^S(t)$ and $Q_I^F(t)$ are written out to a file, so that a complete time history of the flow components is available for the storm and flow histories.

191. The changes in the flow components during Δt are maintained in another array. At the start of a time increment, the cumulative rainfall required for Equation 21 is available in the rainfall data

file. Direct rainfall runoff in grid I is directly distributed to the nearest-neighbor flow network, and the remainder goes into temporary storage on grid I. Therefore, there is no need to maintain a running time account for $Q_T^{D}(\Delta t)$. Equation 28 requires remembering flows in temporary storage at the start of the time increment in order to evaluate the third and fourth terms. This requirement is handled by maintaining two arrays in memory. At the start of the time increment, one array contains the temporary flow available for grid-to-grid flow distribution, and the other array is set to zero. As FLOW progresses through the watershed array grid by grid, the temporary storage value for a given grid I in array 1 is distributed to the appropriate array 2 nearest-neighbor grid locations according to the network distribution of the I grid. The remainder of the temporary storage that did not flow to neighboring grids is left in the array 2 location for grid I, so that the value for grid I in array 1 can be set to zero. At the completion of one pass through the watershed, the calculation for that time increment is completed and arrays 1 and 2 interchange meanings. This reveals another specific (and probably financially most important) statement of the necessity for limiting Equation 28 to the time order terms it contains. Namely, if N is the time order increment in the calculation, a total of N! passes through the entire watershed (one flow calculation allocation set of calculations for each grid) must be performed for each time increment.

Flow Cutoff

192. As the flow for a specific grid is allocated during the FLOW operation, any neighboring grid to which no flow is allocated is ignored. The water volume allocated to a grid sooner or later decreases, after the storm completion, to a value that becomes negligible and can be ignored. A decision was made to ignore an allocation if the value dropped below 0.0001 in. Results, cumulative and incremental, reviewed to the fourth decimal place, were not affected by this decision. The penalty for not providing such a cutoff rule is that the calculation time for any given watershed on different computers is a strong function of the computer word size.

193. As the storm progresses through its time history, FLOW calculates all allocation path flows for all watershed grids. Shortly after the storm ends, the flow volumes of those grids with small drainage areas decrease rapidly. The effect of the flow cutoff rule is that a smaller and smaller number of calculations is performed at each time interval starting shortly after the storm completion as more and more flow allocations are terminated.

Alternate Math Approaches

194. The mathematically adroit reader might, about this time, wonder why a tensor arithmetic approach was not used in the flow calculation because of the readily recognizable form of Equation 28 and the indirect reference to indices contraction in paragraph 183. It is impossible to perform the calculation in closed form; an iterative, computerbased method must be followed, forcing the calculation to be performed along lines following the description provided in this report. Therefore, alternate and equally valid mathematical descriptions, while aesthetically more pleasing, are not as useful.

Calculation Termination

195. It is necessary to continue the flow calculation so that the flow history of all grids of interest is complete. It is also necessary to terminate the flow calculation at some reasonable point in time. Since the flow amplitude for any grid decreases slowly by increments, the failure to provide a termination decision rule would result in abnormally long computer calculations. It is important to remember that the flow time relationships for different grids can be significantly different. That is, the flow for one grid within the watershed may have effectively terminated while the maximum flow amplitude for another grid has not yet been reached. Depending on the purpose of the calculation, any number of grids at any random locations within the watershed can be monitored automatically by FLOW during the flow calculation, and the calculation terminated when the flow in those grids decreases below a set threshold. This capability is set up and accomplished in the following manner.

196. Data input to the flow calculation include two times, T_{c}

and $T_M \cdot T_M$ was previously described as the maximum length of time that the flow history will be followed by FLOW. T_C is the time at which the flow calculation starts to automatically monitor the flow amplitudes through the selected grids. The amplitude of the rainfall duration relation shown in Figure 21 reduces to zero after 2 hours. T_C was arbitrarily set to 2 hours since it is practically impossible, unless an excessive value for t is used, for any grid's flow to approach zero immediately after the storm's cessation. The rule used for terminating the flow calculation is as follows: terminate if

$$\frac{Q_{I}^{F}(t) - Q_{I}^{F}(t - \Delta t)}{Q_{I}^{f}(t)} < 0.0001$$
(30)

197. Since this condition cannot be met, particularly for grids with large lags, until long after $Q_I^S(t)$ approaches zero, this condition is equivalent to one based on $Q_I(t)$, the sum of the flow componants. The choice of T_C as the approximate time of the storm cessation makes this calculation termination rule insensitive to the most extreme rainfall variation in conditions of flow path complexity.

198. The flow history for each grid is normalized using another computer program described in the last section of this part, entitled "Flow Time History Results." To avoid errors, the area under the truncated flow curve, or the total cumulative flow at the cutoff time, must have a value close to the untruncated value. Since the shape of a flow curve can vary significantly from one grid to the next, it is not possible to derive an absolute cutoff rule. The option followed in the Equation 30 procedure was to select such a small amplitude cutoff rule and thus move the truncation point so far out onto the tail of the flow curve, that truncation would not affect the area calculation results until the third or fourth significant digit on grids with large flow amplitudes and lags.

199. The grids that are monitored are selected after calculating the effective drainage areas and curve numbers with program DRAIN (see

Figure 22). This calculation is described in the next section of this report. The grid X,Y coordinates are input to FLOW, and FLOW provides an output report. A sample of this output report is shown in Figure 29 for a t = 0.05-hour calculation. In Figure 29, only four grids were monitored, and a report on each grid is provided at the end of each time interval calculation. Note that the direct rainfall surface flow has ended prior to 2.4 hours so the cumulative value has stabilized (column 5 in Figure 29); the first derivative of this parameter is zero from at least 2.4 hours onward. The grid-to-grid surface flow component is still changing, however, until the calculation is truncated at t = 2.7 hours.

Flow Calculation Output

200. The FLOW calculation produces a flow history data base for the watershed. That data base contains, for each Δt , the flow data for every grid in the watershed. Specifically, the data base contains three pieces of information for each grid: the cumulative direct rainfall runoff $Q_I^S(t)$, the cumulative grid-to-grid flow components $Q_I^F(t)$, and the amount in temporary storage at time t $Q_I^F(\Delta t)$.

201. This file is input to program HYDRO, as shown in Figure 22.
| | SURF | ACE WAT | ER FLOW | FOR SELECTE | D GRIDS | |
|---------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | SURFAC | E EL OMA CIL | FT |
| | | | | | | ******** |
| | RATE | FALL | GRID | SOUR | C F | |
| TIME | | | SEQUNC . | | | |
| 1146 | IN COCH | C 11 M 111 | 3240407 | | EL 011 | |
| HOUR | TACKEN | LONOL | n U | E 9 1 N | FLUW | TOTAL |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | | |
| 0.05 | 2,001 | 0.00 | 2449 | 0. | ^ . | 0 . |
| 0.05 | 2.701 | 0,00 | 2440 | ۰. | ŋ. | ů. |
| 0.05 | 0.001 | 0.00 | 2450 | η_ | 2. | 0. |
| 0.05 | 0.001 | 0.00 | 2451 | 0. | 2. | Ô. |
| | | | | | | |
| 0 #0 | 0 120 | 1 15 | 2468 | 0 0977 | 0 7923 | 0 2000 |
| 0.00 | 0 120 | 4 46 | 2440 | 0 0977 | 0 57/5 | 0 4222 |
| 0.00 | 0 120 | 4 4 5 | 2447 | 0 0077 | 0 770/ | 0.0222 |
| 0.50 | 0.120 | | 2431 | 0.1377 | 9.3354 | 9,4201 |
| 0.50 | 0.120 | 1,15 | 2431 | 0.0577 | 9.2100 | 0.2935 |
| | | | • • • - | | | |
| 0.85 | 0.120 | 1.27 | Z448 | 0.1252 | 1,1311 | 1.2563 |
| 0.85 | 3.120 | 1.27 | 2449 | 0.1252 | 0,8534 | 0.9796 |
| 0.85 | 0.120 | 1.27 | 2450 | 0.1252 | 0.5457 | 0.6709 |
| 0.85 | 3.120 | 1.27 | 2451 | 0.1252 | 0.3318 | 0.4569 |
| _ | - | - | | | | |
| 0 00 | 0 120 | 1 30 | 7669 | 0 1478 | 1 7084 | 1 1744 |
| 0.00 | 0 120 | 1 30 | 2447 | 0.1479 | 4 3044 | 1 / 570 |
| 0.00 | 0.120 | 1 20 | 2447 | 0.4470 | 0 9390 | 1.4339 |
| 0.90 | 0 120 | 1.37 | 2433 | 0.1979 | 0.7254 | 0.9907 |
| 0.40 | 0.120 | 1.34 | 2431 | 9.1075 | 3.4434 | 0.028/ |
| 2 40 | า | 2 01 | 2668 | 0 6682 | 81 085 2 | 31 6961 |
| 2.40 | | 2.00 | 244. | 0 //93 | 7/ 1717 | 2/ 4370 |
| 2.40 | | 6 . O I | 244- | 9.44-2 | 74,1777 | 34 |
| 2.40 | ? • | 2.00 | 2450 | | 44 | \$4 . 5195 |
| 2.40 | 2. | S*00 | 2451 | 0.4492 | 27.7447 | 21,1222 |
| | | | | | | |
| 2,45 | ? . | 5.00 | 244 P | 0.4485 | 31,1214 | 31.5695 |
| 2.45 | ٦. | 2.17 | 2440 | 0.4462 | 34.4195 | 34.9646 |
| 2.45 | ς. | 5.00 | 2450 | 0.4492 | 34.517? | 34.9533 |
| 2.45 | э. | 2.00 | 2451 | 3.4492 | 21,1027 | 21.6301 |
| | | | | | | |
| 2.50 | ٦. | 2,00 | 7443 | 7.449? | 31,1074 | 31.6385 |
| 2 51 | ,
, | 2.00 | 7449 | 0 4492 | 36 6193 | 25.0445 |
| 2 50 | 5 | 2 00 | 2450 | 0 4482 | 74 5077 | 15 1451 |
| 2 50 | · • | ້າກາ | 2/51 | 2 4422 | 21 5711 | 22 0101 |
| · • • • | • | ••• | | | | • |
| 2 66 | 2 | 2 00 | 2110 | 0 1103 | 21 3440 | 11 404 7 |
| 2.77 | | 2.00 | 7447 | 0.447 | 31. 747 1 | 71 6 0 7 4 4 |
| 2.73 | 1. | × | 2444 | 445 | NA . / SA / | 52.6531 |
| 2.55 | J. | S*00 | 2450 | 0.4492 | 35 2221 | 35.4773 |
| 2.55 |). | 5°00 | 2451 | 0.4487 | 51 014 2 | 72. 1529 |
| | | | | | | |
| 5,47 | ٦. | 5.00 | 7469 | 0_4492 | \$1,2010 | 21.7391 |
| 2.60 | <u>,</u> , | 5.00 | 2440 | 0.4497 | 34.0234 | 25.3716 |
| 5.40 | ۰. | 2,00 | 2450 | 0.4492 | 35.5125 | *5,5607 |
| 2.60 | э. | ?. 11 | 2451 | 0.6692 | 22,2143 | 22.4545 |
| | | - / | | | - · | |
| 2 4 6 | ٦. | 2.00 | 2669 | 1.4487 | 31. 3772 | 21. 7752 |
| 5.497 | | 2 00 | 2// 0 | 0 1 1 9 3 | 25 0724 | 25 1847 |
| 2.07 | | 2.00 | 244 - | 0 | 11.11.1
12.727 | 77.4731 |
| 2.65 | 1. | 2.10 | 247 | | | 10.0014 |
| 2.45 | ٦. | <.na | 6457 | 0.4492 | 4795 | 61,9277 |
| | - | | | | | |
| 5.20 | P.• | 2.00 | 244.9 | 1.448? | \$7.3544 | 1.5046 |
| 2.70 | | 2.00 | 7469 | n.4492 | 45.1327 | 35.5811 |
| 5.20 | ۰. | r. n | 2450 | 0.4492 | 35,7570 | 36,4051 |
| 2.70 | ? . | 5.00 | 2451 | 0.44 .5 | 23.2080 | 23.1561 |
| | | | | | | |

Figure 29. Sample FLOW output report on monitored grids

Effective Drainage Areas and Curve Numbers

202. The computer program DRAIN provides a straightforward calculational procedure used to arrive at effective drainage areas and curve numbers for every grid in the watershed. The calculation assumes a steady-state saturated flow condition and follows the flow allocations until the flow networks are defined on the landscape. The object is to define the flow networks in terms of effective drainage areas and curve numbers for each grid.

203. The assumption of a steady-state saturated flow is a vehicle for simplifying the calculation as much as possible without <u>any</u> decrease in accuracy compared to other possible but much more complex procedures. Because of this assumption, the calculation becomes time independent and can terminate when the flow pattern stabilizes.

204. The calculation follows a procedure similar to that shown in Equation 28.

205. All grids are preloaded with a unit rainfall (i.e., a value of 1.0). The convenience of this is realized by understanding that the inferred volume is then one grid area \times 1.0 for each grid, so that when the stabilized flow condition is reached, the cumulative flow values for the grids are directly interpretable as the effective drainage areas for the grids.

206. Since the calculation is independent of time, the flow allocation algorithm reduces to the following simple form:

$$A_{I}(P) = A_{I}(P-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{8} \frac{A_{k}(P-1)L_{I}^{k}}{\sum_{J=1}^{8} L_{J}^{k}}$$
(31)

where

A_I(P) is the effective drainage area of grid I after P calculation iterations

P is the dummy index for passes through the watershed grids $A_k(P)$ is the effective drainage area of the k nearest-neighbor grid to the I grid L_{I}^{k} is the flow allocation rule for flow from grid k to grid I L_{J}^{k} is the flow from the k grid to all its nearest neighbors Equation 31 uses the abbreviated notation of Equation 28.

207. At P = 1, the value of $A_{I}(0) = 1.0$, the area of the grid I, since the unit rainfall values are preloaded into the watershed array.

208. The $A_I(P)$ is the effective drainage area of the Ith grid where the calculation has proceeded to and completed pass number P through the watershed. In each pass through the watershed, each grid in the watershed is considered sequentially as it appears in the file, and the final value for the effective area of grid I, A_I is achieved when the flow stabilizes; i.e., at that P value for which the following condition holds:

$$A_{I} = A_{I}(P) \qquad \frac{dA_{I}}{dP} \simeq 0 \qquad (32)$$

where the differential of the iterative drainage area approaches zero. The flow allocation to grid I comes from its nearest neighbors (dummy variable k in Equation 31). Since the flow allocation values output by STRTFLOW and used by DRAIN are functions of t, the time effect is zmoved by normalizing the flow from each of the surrounding k nearest neighbors.

209. In practice, as each grid is considered in turn by DRAIN, the flow allocation for that grid is first normalized, i.e.,



and the normalized flow values used to allocate the effective area accumulated up to that P pass. In understanding Equation 32, it helps to first visualize the Equation 33 normalization taking place, and then to visualize this term in Equation 32. 210. The effective curve number for each grid is calculated simultaneously with the effective area in a two-step process. The first part of the process is the following:

$$CN_{I}(P) = CN_{I}(P-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{8} \frac{CN_{k}A_{k}(P-1)L_{I}^{k}}{\sum_{J=1}^{8} L_{J}^{k}}$$
(34)

where CN_I(P) is the cumulative area-weighted product of the curve numbers and the drainage areas having those curve numbers that contribute to flow onto grid I, with a value acquired by pass P through the watershed

 CN_k is the curve number of the kth grid

211. At the completion of the calculation, the effective curve number $\overline{\rm CN}_{\rm I}$ for grid I is calculated by completing the area-weighting calculation, i.e.,

$$\overline{CN}_{I} = \frac{CN_{I}(P)}{A_{I}(P)} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{dA_{I}}{dP} \\ \frac{dA_{I}}{dP} \end{vmatrix} \simeq 0$$
(35)

Channels

212. Channel grids play the same role in the DRAIN flow calculation as they do in the FLOW flow calculation. If a grid contains a channel, the flow into the grid goes into the channel and is not allocated to other grids. Every grid has its own area included within its effective area.

Stability

213. The calculation stops when stability is achieved. "Stability" is best defined by a description of the calculation strategy. It would be possible to arrive at a stable flow by using an artificial storm input that has a constant rainfall intensity and extends out in time until further flow iterations on the grids do not change the flow amplitude, i.e., the first derivative becomes zero. The desired solution would be the asymptotic limit of the flow calculation. This approach was circumvented by calculating the asymptotic limit directly. The approach used was to place unit rainfall on each grid at the start of the calculation as previously mentioned, assume no loss of water during flow, and calculate the amount, in grid units of water that flowed through each grid on the way to the channels. Stability, using this strategy, is the condition when no grids retain water, or alternatively, when all water has reached the channels. The effective drainage area of a grid is then the cumulative water volume that has passed over that grid.

214. DRAIN calculates for each successive pass the percent of the watershed that has not reached stability and provides the information in an output report (Figure 30). DRAIN contains an interval cutoff threshold of fifty passes; this threshold can be changed if required. If stability is not achieved before tripping the threshold, the calculation terminates. Figure 30 shows that the 100-m-grid-spaced model of the watershed with an eight-nearest-neighbor flow pattern required 25 passes before reaching stability. The number of required passes is a function of the maximum flow path length in the watershed model. Obviously, the single-nearest-neighbor flow pattern for the same watershed model.

215. A different threshold is applied to the flow allocation calculation for each grid to provide a convenient cutoff for those calculations. As DRAIN performs the Equation 31 calculation for each grid, if the quantity

$$\frac{A_{k}(P-1)L_{I}^{k}}{\sum_{J=1}^{8}L_{J}^{k}} < 0.000001$$
(36)

then that component of the routing is set to zero.

216. The results calculated using this threshold were found

** * * * * * * *	******	*******	* * * * * * * * * *	*********	*********
	6	OGRAM DR	AIN OPERAT	LON REPORT	
** * * * * * * *	******	*******	* * * * * * * * * *	******	*******
100.00 F	PERCENT	OF SRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
25.55	PERCENT	OF SRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
93.23	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
89.73 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
85.26 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
80.15 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
73.25	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
55.24 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
57.27 4	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
48.30 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
37.26	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
29.59 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
21.71	PERCENT	OF SRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
14.44 5	PERCENT	DE GRINS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
9.11 F	PERCENT	OF SRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
4.97 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
2.97 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
2.10 8	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
1.73 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
1.27	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
0,73 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
0.37 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
0.17 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
0.03 F	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
Ĵ. "	PERCENT	OF GRIDS	FLOWED IN	THIS PASS	
25 04 9	SSES WER	RE REQUIR	ED		

identical to the results without the threshold in at least the first 5 significant digits. The effect of this threshold is to speed up the calculations and make the calculation effort independent of computer word size and cost-predictable from one computer to another. The increase in speed, typically yielding an approximate 30 percent reduction in calculation time, has a small influence on the number of passes required for stabilization but primarily influences the number of calculations during a pass.

Figure 30. Report on progress of the flow stability calculation

217. This aforementioned threshold (Equation 36) is specifically the reason for the condition $dA_I/dP \simeq 0$ shown in Equations 32 and 35. Output

218. At the completion of the calculation, DRAIN produces a data

file containing the channel/no channel designator, effective drainage area, and effective curve number for each grid in the watershed. DRAIN also produces grid maps showing the data values.

219. The channel/no channel output map is identical to the map output by STRTFLOW; an example was previously shown in Figure 27.

220. Examples of the effective area and curve number maps are shown in Figures 31 and 32 for the 200~m, eight-nearest-neighbor flow pattern calculation. These maps show the actual data available in the DRAIN output file. Note that the area and curve number values are multiplied by 1000 and rounded prior to output so that the values are available to the third decimal place.

221. Various uses of these data have been described. As a specific example of such a use, the reader should look back to Figure 29, which shows the report for the grids monitored during, and used to terminate, the flow operation. The monitored grids in Figure 29 were sequence numbers 2448, 2449, 2450, and 2451. In choosing the grids to be monitored, a map such as that shown in Figure 31 was inspected to locate grids with large effective drainage areas. The drainage area is directly related to the lag, and therefore to the shift of the flow curve to longer times. The X,Y coordinates of the grids selected for monitoring were input to FLOW, which automatically located those grids in its data file, noted their sequence numbers, and keyed them for monitoring.

222. The digital data file output by DRAIN, and its use in the flow curve normalization (see Figure 22), is another principal objective of using the DRAIN program. This use is explored in the next section of this report.

map
area
drainage
effective
DRAIN
of
Example
31.
Figure

ġ

H

	•	0	~	~	688	883							K r		883	698	885	888	838	888	863	883	638		888	80	30	254	451	3	584	187	288		863	683						ŝ	588					198	888	688				E	882
		D	~		33881	5555								3356	33621	3555	5888	5586	1885	5555		3386	3888	3555	0385	÷ 50	- 30	5 20	26.3	28 1	13	13 1	3006	6667	585	8555		1885			186	3556	7788	1100			9966	3555	388	966	1111			1986	996
			~	m	56525	68889	0.00						22465	9 2 2 2 2	3 88 8 8	8998	5888	8888	55555	08880	1888.	3.88.8.8	0888	8638	5 10	10	82 13	5 4 5	50 38	50 32	15 81	5 12	3 10	9886	0488	9698					69.68	2965	3 13				8888	8888	8888	8888		5000 5888		88.88	8838
				•	16.	351	101				00000		292	2999	88886	3888	55755	38888	16888	100	58885	38888	5	-	5 1 7 8	565	553	53 0	5 15	5 216	150	173	162	160	5 100	8886				52	Ξ	5	259	8			8889	8888	88888	33333	19838			8889	8888
		-			69	267	2141		Š			2		22.25	5555	8888	55533	5885	116	144	153	Õ	22	230	358	4 2 6	5	5 0	62 F	5	162	317	415	50	115	5	200			2	002	76 2	193				98.86	18.88	9999	3358	199111		18.88	848	89.85
	¢		~	-		3562	51.27	11.5	1000				1961	00	3355			14.37	1444	29.97	3943	2246	1220		4269	4963	1000	1019	1410	1878	949	2261	1911	1182	7751	1862	9251			10.0	191	13.27	2069	1692				0001	88 8 8 Y				8 8 8 A 8		
	¢	-	~	C	55.55	815	\$05	502					5	600	07.08	07 38	1111	531	693	767	317	205	010	239	797	137	600	570	735	312	216	8 2 2 6	526	233	9 9 9 9	15.0		<u>.</u>		2	ະຄ		629			6748	1965	8.7	55.58				AS AR	88	
	¢	5 (~	•		226 4	108		721					5 8 F	279 3	806 1	193 1	1 1 1	1 2 3 1	: :	650	755 2		1.4	577 2	525 4	581 1	554 1	257 1	352 1	241 2	122	125 1	736 1	99	941 2	282				22	2 20	-	206		22	Ē	21.2	35.58	15555			1888	588 RP	***
	c		- 1			4 00	5 2 2	24	4 40					5	86	2 × 2	59 1	N No	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~ 5	33	87 2	82		85 1	29 29	96	2	46 1	50	22 22	20 2	× E	22 22	2 2 2	2 i 2 i	8			22	1	2	~				.≓ ;€	28.2	00851	5555			5553	18.9.9	8888 8
	~		- 1			₹ 9	7 20	16	1 21				-	2	2	9 S0	916	2 25	5	\$ 8	2	8 20	0 1 0	-	3 16	0 17	4 16	3 16	5	10	1 13	5 15	6 13 6	= : 0 :	-	5		20		2	5 19	5 5 5			- 0) È n	000	8 12	5 10				8988		5
	_	•	_		1.5	507	11.7	100	255						2	262	592	665	358	272	156	125	189	ĉ	22 5	172	190	146	ŝ	5	112	331	112	12		2				15	2.47	5	2	Ê		:5	159	121	22					8.83.8	
							5.8.8.8.8.8	111	2065						315	114	2036	1943	1734	134	1534	1630	2926	5	1222	1379	1653	1578	1482	1380	1450	1356	1100	1518	164	138				1976	2920	1996	1719	121	2221	176	2594	1861	25.55	1001	0000		8698		
	c	•	- 1				5.75.5	8.58.8	1450					0/11	2333	2212	1575	136.3	1462	2174	378.8	4 39 6	52.3		2213	3259	2910	311.8	2635	2101	2111	501	1553	1796	1004	118.5			1000	110	531	0141	1796	0 9 7 1	531	8 70 1	1095	5 29 9	1467				18.5		
	c	•					3335		500						225	325	010	000	5.45	220	67	978	192	2	53	600	220	579	176	5	455	463	506					22		225	8.0	2.0	780		825	677	603	108	520	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			5018	1198	***
	¢		- •	-	5 2 3			18181							5	292				2 2 8		53	2		2	2	27.2	22	~ ~ ~	00	57	5	22	~ ~ ~			00	22		ະຄ	~ *	 5. (5.0	2 3		8	14 1	1 72	5			2	202	51.1	8 Y U U
	c		- ,					1113								r i		55435		5	2	~			22	- -	2	29 29	1	20	5	2			<u>.</u>	23			98 34		3 5	5 C				:=	3 12	11	5				ية . 2	1	÷
::	c				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			9989	6555								1.0	1				2	~~~		2	~ ~	24 0	2	~ ~	2 - 2		210	\$2	-			Č ;		2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3	2 1 2	4 251	ř	5.	C .	5		11	7 1 7	~ .				2.5	1 16	2 - 0
ب ه بر	~							5553	9999													Ē			922	52	5	5	163	9 1 3 9	-	567	330	292					157	2	183	197			22	182	187	522	375			- 18°	153	103	263
								5115	9558	9 9 5 9 1															951		ŝ				2	5222	5	5					265	1337			0		235	2880	ŝ	147	5			136	225	3406	¢C3C
υ ε	c	•	- (-				99.99	19 9 9 9 19 9 9 10						r (1041		5345	1000				1.1	35.44	1.11	1156				11.75	1241	9620	2352					11.35	14.14	27.5
TS F	c	c		-			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	139 44												r 0 r 0									1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			22				-			036	7.7	£9 1				36.	5	e La		5			230	224	655	4 9 7
11 E .	¢	c	•						55555																													č	-	(35)						4.4.4	1						5.5	=	•
رة بر	c	: c						8999								~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	, 																						5	5	5					2	-	7.4				5	54 16	2	5 - 5
13 0	c	, c	. u							9999																													Ē •	-	÷.					÷.	È.	-				5 24	č. C	14	n
ASTE	~										95.9 .																			* *									8 8 8 8 8								211	5				10.4	171	194	*
10	•	•										10105					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,																													155	1.1	2374			2.2.5	1104	3187	1990	7.1
1001	¢		•					****																																							1003	2122	101		5271	1441	2127	1963	6
* I & d -	c	c	•					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																																							**					102	301	120	
-196.4	ſ	· ~	• •					18 48 8				3 4 8 8 9																																		-						-	5	5	
34.+									5		1.4.4																												3555	35.8.8	1 2 4 6 F			1	1121	4394						19102	:-	23	
:																				,				·							ĺ													-	-			- 1				_			

108

and the second second

8-11-S

dem
number
curve
effective
DKAIN
imple of
Z. LXA
רי שנו

and the second of the second se

c	~ ~	س ۳	-			8	88	22	2 2			2 22 2	22	2.23	523	~ ~ ~	2 2 2							8 f				
			÷.					22				ŭ 86 e	50			- 0								2.5				
		- 2		8. KC (P 65 1	22		2.2								2.0							60.60					
	² ~	* 5						25					~ ~ *	2.00	50	E N				222	1.5							
		Ř	÷.				÷.				-				nöi	NN		e ec (* ~ č		50		ec en 1	N 40 er			0.00
			80.			22	80 80	22		22	88		22	ĉ		22	88					22						10 eD
		n v		0 e i				80 R		Ĉ	ē	00	50	501		0.7	ň in i	5 60 9	00	0 h +	- 2 2		ම ම	ii) ₹ (6 8 8		ő 😇 🖷	ōē
		- 6	5							5.		800	: 88	383		86	526		283	~~ ~		* 5	88	60 60 (C 60 10 60
		2	1	2 2 2			88	25		2 4	200	200	, ē e	22	201	2 2 2	229	2.22			n v	2 0		<u> </u>				D eÓ
c	• • •	~ 2	•	n ē e		ΞĒ.		88		• •				2 2	p m	0.0	ñõ		- 20		- 6 -	~ 6	õě	έč Ξ i	F 60 4		0 e0 e	r iii
		5	8	588		τ.,		22	222	528	3 - 1	- 2 :		38	ខ្លួន	82:	ະລະ		223	***	150	28	88	60 60 (60 60 (r 60 60 10 60 60			
			2	~ ? ?		22	88		5.5	ec 0		- 2 -	:=:		2.00	2 2 :	2 9 1	223	0.0	<u></u>	n in is	00		K K (10 40 ec	e0 e0 e	c ec e	
c	. v c	- 6	÷ 🐱 '	558	52	- ÷	2.0			55				55	55	0 ~ 0		586	201	ະລະ		22	204			60 60 60 6		n er 17 40
		- 2	1	200	1	22	2 60	55	L K	20	: ::::			523	523	223	• ^ :	222	2 2 2			1	25					6 KC
		Ē	÷.			6 E .	ē Ē			~ ~	-			$\sim \sim$			-		2	101			* *	ie ir i				r in
c	~ ~ •	ະ 🕯	5	5 E C	: 6 !	63	28	55	23	50	: 2 :	:5:		:5:	2 2 2	221		5.0	5	200	:22	25	23	551		12 8		
			2	r ::::	181	r 12.	25	88	22	25	12.5	19.5	<u> </u>	283	2.8.2	2.2	2 2 2	2 2 9	2 2 1	22 2	(ē b	: ?	NC	Ňĸ	-	10 C		2 22
			-				<u> </u>	2 2	~ 0	-				~ m		ñ c i	5			ñ in i		25	2.2					čeč
			2		: 21	~ ~	55	2.5	20	5.	: 21		e s	221	223				201		200	55	P. P.					
		ΞĒ	ĕ	ě 3 č	Ň	È Q	38	0.0	- 0-		~			-	27	2	÷ ~ .	m	N	o in c	e N		~ 0	Nög				c ec
		- 2	8		22	22	53	25		30					573	66,		222	50.		500	25	2 2					
		. 2	5	222	: <u> </u>	22	22	20	D D	25		0.00	-	223	223	9 e 1	0 40 9	e ec (221			~~	~ ~	2				C 60
•		- e	~	55.			~ -		25	ċ.		- 6 -			οč.	- 6	50	~~ ~	56			55	2.5	550				řeo –
			È.	***		32	8 8	25	25	25	5		2		588	681		:22	582	558		22	213	359	0.5 .	60.00 C	6 8. 8 6 8 8	11 BO 7 BO
_	·	, Ē	2				20	5.5	2.5	Ň					١ <u>٢</u>	i i i	e e		1 E	e 🕺 F				5.				, ee
Ċ	1		č		55	~~	. .	28	50	5.5	1	; G 2	:58	528		5 6 5	521	22		522		28	28	* 5 :				
		1	5	12	. :::	2 2	55	2 2	55	2 5	1.3		128	22	589	\$ 23	2 🕈 1	25.	5 8 9	22.5	12.5	25	22	<u>n :: :</u>	22.9		5 5 5	5 -
_		<u> </u>	5	<u> </u>		- 60	~ ~	~ ~	N. N.	ñ ñ	-						C 2 4					22	~ ~				r 🖻 🕯	r in
c	· - ·	ິຄິ	2	8 C 6	: : :	12	22	23	5.5	22	2:	:22	22	281	2.53	581		88	28:	523		38	55	583	: :: :	5.6		n an i
		- 5	£.:	. 0 0		22	2 2 2	50	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	20		2 ē ē	0.0	2	223		ē	0	2 -	295	ec eo	$\tilde{\sim}$	-	201	: žč		(<u>m</u> <u>m</u>	c ec
_		÷				÷.	* *	2.4					~	(2)	2.6	C 40 1	r ic i		560			2.5	52					ř.
c	. – .	۳		8. 60 M		22	30	55	- 	2 -		5			250	ຣິລິເ	222		E B S	5 × 4	22.7	35	8 I	M 60 7	<u> </u>	55	C €C € 	
		Ē	Ē.			2	ŝ.	3 8		<u> </u>	\sim	00	27	i ni	0			5	ŏ	n er -		ç ö	2 ÷ ·	6 m -		201		
		2					~ ~	2 4	22					223	""				້ຍີ່			25	8 .	22				č 🕷
c	. • •	¥ 8	5.3	r < 0		1	22	52	5 2	20	2.3	22.5	21	151	:2:	223	223	<u> </u>	223	1 1 1	=	8 8	- 0	E # 5	225	535	202	
				r ic c	2	ÈC.	ΞŇ	- 5	ě÷	4 1			÷.,			ŏ			587			- 0	2 -			0.00		
			a				2.2	22	22	2.5	; 2 5			: 21	C C	553	259	ະຄະ				55	8.5			20.0		C.2.
c		۳ ac	2			£ 5	28	<u>s</u>		5.5	121	15 3	:2:	523	283	0 2 3	2.9	2:	121	222		£	* Y	s in t		0.01	::::	55
				r ei i		- m	Ξõ	ŏ 8		2 2									2.40				3 .	2.0	0 C P			ě
		80	aC 1	r 60 e 6. 60 e		r.K.	8 F.	8. K.	1991 - 1992 1997 - 1992 1997 - 1992	2.2	Ξ.		223	r 7 - 6 C - 60 - 6			č E i		C 0 0	0 60 4 6 6 6		25	0 N 1	\$ ^ i				C.C.
c		∼ë		× « •			<u> </u>	25	21	0 5		1 00 C			5.5.5	v m	<u> </u>		-	555		ΞŇ	ē -		e in n	0	221	0
			÷.	r e a		c ec			2.2	5.0	22		11	55					558	ະລະ		\$\$	85	5 m 0	585	ະລະຄ	285	2
1.1		- eC	::::					55	25	22	22	12.0	11		252	22:	:=:	23	201		P. P.	۳ġ.	ē m	223	295	0.1	n eo er	. <u>e</u> r
÷с	• •	r er	æ,	r ñ i			ĒÈ		÷ -		33		25	520	- in c	c i		s ¥ S		100		56	2.9	5 - 6	- 6 -	201		6
<u>ه</u>		۳. ۳	5	rea	5			т. Е	::	:::	83		22	285		2.0		28	502	:28	:82	25	~ ~ ~		235	588		28
			5 3	r e i		r 5.	58	T T	25	11	2 4		2 2	Ē.	585	22	Ξr		2.2	22	ŏ Ŀ	ē m	2.0	10	i i i	en er e		, F
z c		÷ é		ເພ		÷.		8. BC	80 B					e. e								C .	~ ~ ·	~~ C	566	~~~	W.C	
5		÷	23		£.	С. Щ.	2.	8: 8: E	25	55	. E 8	5.5.2	::::		586	223	:58	:28	283	628	12	23	251	ະະເ	22	1538	120	ີພິ
2			-					2 B	11			. 2 -	<u> </u>				· • •	5 2 2	1.5	255	i c u	ψē:	ΝĒ	u a c	· ~ · ·	~~~		
ິບັດ		> ø					÷ -									2.4				0.		66		200		500		÷ 5
~			2 :		51	55	<u> </u>	<u>e</u> e	* *	<u>.</u>						22:	• • •	<u>د د</u>		<u> </u>	: 5 5	÷ ?	5 2 1	r ::	15	er è e	. <u>.</u>	2
ē		ē	2			÷.	ĒĒ		÷ •	ΞĒ.	2					- -	555			- c	÷9	e e		ĒČĢ	÷.	5.5	e e	÷.
* c	c •	r 8.	5		21			" "	1. 2	**						66			22	588		25	560		22	220	: 5 5	i 🗭
۳		<i></i>	:::		2:		55	<u>e</u> e	22	# #	11	2.5	55		555	28			222	9 C	32	ŝ.		2 2 2	<u> </u>	6 - 1	1 <u>6</u> 6	e .
¥.			ě,					ē.ē	i i	• •						ò .				i a c	66	è è		s e à	òê	201		ò
۳ c		. F.						22			51									200		5.5			: 2 5	222		2
7	-	<u>ب</u>				1	55		11	22							: <u>.</u> .		, È è	ŝŝċ		•	223	- • č	÷ n	÷ è ·		É.
ι υ											-								č – č		25	2.2	55	s č š	22	2.53	2.3	- F
<u> </u>	с. ч	c ÷	-		5		55	::	::	::					r et e	11	::::				2.5	11	5 2 3	228	22	::::	25	÷.
5 -		÷.					2 2	ē ē	ě e	ΞĒ					e e	• • •		2.5	C E I	<u>i</u> è è	+ c	m	òċċ	È è			c •	
									5.5											55	52	- L		5.	22	***		÷.
e c	c 4	. :	::	L & 0 r 8 8					25	::	::		:::					:::			20							5
<u>ب</u>	-	2							<u>.</u>				8. 6			÷.				; er e	<u>.</u>	çè	D i		<u> </u>	~ - ^		1
5			2.2						55													ຣິຣິ			5.5	202		1 5
1 -	ς٠	. 7	::	r : 2	5	(5	55	; :	25	::	51	1.5	22			; # i	: : i	: # i	₽₽Ì	1 E É	5 È	2 2		r e é	: 5 Ē	5	18.5	5
			<u>.</u>		Ē		10 er	ŝ,		57							-		s ē i					n è è		* * *	- 0	-
ē					11		÷÷.		÷.											. 8° 8' . 8' 8					100	***	1 - 2	
		1	5	ŗ÷ŝ	1	r ir i	::	<u>r</u> ř	ĒĒ	ΞĒ	5		1	5		, m						22	n é i	n K K	: T -			5
<u> </u>		÷	ē.		÷.		2 2		ē. F	-													n e i	èè	- F 6	225		È
2	~ *	-		r ∎\44. r an ar	23			• •	22	55			51			: 2:			-51		55		n « •		25	555	58	5
1.71	~ *	1			ar à	r •r •	<u>e</u> e	er er	5 S	<u>:</u> :	22			: : :	; <u>;</u> :			2.2		. .	::	S		<u>, </u>			· N #	1
147.76			23		23	· •																- · - ·			_		~ ~	-
PINTOL	· C *					-		È È		22												er eo (C 67 9		***	55	è.
-PRI413U	· · · ·						888			EF			-	51				23			22						10.21	ŝ
97-PRINTOL	• • •						198589			11111						256										11011 11011 11011	12064	6682
JCTFFFFFFFFFF	· · · ·										191 191 191 191	10.00				358.44									35 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		12 14 14	90 7 411
Eenpt-pp]473U											1911 1919 1 1911					358 4688						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1111 1111 1111 1111 1111		4011 74011 7999 49921	100 2 000 2
• ■ E ■ P # I ~ T)L	· c •	14334 444444								58555555555555555555555555555555555555	299998 25899 2889 22 2889	101 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	101010000000000000000000000000000000000									**********			111111111111111111111111111111111111111		174011 74011	177 090 7 4N
•••¤€¤n¤1-¤¤]~1)(· c · ·	1433454464444	2459595959595					12454464444		1584 343 43431	1749596 1988 1988 1178 1988	1946 14 14 1961	2185535555555			2798597358		27999999999999		554553655555555555555555555555555555555	1455 555 2545 1455 555 2555	***********			6465 645654 4 4 7 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		517401174011	64220002415

Flow Time History Results

223. The data output by program FLOW are in a form, and in units, convenient for the flow calculation. The program HYDRO sorts data for individual grids selected for output, changes the units, normalizes the flow results, and produces tabular and graphic results. Grid Selection

224. Any number of grids can be selected for inclusion in the output report. The grids are named by providing their X,Y grid coordinates as input to HYDRO. The coordinates of grid locations can be measured from any maps used in preparing input data or by locating the required data on grid maps, such as the one shown in Figure 27, that are provided in output reports of STRTFLOW, FLOW, and DRAIN. When grid coordinate locations are measured from some map used for data preparation, such as the map shown in Figure 15, it is necessary to perform a simple transformation to calculate the grid coordinates from the measurements. The following is a convenient algorithm for use:

$$X_{G} = \frac{(X_{M} - X_{UL})S_{M}}{D} + 1.0$$

$$Y_{G} = \frac{(Y_{UL} - Y_{M})S_{M}}{D} + 1.0$$
(37)

where

X_G, Y_G are the grid coordinates X_M, Y_M are the coordinates of the location for which grid coordinates are needed

- X_{UL}, Y_{UL} are the coordinates of the upper left corner of the geographic region bounding the watershed
 - $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{M}}$ is a conversion factor from the units used to metres
 - D is the grid spacing in metres

The following notes further describe the use of the transformation:

<u>a</u>. The measurement of X_M , Y_M , X_{UL} , and Y_{UL} must be performed with the same units. Any units are acceptable.

- b. The transformation is for use with measurements in a normal (not reflected) Cartesian system. The $(Y_{UI} Y_{M})$ performs the reflection needed to calculate the grid co-ordinates in the required reflected Cartesian system.
- <u>c</u>. The X_{UL} and Y_{UL} must be measured at the upper left corner location of the map used in the FLOW location. These data are available in the output report from program COMBDATA and other programs used after COMBDATA.
- <u>d</u>. The values of X_G and Y_G must be rounded off to provide integer grid values.

225. Program HYDRO locates the data for the required grids and provides a report such as the one shown in Figure 33. The sequence

LIST OF GRIDS WITH DIRECT REPORTED RESULTS COORDINATES -----ARRAY NORMAL DRAINAGE ----- CHA AREA, GRD WEIGHTED SEQUENC -----Y X Y NEL X1000 CNX1000 NUMBER X ___ _____ _____ 59 59 59 277400 3876200 0 1131 86000 1317 18 1318 19 277500 3876200 0 2270 85274 1325 26 278200 3876200 0 14697 85614 59 77814 1331 32 278800 3876200 1 7362 59 3876200 0 84041 1342 43 279900 10269 3876100 0 27 278300 80410 1355 60 28155

Figure 33. List of grids in the HYDRO report

number in Figure 33 is the grid identification number in the FLOW calculation output file. The grid array and normal coordinates are grid coordinates (as input) and the absolute coordinates (as calculated by HYDRO) of the grid in the geographic information system. (Since the UTM coordinate system was used for the study watershed, the normal coordinates in Figure 33 are UTM coordinates.) The channel/no channel designator in the sixth column has the same meaning as previously described. The drainage area and weighted curve number values of the grids are automatically retrieved from the DRAIN output and given in the last two columns of the report.

111

Contraction of the Contraction

Data Retrieval and Processing

226. Program FLOW produces for every grid in the watershed a listing of the cumulative flow components, the direct rainfall, and the gridto-grid flow and repeats this complete listing for every time increment. The sequence numbers in Figure 33 are the locations for the grids' data in sequential data sets for sequential time increments. HYDRO locates the sequential time data for each selected grid, converts the measurement units, normalizes the flow curves, and produces the computerprinted tabular and computer-plotted graphic results as shown in Tables 9-26 and Figures 34-51, respectively.

227. The units used in all FLOW calculations are inches/grid/time interval. The conversion factor to cubic feet/minute/acre is as follows:

 $\frac{(3.281 \text{ ft/m})^2 (\text{grid increment, m})^2}{(12.0 \text{ in./ft}) (\Delta t \text{ hours}) (60.0 \text{ min/hr}) (2.47105 \times 10^{-4} \text{ acre/ft}^2)}$

228. The normalization of the flow curves was discussed in several prior locations in this report. In summary, normalization is performed to alleviate any computer word truncation problems that might be encountered (a) due to the computer used for calculations or (b) because the system is used for watersheds with very low relief. It is also performed to partially, if not totally, correct problems that could arise due to termination of the early flow calculation, particularly for long effective lag grids. The normalization procedure performed independently for each grid is described in the following paragraphs:

229. Equation 21 is used over the total storm time, with the total storm cumulative rainfall, to calculate the total runoff $Q_{\rm I}^{\rm N}$ for each grid. The curve number used in the equation is the effective curve number for the drainage area, and the result is multiplied by the grid drainage area. That is,

$$Q_{I}^{N} = A_{I}^{E} Q_{I}^{E}$$

$$CN_{I} = CN_{I}^{E}$$

$$(37)$$





._ {











Figure 37. Surface flow, grid sequence 1331, time increment 0.05 hour





ł



Figure 39. Surface flow, grid sequence 1355, time increment 0.05 hour



Figure 40. Surface flow, grid sequence 1317, time increment 0.10 hour



/































シークキャー



Figure 49. Surface flow, grid sequence 1331, time increment 0.20 hour









where

 Q_{I}^{N} is the total cumulative flow through grid I $Q_{I}^{E} \mid_{CN_{I}}$ is Equation 21 evaluated for the effective curve number A_{I}^{E} is the effective area of grid I Q_{I}^{E} is the effective area of grid I

 CN_{I}^{E} is the effective curve number of the grid I drainage area 230. The values of A_{I}^{E} and CN_{I}^{E} are provided by the program DRAIN calculation. There is no "A" term overtly shown in Equation 21 since its units (and the units of the FLOW calculations) are inches/grid/time interval.

231. The total calculated cumulative flow from a grid is the sum of its total cumulative flow components (see Equation 22):

$$Q_{I} = Q_{I}^{S} + Q_{I}^{F}$$
(38)

where

$$Q_{I}^{S} = Q_{I}^{S}(t) | t = T_{M}$$

$$Q_{I}^{F} = Q_{I}^{F}(t) | t = T_{M}$$
(39)

232. The normalization scale factors N for the components and the total flow are readily seen as follows:

$$N_{I}^{S} = \frac{Q_{I}^{S} \quad Q_{I}^{N}}{\left(Q_{I}^{S} + Q_{I}^{F}\right)^{2}}$$

$$N_{I}^{F} = \frac{Q_{I}^{F} \quad Q_{I}^{N}}{\left(Q_{I}^{S} + Q_{I}^{F}\right)^{2}}$$

$$N_{I} = N_{I}^{S} + N_{I}^{F} = \frac{Q_{I}^{N}}{Q_{I}^{S} + Q_{I}^{F}}$$
(40)

233. Finally, the normalized flow components and total flow results are calculated using Equations 38-40.

$$Q_{I}^{SN}(t) = N_{I}^{S} Q_{I}^{S}(t)$$

$$Q_{I}^{FN}(t) = N_{I}^{F} Q_{I}^{F}(t)$$

$$Q_{I}^{N}(t) = Q_{I}^{SN}(t) + Q_{I}^{FN}(t) = N_{I} \left[Q_{I}^{S}(t) + Q_{I}^{F}(t) \right]$$
(41)

234. These normalized functions are displayed in Tables 9-26 and Figures 34-51.

Output Tables and Graphs

235. The FLOW calculation output contains flow results for 2999 grids (with a 100-m grid interval) for the study watershed. The results for the 6 grids listed in Figure 33 are given in this report as a sample of the calculation output for the eight-nearest-neighbor flow pattern calculation. Since this restricted results display is voluminous and requires the reader's patience for review, the following comments are provided to describe the output sample and to point out some features that were previously described in explanations of the various calculations. This section of the report is intended to provide an orderly, and hopefully informative, walk through the results.

236. <u>Calculation Conditions</u>. Calculations were performed for single- and eight-nearest-neighbor flow allocation patterns for the 0.05-, 0.10-, and 0.20-hour time increments for both 200- and 100-m-grid models of the study watershed. The selected samples in Tables 9-26 and Figures 34-51 are for the 0.05-, 0.10-, and 0.20-hour time intervals, eight-nearest-neighbor flow pattern, 100-m-grid model.

237. <u>Results Layout.</u> The tables and figures are given in the following order.

Tables	Figures	Time Increment, hr
9-14	34-39	0.05
15-20	40-45	0.10
21-26	46-51	0.20

The results for each of the 6 selected grids are provided in the order shown in Figure 33: first for the 0.05-hour time increment, then for the 0.10-hour increment, etc. Studying the results is facilitated if the reader copies them or cuts them out of the report so they can be overlaid on each other or otherwise rearranged for comparison.

238. <u>Table Contents.</u> Each table contains the normalized inches/ grid/time interval and the cubic feet/minute/acre results. Both the cumulative amounts and the amounts per time interval (called the incremental in the tables) are shown for the inches/grid/time interval results, but only the amounts per time interval are shown for the cubic feet/minute/acre results. The direct rainfall and grid-to-grid components and the total flow are shown in the tables under the headings "Rain," "Move," and "Total," respectively.

239. <u>Graph Contents.</u> The figures contain the cubic feet/minute/ acre results in a graphic form.

240. <u>Direct Rainfall Component.</u> All direct rainfall component flows are similar in appearance since the same rainfall intensity function was used over the total watershed and the grid curve numbers are similar for the selected grids and for the study watershed (see Figure 33 for the selected grid weighted curve numbers). Although Figures 28 and 32 are for a 200-m-grid model, they indicate the small variation in curve numbers over the watershed.

241. <u>Grid-to-Grid Component</u>. The grid-to-grid component flows vary considerably both in amplitude and shape due to the drainage network differences; these differences between grids involve primarily the flow patterns and lags.

242. <u>Small Drainage Area</u>. Grid sequence 1317 has a small effective drainage area of 1.131 grids (see Figure 33). Table 9 and Figure 34 show that the direct rainfall runoff component (i.e., the component generated by runoff moving from neighboring grids and flow left behind from the direct rainfall runoff that does not flow off the grid during the time interval) is much larger than the grid-to-grid flow component. Figure 52a illustrates the flow allocation network for the 0.05-hour time increment for grid sequence 1317; note that the number





b. GRID SEQUENCE 1318 Figure 52. Flow networks for small drainage area grids

at the tail of the arrow shows the percent of the flow in temporary storage that will flow from that grid in the direction of the arrow during the flow time interval (i.e., 3.2 percent of the flow in temporary storage on grid (19, 60) flows onto grid (19, 59) in a time interval of 0.05 hour.

243. Grid sequence 1318 also has a small effective drainage area (2.270 grids, see Figure 34), but it is sufficient to produce a gridto-grid flow comparable to the direct rainfall component (see the cumulative flow values and peak amplitudes in Table 10 and Figure 35). The flow allocation network for the 0.05-hour time increment is shown in Figure 52b; for example, 0.3 percent of the flow in temporary storage, etc., on grid (18, 59) flows onto grid (19, 60), which then contributes 6.4 percent of its flow to grid (19, 59).

244. Large Drainage Area. If the effective grid drainage area is large, the flow allocation routing with the flow lags determines the shape, amplitude, and peak occurrence time for the grid. Grid sequence 1325 (Table 11 and Figure 36) shows flow curve shapes that are close to the unit hydrograph form. In comparison, the flow curves for grid sequence 1342 (Table 13 and Figure 38) have a similar but more peaked form since the flow network is more compact; i.e., it has fewer grids with a larger flow allocation from each grid, with more direct paths. The shape of the flow curves for grid sequence 1331 (Table 12 and Figure 37) demonstrates what happens when the flow network involves small flow volumes moving over circuitous paths. This grid gathers partial flows from over 35 surrounding grids covering a low topographic relief area. Flow networks for the grids with large drainage areas are traceable in the STRTFLOW output report, but they are too complex for presentation in this report.

245. <u>Rainfall-Flow Lag.</u> The rainfall intensity function (Figure 21) peaks at 0.5 hour and remains constant until 1.0 hour. This shape provides an opportunity to inspect both the lag-to-surface-flow peak time and the shape of the direct rainfall flow component influenced by the (a) initial rainfall abstraction and (b) change in infiltration rate with cumulative rainfall. The direct rainfall component graph for any grid sequence shows the initial abstraction effect on the runoff curve shape. For example, any table shows that practically no flow starts before t = 0.5 hour. The direct rainfall component peak occurs at approximately 1.0 hour because of the infiltration rate change; the runoff changes from almost zero to its maximum value at t = 1.0 hour.

246. <u>Flow Components' Peaks</u>. The direct rainfall flow component always peaks before the grid-to-grid flow component. Arranging the selected grids in order of increasing drainage area, the reader can see

that the grid-to-grid flow peak's shift to longer time values is directly correlated with the effective drainage area.

247. Equivalent Results for 0.05- and 0.10-hour Increments. An inspection of the tabular data for the 0.05- and 0.10-hour time increment flow calculations shows that the results are practically identical in amplitude, peak time of occurrence, and shape. The only advantage of data with a 0.05 rather than a 0.10 time increment is a better definition of the curves' shape--more an aesthetic preference than an engineering requirement. The implication is that a time increment can be selected by using STRTFLOW in the one-nearest-neighbor flow allocation mode to provide the largest practical calculation time increment for all storm calculations for a given watershed. It is necessary to operate STRTFLOW for different values of Δt , first to bracket and then to iterate in, to select this largest practical value. As previously described in paragraphs 157-159, a visual inspection of the STRTFLOW output report provides a clear indication as to whether the selected Δt is excessive.

248. Excessive Δt . The $\Delta t = 0.20$ calculation results provide data on the effects using an excessive Δt value for the flow calculation. Figure 25 contains the first section of the output report from STRTFLOW that is associated with the flow calculation results shown in Tables 21-26 and Figures 46-51. Note that some, but not all, of the flow allocations are saturated; in fact, an inspection of the total STRTFLOW output report (not provided in this report) shows that the fraction of grids for which $\Delta t = 0.20$ is excessive is far less than indicated in Figure 25. Therefore, the flow results for many grids are unaffected; i.e., see the results for grid sequence 1317 (Table 24, Figure 46).

249. When Δt is too large for a grid so that the calculation assumption of flow to the first-nearest-neighbor grid is violated, the program FLOW retains the correct flow allocation network. However, the program does not permit the flow from a grid to move beyond the firstnearest-neighbor level, so the flow is retarded. The violence this does to the calculation results is apparent in the selected grid examples, and particularly visible in the results for grid sequences 1325 (Table 23, Figure 48) and 1355 (Table 26, Figure 51).
PART V: CONCLUSION

Summary

250. A system was successfully developed for calculating the microgeometric surface flow due to rainfall runoff across the landscape. The system provides all required data preparation, processing, and calculational procedures. The system input consists of data normally available for present hydrology studies and calculational results that show the time history of the surface flow at any selected position on the landscape. The system does not require highly developed technical capabilities of the user, nor does it require special scientific computer capabilities.

251. In the author's opinion, the developed system provides a heretofore unavailable technical capability that has many potential uses for both military and civil applications. One possible basic research application is the use of the system with calibrated watersheds to improve the mathematical approach for calculating rainfall runoff which is used extensively by the Corps and other Federal agencies. This approach almost exclusively involves a macrogeometric approach in which geographic areas are on the order of 1 to 10 square miles or even larger. Calibration of the results is routine and must always be performed, even if improvements are made; however, present calibration work includes not just flow normalization, but also the intelligent varying of parameters in the hydrology and hydraulic portions of the total procedure. In addition, normal calibration steps are sometimes unsuccessful, and the study watershed must be modeled separately for low- and high-intensity storms. The system developed herein permits the researcher to evaluate in detail the basic hydrology, separate from the hydraulics.

252. A similar use with regard to erosion studies is also possible. The surface flow was separated into the direct rainfall and the grid-to-grid flow components specifically for this purpose.

Recommendations

253. It is possible to extend the applicability of the developed system. The following four recommendations address important topics that would provide additional information and capability with a high potential return on investment.

Channel Location

254. The program STRTFLOW contains an algorithm for pit removal described in paragraphs 126-134. If it is operated without designating the channel network, the pit removal algorithm locates the channels in the first few passes. This algorithm could be adapted, principally by removing the correction portion, to locate most-probable channel network locations. This is of interest in studies where there is a construction modification on the landscape. Channel network location is also significant for civil and military intelligence applications in arid regions, whose surfaces display much low-relief topography with little vegetation cover to indicate channel locations on aerial photography, whose topographic maps provide little detailed data.

Evaluation of Excessive Time Increments

255. The relationship between the distortion of the flow function for a grid and the time increment of the flow calculation for the grid's drainage area is unclear. A study should be performed to determine this relationship, where simple measures of distortion such as flow function maximum amplitude and time of occurrence of maximum amplitude could be measured for different Δt flow calculation increments. The result of such a study would provide a rule that could be used by STRTFLOW to automatically choose, with an override option, the Δt required to minimize the flow calculation time and cost. The override option would be used when a greater definition of the flow functions is desired.

Time Varying Flow Allocation

256. It is possible to modify the flow calculation procedure to include time-varying infiltration and lag conditions. A straightforward approach would involve introducing a correction function for the curve number value of a grid so that CN_{I} would become CN_{I} [t, $Q_{I}(t)$].

The function, preferably analytical rather than tabular, would calculate the grid's curve number correction as a function of accumulated rainfall and total flow across the grid. The correction factor could be applied at each Δt interval, and the corrected curve number used to recalculate the flow allocation percentages and the rainfall runoff for that Δt . The inclusion of this capability would have a negligible effect on the operation cost of FLOW and no effect (and require no changes in) any other computer programs in the system.

Irregular Grid Network

257. There is an enormous advantage to be gained in the use of a regular grid model of the terrain surface versus an irregular grid. It is possible, however, to modify the developed system to use an irregular grid model. The manual labor intensive approach would primarily involve discarding programs STRTFLOW and DRAIN and preparing these program's output files manually. After laying out the grid network, the flow allocation network and the effective drainage areas and curve numbers would have to be manually calculated. This would be a formidable task unless the number of irregular grids was very small. In addition, each grid would have to be assigned a flow length for use by FLOW.

258. An approach using a more automated procedure would involve the development of software for grid area calculations, but the flow allocation network and flow lengths determinations would probably remain a manual task. The preference for an irregular rather than a regular terrain grid model indicates that the user wants control of the flow allocation network determination due to special circumstances on the terrain and therefore finds an automatic flow allocation network layout unacceptable.

REFERENCES

Colson, B. E., and Hudson, J. W. 1976. "Flood Frequency of Mississippi Streams," U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, Va.

Dean, John David, and Snyder, Willard M. 1977. "Temporally and Areally Distributed Rainfall," <u>Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division</u>, <u>American Society of Civil Engineers</u>, Vol 103, No. IR2.

Freeze, R. Allen. 1974. "Streamflow Generation," <u>Reviews in Geophysics</u> and <u>Space Physics</u>, Vol 12, No. 4, pp 627-647.

Hershfield, David M. 1961. "Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States," U. S. Dept of Commerce, Technical Paper 40, Washington, D. C.

LaGarde, V. E., and Smith, M. H. 1976. "Computer Procedure for Calculating and Displaying the Boundaries of a Watershed," Technical Report M-76-12, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, CE, Vicksburg, Miss.

Ma, Yeu-Fang. 1978. Overland Flow Pattern Sensitivity Analysis, M. S. Thesis, University of Mississippi, Dept. of Civil Engineering, University, Miss.

Ragan, Robert M., and Jackson, Thomas J. 1980. "Runoff Synthesis Using Landsat SCS Model," <u>ASCE Journal, Hydraulics Division</u>, Vol 106, No. 5, pp 667-678.

Randolph, W. J., and Gamble, Charles R. 1976. "Technique for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in Tennessee," U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, Va.

Soil Conservation Service (SCS). 1972. "National Engineering Handbook Section 4: Hydrology," U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, Washington, D. C.

Soil Conservation Service (SCS) and Forest Service. 1972. "Soil Survey of Marshall County, Mississippi," U. S. Dept of Agriculture, Washington, D. C.

U. S. Dept. of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service (SCS). 1964. "Soil Survey, Fayette County, Tennessee," Series 1960, No. 3, Washington, D. C.

U. S. Weather Bureau. 1955. "Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves," Technical Paper 25, Washington, D. C.

Lan	d Cover	H	ydrologic	Soil Gro	up
Use	Treatment	1	2	_3	4
Fallow	Row	70	79	86	89
Cropland	Row	70	80	86	90
	Contoured	67	77	83	87
	Contoured and terraced	64	72	79	81
	Hay and pasture rotation	55	68	78	83
Woodland	NA	42	66	77	81
Grassland	Hay	36	58	71	78
	Pasture	39	61	74	84
Permanent grassland	Idle	56	69	79	84
Compacted	Farmstead	68	74	82	86
and covered	Urban	72	78	84	87
COVELEG	Dirt road	72	82	87	89
	Gravel road	73	83	88	90
	Paved road	74	84	90	92
	Railway	73	83	88	90
	Stream and pond	100	100	100	100

lable i							
Land	Cover	Classes	and	Runoff	Curve	Number	Determination

Table	2
TROTO	-

Digitizing Format for Factor Maps

Using a Digitizer With a Keyboard

Entry*	Name	Description
1**	NDATA	A code used to identify the type of data being digitized
	IDBASE	Code number identifying the base map for which the factor map is an overlay
2**	NCODE NCODE	Code inserted before map corner data; NCODE = -9
3	NXUL NYUL	Digitizer coordinates of the upper left map corner
4	NXUR NYUR	Digitizer coordinates of the upper right map corner
5	NXLL NYLL	Digitizer coordinates of the lower left map corner
6	NXLR NYLR	Digitizer coordinates of the lower right map corner
7**	MXUL MYUL	UTM or state planar coordinates of the upper left map corner
8**	MXLR MYLR	UTM or state planar coordinates of the lower right map corner
9**	NCODE NVAL	Code number inserted before start of digitizer coordinates for patch boundary NCODE = -5555: insert this patch data into the array only where there is no previously digitized data NCODE = -66666: insert this patch data into the array and overlay any data found at its location NVAL = value of the patch
10	NX NY	Digitizer coordinates of a point on the patch boun- dary (Record 10 is repeated for all points on the patch boundary.)
	(Reco	ords 9-10 are repeated for every patch.)
11**	I CODE I CODE	Code number signifying the end of the data file; ICODE = -9999
	(An	a end-of-file is placed after this record.)

* All entries are in 216 format.
** Use the keyboard to record these data.

Digitizing Format for Elevation Data on Contour

Maps Using a	Digitizer	With a	Keyboard

Entry*	Name	Description
1**	NDATA IDBASE	Identifies the type of data; NDATA = 4 Code number identifying the base map from which the data are to be digitized
2**	NCODE	Code inserted before map corner data; NCODE = -9
3	NXUL NYUL	Digitizer coordinates of the upper left map corner
4	NXUR NYUR	Digitizer coordinates of the upper right map corner
5	NXLL NYLL	Digitizer coordinates of the lower left map corner
6	NXLR NYLR	Dígítízer coordinates of the lower right map corner
7**	MXUL MYUL	UTM coordinates of the upper left map corner in thousands of metres
8**	MXLR MYLR	UTM coordinates of the lower right map corner in thousands of metres
The foll	owing data are	e digitized from the area-of-interest map overlay.
9**	NCODE NVAL	Code number before start of digitizer coordi- nates for area-of-interest patch boundary; NCODE = -5555 NVAL = 0
10	NX NY	Digitizer coordinates of a point on the area- of-interest patch boundary (Record 10 is re- peated for all points on the area-of-interest patch boundary.)
	(Recor	rds 9-10 are repeated for every patch.)
16**	NCODE NVAL	Code before a string of X,Y coordinates of a contour line, or the X,Y coordinates of a spot elevation; NCODE = -7777 NVAL = elevation of the contour line or spot elevation

* All entries are 216 format.
** Use the keyboard to record these data.

Table 3 (Concluded)

<u>Name</u>	Description
The follow	wing data are digitized from the contour map.
NX NY	Digitizer coordinates of a point on the contour line or of a spot elevation
17 appears sary to de for all o	s once for a spot elevation, but appears as many times efine a contour line location. Records 10-17 are contour lines.)
llowing da	ata are digitized from the photo and contour maps
NCODE NVAL	Code before string of X,Y coordinates defining a stream location; NCODE = -4444 NVAL = elevation of the stream at the start of the string of X,Y coordinates following this record
NX NY	Digitizer coordinates of the starting point on the stream
NX NY	Digitizer coordinates of a point on the stream location
20 is repo is the dist	eated as many times as necessary; the distance between tance to the nearer neighboring contour line.)
NX NY	Digitizer coordinates of the last point on the stream before encountering a contour line crossing the stream
NCODE NVAL	Code before X,Y coordinates of the intersection of the stream with a contour line; NCODE = -4444 NVAL = contour line elevation
NX NY	Digitizer coordinates of the intersection of the stream with a contour line
20-23 are our lines	e repeated as many times as necessary to account for intersecting the stream.)
NCODE NVAL	Code to denote the end of the string of data defin- ing the stream location; NCODE = -4444 NVAL = estimated stream elevation at the last NX, NY location digitized
18-24 are	repeated for the main stream and for each tributary.)
ICODE	Code number signifying the end of the data file; ICODE = -9999
	The follow NX NY 17 appears sary to do for all ollowing da NCODE NVAL NX NY 20 is repo s the dist NX NY 20 is repo s the dist NX NY 17 appears s ary to do for all a source of the dist NX NY NY 20 is repo s the dist NX NY 18-24 are ICODE

** Use the keyboard to record these data.

Table 4

Soil Types

rap Svmbol	Mapping Unit
<u></u>	
LCC3	Lexington silty clay loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, severely eroded
LCD3	Lexington silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
LeD LeD2	Lexington-Ruston complex, 6 to 12 percent slopes
LeDS	Lexington-Ruston complex, 8 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
Ler LoF2	Lexington-Ruston complex, 12 to 30 percent slopes severely eroded
Lers	Levington-Ruston-Gullied land complex 8 to 12 percent slopes
	Lexington-Ruston-Gullied land complex, 6 to 12 percent slopes
LaD	Loring-Gullied land complex 5 to 12 percent slopes
LgE	Loring-Gullied land complex, 5 to 12 percent slopes
LoA	Loring silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes
LoB	Loring silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
LoB3	Loring silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, severely eroded
LoC	Loring silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes
LoC3	Loring silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, severely eroded
LoD	Loring silt loam, 8 to 12 percent slopes
LoD3	Loring silt loam, 8 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
LoE	Loring silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes
LoE3	Loring silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded
MeA	Memphis silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes
MeB	Memphis silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
MeC	Memphis silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes
MeD	Memphis silt loam, 8 to 12 percent slopes
MfB3	Memphis silty clay loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, severely eroded
MfC3	Memphis silty clay loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, severely eroded
M£D3	Memphis silty clay loam, 8 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
MgD	Memphis-Gullied land complex, 5 to 12 percent slopes
MgE	Memphis-Gullied land complex, 12 to 20 percent slopes
RcF3	Ruston sandy clay loam, 12 to 30 percent slopes, severely eroded
RdF	Ruston sandy loam, 12 to 30 percent slopes
Ker	Ruston-Eustis complex, 12 to 30 percent slopes
58	Sandy alluvial land
5W 175	Swamp Viekeburg fing condu loom
VD	Vicksburg fine sandy loam local alluvium
VC VL	Vicksburg filt loam
V K Vii	Vicksburg silt loam local alluvium
Vu Va	Waverly fine and loam
Wu	Waverly silt loam
CaA	Calloway silt loam. 0 to 2 percent slopes
CaB	Calloway silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
CaB2	Calloway silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
СЪА	Calloway silt loam, terrace, 0 to 2 percent slopes
СЪВ	Calloway silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes
СЪВ2	Calloway silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
Cf	Collins fine sand loam
Cm	Collins fine sand loam, local alluvium
Co	Collins silt loam
Cu	Collins silt loam, local alluvium
Fa	Falaya fine sand loam
Ff	Falaya fine sandy loam, local alluvium
Fm	Falaya silt loam

والكالاف كالمسارية وتعازما أستان ومريدي والمنابر كالأليلي

(Continued)

in the second second second

Table 4 (Concluded)

Map Symbol	Mapping Unit
Fu	Falaya silt loam, local alluvium
GaA	Grenada silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes
GaB	Grenada silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
GaB2	Grenada silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
GaB3	Grenada silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, severely eroded
GaC	Grenada silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes
GaC2	Grenada silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, eroded
GaC3	Grenada silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, severely eroded
GaD	Grenada silt loam, 8 to 12 percent slopes
GaD3	Grenada silt loam, 8 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded
GЪА	Grenada silt loam, terrace, 0 to 2 percent slopes
GЪВ	Grenada silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes
Gb B 2	Grenada silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
GbB3	Grenada silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes, severely eroded
бъс2	Grenada silt loam, terrace, 5 to 8 percent slopes, eroded
бъсз	Grenada silt loam, terrace, 5 to 8 percent slopes, severely eroded
GgC	Grenada-Gullied land complex, 5 to 8 percent slopes
GgD	Grenada-Gullied land complex, 8 to 12 percent slopes
Gn	Gullied land, sandy
Gs	Gullied land, silty
He	Henry silt loam
Но	Henry silt loam, overwash
Ht	Henry silt loam, terrace
LbB	Lexington silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
LPC	Lexington silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes
LPD	Lexington silt loam, 8 to 12 percent slopes
LcB3	Lexington silty clay loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, severely eroded

Hydrologic Group	Soil Type
С	Arkabutla
В	Cahaba and Lexington
В	Cahaba~Providence
С	Calloway
В	Cascilla
с	Collins
С	Falaya
с	Grenada
Α	Gullied land, Cahaba complex
с	Gullied land, Loring complex
D	Henry
D	Lexington
с	Loring
С	Luverne
В	Memphis
В	Ochlockonee
С	Providence
В	Ruston
Α	Sandy alluvial
D	Swamp
В	Vicksburg
В	Waverly

: •

Table 5 Soil Types and Hydrologic Groups

Land Use Code	Land Use
1	Cropland, row crops
2	Cropland, close growing crops
3	Recreation area
4	Cropland, double cropped
5	Horticultural areas
6	Grassland, good cover
7	Grassland, poor cover
8	Idle land
9	Deciduous forest land
10	Coniferous forest land
11	Mixed hardwoods-pine forest land
12	Brushland
13	Other related agricultural land
14	Feeding operations
15	Rural, nonfarm land
16	Urban, residential
17	Urban, commercial and services
18	Urban, industrial
19	Urban, open land
20	Water area
21	Natural lakes and swamps
22	Other land uses

Table 6Land Use Classes

<u> </u>	Land Use	Hydrologic Soil Group				
Code	Name	1	_2	3	4	
1	Row crops	64	72	79	81	
2	Close-growing crops	70	79	84	88	
3	Recreation area	72	81	88	91	
4	Double-cropped cropland	65	75	82	86	
5	Horticultural area	67	77	83	87	
6	Good cover grassland	62	71	78	81	
7	Poor cover grassland	70	80	86	90	
8	Idle land	55	68	78	83	
9	Deciduous forest	68	79	86	99	
10	Coniferous forest	71	79	86	89	
11	Hardwoods/pine mixed forest	42	66	77	81	
12	Brushland	42	66	77	81	
13	Other related agricultural land	42	66	77	81	
14	Feeding operations	42	66	77	81	
15	Nonfarm rural land	72	82	87	89	
16	Urban residential	49	69	79	84	
17	Urban commercial services	39	61	74	80	
18	Urban industrial	72	79	84	87	
19	Urban open land	74	84	90	92	
20	Ponds, rivers, lakes, swamps	100	100	100	100	

Table 7 Curve Numbers for Average Antecedent Moisture Conditions

1

Ť

	Number of Occurrences, grids							
		100-m-grid	200-	-grid				
Drainage Area	Nearest	L-Neighbor Flow	Nearest-Nel	gnbor Flow				
	_ <u>_</u>							
1	1291	639	0	0				
2	645	915	0	0				
3	368	501	0	100				
4	180	307	421	100				
2	137	207	0	112				
6	87	133	0	115				
7	85	89	0	84				
8	41	35	187	62				
9	32	3/	0	38 /5				
10	29	50	0					
11	23	11	0	42				
12	13	23	87	31				
13	10	12	0	23				
14	12	10	0	10				
15	14	10						
16	9	6	43	8				
17	2	D	0	11				
18	8 6	4	0	4 5				
20	7	3	21	3				
20	,			6				
21	2	3	0	4				
22	4 2	1	0	1				
24	Ā	1	ğ	3				
25	i	1	ō	Ō				
	3	1	0	2				
20		4	0	1				
28	i	1	3	ō				
29	2	Ō	Ō	0				
30	2	1	0	1				
31	1	1	0	0				
32	1	1	4	2				
33	0	0	0	0				
34	1	0	0	0				
35	0	0	0	1				
36	1	0	2	0				
37	0	0	0	0				
38	0	1	0	1				
39	1	1	0	0				
40	0	0	2	0				
41	0	0	Q	0				
42	0	2	0	1				
43	1	0	0	0				
44 65	0	0	2	0				
40	U	1	v	1				
46	0	0	0	0				
47	0	0	U A	U A				
48 49	0	V 0	0	ő				
>49	Å	3	3	ĩ				
· • • •	-	-	-					

Table 8 Drainage Area Frequency Distributions

. . a second

et a

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1317,

f	0	r	8	0.	05	-Hour	Time	Inc	reme	nt

	SURFACE FLOW TIME HISTORY										
*			SURFACE	FLOW/IN							
	r			1			- INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW				
TIME											
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL		
J.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.05	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.15	<u>.</u>	0.	Ö.	0.	0.	0.	0	ŏ	ŏ		
0.20	0.	0.	0.	ō.	0.	ō.	ŏ	ŏ	õ		
0.25	0.	0.	с.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.35	0.	U. 0	0.	U.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.45	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.50	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	8	ŏ	8		
0.55	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.02	26	1	27		
0.60	0.06	0.00	C.07	0.03	0.00	0.04	40	2	42		
0.65	0.11	0.01	0.11	0.04	0.00	0.05	52	4	56		
1.75	0.10	0.02	0.23	0.05	0.00	0.06	70	3	74		
0.80	0.28	0.02	0.30	0.06	0.01	0.07	77	7	84		
0.85	0.35	0.03	0.38	0.07	0.01	0.08	84	8	92		
0.90	0.42	0.04	0.46	0.07	0.01	0.08	89	9	98		
3.95	0.50	0.05	0.55	0.08	0.01	0.09	94	10	104		
1 05	0,58	0.00	0.04	0.08	0.01	0.09	98		109		
1.10	0.73	0.08	C.81	0.00	0.01	0.07	78	11	80		
1.15	0.77	0.08	0.85	0.04	0.01	0.05	47	9	56		
1.20	0.79	0.09	0.88	0.02	0.01	0.03	26	7	33		
1.25	0.81	0.10	0.90	0.01	0.00	0.02	17	5	22		
1.30	0.82	0.10	0.92	0.01	0.00	0.01	13	4	17		
1.40	0.83	0.10	0.94	0.01	0.00	0.01		2	10		
1.45	0.84	0.11	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.01	5	ž	7		
1.50	0.84	0.11	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	4	1	5		
1.55	0.84	0.11	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	3	1	4		
1.60	0.85	0.11	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	0	2		
1.70	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	,		
1.75	0.85	0.11	C.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	ò	ŏ	Ó		
1.80	0.85	0.11	0,96	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ō	Ō	0		
1.85	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		
1.90	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		
2 00	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	U A	0		
2.05	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.00	0.00	ŏ	ő	ő		
2.10	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.00	0.00	Ō	Ō	Ō		
2.15	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		
2.20	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		
2,30	0.85	0.11	0.90	0-	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		
2.35	0.85	0.11	0.96	ó.	0.	0_	õ	ő	0		
2.40	0.85	0.11	C.96	0.	ō.	ō.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ		
2.45	0.85	0.11	C.96	0.	0.	0.	0	Ó	0		
2.50	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
2.40	0.85	0.11	0.96	υ.	<i>0</i> .	υ.	0	0	Ő		
2.65	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	Ň		
2.70	0.85	0.11	0.96	ō.	Ö.	ŏ.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ		

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1318,

for a 0.05-Hour Time Increment

			\$	URFACE FL	DW TIME H	ISTORY			
			SURFACE	FLOW/IN					CE 51 04
	C	CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL						FT/MIN/AC	RE
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
			•	•					
0.05	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	ŭ
0.10	ŏ.	ŏ.	ŏ.	ŏ.	ŏ.	ŏ.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
0.15	0.	ō.	õ.	ò.	ō.	ō.	ō	ŏ	ō
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	Ō	Ō	Ō
0.25	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.30	0.	0.	c.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.35	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.40	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
9.45	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.50	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.01	8	3	11
0,33	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.03	20	12	38
1 45	0.00	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.02	0.03	40		04
0 70	0.14	0.11	6.24	0.04	0.03	0.00	22	57	
0.75	0.22	0.16	0.37	0.04	0.05	0.11	70	40	110
0.80	0.28	0.22	0.50	0.06	0.04	0.12	77	71	148
0.85	0.35	0.28	0-63	0.07	0-07	0.16	84	80	144
0.90	0.42	0.36	0.78	0.07	0.07	0.15	20	19	178
0.95	0.50	0.43	0.94	0.08	0.08	0.16	94	94	190
1.00	0.58	0.52	1.10	0.08	9.09	0.17	98	102	200
1.05	0.66	0.61	1.27	0.08	2.09	0.17	99	16.7	206
1.10	0.73	0.69	1.42	0.06	0.08	0.15	78	101	179
1.15	0.77	0.76	1.53	0.04	0.07	0.11	47	85	132
1.20	0.79	28.0	1.61	0.05	0.05	0.08	26	66	92
1.25	0.81	0.86	1.67	0.01	0.04	0.06	17	50	67
1.30	0.82	0.89	1.71	0.01	0.03	0.04	13	38	51
1.35	0.83	0.92	1.74	0.01	0.02	0.03	10	29	39
1.40	0.83	0.93	1.77	0.01	0.02	0.03	8	22	30
1.45	0.84	0.95	1.79	0.00	0.01	0.02	5	16	21
1.50	0.84	0.96	1.80	0.00	0.01	0.01	4	12	16
1.33	0.84	0.97	1.81	0.00	0.01	0.01	3	9	12
1.00	0.85	0.97	1-82	0.00	0.01	0.01	2	7	9
1.07	0.87	0.98	1.82	0.00	0.00	0.01	!	2	0
1 76	0.85	0.70	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.00		3	•
1 20	0.05	0.70	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	U O	Ś	ŝ
1.85	0.85	0.99	1.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	ů č	2	í í
1.90	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ň		
1.95	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	ň	'n	'n
2.00	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	ň	ŏ	ŏ
2.05	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.00	0.00	ő	ň	ŏ
2.10	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.00	0.00	ŏ	ň	ő
2.15	0.85	0.99	1_84	ő.	0.00	0_00	ŏ	ŏ	ň
2.20	0.85	0.99	1.84	ŏ.	0.00	0.00	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
2.25	0.85	0.99	1.84	Ō.	0.00	0.00	ō	ō	ŏ
2.30	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.00	0.00	Ó	õ	Ō
2.35	0.85	0.99	1,84	0.	0.00	0.00	Ō	Ō	0
2,40	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	Ō	Ó	0
2.45	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	Ō	0	0
2.50	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	Ō	0	0
2.55	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	Ó	Ō	0
2.60	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	Ō	Ō	0
2.65	0.85	0.99	1,84	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
2.70	0.85	0.99	1.84	<u>0</u> _	0.	Ô.	Ō	Ō	Ō

د. مراجع الم

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1325,

for a 0.05-Hour Time Increment

	SURFACE FLOW TIME HISTORY										
			SURFACE	FLOW, IN							
	CUMULATIVE			1	NCREMENTA	L.	INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE				
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL		
0.	0.	0.	с.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.05	ō.	Ō.	ö.	0.	ō.	Ö.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ		
0.10	0.	0.	٥.	0.	σ.	0.	0	0	0		
3.15	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	g	0		
0.30	0	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	ů,	0		
0.35	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
0.40	0	0	õ.	0.	Ő.	0.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ		
0.45	0.	0.	ō.	Ō.	0.	ŏ.	ō	ŏ	ö		
0.50	0.	0.01	0.01	0.	0.01	0.01	0	7	7		
3.55	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.02	0	27	27		
0.60	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.01	0.04	0.05		54	62		
J.05	0.02	0.15	0.17	0.02	0.07	0.09	19	86	105		
0.75	0.03	0.25	0.30 C 47	0.02	0.10	0.13	29	125	1 2 4		
0.80	0.12	0.58	0.49	0.04	0.19	0.22	30	225	2 7 1		
0.85	0.16	0.81	0.98	0_04	0.24	0.28	53	286	110		
0190	0.21	1,11	1.32	0.05	0.29	0.34	59	355	414		
0.95	0.27	1.46	1.73	0.05	0.36	0.41	64	430	494		
1.00	0.32	1.89	2.21	0.06	0,42	0.48	70	511	581		
1.05	0.38	2.38	2.76	0.06	0,49	0.55	72	595	667		
1.10	0.43	5.95	3.35	0.05	0.55	0.59	58	66 0	718		
1.15	0.46	3.50	3.96	0.03	0.58	0.61	36	701	737		
1.20	0.48	4.10	4.58	0.02	0,60	59.0	20	726	746		
1.25	0.49	4.72 5.34	5.21	0.01	0.02	0.63	13	744	757		
1.35	0.50	5.97	6.48	0.01	0.63	0.03	7	758	700		
1.40	0.51	6.59	7.10	0.01	0.62	0.62	Å	749	755		
1.45	0.51	7,19	7.70	0.00	0.60	0.60	4	726	730		
1.50	0.52	7.76	8.28	0.00	0.57	0.57	3	691	694		
1.55	0.52	8.30	8.82	0.00	0.53	0.54	S	64 6	648		
1.60	0.52	8.79	9.31	0.00	0.49	0.49	5	594	596		
1.65	0.52	9.23	9.75	0.00	0.44	0.44	1	536	5 37		
1.70	0.52	9.03	10.15	0.00	0.39	0.39	0	476	476		
1.80	0.52	10 27	10.47	0.00	0.34	0.34	U O	410	410		
1.85	0.52	10.52	11.04	0.00	0.25	0.25	ň	307	307		
1.90	0.52	10.74	11.26	0.00	0.21	0.21	ŏ	25.9	259		
1.95	0.52	10.91	11.44	0.00	0.18	0.18	ō	216	216		
2.00	0.52	11.06	11.59	0.	0.15	0.15	Ó	179	179		
2.05	0.52	11,18	11.71	0.	0.12	0,12	0	147	147		
2.10	0.52	11.28	11.81	0.	0.10	0.10	0	119	119		
2.15	0.52	11.36	11.89	0.	0.08	0.08	0	96	96		
2.20	0.52	11.43	11.95	0.	0.06	0.06	<u>o</u>	77	77		
2.25	54.0	11.48	12.00	U.	0.05	0.05	0	50	50		
2.30	0.52	11.76	12.07	0.	0.04	0.03	Ŭ	3.0	47		
2.40	0.52	11.58	12.10	Ň.	0.03	0.03	ä	56	10		
2.45	0.52	11.60	12.12	0.	0.02	0.02	ň	21	2.3		
2.50	0.52	11.41	12.13	0	0.02	0.02	ň	18	18		
2.55	0.52	11.62	12.15	õ.	0.01	0.01	ŏ	14	14		
2.60	0.52	11.63	12.16	0.	0.01	0.01	ŏ	10	10		
2.65	0.52	11.64	12.16	0.	0.01	0.01	0		8		
2.70	0.52	11.64	12.17	0.	0.01	0.01	Ō	6	6		

3

1999 - 18 A

	Ta	b	le	12
--	----	---	----	----

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1331,

for a 0.05-Hour Time Increment

			s 	URFACE FL	OW TINE H	ISTORY			
			SURFACE	FLOW, IN		******	INCREME	NTAL SURFA	CE FLOW
T 1 ME	C	UNULATIVE		1	NCREMENTA	L	CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
3.	0.	0_	0.	0-	0.	· 0.	0	0	0
0.05	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
0.10	0.	0.	ē.	ō.	0.	0.	ŏ	ō	ŏ
0.15	0.	0.	Ő.	0.	<u>0</u> .	ă.	ō	ā	õ
0.20	ō.	Ö.	Ö.	Ö.	ō.	Ő.	ō	Ō	ŏ
3.25	Ō.	Ō.	ō.	0.	Ö,	Ō.	Ó	Ō	Ō
0.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.35	0.	0.00	0.00	0.	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
0.40	0.	0.00	0.00	0.	0.00	0.00	Ó	0	Ö
0.45	0.	0.00	C.00	0.	0.00	0.00	Ó	1	1
0,50	0.	0.01	0.01	0.	0.00	0.00	0	4	4
0.55	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0	9	9
0.60	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	8	16	24
0.65	0.02	0.05	0,07	0.02	20.0	0.04	19	26	45
0.70	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.02	0.03	0.06	29	36	65
0.75	0.08	0_12	0.20	0.03	0.04	0.07	38	49	87
0.80	0.12	0.17	0.29	0.04	0.05	0.09	46	63	109
0.85	0.16	0.24	C.40	0.04	0.07	0.11	53	78	131
0.90	0.21	0.32	0.53	0.05	0.08	0.13	59	95	1 5 4
0.95	0.27	0.41	0.67	0.05	0.09	0.15	64	112	176
1.00	0.32	0.52	0.84	0.06	0.11	0.17	70	130	200
1.05	0.38	0.64	1.02	0.06	0.12	0.18	72	148	2 2 0
1.10	D.43	0,77	1.20	0.05	0.13	0.18	58	160	218
1.15	0.46	0.91	1.37	0.03	0.14	0.17	36	165	105
1.20	0.48	1.05	1.53	0.02	0.14	0.15	20	166	186
1.25	0.49	1,18	1.67	0.01	0,14	0.15	13	166	179
1.30	0.50	1,32	1.82	0.01	0.14	0.15	10	165	175
1.35	0.50	1.45	1.96	0.01	0.13	0.14	7	162	169
1.40	0.51	1.58	5.08	0.01	0.13	0.13	6	157	163
1.45	0.51	1.71	5.55	0.00	0.12	0.13	4	150	154
1.50	0.52	1.83	2.34	0.00	0.12	0.12	3	142	145
1.55	0.52	1,94	2.45	0.00	0.11	0.11	2	133	135
1.60	0.52	2.04	2.56	0.00	0.10	0.10	2	124	126
1.65	0.52	2,13	2.65	0.00	0.10	0.10	1	115	116
1.70	0.52	2.22	2.74	0.00	0.09	0.09	0	106	106
1.75	0.52	2.30	5.85	0.00	0.08	0.08	0	97	97
1.80	0.52	2.38	5.90	0.00	0.07	0.07	0	89	89
1.85	0.52	2.44	2.97	0.00	0.07	0.07	0	81	81
1.90	0.52	2.51	3.03	0.00	0.06	0.06	0	74	74
1.95	0.52	2.56	3.08	0.00	0.06	0.06	0	68	68
S .00	0.52	2.61	3.14	0.	0.05	0.05	0	62	59
5.02	0.52	2.66	3.18	0.	0.05	0.05	0	56	56
2.10	0.52	2.70	3.53	0.	0.04	0.04	0	51	51
5.12	0.52	2.74	3.26	0.	0.04	0.04	0	46	46
5.50	0.52	2.78	3.30	0.	0.04	0.04	0	42	42
5.52	0.52	2.81	3.33	0.	0.03	0.03	0	38	38
5.30	0.52	2.84	3.36	0.	0.03	0.03	0	35	35
2.35	0.52	2.86	3.39	0.	0.03	0.03	0	31	31
2.40	0.52	2.89	3.41	0.	0.02	0.02	0	29	54
2.45	0.52	2.91	3.43	0.	0.02	0.02	0	56	26
2.50	0.52	2.93	3.45	0.	0.02	0.02	0	24	24
2.55	0.52	2.95	3.47	Ö.	0.02	50.0	0	21	21
2.60	0.52	2.96	3.49	0.	0.02	50.0	0	19	19
2.65	0.52	2.98	3.50	0.	0.01	0.01	0	18	18
2.70	0.52	2.90	1.52	n.	0.01	0.01	Ď	16	16

.

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1342,

for a 0.05-Hour Time Increment

			\$	URFACE FL	OW TIME H	ISTORY			
			SURFACE	FLOW, IN			INCREME	NTAL SURFA	CE FLOW
	c	UNULATIVE		1	NCREMENTA		CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	D	n	٥
0.05	0.	0.	0.	0.	Ö.	ō.	ō	Ö	ŏ
0.10	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	Õ	ō	ō
0.15	0.	0.	с.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.25	0.	0.	<u>0</u> .	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	Q	0
3.35	0.	0.	0.	σ.	0.	<i>a</i> .	o	0	0
0.40	0.	0.	U.	U.	0 .	U.	0	0	0
0 60	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0	U,	0
0.55	0.03	0.00	C 04	0.07	0.00	0.01	24	11	11
0.40	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.02	0.01	0.03	20 40	13	37
3.65	0.11	0.10	0.20	0.04	0.06	0.10	\$2	48	120
3,70	0.16	0.19	0.35	0.05	0-09	0.14	62	109	171
0.75	0.22	0.32	0.54	0.06	0.13	0.19	70	15.8	228
3.80	0.28	0.50	0.78	0.06	0.18	0.24	77	213	290
3.85	0.35	0.72	1.07	0.07	0.23	0.30	84	273	357
0.90	0.42	1.00	1.43	0.07	0.28	0.35	89	336	425
0.95	0.50	1.33	1.84	0.08	0.33	0.41	94	401	495
1.00	0.58	1.72	2.30	0.08	0.39	0.47	98	466	564
1.05	0.66	2.16	5.85	0.08	0.44	0.52	99	529	628
1.10	0.73	2.63	3.36	0.06	0.47	0.54	78	569	647
1.15	0.77	3.10	3.87	0.04	0.48	0.52	47	577	624
1.20	0.79	3.57	4.36	0.02	0.46	0.49	26	560	586
1.25	0.81	4.00	4.81	0.01	0.44	0.45	17	529	546
1.30	0.82	4.41	5.23	0.01	0.40	0.42	13	489	502
1.35	0.83	4.78	5.60	0.01	0.37	0.38	10	443	453
1.40	0.83	5.10	5,94	0.01	0.33	0.33	8	395	403
1.43	0.84	5.59	6.23	0.00	0.29	0.29	5	34.6	351
1.50	0.84	2.04	6.48	0.00	0.25	0.25	4	29.9	303
1.22	0.84	2.82	0.07	0.00	0.21	0.21	3	220	239
1.00		6.03	0.0/	0.00	0.16	0.18	ć	216	218
1 70	0.07	4 10	7.02	0.00	0.13	0.15	1	181	182
1 75	0.85	4 40	7 25	0.00	0.12	0.13	ż	130	1 3 2
1.80	0.85	A 40	7 34	0.00	0.08	0.00	ŏ	101	101
1.85	0.85	A. 5A	7.40	0.00	0.07	0.07	Ň	82	\$2
1.90	0.85	6.61	7.46	0.00	0.05	0.06	ŏ	A A	44
1.95	0.85	6.65	7.50	0.00	0.04	0.04	ň	\$3	
2.00	0.85	6.69	7.54	0.	0.03	0.03	ŏ	62	62
2.05	0.85	6.72	7.57	ō.	0.03	0.03	ŏ	33	33
2.10	0.85	6.74	7.59	0.	0.02	0.02	ŏ	26	26
2.15	0.85	6.76	7.60	ō.	0.02	0.02	ŏ	20	20
2.20	0.85	6.77	7.62	Ο.	0.01	0.01	Ó	16	16
2.25	0.85	6.78	7.63	0.	0.01	0.01	Ō	12	12
2.30	0.85	6.79	7.64	0.	0.01	0.01	Ō	ý.	9
2.35	0.85	6.79	7.64	٥.	0.01	0.01	0	7	7
2.40	0.85	6.80	7.65	0.	0.00	0.00	0	5	5
2.45	0.85	6.80	7.65	0.	0.00	0.00	0	4	4
2.50	0.85	6.81	7.65	0.	0.00	0.00	0	3	3
2.55	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.00	0.00	0	2	2
2.60	0,85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.00	0.00	0	1	1
2.65	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.00	0.00	0	1	1
Z .70	0.85	6.81	7.66	ο.	0.00	0.00	0	1	1

Charles and

14.0

Table	14
-------	----

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1355,

SUBFACE FLOW_IN INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL SUBFACE FLOW CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL SUBFACE FLOW CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL SUBFACE FLOW CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL SUBFACE FLOW CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL SUBFACE FLOW CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL SUBFACE FLOW CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL CUMULATIVE INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL		SURFACE FLOW TIME HISTORY											
INCREMENTAL SUPFACE FLOW INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL SUPFACE FLOW CUNULATIVE INCREMENTAL SUPFACE FLOW CUNULATIVE INCREMENTAL SUPFACE FLOW INCREMENTAL INCREMENTAL SUPFACE FLOW INCREMENTAL SUPFACE INCREN		*****		SURFACE	FLOW, IN								
TIME RAIN NOVE TOTAL RAIN NOVE TOTAL 0.0		CU	MULATIVE		 I#	CREMENTAL		INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HOUR	RAIN	NOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	NOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL			
	a.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.05	ō.	ō.	ō.	ō.	0.	0.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ			
0.15 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0 0 0.20 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0 0 0 0.35 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0 <t< td=""><td>3.10</td><td>0.</td><td>0.</td><td>0.</td><td>0.</td><td>0.</td><td>0.</td><td>Ō</td><td>ō</td><td>Ō</td></t<>	3.10	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	Ō	ō	Ō			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.15	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.25	0.	0.	c.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.33	0.	0.	0.	0.	U.	0.	0	0	0			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J.4U	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0			
0.75 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 <th< td=""><td>0.50</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.01</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></th<>	0.50	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01			0			
0.60 0.06 0.03 0.02 0.05 40 25 65 0.65 0.11 0.07 0.18 0.04 0.09 52 51 103 0.70 0.16 0.14 0.04 0.09 52 51 103 0.70 0.16 0.14 0.04 0.09 52 51 103 0.70 0.12 0.22 0.25 0.47 0.06 0.11 0.16 70 128 198 0.70 0.42 0.25 0.42 0.42 0.44 71 77 254 0.85 0.35 0.59 0.94 0.07 0.19 0.26 84 234 318 0.95 0.50 1.15 1.65 0.08 0.37 0.45 98 450 548 1.05 0.66 1.96 2.63 0.08 0.57 78 611 689 1.15 0.77 3.61 4.41 0.	0.55	0.03	0.01	0-04	0.02	0.01	0.03	2Å		15			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.60	0.06	0.03	C.09	0.03	0.02	0.05	40	25	45			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.65	0.11	0.07	0.18	0.04	0.04	0.09	52	\$1	103			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.70	0.16	0.14	0.30	0.05	0.07	0.12	62	86	148			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.75	0.22	0.25	0.47	0.06	0.11	0.16	70	128	198			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.80	0.28	0.40	0.68	0.06	0.15	0.21	77	177	254			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.85	0.35	0.59	0.94	0.07	0.19	0.26	84	234	318			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.90	0.42	0.84	1.26	0.07	0.25	0.32	89	299	388			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.95	0.50	1.15	1.65	0.08	0.31	0.38	94	371	465			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.00	0.58	1.52	2.10	80.0	0.37	0.45	98	450	548			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.05	0.00	1.90	2.03	0.08	0.44	0.52	99	535	634			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 15	0.73	2.9/	3.20	0.06	0.51	0.57	18	011	087			
1.250.875.210.010.620.66177547711.300.824.895.700.010.650.66137667991.350.835.566.380.010.670.68108118211.400.836.257.080.010.670.68108118211.450.846.947.780.000.700.7058428471.500.847.648.480.000.700.7048448481.550.848.339.180.000.6938378401.600.859.019.860.000.680.6828228221.650.859.6710.510.000.630.6317937941.700.8510.8811.730.000.590.5907157151.800.8511.6811.730.000.550.5506686681.850.8512.8413.690.000.470.4705655651.950.8512.8413.690.000.420.4205125122.000.8513.2214.070.0.380.3804614612.050.8513.8614.410.0.380.3804614612.000.8513.86<	1.20	0.79	3.61	5.19	0.04	0.50	0.59	47	217	719			
1.30 0.82 4.89 5.70 0.01 0.65 0.66 13 786 799 1.35 0.83 5.56 6.38 0.01 0.67 0.68 10 811 821 1.40 0.83 6.25 7.08 0.01 0.67 0.68 10 811 821 1.45 0.84 6.94 7.78 0.00 0.70 5 842 847 1.50 0.84 7.64 8.48 0.00 0.70 0.70 4 844 848 1.55 0.84 8.33 9.18 0.00 0.69 3 837 840 1.65 0.85 9.67 10.51 0.00 0.66 0.66 1 793 794 1.75 0.85 10.88 11.73 0.00 0.66 0.63 1 757 758 1.75 0.85 10.88 11.73 0.00 0.55 0.55 0 668 648 1.85 0.85 11.95 12.279 0.00 0.51 <t< td=""><td>1.25</td><td>0.81</td><td>4.24</td><td>5-04</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.42</td><td>0.02</td><td>20</td><td>754</td><td>743</td></t<>	1.25	0.81	4.24	5-04	0.01	0.42	0.02	20	754	743			
1.350.835.566.380.010.670.68108118211.400.836.257.080.010.690.6988318391.450.846.947.780.000.700.7058428471.500.847.648.480.000.700.7048448481.550.848.339.180.000.690.6938378401.600.859.019.860.000.6490.66417937941.650.859.6710.510.000.6680.66617937941.700.8510.2911.140.000.630.6317577581.750.8510.8811.730.000.5907157151.800.8511.4412.280.000.510.5106686481.850.8512.4113.260.000.470.4705655651.950.8512.8413.690.000.420.4205125122.000.8513.5614.410.0.380.3804614612.050.8513.5614.410.0.310.3404114112.100.8513.5614.410.0.230.2303203202.200.8	1.30	0.82	4.89	5.70	0.01	0.65	0.66	13	78.6	799			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.35	0.83	5.56	6.38	0.01	0.67	0.68	10	811	821			
1.450.846.947.780.000.700.70584.28471.500.847.648.480.000.700.70484.48481.550.848.339.180.000.690.6938378401.600.859.019.860.000.680.6828208221.650.859.6710.510.000.660.6617937941.700.8510.2911.140.000.630.6317577581.800.8511.4412.280.000.550.5506686481.850.8511.4412.280.000.6470.5106176171.900.8512.4113.260.000.470.42705655651.950.8512.8413.690.000.420.4205125122.000.8513.2214.070.0.380.3804614612.050.8513.5614.410.0.370.2703203202.200.8514.3615.210.0.230.2302802802.250.8514.3615.410.0.230.2302802802.250.8514.3615.410.0.270.2703203202.20	1.40	0.83	6.25	7.08	0.01	0.69	0.69	8	831	839			
1.50 0.84 7.64 8.48 0.00 0.70 0.70 4 84.4 848 1.55 0.84 8.33 9.18 0.00 0.69 3 837 840 1.60 0.85 9.01 9.86 0.00 0.69 3 837 840 1.65 0.85 9.67 10.51 0.00 0.68 0.66 2 820 822 1.65 0.85 9.67 10.51 0.00 0.66 0.66 1 793 794 1.70 0.85 10.29 11.14 0.00 0.63 0.63 1 757 758 1.75 0.85 10.88 11.73 0.00 0.59 0.59 0 715 715 1.80 0.85 11.44 12.28 0.00 0.55 0.55 0 668 648 1.85 0.85 11.95 12.79 0.00 0.51 0.57 0 617 617 1.90 0.85 12.41 13.26 0.00 0.47 0.565 565 2.00 0.85 12.84 13.69 0.00 0.42 0 512 512 2.00 0.85 13.22 14.07 $0.$ 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.26 0.00 0.42 0 312 312 312 2.00 0.85 13.26 14.07 $0.$ 0.30 0.36 <	1.45	0.84	6.94	7.78	0.00	0.70	0.70	5	84 2	847			
1.55 0.84 8.33 9.18 0.00 0.69 3 837 840 1.60 0.85 9.01 9.86 0.00 0.68 0.68 2 820 822 1.65 0.85 9.67 10.51 0.00 0.66 0.66 1 793 794 1.70 0.85 10.29 11.14 0.00 0.66 0.63 1 757 758 1.75 0.85 10.88 11.73 0.00 0.59 0.59 0 715 715 1.80 0.85 11.44 12.28 0.00 0.55 0 668 668 1.85 0.85 11.95 12.79 0.00 0.51 0.51 0 617 617 1.90 0.85 12.41 13.26 0.00 0.47 0.47 0 565 565 1.95 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.34 <t< td=""><td>1.50</td><td>0_84</td><td>7.64</td><td>8.48</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.70</td><td>0.70</td><td>4</td><td>84.4</td><td>848</td></t<>	1.50	0_84	7.64	8.48	0.00	0.70	0.70	4	84.4	848			
1.60 0.85 9.01 9.86 0.00 0.68 0.68 2 820 822 1.65 0.85 9.67 10.51 0.00 0.66 0.66 1 793 794 1.70 0.85 10.29 11.14 0.00 0.63 0.63 1 757 758 1.75 0.85 10.88 11.73 0.00 0.59 0 715 715 1.80 0.85 11.44 12.28 0.00 0.55 0.55 0 668 668 1.85 0.85 11.95 12.79 0.00 0.47 0.47 0 665 565 1.95 0.85 12.41 13.26 0.00 0.47 0.47 0 565 565 1.95 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.30 0.30 0 320 320 2.00 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. <t< td=""><td>1.55</td><td>0.84</td><td>8.33</td><td>9.18</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.69</td><td>0.69</td><td>3</td><td>837</td><td>840</td></t<>	1.55	0.84	8.33	9.18	0.00	0.69	0.69	3	837	840			
1.65 0.85 9.67 10.51 0.00 0.66 0.66 1 793 794 1.70 0.85 10.29 11.14 0.00 0.63 0.63 1 757 758 1.75 0.85 10.88 11.73 0.00 0.59 0.59 0 715 715 1.80 0.85 11.44 12.28 0.00 0.55 0.55 0 668 668 1.85 0.85 11.95 12.79 0.00 0.51 0.417 617 617 1.90 0.85 12.84 13.26 0.00 0.42 0.42 0 512 512 2.00 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.34 0 411 411 2.10 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.23 0.23 0 320 320 320 2.10 0.85 14.97 0. 0.34	1.60	0.85	9.01	9.86	0.00	0.68	0.68	S	820	228			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.65	0.85	9.67	10.51	0.00	0.66	0.66	1	793	794			
1.80 0.85 11.73 0.00 0.39 0.39 0 715 715 1.80 0.85 11.44 12.28 0.00 0.55 0.55 0 668 668 1.85 0.85 11.95 12.79 0.00 0.51 0.51 0 617 617 1.90 0.85 12.41 13.26 0.00 0.47 0.47 0 565 565 1.95 0.85 12.84 13.69 0.00 0.42 0.42 0 512 512 2.00 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.86 14.71 0. 0.30 0.30 0 344 364 2.10 0.85 13.86 14.71 0. 0.27 0.27 0 320 320 320 2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0. 0.23 0.23 0 280 280 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.	1.70	0.85	10.29	11.14	0.00	0.63	0.63	1	757	758			
1.85 0.85 11.44 12.25 0.00 0.35 0.35 0 0.68 0.68 1.85 0.85 12.41 13.26 0.00 0.51 0.51 0 617 617 617 1.90 0.85 12.41 13.26 0.00 0.47 0.47 0 565 565 1.95 0.85 12.84 13.69 0.00 0.42 0.42 0 512 512 2.00 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.86 14.41 0. 0.30 0.30 0 344 344 2.15 0.85 14.12 14.97 0. 0.27 0.27 0 320 320 2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0. 0.23 0.23 0 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.76 15.41 0. 0.20 0.20 0 244 244 2.30 0.85 14.73 <td< td=""><td>1 80</td><td>0.85</td><td>10.88</td><td>11.73</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.39</td><td>0.59</td><td>0</td><td>715</td><td>715</td></td<>	1 80	0.85	10.88	11.73	0.00	0.39	0.59	0	715	715			
1.90 0.85 12.41 13.26 0.00 0.47 0.47 0 565 565 1.95 0.85 12.84 13.69 0.00 0.47 0.47 0 565 565 1.95 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.42 0 512 512 2.00 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.34 0.34 0 411 411 2.10 0.85 13.86 14.471 0. 0.30 0.30 0 364 364 2.15 0.85 14.12 14.97 0. 0.23 0.27 0 320 320 320 2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0. 0.23 0.23 0 280 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.54 15.41 0. 0.20 0.20 0 244 244 2.30 0.85 14.454	1.85	0.85	11 05	12 20	0.00	0.53	0.53	Ŭ	417	417			
1.95 0.85 12.84 13.69 0.00 0.42 0.42 0 512 512 2.00 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.00 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.34 0 411 411 2.10 0.85 13.86 14.41 0. 0.30 0.30 0 364 364 2.10 0.85 14.42 14.97 0. 0.27 0.27 0 320	1.90	0.85	12.41	13.24	0.00	0.47	0.47	ŏ	545	645			
2.00 0.85 13.22 14.07 0. 0.38 0.38 0 461 461 2.05 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.34 0.34 0 411 411 2.10 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.34 0.34 0 411 411 2.10 0.85 13.86 14.71 0. 0.30 0.30 0 364 364 2.15 0.85 14.12 14.97 0. 0.27 0.27 0 320 320 2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0. 0.23 0.23 0 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.56 15.41 0. 0.20 0.23 0 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.73 15.58 0. 0.17 0.17 0 211 211 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13	1.95	0.85	12.84	13.69	0.00	0.42	0.42	ŏ	512	512			
2.05 0.85 13.56 14.41 0. 0.34 0.34 0 411 411 2.10 0.85 13.86 14.71 0. 0.30 0.30 0 364 364 2.15 0.85 14.12 14.97 0. 0.27 0.27 0 320 320 2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0. 0.23 0.23 0 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.56 15.41 0. 0.20 0.20 244 244 2.30 0.85 14.73 15.58 0. 0.17 0.17 0 211 211 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0.15 0 182 182 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13 0 156 156	2.00	0.85	13.22	14-07	0.	0.38	0.38	ō	461	461			
2.10 0.85 13.86 14.71 0.0.30 0.30 0 344 364 2.15 0.85 14.12 14.97 0.0.27 0.27 0 320 320 2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0.0.023 0.23 0 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.76 15.41 0.020 0.200 0 244 244 2.30 0.85 14.73 15.58 0.017 0.17 0 211 211 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0.015 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0.013 0 156 156 156	2.05	0.85	13.56	14.41	Ū.	0.34	0.34	ŏ	411	411			
2.15 0.85 14.12 14.97 0. 0.27 0.27 0 320 320 2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0. 0.23 0.23 0 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.56 15.41 0. 0.20 0.20 0 244 244 2.30 0.85 14.73 15.48 0. 0.17 0.17 0 211 211 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13 0 156 156	2.10	0.85	13.86	14.71	0.	0.30	0.30	Ō	364	364			
2.20 0.85 14.36 15.21 0. 0.23 0.23 0 280 280 2.25 0.85 14.56 15.41 0. 0.20 0.20 0 244 244 2.30 0.85 14.73 15.58 0. 0.17 0.17 0 211 211 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13 0 156 156	2.15	0.85	14.12	14.97	0.	0.27	0.27	0	320	320			
2.25 0.85 14.56 15.41 0. 0.20 0.20 0 244 244 2.30 0.85 14.73 15.58 0. 0.17 0.17 0 211 211 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13 0 156 156	5.50	0.85	14.36	15.21	0.	0.23	0.23	0	280	280			
2.30 0.85 14.73 15.58 0. 0.17 0.17 0 211 211 2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13 0 156 156 2.45 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13 0 156 156	2.25	0.85	14.56	15.41	0.	0.20	0.20	0	244	244			
2.35 0.85 14.88 15.73 0. 0.15 0.15 0 182 182 2.40 0.85 15.01 15.86 0. 0.13 0.13 0 156 156 2.45 0.85 15 12 15 87	2.30	0.85	14.73	15.58	0.	0.17	0.17	0	211	211			
Z-4U U.BD 15-01 15-86 U. D.13 0.13 0 156 156 2.45 0.85 15 12 15 8 9 0 0.11 0.11 0 10 10 10	2.35	0.85	14.88	15.73	0.	0.15	0.15	Q	182	182			
Z.A.Y. 11.3.Y. 15.1.Z. 15.0.Z. 17. 17.4.L. A.4.L. A.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	2.40	0.85	15.01	15.86	0.	0.13	0.13	Q	156	156			
2 CO 0 CE 12 3 1/ 02 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.45	0.85	15.12	15.97	0.	0.11	0.11	Q	133	133			
	2.30	0.67	17.22	10.07	U.	0.09	0.07	0	113	113			
	6.77	U.07 A BE	12.30	10.14	U.	0.08	0.08	v v		70			
	2.00	0.07	12.30	10.21	.	0.07	0.07	v A					
	2.70	0.85	15.47	16.32	ů.	0.05	0.05	ă	57	57			

).

for a 0.05-Hour Time Increment

Cable i	15
----------------	----

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1317,

for a	0.10-Hour	Time]	lacrement

	SURFACE FLOW TIME HISTORY										
			SURFACE								
	CUMULATIVE			1	NCREVENTA	L	INCHEMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE				
HOUR	RAIN	ROVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	NOVE	TOTAL		
0.	0.	0.	0.	э.	э.	0.	0	0	0		
0.10	0.	0.	ο.	0.	с.	0.	0	0	0		
0.20	0.	٥.	σ.	0.	э.	0.	0	0	0		
0.30	٥.	ο.	0.	Ο.	э.	Ο.	0	0	0		
0.40	ο.	э.	0.	э.	э.	0.	0	0	0		
0,50	0.02	0.00	0.02	20.0	0.00	0.02	10	0	10		
0.60	0.08	0.01	0.09	0.07	0.01	0.07	40	3	43		
0.70	0.19	0.02	0.23	0.10	0.01	0.11	62	6	68		
0.80	0.31	0.03	0.35	3.13	3.01	0.14	77	9	86		
0.90	0.46	0.05	0.51	0,15	0.02	0.17	89	10	- 99		
1.00	0.62	0.07	0.70	0.16	0.02	0.18	98	12	110		
1.10	0.75	0.09	0.84	0.13	0.05	0.15	78	10	88		
1.23	0.80	0.10	0.93	3.04	2.01	D. 05	26	6	35		
1.30	0.62	0.10	0.93	0.02	0.01	0.03	13	3	16		
1.40	0.83	0.11	0.94	0.01	0.00	50.0	8	1	9		
1.50	0.84	0.11	0.95	0.01	0.00	0.01	4	0	4		
1.60	0.85	0.11	0.95	0.00	3.03	0.01	2	0	2		
1.70	D.85	0.11	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0	2		
1.80	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	D		
1.90	0.85	0.11	0.96	5.00	0.00	0.00	0	D	0		
5.00	0.85	0.11	0.96	э.	3.00	0.00	0	0	0		
2,10	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.00	0.00	D	0	0		
2.20	0.85	0.11	0.96	J.	2.	0.	0	0	0		
2,30	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
2.40	0.85	0.11	0.95	0.	0.	0.	Ó	0	Q		
2.53	0.85	0.11	0.96	D.	ŋ.	0.	0	0	0		
2,60	0.85	0.11	0.96	3.	0.	0.	0	0	0		
2.70	0.85	D.11	0,96	ο.	0.	0.	Ő	0	0		
2,80	0.85	0.11	0.96	٥.	ο.	0.	0	0	0		

Table 16

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1318,

for a 0.10-Hour Time Increment

			\$	URFACE FL	OW TIRE H	ISTORY			
					CF FLOW				
	CUMULATIVE			INCREMENTAL			CU FT/NIN/ACRE		
HOUR	RAIN	AOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RALN	NOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0,	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	a
0.10	õ.	ō,	ō.	Ĵ.	D.	ō.	ŏ	õ	ő
0.20	0.	0.	ō.	0 .	0,	0.	0	0	Ó
0.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	σ.	0.	0	0	0
0.40	0.	٥.	0.	з.	ο.	0.	0	0	0
0.50	0.02	0.01	0.03	3.02	0.01	0.03	10		18
0.60	0.05	0.07	0.15	0.07	0.04	0.12	40	34	74
0.70	0.19	0.17	0.36	0.10	0.10	0.20	62	60	122
0.80	0.31	0.31	0.62	0.13	0.13	0.26			158
0.93	0.40	3.47	0.93	3.15	2.16	0.31			166
1.00	0.62	0.65	1.27	0.10	0.18	0, 34	78	104	207
7.10	0.75	0.41	1.30	0.13	0.10	0			1/4
1.20	0.00	0.47	1.07	0.04	0.06	0,13		30	78
5 40	0.02	0.74		3.00	0.04	0.0/			37
	0.03	0.70	1 43	3.01	2.02	0 03			
1.20	0.00	3.86	1.45	2.00	0.01	0.02	;		
1 70	0.45	0.90		0.00	0.00	0.01		;	
1.60	0.41	0.99	1.84	3.00	0.00	0.00	ó		1
1.00	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	ă	ò	ċ
2.00	0.45	0.99	1.84	0.	0.00	0.00	ŏ	ŏ	õ
2.10	0.45	0.99	1.84	ā.	0.00	0.00	ă	ā	ŏ
2.20	0.85	D. 99	1.84	5.	3.00	0.00	ō	ŏ	ō
2.30	0.85	0.99	1.84	ō.	<u>0</u> .	Ö.	Ō	ŏ	ō
2.40	0.85	2.99	1.84	5.	Σ.	Ö.	Ő	Ŏ	ō
2.50	0.85	0.99	1.84	٥.	0.	0.	Ō	Ó	ō
2.40	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	Đ.	0.	Ó	Ó	Ő
2.70	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	Ó	Ō	Ō
2.60	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	0	Ó	0

and the second
and the second state where

Table	17
-------	----

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1325,

for a	0.10-	Hour Time	Increment

		********	\$	URFACE FL	OW TIME H	ISTORY			
			SURFACE						
	CUNULATIVE			1	NCREMENTA	ι	INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
NOUR	RAIN	NOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0.	0.	э.	э.	0.	0	0	0
0.10	0.	0.	0.	э.	0.	0.	Ö	Ō	0
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	ο.	0.	0	0	0
0.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	ο.	0.	0	0	0
0.40	٥.	0.	0.	э.	0.	٥.	0	0	0
0.50	0.	0.02	0.02	0.	0.02	0.02	0	13	13
0.60	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.01	0.10	0.12	8	62	70
0.70	0.06	0.34	0.43	0.05	0.21	0.26	29	127	156
0.80	0.14	0.70	0.84	0.08	0.36	D.44	46	220	246
0.90	0.24	1.26	1.49	0.10	0.55	0.65	59	335	394
1.00	0.35	2.04	2.40	0.12	0.79	0.90	69	477	546
1.10	0.45	3.04	3.49	0.10	1.00	1.10	58	605	663
1.23	0.48	4.15	4.64	2.03	1.11	1.14	20	671	691
1.30	0.50	5.34	5.85	2.05	1,19	1,21	10	720	7 30
1.40	0.51	6.57	7.08	0.31	1.23	1.24	6	741	747
1.50	0.52	7.76	8.28	0.01	1,19	1.20	3	720	723
1.63	0.52	8.84	9.36	5.00	1.08	1.08	5	651	653
1.73	0.52	9.73	10.25	J.00	0.89	0.89	0	540	540
1.80	0.52	10.41	10.93	3.00	3.68	0.68	Q	409	409
1.90	0.52	10.88	11.40	0.00	0.47	0.47	0	582	285
2.00	0,52	11.19	11.71	ο.	0.31	0.31	0	186	186
2.10	0.52	11.38	11.93	5.	0.19	0.19	0	116	116
2.23	0,52	11.50	12.02	.	3.15	0.12	0	70	70
z.30	0.52	11.56	12.09	э.	0.07	0.07	0	41	41
Z.40	0.52	11.60	12.13	Q .	3.04	0.04	0	23	23
z. 50	0.52	11.62	12.15	0.	0.05	0.02	0	12	12
2.60	0.52	11.64	12.16	э.	0.01	0.01	0	6	6
z.70	0.52	11.64	12.16	0.	0.01	0.01	0	3	3
Z.80	0.52	11.64	12.17	0.	0.00	0.00	0	1	1

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1331, for a 0.10-Hour Time Increment

			\$	URFACE FL	OW TIME H	ISTORY			
			SURFACE						
TIME NOUR	C	UNULATIVE		INCREMENTAL			CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	NOVE	TOTAL
1.03	0.35	3.58	0.93	3.12	3.21	0,33	69	129	198
1.10	0.45	0.83	1,28	3.10	0.26	0.35	58	154	212
1.20	0.48	1.10	1.58	0.03	0.26	0.30	20	158	178
1.30	0.50	1.36	1.86	0.02	0.27	0.29	10	162	172
1.43	0.51	1.62	2.14	3.01	3.26	0.27	6	157	163
1.50	0.52	1.86	2.38	0.01	0.24	0.24	3	144	147
1.60	0.52	2.07	2.59	3.00	3.21	0.21	2	127	129
1.70	0.52	2.25	2.77	0.30	0.18	0.18	O O	108	108
1.80	0.52	2.40	2.92	0.00	0.15	0.15	0	90	90
1.93	0.52	2.52	3.05	0.00	0,12	0, 12	0	74	74
2.00	0.52	2.63	3.15	D.	0.10	0.10	Q	61	61
2.10	0.52	2.71	3.23	0.	0.08	0.08	0	50	50
2.20	0.52	2.78	3.30	2.	0.07	0.07	0	41	41
2.30	0.52	2.83	3.30	0.	0.06	0.06	0	33	33
2.40	0.52	2.88	3.40	0.	0.05	0.05	0	27	
2.35	0.32	6.96	3.44		0.04	0.04	, v		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
2 20	0.32	2.77	3.47	7.	0.03	0.03	, v	18	
2.70	0.32	2.00	3.47	0.	0.03	0.03	, in the second s	13	
	0.70	2.77	3.76	V .	0.00	0.02	U U	12	
× • • •	ų.	ų.	v .	ų.	0 .	0.	U U	0	0
0.10	.	¥.	ÿ.		.	v.	U O	ů,	0
0.20	ÿ.	.	ÿ.		9.	u.	U U	0	0
0.30	0.	0.00	0.00	¥.	U .	0.	v.	U N	3
0 61	.	0.00	0.00	.	0.00	0.00		U A	ÿ
0.40	ñ. n.	0.04	0.01	5.01	0.01	0.01	ų		
0.77	3.04	1.10	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.04	20		~
0.80	0.14	0.21	0.15	0.05	0 11	0.11		34	110
0.00	0.24	0.34	0.40	3.10	0.14	0 34			154

2.

ł

` • · "

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1342, for a 0.10-Hour Time Increment

	SURFACE FLOW TIME HISTORY									
			SURFACE	FLOWPIN						
		UNULATIVE		1	NCREVENTA	L	INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE			
NOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	
0.	0.	٥.	0.	٥.	0.	0.	0	0	0	
0.10	0.	ο.	0.	э.	ο.	0.	0	0	0	
0.20	0.	э.	0.	э.	Ο.	0.	0	0	0	
0.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0	
0.40	0.	J .	0.	3.	0.	0.	0	0	0	
0.50	0.02	0.01	0.03	D • JS	0.01	0.03	10	7	17	
0.60	0.08	0.09	0.18	0.07	0.08	0.15	40	48	88	
0.73	0.19	0.31	0.49	5.10	0.55	0.32	62	130	192	
0.80	0.31	0.71	1.02	3.13	0.40	0.53		240	317	
0.90	0.46	1.32	1.78	0.15	0.62	0.76	89	372	461	
1.00	0.62	2.17	2.19	0.16	0.85	1.01	98	512	610	
1.10	0.75	3.17	3.92	0.13	1.00	1.13	78	604	682	
1.23	0.80	4.14	4.94	3.04	0.97	1.01	26	586	612	
1.30	0.82	4,97	5.79	5.02	0.83	0.85	13	202	515	
1.40	0.83	5.60	0.44	0.01	0.64	0.65	8	385	393	
1.50	0.84	6.05	0.89	5.01	0.45	0.45		270	274	
1.60	0.85	0.35	/.19	0.00	0.30	0.50	2	1/9	181	
1.70	0.85	6.54	7.38	0.00	0.19	0.19	1	114	113	
1.80	0.85	0.65	(.))	3.00	0.12	0.12	0	70	70	
1.90	0.85	0.72	(-3)	0.00	0.07	0.0/	0			
2.00	0.85	0.70	7.01	3.	0.04	0.04	0	~~~~	~	
2.10	0.85	0./9	7.03	ų.	0.02	50.0	ů.	13	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	
2.20	0.83	0.80	7.03		0.01	0.01	ů,			
2.30	0.85	0.50	7.03	ų.	0.01	0.01	U	3	2	
2.40	0.85	5.51	7.00		5.03	0.00	0		4	
2.30	0.63	0.81	7.00		0.00	0.00	ů,	1	1	
2.00	0.85	0.81	7.00	u.	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	
2.73	0.85	0.81	7.00	5.	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	
2.80	0.85	6.81	7.66	ō.	0.00	0.00	ŏ	ŏ		

Table 20

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1355,

for a 0.10-Hour Time Increment

			\$	URFACE FL	OW TIME H	ISTORY			
			SURFACE	INCREME	NTAL SURFA	CE FLOW			
	CUNULATIVE			INCREVENTAL			CU	FT/MIN/AC	RE
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0.	0.	2.	э.	0.	0	٥	٥
0.10	0.	0.	0.	ō.	ō.	ŏ.	ŏ	õ	ō
0.20	0.	ο.	0.	0.	0.	Ō.	ō	ŏ	ō
0.30	0.	0.	0.	э.	0.	0.	0	Ō	Ō
0.40	0.	0.	0.	0.	٥.	0.	0	0	0
0.50	0.02	0.01	0.02	3.05	3.01	0.02	10	3	13
0.60	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.07	0.05	0.11	40	27	67
0.70	0.19	0.20	0.38	0.10	0.15	0.25	62		150
0.80	0.31	0.49	0.83	0.13	0.29	0.42	77	174	251
0.90	0.46	0.96	1.42	0.15	0.47	0.62	89	582	374
1.00	0.62	1.66	5.58	0.16	0.70	0.86	98	422	520
1.13	0.75	5.28	3.34	0.13	0.93	1.05	78	559	637
1.20	0.80	3.66	4.46	0.04	1.08	1.13	26	\$55	641
1.30	0.82	4.87	2.67	0.05	1.20	1.23	13	728	741
1.40	0.85	0.1/	7.00	0.01	1.30	1.32		787	793
1.30	0.84	7.53	8.38	0.01	1.30	1.37		825	824
1.00	0.87	8.91	4.13	0.00	1.35	1.58	2	433	833
1.70	0.87	10.24	11.08	0.00	1.33	1.33	1	801	802
1.00	0.87		12.27	0.00	1. (1	1.21	Ŭ	124	
1.75	0.07	16.90	13.35	0.00	1.03	1.04	, v	• / •	
2.00	0.07	13.32		y.	0.04	0.04	Š.	507	507
6.10	0.87	13.97	19.62	.	0.03	0. 03		373	343
2.20	0.07	14.47	13.30	ÿ.	0.47	0.47	, v	673	(73
2 40	0.85	16 06	16 60	.	0.37	0.33	, in the second s	212	216
2 40	0.03	12.02	12.70	0.	0.63	0.23	Ň	147	
2.40	0.07	17.22	10.07	¥.	0.17	0.17		103	103
2 70	0.87	16 43	10.19	v.	0.12	0.10	ů,	70	70
2.80	0.07	15.47	14.82	1.	2.04	0.06	, v	10	10

2

سعيد فلحت

1400-15

Table	2	1
-------	---	---

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1317, for a 0.20-Hour Time Increment

			IOT	a 0.20-nd	our lime li	icrement			
			\$	URFACE FL	OW TIME N	ISTORY			
			SURFACE						
****	CUMULATIVE			INCREMENTAL			INCHEMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
NOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	NOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0.	¢.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.40	0.00	0.00	C.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
0.60	0.13	0.02	0.15	0.13	0.02	0.14	38	4	42
0.50	0.39	0.05	0.44	0.26	0.03	0.29	78	10	88
1.00	0.72	0.09	0_81	0.33	0.04	0.37	100	13	113
1.20	0.81	0.11	0.92	0.09	0.01	0.10	27	3	30
1.40	0.84	0.11	0.95	0.03	0.00	0.03	8	1	9
1.60	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.01	0.00	0.01	5	0	5
1.80	0.85	0,11	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
2.00	0.85	0,11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
2.20	0.85	0,11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
2.40	0.85	0,11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
2.60	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
2.80	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.00	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.20	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.40	0.85	0.11	0.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.60	0.85	0.11	C.96	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0

 Table 22

 Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1318,

for a 0.20-Hour Time Increment

** * * * *			\$ •••••••	URFACE FL	98 TIME N *******	15 1 OR Y 		*******	
			SURFACE						
	C	UMULATIVE		INCREMENTAL			CU FT/NIN/ACRE		
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
ο.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0 .	Ō.	õ	õ	õ
0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	õ	ŏ	õ
0.60	0.13	0,13	C.26	0.13	0.13	0.26	38	39	77
0.80	0.39	0,42	0.81	0.26	0.29	0.55	78	86	144
1.00	0.72	0,80	1.52	0.33	0.38	0.71	100	114	214
1.20	0.81	0.93	1.74	0.09	0.13	0.22	27	40	67
1.40	D.84	0.97	1.81	0.03	0.04	0.07	8	12	20
1.60	0.85	0.99	1.83	0.01	0.01	0.02	2	4	6
1.80	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.01	0	0	Ó
2.00	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.00	0.00	0	Ó	Ō
2.20	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	0	Ó	Ō
Z.40	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
2.40	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	0	Ó	Ō
2.80	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	0	Ó	Ō
3.00	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	0	0	Ó
3.20	0.85	0.99	1,84	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.40	0.85	0.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	Ó	Ō	Ő
3.40	0.85	6.99	1.84	0.	0.	0.	ň	ō	ň

1.1

diam'r.

summer.

ş

Table 2	23
---------	----

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1325, for a 0.20-Hour Time Increment

			101	a 0.20-n	our lune l	acrement			
			S	URFACE FL	OW TIME H	ISTORY	*********		
			SURFACE						
T I ME HOUR	CUMULATIVE			INCREMENTAL			INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0°°C	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	ο.	Ō	ō	ŏ
0.40	0.	0.00	0.00	0.	0.00	0.00	Ō	ō	ŏ
0.60	0.03	0.19	0.22	0.03	0,19	0.22	10	56	66
0.80	0.19	0.69	0.88	0.15	0,51	0.66	46	153	199
1.00	0.42	1.69	2.11	0.24	0.99	1.23	71	300	371
1.20	0.49	2.87	3.36	0.07	1,18	1.25	20	357	377
1.40	0.51	4.21	4.72	0.02	1,34	1.36	6	404	410
1.60	0.52	5.67	6.19	0.01	1.46	1.47	2	442	444
1.80	0.52	7.21	7.74	0.00	1.54	1.55	0	467	467
2.00	0.52	8.71	9.24	0.	1.50	1.50	0	454	454
2.20	0.52	9,99	10.51	0.	1.27	1.27	0	38 5	385
2.40	0.52	10.87	11.40	0.	0.88	0.88	0	267	267
2.60	0.52	11.34	11.87	0.	0.47	0.47	Ó	162	142
2.80	0.52	11.54	12.07	θ.	0.20	0.20	Ō	60	60
3.00	0.52	11.62	12.14	0.	0.07	0.07	Ō	21	21
3.20	0.52	11.64	12.16	0.	0.02	0.02	ŏ	6	6
3.40	0.52	11.64	12.17	0.	0.01	0.01	Ō	1	1
3.60	0.52	11.64	12.17	0.	0.00	0.00	Ō	Ó	Ó

Table 24

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1331,

for a 0.20-Hour Time Increment

			\$	URFACE FL	OW TIME H	ISTORY			
T IME HOUR	CUMULATIVE			INCREMENTAL			INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	C
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.00	0.	0	0	0
0.40	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.00	10	11	21
0.80	0.19	0.18	0-37	0.15	0.14	0.30	44	63	
1.00	0.42	0.48	0.91	0.24	0.30	0.54	71	90	161
1.20	0.49	0.85	1.34	0.07	0.37	0.44	20	111	131
1.40	0.51	1.28	1.80	0.02	0.43	0.45	6	131	137
1.60	0.52	1.73	2.25	0.01	0.44	0.45	2	133	135
1.80	0.52	2.11	2.63	0.00	0.38	0.38	0	115	115
2.00	0.52	2.40	2.92	0.	0.29	0.29	0	88	84
2.20	0.52	2.61	3.13	0.	0.21	0.21	0	63	63
Z.40	0.52	2.75	3.27	0.	0.14	0.14	0	42	42
2.60	0.52	2 . 84	3.37	0.	0.09	0.09	0	28	28
5.80	0.52	2.90	3.43	0.	0.04	0.06	0	18	18
3.00	0.52	2.94	3.46	0.	0.04	0.04	0	11	11
3.20	0.52	2.97	3.49	0.	0.02	0.05	0	7	7
3.40	0.52	Z. 98	3.51	σ.	0.05	0.02	0	4	4
3.60	0.52	Z. 99	3.52	0.	0,01	0.01	0	3	3

.

i

1_____

Table	25
-------	----

Surface Flow line Mistory, Grid Sequence I	1342.	1342	Juence	Sea	Grid	History.	Time	Flow	Surface
--	-------	------	--------	-----	------	----------	------	------	---------

for a 0.20-Hour Time Increment

			51	URFACE FL	W TIME H	STORY			
			SURFACE	FLOW, IN					
	CUMULATIVE			INCREMENTAL			CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
HOUR	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	NOVE	TOTAL
э.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.20	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
0.60	0.13	0.55	0.35	0.13	0.22	0.35	38	67	105
0.80	0.39	1.03	1,42	0.26	0.80	1.06	78	242	320
1.00	0.72	2.60	3.32	0.33	1.58	1.91	100	477	577
1.20	0.81	4.43	5.24	0.09	1.82	1,91	27	551	578
1.40	0.84	5.84	6.68	0.03	1.42	1.44	8	428	4 36
1.60	0.85	6.50	7.35	0.01	0.65	0.66	2	198	2 O O S
1.80	0.85	6.72	7.57	0.00	0.22	0.23	0	67	67
2.00	0.85	6.79	7.64	0.	0.07	0.07	0	20	20
5.50	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.02	0.02	0	5	5
2.40	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
2.60	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
5-80	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.00	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	. 0.	0.	0	0	0
3.50	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.40	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
3.60	0.85	6.81	7.66	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0

and the second se

Table 26

Surface Flow Time History, Grid Sequence 1355,

for a 0.20-Hour Time Increment

			\$	URFACE FL	OV TIME H	ISTORY			
			SURFACE						
T I ME H OUR	C	UMULATIVE		INCREMENTAL			INCREMENTAL SURFACE FLOW CU FT/MIN/ACRE		
	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL	RAIN	MOVE	TOTAL
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.20	0.	٥.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0
0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
0.60	0.13	0.08	0.21	0.13	0.08	0.21	38	.25	63
0.80	0.39	0.52	C.91	0.26	0.43	0.69	78	130	208
1.00	0.72	1.50	2.22	0.33	0.98	1.31	100	297	397
1.20	0.81	2.82	3.63	0.09	1.33	1.42	27	401	428
1.40	0.84	4.34	5.18	0.03	1.52	1.55	8	459	467
1.60	0.85	5.97	6.82	0.01	1.63	1.64	z	493	495
1.80	0.85	7.67	8.52	0.00	1.69	1.69	0	511	511
2.00	0.85	9.41	10.26	0.	1.74	1.74	0	527	527
2.20	0.85	11, 14	11,99	0.	1.73	1.73	0	524	524
2.40	0.85	12.72	13.57	ō.	1.58	1.58	Ő	477	477
2.60	0.85	13.96	14.80	0.	1.24	1.24	0	373	373
2.80	0.85	14.75	15.60	Ö.	0.80	0.80	Õ	241	241
3.00	0.85	15.18	16.03	Ö.	0.42	0.42	Ō	128	128
3.20	0.85	15.37	16.22	Ő.	0.19	0.19	ŏ	57	57
3.40	0.85	15.44	14.29	Ő.	0.07	0.07	ŏ	22	22
3.40	0.85	15.47	16.32	ō.	0.02	0.02	ŏ	- 7	7

12.22

In accordance with letter from DAEN-RDC, DAEN-ASI dated 22 July 1977, Subject: Facsimile Catalog Cards for Laboratory Technical Publications, a facsimile catalog card in Library of Congress MARC format is reproduced below.

LaGarde, Victor E. Computer-aided watershed analysis / by Victor E. LaGarde (Environmental Laboratory, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station). -- Vicksburg, Miss. : The Station ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1982. 140, [22] p. : ill. ; 27 cm. -- (Miscellaneous paper ; EL-82-2) Cover title. "September 1982." Final report. "Prepared for Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D) under Project 4A161101A91D, Task 02, Work Unit 124." Bibliography: p. 140.

Civil engineering.
 Computer simulation.
 Computers.
 Erosion.
 Hydrology.
 Watersheds.

LaGarde, Victor E. Computer-aided watershed analysis / by Victor : ... 1982. (Card 2) I. United States. Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D). II. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. Environmental Laboratory. III. Title IV. Series: Miscellaneous paper (U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station) ; EL-82-2. TA7.W34m no.EL-82-2

