





9

က က

()

A 12

8

VOD

AMMRC TR 79-31

EVALUATION OF THE SHORT ROD METHOD FOR MEASURING THE FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF BERYLLIUM

MAY, 1979

TERRA TEK, INC. SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

FINAL REPORT - CONTRACT NUMBER DAAG46-78-C-0033

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



045

Prepared for

ARREY MATERIALS AND MECHANICS RESEARCH CENTER

88 08 15

Constant Contract Provide States

The Sections in this report are not to be constituted to an arrest Department of the Ability publics, unless so dislignment by other automated deconstraints.

Manden of any state space of antipulations in the month and not be compared of actuartities our to an estimat internation of antipulation or equipation by the balant State Continuation.

1. REPORT HUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG HUMBER AMARC TR 79-31 AD-Alag33(a) 4. TITLE (and Sublitie) b. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD C Evaluation of the Short Rod Method for Measuring the Fracture Toughness of Beryllium b. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD C 7. AUTHOR(a) b. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD C 1. M. Barker R.V. Guest 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Terra Tek, Inc. D/A Project: 8X36333041 Salt Lake City, UT 84108 MCMS Code:633304.21500 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Misu 179 13. MUMBER OF PAGES 25	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
American A. Part (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	1. REPORT NUMBER	T ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOS HUNDE
TITLE (and Statistic) Evaluation of the Short Rod Method for Messuring the Fracture Toughness of Beryllium Autorogy L.M. Barker R.V. Guest DAAG45-78-C-0033 DAAG45-78	ANOTE TR 79-31 $AP^{-}A$	124336
the Tracture Toughness of Beryllium • PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER T. AUTHOR(Q) 8. CONTRACT OR GRAFT NUMBER L.M. Barker R.V. Guest DAAG45-78-C-0033 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS Terra Tek, Inc. Sait Lake City, UT 84108 Materials and Mechanics Research Center Margoning Office Hame And DADRESS 14. MONITORING AGENEY WAME & ADDRESS 14. MONITORING AGENEY WAME & ADDRESS() 14. MONITORING AGENEY WAME & ADDRESS() 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amber) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amber) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amber) 18. Supplement for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amber) 18. Supplement for public release; following the STM E STM E STM E STM MARGENCY) 18. Supplement for public release; following the STM E STM E STM MARGENCY) 18. Supplement for market and the measured in Stock PD, () different from Report) 19. The Tracture tests Fracture tests Fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing Compact tou		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD C
the Practure Toughness of Beryllium Prescommend one. merchanks Prescommend one. merchanks Contract of Grand Line on the second of the se		Final Report
T. AUTHORYQ 4. CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBER L.M. Barker R.V. Guest DAAG45-78-C-0033 D. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Terra Tek, Inc. DAAG45-78-C-0033 Salt Lake City, UT 84108 9. PERFORMING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center DA. Project: 8X3633304; 21. Record to Tate Nateriols and Mechanics Research Center D. WUSERED F PAGE Wateriols and Mechanics Research Center D. WUSERED F PAGE Wateriols ADDRESS (I different free Centreling Office) 10. SECURITY CLASS. (or Als reported to the Merry December Page Proved for public release; distribution unlimited. M. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the address aniord in Block 20. If different free Report) 11. Security Class (or Als report) M. NEY UDRDS (Combine an reverse side If necessary and (dentify by block number) 11. Security Class (or Block 20. If different free Report) M. NEY UDRDS (Combine an reverse side If necessary and (dentify by block number) 11. Security Class (or Block 20. If different free Report) M. NEY UDRDS (Combine an reverse side If necessary and (dentify by block number) 11. Security Class (Class 20. If All Security (Class 20. If All Security 20.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NU
DAAG45-78-C-0033 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Terra Tek, Inc. Salt Lake City, UT 84108 MCMS Code:633304.2150 MCMS Code:633304.2150 MCMS Code:633304.2150 MCMS Code:633304.2150 MCMS Code:633304.2150 Materials and Mechanics Research Center Nature ControlLing OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Materials and Mechanics Research Center Nature Code:63304.2150 Materials Addence Name Controlling Office Materials Addence Name Controlling Office <		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER
DAG45-78-C-0033 DAG45-78-C103 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 DAG45-78-C104 D	L.M. Barker R.V. Guest	
Terra Tek, Inc. Arta a work with Number's D/A Project: 873633304. Salt Lake City, UT 84108 D/A Project: 873633304. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRELS 12. Report Darg Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center No. Accession: Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 12. Report Darg CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESK// different from Controlling Office) 13. Report Darg Control Addency NAME & ADDRESK// different from Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS (of mic report) CONTROLUTION STATEMENT (of mic Report) 13. Dectassified Control State of public release; distribution unlimited. 13. Dectassified Control State of public release; distribution unlimited. 13. Security Control/Downer Control State of public release; distribution unlimited. 14. Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 15. Supplementation of neuros of the Horeowary and Identify by Mech member) Recharical tests Fracture strength Machanical tests 11. Reports of Sign distribution of the second and Identify by Mech member) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is compact toughness of CIP (BIP-1 beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very wull with previous measurements of the toughness very the machini into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness very ther machini into	nur natest will oper	DAAG46-78-C-0033
Terra Tek, Inc. D/A Project: 8X3633304 Salt Lake City, UT 84108 MCMS Code:633304.2150 MCMS Code:633304.2150 Mcmc Accession: I'. Controlling office name and address Research Center Mr. Controlling office name and address Research Center Materials and Mechanics Research Center May 1720 Materials and Mechanics Research Center Unclassified Materials and Mechanics Research Center Unclassified May 1720 Muster of Pades Materials and Mechanics Research Center Unclassified May 1720 Muster of Pades Materials and Mechanics Research Center Unclassified May 1720 Muster of Pades Material Controlling Office Materials (contine of Pades) Material Controlling Office Material Controlling Office Material Contr	. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT
Sait Lake City, UT 84108 MCMS Code:633304.2150 Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Natertown, Massachusetts 02172 Remove Accession: It. Meenore Date Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Natertown, Massachusetts 02172 Remove Accession: It. Meenore Date New 1-79 It. Mostfore Accession: Duclassified It. Distribution adding of the Aboomess; Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. It. Distribution STATEMENT (of the defined in Block 30, If different from Repert) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. It. Supplementations on reverse side If necessary and identify by Mech number) Beryllium Fracture tests Streases Astivative for combines of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is Streases Astivative combines of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is Compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using Kp in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 KF2 as opposed to the Compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using Kp in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 KF2 as opposed to the Compact toughness agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tasted CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by to No (ASSIFIED)	Terra Tek, Inc.	D/A Project: 8X3633304I
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Arency Accession: Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Nau 1729 Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Nau 1729 12. REFORT DATE Dig 172 13. NELLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of Ais report) 14. HONITORING AGENCY HAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of Ais report) 14. ONITORING AGENCY HAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of Ais report) 15. OISTMIBUTION STATEMENT (of Ais Report) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of Ais report) 16. OISTMIBUTION STATEMENT (of Ais Report) 18. SECURITY Control of the destreat entered in Block 20. If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. State of the secure of the Block 20. If different from Report) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse of the If necessary and identify by Meck manker) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse of the If necessary and identify by Meck manker) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse of the If necessary and identify by Meck manker) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse of the If necessary and identify by Meck manker) 10. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse of the If necessary and identify by Meck manker) 10. KEY WORDS (Continu		MCMS Code:633304.2150
Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Materiown, Massachusetts 02172 14. MONITORING AGENCY MAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) 15. MUMBER OF PAGES 25 16. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Amperi) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract unleved in Black 30, // different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (dontify by black number) Beryllium Fracture tests Streases 10. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (dontify by black number) 19. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (dontify by black number) 19. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (dontify by black number) 19. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (dontify by black number) 19. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 10. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 10. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 11. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 11. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 11. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 12. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 13. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 14. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 15. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 16. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 17. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 18. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by black number) 19. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify		Agency Accession:
NETCTOWN, MASSECTUSETTS 02172 25 18. MONITORING AGENCY MAME & ADDRESK/// different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Unclassified 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above of the state of the Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ASSTRIC/Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ASSTRIC/Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ASSTRIC/Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. The fracture strength Machanical tests Streasee 10. ASSTRIC/Continue an reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 11. The fracture toughness of C		
NETCTOWN, MASSECTUSETTS 02172 25 18. MONITORING AGENCY MAME & ADDRESK/// different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Unclassified 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above of the state of the Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ASSTRIC/Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ASSTRIC/Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ASSTRIC/Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. The fracture strength Machanical tests Streasee 10. ASSTRIC/Continue an reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 11. The fracture toughness of C		Center Nav 1079
 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract unlered in Black 20, II different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (doubly by black number) Beryllium Fracture tests 14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 15. STRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (doubly by black number) Beryllium Fracture tests 14. ASTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and (doubly by black number) Bersellium Fracture strength Machanical tests 15. Streases 16. ASTRACT (Continues on reverse side If necessary and (doubly by black number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing in compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of Abcut 0.8 KTC as opposed to the 0.6 KTC arequired by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves was measured by test into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the 1.140 To 1473 Environ of 1 How is to opposed to the 	Watertown, Massachusetts 02172	
18. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNER 18. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the defract unleved in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. Streamentary and identify by Meck number) Beryllium Fracture strength Mechanical tests Streames MASUMACY (Commons on revorce of the H meceasary and identify by Meck number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing if compact toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing if compact toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from Co	
18. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNER 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the defined unleved in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENT (of the defined unleved in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 18. SUPPLEM		Ibal accificat
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electraci entered in Black 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Machanical tests Stresses 14. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing if compact toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium ta STM E 399 method exceptor using Ky in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 KTC as opposed to the 0.6 KTC required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre-the CT measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the toughness was measured by the state of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the toughness was measured by the state of the state of the toughness was measured by the state of the toughness was measured by the state of the toughness was measured by the state of the state of the toughnese was measured by the state of the state of the toughness was measur		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the destruct unleved in Block 30, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses 10. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/BIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing if compact toughness of CIP/BIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing if compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using Ky in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 K _{1C} as opposed to the 0.6 K _{1C} required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by tell DD 1/AM 73 EDWTON OF 1 NOV SETS OFFICE UNCLASSIFIED		SCHEDULE
 XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing for using Ky in fatigue pre-cracking of abcut 0.8 K_{IC} as opposed to the 0.6 K_{IC} required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre-the CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the state of the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the state of the s		
Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds II receivery and identity by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing in compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of abcut 0.8 KTC as opposed to the 0.6 KTC required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the D right 1473 EDNTION OF 1 MOV 45 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED		
Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses M. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by Mechanimer) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method excer for using Ky in fatigue pre-cracking of abcut 0.8 K _{IC} as opposed to the 0.6 K _{IC} required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were ther maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by to MCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract unfored in Block	
Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses M. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II receivery and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 K _{IC} as opposed to the 0.6 K _{IC} required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the D. 1 JAM 72 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 55 IS DEBOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract unfored in Block	
Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds II necessory and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 K _{IC} as opposed to the 0.6 K _{IC} required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the D room 1473 EDITION OF 1 MOV 45 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract uniored in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Cantinue on reverse side if necessary and identif	20, Il different from Report)
Mechanical tests Stresses Asstract (Continue on reverse side II receivery and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 KIC as opposed to the 0.6 KIC required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the DD 1000 1000 OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aboliant untered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Cantinue on reverse elde II necessary and identif Beryllium	20, Il different from Report)
Stresses ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II recessory and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 KIC as opposed to the 0.6 KIC required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the DD 100 MATS SERVICE UNCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebolyact entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identifi Beryllium Fracture tests	20, il different from Roport)
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II recessory and identify by block number) The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing is compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 KIC as opposed to the 0.6 KIC required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were ther maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the DD 100 MARK DATA SOUTION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aboliact uniored in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Cantinue on reverse side if necessary and identify Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength	20, Il different from Roport)
compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method exception using Kp in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 K _{IC} as opposed to the 0.6 K _{IC} required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre- The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then maching into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the toughness was measured by the state of the fracture toughness was measured by the	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliact unloved in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identif Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests 	20, Il different from Report)
toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then machin into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by th DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV SE IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliact uniored in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Canthus on reverse olde II necessary and identify Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests (Stresses 19. ABSTRACT (Canthus on reverse olde II necessary and identify	20, Il dilleront from Roport) y by block number)
UNCLASSIFIED	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract uniored in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Cantinue on reverse side II necessary and identify Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests /Stresses 14. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 be compact toughness (CT) specimens, foll for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking (0.6 KTc required by E 399 (beryllium 1 	20, Il dillerent from Report) y by block number) by block number) eryllium was measured by testing f lowing the ASTM E 399 method except of abcut 0.8 K _{IC} as opposed to the ls very difficult to fatigue pre-e
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then D	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract unlosed in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses M. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 be compact toughness (CT) specimens, foll for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of 0.6 KTC required by E 399 (beryllium f The CT measurements agreed very well v toughness of beryllium. The tested CT 	20, If different from Report) 20, If different from Report 20, If different from Report) 20, If different from Report 20, If dif
	 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract unloved in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Beryllium Fracture tests Fracture strength Mechanical tests Stresses 14. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 be compact toughness (CT) specimens, foll for using KF in fatigue pre-cracking of 0.6 Kic required by E 399 (beryllium if The CT measurements agreed very well w toughness of beryllium. The tested CT into short rod specimens, and the fract 	20, If different from Report) 20, If different from Report 20, If di

ay **M**asari

1

n^an estar

UNCLASSIFIED

Block No. 20

ABSTRACT

short rod method. The average of the short rod measurements differed from the average CT measurement by about 2 percent. In addition, the short rod tests provided a measure of K_{1a} , the stress intensity factor which allows a fast-moving crack to arrest. These results, together with the simplicity of the short rod test in which no fatigue pre-cracking is required, make the short rod method attractive for measuring the fracture toughness of the suc-beryllium.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Shen Date Entered)

FOREWORD

This study has been conducted by Terra Tek, Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah, under contract No. DAAG 46-78-C-0033 from the Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center, Watertown, MA. Mr. J. F. Dignam of the AMMRC was project manager, and Dr. S. C. Chou of the AMMRC served as technical monitor. The advice and guidance of Mr. Dignam and Dr. Chou in this study are much appreciated.

ſ

ļ.,

Sec. 12

. . م محادث الم

Access	ion For	
NTTS	GRA&I AB	
By Distr:	ibution/	
	lability	
	Aveil a Speel	nd/or



ABSTRACT

The fracture toughness of CIP/HIP-1 beryllium was measured by testing five compact toughness (CT) specimens, following the ASTM E 399 method except for using K_F in fatigue pre-cracking of about 0.8 K_{IC} as opposed to the 0.6 K_{IC} required by E 399 (beryllium is very difficult to fatigue pre-crack). The CT measurements agreed very well with previous measurements of the toughness of beryllium. The tested CT specimen halves were then machined into short rod specimens, and the fracture toughness was measured by the short rod method. The average of the short rod measurements differed from the average CT measurement by about 2 percent. In addition, the short rod tests provided a measure of K_{Ia} , the stress intensity factor which allows a fast-moving crack to arrest. These results, together with the simplicity of the short rod test in which no fatigue pre-cracking is required, make the short rod method attractive for measuring the fracture toughness of beryllium.

a set a set a set a set and a set and a set a

TABLE OF CONTENTS

12.

۲

「ない ちょうちょう

		rage	
Foreword		• •	i
Abstract	•	• •	ii
Table of Contents	•	í	ii
List of Figures	•	• •	iv
Introduction	•		1
Experimental	•	• •	3
Short Rod Data Reduction	•	• •	8
Results and Discussion	•		11
Summary			13
References	•	• •	14

: 7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Description	Page
1	Short rod specimen with crack denoted by the shaded area	2
2	Photograph of the fractured surfaces of the beryllium compact toughness specimens	3
3	Dimensions of the beryllium short rod specimen	4
4	Typical load-displacement record for an LEFM short rod fracture toughness specimen. The solid line is the actual test record; the broken line is the standard curve model	6
5	Load-displacement record for beryllium sample #3-2. The broken line is the standard curve model least squares fit to the top portion of the test record	6

iv

·****

design in

INTRODUCTION

Beryllium is an important aerospace material because of its light weight, high modulus, and high heat capacity, coupled with good strength. It is a brittle material, however, and hence its fracture toughness is of concern. Although beryllium fracture toughness measurements have been made by a number of investigators^{1,2}, there is considerable scatter among the results obtained. The main difficulty in the fracture toughness testing has been obtaining a real crack in the test specimen, and this has led in some cases to the use of machined notches rather than real cracks. Attempts at fatigue pre-cracking according to ASTM E 399-74³ have been largely unsuccessful or have proved quite expensive because of the extremely slow fatigue crack growth rate at crack tip loadings of no more than 0.6 K_{IC}. A subcommittee of the ASTM is currently attempting to standardize a new test procedure which would be especially adapted to, and limited to, beryllium.⁴

The recently developed short rod method of measuring fracture toughness^{5,6} has been successfully applied to a number of very brittle materials, including fused quartz, ceramics and cemented carbides. One of the main advantages of the short rod specimen (Figure 1) is that the necessary pre-crack is created automatically during the test without any fatigue cycling, even in the most brittle materials. It therefore appeared that the short rod method might be ideal for rapid, economical tests of the plane-strain fracture toughness of beryllium.

This paper reports the results of a test series on beryllium whose objective was to compare critical stress intensity factors measured by the short rod method with those obtained by essentially the method of E $399-74.^3$ The test series provided not only the desired toughness measurement comparison, which was very good, but also gave a measure of the stress intensity factor at the time of crack arrest of a rapidly moving crack in beryllium.





1.1

EXPERIMENTAL

The material used for these tests was Kawecki Berylco CIP/HIP-1 beryllium. Its density was 1.852 g/cm^3 ; its grain size was from 8 to 9 microns. Its elemental analysis was as follows (in parts per million):

BeO 1.09x10 ⁴	Fe	A1	Mg	Si	С	Cr	Со	Cu	Pb	Mn	Мо	Ni
1.09×10^{4}	200	40	35	83	203	24	रड	40	T	13	<u><10</u>	125

Five compact toughness (CT) specimens were machined from the beryllium and tested according to ASTM E 399-74, with the exception that the K_F used in fatigue was approximately 0.8 K_Q , and reversed loading (R = -2 to -3) was also used. These deviations from E 399-74 coincide with recommendations made by ASTM Task Group E24.01.11 on Fracture Testing of Beryllium.⁴ The CT specimens were 15.9 mm thick, and had a W/B ratio³ of 2. Figure 2 shows the tested specimen halves. The fatigue pre-cracked areas show as darker regions in the figure.



Figure 2. Photograph of the fractured surface of the beryllium compact toughness specimens.

A PARTY AND A PARTY AND

After the five CT specimens were tested, a short rod fracture toughness specimen was machined from each of the ten CT specimen halves. The short rods and their slots were oriented such that the crack plane in the short rod would be parallel to the crack plane in the parent CT specimen. The short rod specimen dimensions are given in Figure 3. The slots which form the "V" in the specimen were 0.38 mm thick, and had curved bases because they were sawed by letting the specimen down onto a circular saw blade. For ease of measurement, the angle of the "V" was defined as the chord angle, as illustrated in Figure 3.

The short rods were tested using the Terra Tek Fractometer $I,^6$ a machine especially designed for testing short rod and short bar specimens of hard, brittle materials. Briefly, a fracture toughness test normally consists of inserting a very thin inflatable stainless steel bladder, called a "flatjack", into the slot in the front face of the specimen. The flatjack extends 6.12 mm into the slot, almost to the point of the V. The flatjack is then inflated with a high-modulus fluid (mercury), and contacts the inner walls of the slot. Further inflation then increases the pressure in the flatjack, thus imparting a distributed opening load in the specimen mouth.

!



Figure 3. Dimensions of the beryllium short rod specimens.

-4-

The opening load causes a stress concentration at the point of the V in the specimen, such that a fracture first initiates there. Normally, the crack is initially quite stable because of the constant widening of the crack front as it propagates. Thus, an ever-increasing load is required initially to keep the crack moving. When the crack reaches a certain critical length, a_c , (about where it is shown in Figure 1), the crack-advancing load normally goes through a smooth maximum, and thereafter it decreases with further crack growth. It is found both experimentally and theoretically⁵,⁶ that the crack length, a_c , at peak load is a constant for a given specimen configuration, independent of the specimen material, provided the specimen obeys the principles of linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM). Thus, the peak load in a short rod test is the load required to advance the crack when the crack length is a_c , and therefore, the peak load can be shown to be directly proportional to the critical stress intensity factor. In the case of flatjack loading of the short rod specimen, the fracture toughness is given by⁶

La and the second s

$$C_{\rm IC} = A_{\rm F} P_{\rm C} \sqrt{B} , \qquad (1)$$

where P_c is the peak pressure in the flatjack during the test, B is the specimen diameter, and A_F is a dimensionless constant so long as the scaled specimen geometry remains constant. The value of A_F used for the short rod specimen geometry of this study (Figure 3) was

$$A_{\rm F} = 8.26$$
 (2)

Although many short rod tests of fracture toughness are done as described above without making any plot of load vs. specimen mouth opening displacement, such plots can often be of value for detecting rate effects, stress corrosion cracking, abnormalities in apparent toughness along the crack path, etc. They can also be used to determine whether the specimen obeys the assumptions of linear elastic fracture mechanics, provided at least one relaxation of the load is done when the crack is in the vicinity of the critical crack length. Figure 4 shows a typical load-displacement record for a fracture toughness test of tungsten carbide/colbalt.

Load-displacement test records were made of each of the ten beryllium short rod specimens in order to extract the maximum information from the tests. Instead of smooth records like that of Figure 4, however, the beryllium loaddisplacement records all had the general appearance shown in Figure 5,



Figure 4. Typical load-displacement record for an LEFM short rod fracture toughness specimen. The solid line is the actual test record; the broken line is the standard curve model.



Figure 5. Load-displacement record for beryllium sample #3-2. The broken line is the standard curve model least squares fit to the top portion of the test record.

S. P. Market Market State

1.00

-6-

1

which is the plot of one of the tests. Although the loading was done smoothly and continuously, the crack would remain almost stationary until it would suddenly jump ahead, producing the audible "tick", a sudden decrease in load, and an increase in the specimen mouth opening. The crack would then remain almost stationary again until the next jump ahead, thus producing records like that of Figure 5. Although the Fractometer load-displacement plots at first appeared discouraging, they actually provided much more than the usual single critical stress intensity factor which allows a fast-moving crack to arrest. The data interpretation and data reduction procedures used for the beryllium data are discussed in the next section.

and the second of the second second second

The second second second

SHORT ROD DATA REDUCTION

In a normal LEFM short rod test, it is clear that the stress intensity factor at the crack tip is constantly at its critical value during the period of slow, steady-state crack growth. Thus, in a test record such as that of Figure 4, all points significantly beyond the initial linear elastic loading portion of the load-displacement path correspond to K_{Ic} conditions at the crack front. Any one of those points could be translated into a K_{Ic} measurement if the corresponding crack length and compliance derivative were known. It is convenient, of course, to use the peak load point on the load-displacement path to calculate K_{Ic} , because the dimensionless crack length, a_c/B , and the compliance derivative, $d[(cEB)/d(a/B)]_{ac}/B$ are always the same at that point, regardless of the specimen material. These quantities are contained in the short rod calibration constant, A_F (cf. Eq. 1 and 2).⁶

In the tests of beryllium, however, very few of the points on the loaddisplacement path correspond to K_{Ic} conditions at the crack tip, because significant crack growth initiated only at those points where crack jumps began. It was reasoned that if beryllium had not displayed the crack jump behavior (which is caused by the dynamic toughness, K_{Id} , being smaller than K_{Ic}), the load-displacement record would have been smooth, and would have passed through the points on the observed record where crack jumps initiated. Thus, if one could use the crack jump initiation points to reconstruct a smooth load-displacement record, the peak load on that record could be used in Equation 1 to calculate K_{Ic} .

A theoretical investigation showed that for a given scaled short rod specimen geometry, all normal LEFM load-displacement records such as that of Figure 4 can in principle be scaled to a single, standard load-displacement curve. Further, if the standard curve were known, any single K_{Ic} point on an actual load-displacement plot could be used to reconstruct the rest of the curve, complete with the P_c point from which K_{Ic} can be calculated.

Therefore, for reducing the beryllium data, a mathematical model of the standard LEFM load-displacement curve was derived. The relation, which adequately describes a substantial portion of the normal LEFM test record, is the quadratic

-8-

N 2017 - 11

$$(1-s)P_{c}^{2} - (P + \frac{2rs}{c_{0}} x) P_{c} + \frac{r^{2}s}{c_{0}^{2}} x^{2} = 0, \qquad (3)$$

where P_c is the peak load on the curve, P and x are the load and displacement at any point on the curve, c_0 is the initial elastic loading compliance of the specimen as measured from the load-displacement test record, and r and s are dimensionless constants whose values for the specimens of this study, as determined by fitting Equation 3 to several normal LEFM experimental curves, are approximately

$$r = 0.50$$

 $s = -0.60$ (4)

Equation 3 is written in the form of a quadratic in P_c , and assumes that the origin of the P,x coordinates is at the start of the initial linear elastic loading path. The coefficients of P_c for any given crack jump initiation point are determined from the values of r and s (Equation 4), from the (P,x) coordinates of the crack jump initiation point, and from the initial elastic compliance,

$$c_o = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta P},$$
 (5)

evaluated along the slope of the initial loading path on the test record. Hence, the evaluation of the fracture toughness of a beryllium specimen from its short rod load-displacement curve was practicable. First, for a particular test record, such as that of Figure 5, the value of P_c in Equation 3 was found for one crack jump initiation point (P,x). The value of P_c was used in Equation 1 to find the value of K_{Ic} for that point. This procedure was repeated for several crack initiation points on each load-displacement record. The average of the K_{Ic} values obtained in this way from each specimen is reported in Table 1.

Since nonplane strain effects on the crack are more pronounced in the initial region and in the final region of crack growth, the load-displacement points used to evaluate the fracture toughness should correspond to crack lengths of intermediate size. Because the compliance of a specimen is related to its crack length, the compliance at a P,x data point in question, taken as c = x/P, was used to judge the acceptability of the point in calculating the

-9-

toughness. Data points in the compliance range $1.67c_0 < x/P < 4.00 c_0$ were taken as acceptable for this study. The values of r and s (Equation 4) were selected to produce a good match of several experimental normal LEFM curves over this compliance range. Figure 4 shows the compliance range and the fit of Equation 3 to one of the normal LEFM records used to evaluate r and s.

Just as the load-displacement points at which crack jumps initiated correspond to K_{Ic} data points, the load-displacement points at which crack arrest occurred correspond to the stress intensity factor which allows a fast-moving crack to arrest, K_{Ia} . By using crack arrest points in the above procedure, several values of K_{Ia} were also obtained from each test record.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 presents a summary of the beryllium fracture toughness data obtained from the CT and the short rod specimens, including the K_{Ia} values obtained from the short rod tests. Although the CT tests did not meet the ASTM fatigue pre-cracking requirement, the toughness values are listed as K_{Ic} values, inasmuch as an ASTM task group has recommended relaxing the fatigue pre-cracking requirement for beryllium.⁴

The average of the five CT toughness values for the beryllium of this study is 10.40 MPa \sqrt{m} , with a standard deviation of 4.5 percent. The average toughness for the ten short rod beryllium specimens was only 2.3 percent higher, 10.63 MPa \sqrt{m} , with a standard deviation of 3.0 percent. These results agree with the values of fracture toughness reported by Conrad, <u>et. al.</u>,⁴ for a similar beryllium pressing as measured by several laboratories for a round robin test program conducted for NASA-Lewis. The average of their values was 10.37 MPa \sqrt{m} , with a standard deviation of 7 percent. Apparently, part of the scatter in the reported data is due to lab-to-lab variations, since the average standard deviation reported by each individual laboratory participating in the study was only 5.5 percent.

It is interesting to compare the standard deviation of the CT tests to the standard deviation of the short rod tests performed for this study. The improved standard deviation in the short rod tests is undoubtedly due to the averaging of several K_{IC} values in obtaining the K_{IC} for each short rod specimen. If the standard deviation is calculated for the individual values obtained from each crack jump initiation point, the result is 5.0 percent on a total of 40 K_{IC} data points. The average standard deviation of the individual K_{IC} values obtained from a given short rod specimen was 4.3 percent for an average of four data points per specimen.

Since the standard deviations of individual K_{Ic} measurements of Ref. 4 and of this study tend to be around 5 percent, it may be that this amount of scatter is a characteristic of the material. This fact, as well as the average value of K_{Ic} , should be taken into account in any design considerations.

The average stress intensity factor for crack arrest in the beryllium short rod specimens was 9.41 MPa \sqrt{m} with a standard deviation of 2.8 percent.

-11-

CT Spec. No.	K _{Ic} (MPa√m) (per E 399) [⊄]	Short Rod Spec. No.	K _{IC} (MPa√m) (Short Rod)	For Crack Arrest: K _{Ia} (MPa√m) (Short Rod)	
1	10.30	1-1	10.89	9.71	
· · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.30	1-2	10.25	9.07	
2	11.20	2-1	10.94	9.46	
2	11.20	2-2	10.76	9.54	
	10.22	3-1	10.33	9.15	
3		3-2	10.64	9.63	
	0.06	4-1	10.76	9.53	
4	9.96	4-2	10.80	9.38	
	10.20	5-1	10.02	8.94	
5	10.30	5-2	10.90	9.66	
Average	10.40		10.63	9.41	
Stan.Dev. (%)	4.5		3.0	2.8	

TABLE 1-Summary of beryllium fracture toughness data.

^{*a*}Except for fatigue pre-cracking.

a standar

Sale and from the state of the second

-12-

This value is almost 90 percent of K_{IC} for the material. This crack arrest toughness is not inconsistent with values reported in the literature,¹ which vary from 63 percent to 93 percent of crack initiation toughness. However, it was noted that the short rod values of ${\rm K}_{\rm Ia}$ tended to be smaller when the crack length at arrest was small, and progressively increased with increasing crack length. To illustrate, the ten-specimen average K_{Ta} obtained from the first crack arrest point which fell within the accepted compliance range was 8.98 MPa \sqrt{m} , while the ten-specimen average from the last arrest point to fall within the range was 9.84 MPa \sqrt{m} . This effect may be related to the dynamics involved in crack arrest, and to the fact that the crack surface area generated per unit length of crack advance is small initially in the chevronnotched short rod specimen, but increases with the crack length. Thus, the distance the crack can "crast" while using up the kinetic energy stored in the specimen arms should be greater for small crack lengths than for larger ones. Because of the relatively stiff machine loading conditions, the additional "coasting" of the crack at small crack lengths would tend to yield a smaller load at the final arrest point, and therefore a decreased calculated value of No such dynamic effect influences the values measured for $K_{\rm Ic}$, of K_{Ia}. course.

The present test series serves as a check on the short rod calibration constant for flatjack loading, A_F . The value of A_F was originally based on the value of A, which is the short rod calibration constant for grip loading conditions. However, a preliminary experimental compliance calibration,⁸ plus other evidence, led to increasing the value of A_F by ten percent to its present value of 8.26. The agreement between the CT and short rod specimen results of this study indicates that the upward adjustment in A_F was approximately correct.

Material and time economies should be possible by using the short rod method. Because of the several toughness values yielded by each short rod test, fewer tests may be needed to obtain a good estimate of beryllium fracture toughness; a four-fold decrease in the number of tests may be possible. Also, the short rod specimen is much smaller than the CT specimen. Little time is required for each test: about two minutes to run the actual test, and about 20 minutes to do the data reduction using a programmable hand calculator. It is even possible to obtain fairly accurate toughness values by visually matching a smooth curve to the test data.

-13-

A Start

SUMMARY

Two fracture toughness test series were run on one batch of CIP/HIP-1 The material was first machined into CT test specimens, tested, bervllium. and then the tested halves were machined into short rods and tested. The agreement was very good between the results of the two test series. The toughness as indicated by the CT tests is 10.40 MPa \sqrt{m} with an associated standard deviation of 4.5 percent. The toughness according to the short rod tests is 10.63 MPa \sqrt{m} with a standard deviation of 3.0 percent. The values obtained in this study for the fracture toughness of beryllium compare favorably with previously published results for a similar beryllium pressing, where the average fracture toughness for the five best data sets was 10.37 MPa \sqrt{m} , and the average standard deviation was 5.5 percent. The better-than-average grouping of the short rod test results is due to the fact that each specimen toughness value represents the average of several individual toughness readings. Hence, there may be required fewer short rod tests than CT tests to obtain an adequate estimate of the fracture toughness of a particular beryl-Also, a measure of the crack arrest toughness is easily oblium pressina. tained from short rod tests. These facts, coupled with the ease of making and testing short rods, should make the short rod method a desirable way to test the fracture toughness of beryllium.

19 A.C. 4

川にあるまで

State in

-14-

S 20 1 1 1

REFERENCES

- 1. Jones, M. H., Bubsey, R. T., and Brown, W. F. Jr., Journal of Testing and Evaluation, Vol. 1, No. 2, March 1973, pp. 100-109.
- 2. Conrad, H., Hurd, J., and Woodard, P., Journal of Testing and Evaluation, Vol. 1, No. 2, March 1973, pp. 88-99.
- 3. ASTM E 399-74, "Standard Method of Test for Plane-Strain Fracture Toughness of Metallic Materials."
- 4. Conrad, H., and Sargent, G. A., "Round Robin Test Program to Establish a Standard ASTM Method for Fracture Toughness of Beryllium," Final Report to NASA-Lewis, NASA Grant NSG-3013, March 1978.
- 5. Barker, L. M., Engineering Fracture Mechanics, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1977, pp. 361-369.
- Barker, L. M., in Fracture Mechanics of Ceramics, Vol. 3, edited by R. C. Brandt, D. P. H. Hasselman, and F. F. Lange, Plenum, 1978, pp. 483-494.
- 7. Chou, S. C., Aronin, L. R., Dignam, J. F., and Rainey, J. H., "Mechanical Behavior of CIP/HIP-1 Beryllium as a Function of Strain Rate and Stress History," Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Beryllium, London, England, Oct. 4-7, 1977.
- 8. Barker, L. M., and Guest, R. V., "Compliance Calibration of the Short Rod Fracture Toughness Specimen," Terra Tek Report TR 78-20, 1978.

Y and the star of the

And a start of a stream (17) and 18 and fraction the state 11 Manuaria) and Machanica Manuaria Contra-Manuariana (Investmentia Martina Manuariana (Investmentia Martina Manuariana (Investmentia) Manuaria (Investmentia) Manuaria (Investmentia) Manuaria (Investmentia) Abordol and Abordol Derma Cader. Registration of the Street Derman Registration of the Street Derman Registration of the Street Derman Landol of Land - Term Tao, Tao. final Numer Anne II 7-11, Nu 197, D Nu -1111a - Ludin, Castral Manue Net-Mat UN Number Millionerits, Math Cast Ruther Manuel final famori and in P-11, Pr. 1979, B. P. -11, S. - Lubin, Cathonic Manth Pr. 400 M. Harris Cathonical Street Con-cutors fisher of of CUMUP1 Ì Ì H. Filler A transmission (T) articles Provide and any transmission (T) and a provided the start of the sta Franking the frank Reyilia Fraction total Fraction abreads Rectanical total Stream ALL DATES HERITAGE 13 1 Ī

Server and a

a second s

14.30 Sec. 10

. To . and

in ac an an

The fractor tendence of (1)/MD-1 inv[1](in an answerd by Louis (1) or cannot (2)) and have, (a)) holds the AFM (and have the formula by its physics provide the form (1), and have the AFM () and have by C BM () and have the formula by its physics provide the form (1), and have any half of the maximum by C BM () and have the formula by its physics provide the form (1), and have and have and have by C BM () and have the formula by the formula below () and have the formula by the formula by C BM () and have the formula by the formula below () and have the formula by A grown (7) article. (a) and a grown (7) article. (a) and a grown (7) article article article (7) article article article (7) Beryllin Frectors toto Frectors strength Recorded toto Freese UNLINGTED DISTRIBUTION Friday ter **1** 3 11 H J Any Netwido and Reconsist Research Conten-Manifolds in Statistical Research Manifolds in The Statistical Research Manifolds in Reconstruction Research Manifolds in Reconstruction Reconstruction Content Reconstruction Reconstruction Content Reconstruction Reconstruction Research V. Const. - Form Teld, IN: Research V. Const. - Form Teld, IN: Any Material and Mariani base (ander, Mariani Carabardi Mariani Mariani K. Mariani Mariani Mariani K. Mariani Mariani K. Mariani Jan K. Bant - Terri Ta, Jic. Final Neuron Annue TI 79-11, New 1979, 25 No. -Final Neuron Contract Bandler 79-14131 N. Pro-part Statisticanescia. Annual Cont. Statistic Zistane 23 Final Resert Amer. 18. 75-11, May 1275, 28 pp. -1. 11. - Lafter, Carteric Reserve - 4400 11. - Lafter, Carteric Reserve - 4400 11. - Lafter, Carteric Reserve - 4400 Conc. 011841, 2140-03 A fine connect transmost (CT) specimen. (n) hearing the section of the section of the section of the restricted its manufactories and weary with the section of the section lines are the section of the section of the section of the sec-ted and the section of the section of the section of the sec-ted and the section of the section of the section of the sec-ted and the section of the section of the section of the sec-ted and the section of the ew until of first compart temperate (CT) speciment. (n) leafing (CT) The CT shows and the an approximation (CT) and the first matter of the second of the analysis of the second second and the second second second second second second second and the second second second second second second second and the second second second second second second second and the second second second second second second second and the second second second second second second second and the second second second second second second second second and the second secon Beryilia Frecture tests Frecture strength Rechanical tests Stresses UNCLASSIFIED UNLINGTED DISTRIBUTION Reyillian Frector test Frector strength Rectorical test Stream MULTASSIFICA 11 South of the second of the second sec The second and the second tender, and the second tender of the second tender, and the second tender of the second tender tender of the second tender. Its tend of the second tender tender First Numer and N. 7-31. No. 1579, 25 No. 1710 - 16400, Contract Manual No. 1710 - 16400, Contract Manual No. 1710 - 16400, Contract No. 1710 - 1710 The first site in Fig. With the second site of the Ì Ì **,** 7 ÷

Contraction of

, i H

DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. of Copies
Office of Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ET) ATTN: Mr. J. Persh, Staff Specialist for Materials and Structures (Room 3D1089) The Pentagon Washington, DC 20301	1
Office of Deputy Ohiof of Research Development and Acquisition ATTN: DAMA-CSS-D/Dr. J. I. Bryant (Room 3D424) The Pentagon Washington, DC 20310	1
Commander U.S. Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: DRCLDC, Mr. R. J. Zentner 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1
Director Ballistic Missile Defense Systems Command ATTN: BMDSC-TEN, Mr. N. J. Hurst BMDSC-H BMDSC-T BMDSC-AOLIB P. O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807	1 1 1 1
Ballistic Missile Defense Program Office ATTN: DACS-BMT DARCOM Bldg., Seventh Floor S001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1
Director Ballistic Missile Defense Advanced Technology Center ATTN: ATC-M, Mr. M. Whitfield ATC-M, Dr. D. Harmon ATC-M, Mr. J. Papadopoulos P. O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807	1 1 1

おきないというないないないとうまたのにあい

3

No. of Copies

	NO. OI U
Director	
Defense Nuclear Agency	
ATTN: SPAS, Mr. J. F. Moulton, Jr.	1
SPAS, Mr. D. Kohler	1
Washington, DC 20305	
Director	
Army Ballistic Research Laboratories	•
ATTN: DRDAR-BLT, Dr. N. J. Huffington, Jr.	1
DRDAR-BLT, Dr. T. W. Wright	1
DRDAR-BLT, Dr. G. L. Moss	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Commander	
Harry Diamond Laboratories	
ATTN: DRXDO-NP, Dr. F. Wimenitz	1
2800 Powder Mill Road	-
Adelphi, MD 20783	
Commander	
U.S. Army Combat Development Command	
Institute of Nuclear Studies	
ATTN: Technical Library	1
Fort Bliss, Texas 79916	
Commander	
Air Force Materials Laboratory	
Air Force Systems Command	•
ATTN: LNE/Dr. W. Kessler	1
LNC/Dr. D. Schmidt	T
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433	
Space and Missile Systems Organization	
ATTN: RSSE/LTC J. McCormack	2
P. O. Box 92960	
World Way Postal Center	
Los Angeles, CA 90009	
Commander	
Naval Ordnance Systems Command	
ATTN: ORD-03331, Mr. M. Kinna	1
Washington, DC 20360	
Commander	
Naval Surface Weapons Center	
ATTN: Dr. C. Lyons	1
Dr. W. Messick	1
Silver Springs, MD 20910	*
orvier chrynge' um 20270	

•

•

· 2.

11111

1.4

A CONTRACT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP

and the second second

-2-

No. of Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Naval Air Development Center ATTN: G. L. London (Code 3023) Warminster, PA 18974 Lawrence Livermore Laboratory ATTN: Lee Roberts James E. Hanafee P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory ATTN: GMX-6, Dr. J. W. Taylor Jack E. Hockett P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87544 Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Dr. W. Herrmann Dr. L. D. Bertholf Dr. J. Lipkin P. O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87115 Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Donald R. Adolphson J. E. Smugeresky B. C. Odegard Livermore, CA 94550 Aerospace Corporation ATTN: Dr. R. Cooper Dr. W. Barry P. O. Box 92957 Los Angeles, CA 90009 AVCO Corporation Government Products Group ATTN: Dr. W. Reinecke Mr. P. Rolincik 201 Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01997 Battelle Memorial Institute ATTN: John Mueller

505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201

أيحمر والإستاد

1

and the second second

Brush Wellman Corporation 1 ATTN: James Stonehouse 17676 St. Clair Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114 ETA Corporation ATTN: Mr. D. L. Mykkanen 1 P. O. Box 6625 Orange, CA 92667 Effects Technology, Inc. ATTN: Dr. R. Wengler 1 Dr. R. Parisse 1 1 Mr. J. Green 5383 Hollister Avenue Santa Barbara, CA 93111 Fiber Materials, Inc. ATTN: Mr. M. Subilia, Jr. 1 Mr. L. Landers 1 Mr. G. Williams 1 Mr. P. Marchol 1 Biddeford Industrial Park Biddeford, ME 04005 S. H. Gelles Associates 1 ATTN: S. H. Gelles 2840 Fisher Road Columbus, OH 43204 General Electric Company Valley Forge Space Technology Center 1 ATTN: K. Hall 1 J. Brazel Ms. B. McGuire 1 P. O. Box 8555 Philadelphia, PA 19101 General Dynamics Corporation **Convair Division** ATTN: J. Hertz 1 1 H. McCutcheon, Jr. 5001 Kearny Villa Road San Diego, CA 92138 Kaman Sciences Corporation 1 ATTN: F. Shelton P. O. Box 7463 Colorado Springs, CO 80933 Kawecki Berylco Industries, Inc. ATTN: Norman P. Pinto 1 P. O. BOX 1462 Reading, PA 19603

en questiones

No. of Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Ktech ATTN: Dr. D. Keller 911 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.E. Albuquerque, NM 87110 Lockheed Palo Alto Research Laboratory ATTN: Donald Webster 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304 Lockheed Missiles and Space Company ATTN: D. Aspinwall Matthew V. Rebholz P. O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, CA 94088 Martin Marietta Corporation ATTN: Dr. M. Hendricks R. Hewitt Frank H. Koo P. O. Box 5837 Orlando, FL 32805 McDonnell Douglas Corporation ATTN: Dr. L. Cohen H. Parachanian 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington Beach, CA 92647 Prototype Development Associates, Inc. ATTN: J. Schultzler N. Harrington 1740 Garry Avenue, Suite 201 Santa Ana, CA 92705 **R&D** Associates ATTN: Dr. A. Field 525 Wilshire Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90025 Radkowski Associates ATTN: Dr. P. Radkowski P. O. Box 5474 Riverside, CA 92507 Rockwell International Rocky Flats Plant ATTN: S. Beitscher P. O. Box 464 Golden, CO 80401

. Research the second -5-

No. of Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

2

1

-6-

Southwest Research Institute ATTN: Mr. A. Wenzel 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78206

Stanford Research Institute ATTN: Dr. D. Curran Dr. L. Seaman 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 90250

TRW Systems Group ATTN: D. Gamble One Space Park Redondo, Beach, CA 90278

Lehigh University Institute of Fracture and Solid Mechanics ATTN: Dr. George C. Sih Packard Lab., Bldg. #19 Bethlehem, PA 18015

Stanford University Department of Applied Mechanics ATTN: Professor E. H. Lee Stanford, CA 94305

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station, Bldg. 5 5010 Duke Station Alexandria, VA 22314

Director Army Materials & Machanics Research Center ATTN: DRXMR-H, J. F. Dignam DRXMR-H, L. R. Aronin DRXMR-H, Dr. S. C. Chou DRXMR-H, Dr. D. P. Dandekar DRXMR-AP DRXMR-PL DRXMR-PR

Watertown, MA 02172