



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

12

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE BAYES RISK FOR TESTING SEQUENTIALLY
THE SIGN OF THE DRIFT PARAMETER OF A WIENER PROCESS

By

ASHIM MALLIK
AND
YI-CHING YAO

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. ONR 26

MARCH 1983

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT

N00014-75-C-0555 (NR-609-001)

FOR THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited

AD A129231

STATISTICS CENTER



83 06 10 054

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 13 1983
S E D

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE BAYES RISK FOR TESTING SEQUENTIALLY
THE SIGN OF THE DRIFT PARAMETER OF A WIENER PROCESS

by
Ashim Mallik and Yi-Ching Yao
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

ABSTRACT

Let $x(t)$ be a Wiener process with drift μ and variance 1 per unit of time. For testing $H: \mu \leq 0$ vs $A: \mu > 0$ with the loss function $|u|$ if the wrong decision is made and 0 otherwise, c cost of observation per unit time and ν has a prior distribution which is normal with mean 0 and variance σ_0^2 , we followed an idea of Bickel and Yahav to obtain a lower bound for the Bayes risk and showed that this lower bound is strict as $\sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty$ for all c .

Key Words: Sequential tests, S.P.R.T, Bayes, stopping times, lower bound, asymptotic expansion.

AMS 1980 Subject Classification: Primary 62L10; Secondary 62C10

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE BAYES RISK FOR TESTING SEQUENTIALLY
THE SIGN OF THE DRIFT PARAMETER OF A WIENER PROCESS

by
Ashim Mallik and Yi-Ching Yao
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

1. Introduction : Let $x(t)$ be a Wiener process with drift μ and variance 1 per unit of time. Chernoff [2] considered the following problem, test

$$H: \mu \leq 0 \text{ vs } A: \mu > 0$$

with the loss function $|u|$ if the wrong decision is made and 0 otherwise, c cost of observation per unit time and ν has a prior distribution which is normal with mean ν_0 and variance σ_0^2 . Chernoff [3] showed that the Bayes risk

$$(1.1) \ B(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2) = c^{\frac{2}{3}} \left[K \sigma_0^{-1} \phi\left(\frac{\nu_0}{\sigma_0}\right) - 6c^{\frac{1}{3}} \sigma_0^{-2} \ln \Phi_0(1+c(1)) \right]$$

as $\sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty$

where K is an unknown constant. Throughout this paper ϕ and Φ are the standard normal density and cumulative distribution function respectively.

For
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

By
Distribution/
Availability Codes
Dist Avail and/or Special
A



By considering the above testing problem with the additional information of the magnitude of u , Bickel and Yahav [1] obtained a lower bound for the Bayes risk for the case of u having the improper prior distribution and conjectured that the lower bound can be attained as $c \rightarrow 0$. In this note we assume that u has a normal prior distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_0^2 . By using similar techniques as in Bickel and Yahav [1], we obtained a lower bound for the Bayes risk, then showed that this lower bound is not asymptotically achievable as $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$ for all $c > 0$.

2. Lower Bound For Bayes Risk: From Chernoff [3], the posterior cost of wrong decision is given by

$$(2.1) \quad Y_t = (t + \sigma_0^{-2})^{-1/2} \left\{ \phi(a) - |a| \Phi(-|a|) \right\}$$

where $a = (t + \sigma_0^{-2})^{-1/2} X(t)$. Let the posterior risk at time t be,

$$(2.2) \quad R(c, t) = Y_t + ct$$

We are interested in a stopping rule τ_0 for which

$$E[R(c, \tau_0)] = \inf_{\tau \in T} E[R(c, \tau)]$$

where T is the class of all stopping times.

Using the idea of Bickel and Yahav [1], let us consider the following problem of testing,

$$H: u = u_0 \quad \text{vs} \quad A: u = -u_0$$

with $|u_0|$ for cost of wrong decision and prior distribution $P(u = u_0) = P(u = -u_0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then the posterior cost of wrong decision is

$$\bar{Y}_t = |u_0| P(X(t)u < 0 | X(t))$$

Let

$$\bar{R}(c, t) = \bar{Y}_t + ct$$

To solve the above Bayes problem, we have to find a stopping rule τ^* such that

$$E(\bar{R}(c, \tau^*)) = \inf_{\tau \in T} E(\bar{R}(c, \tau))$$

From the property of S.P.R.T we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1: The stopping rule τ^* : Stop the first $|X(t)| = a$, where "a" is determined by the minimization of

$$[v_0(1+\exp(2a|v_0|))^{-1} + c|v_0|^{-1} (1-2(1+\exp(2a|v_0|))^{-1})]$$

is the optimal stopping rule for the above problem.

Lemma 2.2:

$$(2\sigma_0^2)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_u[\tilde{R}(c, \tau^*)] \exp(-u^2/2\sigma_0^2) du \leq E[R(c, \tau_0^*)]$$

Proof: τ_0^* is a Bayes rule for a symmetric problem and hence is symmetric in v . Hence

$$E_u[R(c, \tau_0^*)] \geq E_u[\tilde{R}(c, \tau^*)] \text{ for all } v$$

From it the lemma follows.

Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} (2\sigma_0^2)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_u[\tilde{R}(c, \tau^*)] \exp(-u^2/2\sigma_0^2) du \\ = c^{\frac{2}{3}} [K'\sigma_0^{-1} - \frac{3}{2} c^{\frac{1}{3}} \sigma_0^{-2} \ln \sigma_0 (1+\sigma(1))] \end{aligned}$$

as $\sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty$

where

$$K' = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} (z-z^{-1}+2 \ln z)^{-4/3} (1+z^{-2}+2z^{-1})(1+\ln z-z^{-1}) dz$$

Proof: Let

$$(2.3) \quad z = e^{2au}$$

where "a" is the solution of the minimization problem in Lemma 2.1. Then z should satisfy the relation

$$(2.4) \quad 2u^3 = c(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)$$

We have by using (2.3), (2.4) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_u[\tilde{R}(c, \tau^*)] \exp(-u^2/2\sigma_0^2) du \\ = 2^{1/3} \pi^{-1/2} c^{2/3} \int_1^{\infty} (z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{-\frac{4}{3}} (1 + \ln z - z^{-1}) \cdot \\ (1 + 2z^{-1} + z^{-2}) \exp[-c^{2/3} (z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3} \sigma_0^{-2} z^{-5/3}] dz \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\gamma = 2^{-5/3} c^{2/3} a_0^{-2}$$

$$I(z) = (z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{-4/3} (1 + \ln z - z^{-1}) (1 + 2z^{-1} + z^{-2})$$

We have

$$(2.5) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}_u [\tilde{R}(c, \tau^*)] \exp(-u^2/2a_0^2) du = 2^{1/3} 3^{-1} c^{2/3}$$

$$\int_1^{\infty} I(z) \exp(-\gamma(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3}) dz$$

Lemma 2.3: $\int_1^{1/\gamma} I(z) \exp(-\gamma(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3}) dz$

$$= \int_1^{\infty} I(z) dz + 3\gamma^{1/3} \ln \gamma - 12\gamma^{1/3} + O(\gamma^{2/3} \ln \gamma).$$

Proof:

$$\int_1^{1/\gamma} I(z) \exp(-\gamma(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3}) dz$$

$$= \int_1^{1/\gamma} I(z) (1 - \gamma(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3} (1 + o(1))) dz$$

$$= \int_1^{1/\gamma} I(z) dz - \gamma (1 + o(1)) \int_1^{1/\gamma} I(z) (z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3} dz$$

$$= \int_1^{\infty} I(z) dz - \int_{\gamma^{-1}}^{\infty} I(z) dz - \gamma (1 + o(1)) O(\gamma^{-1/3} \ln \gamma)$$

$$= \int_1^{\infty} I(z) dz + 3\gamma^{1/3} \ln \gamma - 12\gamma^{1/3} + O(\gamma^{2/3} \ln \gamma)$$

Lemma 2.4: $\int_{1/\gamma}^{\infty} I(z) \exp(-\gamma(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3}) dz$

$$= 12\gamma^{1/3} - 3\gamma^{1/3} \ln \gamma + 9 \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \ln \gamma (1 + o(1))$$

Proof: Let $w = \gamma(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3}$

$$I(z) \exp(-\gamma(z-z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3}) dz$$

$$= 3 \cdot 2^{-1} \gamma^{1/2} w^{-3/2} (1 + \ln z - z^{-1}) e^{-w} dw$$

Let

$$u = z - z^{-1} + 2 \ln z = (w/\gamma)^{3/2}$$

For $z \geq \gamma^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \ln z - z^{-1} &= 1 + \ln u + O(u^{-1} \ln u) \\ &= 1 + 3 \cdot 2^{-1} \ln(w/\gamma) + O((w/\gamma)^{-3/2} \ln(w/\gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\gamma^{-1}}^{\infty} I(z) \exp(-\gamma(z - z^{-1} + 2 \ln z)^{2/3}) dz \\ &= 3 \cdot 2^{-2} \int_{\gamma^{-1}}^{\infty} \gamma^{1/2} w^{-3/2} (3 \ln w - 3 \ln \gamma + 2) e^{-w} dw + \\ &\quad O(\gamma^{4/3} \ln \gamma) \\ &= 12\gamma^{1/3} - 3\gamma^{1/3} \ln \gamma + 9 \cdot 2^{-1} \gamma^{1/2} \gamma^{1/2} \ln \gamma (1 + o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5), Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we get the Theorem.

From (1.1), Lemma 2.2 and the Theorem, we have the following corollary to the Theorem.

Corollary: $R > K'$

Remark: Consider the case of u having a prior distribution of Lebesgue measure. For any stopping rule τ ,

$$\int_{\tau} R(u, \tau) du = \lim_{\sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty} (2\sigma_0^2)^{1/2} [(2\sigma_0^2)^{-1/2} \int_{\tau} R(u, \tau) e^{-u^2/2\sigma_0^2} du]$$

$$\geq \lim_{\sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty} (2\sigma_0^2)^{1/2} B(0, \sigma_0^2)$$

$$= K c^{2/3}$$

So the Bayes risk with respect to Lebesgue measure

$$\inf_{\tau} \int_{\tau} R(u, \tau) du \geq K c^{2/3} > K' c^{2/3}$$

for all $c > 0$.

Here, $K' c^{2/3}$ is the lower bound derived in (1).

Therefore, we have shown that Bickel and Yahav's lower bound cannot be attained.

References

- [1] Bickel, P. J. and Yahav, J. A. (1967). "On testing sequentially the mean of a normal distribution". Technical Report No. 26, Nov. 10, 1967, Dept. of Stat., Stanford University, Stanford, California.
- [2] Chernoff, H. (1961). "Sequential tests for the mean of a normal distribution". Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob., 1, 79 - 91, University of California Press, Berkeley.
- [3] Chernoff, H. (1965). "Sequential tests for the mean of a normal distribution III (Small t)". Ann. Math. Statist., 36, 28 - 54.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 26	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AA-1129231	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A Lower Bound For The Bayes Risk For Testing Sequentially The Sign Of The Drift Parameter Of A Wiener Process	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) Ashim Mallik and Yi-Ching Yao	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-0555	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Statistics Center Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS (NR-609-001)	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics and Probability Code 436 Arlington, VA 22217	12. REPORT DATE March 1983	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 9	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. of this report Unclassified	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Sequential tests, S.P.R.T, Bayes, stopping times, lower bound, asymptotic expansion		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) See reverse side.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE
S N O'02 - P. 314-5801

Unclassified
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

n = 200

Let $x(t)$ be a Wiener process with drift μ and variance λ per unit of time. For testing $H: \mu < 0$ vs $A: \mu > 0$ with the loss function $|\mu|$ if the wrong decision is made and 0 otherwise, c cost of observation per unit time and μ has a prior distribution which is normal with mean 0 and variance σ_0^2 . We followed an idea of Bickel and Yahav to obtain a lower bound for the Bayes risk and showed that this lower bound is strict as $\sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty$ for all c .

the author

delta sub 0 approaches limit of infinity

delta sub 0 to the 2nd power

END

DATE
FILMED

7 83

DT