



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A يتريدون فليستعمونا لالورية الإستقلا يتكرد بيرين بالاستخاصة محامدات التركيم فالمراسمة إليساب متقامه فالمولد

## UNCLASSIFIED

AD

## PATHOGENESIS OF DENGUE VACCINE VIRUSES IN MOSQUITOES

FINAL REPORT

Barry J. Beaty, Ph.D. Thomas H. G. Aitken, Ph.D.

July 1, 1982

Supported by

U.S. Army Medical Research and Developemnt Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701

Contract DAMD17-19-C-9094

Yale University School of Medicine New Haven, Connecticut. 06510

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents

#### 06 07 059 83



FILE COPY JUC

	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCI	SSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4D-A	29019
TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
PATHOGENESIS OF DENGUE VALUINE VIRUSES	6/1/79 to 6/30/82
11 1050011025	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	B CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(A)
Barry J. Beaty Dh D 6	
Thomas H. & Aitkon, Ph.D.	DAMD17-79-C-9094
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Yale Arbovirus Research Unit	
New Haven CT 06510	61102A.3M161102BSI0.AA.063.
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
US ARMY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAN	July 1, 1982
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controllin	e Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	LINCI ASSTETED
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
	SCHEDULE
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, If d	ilferent from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If d 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	liferent from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 d 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide it necessary and identify by blo Dengue-2 S-1 vaccine, PR-159 parent; Deng	ck number)
<ul> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, If d</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>b. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by blo Dengue-2 S-1 vaccine, PR-159 parent; Deny Vaccine; comparative infection, transmiss mosquitoes; reversion frequencies; immunity</li> </ul>	ck number) gue-l parent, TP 56 candidate sion, and pathogenesis in ofluorescence.
<ul> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, If d</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by bloc Dengue-2 S-1 vaccine, PR-159 parent; Deny vaccine; comparative infection, transmiss mosquitoes; reversion frequencies; immuno Techniques were developed and improved for the mosquito vector. A technique for oral is freshly prepared virus stocks proved to be e assay for transmission of dengue parent and and is being assessed. Using an oil-charged This technique will greatly facilitate studi</li> </ul>	<pre>ck number) gue-l parent, TP 56 candidate sion, and pathogenesis in ofluorescence. k number) or the study of dengue virus in nfection of mosquitoes using efficient. An improved in vitro vaccine viruses was developed capillary feeding system, from even moribund mosquitoes. es on the assessment of vector</pre>
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if d</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by bloc Dengue-2 S-1 vaccine, PR-159 parent; Deng vaccine; comparative infection, transmiss: mosquitoes; reversion frequencies; immuno Techniques were developed and improved for the mosquito. vector. A technique for oral i freshly prepared virus stocks proved to be eassay for transmission of dengue parent and and is being assessed. Using an oil-charged saliva can rapidly and reliably be collected This technique will greatly facilitate studies.</li> </ul>	<pre>ifferent (rom Report)  ck number)  gue-l parent, TP 56 candidate sion, and pathogenesis in ofluorescence.  k number)  or the study of dengue virus in nfection of mosquitoes using efficient. An improved in vitro vaccine viruses was developed capillary feeding system, from even moribund mosquitoes. es on the assessment of vector</pre>

7

 $\rightarrow$  competence.

The dengue-2 vaccine virus (S-1) and its parent virus (PR 159) were compared for their ability to infect orally, to replicate in, and subsequently to be transmitted by <u>Aedes aegypti</u> mosquitoes. The vaccine virus was markedly less efficient in its ability to infect mosquitoes orally. After ingesting infectious bloodmeals containing 3.7 to 8.2 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml of the respective viruses, 56% (220/396) of the mosquitoes became orally infected with the parent virus contrasted to 16% (66/397) for the vaccine virus. None of the 16 infected mosquitoes transmitted the vaccine virus, while 14% (3/22) of the mosquitoes transmitted the parent virus. The vaccine virus remained temperature sensitive (39 C) after orally infecting and replicating in <u>Ae. aegypti</u> mosquitoes.

	Accession For
)	DATO TAD
	Jatiti - 107
	. Pro
	n a standard an anna an a
	A

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

#### FOREWARD

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-23, Revised 1978).

.

#### Summary

Techniques were developed and improved for the study of dengue viruses in the mosquito vector. A technique for oral infection using freshly prepared virus stocks proved to be efficient. An improved in vitro assay for transmission of dengue parent and vaccine viruses was developed and is being assessed. Using an oil-charged capillary feeding system, saliva can rapidly and reliably be collected from even moribund mosquitoes. This technique will greatly facilitate studies on the assessment of vector competence.

Studies were continued to compare the efficiency of oral infection, mode of development, and transmission potential of dengue-2 parent and candidate vaccine viruses in <u>Aedes aegypti</u> and <u>Aedes albopictus</u> mosquitoes. Both strains were capable of oral infection of the vector species; however, the <u>Aedes albopictus</u> mosquitoes seemed to be more susceptible to oral infection. After ingesting 8.2 to 4.2  $\log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> per ml of the parent (PR 159) virus, 66% (46/68) of the <u>Aedes aegypti</u> became infected; in contrast, 97% (68/70) of the <u>Aedes albopictus</u> became infected. After ingesting the same amounts of the vaccine (S-1) virus, 20% (18/88) of the <u>Aedes aegypti</u> became infected; however, 65% (40/65) of the <u>Aedes albopictus</u> became infected. The vaccine strain was less infective for both vector species. In expanded studies using approximately the same infected with the parent virus, but only 16% (66/397) of the mosquitoes became infected with the vaccine virus.

The oral infectious dose<sub>50</sub> for the parent virus was between 5.4 and 5.7  $\log_{10} \text{ MID}_{50}$ . The OID<sub>50</sub> for the vaccine virus was  $\geq 7.2 \log_{10} \text{ MID}_{50}$ . Thus, it required more than 100 times more vaccine than parent virus to infect 50% of the mosquitoes.

In oral transmission trials, 14% (3/22) of mosquitoes infected with the parent virus transmitted. In contrast, none (0/16) of the mosquitoes infected with vaccine virus transmitted.

Pathogenesis studies were conducted to determine the anatomic basis of the reduced transmission capability of the vaccine-infected mosquiloes. Viral antigen was frequently detected in mosquito midgut tissues but not in secondary target organs. Thus, the vaccine virus seemed less efficient in dissemination from midgut tissues than the parent virus.

The vaccine virus remained stable during mosquito passage. Although plaque size was somewhat altered, no large plaques were detected after mosquito passage, nor did the virus change in temperature sensitivity.

The dengue-2 vaccine virus (S-1) and its parent virus (PR 159) were compared for their ability to infect orally, to replicate in, and subsequently to be transmitted by <u>Aedes aegypti</u> mosquitoes. The vaccine virus was markedly less efficient in its ability to infect mosquitoes orally. After ingesting infectious bloodmeals containing 3.7 to 8.2 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml of the respective viruses, 56% (220/396) of the mosquitoes became infected with the parent virus, contrasted to 16% (66/397) for the vaccine virus. None of the 16 infected mosquitoes transmitted the vaccine virus, while 14% (3/22) of the mosquitoes transmitted the parent virus. The vaccine virus remained temperature sensitive (39°c) after orally infecting and replicating in <u>Ae. aegypti</u> mosquitoes.

## Table of Contents

Page

DD Form Foreword Summary Table of Body of	1473 . · · · Conte Report	2
Ι.	Stat	ement of the problem
II.	Back	ground
III.	Appr	oach
IV.	Mate	rials and Methods
	A. D	Viruses
	В.	
	C.	
	D.	
	E.	Ural Infection of Mosquitoes
	F.	In vitro Assay for Oral Transmission of Dengue Viruses.
	G.	Dose-Response Studies to Determine the Efficiency of
		Infection.
	Н.	Pathogenesis Studies
	Ι.	Vaccine Reversion Studies
V.	Resu	lts
	Α.	Development of Techniques
		<ol> <li>Development of an Efficient Oral Infection Technique for Vector Mosquitoes</li></ol>
	В.	Dengue-2
		1. Growth curves
		2. Comparative susceptibility of Aedes aegypti
		and Aedes albopictus
		3. Threshold of infection studies
		4. Oral transmission of dengue-2 viruses
		5. Pathogenesis studies
		6. S-1 Vaccine reversion studies
	C.	Dengue-1 Studies
		- Treforent form of Andrew (Directories)
		1. Infection of Aedes albopictus
		2. UTAL INTECTION OF ACCES ALDOPICLUS
		3. Thresholds of infection studies 18
VI	Disc	ussion
VII.	Conc	lusions
Referenc	es .	<b>.</b>
Tables a	nd Fig	ures
Distribu	tion 1	ist

#### 1. Statement of the problem

The purpose of this research project was to determine if dengue parental and candidate vaccine viruses differed in their respective abilities to infect, to replicate in, and to be transmitted by <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> and <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u> mosquitoes. Attenuated candidate vaccines and parental strains of dengue-1 dengue-2, and dengue-4 viruses were to be compared in their vectorvirus interactions.

The second, and related, objective of this research project was to determine if attenuated vaccine strains revert to virulence after mosquito passage. Should a live dengue vaccine be capable of infecting and subsequently be transmitted by mosquitoes to a new vertebrate and should the vaccine revert to virulence as a consequence of mosquito passage, then a natural infection cycle could be initiated.

The rationale for this project was that the temperature sensitive (ts) vaccine strains of the dengue viruses which are attenuated for man would also be modified in one or more parameters of vector-virus interactions. The hypotheses were 1) the vaccine strains would be less capable than parental strains in vector infection, 2) vaccine strains would differ from parent strains in their mode of development, 3) the vaccine strains would be less efficiently transmitted than parent strains, and 4) the small plaque ts mutant virus populations would remain stable upon passage in vector mosquitoes.

#### II. Background

Dengue is of great tactical significance to the military because large numbers of troops can become incapacitated in a short period of time. Attenuated dengue vaccines have been developed at WRAIR.

The dengue-2 S-1 vaccine and PR-159 parent and the dengue-1 parent and TP 56 vaccine strains are the subject of this project report. The S-1 vaccine was derived from the serum of patient PR-159 of Puerto Rico (Eckels et al., 1976). The virus was passaged 6 times in Lederle certified African green monkey kidney cells. Passage 6 is designated the parent strain and S-1 represents the progeny of a small plaque derived from the parent strain (Eckels et al., 1980). The S-1 clone is ts, titers 340 times higher in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells than in mice, does not produce viremia in rhesus monkeys, produces barely detectable viremia in chimps and in man (Bancroft et al., 1981; Harrison et al., 1977; Scott et al., 1980). Only 2 of 114 Ae. aegypti mosquitoes that fed on viremic volunteers became infected, but did not transmit the virus after 21 days incubation (Bancroft et al., 1982).

The dengue-1 candidate vaccine, TP 56, passage 28. was derived from a human serum isolate obtained during an epidemic on the island of Nauru in the South Pacific. It was passaged in fetal rhesus lung cells. The TP 56 candidate vaccine is ts, small plaque, and produces a low level viremia in rhesus monkeys. It has not been tested in man.

Ideally a vaccine should not produce viremia, but if it does, it is reasonable to expect that the vaccine strain will infect mosquitoes poorly and will be inefficiently transmitted. This was demonstrated with the 17D yellow fever vaccine (Roubaud et al., 1937; Whitman, 1939), French

-7-

neurotropic yellow fever vaccine (Davis <u>et al.</u>, 1932; Roubaud and Stefanopoulo, 1933; Peltier <u>et al.</u>, 1939), mouse-adapted dengue type 1 (Sabin, 1948), African green monkey kidney-adapted dengue type 2 (Price, 1973), and an attenuated Japanese encephalitis vaccine virus (Chen and Beaty, 1982). Sabin (1948) showed that attenuated dengue, passed through mosquitoes, did not revert to pathogenicity for man, and Chen and Beaty (1982) demonstrated that the attenuated Japanese encephalitis vaccine did not revert to mouse virulence after mosquito passage. Thus, even it the vaccine did develop sufficient viremia to infect vectors, there would be little likelihood that the virus would be transmitted and that it would revert to virulence.

#### III. Approach

The working hypothesis was made that the ts candidate vaccine viruses and the parental wild-type viruses would behave differently in vector mosquitoes. To test this hypothesis the efficiency of oral infection of each parental and vaccine candidate strain was to be determined in dose response studies. Sequential 10-fold dilutions of the virus preparations were to be used to infect groups of a minimum of 10 sibling mosquitoes per dilution. Such studies would also provide information about the optimal infective dose for the transmission and pathogenesis studies; doses much greater than the threshold could obscure differences in infectivity between the vaccine and parental viruses.

Mosquitoes for studies to determine infection rates, extrinsic incubation periods, and rates of oral transmission were to be infected via engorgement on known titer blood-virus mixtures. Vector competence studies and especially dose-response studies are greatly facilitated by the use of artificial bloodmeals. Unlike a viremic host, a known titer of virus can be presented to the mosquitoes and sequential dilutions of virus can be prepared for dose-response studies. Unfortunately, it is necessary to prepare blood virus mixtures with extremely high titers in order to obtain the same mosquito infection rate as would be obtained if the mosquitoes fed on a host with a much lower titered viremia. This is indicative that an unnatural infection route is being utilized by the virus which may not be pertinent to field circumstances. Studies were conducted with other types of blood meal preparations and viremic hosts to find a more satisfactory infection mechanism. The isolation of dengue and yellow fever virus from leukocytes (Wheelock and Edelman, 1969; Halstead et al., 1977; Marchette and Halstead, 1978) suggested that one or more of the white cells may function to promote mosquito midgut infection.

Brandt et al. (1979), Halstead et al. (1977) and others demonstrated that very dilute homologous or more concentrated heterologous antibody complexes with dengue virus in a non-neutralizing manner. This antibody apparently provides the virus with a molecular ride into circulating leukocytes via the Fc receptor. Although no one has demonstrated Fc receptors on mosquito mesenteronal cells we postulated that, since virus in viremic human blood is highly infectious to mosquitoes the presence of non-neutralizing dengue antibody and leukocytes would enhance oral infection of mosquitoes. A further obstacle to assessment of vector competence has been the lack of a suitable laboratory animal to use to detect mosquito transmission of low passage or attenuated dengue viruses. Development of an <u>in vitro</u> assay which permitted assay of transmission by inoculation of collected mosquito saliva into recipient mosquitoes was a major advance (Aitken, 1979; Beaty and Aitken, 1979). This technique facilitated transmission assays for viruses that did not cause observable morbidity or mortality in animals. Unfortunately, mosquitoes could not always be induced to engorge upon the artificial meal system used to capture the saliva. Refinement by Spielman and Rossignol (unpublished data) of a saliva capture technique using oil-charge capillaries (Hurlbut, 1966), provided a possible new <u>in vitro</u> technique to assay for virus transmission. Studies were begun to determine if the technique could be applied to the comparisons of transmission of dengue parent and vaccine viruses in mosquitoes.

Vector-virus interactions were to be further investigated using immunofluorescent techniques to localize antigen in situ in organ dissections and cryostat sections of infected mosquitoes. The sites of restriction of replication (if restriction exists) of the vaccine strains would be defined by the comparative IF studies of antigen development in organs of mosquitoes.

IV. Materials and Methods

#### A. Viruses:

#### Dengue 2

Stock viruses for both the parental and S-1 waccine strains of dengue-2 virus were prepared in either LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> or <u>Aedes albopictus</u> C6/36 cells. The original infected human serum (PR-159) was the source of the parental virus. The experimental vaccine virus (Lot #4, Jan. 1976, WRAIR) was the seed for the vaccine stocks. To prepare the tissue culture stock pools, monolayers of LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells (31°C) were inoculated with the respective seed virus. On day 7 post inoculation, fluids were harvested, centrifuged, and the supernatant was alignoted and frozen. To prepare the mosquito pool virus stocks, <u>Aedes aegypti mosquitoes were inoculated intrathoracically with approximately 0.0006 ml of the respective virus seed. After 21 days incubation, mosquitoes were titrated in 10% FCS-PBS (0.1 ml/mosquito). After centrifugation, the supernatant was alignoted and stored frozen.</u>

## Dengue 1

Initially, both parent and vaccine dengue-1 stock viruses were prepared by inoculation of LLC-NK<sub>2</sub> cells. Viruses were harvested after 7 days, aliquoted, and frozen. Subsequently, stocks were prepared by inoculation of <u>Aedes</u> <u>albopictus</u> C6/36 cells. Viruses were harvested after 14 days ( $\overline{28^{\circ}C}$ ), aliquoted, and frozen.

## Yellow fever

The yellow fever-Haemagogus virus was originally isolated from a pool of H. janthinomys mosquitoes collected in Brazil. It had been passed 4 times in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes.

## B. <u>Mosquitoes</u>:

Colonized strains of <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> and <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u> were used in these studies.

<u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> - Santo Domingo New Orleans Kampala <u>Ae</u>. <u>Albopictus</u> - Jakarata Oahu

The mosquitoes were maintained at  $27^{\circ}$ C, 65-75% RH in screened  $\frac{1}{2}$  pt ice cream cartons and provided with 10% sucrose.

## C. Conjugates:

The anti-dengue conjugates (types 1, 2, and 4, respectively) were prepared by hypermimmunization of mice (Brandt et al., 1967). Immunoglobulins were precipitated from ascitic fluids with  $(\overline{NH_4})_2SO_4$  and conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (Spendlove, 1966; Hebert et al., 1972). Conjugated antibodies were purified by Sephadex G-50 column chromatography. The conjugates titered 1:8-1:32.

## D. Virus Assay:

Titrations--For the dengue-2 titrations, serial 10-fold dilutions of infectious bloodmeals were inoculated into 8 well Lab-Tek slides seeded with BHK-21 cells. Four days post-inoculation the slides were examined for viral antigen by IF. Alternatively, serial 10-fold dilutions of the dengue-2 preparations were inoculated intrathoracically into uninfected <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> mosquitoes (10 per dilution, 0.0006 ml per mosquito). Inoculated mosquitoes were held 7-10 days (28°C) at which time heads were severed, squashed on slides, and examined for viral antigen by IF (Kuberski and Rosen 1977).

For dengue-1 parent and vaccine, all titrations were done using Lab-Tek slides seeded with <u>Aedes albopictus</u> C6/36 cells. Serial 10-fold dilutions of the preparations were inoculated into the plates. After 7 days incubation (28°C), slides were examined by IF for the presence of viral antigen.

Antigen detection---IF was used to localize viral antigen in situ in organ dissections and cryostate sections of mosquitoes (Beaty and Thompson, 1976, 1978) and in head and abdominal squash preparations (Kuberski and Rosen, 1977).

## E. Oral Infection of Mosquitoes

Considerable effort was devoted to development of an effective technique for oral infection of mosquitoes with low passage or vaccine strains of dengue. Defibrinated blood-virus preparations are known to be much less efficient than a viremic host in mediating midgut infection. The artificial meal must be several logs higher in titer in order to obtain the same infection rate. Studies were conducted to assess other blood sources and blood preparations. These included: 1) the use of blood from vertebrates other

-10-

than rabbits, 2) the use of chemically defibrinated instead of mechanically defibrinated blood, 3) the use to viremic suckling mice or guinea pigs, 4) the use of unfrozen, dengue-infected leukocytes, and 5) the use of unfrozen, dengue-infected LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> or Aedes albopictus cells.

After considerable experimentation, the following protocol was developed:

Parental and vaccine viruses were each inoculated into flasks of LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> or <u>Ae. albopictus</u> C6/36 cells (28°C). After incubation periods of 7-10 days for the dengue-2 viruses and 14 days for dengue-1 viruses, cells were detached from the flasks with rubber policemen, and the cell fluid suspensions were centrifuged at 500xg for 10 minutes. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of the remaining fluid, and combined with 1 ml of washed human red blood cells and 0.5 ml of 10% sucrose in heat-inactivated calf serum. Drops of this artifical bloodmeal were placed on the screening of cages holding mosquitoes. Engorged mosquitoes were removed and maintained at 28°C and 65-75% RH for 14-24 days.

#### F. In vitro Assay for Oral Transmission of Dengue Viruses:

This laborious technique was necessitated by the lack of a small laboratory animal model susceptible to the low passage PR-159 virus and the attenuated S-1 virus.

After 14-24 days extrinsi. incubation, mosquitoes were starved overnight prior to the transmission attempt. Capillaries were charged with a 10% FCS-sucrose solution. Mosquitoes were cold anesthetized, wings and the anterior 4 legs were removed, and the proboscis was inserted into the capillary. Mosquitoes were allowed to engorge for 1 hour before they were removed, and heads and abdomens were severed and squashed on slides. The smears were stained with the anti-dengue conjugate and examined for the presence of viral antigen using a Leitz-Wetzlar microscope with an HBO Osram 200W mercury vapor bulb and a KP 490-K510 filter system. After engorgment the contents of the meal were promptly inoculated intrathoracically into 10 recipient mosquitoes. After 10-14 days incubation, recipient mosquitoes were processed by the head squash IF procedure (Kuberski and Rosen, 1977).

Attempts were made to further improve this in vitro transmission technique using oil-charged capillaries. The proboscis of each mosquito was inserted into the oil, and the mosquito salivated. Saliva was captured by centrifugation and inoculated into recipient mosquitoes.

## G. Dose-Response Studies to Determine the Efficiency of Infection:

Parental and vaccine viruses prepared in either the  $LLC-ML_2$  tissue culture or by mosquito inoculation were serially diluted (10-fold) in sucrose-defibrinated rabbit blood. The blood-virus mixture was placed on pledgets, or in membrane feeders, and the mosquitoes were allowed to feed for one hour. After 14-21 days extrinsic incubation, the mosquitoes were examined for the presence of viral antigen using the head and abdomen squash-IF technique.

## H. Pathogenesis Studies

Preliminary studies were conducted to determine the mode of development of the viruses in <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u>. IF technique was used to detect parent and vaccine viral antigen in <u>situ</u> in organ systems and tissues of infected mosquitoes. In initial studies only headsquash positive mosquitoes (either parent or vaccine) were dissected. In both groups, viral antigen was detected in midguts, salivary glands, and ventral nerve cords. Both viruses were widely distributed in tissues of orally infected <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u>. This methodology will now be applied in comparative pathogenesis studies of the two viruses and will hopefully permit determination of the anatomic basis for the observed differences of the S-1 vaccine and PR-159 parent dengue-2 viruses in mosquitoes.

#### I. Vaccine Reversion Studies:

A major goal of this research was to determine if the S-1 candidate vaccine virus would revert to virulence as a consequence of mosquito passage. To determine reversion potential, the S-1 vaccine virus was sequentially passed 4 times in <u>Ae. aegypti</u>, <u>Ae. albopictus</u>, and <u>Toxorhynchites</u> <u>amboinensis</u> mosquitoes by intrathoracic inoculation. The S-1 virus was also alternately passed 3 times in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells and <u>Ae. aegypti</u> mosquitoes to more closely approximate the natural circumstances of arbovirus transmission. These materials were triturated, coded, and forwarded to Dr. Kenneth Eckels at the WRAIR for examination for plaque size and temperature sensitivity.

V. Results

A. Development of Techniques

#### 1. Development of an Efficient Oral Infection Technique for Vector Mosquitoes:

During the granting period, considerable time and effort was expended in developing an efficient technique to orally infect <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> and <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u> mosquitoes. High titered virus stocks were prepared in mosquitoes, LLC-MK<sub>2</sub>, J-111 and BHK-21 vertebrate cell lines and the C6/36 clone of Igarashi's <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u> cell line. Frozen virus stocks were thawed and mixed with 20% sucrose in either defibrinated blood or washed human red blood cells. Even with these high titered virus-blood preparations, only a small percentage of engorging mosquitoes became infected

To determine if Fc receptors might be a determinate of virus infection of midgut cells, bloodmeals were prepared with different concentrations of homologous and heterologous antibody and cells mixed with a thawed virus preparation grown in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells. Again the results were not encouraging; few mosquitoes became infected.

To see if serum factors might mediate midgut infection, a crude approximation of viremic human blood was prepared. Whole blood was drawn from one of us (with yellow fever antibodies) and mixed with a minimal amount of heparin. The was added to a flask of C6/36 cells which had been previously (7 days) infected with PR-159 virus. A control flask contained infected C6/36 cells only. Four days later the cells (rbc's, leukocytes and C6/36 cells) were mechanically removed and fed to mosquitoes. Washed rbc's were added to the control flask and fed to mosquitoes. After an extrinsic incubation period of 14 days, the mosquitoes were examined for the presence of viral antigen. Over 20% of the mosquitoes were infected. Subsequently the technique was modified to that described in the Methods Section (page 7). Mosquito oral infection rates of up to 100% (Table 1) were achieved, depending upon the virus titer of the tissue culture preparation.

Several hypotheses could account for the success of the unfrozen virus meal preparations: 1) freezing virus preparations might somehow alter glycoprotein conformation rendering the virus less capable of interacting with midgut cell receptors, 2) virus in cells might be protected from proteolytic enzymes or other toxic substances, 3) cell or membrane associated virus might better interact with midgut cells. A pilot study was conducted to test these hypotheses. Parent dengue 2 virus was used to infect C6/36 cells. After 7 days incubation, cells were scraped from the flask and separated by centrifugation (800xg, 30 minutes). The infected cells and supernatant were split into 2 lots, one was held at room temperature while the other was frozen and thawed 3 times. These 4 preparations were mixed with human red blood cells and 10% sucrose in serum and fed to Ae. albopictus mosquitoes. Infection rates (Table 2) ranged from 100% for mosquitoes ingesting unfrozen virus-infected cells to 72% for mosquitoes ingesting frozen supernatant virus. These initial results are difficult to interpret: 1) the centrifugation regimen was inadequate to remove membrane associated virus from the "cell-free" supernatant and 2) quick-freezing and thawing is probably not analogous to long term storage of virus stocks at -70°C. Nonetheless, in each comparison, the unfrozen virus preparation resulted in higher infection and subsequent dissemination rates than the frozen virus preparations. To determine the generality of this phenomenon, similar studies were conducted with La Crosse (LAC) virus, family Bunyaviridae, and its vector, Ae. triseriatus. Mosquitoes were fed meals of washed human rbc's, 10% sucrose in calf serum and 1) a frozen infected mouse brain suspension, 2) infected cell culture supernatant, and 3) infected BHK-21 cells. A fourth infectious source was viremic suckling mice. Virus titers ranged from 5.5 to 6.8 log<sub>10</sub>  $TCID_{50}/ml$  (Table 3). Engorged mosquitoes were examined by IF for viral antigen 14 days post-feeding.

Fifty percent of the mosquitoes that fed on a viremic mouse circulating  $5.5 \log_{10}TCID_{50}/ml$  of LAC virus became infected (Table 3). In contrast, of those mosquitoes ingesting a meal containing 6.0 to 6.5  $\log_{10}TCID_{50}/ml$  of a frozen mouse brain virus preparation, only 3% (1/38) became infected. Those mosquitoes engorging an artificial meal containing either cell culture supernatant virus or infected cells had 30% (12/40) and 49% (17/35) infection rates respectively. Interestingly those mosquitoes ingesting the unfrozen virus preparations had higher disseminated infection rates than those mosquitoes feeding on the viremic mouse.

#### 2. Development of Improved Oral Transmission Assay

Studies were begun to determine if the oil in vitro transmission assay could be successfully applied to detect dengue virus transmission.

Mosquitoes were inoculated with either dengue-2 parent or vaccine virus, yellow fever virus, or La Crosse virus. After 1 week incubation, wings and legs were removed from the mosquitoes and the probosci were inserted into capillary pipettes charged with 3.5 ul of Cargille immersion oil. After 30-60 minutes exposure, mosquitoes were removed and examined by IF for the presence of viral antigen. Charged capillaries containing the mosquito saliva were placed in Eppendorf centrifuge tubes containing 0.1 ml of 20% FCS-PBS diluent. The tubes were centrifuged twice for 1 minute in order to force the contents of the capillary into the diluent. Centrifuge tubes were then frozen. To assay for virus transmission, the contents of the tubes were subsequently inoculated into recipient mosquitoes. After 14 days, recipient mosquitoes were headsquashed and processed by IF. Detection of antigen indicated virus transmission.

To compare the <u>in vitro</u> technique to <u>in vivo</u> transmission, sibling mosquitoes, infected with either yellow fever or La Crosse virus, were separated into two groups. One group of each was permitted to engorge upon suckling mice; the other was assayed for transmission using the <u>in vitro</u> technique (Tables 4 and 5).

A CONTRACT OF A LOCAL DESCRIPTION OF

Transmission of both yellow fever and La Crosse virus was demonstrated. In these pilot studies (Tables 4 and 5), some difficulties were encountered with mouse and recipient mosquito survival. Nonetheless, the results were encouraging. Interestingly, after 1 week extrinsic incubation, 3 mosquitoes without detectable yellow fever viral antigen in the headsquash preparation transmitted virus (Table 4). Thus it seems that the assay can detect transmission before sufficient viral antigen to detect by IF accumulates in the head tissues. Since the mosquitoes were inoculated parenterally, all presumably were infected. In those instances where the <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> techniques could be compared, yellow fever transmission rates were similar.

Similar results were obtained with La Crosse virus transmission attempts (Table 5). Only 1 mouse survived after being fed upon by an infected <u>Aedes</u> <u>triseriatus</u> mosquito. Serum has been collected from the mouse but not yet tested for the presence of antibodies to La Crosse virus. Three of the remaining 4 (75%) mice fed upon survived (Table 5). After a similar 2 weeks incubation, 9 of 10 (90%) of the mosquitoes assayed using the <u>in vitro</u> transmission technique were demonstrated to have transmitted.

On the basis of these results, a pilot study was conducted to compare the transmission rates of the parent and vaccine dengue-2 viruses using the <u>in vitro</u> technique. Results are shown in Table 6. Transmission of both viruses was detected. Interestingly, the mosquitoes inoculated with the parent virus received approximately 0.8  $\log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>, whereas the mosquitoes infected with the vaccine virus received approximately 2.6 logs. Nonetheless, after 1 week extrinsic incubation, transmission rates by parent and vaccine infected mosquitoes were similar.

Further studies are planned to clearly delineate the extrinsic incubation periods as well as effective duration and rates of transmission of the parent and vaccine viruses. The use of the improved oil in vitro assay permitted testing of mosquitoes in a fraction of the time necessary using the old in vitro assay. If the technique can be demonstrated to be as sensitive and specific for salivary gland transmission as an in vivo assay, it will greatly facilitate the proposed studies.

## B. Dengue-2 studies: (See Miller et al., 1982)

## 1. Growth curves:

Mosquitoes were permitted to engorge blood meals containing approximately 7.2  $\log_{10}$  mosquito infectious dose (MID)<sub>50</sub> per ml of either the parent or the vaccine dengue-2 virus. On days 0,3,5,7,9,11, and 14 post-feeding, 5 females which had engorged on the parent and 5 females which had engorged on the vaccine virus were frozen at ~70°C and subsequently titered for virus content by mosquito inoculation.

The virus growth curves for orally infected <u>Ae. aegypti</u> mosquities are presented in Figure 1. Titration of 5 mosquitoes immediately after exposure to bloodmeals containing 7.2  $\log_{10}MID_{50}/ml$  of virus resulted in a geometric mean titer of 4.7  $\log_{10}MID_{50}/ml$  for the parent PR-159 virus and 5.0  $\log_{10}$  $MID_{50}/ml$  for the attenuated S-1 virus. Titers fell on day 3 post feeding and increased to day 7. Thirty mosquitoes were fed on the respective virus strains and titrated on days 3 to 14 post-feeding. Of those that engorged the meal containing the parent virus, 27 (90%) became infected; 18 (60%) engorging the vaccine virus became infected. In general, the parent strain replicated to higher titers and more quickly in the mosquitoes than the vaccine strain (Figure 1).

2. Comparative susceptibility of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus

To determine the comparative susceptibility of the two main vector species of dengue-2, <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> and <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u> mosquitoes were permitted to engorge upon serial 10-fold dilutions of the parent and vaccine viruses (Table 7). After 14 days extrinsic incubation, mosquitoes were examined by IF for the presence of viral antigen.

<u>Ae. albopictus mosquitoes seemed to be more susceptible than Ae. aegypti</u> to oral infection by both the parent and vaccine viruses. Parent virus antigen was detected in 97% (68/70) and 66% (46/68), respectively, of the <u>Ae.</u> <u>albopictus and Ae. aegypti that engorged the parent virus. Vaccine virus</u> antigen was detected in 65% (40/65) and 20% (18/88) respectively of the <u>Ae.</u> albopictus and Ae. aegypti that engorged the vaccine virus (Table 7).

### 3. Threshold of infection studies

We attempted to determine the comparative threshold of oral infection for the 2 viruses in <u>Ae. aegypti</u>. For these experiments mosquitoes were allowed to engorge on 10-fold dilutions of the original stock virus preparations. After 14-21 days extrinsic incubation at 28°C, mosquito heads and abdomens were severed, squashed, and examined by IF for the presence of viral antigen. Detection of viral antigen in abdominal tissues indicated that the mosquito midgut had become infected. Detection of viral antigen in head tissues indicated that the midgut had become infected and that virus had subsequently disseminated from the midgut to infect secondary target organs. To determine the precise anatomic location of virus, organ systems were dissected from selected mosquitoes and examined by IF for the presence of viral antigen.

-15-

The results of the comparative oral injection experiments are presented in Tables 8 and 9. Dengue viruses grew to higher titers in C6/36 than in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells. When Ae. aegypti mosquitoes ingested the parent virus grown in C6/36 cells at titers ranging from 4.2 to 8.2 log10MID50/ml, 75% (145/194) became infected; 97% (141/145) of the infected mosquitoes developed a disseminated infection (Table 8). In contrast when mosquitoes fed on the same titer of vaccine virus grown in C6/36 cells, 21% (39/183) became infected; 59% (23/39) of the infected mosquitoes developed a disseminated infection. The overall rate of virus dissemination to mosquito head tissues was 72% (141/194) for the parent virus and 12% (23/183) for the vaccine virus. When the infectious titer of virus grown in C6/36 cells was 5.2-6.2 log<sub>10</sub>MID<sub>50</sub>/ml, 67% (35/52) of the mosquitoes exposed to the parent virus became infected in contrast to 6% (4/60) exposed to the vaccine virus. The mosquito 50% oral infectious dose  $(OID)_{50}$  for the parent virus was computed to be 5.4 log<sub>10</sub>  $MID_{50}/ml$  and >7.2 log<sub>10</sub> $MID_{50}/ml$  for the attenuated vaccine virus. Similar results were obtained with parent and vaccine viruses grown in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells (Table 9).

Overall infection rates were obtained by combining the results obtained using virus stocks prepared in C6/36 cells with those obtained using virus stocks grown in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells (Table 9). The total infection rate for mosquitoes ingesting bloodmeals containing 3.7 to 8.2  $\log_{10}$ MID<sub>50</sub> per ml of the parent virus was 56% (220/396); in contrast, only 16% (66/397) of those ingesting the same amount of the vaccine virus became infected.

#### 4. Oral transmission of dengue-2 viruses

Since the attenuated vaccine virus had been demonstrated capable of infection of <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> mosquitoes, it was necessary to determine if vaccine virus could be transmitted by mosquito bite. Mosquitoes were allowed to engorge on infectious bloodmeals containing approximately 7.2  $\log_{10}MID_{50}/ml$ of either parent or attenuated dengue-2 virus (Table 10). All (22/22) of the <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> feeding on the parent virus became infected and developed disseminated infections by 21 days extrinsic incubation. Fifty-five percent (16/29) of the mosquitoes engorging on the attenuated virus bloodmeal became infected, but only 28% (8/29) developed a disseminated infection. Fourteen percent (3/22) of the mosquitoes infected with the parent strain transmitted virus to a serum-sucrose drop. None of the mosquitoes infected with the vaccine strain transmitted.

#### 5. Pathogenesis studies

A number of mosquitoes infected with the vaccine virus were dissected in order to ascertain which tissues/organs were involved in virus replication. In many cases, viral antigen was found in large amounts in the mesenteral tissues only. The fore and hindguts as well as ovaries, ventral nerve chord, salivary glands and fat body were free of demonstrable S-1 viral antigen. It would appear that although virus was replicating in the midgut, it was unable to mature and escape into the hemocoel or unable to attach and replicate in secondary organ systems. The molecular basis for this attenuation is not known.

-16-

## 6. S-1 Vaccine reversion studies

Studies were conducted to determine it the S-1 vaccine virus would revert to virulence during mosquito passage. Two biological markers, plaque size and temperature sensitivity were used originally to characterize the attenuated virus. The S-1 clone produced small plaques and did not grow at temperatures of 39°C or higher. We used these markers to address the possibility that the S-1 virus might revert to virulence (large plaque size and growth at 39°C) after passage in mosquitoes. The dengue-2 viruses were characterized in the infectious bloodmeal and after growth in orally infected mosquitoes (Table 11). The S-1 cloned virus remained temperature sensitive when grown in C6/36 cells or LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells and after passage in mosquitoes. Plaque sizes were heterogeneous, although no large plaques were seen. Surprisingly the parent virus apparently became attenuated (temperature sensitive) after passage in the C6/36 cells, and the attenuation seemed to be accentuated by passage in the mosquito vector.

## C. Dengue 1 Studies

## 1. Infection of Aedes albopictus

To determine the relative capability of the dengue-1 parent and vaccine viruses to replicate in <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u>, mosquitoes were intrathoracically inoculated. Each day post infection, 5 mosquitoes were removed and frozen for subsequent titration in C6/36 cells. Preliminary results are shown in Table 12. Both viruses replicated well after intrathoracic infection. Endpoints have not yet been reached for most mosquitoes. Nonetheless the results suggest that the parent virus may be more efficient in replication in the vector than the vaccine virus. Most mosquitoes infected with <1.0 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub> of the parent virus titered >5.0 log<sub>10</sub>TCID<sub>50</sub> after 4 days extrinsic incubation. In contrast, most mosquitoes infected with 300 times as much vaccine virus titered between 4.0 and 5.0 log<sub>10</sub>TCID<sub>50</sub>

#### 2. Oral infection of Aedes albopictus

Studies were conducted to determine the comparative ability of parent and vaccine viruses to orally infect Ae. <u>albopictus</u>. Mosquitoes were permitted to engorge meals containing freshly prepared virus stocks as described previously for dengue-2 feeds. On selected days post-infection, mosquitoes were stored for subsequent titration. Unfortunately after a one-week incubation period at 28°C, the parent virus meal titered approximately 3.0 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub> per ml. Apparently this titer was below the threshold of infection for the mosquitoes; none that engorged the meal prepared using this stock became infected. The problem with low parent virus meal titers has been overcome by preparation of the stocks in Ae. <u>albopictus</u> cell cultures. After 2 weeks incubation at 28°C, titers of 9.0 log<sub>10</sub>TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml are achieved.

In this first study, the dengue vaccine virus meal preparation titered  $5.8 \log_{10} \text{TCID}_{50}/\text{ml}$ . This titer did result in mosquito infection. Results are shown in Table 13. Progeny virus was not detected in mosquitoes in more than trace amounts until 7 days post-engorgement. Of the 24 mosquitoes so far examined after 7 to 14 days extrinsic incubation, only 10 (42%) became infected.

## 3. Threshold of infection studies

To determine the comparative threshold of oral infection for the 2 viruses, <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> mosquitoes were permitted to engorge upon meals containing serial 10-fold dilutions of the stock virus preparations. After 14 days extrinsic incubation at 28°C, mosquitoes were processed by IF for the presence of viral antigen. The parent meal titered 8.0  $\log_{10}TCID_{50}$  per ml, and the vaccine meal titered 7.75 logs. The <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> mosquitoes only became infected when they engorged the meals prepared from the undiluted virus preparations. The disseminated infection rates were 30% (14/46) for mosquitoes engorging the parent virus and 39% (12/31) for those engorging the vaccine virus. The rates did not differ statistically. The low infection rates are surprising. After ingestion of considerably less dengue-1 vaccine virus (Table 13), 42% (10/24) of <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u> mosquitoes contained detectable virus after 7 days extrinsic incubation. This would seem to indicate that the <u>Ae</u>. <u>albopictus</u> mosquitoes are more susceptible to both dengue-1 and -2 viruses (Table 7).

## VI. Discussion

The S-1 vaccine strain seems to be markedly less efficient than the parent PR-159 strain in interactions with potential vector species. The S-1 vaccine was considerably less efficient in oral infection of vectors (Tables 7, 8, and 9); it was considerably less efficient in developing disseminated infection (Tables 8 and 9); when disseminated infection did occur, it was later than that for the PR-159 strain; and finally the vaccine strain was less efficiently transmitted (Table 10).

Thus, we conclude that dengue-2, S-1 vaccine virus, which is attenuated for man and animals is also modified in its ability to infect orally and to be transmitted by Ae. aegypti mosquitoes. Oral infection only occurred in a substantial number of mosquitoes when the infectious titer of the meal was relatively high (Tables 7, 8, and 9). The vaccine strain was approximately 100 times less efficient than the parent strain in orally infecting Ae. aegypti. Although viremia does occur in humans inoculated with the vaccine, it does so at levels so low that the virus must be first amplified in cell culture before it can be recovered. Nonetheless, a few Ae. aegypti mosquitoes did become infected while feeding on viremic vaccines. However, none of the infected mosquitoes contained detectable virus antigen in head tissues, nor was virus transmitted by an infected mosquito (Bancroft et al, 1982). Likewise, in the studies reported herein, none of the Ae. aegypti mosquitoes orally infected with the vaccine virus subsequently transmitted. It seems reasonable to speculate that the virus infection in those mosquitoes that fed on the vaccines was restricted to the midgut.

The parent dengue-2 virus was transmitted by infected <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> mosquitoes; the S-1 vaccine was not. However, the numbers were not sufficient to draw conclusions. Further studies are planned to clearly delineate the extrinsic incubation periods as well as effective duration and rates of transmission of the parent and vaccine viruses. The use of the improved oil <u>in vitro</u> assay permitted testing of mosquitoes in a fraction of the time necessary using the old <u>in vitro</u> assay. If the technique can be demonstrated to be as sensitive and specific for salivary gland transmission as an <u>in vivo</u> assay, it will greatly facilitate the proposed studies.

3

The Ae. albopictus mosquitoes seemed to be more vector competent (oral infection) than the Ae. aegypti mosquitoes (Table 7). However, it must be noted that these observations were made using highly laboratory adapted strains of mosquitoes. Data derived using these two laboratory strains should not necessarily be extrapolated to species differences in vector competence in nature. Since genetic variability in vector competence of Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus populations has been demonstrated (Gubler and Rosen 1976; Gubler et al., 1979), similar studies should be conducted with selected, epidemiologically significant geographic strains of these mosquitoes.

The attenuated virus remained temperature sensitive after replication in mosquitoes. This is not surprising since the mosquitoes were maintained at temperatures well below 39°C. The plaque morphology was not uniformly small, although large plaques characteristic of the parent virus were not detected. Temperature sensitivity and plaque size/morphology are biological markers which may or may not be related or correlated with the parameters of vector competency. In this particular case, the inability of the S-1 vaccine virus to infect efficiently and to be transmitted by <u>Ae. aegypti</u> mosquitoes is certainly a relevant albeit complex biological marker.

Preliminary indications based on the limited replication and oral infection studies suggest that the dengue-1 vaccine is also modified in its ability to interact with vector mosquitoes. Perhaps there is a common basis for attenuation of flaviviruses.

#### VII. Conclusions

1. The dengue-2, S-1 vaccine would seem to be sufficiently modified in its ability to infect and to be transmitted by vector mosquitoes to preclude secondary infections as a result of mosquitoes becoming infected by recent vaccines. Importantly, even in the unlikely event that mosquito infection and transmission did occur, the virus probably would not revert to virulence during mosquito passage.

The S-1 vaccine virus was less efficient than the parent virus in the following vector-virus interactions:

- a) replication in intrathoracically or orally infected mosquitoes
- b) oral infection of vector mosquitoes
- c) oral transmission by vector mosquitoes

## **References:**

Aitken, T.H.G. 1979. An <u>in vitro</u> feeding technique for artificially demonstrating virus transmission by mosquitoes. Mosquito News, 27: 130-132.

Bancroft, W. H., Top, F. H., Jr., Eckels, K. H., Anderson, J. H., Jr., McCown, J. M. and Russell, P. K. 1981. Dengue-2 vaccine: Virological, immunological, and clinical responses of six yellow fever-immune recipients. <u>Infect</u>. Immun. 31: 698-703.

Bancroft, W. H., Scott, R. McN., Brandt, W. E., McCown, J. M., Eckels, K. H., Hayes, D. E., Gould, D. J., and Russell, P. K. 1982. Dengue-2 vaccine: Infection of <u>Aedes aegypti</u> mosquitoes by feeding on viremic recipients. Am. J.Trop. Med. Hyg.- submitted.

Beaty, B. J. and Aitken, T. H. G. 1979. In vitro transmission of yellow fever virus by geographic strains of <u>Aedes aegypti</u>. Mosquito News, 39:232-238.

Beaty, B. J. and Thompson, W. H., 1976. Delineation of La Crosse virus in developmental stages of transovarially infected <u>Aedes</u> triseriatus. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 25: 505-512.

Beaty, B.J. and Thompson, W.H. 1978. Tropisms of La Crosse (California encephalitis) virus in bloodmeal-infected <u>Aedes triseriatus</u> (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol., 14: 499-503.

Brandt, W.E., Buescher, E.L., and Hetrick, F.M. 1967. Production and characterization of arbovirus antibody in mouse ascitic fluid. Am. J. Trop. Med. & Hyg. 16: 339-347.

Brandt, W. E., McCown, J. M., Top, F. H., Bancroft, W. H., and Russell, P. K. 1979. Effect of passage history of dengue-2 virus replication in subpopulations of human leukocytes. Infect. Immun., 26: 534-541.

Chen, B. Q. and Beaty, R. J. 1982. Comparative infection and transmission rates of Japanese encephalitis vaccine (2-8 strain) and parent (SA 14 strain) viruses in <u>Culex tritaeniorhynchus</u> mosquitoes. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 31: 403-407.

Davis, N. D., Lloyd, W., and Frobisher, M., Jr. 1932. Transmission of neurotropic yellow fever virus by Stegomyia mosquitoes J. Exp. Med. 56: 853-865.

Eckels, K. H., Brandt, W. E., Harrison, V. R., McCown, J. M., and Russell, P. K. 1976. Isolation of a temperature-sensitive dengue-2 virus under conditions suitable for vaccine development. Infect. Immun. 14: 1221-1227.

Eckels, K. H., Harrison, V. R, Summers, P. L., and Russell, P. K. 1980. Dengue-2 vaccine: Preparation from a small plaque virus clone. Infect. Immun. 27. 175-180. Gubler, D. J., Nalim, S., Tan, R. Saipan, H., and Saroso, J. 1979 Variation in susceptibility to oral infection with dengue viruses among geogrphic strains of Aedes aegypti. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 28. 1045-1052.

Gubler, D. J. and Rosen, L. 1976. Variation among geographic strains of <u>Aedes albopictus</u> in susceptibility to infection with dengue viruses. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 25: 318-325.

Halstead, S.B., O'Rourke, E.J., and Allison, A.C. 1977. Dengue virus and mononuclear phagocytes. II. Identity of blood and tissue leukocytes supporting in vitro infection. J. Exp. Med., 146: 218-229.

Harrison, V. R., Eckels, K. H., Sagarty, J. W., and Russell, P. K. 1977. Virulence and immunogenicity of a temperature-sensitive dengue-2 virus in lower primates. Infect. Immun. 18: 151-156.

Hebert, A., Pittman, B., McKinney, R. M., and Cherry, W. B. 1972. The Preparation and Physiochemical Characterization of Fluorescent Antibody Reagents, U.S.P.H.A. Bureau of Laboratories, Atlanta, Georgia.

Hurlbut, H. S. 1966. Mosquito salivation and virus transmission. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 14: 989-993.

Kuberski, T. T. and Rosen, L. 1977. A simple technique for the detection of dengue antigen in mosquitoes by immunofluorescence. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 26: 533-537.

Marchette, N.M., and Halstead, S.B. 1978. Phytohemagglutinin enhancement of dengue-2 virus replication in nonimmune rhesus monkey peripheral leukocytes. Infect. Immun., 19: 40-45.

Miller, B. M., Beaty, B. J., Eckels, K. H., Aitken, T. H. G., and Russell, F. K. 1982. Dengue-2 vaccine: Oral infection, transmission, and reversion rates in the mosquito, Aedes aegypti. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 31:1232-1237.

Peltier, M., Durieux, C. Jonchere, H., and Arquie, E. 1939. La transmission par piqure de <u>Stegomyia</u>, due virus amaril neurotrope present dans le sang des personnes recemment vaccinees est-elle possible dans les regions ou ce moustique existe en abundance? Rev. d'immunol. 5: 172-195.

Price, W. 1973. Dengue-2 attenuated New Guinea C strain and attenuated JE (G9473). WRAIR Symposium on Determinants of Arbovirus Virulence, 13-14 December 1973.

Roubaud, E. and Stefanopoulo, G. J. 1933. Recherches sur la transmission par la voie stegomyienne du virus neurotrope murin de la fievre jaune. Bull. Soc. Path. exot. 26: 305-309.

Roubaud, E. Stefanopoulo, G. J. and Findlay, G. M. 1937. Essais de transmission par les stegomyies du virus amaril decultures en tíssue embryonnair. Bull. Soc. Path. Exot. 30: 581-583.

Sabin, A. B. 1948. Dengue in "Viral and Rickettstal Infections of Man" Rivers T. M. ed. Lippincott, Philadelphia. Scott, R. McN., Nisalak, A., Eckels, K. H., Tingpalapong, M., Harrison, V. R., Gould, D. J., Chapple, F. E. and Russell, P. K. 1980. Dengue-2 vaccine. Viremia and immune responses in Rhesus monkeys. Infect. Immum. 27: 181-186.

Spendlove, R.S. 1966. Optimal labeling of antibody with fluorescein isothiocyanate. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 122: 580-583.

Wheelock, E.F., and Edelman, R. 1969. Specific role of each human leukocyte type in viral infections: II. 17-D yellow fever virus replication and interferon production in homogeneous leukocyte cultures treated with phyto-hemagglutinin. J. Immunol., 103: 429-436.

Whitman, L. 1939. Failure of <u>Aedes aegypti</u> to transmit yellow fever cultured virus (17D). Am. J. Trop. Med. 19: 19-26.

-22-

Table 1.	Infection and transmission rates for Aedes albopictus (0.	AHU) oraliy
	infected with dengue-2 parent and vaccine viruses <sup>a</sup>	

	Dengue-2	virus <sup>b</sup>
	Parent	Vaccine
No. mosquitoes exposed	20	29
No. infected (%)	20 (100)	16 (55)
No. transmitting <sup>C</sup> (%)	15 (75)	9 (56)

<sup>a</sup>Extrinsic incubation period was 21-24 days.

<sup>b</sup>Each blood meal contained 7.3  $log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml post-feeding.

<sup>C</sup>In <u>vitro</u> transmission technique of Aitken (1977).

Table 2. Infection rates for <u>Aedes albopictus</u> (OANU) ingesting dengue-2 (PR 159) virus<sup>8</sup>

Contraction of the second s

.

مساسطين خلافيا المتقاط

والمحمد والمحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المعالمين ومحمد وأوافته والمحمد ومحازيها والمحمد والمحمد المعام المحمد

	virus	isseminated (%)	11/29 (38)	
	Supernatant	Infected (%) D	21/29 (72)	
Froze	ciated virus	Disseminated (%)	(19) 16/61	
	Cell assoc	Infected (3)	26/31 (84)	
	ant visua	Dissoninated (%)	25/30 (83)	
bzeg	Supernat	lafected (2)	29/30 (97)	
Und r	iciated virus	Bisseminated <sup>d</sup> (3)	10/21 (76)	
	Cell asso	intected (2)	21/21 <sup>C</sup> (100)	

"Mults consisted of 1 ml virus preparation grown in Ardes albopictus C6/36 cells, 1 ml washed human rbc and 0.5 ml 10% sucrose in calf serum.

Prozen and thused three times before use.

-24-

<sup>C</sup>Mo. puaitive over nu. tested by <mark>if</mark>.

<sup>d</sup>viral antigen detected in head tissues.

R 11 71

	<u></u>	Infection rate (%) <sup>a</sup>			
Meal <sup>b</sup>	Titer <sup>C</sup>	Gut	Dissemination <sup>e</sup>		
Frozen virus <sup>d</sup>	6.0-6.5	1/38 (3)	1/38 (3)		
Cell culture supernatant	5.5-6.3	12/40 (30)	8/40 (20)		
Cells & supernatant	6.0-6.8	17/35 (49)	10/35 (29)		
Viremic suckling mice	5.5	8/16 (30)	:/16 ( 6)		

# Table 3. Infection rates of Aedes triseriatus mosquitoes fed on various LaCrosse virus preparations

<sup>a</sup>No. positive over no. tested for viral antigen by FA after 14 days extrinsic incubation.

<sup>b</sup>Meals consisted of virus preparations, washed human red blood cells, calf serum and sucrose.

<sup>C</sup>Log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml.

<sup>d</sup>Suckling mouse brain preparation; also used to infect BHK-21 cells and inoculate suckling mice for viremic meal.

<sup>e</sup>Virus antigen detected by FA in head tissues.

		In	vitro		Suckling mice				
	Donor incubation period				Donor incubation period				
	1 w	veek	2 weeks		l week		2 weeks		
No.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Mouse death	Donor	Mouse death	
1	+ <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	+	NF <sup>C</sup>	
2	_	-+	+	ns <sup>b</sup> +	+	~	+	+	
3	+	+	+	NS	+	+	+	+	
4	+	NS+	+	NS	-	-	+	+	
5	+	+	+	NS	+	-	+	NF	
6	+	+	+	NS	+	+	+	NF	
7	+	NS	+	NS	+	~	+	NF	
8	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	NF	
9	+	+	-	-	+	+			
10	+	+	-		+	+			

Table 4.	Comparison of an in vitro technique and	l engorgement upon suckling
	mice for an assay of yellow fever viru	s transmission by mosquitoes

<sup>a</sup>Results of IF examination of headsquash preparations.

bNone of the recipients survived the two-week anombation period.

<sup>C</sup>Mosquito did not feed on mouse.

		In	vitro		Suckling mice				
	Donor incubation period				Donor incubation period				
	l week		2 weeks		1 week		2 weeks		
No.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Recip.	
1	+ <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	Dc	+	NF <sup>d</sup>	
2	+	+	+	+	+	a	+	+	
3	+	+	+	+	+	D	+	NF	
<i>1</i> Ļ	+	NS <sup>b</sup>	+	+	-	D	+	NF	
5	+	NS	+	+	+	D	-	NF	
6	+	+	+	+	+	D	+	NF	
7	+	+	+	+	+	D	+	NF	
8	+	+	+	-	+	D	+	-	
9	+	NS	+	+	+	D	+	+	
10	+	NS	+	+	+	D	+	+	

Table 5.	Comparison of an in vitro technique and engougement upon suckling
	mice for an assay of La Crosse virus transmission by mosquitoes

<sup>a</sup>Results of IF examination of headsquash preparations.

<sup>b</sup>None of the recipients survived the one-week incubation period.

<sup>C</sup>Non-virus associated mouse death.

 $^{d}$ Mosquito did not feed on mouse.

	Parent				Vaccine Donor incubation period			
	Donor incubation period							
	l week		2 weeks		l week		2 weeks	
No.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Recip.	Donor	Recip
1	+ <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	+	_
2	+	-	+	-	+	NS	+	+
3	+	+	+	+	+	NS	+	+
4	-	+	+	+	+		+	NS
5	-	ns <sup>b</sup>	+	+	+	-	-	+
6	+	NS	+	+	+	NS	+	NS
7	-	NS	+	+	+	+	+	NS
8	+	NS	+	+	+	+	+	+
9	+	+	+	+	+	NS	+	+
10	+	+	-	+	+	NS	+	+

## Table 6.In vitro transmission of dengue-2 parent and vaccine viruses using<br/>the oil capillary technique

<sup>a</sup>Results of IF examination of headsquash preparations.

<sup>b</sup>None of the recipients survived the two-week incubation period.

-18

Infection rates for <u>Aedes</u> aegypti and <u>Aedes Ibopictus</u> orally infected with graded doses of dengue-2 parent and vaccine viruses Tabl: 7.

Titer of feeding	Parent (	(PR 159)	Vaco	ine (S-1)
suspension log <sub>10</sub> TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml	Aedes asypti	Aedes albopictus	Aedes aegypti	Aedes albopictus
8.2-7.2	3 (100) <sup>3</sup>	15 (100)	8 (100)	20 (95)
7.2-6.2	21 ( 95)	25 (100)	25 (28)	17 (65)
6.2-5.2	20 ( 65)	18 ( 95)	29 (7)	14 (57)
5.2-4.2	24 (25)	(16) 11	26 ( 4)	11 (18)
<u>Tetai</u> 8.2-4.2	<b>68 ( 66)</b>	(20) 02	88 ( 20)	62 (65)

<sup>a</sup>N\_mber tested (percent positive).

▼ - - -

ł

-29-

Infection rates of <u>Aedes aegypti</u> mosquitoes orally infected with graded doses of dengue-2 parent and attentated viruses grown in C6/36 cells Table 8.

Titer of	Parent	rirus (PR 159)	Vaccine v	virus (S-l)
bloodmeal <sup>a</sup>	Infected <sup>b</sup>	Disseminated <sup>C</sup> (%)	Infected (%)	Disseminated (%)
8.2-7.2	55/56 (98)	55/56 (98)	8/8 (100)	8/8 (100)
7.2-6 2	41/42 (98)	41/42 (98)	25/55 (45)	11/55 ( 20)
6.2-5.2	35/52 (67)	35/52 (67)	4/60 ( 7)	3/60 ( 5)
5.2-4.2	14/44 (32)	10/44 (23)	2/60 ( 3)	1/60 (2)
Total .	145/194 (75)	141/194 (73)	39/183 (21)	23/183 (13)

<sup>3</sup>Log<sub>10</sub> mosquito infective dose 50 per ml.

 $m{h}_{
m Number}$  is juitors posinize for dengue-2 viral antigen in midgat/number tested

<sup>c</sup>Number ansquitoes positive for dengue-2 viral antigen in head tissues/number tessed.

-30-

Infection rates of <u>Aedes aegypti</u> mosquitoes orally infected with graded doses of dengue-2 parent and attenuated viruses grown in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub>cells Table 9.

Titar of		Parent '	virus (PR 159	(6		Vaccine v	virus (S-1)	
liter ut Joodmeal <sup>a</sup>	Infecto	eílb	Dissemin	ated <sup>c</sup> (%)	Infected	(%)	Dissemin	ated (%)
7.2-6.7	36/49	(13)	32/49	(65)	20/60 (	33)	8/60	(13)
6.7-5.7	30/50	(05)	26/50	(52)	6/68 (	(6	3/68	(†)
5.7-4.7	8/23	(14)	5/29	(8)	1/42 (	(2)	1/42	(2)
4.7-3.7	1/44	(2)	1/44	(2)	0/44 (	(0)	0/44	(0)
Tot 1]	15/200	(37)	ó4/202	(32)	27/214 (	(13)	12/214	(9)

<sup>d</sup>Log<sub>10</sub> mosquito infective doseso per ml.

 $^{\rm b}{}_{\rm M}$  mbor mosquerres control for dengue-2 viral antigan in midgut/number tested.

<sup>c</sup><sub>Number mosquitors positive for dengue-2 viral antigen in head tissues/number tested.</sub>

-31-

	Dengue	-2 viruses <sup>a</sup>
	Parent virus (PR 159) (%)	) Vaccine virus (S-1) (%)
mosquitoes exposed	22	29
infected	22 (100)	16 (55)
disseminated <sup>b</sup>	22 (100)	8 (28)
transmitting	3 (14)	0 ( 0)
	mosquitoes exposed infected disseminated <sup>b</sup> transmitting	DengueParent virus (PR 159) (%)mosquitoes exposed22infected22 (100)disseminatedb22 (100)transmitting3 (14)

Table 10. Infection and transmission rates for Aedes regypti mosquitoesorally infected with dengue-2 parent and attenuated viruses

<sup>a</sup>Dengue-2 viruses were grown in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells at  $31^{\circ}$ C; post-feeding titer was 7.2 log<sub>10</sub> MID<sub>50</sub>/ml for PR 159 and S-1 viruses.

<sup>b</sup>Dengue-2 viral antigen detected in mosquito head tissues.

			PFU <sup>a</sup> /0.2 ml	
No.	Sample	35°C	38.5°C	39.3°C
1.	S-1 grown in C <sub>6</sub> /36 cells at 27°C	$5.1 \times 10^{5}$	$4.4 \times 10^3$	<10
<b>2.</b>	S-1 from <u>Ae</u> . <u>aegypti</u> orally infected with #1	$2.2 \times 10^4$	$2.8 \times 10^2$	<10
3.	S-1 grown in LLC-MK <sub>2</sub> cells at 31°C	$5.1 \times 10^{3}$	$1.7 \times 10^2$	<10
4.	S-1 from <u>Ae</u> . <u>aegypti</u> orally infected with #3	$4.9 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^{1}$	<10
	S-1 control	$3.4 \times 10^{5}$	$2.3 \times 10^{3}$	<10
<b>5</b> ,	Parent grown in C <sub>6</sub> /36 cells at 27°C	$4.5 \times 10^{6}$	$1.3 \times 10^{5}$	$8.4 \times 10^{7}$
۴.	Parent from Ac. aegypti orally infected with $#5$	$1.0 \times 10^{3}$	<10	<10
	Parent control	$1.7 \times 10^{6}$	$6.7 \times 10^{5}$	$3.2 \times 10^{5}$

Table 11.	Plaquing of dengue-2 parent (PR 159) and attenuated (5-1) viruses
	at permissive and restrictive temperatures before and after oral passage
	in <u>Aedes</u> <u>aegypti</u> mosquitoes

<sup>a</sup>Samples 1-6 all contained dengue-2 virus that resulted in heterogeneous plaque sizes ranging from 0.5 mm to 1.5 mm, except sample #5, which contained plaques that were 2.0 mm in size. None of the samples (1-6) contained the large plaques seen in the PR159 control.

Replication of tengue-1 viruses after intrathoratic inoculation<sup>a</sup> into <u>Aedes albopictus</u> mosquitoes Table 12.

.

							•				
						Days po	st infec	tion			
Virus	Mosquito	0	-	2	۳.	4	5	9	7	∞	. 16
Parent	-	<1.0	<1.0	< <b>1</b> .0	4.25	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0
	2	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.75	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0
	3	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	3.75	>4.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	
	4	<1.0	<1.0	<2.25	4.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	
Vaccine		<1.0	<li>0.1&gt;</li>	<1.0	3.75	>4.0	≥4_0	>5.0	\$5.0	>5.0	>4.0
	2	0.>	1.0	<1 <b>.</b> 0	4.0	>5.0	(°.4<	>5.0	>4.0	>5.0	21.0
	3	0.^>		0.1.0	3.5	54.0	>4.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0
	4	0.12		1.0	2.25	>4.0	0.44	>4.0	>5.0	>4.0	0':<

-34-

·••12

Table 13. Replication of dengue-1 vaccine virus (TP56) after oral infection of Aedes albopictus mosquitces

4.0 3.5 4.0 14 ŧ ı ł ī 5.0 11 ł I ł 10 3.75 3.25 3.25 6 2.75 2.0 ī ł œ 2.0<sup>b</sup> Titer of virus (TCIG<sub>50</sub>) Days post infection I ~ I I <1.0 9 ł ١ ī ŝ ł 1 I <1.0 ۹, I 4 ł :1.0 <1.0 <1.0 ł c <2.0 is d tected in the stion. 1 2 ł I -I 1 2.25 2.75 2.5 3.0 0 Mosquito а 10 10 2 -35-

 $b = b \log_{10}$  (C  $D_{50}$  per moscrito.

## Figure 1

Replication of dengue-2 parent (PR 159) and vaccine (S-1) viruses in <u>Aedea</u> a<u>egypti</u> mosquitoes<sup>a,b</sup>. <sup>a</sup>Crosshatched area indicates range of titers. <sup>b</sup>Dengue-2 viruses were grown in LLC-MK<sub>2</sub> cells at 31°C; post-feeding titer of the bloodmeals were 7.2 MID<sub>50</sub> per ml for both the parent and vaccine viruses.



